

1 **Internal medicine clerkship amidst COVID-19 pandemic: clinical**  
2 **learning experience of undergraduate medical students at**  
3 **Makerere University, Uganda: a cross-sectional study**

4

5 **Short title:** Impact of COVID-19 on internal medicine clerkship

6

7 Felix Bongomin<sup>1,2</sup>, Ronald Olum<sup>2</sup>, Lydia Nakiyingi<sup>1</sup>, Lalitha Rejani<sup>1</sup>,  
8 Isaac Ssinabulya<sup>1,3</sup>, Christine Sekaggya-Wiltshire<sup>1,4</sup>, Ponsiano Ocama<sup>1</sup>,  
9 Pauline Byakika-Kibwika<sup>1\*</sup>

10

11

12 <sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University,  
13 Kampala, Uganda, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty  
14 of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda, <sup>3</sup>Uganda Heart Institute, Mulago  
15 National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda. <sup>4</sup>Directorate of Medicine, Mulago  
16 National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda

17

18

19

20 \*Correspondence:

21 **Pauline Byakika-Kibwika**

22 Department of Medicine, Makerere University

23 E-mail: [pbyakika@gmail.com](mailto:pbyakika@gmail.com)

24

25

## 26 **Highlights**

27 1. Nearly half of the medical students reported a negative clinical  
28 learning experience during their internal medicine clerkship in the  
29 COVID-19 pandemic.

30 2. Over two-thirds of the participants expressed that it was difficult to  
31 observe COVID-19 prevention standard operating procedures during  
32 their clinical rotations.

33 3. Over 80% of the medical students felt at risk of contracting COVID-19  
34 during their clinical rotation.

35 4. About one-third of the medical students reported inadequacy in  
36 personal protective equipment like masks during their rotation.

37

## 38 **ABSTRACT**

39 **Background:** The coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic continues to  
40 impose a significant impact on medical education. We aimed to describe the  
41 clinical learning experience of undergraduate medical students undertaking  
42 internal medicine clerkship during the COVID-19 pandemic at Makerere  
43 University, Uganda.

44 **Methods:** A descriptive, cross-sectional study among medical students in  
45 clinical years of study pursuing the Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of  
46 Surgery undergraduate degree program was conducted in November 2020.  
47 Only 3<sup>rd</sup> (junior clerks) and 5<sup>th</sup> (senior clerks) year medical students whose  
48 internal medicine clerkships were interrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic  
49 were studied.

50 **Results:** Data of 188 (95%) eligible clinical year students; junior (101,  
51 54.0%) and senior (86, 46.0%) were analysed. Median age was 24 (range: 22-  
52 42) years. Majority (70.1%) were male and Ugandan nationals (94.1%).  
53 Sixty-four (30.3%) students reported inadequate personal protective  
54 equipment, 152 (81.7%) felt at risk of contracting COVID-19, and 127  
55 (67.9%) said it was difficult to observe COVID-19 standard operating  
56 procedures. Twenty-two students (11.9%) were discouraged from pursuing a  
57 career in internal medicine. Overall, most students reported good or  
58 excellent clinical experience pre-COVID-19 era compared to during the  
59 COVID-19 era (4.0 vs. 3.5,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Senior clerks significantly believed  
60 that the time allocated for the rotation was adequate ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and they

61 were able to complete their study objectives ( $p < 0.001$ ), compared to the  
62 junior clerks. Senior clerks believed that learning was difficult when  
63 combined with junior clerks ( $p = 0.013$ ). About half of the students (51.4%,  
64  $n = 95$ ) reported clinical teaching should remain as it was in the pre-COVID-  
65 19 era.

66 **Conclusions:** The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significantly negative  
67 effect on the clinical learning experience of the students. There is need to  
68 review the current teaching and learning methods to suit teaching and  
69 learning during pandemics of highly infectious diseases to ensure safe and  
70 effective learning experience.

