Excess deaths among Latino people in California during the COVID-19 pandemic

Alicia R. Riley, PhD, MPH, MA[i], Yea-Hung Chen, PhD, MS[ii],
Ellicott C. Matthay, PhD, MPH[i], M. Maria Glymour, ScD, MS[i],
Jacqueline M. Torres, PhD, MPH, MA[i], Alicia Fernandez, MD[iii],
Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, PhD, MD, MAS[i,iii]

i. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco
ii. Institute for Global Health, University of California, San Francisco
iii. Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

Corresponding author: alicia.riley@ucsf.edu

Keywords: COVID-19; excess mortality; structural inequality; health disparities

Competing Interests: Authors receive grant funding from the National Institutes of Health, but no competing interests are declared.

Funding: Dr. Riley's work was supported by a training grant from the NIA: T32AG049663. Dr. Bibbins-Domingo's work was supported by institutional funding. Dr. Torres' work was supported by a K01 grant from the NIA: AG056602.

Ethics statement: The study protocol was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects (State of California), project number: 2020-109.

Acknowledgements: We thank Kate Duchowny, Kaori Fujishiro, and Marilyn Thomas for their valuable input to the study.

ABSTRACT

Background: Latinos in the US are experiencing higher excess deaths during the COVID-19 pandemic than any other racial/ethnic group, but it is unclear which subgroups within this diverse population are most affected. Such information is necessary to target policies and programs that prevent further excess mortality and reduce inequities.

Methods: Using death certificate data for January 1, 2016 through February 29, 2020 and time-series models, we estimated the expected weekly deaths among Latinos in California from March 1 through October 3, 2020. We quantified excess mortality as observed minus expected deaths and risk ratios (RR) as the ratio of observed to expected deaths. We considered subgroups defined by age, sex, place of birth, education, occupation, and combinations of these factors.

Results: During the first seven months of the pandemic, Latino deaths in California exceeded expected deaths by 10,304, a 31% increase. Excess death rates were greatest for individuals born in Mexico (RR 1.44; 95% PI, 1.41, 1.48) or Central America (RR 1.49; 95% PI, 1.37, 1.63), with less than a high school degree (RR 1.41; 95% PI, 1.35, 1.46), or in manufacturing (RR 1.62; 95% PI, 1.52, 1.72) or food-and-agriculture occupations (RR 1.50; 95% PI, 1.40, 1.61). Disparities in excess death by place of birth and education were magnified among Latinos in essential occupations.

Conclusions: Foreign-birth, low formal education, and work in an essential sector put some Latinos in California at higher risk of death during the COVID-19 pandemic. Interventions should reduce the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on Latino immigrants and Latinos in unsafe working conditions; these may include early vaccination, workplace safety enforcement, and expanded access to medical care.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, Latinos in the US have been dying at disproportionately high rates. National all-cause mortality increased 53.6% for Latinos from the start of the pandemic through October – more than any other group and over four times more than the percent increase for non-Latino Whites[1]. However, aggregate national and state-level statistics obscure the vast diversity among Latinos in the US, making it difficult to intervene effectively to prevent further pandemic mortality[2,3]. Identifying subgroups at greatest risk for excess death could help guide targeted interventions including workplace protections, testing, and vaccination.

California is a critical setting to evaluate the drivers of Latino mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic. One quarter of U.S. Latinos live in California. Latinos are 39% of California's population of 39.5 million residents, but they account for 48% of COVID-19-confirmed deaths through December 2020[4]. Even this remarkable death toll likely understates the impact of the pandemic on Latinos because COVID-19-confirmed deaths are understood to be a subset of the total deaths due to COVID-19[5].

The current study estimates the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on deaths among Latino individuals in California by estimating excess deaths that occurred between March 1, 2020 and October 3, 2020 compared to the four years prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. By examining death record data, this study overcomes the limitations of official COVID-19 death counts[6]. In addition, with the overarching goal of assisting public health efforts, we evaluate heterogeneous risk within the Latino population by examining excess death during the pandemic by age, sex, place of birth, education, and occupation.

