Classification of the infection status of COVID-19 in 186 countries

Takashi Odagaki[1,2,*] and Reiji Suda[3]

[1]Kyushu University, Nishiku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
[2]Research Institute for Science Education, Inc., Kitaku, Kyoto 603-8346, Japan
[3]Institute for SIQR model, Asooku, Kawasaki, 215-0023, Japan
[*]t.odagaki@kb4.so-net.ne.jp

December 18, 2020

Abstract

We analyze the outbreak of COVID-19 in 186 countries on the basis of the SIQR model. We first represent the time dependence of the rate of change of the number of infected individuals by a piecewise quadratic function and determine the parameters for each country by fitting the time dependence of the daily confirmed new cases of the country. We define the status of outbreak in each country by the sign and the deviation from zero of the rate and show that the infection status of each country can be completely classified into nine different states.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic appears to have entered a new phase in the fall of 2020, as many countries experience sky-rocketing infections after a temporary lull period. The time dependence of daily confirmed new cases in each country, which we call an infection curve for simplicity, shows different behavior including a wavy curve with different number of peaks [1]. In order to formulate policies against COVID-19 in each country, it is desirable to have a world standard model based on the infection curve by which the status of the outbreak of COVID-19 can be characterized within a standard classification scheme.

In this paper, we propose a simple method to approximate the infection curve and to obtain the rate of change of the number of daily confirmed new cases in each country. We show that the relation between the rate of change and the number of daily confirmed new cases can be used to define the status of outbreak in each country and that the status can be characterized as T-k_n where T denotes one of four trends (increasing (I), steady (S), decreasing (D) and converged (C)), k and n represent one of six stages and the number of repetitions (waves) of infection status, respectively. By analyzing the infection curve in 186 countries, we show that the infection status of these countries can completely be classified into nine states.

In Sec. 2, we explain the fitting procedure for the infection curve on the basis of the SIQR model for COVID-19 and analyze the infection status of Japan as an example. In Sec. 3, we discuss the evolution of infection status in the plot of the rate of change against the number of the daily confirmed new cases, which is called an infection status plot, and show that the infection status can be classified into nine states. We analyze the time dependence of the daily confirmed new cases of 186 countries and classify the infection status of these countries. Results are discussed in Sec. 4.

Method for fitting the infection curve

SIQR Model

In the SIQR model[2, 3], the population is separated into four compartments; 1) Susceptible individuals, 2) Infected individuals at large (will be called infecteds for simplicity), 3) Quarantined patients in hospitals or at home who are no longer infectious in the community and 4) Recovered (and died) patients. We assume that infected individuals at large, regardless of whether they are symptomatic or asymptomatic, are quarantined at a per capita rate q and become non-infectious to the population and that infected individuals at large become non-infectious at a per capita rate γ. The time dependence of the number of infected individuals is described by

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = (\beta \frac{S}{N} - q - \gamma) I, \tag{1}$$

where S and I are the number of susceptible individuals and infected individuals at large in the community, respectively, N is the total population and t is the time. Here, β is a transmission coefficient of the virus determined by the characteristics of the virus and by lockdown measures, social-distancing and self-isolation of people. The quarantine rate is determined by the government policy on PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test. See Supplementary Materials for details of the model.

At the end of November 2020, the pandemic can be regarded as being still in its early stage far from the stage of herd immunization in any countries [1], and thus we can assume $S \approx N$. Then the basic equation governing the time evolution of the number of infecteds is written as

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \lambda_I I, \tag{2}$$

where the net rate of change of the number of infecteds is defined by

$$\lambda_I = \beta - q - \gamma. \tag{3}$$

The number of infecteds increases when $\lambda_I > 0$ and decreases when $\lambda_I < 0$.

It is straightforward to obtain the solution to Eq. (2):

$$I(t) = I(0) \exp\{\int_0^t \lambda_I(t') dt'\}, \tag{4}$$

where $I(0)$ is the number of infecteds at $t = 0$.

