MODELLING THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF MEDIA CAMPAIGNS ON THE CONTROL OF LISTERIOSIS

C.W. Chukwu[1,a], and F. Nyabadza[1,b]

[1] Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park Kingsway Campus, PO Box 524, 2006, Johannesburg, South Africa

[a]cwochukwu@uj.ac.za, [b]fnyabadza@uj.ac.za

Keywords: Media campaigns, Equilibria, Listeria, Simulations, Food contamination constant.

Abstract. The human Listeria infection is a food-borne disease caused by the consumption of contaminated food products by the bacterial pathogen, Listeria. In this paper, we propose a mathematical model to analyze the impact of media campaigns on the spread and control of Listeriosis. The model exhibited three equilibria namely; disease-free, Listeria-free and endemic equilibria. The food contamination constant $(\mathcal{R}_f)$ is determined and the local stability analyses of the model discussed. Sensitivity analysis is done to determine the model parameters that most affect the severity of the disease. Numerical simulations were carried out to assess the role of media campaigns on the Listeriosis spread. The results show that; an increase in the intensity of the media awareness campaigns, the removal rate of contaminated food products, a decrease in the contact rate of Listeria by humans results in fewer humans getting infected, thus leading to the disease eradication. An increase in the depletion of media awareness campaigns results in more humans being infected with Listeriosis. These findings may significantly impact policy and decision making in the control of Listeriosis disease.

Introduction

Listeriosis is a serious and severe food-borne disease that affects the human population globally. The disease is caused by a bacteria called *Listeria monocytogenes* which exists in the environment as its primary host (soil, water, ready-to-eat (RTE) foods and contaminated food products) [1,2]. Human beings contract Listeriosis through the ingestion of contaminated RTE food products such as cantaloupes, meat, Ricotta Salata cheese, vegetables, polony, bean sprouts, ham, or directly from the environment [3,4]. The epidemiology of Listeriosis is clearly articulated in [1,4,14].

Before the 2017 outbreak in South Africa, an average of 60 to 80 confirmed Listeriosis disease cases were recorded annually (i.e., approximately 1 case per week). The recent outbreak in South Africa, which occurred from 1 January 2017 to 17 July 2018, had 1 060 confirmed cases, with 216 (26.8%) deaths. This was the world's largest ever documented Listeriosis outbreak [6,7]. The source of the disease was traced to be contaminated RTE processed meat products. Also, Listeriosis outbreaks resulting from human consumption of different kinds of contaminated RTE food products occur commonly in the United States of America, Canada and Europe [3].

Media campaigns and media-driven awareness programs such as print media, social media, internet, television, radio and advertisements play an essential role in disseminating information about the spread of infectious disease outbreaks [8,9]. In particular, they influence individuals and the public health care systems' behavior in the implementation of control strategies to contain the spread of diseases. Dissemination of information educates people and helps them take precautionary measures such as; practising better hygiene; factory workers wearing clean gloves to avoid cross-contamination of food products

during food productions/manufacturing.

In recent times, researchers have used mathematical models to describe the effect and impact of media campaigns or several awareness programs on the dynamics of infectious and non-infectious diseases such as Ebola [10], Human Immunodeficiency Virus [15], Human Influenza [16] etc. Misra et al. [17], used a non-linear mathematical model which assumed that due to awareness programs by media, once the population becomes aware of the disease spread, they avoid contacts with the infectives and therefore form a new class of individuals called the aware class, who become susceptible again if their awareness wanes over time. The model analysis revealed that the number of infectives decreases with an increase in media campaigns. Kaur [18], extended the work by Misra by assuming that aware susceptibles do not lose awareness but can also interact with infected individuals and get infected, albeit at a lower rate. Their study suggests that with the increase in the rate of implementation of awareness programs via media, there is a subsequent decline in the number of infected in any targeted population under consideration. Authors in [10] used a mathematical model to describe the transmission dynamics of Ebola in the presence of asymptomatic cases and the impact of media campaigns on the disease transmission was represented by a linearly decreasing function. Their results show that messages sent through media have a more significant effect on reducing Ebola cases if they are more effective and spaced out. An $SIRS$ model was proposed in [19] to investigate the impact of awareness programs by considering private and public awareness, which reduces the contact rate between unaware and aware population and the effect/impact of public information campaigns on disease prevalence. It was shown that both private and public awareness could reduce the size of epidemic outbreaks. A smoking cessation model with media campaigns was presented [20]. The results show that the reproduction number is suppressed when media campaigns that focus on smoking cessation is increased. Thus, spreading information to encourage smokers to quit smoking was an effective intervention. According to [21], an $SIRS$ model was used to analyze the role of information and limited optimal treatment on disease prevalence. The model considered the growth rate of information proportional to a saturated function of infected individuals. The results from the mathematical analysis show that the combined effects of information and treatment are more effective and economical during an infection. Exponential functions have also been used to model the impact of media awareness campaigns on peoples behaviour, which affects the evolution of infectious diseases [22, 23]. In particular, the effects of Twitter messages on reducing the transmission rate of the influenza virus was studied in [23]. The result reveals that Twitter messages had a substantial influence on the dynamics of influenza disease spread.

To date, there are very few mathematical models on the dynamics of Listeriosis (see, for instance, [11–14]), let alone those investigating the potential role of media awareness campaigns on the dynamics of Listeriosis. This paper is motivated by the work done in [17]. We formulate a mathematical model to study the impact and effects of media campaigns on the dynamics of Listeriosis disease resulting from only consumption of contaminated RTE in the human population. We describe the model in detail in the following section.

