

1 **Is occupational physical activity associated with all-cause mortality in UK Biobank?**

2 Matthew Pearce¹, Tessa Strain^{1,2}, Katrien Wijndaele¹, Stephen J. Sharp¹, Alexander Mok¹,

3 Soren Brage^{1*}

4 1. MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Level 3

5 Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Treatment Centre, Cambridge

6 Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, CB2 0SL, United Kingdom

7 2. Physical Activity for Health Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United

8 Kingdom

9 *Corresponding author

10

11 Word count: 3088

12 **ABSTRACT**

13 **Objectives**

14 To investigate associations between occupational physical activity (OPA) and all-cause
15 mortality.

16 **Methods**

17 From baseline (2006-2010), 452,884 UK Biobank participants (aged 40-69 years) were
18 followed for a median 11.1 (IQR: 10.4-11.8) years. OPA was categorised by cross-tabulating
19 degree of manual work and walking/standing work amongst those in paid employment
20 (n=264,424), whereas categories of occupational status were used for those not in paid
21 employment (n=188,460). Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard
22 ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all-cause mortality by occupational category,
23 and for working hours/week and non-occupational physical activity stratified by occupational
24 category.

25 **Results**

26 During 4,965,616 person-years of follow-up, 23,310 deaths occurred. Compared to those in
27 sedentary jobs, retirement was associated with lower mortality in women (HR=0.74, CI:0.68-
28 0.81) and men (HR=0.85, CI:0.79-0.92), whereas unemployment was associated with higher
29 mortality in men (HR=1.26, CI:1.10-1.45). There was no evidence of mortality differences by
30 OPA category within the working population. Working <35 hours/week versus 35-40
31 hours/week was associated with lower mortality in both women (HR=0.86, CI:0.79-0.93) and
32 men (HR=0.81, CI:0.75-0.88), with no interaction by OPA. Non-occupational physical activity
33 was associated with lower mortality in both women (HR=0.90 per 5 kJ/day/kg, CI:0.84-0.96)
34 and men (HR=0.88 per 5 kJ/day/kg, CI:0.84-0.92), with no interaction by OPA.

35 **Conclusion**

36 Work classified as having higher levels of OPA may not be as active as reported, or the types
37 of physical activity performed in those jobs are not health-enhancing. Irrespective of OPA
38 category or employment status, non-occupational physical activity appears to provide health
39 benefits.

40

41 Keywords: paradox, labour, heavy, leisure-time

42

43 **SUMMARY BOX**

44 **What are the new findings?**

- 45 • Retirement was associated with lower all-cause mortality, compared to having a
46 sedentary job in both men and women but unemployment was only associated with
47 higher mortality in men.
- 48 • There were no differences in mortality between categories with different levels of self-
49 reported OPA
- 50 • Physical activity outside of work was associated with lower hazard of all-cause
51 mortality and there was no interaction with occupational physical activity, indicating
52 similar benefits across different jobs types.

53 **How might it impact on clinical practice in the future?**

- 54 • Health professionals should be aware that occupations assumed to involve more
55 physical activity may not be as active as reported, or the types of physical activity
56 performed in those jobs may not be health-enhancing.
- 57 • Physical activity during leisure-time should continue to be recommended to adults of all
58 paid and unpaid occupational categories.

59 **BACKGROUND**

60 The benefits of physical activity for health are well established,[1,2] with guidelines from the
61 UK Chief Medical Officers[3] and the World Health Organization[4] recommending the
62 equivalent of 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity each for maintenance
63 of good physical and mental health. No distinctions are made between physical activity in
64 leisure-time, transport, home, occupational domains; the total volume of activity is assumed to
65 be beneficial regardless of the domain in which it was accrued. Contradictory to this advice is
66 the suggestion that occupational physical activity (OPA) does not confer the same benefits,
67 and may even be harmful to health.[5] One meta-analysis reported that male (but not female)
68 workers with high level OPA were at 18% higher risk of mortality compared to those at low
69 levels.[6] Reasons proposed for these findings include OPA being performed at lower
70 intensities, for protracted periods, and in a static posture,[7] but the existing evidence also has
71 limitations including the use of crude self-reported OPA measures, limited adjustment for non-
72 occupational physical activity, and residual confounding for socio-economic status and lifestyle
73 factors (e.g. smoking).[8,9] Prior studies within occupational strata have reported that active
74 jobs were associated with lower mortality.[10,11]

75 UK Biobank is a large prospective cohort study total and domain-specific physical activity
76 data, as well as occupational variables. These data can be combined in such a way that both
77 work category and hours can be used to characterise exposure to different types and volumes
78 of OPA. A range of lifestyle, socio-economic and health-related variables are collected using a
79 standardised protocol, and it is also possible to calibrate self-reported non-occupational
80 physical activity to objective measures of physical activity using the accelerometer sub-
81 cohort[12] to better control for physical activity outside of work. UK Biobank has sufficient
82 sample size and accrued deaths to allow stratification by sex and occupational categories.
83 This can better address issues of confounding patterned by occupational group with strata
84 larger than many occupational cohorts. This presents opportunities for improving our
85 understanding of OPA, particularly in the UK, where there are few contemporary analyses. In

86 this study, we investigated whether occupational category and hours of work in different job
87 categories were associated with all-cause mortality.