The observed data for the outbreak of COVID-19 is the daily confirmed new cases $\Delta Q(t)$ which is assumed to be equal to qI in Eq. (1). It is known [2] that $\Delta Q(t)$ is given by a convolution of the incubation period (from infection to tested positive) distribution function and the number of infecteds. Furthermore, since the incubation period distribution is a well behaved function with a single peak [4, 5], it is shown that

$$\Delta Q(t) \propto I(t - \tau) \tag{5}$$

holds. Here, τ is a characteristic time representing the peak position of the distribution function. Therefore, the short-term behavior of the number of daily confirmed new cases can be described by a function similar to Eq. (4)

$$\Delta Q(t) = \Delta Q(t_0) \exp\{\int_{t_0}^t \lambda(t') dt'\}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_I(t - \tau). \tag{7}$$

See Supplementary Materials for derivation.

Fitting function

The infection curve can be assumed to consist of series of inflection, maximal, inflection, minimal and inflection points.



Figure 1: Setting of parameters for an infection curve. In each time period, $\lambda(t)$ is approximated by a quadratic function shown in Table 1.

Suppose $\Delta Q(t)$ shows behavior like Fig. 1, where t_i's ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) are these points and the values of $\Delta Q(t)$ at these points are denoted as $\Delta Q_i = \Delta Q(t_i)$.

In each period shown in Fig. 1, we approximate $\lambda(t)$ by a quadratic function summarized in Table 1 and obtain $\Delta Q(t)$ from Eq. (6) which is listed in the third column in Table 1.

Table 1: Piece-wise functions for fitting of Fig. 1.

Period	$\lambda(t)$	$\Delta Q(t)$
$t_0 \sim t_1$	$f(\lambda_0, t_0, t_1, t)$	$\Delta Q_0 \exp\{g_1(\lambda_0, t_0, t_1, t)\}$
$t_1 \sim t_2$	$f(\lambda_1, t_2, t_1, t)$	$\Delta Q_1 \exp\{g_2(\lambda_1, t_2, t_1, t)\}$
$t_2 \sim t_3$	$f(\lambda_2, t_2, t_3, t)$	$\Delta Q_2 \exp\{g_1(\lambda_2, t_2, t_3, t)\}$
$t_3 \sim t_4$	$f(\lambda_3, t_4, t_3, t)$	$\Delta Q_3 \exp\{g_2(\lambda_3, t_4, t_3, t)\}$

$$f(a, b, c, t) = a\left[1 - \left(\frac{t-b}{c-b}\right)^2\right],$$

$$g_1(a, b, c, t) = a\left[t - b - \frac{1}{3}\frac{(t-b)^3}{(c-b)^2}\right], g_2(a, b, c, t) = a\left[t - c - \frac{1}{3}\frac{(t-b)^3}{(c-b)^2}\right] + \frac{1}{3}(c-b).$$

In order to determine λ_i's, we impose the continuity of $\Delta Q(t)$ at t_i's and find that

$$\lambda_i = \frac{3}{2(t_{i+1} - t_i)} \ln \frac{\Delta Q_{i+1}}{\Delta Q_i}. \tag{8}$$

As an example, we show the fitting for the daily confirmed new cases in Japan in Fig. 2(a), where the data used in the fitting are given in Table 1 of Supplementary Materials. Since both $\lambda(t)$ and $\Delta Q(t)$ are given explicitly, we can plot $\lambda(t)$ as a function of $\Delta Q(t)$, *i.e.* the infection status plot. Figure 2 (b) is the infection status plot of Japan from April 5th to November 20th, 2020.



(a) (b)

Figure 2: (a) Fitting of the time dependence of the daily confirmed new cases from April 5, 2020 to November 20, 2020 in Japan [8]. (b) The infection status plot of Japan, indicating the rate of change $\lambda(t)$ as a function of $\Delta Q(t)$.

From Fig.2, we first notice that $\Delta Q(t)$ at November 20th is increasing, *i.e.* $\lambda(t) > 0$. We call it is in the increasing trend. There are two peaks and three minimal points including the very beginning of the outbreak which can be identified as stages. Between adjacent stages, the infection curve increases or decreases which constitutes a different stage. Apparently, the outbreak of COVID-19 in Japan as of November 20th is in the third wave. The number of waves is identical to the number of minimal points plus one, which can be counted by the number of crossings of $\lambda = 0$ from the lower half-plane in the trajectory of the infection status plot.

