medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Factors influencing intention to adhere to precautionary behavior in times of COVID- 19 pandemic in Sudan: an application of the Health Belief Model

Azza Mehanna[1], Yasir Ahmed Mohammed Elhadi[1,2]~, Don Eliseo Lucero-Prisno III[3]

1. Health Administration and Behavioral Sciences Department, High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University, Egypt.

2. Medical Research office, Sudanese Medical Research Association, Khartoum, Sudan

3. Department of Global Health and Development, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

~Correspondence: Yasir AhmedMohammed Elhadi

Address: High Institute of Public Health

165 El Horreya Avenue

21561 Alexandria, Egypt

Email: hiph.yelhadi@alexu.edu.eg

Tel: +201015898709

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background Corona virus disease (covid-19) is an emerging highly infectious disease caused by novel corona virus (SARS-CoV-2). Several public health and social protective measures that may prevent or slow down the transmission of the COVID-19 were introduced. However, these measures are unfortunately neglected or deliberately ignored by some individuals.

Objective To identify the factors influencing intention to adhere to precautionary measures againstCOVID-19 in Sudan.

Methods and Design Cross sectional online based survey using virtual convenience sampling technique.

Variables Measured Perceived threat of corona virus (perceived severity and perceived susceptibility), perceived benefits, perceived barriers, self-efficacy and intention to adhere to precautionary behavior towards COVID-19

Results The significant predictors of intention to adhere to the precautionary behavior against COVID-19 were: gender (β =3.34, P <0.001), self-efficacy (β= 0.476, P<0.001), perceived benefits (β= 0.349, P<0.001) and perceived severity (β= 0.113, P=0.005). These factors explained 43% of the variance in participants' intention to adhere to the protective measures. Participants who were female, confident in their ability to adhere to the protective measures when available, believing in the benefits of the protective measures against COVID-19 and perceiving that the disease could have serious consequences were more likely to be willing to adhere to the protective measures.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion Health Belief model is a useful framework for addressing factors influencing intention to adhere to precautionary behavior during COVID pandemic.

Key words COVID-19, Sudan, preventive measures, precautionary behavior, behavioral sciences, public health, health promotion

Introduction

Corona virus disease (COVID-19) is an emerging highly infectious disease caused by the novel corona virus (SARS-CoV-2)(1). The virus was first detected in Wuhan, China(2) on December 2019. In the last two decades Corona viruses have caused two large-scale pandemics; SARS(3) and MERS(4).Several public health and social measures that may prevent or slow down the transmission of COVID-19 were introduced; including case isolation, identification and follow-up of contacts, adequate environmental disinfection, and use of personal protective equipment (PPE)(5).By 27 August, 2020 the virus has reached 214 countries resulted in 24.2 million cases and 826000 confirmed deaths.

At this time, there is no approved vaccine preventing corona virus disease. The best prevention is to avoid exposure to the virus(6). In response to this Pandemic, Almost all countries imposed lockdown policy to slow the spread of the virus and introduced measures that may reduce the risk of exposure these include: use of face masks; regular hand washing with soap or disinfection with hand sanitizer; avoidance of contact with infected people and complying with social distancing measures in crowded places; and avoid touching eyes, nose, and mouth with unwashed hands(7)(8). However, these guidelines, which were introduced to slow the spread of COVID-19 and contribute to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

public well-being, are unfortunately neglected or deliberately ignored by some individuals(9).

Health Belief Model(10) was originally formulated to explain different preventive health behaviors. According to this model, the individual's health behavior depends on an individual's perception of being at risk to get the disease, perceived severity of the disease, perceived benefits and perceived costs of taking a particular health action(11)and feeling capable of implementing the desired behavior to achieve results(12). This study aims to identify the possible factors influencing Sudanese people's intention to adhere to the protective measures (staying at home, wearing masks/gloves and following social distancing measures) using the Health Belief Model.