88 **METHODS**

89 **Participants and study design**

90 UK Biobank is an ongoing prospective cohort study of men and women aged 40-69 years
91 residing within 25 miles of one of 22 assessment centres in England, Scotland, and Wales.
92 Participants were identified from National Health Service general practitioner registries and
93 invited to a baseline assessment between 2006 and 2010.[13] The study was approved by the
94 North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee and participants provided written informed
95 consent. Data for the current analyses were updated on 26th August 2020, containing
96 information from 502,493 participants with baseline measures. Participant exclusions are
97 outlined in Supplementary Figure 1.

98 **Exposure variables**

99 Occupational status, standard occupational job code (SOC),[14] degree of manual work,
100 degree of standing/walking work, and working duration in hours per week were self-reported
101 using a touch-screen questionnaire.[15] Participants with missing data for these variables
102 were excluded from analyses (n=9,362), as were those reporting paid employment status but
103 zero working hours (n=186). For those in paid employment, degree of manual work and
104 degree of standing/walking work were both reported as one of four categories: "never/rarely",
105 "sometimes", "usually", "always". Responses of "usually" and "always" were collapsed for both
106 manual work and standing/walking, with the two variables cross-tabulated (Supplementary
107 Table 1) to create six mutually exclusive OPA categories: "no manual, no standing/walking",
108 "no manual, some standing/walking", "no manual, usually standing/walking", "some manual,
109 some standing/walking", "some manual, usually standing/walking", "usually manual, usually
110 standing/walking". Total physical activity estimated by median wrist acceleration showed a

111 predictable association across these categories within the accelerometry sub-cohort,[16]
112 indicating face validity (Supplementary Figure 2).

113 The first set of analyses used as the exposure (hereafter defined as “occupational categories”)
114 OPA categories for those in paid employment, and occupational status of those not in paid
115 employment (retired, unable to work due to illness/disability, caring for home/family, student,
116 unemployed, unpaid work). The second set of analyses included only those in paid
117 employment with tertiles of working hours as the exposure (<35, 35-40, >40 hours per week)
118 and stratification by OPA category. In supplementary analyses we repeated both of the above
119 but OPA category was replaced by SOC group for those in paid employment while including
120 the same occupational status categories for those not in paid employment. Further details on
121 the SOC group classifications are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

122 To investigate whether OPA moderates the association of physical activity outside of work
123 with mortality, we examined the association between non-occupational physical activity energy
124 expenditure (PAEE) and all-cause mortality in two sets of stratified analyses (by OPA
125 categories and by tertiles of working hours). We previously showed how self-reported
126 variables representing multiple behaviours could be combined to predict total PAEE in UK
127 Biobank.[12] For the present study, the three occupational activity variables (manual work,
128 standing/walking, sedentary work) included in this prediction model were set to zero such that
129 the resulting estimate represented non-occupational PAEE. Further details of this prediction
130 model are shown in Supplementary Table 3. Hazard ratios for non-occupational PAEE were
131 presented per 5 kJ/day/kg as this approximates the lower World Health Organization guideline
132 of 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity per week[17].

133 **Outcome assessment**

134 Vital status and date of death were established by linkage to national death registries obtained
135 from the Health and Social Care Information Centre for England and Wales and the

136 Information Services Department for Scotland.[13] The censoring date for mortality was 31st
137 March 2020 in all three nations.