It should be remarked that, since a piecewise quadratic function has only one parameter in each period which is determined by requiring continuity of $\Delta Q(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ may be discontinuous at the inflection points of $\Delta Q(t)$. However, this does not play any significant role in the analysis of the infection curve.

Infection status of 186 countries

Evolution of infection status

The infection status of each country can be understood by the structure of the infection status plot as shown in Fig. 3. Figure 4 shows schematic infection curves corresponding to Fig. 3.



Figure 3: Classification of infection status by the infection status plot. Solid circles denote that the plot is stationary. Red arrows indicate the direction of the possible change of the states. I-3 and I-5 are equivalent to I-1, and D-5 is equivalent to D-3.



Figure 4: The infection curve for different infection status. The solid red arrows indicate the process of outbreak in most of the countries. Red dashed-arrows are the trajectory of a wavy infection and blue dahed-arrows indicate an efficient conversion process. Step-wise increase and step-wise decrease are denoted by purple dahed-arrows and green dashed-arrows, respectively.

The procedure for the classification can be summarized as follows:

1. We distinguish four trends of the infection curve at a given instance by the sign of λ; increasing (I: $\lambda > 0$), steady (S: $\lambda = 0$), decreasing (D: $\lambda < 0$) and converged (C: $\lambda = 0$ and $\Delta Q \cong 0$) states.

2. Steady states are considered to constitute stages. The trajectory between adjacent steady states is either increasing or decreasing, which belongs to a different stage. The stages are numbered by the time sequence.

3. When the trajectory passes $\lambda = 0$ line from the lower half-plane to upper half-plane for n times, the current status is considered to be in the $n + 1$th wave. However, small loops of the trajectory around $\lambda = 0$ are not included as waves.

4. When the trajectory goes from $\lambda = 0$ to the upper or lower half-plane, the corresponding stage is kept until the trajectory becomes horizontal.

We show typical tranjectories by colored arrows in Fig. 4. The outbreak of COVID-19 starts from S-0 in any countries. While some countries stay in this stage with a few patients appearing intermittently, the infection expands in most of the countries moving to Stage 1 of Trend I. At Stage 1, the epidemic will either keep increasing (I-1 state) or reach a maximal steady state (S-2 state) and may stay there for a long time until the herd immunization is realized. The state of S-2 starts either increasing again (I-3 state) or decreasing (D-3 state) before the herd immunization becomes effective. State I-3 will shift to state I-1 and state D-3 will move either to converged state C-4 or to steady state S-4 corresponding to a minimal point of $\Delta Q(t)$. From the minimal point, it can expand again (I-5 state) or decrease again (D-5 state) at Stage 5. The infection status in I-5 state goes back to equivalent I-1 state, and the infection status D-5 goes back to equivalent D-3 state.

We see three possible repeated evolutions of infection in Figs. 3 and 4. One repeated infection is I-1 $\rightarrow$ S-2 $\rightarrow$ D-3 $\rightarrow$ S-4 $\rightarrow$ I-5$\rightarrow$ I-1, which is shown by red dashed-arrows in Fig. 4. This wavy infection has been observed in many countries such as Japan, USA and Peru. The center position of the second round and subsequent loop of the trajectory in the infection status plot may drift horizontally in either directions. One of other two repeated behaviors is I-1 $\rightarrow$ S-2 $\rightarrow$ I-3 $\rightarrow$ I -1 as shown by purple dahed-arrows in Fig.4, which represents a step-wise increase of ΔQ as seen in Morocco and Lebanon (See supplementary materials). The other is D-3 $\rightarrow$ S-4 $\rightarrow$ D-5 $\rightarrow$ D-3 as shown by green dashed-arrows in Fig. 4, which indicates a step-wise decrease of ΔQ as seen in Philippines (See supplementary materials). The trajectory S-2 $\rightarrow$ D-3 $\rightarrow$ C-4 as shown by blue dashed-arrows represents the most efficient control of COVID-19 by strong measures seen in China, Taiwan, Thailand and some other countries.