METHODS

Data

In order to forecast expected mortality trends during our time-period of interest, we drew on death certificate data from the California Department of Public Health for the period from January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020 (pre-pandemic period) and from March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020 (pandemic period). Our analyses included all deaths that occurred within the state of California for which Hispanic/Latino ethnicity was designated. (Only 0.29% of deaths during the pandemic period and 0.25% of deaths during the pre-pandemic period lacked information on ethnicity). Our analytic sample

consisted of 43,564 total deaths among Latinos in California during the pandemic period and 220,984 during the pre-pandemic period. There was little missingness for the variables of interest and exclusions due to missingness were done on an available-case basis. Due to delays in complete cause of death data, we did not distinguish specific causes of death for this analysis.

We defined Latinos as any individual identified with Latino/a or Hispanic ethnicity on the death certificate, irrespective of race or country of birth. We do not use the more inclusive, gender-neutral terms Latinx or Latine in this paper because they were not used in death records.

We evaluated subgroups defined by: age at death in years (0-24, 25-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75-84, or 85+); sex (male or female); place of birth (4-categories: U.S., Mexico, Central America, or other; 2-categories: U.S., or foreign-born); occupational sector (see below); educational attainment (4-categories: no high school degree and no GED, high school degree or GED, some college or Associate's degree, Bachelor's degree or beyond; 2-categories: no high school degree and no GED, or high school degree or more).

Outcome

We defined excess deaths as observed minus expected deaths due to all causes, with expected deaths based on historical trends over the previous four years using time series methods described below. For analyses stratified by educational attainment, following standard practice, we restricted our analysis to decedents at least 25 years of age so most people would have completed schooling. For the analyses stratified by occupational sector, we grouped individuals by the primary occupation over their life, a field included in the death certificate, and restricted analyses to decedents 18 to 65 years of age. We drew on the California Department of Public Health designations for essential work[7] to sort the occupation into one of seven sectors of high-risk or essential work, a category for non-essential and non-retail work, or a category for unemployed or missing data on occupation. Using this designation, we also compared individuals in essential occupational sectors to individuals in non-essential occupational sectors.

Statistical Analyses

For each subgroup of interest, we repeated the following procedure using the forecast package in R[8]. First, we aggregated the data to weekly death counts. Next, to estimate expected deaths, we fit dynamic

harmonic regression models with autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA)[9] errors for the number of weekly deaths, using deaths occurring pre-pandemic. We iterated through models with up to 25 Fourier terms and selected the model that minimized the corrected Akaike information criterion, following an approach developed by Hyndman and Khandakar[8]. We inspected the residuals from the preferred model using plots and the Ljung-Box test to confirm that minimal autocorrelation remained. Then, using the preferred model, we forecast the number of weekly deaths and the corresponding 95% prediction intervals (PI) for the pandemic period: March 1, 2020 through October 3, 2020. These forecasts provided the expected weekly deaths during the pandemic period based on historical trends. To estimate the weekly excess deaths, we subtracted the number of expected deaths from the observed deaths for each week. We constructed a 95% PI for excess deaths by simulating the expected deaths model 10,000 times (varying based on uncertainty in the estimated coefficients for the time-series prediction model), selecting the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles, and subtracting the total number of observed deaths. Risk ratios were estimated as observed deaths divided by expected deaths, with the pandemic as the exposure, and are also known as observed-to-expected mortality ratios. Risk ratios present the same information as percent excess deaths, as reported in previous studies[1,10,9]. We also calculated per capita excess mortality by dividing excess deaths by the corresponding population size, using estimates from the 2019 American Community Survey. We conducted all analyses in R version 3.6.3.