138 **Covariate assessment**

139 Demographic, lifestyle, and clinical variables were assessed at baseline by the
140 aforementioned touch-screen questionnaire, verbal interview, or physical measurement. The
141 following baseline variables were considered as potential confounders of the relationship
142 between OPA and all-cause mortality: age (years), sex, ethnicity (white/non-white), Townsend
143 deprivation index (higher scores indicating higher levels of deprivation), highest educational
144 level (degree or above/any other qualification/no qualification), annual household income
145 (prefer not to answer, do not know, <£18,000, £18,000-£30,999, £31,000-£51,999, £52,000-
146 £100,000, >£100,000), working hours per week (when not assessed as an exposure or used
147 as a stratifying variable; tertiles), alcohol consumption (never/previous/current), smoking
148 (never/previous/current), salt added to food (never/sometimes/usually), oily fish intake
149 (never/sometimes), fruit and vegetable intake (score from 0-4 with 1 point for ≥ 2 servings/day
150 for each of fresh fruit, dried fruit, cooked vegetable, raw vegetable), processed and red meat
151 intake (average weekly frequency in days per week), parental history of cancer or CVD
152 (yes/no), use of blood pressure or cholesterol lowering medications (yes/no), doctor-
153 diagnosed diabetes or treatment with insulin (yes/no), baseline coronary heart disease, stroke
154 or cancer (self-reported or ICD-10 code I20-25, I60-69, or C00-99; yes/no), body mass index
155 (BMI) in three categories (<25, 25-30, >30 kg•m⁻²), resting heart rate (beats per minute), and
156 non-occupational PAEE (when not assessed as an exposure; kJ/day/kg).

157 **Statistics**

158 For each exposure, a Cox proportional hazards model with age as the underlying timescale
159 was used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all-cause
160 mortality. The proportional hazards assumption for categorical variables was examined using
161 log-log plots; the baseline hazard function was stratified by levels of those variables that did

162 not satisfy this assumption (fruit and vegetable intake, income, education). Fractional
163 polynomials showed that working hours per week did not meet the log-linear assumption so
164 this variable was categorised using tertile boundaries, while meat consumption ($\log[x+1]$) and
165 Townsend index ($[x]^2$) were transformed. Variance inflation factors and Pearson correlations
166 indicated no strong collinearity between variables. All analyses were stratified by sex a priori,
167 based on findings from previous studies.[6] Wald tests were used to examine the potential
168 interactions of working hours and non-occupational PAEE with OPA category. Models
169 included all covariates listed above, as well as separately omitting BMI and resting heart rate
170 which may be on the causal pathway between physical activity and mortality. Individuals with
171 missing covariate data (n=37,965) were excluded, as were those who died in the first two
172 years of follow-up (n=2,096) to mitigate potential reverse causation. For the same reason, we
173 conducted sensitivity analyses excluding those with prevalent coronary heart disease, stroke,
174 or cancer at baseline (n=63,755). All analyses were performed using STATA/SE 16.1
175 (StataCorp, TX, USA).

176 **Patient and public involvement**

177 Patients and members of the public were not formally involved in the design, analysis or
178 interpretation of this study.

179 **RESULTS**

180 In a sample of 452,884 participants, 23,310 deaths occurred during a median 11.1 (IQR: 10.4-
181 11.8) years of follow up (4,965,616 person-years). Baseline characteristics of the participants
182 by sex and occupational status are shown in Table 1, and further details for paid and unpaid
183 occupational groups are provided in Supplementary Tables 4 to 7. Approximately one third of
184 the participants were retired. Those in paid employment were younger, reported lower
185 frequency of medication usage and had a lower prevalence of diabetes, CVD, or cancer at
186 baseline. There were only minor differences between those in, and not in, paid employment
187 with respect to resting heart rate, BMI, and lifestyle variables. Jobs involving no manual work

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of women and men in UK Biobank.