Model description

The human population is divided into four sub-classes, viz: Susceptibles $S_h(t)$, aware susceptibles $S_a(t)$, the infected $I_h(t)$ and the recovered $R_h(t)$. Individuals are recruited at a rate proportional to the size of the human population $N(t)$ where

$$N(t) = S_h(t) + S_a(t) + I_h(t) + R_h(t).$$

The rate is given by $\mu_h N(t)$ where μ_h is natural birth/mortality rate. Upon infection with Listeria from contaminated food, the susceptibles move into the infectious class $I_h(t)$ at a rate $\lambda_h(t)$, where $\lambda_h(t) = \beta_{f_1} F_c$ with β_{f_1} being the rate at which humans gets infected and $F_c(t)$ the contaminated food products. Here, $\lambda_h(t)$ describes the force of infection by consuming contaminated food products. The susceptible individuals can also move to the aware class at a rate ρ as a result of the interaction with the media campaigns. We assume that media campaigns wane over time and the aware individuals can revert to being susceptible again at a rate ω_1. It is important to note that awareness programs are assumed to only target the population at risk of infection in a bid to curtail or reduce the infection rate. The infected individuals recover at a rate γ with immunity after treatment. These individuals who recover after some time can also lose their immunity and become susceptible again at a rate δ_h [5, 14]. We assume a constant human population $N(t)$ which consist of those individuals who do not work in the factory over the modelling time. Further, we assume that aware individuals can not be infected as their awareness protects them from contracting the disease. Given that the bacteria survive even at 4^0C, it can die or grow in its host or the environment at significantly low temperatures. Let r_l denote the net growth rate of Listeria, $L(t)$. Our model assumes a logistic growth of Listeria with carrying capacity K_L. The non-contaminated food products $F_n(t)$ can be contaminated as a result of interaction with the bacteria from the environment that comes, via the workers, exchange of gloves or utensils during food manufacturing and also through the contact with contaminated food $F_c(t)$ at a rate $\lambda_f(t)$, where $\lambda_f(t) = \beta_L L(t) + \beta_{f_2} F_c(t)$. The parameters β_L and β_{f_2} are the contact rate of Listeria and the contamination rate of non-contaminated food by contaminated food products, respectively. The contaminated food products are then responsible for transmitting Listeriosis disease to the human population through ingestion of the contaminated food products. The total amount of food products, $F(t)$, at any given time is given by

$$F(t) = F_n(t) + F_c(t),$$

where θ_f is the rate of food processing and μ_f the rate of removal of food products through consumption. Let M_a be the cumulative density of media campaigns with maximum intensity, M, at which media awareness campaigns are implemented, π_0 the rate of implementation of the media awareness campaigns and μ_0 the rate of depletion of media awareness campaigns.



Fig. 1: Model flow diagram. The solid arrows represents the transitions between the compartments while the dotted lines represents the influences on the solid arrows and the compartments respectively.

The above model descriptions, parameters and Figure 1 gives the following systems of non-linear ordinary differential equations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS_h}{dt} &= \mu_h N + \delta_h R_h + \omega_1 S_a - \lambda_h S_h - \mu_h S_h - \rho M_a S_h,\\
\frac{dS_a}{dt} &= \rho M_a S_h - (\omega_1 + \mu_h) S_a,\\
\frac{dI_h}{dt} &= \lambda_h S_h - (\mu_h + \gamma) I_h,\\
\frac{dR_h}{dt} &= \gamma I_h - (\mu_h + \delta_h) R_h,\\
\frac{dL}{dt} &= r_l L\left(1 - \frac{L}{K_L}\right),\\
\frac{dM_a}{dt} &= \pi_0 I_h - \mu_0 M_a,\\
\frac{dF_n}{dt} &= \theta_f - \lambda_f F_n - \mu_f F_n,\\
\frac{dF_c}{dt} &= \lambda_f F_n - \mu_f F_c.
\end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{1}$$

All parameters for the model system (1) are assumed to be non-negative for all time $t > 0$. By setting

$$s_h = \frac{S_h}{N}, \quad s_a = \frac{S_a}{N}, \quad i_h = \frac{I_h}{N}, \quad l = \frac{L}{K_L}, \quad m_a = \frac{M_a}{M}, \quad f_n = \frac{F_n}{F}, \quad f_c = \frac{F_c}{F}$$

and given that $R_h(t) = N(t) - S_h(t) - S_a(t) - I_h(t)$ we have

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{ds_h}{dt} &= \mu_h + \delta_h(1 - s_h - s_a - i_h) + \omega_1 s_a - (\tilde{\lambda}_h + \mu_h + \tilde{\rho} m_a) s_h, \\
\frac{ds_a}{dt} &= \tilde{\rho} m_a s_h - (\omega_1 + \mu_h) s_a, \\
\frac{di_h}{dt} &= \tilde{\lambda}_h s_h - (\mu_h + \gamma) i_h, \\
\frac{dl}{dt} &= r_l l(1 - l), \\
\frac{dm_a}{dt} &= \pi i_h - \mu_0 m_a, \\
\frac{df_n}{dt} &= \tilde{\theta}_f - (\tilde{\lambda}_f + \mu_f) f_n, \\
\frac{df_c}{dt} &= \tilde{\lambda}_f f_n - \mu_f f_c,
\end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{2}$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_h = \beta_1 f_c, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_f = \beta_2 l + \beta_3 f_c, \quad \tilde{\theta}_f = \frac{\theta_f}{F}, \quad \alpha = \frac{N}{M}, \quad \tilde{\rho} = \rho M, \quad \pi = \pi_0 \alpha,$$

with $\beta_1 = \beta_{f_1} F, \beta_2 = \beta_l K_L, \beta_3 = \beta_{f_2} F$ and initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_h(0) = s_{h0} > 0, \ s_a(0) = s_{a0} > 0, \ i_h(0) = i_{h0} \geq 0, \ l(0) = l_0 \geq 0, \\
&m_a(0) = m_{a0} \geq 0, \ f_n(0) = f_{n0} \geq 0, \ f_c(0) = f_{c0} \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Model properties and analysis

In this section, we prove the positivity of the solutions and boundedness given the initial conditions (3) and find the steady states of the model (2). Some methods in mathematical biology have also been used to describe properties of solutions (see [24–27] for a detailed discussion on methods for positivity of solutions, boundedness and preservation of steady states).

Positivity of Solutions

First, we state the following Lemma as given in [28].

Lemma 1. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \times C^n$ is open, $f_i \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. If*

$$f_i|_{x_i(t)=0, X_t \in C^n_{0+}} \geq 0, \quad X_t = (x_{1t}, \cdots, x_{nt})^T \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

then C^n_{+0} is the invariant domain of the following equations

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = f_i(t, X_t), \;\; t \geq 0, \; i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{4}$$

If $f_i|_{x_i(t)=0, X_t \in C^n_{-0}} \leq 0, \;\; X_t = (x_{1t}, \cdots, x_{nt})^T \;\; i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then C^n_{-0} is the invariant domain of equations (4).

We have the following Theorem on the invariance of system (2).