71 **Keywords:** Clinical Learning Experience, COVID-19, Internal Medicine,  
72 Makerere University

## 73 **INTRODUCTION**

74 The on-going coronavirus disease -2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by  
75 the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2)  
76 continues to be a global crisis with devastating effects across all sectors,  
77 including healthcare and medical education [1,2]. Within a year, the highly  
78 infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus has rapidly spread in all continents of the  
79 world infecting over 74 million persons resulting in approximately 1.6  
80 million deaths worldwide [3]. Preventive approaches such as physical  
81 distancing, wearing of face masks, and observing respiratory and hand  
82 hygiene remains the mainstay of averting the spread of the virus [4-6].

83 Healthcare systems across the world have been over-stretched by the  
84 increasing number of patients with COVID-19 [7]. In fact, in some countries  
85 hit-hard by the pandemic, medical students in their final clinical year of  
86 medical training were fast-tracked to graduate to boost the existing  
87 healthcare workforce [8]. Medical students are experiencing this unique  
88 training environment for the first time during their clinical training. The  
89 impact of this situation on their acquisition of clinical knowledge and skills  
90 and how this may affect their future career choices remains elusive.

91 In Uganda, as lockdown was being eased, following standard operating  
92 procedures (SOPs) outlined by the Ministry of Health, medical students in  
93 their clinical years of training at Makerere University were allowed back on  
94 the hospital wards to continue with their clinical learning in early October  
95 2020 [9].

96 As the number of COVID-19 cases in Uganda continues to exponentially  
97 increase in excess of 28,168 cases with over 225 deaths including frontline  
98 healthcare workers [3], the fear of contracting the disease from patients may  
99 have a significant effect on the learning experience of medical students. The  
100 teachers were concerned that students' acquisition of clinical skills  
101 competence may be compromised as patient access is limited and some of  
102 the routine clinical examination procedures could be affected by the  
103 standard operating procedures for preventing COVID-19 transmission.

104 The clinical learning experience of the medical students during the  
105 pandemic in Uganda is poorly understood and not documented. We  
106 therefore aimed to describe the clinical learning experience of medical  
107 students who undertook internal medicine clerkship during the pandemic at  
108 Makerere University, Uganda.

## 109 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 110 **Study design and period**

111 This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study conducted in the last week of  
112 November 2020.

### 113 **Study settings**

114 The study was conducted at the Department of Medicine, School of  
115 Medicine, Makerere University College of Health Sciences (MakCHS).  
116 MakCHS is located at Mulago Hospital Complex, Mulago Hill, 5 kilometres  
117 North-East of Kampala, Uganda's Capital. MakCHS has four schools offering

118 12 undergraduate degree programs with a population of approximately  
119 2,000 students as of Academic Year 2019/2020. The duration of study  
120 ranges from 3 years to 5 years depending on the courses offered. Students  
121 on the Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBChB) degree  
122 program take a minimum of 5 years to complete their training. There are  
123 about 200 5<sup>th</sup> year (senior clerks) and 180 3<sup>rd</sup> year (junior clerks) students in  
124 the academic year 2019/2020 undertaking the MBChB program. The  
125 students for junior and senior clerkship rotations are divided into 4 groups,  
126 with each semester having 2 groups rotating in internal medicine each for a  
127 period of 7.5 weeks.

### 128 **Study population**

129 All 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Year MBChB students who undertook the internal medicine  
130 rotation during the October –November 2020 clinical clerkship period were  
131 included in the study after informed consent was obtained.

### 132 **Data collection**

133 We employed purposive sampling. For the quantitative study, paper-printed  
134 semi-structured questionnaires were self-administered. Data was collected  
135 immediately after candidates wrote their final internal medicine theory  
136 exams. In all settings during data collection, COVID-19 standard operating  
137 procedures (SOPs) as outlined by Ministry of Health, Uganda [9] were  
138 observed.