	No paid employment		In paid employment		All	
	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men
n (%)	109,591 (58)	78,869 (42)	138,062 (52)	126,362 (48)	247,653 (55)	205,231 (45)
Working hours per week, median (IQR)	0 (0; 0)	0 (0; 0)	35 (22;40)	40 (37;45)	15 (0;36)	15 (0;36)
Age in years at baseline, mean (SD)	61 (6)	62 (6)	52 (7)	53 (7)	56 (8)	57 (8)
White ethnicity, %	96	96	95	95	95	95
<i>Highest educational level</i>						
No qualification, %	26	26	8	10	16	16
Any other qualification, %	50	45	53	51	52	49
Degree or above, %	24	29	38	39	32	35
Townsend index (higher for more deprived), median (IQR)	-2.4 (-3.8;0.1)	-2.3 (-3.7;0.5)	-2.1 (-3.6;0.4)	-2.2 (-3.7;0.3)	-2.2 (-3.7;0.3)	-2.2 (-3.7;0.4)
<i>Household income before tax</i>						
Prefer not to answer, %	14	9	8	6	10	7
Do not know, %	9	3	3	1	5	2
<£18,000, %	31	35	11	7	20	18
£18,000-£30,999, %	24	27	21	19	22	22
£31,000-£51,999, %	13	17	28	30	22	25
£52,000-£100,000, %	6	7	24	30	16	21
>£100,000, %	2	1	6	8	4	6
<i>Occupational category</i>						
Retired, %	80	82			35	32
Caring for home/family, %	11	1			5	1
Unable to work due to illness, %	5	9			2	4
Unemployed, %	2	6			1	2
Unpaid work, %	1	1			1	0
Student, %	1	0			0	0
No manual, no standing/walking, %			38	34	21	21
No manual, Some standing/walking, %			23	22	13	14
No manual, Mostly standing/walking, %			12	7	7	4
Some manual, some standing/walking, %			6	9	4	5
Some manual, mostly standing/walking, %			12	12	7	7
Mostly manual, mostly standing/walking, %			9	16	5	10
<i>Smoking status</i>						
Never, %	58	42	61	54	60	50
Previous, %	34	46	30	34	32	39
Current, %	8	12	9	12	9	12
<i>Alcohol use status</i>						
Never, %	7	3	4	2	5	2
Previous, %	4	4	3	3	3	3
Current, %	89	93	93	95	91	94
Fruit/vegetable score, median (IQR)	2 (1;3)	1 (1;2)	2 (1;3)	1 (0;2)	2 (1;3)	1 (1;2)
Red/processed meat score, median (IQR)	1 (1;1)	1 (1;1)	1 (1;1)	1 (1;1)	1 (1;1)	1 (1;1)
Adds salt to food, %	38	41	38	41	38	41
Consumes oily fish, %	28	31	35	38	32	35
Non-work PAEE (kJ/kg/day), mean (SD)	43 (3)	42 (3)	44 (3)	43 (4)	44 (3)	42 (4)
Parental history of CVD or cancer, %	76	72	69	66	72	68
Blood pressure or cholesterol medication, %	33	47	14	23	23	33
Diagnosis of diabetes or insulin prescription, %	5	10	2	5	4	7
Prevalent CVD or cancer at baseline, %	18	24	9	10	13	15
<i>Body mass index</i>						
<25 kg/m ² , %	36	25	43	26	40	25
25-30 kg/m ² , %	39	49	35	50	37	50
>30 kg/m ² , %	25	26	22	25	23	25
Resting heart rate in bpm, mean (SD)	71 (11)	69 (12)	70 (10)	68 (11)	70 (11)	68 (12)

bpm=beats per minute; CVD=cardiovascular disease; IQR=interquartile range; PAEE=physical activity energy expenditure; SD=standard deviation.

188 or standing/walking were most common (women 38%; men 34%), whereas jobs involving the
189 highest levels of manual work and standing/walking were less common (women 9%; men
190 16%). Supplementary Table 8 shows the distribution of participants across OPA categories
191 within SOC strata. Participants in managerial, professional, and administrative SOCs tended
192 to report less manual work and standing/walking, whereas participants in elementary, skilled
193 trade, personal service, and operative SOCs tended to report more manual work.

194 Figure 1 shows hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals of all-cause mortality for
195 occupational categories compared with the referent of a paid job involving no manual work or
196 standing/walking (e.g. sedentary office work). Among women and men in paid work, there
197 were no differences in hazard of all-cause mortality. The hazard of mortality was lower in
198 retired women, but twice as high in women unable to work due to illness. The hazard was
199 higher in men unable to work due to illness, unemployed men, and in men caring for home or
200 others. Additional adjustment for resting heart rate and BMI did not alter these findings
201 (Supplementary Figure 3). When OPA category was replaced with SOC group
202 (Supplementary Figure 4), men with “elementary” or “process, plant or machine operative”
203 SOCs had higher hazards of all-cause mortality than those in “senior managerial positions”
204 (the category we assumed to be most similar to sedentary desk work with large numbers in
205 both sexes), however no such associations were observed in women. Similar associations
206 were observed in the model adjusting for resting heart rate and BMI (Supplementary Figure 4).

207 Figure 2 shows hazards of all-cause mortality for tertiles of working hours per week within
208 different OPA strata. Women working 35-40 hours per week had higher hazard than those
209 working 1-34 hours per week, but women working the longest hours had lower hazard than
210 those in the middle tertile. Among men, working 35-40 or >40 hours per week was associated
211 with similarly high hazards of mortality, compared with those working 1-34 hours per week.
212 There was no evidence of interaction between working hours and OPA category ($p=0.49$ and
213 $p=0.90$ for women and men, respectively). Additional adjustment for resting heart rate and
214 BMI did not materially alter these findings (Supplementary Figure 5). There was no evidence

215 of interaction between working hours and SOC category ($p=0.32$ and $p=0.68$ for women and
216 men, respectively; Supplementary Figure 6).