RESULTS

Between March 1 and October 3, 2020, Latino residents in California experienced a 31% increase in mortality compared with historical trends, with an estimated 10,304 (95% PI, 9,558, 11,018) excess deaths (Table 1). COVID-19 was listed as a cause of death on 7672 death certificates (74% of the estimated excess deaths). The number of excess deaths varied by week such that excess mortality was lowest during California's shelter-in-place period (March 19, 2020-May 7, 2020), then increased to a peak at the end of July with over 600 excess deaths per week, and began to decline by mid-August (Figure 2), but the time trends varied by subpopulation (Figures 3 and 4). The observed excess death rate for Latinos aged 25 or older implies 1106 additional deaths per million individuals. Excess deaths in Latinos were observed in all regions in California (Figure S1).

As shown in Table 1, relative increases in mortality ranged from 26-42% for all adults 25 and older and was of similar magnitude across adult age groups. The magnitude of excess mortality varied by country of birth and education. Foreign-born Latinos had higher relative excess mortality than U.S.-

born Latinos: deaths were 44 percent higher than expected among individuals born in Mexico (RR 95% PI, 1.41, 1.48) and 49 percent higher than expected among individuals born in Central America (RR 95% PI, 1.37, 1.63). By contrast, deaths among U.S.-born Latinos were 19 percent (RR 95% PI, 1.16, 1.23) higher than expected values. In every adult age stratum, foreign-born Latinos experienced at least double the relative excess mortality experienced by U.S.-born Latinos (Figure 1). Educational attainment was inversely associated with the magnitude of excess death during the pandemic period. Relative excess mortality was highest among Latinos with less than a high school degree (RR 1.41; 95% PI, 1.35, 1.46) and lowest among Latinos with a Bachelor's degree or more (RR 1.22; 95% PI, 1.11, 1.34).

Among working-age adults (age 18 to 65 years), cumulative excess mortality RRs were highest in those working in manufacturing (RR 1.62; 95% PI, 1.52, 1.72) and food-and-agriculture (RR 1.50; 95% PI, 1.40, 1.61) (Table 1). During the summer surge, weekly excess mortality among workers in manufacturing was over 200 percent of expected values (Figure 3).

Disadvantages in excess mortality associated with foreign-birth and low education were more pronounced among Latinos in essential occupations and in the 55 to 74 age group. Among Latinos who worked in essential sectors, the percent excess in mortality for immigrants (RR 1.57; 95% PI, 1.47, 1.69) was more than double the percent excess for U.S.-born Latinos (RR 1.23; 95% PI, 1.16, 1.31) (Figure 1). This 34-percentage-point immigrant disadvantage was reduced to 18-percentage-points among Latinos in non-essential occupations. Among Latinos in essential occupational sectors who lacked a high school credential, mortality was 51% (95% PI, 43%, 59%) higher than expected, whereas for Latinos in the same occupational sectors with a high school credential or more, mortality was 32% (95% PI, 23%, 42%) higher than expected. The elevated risk of excess death associated with low education nearly disappeared among Latinos in non-essential occupations. Finally, pandemic mortality was 46 percent (RR 1.46; 95% PI, 1.40, 1.52) above expected in the subgroup of Latinos who were both foreign-born and without a high school degree, and only slightly lower in the subgroup of Latinos who were foreign-born with a high school degree or more (RR 1.38; 95% PI, 1.29, 1.48) (Table S1).

DISCUSSION

Using data on all deaths among Latinos in California from January 1, 2016 through October 3, 2020,

we found 10,304 additional deaths occurred among California Latinos in the first 7 months of the pandemic compared with trends in the four years prior. In relative terms, this was an excess of 31 percent. Our findings reveal disparities in excess mortality by Latino subgroups. Immigrant Latinos, those with less than a high school degree, and those working in essential occupations, in particular manufacturing and food/agriculture, were at markedly higher risk of death, especially in the presence of more than one of these risk factors. Participation in an essential occupational sector appeared to magnify existing social vulnerabilities.