139

140

## 141 **Study variables**

142 Independent variables were demographic characteristics including sex, age,  
143 year of study, and nationality. Dependent variables were teaching and  
144 learning experience and challenges to clinical clerkship during COVID-19  
145 pandemic. A 5-point Likert scale (very poor [1], poor, fair, good and excellent  
146 [5] OR strongly agree [5], agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree [1])  
147 was used to evaluate overall learning experience of the learners during the  
148 study period. The study questionnaire was adapted from a previously  
149 validated and published tool [10].

## 150 **Statistical analyses**

151 Data from completed questionnaires were entered into Microsoft Excel 2016  
152 for cleaning and coding and later exported to STATA version 16.0 for  
153 analyses. Numerical data were summarized as means and standard  
154 deviations if normally distributed and medians and ranges if non-normally  
155 distributed. Categorical data were summarized as frequencies and  
156 percentages. Associations between independent variables and dependent  
157 variables were assessed using parametric or non-parametric tests and  
158 multivariable analysis as appropriate. Internal reliability of each of the  
159 questions was assessed using Cronbach alpha reliability test. A  $P < .05$  was  
160 considered statistically significant.

161

162

## 163 **RESULTS**

### 164 **Characteristics of participants**

165 All 201 eligible medical students completed the survey (response rate=  
166 100%). However, 13 participants had incomplete questionnaires and were  
167 therefore excluded from the final analysis.

168 Overall, data of 188 medical students with a median age of 24 (interquartile  
169 range: 21 to 40) years were analysed (**Table 1**). Majority of the participants  
170 were Ugandans (94.2%, n=177), male (70.7%, n=133) and residing in  
171 university halls (50%, n=94). About 72% (n=161) of the participants got food  
172 from nearby restaurants and the median daily expenditure on food was  
173 5,000 Uganda Shillings (~1.4 US dollars (USD)). Only 110 (58.5%) medical  
174 students used the bus provided by the university whereas the others spent a  
175 median amount of 10,000 UGX (~2.3 USD) daily on transport to the  
176 hospital.

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 Table 1. Social and demographic characteristics of the participants

<b>Demographic (N=188)</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	133	70.7
Female	55	29.3
<b>Age (median, range)</b>		
20 to 24	113	60.1
25 to 42	75	39.9
<b>Year of study</b>		
III	101	53.7
V	87	46.3
<b>Current residence</b>		
University Hall	94	50.0
Home	46	24.5
Hostel	28	14.9
Rentals	20	10.6
<b>Nationality</b>		
Ugandan	177	94.2
International	11	5.9
<b>Ward attached to</b>		
Infectious Disease	36	19.1
Haematology	26	13.8
Pulmonology	20	10.6
Gastroenterology	22	11.7
Endocrinology	20	10.6
Neurology	21	11.2
Cardiology	18	9.6
Nephrology	17	9.0
General internal medicine	8	4.3
<b>Source of food while at the hospital (n=186)</b>		
Restaurant around the hospital	134	72.0
None (did not have meals)	37	19.9
Packed food from home	15	8.1
Median mount spent daily on food (UGX, n=161), range	5,000	1,000 to 50,000
<b>Transport to the hospital (multiple options)</b>		

University bus	110	58.5
Public transport	88	46.8
Private transport	48	25.5
Median amount spent daily on transport (UGX, n=132), Range	10,000	2000 to 80,000

185

186 **Learning experience during the COVID-19 pandemic.**

187 **Table 2** summarizes the experiences of medical students during internal  
188 medicine rotation amidst the pandemic. The mean experience scores for  
189 most items assessed were  $\geq 4$  indicating good experiences for the respective  
190 items assessed (**Table 3**).