217 Figure 3 shows associations between non-occupational PAEE and all-cause mortality across
218 occupational strata. For those in paid employment, non-occupational PAEE was associated
219 with lower hazard of mortality in both sexes with no evidence of interaction by occupational
220 group ($p=0.19$ and $p=0.11$ for women and men, respectively). For those not in paid
221 employment, non-occupational PAEE was also associated with lower hazard of mortality in
222 both sexes with evidence of interaction by occupational group in men ($p=0.02$) but not women
223 ($p=0.40$). Following additional adjustment for resting heart rate and BMI, hazard ratios were
224 attenuated across all strata (Supplementary Figure 7).

225 The inverse association between non-occupational PAEE and mortality was reasonably
226 consistent across tertiles of working hours with no evidence of interaction observed in either
227 women ($p=0.69$) or men ($p=0.61$) (Figure 4). Following additional adjustment for resting heart
228 rate and BMI, hazard ratios were attenuated across all strata (Supplementary Figure 8). We
229 observed similar results when repeating all of the above analyses with the exclusion of
230 participants with baseline prevalent coronary heart disease, stroke or cancer (data not shown).

231 **DISCUSSION**

232 In this study of 452,884 women and men including 264,424 paid workers in occupations with
233 varying degrees of manual work and standing/walking, we found little evidence that all-cause
234 mortality varied by category of OPA. Working full-time rather than part-time hours was
235 associated with higher hazard of mortality but there was no pattern indicating that hours in
236 some OPA categories were more harmful than others. Retirement was associated with lower
237 mortality in both men and women but not working due to illness at baseline was predictably
238 not beneficial for survival. Non-occupational physical activity was beneficial across
239 occupational categories, supporting universal physical activity guidelines.[3]

240 We found no evidence of an association between OPA and all-cause mortality after controlling
241 for non-occupational physical activity, working hours, and a range of demographic, clinical,
242 and lifestyle variables. This is somewhat in contrast to a meta-analysis of 193,696 people
243 reporting that men with high level of OPA were at higher risk of mortality than those at the low
244 level (HR=1.18, 95% CI: 1.05-1.34, $I^2=76\%$), and the corresponding result for women which
245 showed some evidence of an inverse association (HR=0.90, 95% CI: 0.80-1.01, $I^2=0\%$).^[6]
246 Our main findings from a single UK cohort are however in agreement with studies from
247 Europe^[18–22] and the USA^[23] indicating no association. However, in our SOC analysis, we
248 did observe higher all-cause mortality for “elementary occupations” and “process, plant and
249 machine operatives” in men. Discrepancies between our findings and previous work may
250 partly be explained by variation in working patterns and conditions between populations and
251 eras. For example, the strongest effect size (HR 3.40, 95% CI: 1.94-5.96) in the above meta-
252 analysis is from a Taiwanese study with baseline in 1990,^[24] likely not generalisable to the
253 UK between 2006 and 2010. Alternatively, our findings could suggest that in this UK
254 population, the combination of two self-reported OPA variables is insufficient to characterise
255 the intensity level of work throughout the day or week, making groups more difficult to
256 distinguish and biasing effect estimates towards the null. The SOCs for which we observed
257 higher harmful associations with all-cause mortality (including assembly line and construction
258 workers, cleaners, and drivers) are perhaps more consistent in terms of activity intensity and
259 thus better characterised, but the potential risks of the actual physical activity performed as
260 part of these occupations should be investigated further using objective measures of physical
261 activity labelled by domain. Accelerometers have been combined with work diaries to show
262 that for mostly (71%) “blue-collar” workers in Denmark, reallocating time to MVPA at work was
263 positively associated with long term sickness absence, whereas an inverse association was
264 observed for reallocating time to MVPA in leisure-time.^[25]

265 In contrast to objective monitoring, self-reported categorical data do not detail the pattern of
266 work bouts intensity across each day. Although a strength of this work was calibration of our

267 estimate of non-occupational PAEE to objective measures, we have previously shown that the
268 combined inference of activity volume from these self-report data is weak relative to objective
269 measures, with a large proportion of unexplained variance typical of self-report data.[26] This
270 unexplained variance would include unmeasured OPA which could vary by occupation.
271 Without methods to more accurately estimate the dose of OPA and control for non-
272 occupational activity, it is at present difficult to rule out the possibility of health risks or benefits
273 associated with OPA, let alone make domain-specific health recommendations such as those
274 relating to total physical activity, for which robust measures are available.[27]

275 Overall, we found that non-occupational physical activity was inversely associated with all-
276 cause mortality in paid workers, with stronger associations for those not in paid work. We
277 observed no interaction between OPA category and non-occupational PAEE in paid workers,
278 reflecting previous reports that leisure-time physical activity was beneficial independent of
279 occupational physical activity level.[28,29] Taken together, our results for OPA and non-
280 occupational physical activity suggest that all adults should all aim to be active during their
281 leisure-time irrespective of their occupational status, with the potential additional benefit of
282 substituting out harmful sedentary behaviours.[30] Our results also indicate that OPA may not
283 confer health benefits in this relatively older UK population so the message to be active in
284 leisure-time may be even more important. Moreover, increasing activity at work may be
285 difficult for some workers.