We have previously shown that over the first 5 months of the pandemic, Latinos in California experienced higher excess mortality than other racial/ethnic groups, with a per capita excess nearly double that of non-Latino White people in California[11]. Our current results show that the pandemic's impacts on mortality have been far worse for Central American-born and Mexican-born Latinos than U.S.-born Latinos; a disparity apparent within every age stratum, but worse among 55 to 74 year-olds. Latino immigrants comprise 37% of California's Latino population, but an estimated 72 percent of Latino excess deaths during the pandemic period occurred among immigrants.

The differential impact on foreign-born Latinos is notable given previously documented immigrant health advantages[12]. The literature suggests recent immigrant Latinos are less likely than their U.S.-born counterparts to have an underlying medical condition that would increase COVID-19 severity[13]. However, foreign-born Latinos may be more vulnerable to COVID-19 because of their concentration in high-exposure occupations with limited workplace protections[14], barriers to health care and other social protections due to legal status and public charge inadmissibility[15], and chilling effects on healthcare-seeking behavior due to anti-immigrant rhetoric and policy[16].

The elevated mortality and stark disparities observed in essential occupational sectors underscores the potential role of workplace settings and worker marginalization as contributors to excess deaths among Latino individuals[17,18]. The risk ratios for excess death among those in health and emergency jobs or government and community jobs – where workplace safety measures are more consistently adopted[19] -- were substantially lower than the risk ratios among those in sectors characterized by low-wage jobs such as manufacturing and agriculture. Notably, the foreign-born disadvantage and the low-education disadvantage in pandemic mortality were both magnified among Latinos in essential occupational sectors (Figure 1). For example, although pandemic mortality was 46 percent higher than

usual in the foreign-born and low education subgroup, it was 51 percent higher than usual in those with both low education and essential occupation, and 57 percent higher than usual in those who were both foreign-born and in the essential occupation subgroup.

Multiple structural inequalities may put foreign-born and low-educated Latinos employed in essential jobs and their family members at greater risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and death from COVID-19. For instance, foreign-born Latinos earn 87% as much as their U.S.-born counterparts[14]. Extreme financial precarity coupled with low levels of unionization make it difficult to negotiate workplace protections and benefits[20], leading foreign-born and low-educated Latinos to participate in work settings where they are not protected from exposure. Also, approximately 44% of Mexican immigrants in the U.S.[21] and 69% of Guatemalan immigrants in the U.S. are undocumented[22]. The threat of detention or deportation combined with exclusion from Medicaid and Medicare result in delayed and inadequate medical care for many undocumented Latinos[20,23]. Among Latinos in California, half of undocumented adults and over 20 percent of legal permanent resident adults lacked health insurance prior to the pandemic[24]. In addition to these structural factors, many Latinos for whom Spanish or an indigenous language is their preferred language may lack access to actionable health information about COVID-19 prevention and treatment[25]. Larger households and denser living arrangements likely amplify occupational exposures and contribute to the elevated case and death rates among Latinos[17]. Within these intersecting factors are many opportunities for intervention.

The two main strategies for pandemic control that have been endorsed thus far are individual behavior change (e.g., masking, distancing) and economic shutdowns to slow transmission. Our results suggest that neither pandemic control strategy has sufficiently protected Latinos from excess mortality. In fact, as our previous study demonstrated and our current study reinforces, California began reopening in May when excess deaths among Latinos had yet to decline and, as we show here, when excess death were rising for vulnerable subgroups. Distinct policies and investment are needed to protect immigrant Latinos and reduce disparities in COVID-19-related death[26–28].