Classification of 186 countries

Using the methodology and definitions described in the previous subsection, we categorized the status of the COVID-19 outbreaks in 186 countries as of November 19, 2020. Results are summarized in Table 2. See Supplementary Materials for the detailed analysis of infection curves in some selected countries where the infection curve and the infection status plot for 64 countries are shown.

Table 2: Classification of the infection status of COVID-19 in 186 countries as of November 19, 2020. The first and second columns are Trend and Stage, respectively. The infection status is identified by Trend, Stage and Wave defined in the previous subsection and the status is labeled as T-k_n, where T denotes a trend (increasing (I), steady (S), decreasing (D) and converged(C)), k and n represent a stage and the current wave. Countries are listed in alphabetical order in each state.

		Countries		
T	S	Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3∼
I	1	Botswana, Georgia, Hungary, Jordan, Ukraine	Albania, Azerbaijan, Indonesia, Kosovo, Latvia, Pakistan, Portugal, San Marino, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, Uganda, West Bank and Gaza	Algeria, Iran, Japan, Panama, Serbia, South Korea, Turkey, USA
	3	Belize, Greece, Togo, Uruguay	Belarus, Canada, Estonia, Russia	Moldova
	5	Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Benin, Brazil, Burma, Burundi, Comoros, Congo (Brazzaville), Congo (Kinshasa), Costa Rica, Egypt, El Salvador, Ghana, Jamaica, Kazakhstan, Liberia, Mali, Paraguay, Rwanda, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Zimbabwe		
S	0	Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Bhutan, Cambodia, Dominica, Eritrea, Fiji, Grenada, Holy See, Laos, Liechtenstein, Mongolia, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Seychelles, Western Sahara		
	2	Ecuador, Guinea, Morocco, Poland, Sri Lanka, Tunisia	Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Finland, Germany, Italy, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Libya, Lithuania, Malaysia, Malta, North Macedonia, Norway, United Kingdom	Denmark, Lebanon, Luxembourg, Montenegro, Romania, Sweden
	4	Bahamas, Cameroon, Chile, Dominican Republic, Eswatini, Guatemala, Guyana, India, Iraq, Namibia, Nigeria, Qatar, Slovenia, South Sudan, Tajikistan, Venezuela	Maldives, Peru, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Uzbekistan	Ethiopia, Israel
D	3	Angola, Argentina, Cabo Verde, Cote d'Ivoire, Czech, Honduras, Nicaragua, Slovakia, Zambia	Andorra, Armenia, Bahrain, Belgium, Burkina Faso, Chad, Colombia, France, Iceland, Ireland, Lesotho, Mexico, Monaco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, Oman, Spain, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates	Kuwait, Philippines
	5	Sierra Leone		
C	4	Bolivia, Brunei, Central African Republic, China, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritania, Mauritius, Niger, Papua New Guinea, Sao Tome and Principe, Suriname, Taiwan, Tanzania, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Yemen	Australia, Djibouti, New Zealand, Singapore, Vietnam	

Discussion

In this paper, we proposed a simple method to analyze the infection curve of COVID-19 and showed that the infection curve can be classified into nine states on the basis of the behavior in the recent past.

In a previous paper [6], it is argued that the apparent steady states of the infection status could be classified into five types: Type I is a steadily increasing infection curve without any wavy behavior, which corresponds to I-1 $_1$ or S-2$_1$ in the present study, and Type II is a similar state with some number of waves, which corresponds to S-2 $_n$ ($n \geq 2$). Type III is a self-organized wavy infection curve which consists of some number of sequence of states I-1, S-2, D-3, S-4, I-5 and I-1. Type IV and V correspond to S-4 and C-4, respectively, in the present classification.