286 Strengths of this work include a large single cohort study allowing robust estimation of
287 associations, adjustment for a wide range of socio-economic and behavioural covariates as
288 well as sufficient size to conduct stratified analyses larger than many occupational cohort
289 studies. There are also important limitations of this work. As in any observational study, the
290 above adjustments cannot fully eliminate confounding. Job satisfaction, exposure to
291 hazardous materials or working conditions, and shift pattern data are available in UK Biobank
292 but only in a small subsample. Data for phenomena which may potentially confound
293 associations, such as work stress[31,32] and access to sick leave[33] are not recorded.

294 Characteristics like these may be patterned by occupational group, and these strata could be
295 used to investigate specific working cohorts, such as in previous studies.[10,11]

296 We used baseline data to assign occupational status and were unable to account for any
297 changes during the follow-up period. In a sample aged 40-69 at baseline, retirement or
298 changes in work due to illness during follow-up are of particular concern. We were also unable
299 to account for potentially complex work histories leading up to baseline, account for changes
300 to nature of work over time,[34] or generalise our findings to younger workers. There is also
301 evidence of a healthy volunteer selection bias in UK Biobank such that it is not representative
302 of the general population,[35] particularly in relation to smoking and education[36] which are
303 notable confounders for this study.

304 In summary, analysis of this population of UK adults aged 40-69 years old showed limited
305 evidence of an association between OPA and all-cause mortality, although potential
306 measurement error and residual confounding mean that we are unable to rule out the
307 possibility of either health benefits or risks. Until stronger evidence is available from a
308 combination of domain labels and objective assessment of the temporal pattern of activity,
309 individuals should continue to maximise their physical activity volume during leisure-time
310 irrespective of their occupation.

311 **Contributors**

312 MP and SB conceptualised the study. MP undertook the analyses with input from TS, SJS,
313 KW, AM, and SB. MP drafted the manuscript with critical revisions from all authors. All authors
314 approved the final version.

315 The lead author (MP) affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent
316 account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted;
317 and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

318 **Acknowledgements**

319 We are indebted to the principal investigators of UK Biobank and the volunteers who took
320 part.

321 **Competing interests**

322 All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at
323 www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare no support from any additional organisations for
324 the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an
325 interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities
326 that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

327 **Funding**

328 MP, TS, KW, AM, and SB acknowledge funding from the Medical Research Council (grants
329 MC_UU_00006/4, MC_UU_12015/3, and MC_UU_12015/1) and NIHR Cambridge
330 Biomedical Research Centre (IS_BRC-1215-20014). SJS acknowledges funding from
331 MRC grant MC_UU_12015/1.

332 **Ethical approval**

333 UK Biobank was approved by the North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee and all
334 participants provided written informed consent.

335 **Data sharing**

336 The UK Biobank data that support the findings of this study are available to all bona fide
337 researchers for health related research that is in the public interest,
338 <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply/>. This work was conducted under UK Biobank
339 application number 20684.

340 REFERENCES

- 341 1. Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-
342 communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life
343 expectancy. *Lancet* 2012;380(9838):219–29.
- 344 2. Strain T, Brage S, Sharp SJ, et al. Use of the prevented fraction for the population to
345 determine deaths averted by existing prevalence of physical activity: a descriptive
346 study. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2020;8(7):e920–30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30211-4)
347 [109X\(20\)30211-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30211-4)
- 348 3. Department of Health & Social Care, Welsh Government, Department of Health
349 Northern Ireland, et al. Physical activity guidelines: UK Chief Medical Officers' report
350 [Internet]. London; 2019. [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/physical-activity-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/physical-activity-guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report)
351 [guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/physical-activity-guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report)
- 352 4. Bull F, Saad Al-Ansari S, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 Guidelines on
353 Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. *Br J Sport Med* 2020;54:1451–62.
354 [10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955)
- 355 5. Holtermann A, Hansen J V., Burr H, et al. The health paradox of occupational and
356 leisure-time physical activity. *Br J Sport Med* 2012;46:291–5.
- 357 6. Coenen P, Huysmans MA, Holtermann A, et al. Do highly physically active workers die
358 early? A systematic review with meta-analysis of data from 193 696 participants. *Br J*
359 *Sports Med* 2018;52(20):1320–6.
- 360 7. Holtermann A, Krause N, Van Der Beek AJ, et al. The physical activity paradox: Six
361 reasons why occupational physical activity (OPA) does not confer the cardiovascular
362 health benefits that leisure time physical activity does. *Br J Sport Med* 2018;52:149–50.
- 363 8. Shephard RJ. Is there a recent occupational paradox' where highly active physically
364 active workers die early? or are there failures in some study methods? *Br J Sport Med*