Methods

2.1 Study design and sampling

A cross-sectional design was used to study participants' intention to adhere to protective measures against COVID-19. The study was conducted in Sudan in 2020.The study was conducted on 625 participants based on the assumption that intention to adhere to protective measures =50%, precision =5% and alpha = 0.05. Convenience internet-based sampling technique was used.

2.2 Data collection

Using Google forms, an online structured questionnaire was developed by the researchers based on review of previous literature. The questionnaire link was distributed to the participants through social media such as: Email, Facebook, What's App, Twitter .Etc. The questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic data of study participants, their health beliefs and intention regarding adherence to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

precautionary behavior against COVID-19. Precautionary behaviors are actions taken in advance to protect against possible exposure to COVID-19. The precautionary behaviors addressed in this study were: staying at home, wearing masks/gloves and practicing social distancing on going out.

HBM constructs

The scale measuring HBM constructs consists of 5 subscales measuring perceived susceptibility & severity of COVID-19, perceived benefits and barriers to adherence to precautionary behaviors and self- efficacy in adhering to precautionary behaviors. Responses to scale items were scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). The score was reversed for some items, it was calculated for each subscale, converted to percentage and categorized into high (> 66.67%), moderate (33.33% to 66.67%) and low (< or equal to 33.33%).

Intention to adhere to precautionary behaviors

It was assessed using two statements: “In the coming period, I will try to stay at home and not go out unless necessary”, and “In the coming period, I will try to adhere to the protective measures (wearing a mask, gloves and social distancing) when going out”. Responses to scale items were scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). The score was calculated and converted to percentage and categorized into high (> 66.67%), moderate (33.33% to 66.67%) and low (< or equal to 33.33%).

The internal consistency of HBM subscales and intention scale was determined by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient. Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were 0.862 (perceived susceptibility),0.798 (perceived severity), 0.799 (perceived benefits), 0.793 (perceived barriers), 0.757(self-efficacy), 0.798 (perceived severity) and 0.823 (Intention).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Statistical analysis of the data

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0**(**Armonk, NY: IBM Corp**).**Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Quantitative data were described using mean and standard deviation. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level. Pearson's coefficient was used to correlate between the different variables used in the study. Linear regression analysis was performed to detect the significant predictors of intention to adhere to precautionary behavior.

Results

A Total of 680 Sudanese has responded to the questionnaire. The mean age of participants was 26.7 (±7.95 years), 56.8% of participants were females, 83.7% were living in Khartoum (the capital of Sudan), 79% were single and77.6% were university undergraduates. The monthly income of about half the participants (49.6%) was just enough (Table 1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants (n = 680)

Socio-demographic characteristics(n=680)	**No**	**%**
Age		
<20	58	8.5
20 - <40	566	83.2
40 - <60	52	7.6
60+	4	0.6
Mean ± SD		26.70±7.95
Sex		
Male	294	43.2
Female	386	56.8
Residence		
Northern State	16	2.4
Red Sea State	32	4.7
Al-Gizera State	51	7.5
Khartoum State	569	83.7
River Nile State	12	1.8
Marital status		
Single	537	79.0
Married	131	19.3
Divorced/ Widowed	12	1.7
Education		
High school	35	5.1
University undergraduate	528	77.6
University graduate	117	17.2
Income		
Not enough	104	15.3
Just enough	337	49.6
Enough and saving	239	35.1

No : Number

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The mean percent scores (MPS) of all the domains of the HBM –except for perceived barriers were pertinentlyhigh indicatingthat participants strongly believedin being suceptible to COVID-19(80.48%) and that the disease could have severe consequences(84.99%). Participants also perceived that adherence to the protective measures such as social distancing and wearing masks was important and beneficial (93.65%)and were confident in their ability to adhere to these protective measures(81.01%) if they were available.Nevertheless, the MPS of perceived barriers was moderately high (61.48%) denoting thatseveral barriers to the adherence to protective measures were reported by a significant proportion of participants.The MPS of intention was high (87.21%) reflecting participants' strong will to adhere to the protective measures against COVID-19 (Figure 1).