191 Of concern, experiences on opportunities for outpatient contacts (mean:  
192  $2.8 \pm 1.2$ ), emergency care contacts (mean:  $3.0 \pm 1.0$ ) and teaching from nurses  
193 (mean:  $2.9 \pm 1.1$ ) were generally poor. On bivariate analysis (**Table 3**), senior  
194 clerks had better experiences in most of the items assessed. Experiences  
195 were significantly better among senior clerks than junior clerks for  
196 performing physical examinations ( $P= 0.019$ ), ward procedures ( $P= 0.006$ ),  
197 opportunities for self-study ( $P= 0.001$ ), opportunities for peer discussions  
198 ( $P= 0.008$ ), emergency care contacts ( $P= 0.013$ ), and teaching from nurses  
199 ( $P= 0.026$ ).

200

Table 2. Learning experiences of medical student during internal medicine clerkship amidst COVID-19 pandemic.

Experiences (Cronbach = 0.78)	n	Excellent, n (%)	Good, n (%)	Fair, n (%)	Poor, n (%)	Very Poor, n (%)
Clinical supervision by university lecturers	184	43 (23.4)	112 (60.9)	23 (12.5)	5 (2.7)	1 (0.5)
Patient-centred learning	186	76 (40.9)	80 (43)	27 (14.5)	2 (1.1)	1 (0.5)
Team work	187	69 (36.9)	99 (52.9)	18 (9.6)	0 (0)	1 (0.5)
Learning efforts	183	44 (24)	112 (61.2)	26 (14.2)	1 (0.5)	0 (0)
Quality of teaching	184	41 (22.3)	94 (51.1)	43 (23.4)	6 (3.3)	0 (0)
Taking history from patients.	186	57 (30.6)	108 (58.1)	18 (9.7)	3 (1.6)	0 (0)
Experience while performing physical examinations	182	25 (13.7)	110 (60.4)	41 (22.5)	6 (3.3)	0 (0)
Ward procedures such as cannulation	185	62 (33.5)	86 (46.5)	27 (14.6)	7 (3.8)	3 (1.6)
Opportunities for outpatient training	185	9 (4.9)	48 (25.9)	52 (28.1)	44 (23.8)	32 (17.3)
Opportunities for inpatient training	180	75 (41.7)	71 (39.4)	26 (14.4)	5 (2.8)	3 (1.7)
Opportunities for self-learning and self-study time.	187	34 (18.2)	83 (44.4)	46 (24.6)	16 (8.6)	8 (4.3)
Opportunities for learning in the emergency department	183	7 (3.8)	53 (29)	63 (34.4)	47 (25.7)	13 (7.1)
Opportunities for training in the laboratory	183	33 (18)	74 (40.4)	53 (29)	15 (8.2)	8 (4.4)
Opportunities for discussing with fellow students.	185	54 (29.2)	79 (42.7)	35 (18.9)	11 (5.9)	6 (3.2)
Teaching from nurses	180	8 (4.4)	56 (31.1)	52 (28.9)	26 (14.4)	38 (21.1)
Teaching from Senior House officers (SHOs)	186	74 (39.8)	70 (37.6)	19 (10.2)	13 (7)	10 (5.4)
Teaching during mini rounds.	186	42 (22.6)	88 (47.3)	37 (19.9)	9 (4.8)	10 (5.4)
Teaching during grand rounds.	184	34 (18.5)	90 (48.9)	40 (21.7)	11 (6)	9 (4.9)
Overall learning experience during the rotation	187	20 (10.7)	128 (68.4)	34 (18.2)	5 (2.7)	0 (0)

Table 3. Mean experience scores of medical students during internal medicine rotation amidst COVID-19 pandemic.