Our findings draw attention to four domains of promising policy response: workplace conditions, financial supports, healthcare coverage, and vaccine distribution. First, expanded action is needed, particularly in the manufacturing and food & agriculture sectors, to regulate and enforce workplace modifications to protect employees from SARS-CoV-2 exposure, provide workers with accurate

information, and protect jobs if workers take sick leave or negotiate for safer conditions[29]. California's Occupational and Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) issued additional guidance in December 2020 mandating that employers address COVID-19 health hazards and provide face coverings[30]. The impact of this new mandate remains to be seen. Second, most major federal government programs to provide financial relief from the economic consequences of the pandemic have excluded undocumented individuals and the many Latinos in mixed status families. Including immigrant families in financial relief could enable more workers to refuse to work in unsafe settings, which might interrupt transmission and reduce mortality. Third, an immediate step that could improve access to medical care is extending emergency Medicaid coverage to all individuals with COVID-19 regardless of immigration status[31]. Finally, pandemic disparities are likely to widen unless action is taken to prioritize marginalized populations, including undocumented people and those without health insurance, for access to COVID-19 vaccination.

This study is limited by the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the data on death certificates. The interpretation of excess deaths that did not list COVID-19 as a contributing cause is unclear; these deaths may be undiagnosed COVID-19 cases or they may reflect increased mortality due to other causes exacerbated by the pandemic. The proportion of COVID-confirmed deaths compared with overall excess mortality that we observed (approximately 74%) is similar or slightly higher than in national studies. Our analysis is also limited by the occupation field on death certificates which indicates primary occupation for most of the decedent's lifetime rather than most recent occupation. We did not have data to directly examine a number of plausible contributors to excess mortality among Latinos, for example household size or legal status. Nor did we have access to data on indigenous ethnicity, another potentially important subgroup with high vulnerability[32]. Finally, our results rest on the time-series model premise, that we can predict mortality rates in 2020 based on trends observed in the prior four years, accounting for factors such as seasonality and autocorrelation.

In summary, our study used data from individual death records to reveal that immigrant Latinos, Latinos with low education, and Latinos who participate in essential work have experienced particularly high excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic. Policies enforcing occupational safety, especially for immigrant and low-educated workers, and policies extending high-quality healthcare to all people, including immigrants, may have the highest benefit for reducing COVID-19 mortality.

Table 1. Cumulative excess deaths during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California: March through September 2020

	Excess	Risk ratio*
Entire state	10304 (9558, 11018)	1.31 (1.28, 1.34)
Age		
0-24 years old	184 (-17, 376)	1.10 (0.99, 1.23)
25-54 years old	2341 (1960, 2703)	1.37 (1.30, 1.46)
55-64 years old	1985 (1688, 2270)	1.40 (1.32, 1.48)
65-74 years old	2422 (2015, 2813)	1.42 (1.33, 1.52)
75-84 years old	2109 (1808, 2397)	1.35 (1.28, 1.41)
85+ years old	1976 (1470, 2462)	1.26 (1.18, 1.34)
Gender		
Female	3741 (3097, 4356)	1.25 (1.20, 1.31)
Male	7084 (6206, 7929)	1.39 (1.33, 1.46)
Country of birth		
United States	2999 (2501, 3477)	1.19 (1.16, 1.23)
Mexico	5852 (5494, 6196)	1.44 (1.41, 1.48)
Central America	1308 (1065, 1540)	1.49 (1.37, 1.63)
Other	222 (90, 349)	1.15 (1.06, 1.27)
Education†		
No high school degree and no GED	5935 (5374, 6470)	1.41 (1.35, 1.46)
High school degree or GED	2760 (2227, 3273)	1.31 (1.23, 1.38)
Some college or associate degree	1038 (719, 1344)	1.23 (1.15, 1.32)
Bachelor's degree or beyond	435 (245, 616)	1.22 (1.11, 1.34)
Occupation‡		
Facilities	955 (754, 1146)	1.38 (1.28, 1.50)
Food and agriculture	944 (807, 1076)	1.50 (1.40, 1.61)
Government and community	210 (142, 275)	1.28 (1.17, 1.40)
Health or emergency	210 (135, 282)	1.36 (1.20, 1.55)
Manufacturing	476 (426, 525)	1.62 (1.52, 1.72)
Retail	165 (96, 231)	1.32 (1.16, 1.51)
Transportation and logistics	614 (491, 732)	1.44 (1.33, 1.58)
Other essential	73 (46, 101)	1.44 (1.24, 1.73)
Not essential	785 (651, 915)	1.27 (1.22, 1.33)
Unemployed or missing	447 (322, 566)	1.42 (1.27, 1.61)