The present classification scheme can be used to classify the infection status and the classification provides the basis for further study on the disparity of the outbreak in different countries. It will also be useful for formulating an optimum

strategy to control the outbreak [7], since the rate of change λ is determined by β, q and γ, where β depends on the characteristics of the virus (which might be mutated), vaccination and policies for reducing the social contacts, q is determined by the quaratine measure and γ is related to treatments of patients. Since γ is more or less a constant at this moment, policies for making λ negative must be either reducing β or increasing q. It has been proved that the latter is more effective than the former [7]. Therefore, the government of each country must introduce a measure increasing q by quarantining symptomatic and asymptomatic patients effectively. Needless to say, reducing β by self-isolation and by vaccination are also important to keep its medical system intact.

References

[1] Coronavirus Resource Center, Johns Hopkins University; https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/

[2] Odagaki, T. Analysis of the outbreak of COVID-19 in Japan by SIQR model. *Infect. Dis. Model.* **5**, 691-698 (2020). https://doi:10.1016/j.idm.2020.08.013

[3] Suda, R. Report on COVID-19 verification case study in nine countries using the SIQR model; https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.10.07.20208298v1 (2020).

[4] Backer, J. A., Klinkenberg D. & Wallinga, J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travelers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. *Eurosurveillance* **25**, 2000062; https://doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.5.2000062 (2020).

[5] He, X. *et al.* Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 672-675 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5

[6] Odagaki, T. Self-organized wavy infection curve of COVID-19; https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.08099

[7] Odagaki, T. Exact properties of SIQR model for COVID-19. *Physica A* **564** 125564-1–9 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2020.125564

[8] Ministry of Health. Labor, Welfare of Japan; https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/covid-19/kokunainohasseijoukyou.html

Author contributions

T. O. conceived and developed the formulation for analysis of the infection status and R. S. conducted analysis of the infection status of 186 countries.

Additional information

We declare that this research has been conducted independently from any other work and that there are no competing interests.

Classification of the infection status of COVID-19 in 186 countries

Takashi Odagaki[1,2,*] and Reiji Suda[3]

[1] Kyushu University, Nishiku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
[2] Research Institute for Science Education, Inc., Kitaku, Kyoto 603-8346, Japan
[3] Institute for SIQR model, Asooku, Kawasaki, 215-0023, Japan
*t.odagaki@kb4.so-net.ne.jp

December 18, 2020

Abstract

We analyze the outbreak of COVID-19 in 186 countries on the basis of the SIQR model. We first represent the time dependence of the rate of change of the number of infected individuals by a piecewise quadratic function and determine the parameters for each country by fitting the time dependence of the daily confirmed new cases of the country. We define the status of outbreak in each country by the sign and the deviation from zero of the rate and show that the infection status of each country can be completely classified into nine different states.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic appears to have entered a new phase in the fall of 2020, as many countries experience sky-rocketing infections after a temporary lull period. The time dependence of daily confirmed new cases in each country, which we call an infection curve for simplicity, shows different behavior including a wavy curve with different number of peaks [1]. In order to formulate policies against COVID-19 in each country, it is desirable to have a world standard model based on the infection curve by which the status of the outbreak of COVID-19 can be characterized within a standard classification scheme.

In this paper, we propose a simple method to approximate the infection curve and to obtain the rate of change of the number of daily confirmed new cases in each country. We show that the relation between the rate of change and the number of daily confirmed new cases can be used to define the status of outbreak in each country and that the status can be characterized as T-k_n where T denotes one of four trends (increasing (I), steady (S), decreasing (D) and converged (C)), k and n represent one of six stages and the number of repetitions (waves) of infection status, respectively. By analyzing the infection curve in 186 countries, we show that the infection status of these countries can completely be classified into nine states.

In Sec. 2, we explain the fitting procedure for the infection curve on the basis of the SIQR model for COVID-19 and analyze the infection status of Japan as an example. In Sec. 3, we discuss the evolution of infection status in the plot of the rate of change against the number of the daily confirmed new cases, which is called an infection status plot, and show that the infection status can be classified into nine states. We analyze the time dependence of the daily confirmed new cases of 186 countries and classify the infection status of these countries. Results are discussed in Sec. 4.