Theorem 2. *Each solution $(s_h(t), s_a(t), I_h(t), l(t), m_a(t), f_n(t), f_c(t))$ of the model system (2) with the non-negative initial conditions (3) is non-negative for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. Let $X = (s_h, s_a, I_h, l, m_a, f_n, f_c)^T$ and

$$g(X) = (g_1(X), g_2(X), g_3(X), g_4(X), g_5(X), g_6(X), g_7(X))^T,$$

then we can re-write the model system (2) as follows:

$$\dot{X} = g(X)$$

where

$$g(X) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(X) \\ g_2(X) \\ g_3(X) \\ g_4(X) \\ g_5(X) \\ g_6(X) \\ g_7(X) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_h + \delta_h(1 - s_h - s_a - i_h) + \omega_1 s_a - (\tilde{\lambda}_h + \mu_h + \tilde{\rho} m_a) s_h \\ \tilde{\rho} m_a s_h - (\omega_1 + \mu_h) s_a \\ \tilde{\lambda}_h s_h - (\mu_h + \gamma) i_h \\ r_l l(1 - l) \\ \pi_0 \alpha i_h - \mu_0 m_a \\ \tilde{\theta}_f - (\tilde{\lambda}_f + \mu_f) f_n \\ \tilde{\lambda}_f f_n - \mu_f f_c \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

From (5), setting all the classes to zero, we have that

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{ds_h(t)}{dt}|_{s_h=0} = (\mu_h + \omega_1 s_a) > 0, \frac{ds_a(t)}{dt}|_{s_a=0} = \tilde{\rho} m_a s_h > 0, \;\; \frac{di_h(t)}{dt}|_{i_h=0} = \tilde{\lambda}_h s_h > 0, \\ \frac{dl(t)}{dt}|_{l=0} = 0, \frac{dm_a(t)}{dt}|_{m_a=0} = \pi_0 \alpha i_h > 0, \;\; \frac{df_n(t)}{dt}|_{f_n=0} = \tilde{\theta}_f > 0, \;\; \frac{df_c(t)}{dt}|_{f_c=0} = \tilde{\lambda}_f f_n > 0. \end{array}$$

Thus, it follows that from Lemma 1 that $\mathbb{R}^7_+$ is an invariant set and positive.

Existence and uniqueness of uolutions

We now show that the solutions of systems (2) are bounded. We thus have the following result.

Theorem 3. *The solutions of model system (2) are contained in the region $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^7_+$, which is given by*

$$\Omega = \left\{ (s_h, s_a, i_h, l, m_a, f_n, f_c) \in \mathbb{R}^7_+ : 0 \leq s_h + s_a + i_h \leq 1, 0 \leq l \leq 1, 0 \leq m_a \leq \frac{\pi}{\mu_0}, 0 \leq f_n + f_c \leq \frac{\tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f} \right\},$$

for the initial conditions (3) in Ω.

Proof. Considering the total change in the human population from the model system (2) given by

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \mu_h(1 - s_h - s_a - i_h) + \delta_h(1 - s_h - s_a - i_h) - \gamma i_h, \tag{6}$$

for $n = s_h + s_a + i_h \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn}{dt} &= \mu_h(1-n) + \delta_h(1-n) - \gamma i_h, \\ &\leq (\mu_h + \delta_h)(1-n), \end{aligned}$$

whose solution is

$$n(t) \leq 1 - n(0)\exp[-(\mu_h + \delta_h)t],$$

where $n(0) = s_h(0) + s_a(0) + i_h(0)$ is the initial condition. We note that $0 \leq n \leq 1 - n(0)e^{-(\mu_h+\delta_h)t}$, so that $n(t)$ is bounded provided that $n(0) \geq 0$.
The equation

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r_l l(1-l)$$

for the Listeria compartment has a standard solution for a logistic equation

$$l(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \Theta_1 \exp[-r_l t]},$$

which is bounded with $\Theta_1 = \exp[-c]$, where c is a constant.
On the other hand, the total change in the amount of food products resulting from summing the last two equations of (2) is given by

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \tilde{\theta}_f - \mu_f f,$$

whose solution is

$$f_c(t) = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f} - \Theta_2 \exp[-\mu_f t],$$

where $A_0 = \exp[-\mu_f t]$ and $\Theta_2 = \frac{A_0}{\mu_f}$. Here, $f_c(t) \leq \frac{\theta_f}{\mu_f}$, hence it is bounded above. We thus, conclude that all the solutions of system (2) are bounded, biologically feasible and remains in Ω for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. This completes the proof.

Listeriosis steady states analysis
The steady states of the model system (2) are obtained by equating the right side of equations (2) to

zero, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_h + \delta_h(1 - s_h^* - s_a^* - i_h^*) + \omega_1 s_a^* - (\beta_1 f_c^* + \mu_h + \tilde{\rho} m_a^*) s_h^* &= 0, \\
\tilde{\rho} m_a^* s_h^* - (\omega_1 + \mu_h) s_a^* &= 0, \\
\beta_1 f_c^* s_h^* - (\mu_h + \gamma) i_h^* &= 0, \\
r_l l^*(1 - l^*) &= 0, \\
\pi i_h^* - \mu_0 m_a^* &= 0, \\
\tilde{\theta}_f - (\beta_2 l^* + \beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f) f_n^* &= 0, \\
(\beta_2 l^* + \beta_3 f_c^*) f_n^* - \mu_f f_c^* &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From the fourth equation of system (7), we have $l^* = 0$ or $l^* = 1$. We consider the two cases separately. **CASE A:** If $l^* = 0$, (i.e. if there is no Listeria in the environment) then from the second last equation of (7) we have that

$$f_n^* = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_f}{\beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f}. \tag{8}$$

Substituting (8) into the last equation of (7) we obtain

$$\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f f_c^* - \mu_f f_c^* (\beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f) \quad = 0,$$

and upon simplification we have

$$f_c^* = 0 \ \text{ or } \ f_c^* = \tfrac{\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f - \mu_f^2}{\beta_3 \mu_f}. \tag{9}$$

Thus, if $l^* = 0$ then $f_c^* = 0, \tilde{\lambda}_h = \tilde{\lambda}_f = 0$, $f_n^* = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f}$ and $i_h^* = 0, m_a^* = 0, s_a^* = 0$. Also, from the first equation of (7)

$$s_h^* = 1$$

This results in the disease free steady states (DFS) given by

$$E_0^* = \left(s_h^*, s_a^*, i_h^*, l_0^*, m_a^*, f_n^*, f_c^*\right) = \left(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f}, 0\right).$$

On the other hand, from (9), we have

$$f_c^* \quad = \quad \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}\left(\frac{\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f^2} - 1\right) = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}\left(\mathcal{R}_f - 1\right).$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_f = \frac{\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f^2}.$$

We thus have the following result on the existence of f_c^*.