*: Risk ratio for all-cause mortality, comparing pandemic to pre-pandemic time.
†: Among decedents 25 years of age or older.
‡: Among decedents 18–65 years of age.

Figure 1. Cumulative excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by subgroups



±: Among decedents 25 years of age at death or older.
*: Among decedents 25 to 65 years of age at death.
Note: Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure 2. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, March through September 2020

A. Raw Excess Deaths



B. Relative Excess Death



Note: Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure 3. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by place of birth



Note: Risk ratio (observed/expected) for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure 4. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by occupational sector



Note: Among decedents 18 to 65 years of age at death; Risk ratio (observed/expected) for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

REFERENCES

1. Rossen LM. Excess Deaths Associated with COVID-19, by Age and Race and Ethnicity — United States, January 26–October 3, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6942e2

2. Riley AR, Cazares L. Structural racism is shaping the Latino COVID-19 experience in San Diego County. *San Diego Union-Tribune*. https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/opinion/commentary/story/2020-08-13/coronavirus-covid-19-latinx-latino-latina-border. Published August 14, 2020. Accessed December 3, 2020.

3. Page KR, Flores-Miller A. Lessons We've Learned — Covid-19 and the Undocumented Latinx Community. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;0(0):null. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2024897

4. California Department of Public Health. *All Cases and Deaths Associated with COVID-19 by Race and Ethnicity*. Accessed December 2, 2020. https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Race-Ethnicity.aspx

5. Leon DA, Shkolnikov VM, Smeeth L, Magnus P, Pechholdová M, Jarvis CI. COVID-19: a need for real-time monitoring of weekly excess deaths. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10234):e81. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30933-8

6. Krelle H, Barclay C, Tallack C. Understanding excess mortality: What is the fairest way to compare COVID-19 deaths internationally? The Health Foundation. Published May 6, 2020. Accessed December 16, 2020. https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/charts-and-infographics/understanding-excess-mortality-the-fairest-way-to-make-international-comparisons

7. California Department of Public Health. Essential Critical Infrastructure Workers list. Accessed November 30, 2020. https://files.covid19.ca.gov/pdf/EssentialCriticalInfrastructureWorkers.pdf

8. Hyndman RJ, Khandakar Y. Automatic time series forecasting: The forecast Package for R. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2008;27(3):1-22.

9. Box GEP, Jenkins GM, Reinsel GC, Ljung GM. *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. John Wiley & Sons; 2015.

10. Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Sabo RT, Weinberger DM, Hill L, Taylor DDH. Excess Deaths From COVID-19 and Other Causes, March-July 2020. *JAMA*. 2020;324(15):1562. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19545

11. Chen Y-H, Glymour MM, Catalano R, et al. Excess Mortality in California During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic, March to August 2020. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. Published online In Press.

12. Riosmena F, Kuhn R, Jochem WC. Explaining the Immigrant Health Advantage: Self-selection and Protection in Health-Related Factors Among Five Major National-Origin Immigrant Groups in the United States. *Demography*. 2017;54(1):175-200. doi:10.1007/s13524-016-0542-2

13. Commodore-Mensah Yvonne, Ukonu Nwakaego, Obisesan Olawunmi, et al. Length of Residence in the United States is Associated With a Higher Prevalence of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Immigrants: A Contemporary Analysis of the National Health Interview Survey. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 5(11):e004059. doi:10.1161/JAHA.116.004059