Most of the participants agreed/strongly agreed on being at risk of getting COVID-19 (71.3%) and on the possibility of getting infected when being in contact with a person not showing the symptoms of the disease (87.6%) meanwhile, they disagreed/strongly disagreed on the negative statement "Covid-19 disease is not transmitted through contact with surfaces or tools"(80.4%).However, more than one third (35.6%) of participants were not sure whetherthe hot climate in Sudan killed the virus and thus reduced the possibility of infection. More than 90% of the participants agreed/strongly agreed that COVID-19 is spreading rapidly and that it may lead to dealth. More than 90% agreed/srongly agreed that adherence to protective measures reduced the number of cases and decreased spread of the disease. A great majority of the participants believed that they were capable of staying at home for the entire period of time specified by the ministry of health, and only go out if necessary (78.7%) and were able to commit to wearing the mask (if available) whenever they went out

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(91.6%).Regarding perceieved barriers, bring the needs of the family was the most frequently reported factor hindering participants from satying at home (85.1%) followed by work requirements (62.4%) then feeling bored (46.8%), while disagreements between family members at home was the least mentioned barrier to staying at home (17.6%). Concerning factors hindering adherence to protective measures outside home,the absenceof rules governing the distance between people in crowded places such as markets and means of public transportation was the barrier most agreed/strongly agreed upon(91.8%) followed by the unavailability and high prices of gloves/masks (76.3% and 72.6% respectively) (Table 2).

(Table 2): Responses of participants to the items of the HBM

	Agree/SA		**Neutral**		**Disagree/SD**	
	No.	**%**	**No.**	**%**	**No.**	**%**
Perceived susceptibility						
I think I am at risk of Corona virus infection	485	71.3	150	22.1	45	6.6
I may become infected when in contact with a person who does not show symptoms of corona virus disease (Covid-19)	596	87.6	55	8.1	29	4.3
Covid-19 disease is not transmitted through contact with surfaces or tools	73	10.7	60	8.8	547	80.4
The hot climate in Sudan kills the virus and thus reduces the possibility of infection	85	12.5	242	35.6	353	51.9
The Sudanese citizen has strong immunity and therefore is less likely to contract the disease	85	12.5	127	18.7	468	68.8
Perceived severity						
The emerging corona virus is rapidly spreading	649	95.4	21	3.1	10	1.5
Coronavirus disease (Covid-19) may lead to death	631	92.8	27	4.0	22	3.2
Coronavirus disease is a common disease like the flu and hence it's not dangerous.	97	14.3	68	10.0	515	75.7
I am not afraid of catching Coronavirus disease	116	17.1	45	6.6	519	76.3
Perceived barriers						
What are the factors that may hinder you from Staying At your home?						
Feeling bored	318	46.8	45	6.6	317	46.6
Work demands	424	62.4	49	7.2	207	30.4
Bring the needs of the family	579	85.1	16	2.4	85	12.5
Disagreements between family members at home	120	17.6	51	7.5	509	74.9
Feeling upset when staying at home	281	41.3	56	8.2	343	50.4

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Feeling that I'm staying home indefinitely is ridiculous and illogical	158	23.2	45	6.6	477	70.1
What are the factors that may hinder you from adhering to the preventive measures wearing a mask, gloves and social distancing when leaving the house?						
I don't have masks / gloves	399	58.7	14	2.1	267	39.3
Sometimes I forget to wear a mask / glove or to keep an adequate distance between me and whomever I'm talking to	379	55.7	46	6.8	255	37.5
The absence of rules governing the distance between people in crowded places such as markets and public transportation	624	91.8	6	0.9	50	7.4
I feel embarrassed to wear the mask or keep a distance from whom I'm talking to	100	14.7	20	2.9	560	82.4
I do not think these measures are effective	72	10.6	45	6.6	563	82.8
High prices of masks / gloves	494	72.6	43	6.3	143	21.0
Face masks / gloves are not available in the market	519	76.3	83	12.2	78	11.5
I don't feel comfortable wearing the mask / glove	228	33.5	50	7.4	402	59.1
Perceived benefits						
Staying at home is important and essential	649	95.4	9	1.3	22	3.2
Adherence to preventive measures (wearing a mask, gloves and social distancing) when leaving home makes me feel safe.	645	94.9	22	3.2	13	1.9
Adherence to preventive measures helps in reducing the number of cases and controlling the spread of corona virus disease	666	97.9	9	1.3	5	0.7
Self-efficacy						
I can stay at home for the entire period of time specified by the ministry of health, and only go out if necessary	535	78.7	81	11.9	64	9.4
If I have gloves, I can stick to wearing them whenever I go out	583	85.7	57	8.4	40	5.9
If I have masks, I trust my ability to commit to wearing them whenever I go out	623	91.6	39	5.7	18	2.6
I find it difficult to adhere to social distancing, even in non-crowded places	278	40.9	75	11.0	327	48.1