Lemma 4. *The existence of f_c^* is subject to $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$.*

However, we note that $\frac{\beta_3\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f^2} = \frac{\beta_3}{\mu_f}.\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f}$, where $\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f}$ is the equilibrium size of the non-contaminated food products with $\frac{1}{\mu_f}$ as the duration of food contamination. So, $\mathcal{R}_f$ can be defined as the "food contamination constant" that measures the growth of contaminated food due to the contamination of uncontaminated food by contaminated food products. This is equivalent to the basic reproduction number $(\mathcal{R}_0)$ in disease modelling, see [29].
If $f_c^* = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathcal{R}_f - 1)$, then expressing the second, third and fifth equations of (7) in terms of i_h^* we obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} m_a^* &= \phi_0 i_h^*, \\ s_h^* &= \phi_1 i_h^*, \\ s_a^* &= \phi_2 {i_h^*}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\phi_0 = \frac{\pi}{\mu_0}$, $\phi_1 = \frac{\beta_3(\gamma+\mu_h)}{\beta_1\mu_f(\mathcal{R}_f-1)}$ and $\phi_2 = \frac{\tilde{\rho}\phi_0\phi_1}{(\mu_h+\omega_1)}$. We substitute equation (10) into the first equation of (7) and after some algebraic simplifications we obtain the following quadratic equation

$$\xi_2 {i_h^*}^2 + \xi_1 i_h^* + \xi_0 = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0 &= -\beta_3(\mu_h + \delta_h) < 0, \\ \xi_1 &= \gamma + \mu_h + \delta_h + \frac{\beta_3(\mu_h+\gamma)(\mu_h+\delta_h)}{\beta_1\mu_f(\mathcal{R}_f-1)} > 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{R}_f > 1, \\ \xi_2 &= \frac{\pi\tilde{\rho}\beta_3(\mu_h+\gamma)(\mu_h+\delta_h)}{\beta_1\mu_0\mu_f(\mu_h+\omega_1)(\mathcal{R}_f-1)} > 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{R}_f > 1. \end{aligned}$$

However, we note that the solutions of the quadratic equation (11) are given by

$$i_h^* = \frac{-\xi_1 \pm \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 4\xi_2\xi_0}}{2\xi_2}.$$

The solutions to (11) has one positive root when $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$. Biologically, this implies that the disease will persist and eventually invade the human population. This results in the Listeria steady states (LFS)

$$E_1^* = (s_h^*, s_a^*, l_0^*, m_a^*, f_n^*, f_c^*) = \left(\phi_1 i_h^*,\ \phi_2 i_h^*,\ 0,\ \phi_0 i_h^*,\ \frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f((\mathcal{R}_f-1)+1)},\ \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathcal{R}_f-1)\right).$$

We note that at Listeria DFS, there are contaminated food products which may result in Listeriosis infection in the human population.

Remark 1 *We note that, when $l^* = 0$, we have two steady states E_0^* and E_1^*. The existence of E_1^* is subject to the contaminated food food contamination constant $(\mathcal{R}_f)$ been greater than 1. As long as $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$, even without Listeria in the environment, we will have the disease in the human population.*

CASE B: If $l^* = 1$, then from last equation of (7) solving for new f_n^*, we have that

$$f_n^{*+} = \frac{\mu_f f_c^*}{\beta_3 f_c^* + \beta_2}. \tag{12}$$

Substituting (12), into second last equation of (7) we obtain the following expression in terms of f_c^* after some algebraic simplifications

$$\nu_2 f_c^{*2} + \nu_1 f_c^* + \nu_0 = 0, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_0 &= -\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f < 0, \\
\nu_1 &= \mu_f(\beta_2 + \mu_f)\big(1 - \tfrac{\beta_3 \tilde{\theta}_f}{\mu_f(\beta_2+\mu_f)}\big), \\
\nu_2 &= \mu_f \beta_3 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the quadratic equation (13) given by

$$f_c^* = \frac{-\nu_1 \pm \sqrt{\nu_1^2 - 4\nu_2\nu_0}}{2\nu_2},$$

has one positive root irrespective of the signs of ν_1. The solutions of f_c^* say f_c^{*+} exists and it is the positive root of (13). Hence, as long as $l^* = 1$, we have a positive f_c^{*+}.
Now, we express the second, third and fifth equation of (7) in terms of i_h^*, and obtain the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
m_a^* &= \Psi_0 i_h^*, \\
s_h^* &= \Psi_1 i_h^*, \\
s_a^* &= \Psi_2 i_h^{*2},
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

respectively, where $\Psi_0 = \frac{\pi}{\mu_0}$, $\Psi_1 = \frac{(\gamma+\mu_h)}{\beta_1 f_c^+}$ and $\Psi_2 = \frac{\tilde{\rho}\Psi_0\Psi_1}{\mu_h+\omega_1}$. Similarly, substituting all the expressions from equations (14) into the first equation of (7), and after some algebraic manipulations we obtain the following quadratic equation in terms of i_h^*

$$\xi_5 i_h^{*2} + \xi_4 i_h^* + \xi_3 = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_3 &= -(\mu_h + \delta_h) < 0, \\
\xi_4 &= \frac{(\mu_h + \gamma)(\mu_h + \delta_h) + \beta_1 f_c^{*+}(\delta_h + \mu_h + \gamma)}{\beta_1 f_c^{*+}} > 0, \\
\xi_5 &= \frac{\pi\rho(\gamma + \mu_h)(\delta_h + \mu_h)}{\beta_1 \mu_0 f_c^{*+}(\mu_h + \omega_1)} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The solutions (i_h^*) of the quadratic equation (15) given by

$$i_h^* = \frac{-\xi_4 \pm \sqrt{\xi_4^2 - 4\xi_5\xi_3}}{2\xi_5},$$

exists and has one positive root. We thus have the following result on the existence of new i_h^* say i_h^{*+}.