14. Bureau of Labor Statistics. FOREIGN-BORN WORKERS: LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS—2019. Accessed November 30, 2020. https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/forbrn.pdf

15. Page KR, Venkataramani M, Beyrer C, Polk S. Undocumented U.S. Immigrants and Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;382(21):e62. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2005953

16. Perreira KM, Pedroza JM. Policies of Exclusion: Implications for the Health of Immigrants and Their Children. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2019;40(1):147-166. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-044115

17. Garcia MA, Homan PA, García C, Brown TH. The Color of COVID-19: Structural Racism and the Disproportionate Impact of the Pandemic on Older Black and Latinx Adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbaa114

18. Laster Pirtle WN. Racial Capitalism: A Fundamental Cause of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic Inequities in the United States. *Health Educ Behav*. Published online April 26, 2020. doi:10.1177/1090198120922942

19. Hanage WP, Testa C, Chen JT, et al. COVID-19: US federal accountability for entry, spread, and inequities—lessons for the future. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2020;35(11):995-1006. doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00689-2

20. Patler C, Gleeson S, Schonlau M. Contesting Inequality: The Impact of Immigrant Legal Status and Education on Legal Knowledge and Claims-Making in Low-Wage Labor Markets. *Soc Probl*. doi:10.1093/socpro/spaa029

21. Passel J, Cohn D. Mexicans decline to less than half the U.S. unauthorized immigrant population for the first time. Pew Research Center. Accessed November 30, 2020. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/06/12/us-unauthorized-immigrant-population-2017/

22. Noe-Bustamante L, Flores A, Shah S. Facts on Latinos of Guatemalan origin in the U.S. Pew Research Center's Hispanic Trends Project. Accessed November 30, 2020. https://www.pewresearch.org/hispanic/fact-sheet/u-s-hispanics-facts-on-guatemalan-origin-latinos/

23. Duncan WL, Horton SB. Serious Challenges And Potential Solutions For Immigrant Health During COVID-19. *Health Affairs Blog*. Published online April 18, 2020. doi:10.1377/hblog20200416.887086

24. Bustamante AV, McKenna RM, Viana J, Ortega AN, Chen J. Access-To-Care Differences Between Mexican-Heritage And Other Latinos In California After The Affordable Care Act. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2018;37(9):1400-1408. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0416

25. Rodriguez-Diaz CE, Guilamo-Ramos V, Mena L, et al. Risk for COVID-19 infection and death among Latinos in the United States: examining heterogeneity in transmission dynamics. *Annals of Epidemiology*. Published online July 23, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2020.07.007

26. Gee GC, Ford CL. STRUCTURAL RACISM AND HEALTH INEQUITIES. *Du Bois Rev*. 2011;8(1):115-132. doi:10.1017/S1742058X11000130

27. Garcia MA, Reyes AM, García C, Chiu C-T, Macias G. Nativity and Country of Origin Variations in Life Expectancy With Functional Limitations Among Older Hispanics in the United States. *Res Aging*. Published online April 2, 2020:0164027520914512. doi:10.1177/0164027520914512

28. Márquez JD. Latinos as the "Living Dead": Raciality, expendability, and border militarization. *Lat Stud*. 2012;10(4):473-498. doi:10.1057/lst.2012.39

29. Michaels D, Wagner GR. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and Worker Safety During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA*. 2020;324(14):1389. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.16343

30. State of California Department of Industrial Relations. *Cal/OSHA Emergency Regulations to Protect Workers from COVID-19 in Effect*.; 2020. Accessed December 16, 2020. https://www.dir.ca.gov/DIRNews/2020/2020-99.html

31. Torres-Pinzon DL, Solorzano W, Kim SE, Cousineau MR. Coronavirus Disease 2019 and the Case to Cover Undocumented Immigrants in California. *Health Equity*. 2020;4(1):500-504. doi:10.1089/heq.2020.0049