SA: Strongly agree

SD: Strongly disagree

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1: Mean percent score of the Health Belief model domains and intention

Table 3 shows the correlation between intention and the different parameters of the HBM. Intention was significantly correlated with all the parameters of the model. The five parameters of the model were significantly positively correlated except for perceived barriers which, expectedly, showed a significant negative correlation with intention and with all the other parameters of the HBM. Self- efficacy had the strongest positive correlation with intention to adhere to preventive measures (r =0.589) followed by perceived benefits (r =0.490). Intuitively, this means that the more confident the person is in his ability to perform the behavior (adhere to protective measures), the more likely he feels he would perform the behavior. However, intention is influenced by other factors as well including perceived benefits and perceived severity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(Table 3) Correlation between Health Belief Model constructs and intention (n = 680)

	P susc	**P sev**	**P bar**	**P ben**	**SE**	**INT**
Perceived susceptibility (P susc)	-					
Perceived severity (P sev)	0.452*	-				
Perceived barriers (P bar)	-0.331*	-0.336*	-			
Perceived benefits (P ben)	0.375*	0.372*	-0.258*	-		
Self-efficacy (SE)	0.210*	0.274*	-0.400*	0.456*	-	
Intention (INT)	0.229*	0.317*	-0.304*	0.490*	0.589*	-

1- r: Pearson's coefficient

2- *: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

Table 4 shows the multivariate linear regression analysis of intention of participants to adhere to the protective measures, with some independent variables. After adjustment for all possible confounders, it was found that the significant predictors of intention were: gender (β =3.34, P<0.001), self-efficacy (β= 0.476, P<0.001), perceived benefits (β= 0.349, P<0.001) and perceived severity (β= 0.113, P=0.005). These factors explained 43% of the variance in participants' intention to adhere to the protective measures. Participants who were female, confident in their ability to adhere to the protective measures when available, believing in the benefits of the protective measures against COVID-19 and perceiving that the disease could have serious consequences were more likely to be willing to adhere to the protective measures.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table (4): Summary of multivariate linear regression analysis for the parameters affecting intention

Parameters	#Multivariate	
	p	B (95%C.I)
Sex(1=male/ 2= female)	**<0.001***	3.342 (1.592 – 5.091)
Income	**0.196**	0.858 (-0.443 – 2.159)
Perceived susceptibility	**0.489**	0.027 (-0.104 – 0.050)
Perceived severity	**0.005***	0.113 (0.035 – 0.192)
Perceived barriers	**0.730**	-0.013 (-0.087 –0.061)
Perceived benefits	**<0.001***	0.349 (0.250 – 0.449)
Self-efficacy	**<0.001***	0.476 (0.400 – 0.552)