Lemma 5. *The steady state i_h^{*+} exists whenever f_c^{*+} exists.*

This results in the endemic steady states (ESS) given by

$$E_2^* = \left(s_h^{*+}, s_a^{*+}, l_1^*, m_a^{*+}, f_n^{*+}\right) = \left(\Psi_1 i_h^{*+}, \Psi_2 i_h^{*+}, 1, \Psi_0 i_h^{*+}, \frac{\mu_f f_c^{*+}}{\beta_3 f_c^{*+} + \beta_2}\right).$$

Hence, at endemic steady state, there are contaminated food products which result in the persistence of the Listeria infections in the human population.

Local stability of the disease free steady state

To analyse local stability of the DFS, we show that the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix at DFS have negative real parts. We now state the following theorem for the DFS.

Theorem 6. *The disease free steady state (E_0^*) is always unstable.*

Proof. The Jacobian of system (2) is given by the block matrix

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1 & A_2 \\ \hline A_3 & A_4 \end{array}\right), \tag{16}$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -(\delta_h + \tilde{\rho} m_a^*) & -\delta_h + \omega_1 & -\delta_h & 0 \\ \tilde{\rho} m_a^* & -(\omega_1 + \mu_h) & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 f_c^* & 0 & -(\mu_h + \gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_l - 2r_l l^* \end{pmatrix}, \; A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_2 f_n^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_2 f_n^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\rho} s_h^* & 0 & -\beta_1 s_h^* \\ \tilde{\rho} s_h^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_1 s_h^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathrm{A}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\beta_2 l^* + \beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f) & -\beta_3 f_n^* \\ 0 & \beta_2 l^* + \beta_3 f_c^* & \beta_3 f_n^* - \mu_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

Evaluating (16) at DFS we have that

$$J(E_0^*) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} J_1(E_0^*) & J_2(E_0^*) \\ \hline J_3(E_0^*) & J_4(E_0^*) \end{array}\right),$$

where

$$J_1(E_0^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_h & \omega_1 - \delta_h) & -\delta_h & 0 \\ 0 & -(\omega_1 + \mu_h) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\mu_h + \gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2(E_0^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\rho} & 0 & -\beta_1 \\ \tilde{\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3(E_0^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_0\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta_2\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_2\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J_4(E_0^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu_f & -\beta_3\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3\frac{\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f} - \mu_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of $J(E_0^*)$ are; $\lambda_1 = -\delta_h, \lambda_2 = -(\omega_1 + \mu_h), \lambda_3 = -(\mu_h + \gamma), \lambda_4 = r_l$, $\lambda_5 = -\mu_0$, $\lambda_6 = -\mu_f$ and $\lambda_7 = \mu_f(\mathcal{R}_f - 1)$. We note that since $r_l > 0$ one of the eigenvalues is positive are, hence E_0^* is unstable.

Local Stability of the Listeria free steady state

We state the following theorem for the local stability of Listeria free steady state.

Theorem 7. *The Listeria free steady state* (E_1^*) *is always unstable.*

Proof. Evaluating (16) at Listeria free steady state, we have that

$$J(E_1^*) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} J_1(E_1^*) & J_2(E_1^*) \\ \hline J_3(E_1^*) & J_4(E_1^*) \end{array}\right),$$

where

$$J_1(E_1^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\delta_h + \tilde{\rho}\phi_0 i_h^*) & -\delta_h + \omega_1 & -\delta_h & 0 \\ \tilde{\rho}\phi_0 i_h^* & -(\omega_1 + \mu_h) & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\beta_1\mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathcal{R}_f - 1) & 0 & -(\mu_h + \gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2(E_1^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\rho}\phi_1 i_h^* & 0 & -\beta_1\phi_1 i_h^* \\ \tilde{\rho}\phi_1 i_h^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_1\phi_1 i_h^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3(E_1^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_0\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-\beta_2\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f\mathcal{R}_f} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_2\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f\mathcal{R}_f} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J_4(E_1^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu_f\mathcal{R}_f & \frac{-\beta_3\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f\mathcal{R}_f} \\ 0 & \mu_f(\mathcal{R}_f - 1) & \frac{\beta_3\tilde{\theta_f}}{\mu_f\mathcal{R}_f} - \mu_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues from $J_1(E_1^*)$ are; $\lambda_1 = r_l > 0$ and the solutions to the cubic equation

$$\lambda^3 + a_2\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + a_0 = 0,$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\beta_3\mu_0}\Big(\beta_3(\gamma+\mu_h)[\pi\tilde{\rho}\mu_h i_h^* + \delta_h(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^* + \mu_0(\mu_h+\omega_1))] + (\beta_1\mu_0\mu_f\delta_h)(\mu_h+\omega_1)(\mathcal{R}_f - 1)\Big),$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_3\mu_0}\Big(\beta_3\Big(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^*(2\mu_h+\delta_h+\gamma) + \mu_0\Big((\mu_h+\gamma)(\mu_h+\omega_1) + \delta_h(2\mu_h+\omega_1+\gamma)\Big)\Big) + (\beta_1\mu_0\mu_f\delta_h)(\mathcal{R}_f - 1)\Big),$$

$$a_2 = 2\mu_h + \delta_h + \omega_1 + \gamma + \tfrac{\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^*}{\mu_0}.$$

Given that a_0, a_1 and a_2 are positive provided that $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$, we note that

$$a_2a_1 - a_0 = \frac{1}{\beta_3\mu_0^2}\Big(\Upsilon_0 + \beta_1\delta_h\mu_0\mu_f(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^* + \mu_0(\gamma+\delta_h+\mu_h))(\mathcal{R}_f - 1)\Big).$$

for

$$\Upsilon_0 = \beta_3(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^* + \mu_0(\delta_h+\mu_h+\omega_1))(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^*(\gamma+\delta_h+2\mu_h) + \mu_0(\gamma+\delta_h+\mu_h)(2\mu_h+\omega_1+\gamma)).$$

We also, note that $a_2a_1 - a_0 > 0$ if $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$. Hence, by the Routh-Hurwitz criterion the eigenvalues of $J(E_1^*)$ have negative real parts. The rest of the eigenvalues are determined from $J_4(E_1^*)$, which are; $\lambda_5 = -\mu_0$ and the solutions to the quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 + a_4\lambda + a_3 = 0,$$

where

$$a_3 = \mu_f^2(\mathcal{R}_f - 1) \text{ and } \mathrm{a}_4 = \mu_\mathrm{f}\mathcal{R}_\mathrm{f}.$$

We thus have $a_3 > 0$ if $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$ and a_4 is always positive. Also, the eigenvalues have negative real part by Routh-Hurwitz criteria. Therefore, since one of the eigenvalues of $J(E_1^*)$ is positive E_1^* is unstable.