32. Sanchez T. One Oakland neighborhood has seen a surge in positivity rates among Latino and Indigenous populations. Why? *San Francisco Chronicle*. https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/One-Oakland-neighborhood-has-seen-a-surge-in-15651955.php. Published October 16, 2020. Accessed December 15, 2020.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES & FIGURES

Table S1. Cumulative excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic by age and place of birth, and by age and education

	Excess	Risk ratio*
Age group by country of birth		
25-54 years old		
U.S.-born	1016 (773, 1249)	1.29 (1.20, 1.38)
Foreign-born	1281 (1114, 1442)	1.46 (1.38, 1.56)
55-74 years old		
U.S.-born	950 (679, 1210)	1.21 (1.14, 1.29)
Foreign-born	3371 (2914, 3810)	1.54 (1.43, 1.65)
75 or more years old		
U.S.-born	952 (744, 1152)	1.16 (1.12, 1.20)
Foreign-born	2863 (2509, 3201)	1.35 (1.3, 1.41)
Age group by education		
25-54 years old		
Less than high school degree/GED	905 (803, 1006)	1.40 (1.33, 1.46)
High school or more	1322 (1052, 1581)	1.34 (1.25, 1.43)
55-74 years old		
Less than high school degree/GED	2542 (2284, 2790)	1.54 (1.46, 1.63)
High school or more	1696 (1269, 2107)	1.29 (1.20, 1.39)
75 or more years old		
Less than high school degree/GED	2839 (2361, 3296)	1.38 (1.30, 1.47)
High school or more	1273 (875, 1653)	1.21 (1.14, 1.30)

Note: Among decedents 25 years of age and older at death; Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Table S2. Cumulative excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic by occupational sector and place of birth, and by occupational sector and education, and by place of birth and education

	Excess	Risk ratio*
Occupational sector by place of birth*		
Essential		
U.S.-born	846 (617, 1066)	1.23 (1.16, 1.31)
Foreign-born	2374 (2080, 2655)	1.57 (1.47, 1.69)
Non-Essential		
U.S.-born	364 (244, 479)	1.21 (1.13, 1.30)
Foreign-born	548 (476, 620)	1.39 (1.32, 1.47)
Occupational sector by education*		
Essential		
Less than high school degree/GED	1652 (1475, 1822)	1.51 (1.43, 1.59)
High school or more	1449 (1126, 1758)	1.32 (1.23, 1.42)
Non-Essential		
Less than high school degree/GED	298 (243, 354)	1.29 (1.22, 1.36)
High school or more	550 (390, 705)	1.26 (1.17, 1.37)
Food-and-Agriculture sector by place of birth*		
U.S.-born	102 (35, 166)	1.18 (1.05, 1.34)
Foreign-born	786 (661, 906)	1.65 (1.49, 1.83)
Foreign-born by education		
Less than high school degree/GED	5009 (4565, 5436)	1.46 (1.40, 1.52)
High school or more	2223 (1822, 2608)	1.38 (1.29, 1.48)

Note: *Occupational analyses limited to decedents 25 to 65 years of age at death, otherwise among decedents 25 years of age and older; Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure S1. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by region of death

A. Raw Excess Deaths



B. Relative Excess Death



Note: Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure S2. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by educational attainment

A. Raw Excess Deaths



B. Relative Excess Death



Note: Among decedents 25 years of age or older at death; Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure S3. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by age group

A. Raw Excess Deaths



B. Relative Excess Death



Note: Risk ratio is observed/expected for all-cause mortality, comparing the pandemic period (March 1, 2020 to October 3, 2020) to previous years (January 3, 2016 to February 29, 2020); Source: California Department of Public Health Death Records.

Figure S4. Weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by sex

A. Raw Excess Deaths



B. Relative Excess Death



Figure S5. Raw weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by place of birth



Figure S6. Raw weekly excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic among Latinos in California, by occupational sector



Figure S7. Map of COVID-19-confirmed mortality among Latinos in California, by period and region of death