R^2=0.434, F=73.522*,p<0.001*

Discussion

This study focused on identifying the health beliefs influencing intention to adhere to precautionary behavior against the novel corona virus pandemic in Sudan. While suffering the burdens of civil war, political instability and economic meltdown(13)Sudan, like all other countries, was hit by the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite the unfavorable political and economic conditions and to our surprise respondents showed high intention to adhere to the protective measures against COVID-19. Most of the respondents were relatively young which offers some explanation to their readiness to accept the change and fight their battle against COVID-19. Evidently, females were more likely to be willing to adhere to the protective measures against the disease. This finding was supported by several studies (14)(15)(16)(17)(18) which all agreed that females were more compliant to health-related guidelines than males. Intuitively, health educators should invest more effort in educating females who would, in turn, act as change agents influencing their social networks.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Regarding health beliefs, participants scored high on their health beliefs about adherence to the protective measures. It seems that the world wide awareness-raising campaigns played an important role in increasing peoples' knowledge of the virus and helped them acquire a more negative attitude towards the disease and a more positive attitude towards adopting the protective measures against the disease. Hence, most participants in the present study believed in being susceptible to the disease whether directly through their contact with infected people or indirectly through their contact with virus-contaminated surfaces or tools. However, a non-negligible proportion of participants neither agreed nor disagreed that the hot climate in Sudan killed the virus and believed that the Sudanese citizen had strong immunity and therefore was less likely to contract the disease. Such findings should draw the attention of the health authorities in Sudan to the need to provide credible information to correct the misunderstanding and clear the ambiguity people might have about the disease. Nonetheless, it seems that the role of perceived susceptibility was not very evident in enhancing participants' intention to adhere to the protective measures.

An intriguing result was that among health beliefs, self-efficacy-and not perceived barriers- was the most significant predictor of intention. Feeling capable of adhering to protective measures against COVID-19 has strongly influenced participants' intention to do so. This finding highlights the importance of enhancing people's confidence in their ability to adhere to protective measures by disseminating credible information about these measures: what they are, where to be found, when and how to be used. Moreover, they should be affordable and accessible. Additionally, enforcing rules concerning social distancing and wearing masks would transform these precautionary measures into accepted social norms, thus, encouraging people and giving them the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

confidence to adhere to such measures. In his Social Cognitive theory, Albert Bandura described self-efficacy as the most important pre-requisite for behavior change(19)(20). Indeed, a considerable amount of research revealed that self-efficacy was a significant predictor of health behavior (21), (22), (23) lending support to our findings.

Examination of correlation analyses revealed that intention to adhere to the protective measures was also influenced by perceived benefits of the protective measures and perceived severity of the disease, in the mentioned order. These findings should direct our efforts to the priority areas needed to be addressed while educating people about the importance of adherence to the protective measures. Undoubtedly, knowing the role of each protective measure in protecting people from being infected by the novel Corona virus and from the possible serious consequences of the disease would encourage them to adhere to these protective measures. Previous research has shown perceived benefits and perceived severity to be significant predictors of preventive health behavior(24)(25)(26)(27).

Several barriers to adherence were documented in the current study, most important of which were the high prices of masks/gloves, their unavailability in the market and the absence of rules governing the distance between citizens in public places and public transportation. As regards staying at home, the most important constraints were bringing family needs and work demands. Despite not being a significant predictor of intention, these barriers should not be overlooked. In fact, resolving these barriers would be an avenue to enhancing people's self-efficacy and perceived controllability of adherence behavior. Ultimately, emphasis on some HBM constructs does not mean that we should

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ignore other constructs to achieve synergetic effect. In contradiction to our findings, several studies found perceived barriers to be a significant predictor of preventive health behaviors including immunization and screening behaviors(25)(28)(29)(30).

Implications for research and practice

This research could be an important guide in designing health education messages to enhance adherence to the protective measures againstCOVID-19. Educational messages should essentially focus on improving people's self-efficacy in adhering to the protective measures. Moreover, more efforts should be done in targeting females who would act as influential change agents among their social networks.

Limitations of the study

This study has some limitations. Cues to action were not assessed in this study in order to avoid a long questionnaire, however, knowing the triggers instigating participants to adhere to the protective measures would have certainly added to this work. We used the convenience sampling technique; hence generalization of data would not be possible. Nonetheless, the present research was able to shed important light on some of the factors influencing participants' intention to adhere to the protective measures in Sudan. A subjective tool -self-report questionnaire -was used to collect data; therefore, participants' responses might be affected by social desirability.