Local stability of the endemic steady state

We now state the following theorem on the local stability of endemic steady state.

Theorem 8. *The endemic steady state* (E_2^*), *is always locally asymptotically stable if* $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$.

Proof. Evaluating (16) at endemic steady state, we have that

$$J(E_2^*) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} J_1(E_2^*) & J_2(E_2^*) \\ \hline J_3(E_2^*) & J_4(E_2^*) \end{array}\right),$$

where

$$J_1(E_2^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\delta_h + \tilde{\rho}\Psi_0 i_h^{*+}) & -\delta_h + \omega_1 & -\delta_h & 0 \\ \tilde{\rho}\Psi_0 i_h^{*+} & -(\omega_1+\mu_h) & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 f_n^{*+} & 0 & -(\mu_h+\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r_l \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_2(E_2^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\rho}\Psi_1 i_h^{*+} & 0 & -\beta_1\Psi_1 i_h^{*+} \\ \tilde{\rho}\Psi_1 i_h^{*+} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_1\Psi_1 i_h^{*+} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3(E_2^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_0\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta_2 f_n^{*+} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_2 f_n^{*+} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J_4(E_2^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+}+\mu_f) & -\beta_3 f_n^{*+} \\ 0 & \beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+} & \beta_3 f_n^{*+}-\mu_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues from $J_1(E_2^*)$ are; $\lambda_1 = -r_l$ and the solutions to the cubic equation

$$\lambda^3 + b_2\lambda^2 + b_1\lambda + b_0 = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= \frac{1}{\mu_0}\Big(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^{*+}\mu_h(\gamma+\mu_h) + \delta_h\left(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^{*+}(\gamma+\mu_h) + \mu_0\left(\gamma+\beta_1 f_c^{*+}+\mu_h\right)(\mu_h+\omega_1)\right)\Big), \\ b_1 &= \frac{1}{\mu_0}\Big(\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^{*+}(\gamma+\delta_h+2\mu_h) + \mu_0\left((\gamma+\mu_h)(\mu_h+\omega_1) + \delta_h\left(\gamma+\beta_1 f_c^{*+}+2\mu_h+\omega_1\right)\right)\Big), \\ b_2 &= 2\mu_h+\delta_h+\omega_1+\gamma+\tfrac{\pi\tilde{\rho}i_h^{*+}}{\mu_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that b_0, b_1 and b_2 are positive and

$$b_2b_1 - b_0 = \frac{1}{\mu_0^2}\Big(\Upsilon_1+\Upsilon_2\Big) > 0,$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1 = \;& \pi^2\tilde{\rho}^2 i_h^{*+2}(\gamma+\delta_h+2\mu_h) + \mu_0^2(\gamma+\delta_h+\mu_h)\Big((\mu_h+\omega_1)(\gamma+2\mu_h+\omega_1) \\ & +\delta_h(\gamma+\beta_1 f_c^{*+}+2\mu_h+\omega_1)\Big) \text{ and} \\ \Upsilon_2 = \;& \pi\tilde{\rho}\mu_0 i_h^{*+}(\delta_h^2+(\gamma+2\mu_h)^2+(2\gamma+3\mu_h)\omega_1+\delta_h[\beta_1 f_c^{*+}+5\mu_h+2(\gamma+\omega_1)]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Routh-Hurwitz criteria, the eigenvalues have negative real parts. The remaining eigenvalues are determined from $J_4(E_2^*)$, which are; $\lambda_5 = -\mu_0$ and the solutions to the quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 + b_4\lambda + b_3 = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= \frac{\mu_f\left((\beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+})^2+\beta_2\mu_f\right)}{\beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+}} > 0, \\ b_4 &= \beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+} + \frac{(2\beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+})\,\mu_f}{\beta_2+\beta_3 f_c^{*+}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the eigenvalues have negative real parts by Routh-Hurwitz criterion. Therefore, all the eigenvalues of $J(E_2^*)$ have negative real parts. Thus, E_2^* is locally asymptotically stable and otherwise unstable.

Numerical results

Parameter value estimation

Numerical simulations of the model system (2) were done using the set of parameters values given in Table 1. Most of the parameter values were assumed since there are very few mathematical models in literature that have been done on *Listeria Monocytogenes* disease dynamics and hence the parameter values are elusive. We used a fourth order Runge-Kutta numerical scheme implemented in Matlab to perform the simulations with the initial conditions: $s_h(0) = 0.42, s_a(0) = 0.53, i_h(0) = 0.05,$ $l(0) = 0.1, m_a(0) = 0.25, f_n = 0.2$ and $f_c = 0.8$. The initial conditions were hypothetically chosen for the numerical simulations presented in section and are thus only for illustrative purpose and do not represent any observed scenario.

Table 1: Parameter values used for numerical simulations.

Parameter	Symbol	Value/day	Source
Mortality rate of humans	μ_h	0.02/365	[14]
Recovery rate of humans	γ	0.034	[14]
Rate of loss of immunity for humans	δ_h	0.2	[14]
Waining rate of aware susceptibles	ω_1	0.25	[17]
Rate at which non-aware susceptibles becomes aware	$\tilde{\rho}$	0.9	Assumed
Net growth rate of Listeria	r_l	0.1	Assumed
Depletion rate of media campaigns	μ_0	0.03	[18]
Rate of implementation of media campaigns	π	0.001	[18]
Contact rate between Listeria and humans	β_1	0.0056	Assumed
Food contamination rate by Listeria	β_2	0.09	Assumed
The food contamination rate	β_3	0.0052	Assumed
Removal rate of food products	μ_f	0.009	Assumed
Rate of food processing	$\tilde{\theta}_f$	0.055	Assumed