- 365 2019;53(24):1557–9.
- 366 9. Coenen P, Huysmans MA, Holtermann A, et al. Towards a better understanding of the
367 “physical activity paradox”: The need for a research agenda. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;1–3.
368 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-101343
- 369 10. Morris JN, Heady JA, Raffle PAB, et al. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of
370 work. *Lancet* 1953;265:1111–20.
- 371 11. Paffenbarger RS, Laughlin ME, Gima AS, et al. Work activity of longshoremen as
372 related to death from coronary heart disease and stroke. *N Engl J Med*
373 1970;282(20):1109–14.
- 374 12. Pearce M, Strain T, Kim Y, et al. Estimating physical activity from self-reported
375 behaviours in large-scale population studies using network harmonisation: findings from
376 UK Biobank and associations with disease outcomes. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*
377 2020;17(40).
- 378 13. Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Allen NE, et al. UK Biobank: opportunities for cardiovascular
379 research. *Eur Heart J* 2017;44:1–10.
- 380 14. De Matteis S, Jarvis D, Young H, et al. Occupational self-coding and automatic
381 recording (OSCAR): a novel web-based tool to collect and code lifetime job histories in
382 large population-based studies. *Scand J Work Env Hea* 2017;(2):181–6.
383 https://www.sjweh.fi/show_abstract.php?abstract_id=3613
- 384 15. Kim Y, Wijndaele K, Sharp SJ, et al. Specific physical activities, sedentary behaviours
385 and sleep as long-term predictors of accelerometer-measured physical activity in
386 91,648 adults: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2019;16(1):41.
387 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-019-0802-9>
- 388 16. Doherty A, Jackson D, Hammerla N, et al. Large scale population assessment of
389 physical activity using wrist worn accelerometers: The UK biobank study. *PLoS One*

- 390 2017;12(2). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169649>
- 391 17. World Health Organization. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health
392 [Internet]. Geneva; 2010. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK305057/>
- 393 18. Huerta JM, Chirlaque MD, Tormo MJ, et al. Physical activity and risk of cerebrovascular
394 disease in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition-Spain study.
395 *Stroke* 2013;44(1):111–8.
- 396 19. Petersen CB, Eriksen L, Tolstrup JS, et al. Occupational heavy lifting and risk of
397 ischemic heart disease and all-cause mortality. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12(1070).
398 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-1070>
- 399 20. Wanner M, Tarnutzer S, Martin BW, et al. Impact of different domains of physical
400 activity on cause-specific mortality: A longitudinal study. *Prev Med (Baltim)* 2014;62:89–
401 95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.01.025>
- 402 21. Wanner M, Lohse T, Braun J, et al. Occupational physical activity and all-cause and
403 cardiovascular disease mortality: Results from two longitudinal studies in Switzerland.
404 *Am J Ind Med* 2019;62(7):559–67.
- 405 22. Chau JY, Grunseit A, Midthjell K, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of mortality from
406 all-causes and cardiometabolic diseases in adults: Evidence from the HUNT3
407 population cohort. *Br J Sport Med* 2015;49(11):737–42. [10.1136/bjsports-2012-091974](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091974)
- 408 23. Richard A, Martin B, Wanner M, et al. Effects of leisure-time and occupational physical
409 activity on total mortality risk in NHANES III according to sex, ethnicity, central obesity,
410 and age. *J Phys Act Heal* 2015;12(2):184–92.
- 411 24. Hu GC, Chien KL, Hsieh SF, et al. Occupational versus leisure-time physical activity in
412 reducing cardiovascular risks and mortality among ethnic Chinese adults in Taiwan.
413 *Asia-Paci J Public He* 2014;26(6):604–13. [10.1177/1010539512471966](https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539512471966)
- 414 25. Gupta N, Dencker-Larsen S, Lund Rasmussen C, et al. The physical activity paradox