Conclusions

Female participants and participants having higher self-efficacy, higher perceived benefits and higher perceived severity were more likely to be willing to adhere to the protective measures against COVID-19 in Sudan. The Health Belief model was a useful

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

tool in predicting the beliefs affecting participants' intention to adhere to the protective measures.

Abbreviations

WHO: World Health organization.

SARS: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome.

MERS: Middle East Respiratory Syndrome**.**

PPE: Personal Protective Equipment**.**

NO: Number.

MPS: Mean Percent Scores**.**

HBM: Health belief Model.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University, Egypt in 8/9/2020. The questionnaire was preceded by a cover letter explaining the aim of the study followed by an invitation to participate. The anonymity and confidentiality of participants were guaranteed. Online submission of the questionnaire was considered as consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. The manuscript does not include any individual person's data in any form (including individual details, images or videos).

Availability of data and materials

Data and questionnaire are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Funding

The study was not funded by any agency or organization. Design of the study and collection, analysis and interpretation of data and writing the manuscript were financed by the researchers.

Authors' contributions

The concept for this research was developed by YE and AM. YE and AM designed the Data collection tool, collected the data, developed the draft and prepared the manuscript with an important contribution from DL. All the authors have read and agreed to the final manuscripts.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Zhou P, Yang X Lou, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020 Mar 12;579(7798):270–3.

2. Okba NMA, Müller MA, Li W, Wang C, Geurtsvankessel CH, Corman VM, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2-Specific Antibody Responses in Coronavirus Disease Patients. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Jul 1;26(7):1478–88.

3. Peiris JSM, Guan Y, Yuen KY. Severe acute respiratory syndrome. Vol. 10, Nature Medicine. Nature Publishing Group; 2004. p. S88–97.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus ADME, Fouchier RAM. Isolation of a Novel Coronavirus from a Man with Pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2012 Nov 8 [cited 2020 Sep 16];367(19):1814–20. Available from: http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa1211721

5. Infection Control: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) | CDC [Internet]. [cited 2020 Sep 16]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/infection-control-recommendations.html

6. Wu R, Wang L, Kuo HCD, Shannar A, Peter R, Chou PJ, et al. An Update on Current Therapeutic Drugs Treating COVID-19. Vol. 6, Current Pharmacology Reports. Springer; 2020. p. 56–70.

7. Kavanagh MM, Singh R. Democracy, Capacity, and Coercion in Pandemic Response—COVID 19 in Comparative Political Perspective. J Health Polit Policy Law. 2020 May 28;

8. Cash R, Patel V. Has COVID-19 subverted global health? Lancet. 2020 May 30;395(10238):1687–8.

9. Akim A, Ayivodji F. Interaction Effect of Lockdown with Economic and Fiscal Measures against COVID-19 on Social-Distancing Compliance: Evidence from Africa. SSRN Electron J. 2020 Jun 9;

10. Rosenstock IM. The Health Belief Model and Preventive Health Behavior. Health Educ Monogr [Internet]. 1974 Dec 1 [cited 2020 Sep 16];2(4):354–86. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/109019817400200405

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

11. Redding C, Rossi J, Rossi S, Velicer W, Prochaska J. Health Behavior Models. 2000.

12. Kamal M, El-Borgy M, Wahba M. Application of Health Belief Model for Hygienic Behavior of Mothers of Hospitalized Children in Alexandria. J High Inst Public Heal. 2017 Apr 1;47(1):13–21.

13. Elhadi YAM, Adebisi YA, Hassan KF, Mohammed SEE, Lin X, Lucero-Prisno III DE. The formidable task of fighting COVID-19 in Sudan. Pan Afr Med J. 2020 Aug 7;35.

14. Clark C, Davila A, Regis M, Kraus S. Predictors of COVID-19 voluntary compliance behaviors: An international investigation. Glob Transitions. 2020 Jun 1;2:76–82.