Sensitivity analysis

Marino et al. [31] suggest that in disease modelling, the model state variables and parameters are always uncertain and hence difficult to quantify their level of uncertainty. Thus, global sensitivity analysis is carried out to ascertain the level of uncertainties in any modelling exercise and can be performed at specific time point or over some time frame under investigations [31]. For instance, we do not know the most influential parameters driving the Listeria disease epidemic and hence we carried out sensitivity analysis of model system (2) for the following parameters: π, μ_0, $\tilde{\rho}$, ω_1, β_1, β_2, β_3 and μ_f versus some of the model state variables s_a, i_h and f_c to show their respective Partial Rank Correlation Coefficients (PRCC's) over time. These specific parameters and state variables were chosen because they are the most significant in the Listeria disease transmission and subject to the control of the disease according to our model formulation, assumptions and relating to the subject under investigation. Using the parameters' values given in Table 1, we carried out the sensitivity analysis using the combination of Latin-Hypercube Sampling (LHS) as defined in [30,31]. The numerical simulations were done using Matlab with 1000 runs over 800 days as depicted in Figures 2 and 2 respectively. The results show that an increase in the rate at which susceptible individuals move into the aware susceptibles class results in a fewer number of humans been infected with the Listeriosis and parameter ω_1 with negative correlation signifying that if aware individuals revert to being susceptible, then they are prone to contracting the disease as depicted in Figure 2 respectively. This highlights the importance of media campaigns in



(a) (b)

Fig. 2: Scatter plots of parameters with the negative ($\tilde{\rho}$) and positive (ω_1) PRCC's.

disease control.



(a) (b) (c)

(d) (e) (f)

Fig. 3: Partial correlation coefficients of parameters $\pi, \mu_0, \tilde{\rho}, \omega_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and μ_f over time. Note that, ρ in the legend represents $\tilde{\rho}$ due to Matlab do not compile tilda.

Effects of varying π and μ_0 on Listeriosis spread

This subsection is devoted to numerical simulations that show the effects of increasing and decreasing media campaigns over time. Figure 4(a) reveals that an increase in the awareness campaigns results in a decrease in the number of infected humans. In contrast, a reduction of media campaigns results in more humans been infected with Listeriosis, as shown in Figure 4(b).



Fig. 4: (a) Effects of implementing of media campaigns (π) on the fraction of infected humans. (b) Effects of depletion of media campaign (μ_0) on the fraction of infected humans. (c) Effects of implementation of media campaign (π) on the fraction of aware susceptibles humans. (d) Effects of depletion of media campaign (μ_0) on the fraction of aware susceptibles humans.

Effects of β_3 and μ_f on $\mathcal{R}_f$

In Figure 5, we present a contour plot of the food contamination rate β_3 and rate of food product removal, μ_f, versus the food contamination constant, $\mathcal{R}_f$, to support our analytical findings. An increase in the contamination of non-contaminated food by contaminated food products results in an increase in the value of $\mathcal{R}_f$. Hence, more humans get infected with Listeriosis. Also, an increase in the removal of contaminated food products results in a decrease in the values of $\mathcal{R}_f$ which implies that fewer humans contract the disease. Note that, the changes in the value of μ_f do not significantly impact $\mathcal{R}_f$ when compared to β_3.



Fig. 5: Contour plot of contaminated food food contamination constant $(\mathcal{R}_f)$ as a function of β_3 and μ_f.

Effects of $\tilde{\theta}_f$ and μ_f on $\mathcal{R}_f$

Figure 6, illustrates a mesh plot showing the variation of $\tilde{\theta}_f$, μ_f and the contaminated food food contamination constant $\mathcal{R}_f$. It is seen that an increase in the rate of food processing increases $\mathcal{R}_f$ while an increase in the removal of contaminated food results in a decrease in $\mathcal{R}_f$. Note that at the intersection of the plane $\mathcal{R}_f = 1$ and the mesh plot, we obtain all values of $\tilde{\theta}_f$ and μ_f necessary for the eradication of the disease.



Fig. 6: Effects of β_3 and μ_f on contaminated food food contamination constant $(\mathcal{R}_f)$.

Impacts of varying $\tilde{\rho}$ and μ_f on infected humans

Figure 7 depicts the effects of varying parameters $\tilde{\rho}$ and μ_f. In Figure 7(a), we observe that increasing $\tilde{\rho}$ decreases the number of infected humans. This result shows that media campaigns have the potential to reduce the number of infectives. Also, we note that an increase in $\tilde{\rho}$ does not impact the value of the contaminated food contamination constant, $\mathcal{R}_f$. On the other hand, increasing μ_f also reduces the number of infected individuals and $\mathcal{R}_f$ (see Figure 7(b)). So the removal of contaminated food products during an outbreak is an important intervention in the control of Listeriosis.



(a) (b)

Fig. 7: (a) Effects of varying the rate of non-aware susceptibles becomes aware susceptibles $(\tilde{\rho})$ on the fraction of infected humans. The value for the $\mathcal{R}_f$ is 3.5309. (b) Effects of varying removal of contaminated food products (μ_f) on the fractions of infected humans.

Conclusion

A deterministic model on the potential role of media campaigns on Listeriosis disease transmissions was developed and analyzed. The model exhibited three different steady states: the disease-free, Listeria free and endemic equilibria. The local stability analysis of the model steady states was also discussed based on the food contamination constant $\mathcal{R}_f$. The disease-free and the Listeria free steady states are always unstable while the endemic equilibrium state is always locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_f > 1$.

On the other hand, from our numerical results, it was established that an increase in the removal of contaminated food products and an increase in the rate at which the susceptible individuals become aware individuals leads to a decrease in the number of infected humans (see Figure 7). Thus to effectively control Listeria disease spread, policymakers, public health, governments and global stakeholders are advised to implement media campaigns that do not wane as time progresses. This implies that media campaigns need to be effective. Further, the media campaigns' interventions should target mainly susceptible individuals on the danger of contracting Listeriosis. As people adhere to the media campaign effectively, it reduces and controls the number of infected humans (population at risk of contracting

Listeria infection), leading to less disease transmission. Furthermore, practising proper hygiene, decontamination of contaminated food products such as; thermal processing, removal of contamination by excision, irradiation by ionizing, high-pressure processing and the application of antimicrobial chemicals are also other measures to control Listeriosis.

The model presented in this paper is not without fallibility. First, the model was not fitted to epidemiological data. This would have been useful in the validation of key epidemiological parameters. Second, the contribution of infected humans and contaminated food products to the growth of the bacteria is assumed to be negligible. However, one can argue that Listeria in the environment could also result from contaminated food products and infected humans. Last, we assumed that the aware susceptibles could not be infected with the Listeria. This assumption means that the awareness programs are not imperfect, which may be contested. Despite these shortcomings, the results obtained in this paper are still crucial in controlling Listeriosis disease transmission dynamics in the event of an outbreak.