- 415 revisited: A prospective study on compositional accelerometer data and long-term
416 sickness absence. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2020;17(1):1–9.
- 417 26. Helmerhorst HJF, Brage S, Warren J, et al. A systematic review of reliability and
418 objective criterion-related validity of physical activity questionnaires. *Int J Behav Nutr
419 Phys Act* 2012;9:103.
- 420 27. White T, Westgate K, Hollidge S, et al. Estimating energy expenditure from wrist and
421 thigh accelerometry in free-living adults: a doubly labelled water study. *Int J Obes*
422 2019;43(11):2333–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41366-019-0352-x>
- 423 28. Holtermann A, Marott JL, Gyntelberg F, et al. Does the benefit on survival from leisure
424 time physical activity depend on physical activity at work? A prospective cohort study.
425 *PLoS One* 2013;8(1).
- 426 29. Holtermann A, Mortensen OS, Burr H, et al. The interplay between physical activity at
427 work and during leisure time - Risk of ischemic heart disease and all-cause mortality in
428 middle-aged Caucasian men. *Scand J Work Environ Heal* 2009;35(6):466–74.
- 429 30. Wijndaele K, Sharp SJ, Wareham NJ, et al. Mortality risk reductions from substituting
430 screen time by discretionary activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2017;49(7):1111–1119.
431 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001206
- 432 31. Kivimäki M, Pentti J, Ferrie JE, et al. Work stress and risk of death in men and women
433 with and without cardiometabolic disease: a multicohort study. *Lancet Diabetes
434 Endocrinol* 2018;6(9):705–13.
- 435 32. Kivimäki M, Steptoe A. Effects of stress on the development and progression of
436 cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2018;15(4):215–29.
437 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2017.189>
- 438 33. Kim D. Paid sick leave and risks of all-cause and cause-specific mortality among adult
439 workers in the USA. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017;14(10):1–10.

- 440 34. Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, et al. Trends over 5 decades in U.S.
441 occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity. *PLoS One*
442 2011;6(5):1–7.
- 443 35. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-
444 related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population.
445 *Am J Epidemiol* 2017;186(9):1026–34.
- 446 36. Munafò MR, Tilling K, Taylor AE, et al. Collider scope: When selection bias can
447 substantially influence observed associations. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018;47(1):226–35.

448 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

449 **Figure 1** Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of all-cause mortality by
450 occupational category. Reference group is “no manual, no standing/walking”. Models are
451 adjusted for age (underlying timescale), ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index, highest
452 educational level (stratified baseline hazard), annual household income (stratified baseline
453 hazard), working hours per week, alcohol consumption, smoking, salt added to food, oily fish
454 intake, fruit and vegetable intake (stratified baseline hazard), processed and red meat intake,
455 non-occupational physical activity energy expenditure, parental history of cancer or CVD, use
456 of blood pressure or cholesterol lowering medications, doctor-diagnosed diabetes or treatment
457 with insulin, baseline prevalent coronary heart disease, stroke, or cancer. Data for students
458 not shown due to small numbers.

459 **Figure 2** Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of all-cause mortality by tertile of
460 working hours per week across occupational physical activity strata in working women (left)
461 and men (right). Reference group is “35-40 hours per week”.
462 Models are adjusted for age (underlying timescale), ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index,
463 highest educational level (stratified baseline hazard), annual household income (stratified
464 baseline hazard), alcohol consumption, smoking, salt added to food, oily fish intake, fruit and
465 vegetable intake (stratified baseline hazard), processed and red meat intake, non-
466 occupational physical activity energy expenditure, parental history of cancer or CVD, use of
467 blood pressure or cholesterol lowering medications, doctor-diagnosed diabetes or treatment
468 with insulin, baseline prevalent coronary heart disease, stroke, or cancer.

469 **Figure 3** Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of all-cause mortality per 5
470 kJ/day/kg of non-occupational physical activity energy expenditure across occupational strata.
471 Models adjusted for age (underlying timescale), ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index, highest
472 educational level (stratified baseline hazard), annual household income (stratified baseline
473 hazard), working hours per week, alcohol consumption, smoking, salt added to food, oily fish

474 intake, fruit and vegetable intake (stratified baseline hazard), processed and red meat intake,
475 parental history of cancer or CVD, use of blood pressure or cholesterol lowering medications,
476 doctor-diagnosed diabetes or treatment with insulin, baseline prevalent coronary heart
477 disease, stroke, or cancer. Results for students and unpaid workers not shown due to small
478 numbers.

479 **Figure 4** Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of all-cause mortality per 5
480 kJ/day/kg of non-occupational physical activity energy expenditure across tertiles of working
481 hours per week.

482 Models adjusted for age (underlying timescale), ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index, highest
483 educational level (stratified baseline hazard), annual household income (stratified baseline
484 hazard), alcohol consumption, smoking, salt added to food, oily fish intake, fruit and vegetable
485 intake (stratified baseline hazard), processed and red meat intake, parental history of cancer
486 or CVD, use of blood pressure or cholesterol lowering medications, doctor-diagnosed diabetes
487 or treatment with insulin, baseline prevalent coronary heart disease, stroke, or cancer,
488 occupational physical activity category.