15. Almutairi AF, Mustafa AB, Alessa YM, Almutairi SB, Almaleh Y. Public trust and compliance with the precautionary measures against COVID-19 employed by authorities in Saudi Arabia. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2020;13:753–60.

16. Solomou I, Constantinidou F. Prevalence and Predictors of Anxiety and Depression Symptoms during the COVID-19 Pandemic and Compliance with Precautionary Measures: Age and Sex Matter. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Jul 8 [cited 2020 Sep 5];17(14):4924. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/14/4924

17. Vázquez-Nava F, Vazquez-Rodriguez EM, Vazquez-Rodriguez CF, Ortega Betancourt N V., Castillo Ruiz O, Rodríguez Castillejos GC. Risk factors of non-adherence to guidelines for the prevention of COVID-19 among young adults

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with asthma in a region with a high risk of a COVID-19 outbreak. J Asthma [Internet]. 2020 Sep 2 [cited 2020 Sep 5];1–11. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02770903.2020.1818774

18. Villela EF de M, Lopez RVM, Sato APS, Oliveira FM de, Waldman EA, Bergh R Van den, et al. COVID-19 outbreak in Brazil: Adherence to national preventive measures and impact on people's lives. 2020 Jul 12;

19. Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K (Kasisomayajula). Health behavior : theory, research, and practice. 485 p.

20. Rosenstock IM, Strecher VJ, Becker MH. Social Learning Theory and the Health Belief Model. Heal Educ Behav [Internet]. 1988 Jun 4 [cited 2020 Sep 16];15(2):175–83. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/109019818801500203

21. Carpenter CJ. A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of health belief model variables in predicting behavior. Health Commun. 2010 Dec;25(8):661–9.

22. Kaufman AR, Dwyer LA, Land SR, Klein WMP, Park ER. Smoking-related health beliefs and smoking behavior in the National Lung Screening Trial. Addict Behav. 2018 Sep 1;84:27–32.

23. Tavafian SS, Hasani L, Aghamolaei T, Zare S, Gregory D. Prediction of breast self-examination in a sample of Iranian women: An application of the Health Belief Model. BMC Womens Health [Internet]. 2009 Dec 29 [cited 2020 Sep 16];9(1):37. Available from: http://bmcwomenshealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6874-9-37

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

24. Dempsey AF, Brewer SE, Sevick C, Pyrzanowski J, Mazzoni S, O'Leary ST. Tdap vaccine attitudes and utilization among pregnant women from a high-risk population. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2016 Apr 2;12(4):872–8.

25. Donadiki EM, Jiménez-García R, Hernández-Barrera V, Sourtzi P, Carrasco-Garrido P, López de Andrés A, et al. Health Belief Model applied to non-compliance with HPV vaccine among female university students. Public Health. 2014;128(3):268–73.

26. Chen MF, Wang RH, Schneider JK, Tsai CT, Dah-Shyong Jiang D, Hung MN, et al. Using the health belief model to understand caregiver factors influencing childhood influenza vaccinations. J Community Health Nurs. 2011;28(1):29–40.

27. Lee M, You M. Psychological and Behavioral Responses in South Korea During the Early Stages of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Apr 25 [cited 2020 Sep 16];17(9):2977. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/9/2977

28. Mehanna A, Ali MH, Kharboush I. Knowledge and health beliefs of reproductive-age women in Alexandria about tetanus toxoid immunization. J Egypt Public Health Assoc [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2020 Sep 16];95(1):22. Available from: https://jepha.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s42506-020-00049-8

29. Abolfotouh MA, Banimustafa AA, Mahfouz AA, Al-Assiri MH, Al-Juhani AF, Alaskar AS. Using the health belief model to predict breast self examination among Saudi women Health behavior, health promotion and society. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2015 Nov 23 [cited 2020 Sep 16];15(1):1163. Available

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859; this version posted December 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

from: http://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-015-2510-y

30. Ma GX, Gao W, Fang CY, Tan Y, Feng Z, Ge S, et al. Health beliefs associated with cervical cancer screening among Vietnamese Americans. J Women's Heal. 2013 Mar 1;22(3):276–88.