*

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there are no competing interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] H. Hof, History and epidemiology of Listeriosis, FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology, 35(3), (2003), pp. 199–202.

[2] EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ) and Ricci, et al., Listeria monocytogenes contamination of ready-to-eat foods and the risk for human health in the EU, EFSA Journal, 16(1),(2018), pp.e05134.

[3] E. Tambo, C.S. Yah, and G. Madjou, Deadly Listeriosis outbreaks in South Africa and Australia: re-inforcing food safety surveillance and emergency response actions, Journal of Advances in Virology Research, 1(1), (2018), pp.1–9.

[4] A. Schuchat, B. Swaminathan and C.V. Broome, Epidemiology of human isteriosis. Clinical microbiology reviews, 4(2), (1991), pp.169–183.

[5] B. Swaminathan and P. Gerner-Smidt, The epidemiology of human Listeriosis. Microbes and infection, 9(10), (2007), pp.1236–1243.

[6] National Listeria Incident Management Team, http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/component/phocadownload/category/439, Accessed 20 August 2020.

[7] EFSA, European Food safty Authority,https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/2018_ECDC-EFSA_ROA_Update1_UI-444_Listeria.pdf, Accessed 3 July 2020.

[8] M. Ghosh, P. Chandra, P. Sinha and J.B. Shukla, Modeling and analysis of the spread of carrier dependent infectious diseases with environmental effects, Journal of Biological Systems 11(3), (2003), pp. 325–-335.

[9] J. Li, Effects of behavior change on the spread of AIDS epidemic, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 16(6–7), (1992), pp. 103–-111.

[10] D. Njankou, S. Diane and F. Nyabadza, Modelling the potential role of media campaigns in ebola transmission dynamics, International Journal of Differential Equations, 2017, Article ID 3758269, (2017), 13 pp.

[11] C.W. Chukwu, J. Mushanyu and M.L. Juga, Fatmawati, A mathematical model for co-dynamics of listeriosis and bacterial meningitis diseases. Communication Mathematical Biology Neuroscience, (2020), 2020, pp.Article-ID.

[12] C.W. Chukwu and F. Nyabadza, A Mathematical Model and Optimal Control for Listeriosis Disease from Ready-to-Eat Food Products, (2020),medRxiv, https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210856v1.

[13] S. Osman, O.D. Makinde and D.M. Theuri, Stability analysis and modelling of listeriosis dynamics in human and animal populations, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14(1), (2018), pp.115–137.

[14] C.W. Chukwu, and F. Nyabadza, A theoretical model of listeriosis driven by cross contamination of ready-to-eat food products, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 09 March 2020, (2020), 14 pp.

[15] F. Nyabadza, C. Chiyaka, Z. Mukandavire, and S. Musekwa, Analysis of an HIV/AIDS model with public-health information campaigns and individual withdrawal, Journal of Biological Systems, 18(02), (2010), pp. 357–375, https://doi:10.1142/S0218339010003329.

[16] J.M. Tchuenche, N. Dube, C.P. Bhunu, R.J. Smith, and C.T. Bauch, The impact of media coverage on the transmission dynamics of human influenza, BMC Public Health, 11(1), (2011), p. S5.

[17] A.K. Misra, A. Sharma and J.B. Shukla, Modeling and analysis of effects of awareness programs by media on the spread of infectious diseases, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 53(5-6), (2011), (2011), pp. 1221–1228.

[18] N. Kaur, M. Ghosh, and S.S. Bhatia, Modeling and analysis of an SIRS epidemic model with effect of awareness programs by media, International Journal Mathematical Computational National Physics Engineering, 8(1),(2014), pp. 233–239.

[19] G.O. Agaba, Y.N. Kyrychko and K.B. Blyuss, Mathematical model for the impact of awareness on the dynamics of infectious diseases, Mathematical Biosciences, 286, (2017), pp. 22–30.

[20] A. Sharma and A.K. Misra, Backward bifurcation in a smoking cessation model with media campaigns, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 39(3-4), (2015), pp. 1087–-1098.

[21] A. Kumar, P.K. Srivastava and Y. Takeuchi, Modeling the role of information and limited optimal treatment on disease prevalence, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 414, (2017), pp. 103–119.

[22] L. Yiping and C. Jing-an, The impact of media coverage on the dynamics of infectious disease, International Journal of Biomathematics, 1(01), (2008), pp. 65–74.

[23] K.A. Pawelek, A. Oeldorf-Hirsch and L. Rong, Modeling the impact of Twitter on influenza epidemics, Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, 11(6), (2014), pp. 1337–-1356.

[24] A.R. Appadu, Performance of UPFD scheme under some different regimes of advection, diffusion and reaction, International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow, (2017).

[25] K.M. Agbavon, A.R. Appadu and M. Khumalo, On the numerical solution of Fisher's equation with coefficient of diffusion term much smaller than coefficient of reaction term, Advances in Difference Equations, 2019(1), p.146.

[26] A.R. Appadu, B. Inan and Y.O. Tijani, Comparative Study of Some Numerical Methods for the Burgers–Huxley Equation. Symmetry, 11(11), (2019) p.1333.

[27] K.M. Agbavon, A.R. Appadu and B. İnan, Comparative Study of Some Numerical Methods for the Standard FitzHugh-Nagumo Equation, In Computational Mathematics and Applications, (2020) (pp. 95-127), Springer, Singapore.

[28] X. Yang, L. Chen and J. Chen, Permanence and positive periodic solution for the single-species nonautonomous delay diffusive models, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 32(4), (1996), pp. 109–116.

[29] O. Diekmann, J.A.P. Heesterbeek and J.A.J. Metz, On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R_0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations, Journal of Mathematical Biology, 28(4), (1990), pp. 365–382.

[30] M.A. Sanchez and S.M. Blower, Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis of the basic reproductive rate: tuberculosis as an example, American journal of epidemiology, 145(12), (1997), pp. 1127–1137.

[31] Marino S, Hogue IB, Ray CJ, Kirschner DE. A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. Journal of theoretical biology, ,254(1),(2008), pp. 178–96.