Experiences (Cronbach = 0.78)	Overall Mean (SD)	Year III Mean (SD)	Year IV Mean (SD)	p-value
Clinical supervision by university lecturers	4.0 (0.7)	4.1 (0.7)	4.0 (0.7)	0.698
Patient-centred learning	4.2 (0.8)	4.2 (0.8)	4.3 (0.7)	0.389
Team work	4.3 (0.7)	4.3 (0.6)	4.2 (0.7)	0.548
Learning efforts	4.1 (0.6)	4.0 (0.6)	4.2 (0.7)	0.097
Quality of teaching	3.9 (0.8)	3.8 (0.8)	4.0 (0.7)	0.146
Taking history from patients.	4.2 (0.7)	4.2 (0.6)	4.2 (0.7)	0.662
Experience while performing physical examinations	3.8 (0.7)	3.7 (0.7)	4.0 (0.6)	0.019
Ward procedures such as cannulation	4.1 (0.9)	3.9 (0.9)	4.2 (0.8)	0.006
Opportunities for outpatient training	2.8 (1.2)	2.7 (1.3)	2.9 (1.0)	0.243
Opportunities for inpatient training	4.2 (0.9)	4.1 (0.9)	4.3 (0.8)	0.145
Opportunities for self-learning and self-study time.	3.6 (1.0)	3.4 (1.1)	3.9 (0.9)	0.001
Opportunities for learning in the emergency department	3.0 (1.0)	2.8 (1.0)	3.2 (1.0)	0.013
Opportunities for training in the laboratory	3.6 (1.0)	3.5 (1.0)	3.7 (1.0)	0.171
Opportunities for discussing with fellow students.	3.9 (1.0)	3.7 (1.1)	4.1 (0.8)	0.008
Teaching from nurses	2.9 (1.1)	2.7 (1.1)	3.1 (1.2)	0.026
Teaching from SHOs/MOs	4.0 (1.1)	3.9 (1.2)	4.1 (1.0)	0.633
Teaching during mini rounds.	3.8 (1.0)	3.9 (1.0)	3.7 (1.0)	0.132
Teaching during grand rounds.	3.7 (1.0)	3.7 (1.1)	3.7 (0.9)	0.839

## **Attitudes towards internal medicine rotation during COVID-19 pandemic.**

The majority of participants reported feeling safe with and having opportunity to learn from their senior or junior counterparts (Table 4). Overall, 81.7% (n=152) of the medical students felt at risk of contracting COVID-19 during the rotation and more than two-thirds (67.9%, n=127) agreed that it was difficult to follow the standard operating procedures for control of COVID-19 while clerking patients. In addition, about one-third (34.2%) felt that they did not have adequate personal protective equipment during the rotation. However, 124 participants (67.8%) agreed that they had a sufficient number of patients for them to learn from during the rotation. Notably, 86 participants (46%) agreed that assessments by tutors were difficult when senior and junior clerks were combined together. About one fifth of the medical students (11.9%, n=22) felt discouraged from pursuing a career in Internal Medicine during the rotation.

Table 5 summarizes the mean attitude scores for each of the items assessed. Overall, attitudes were moderately positive for most of the items. On bivariate analysis (Table 5), senior clerks significantly believed that the time allocated for the rotation was adequate ( $P<.0001$ ) and they were able to complete their study objectives ( $P<.001$ ), compared to their junior counterparts. Senior clerks also significantly believed that learning is difficult when combined with junior clerks (mean attitude score: 3.0 vs 2.5,  $P=0.013$ ).

Table 4. Attitudes of medical students towards internal medicine rotation amidst the COVID-19 pandemic.

Attitudes during COVID-19 (Cronbach = 0.71)	n	Strongly agree, n (%)	Agree n (%)	Neutral n (%)	Disagree n (%)	Strongly disagree, n (%)
I have the opportunity to learn from my senior or junior counterparts.	187	83 (44.4)	86 (46)	10 (5.3)	6 (3.2)	2 (1.1)
I feel safe around my senior or junior counterparts.	187	81 (43.3)	84 (44.9)	15 (8)	6 (3.2)	1 (0.5)
I can comfortably share patients with my senior or junior counterparts.	185	90 (48.6)	70 (37.8)	15 (8.1)	8 (4.3)	2 (1.1)
I felt at risk of contracting COVID-19 during the rotation.	186	75 (40.3)	77 (41.4)	16 (8.6)	13 (7)	5 (2.7)
The patients were cooperative during my clerkship.	186	56 (30.1)	94 (50.5)	30 (16.1)	4 (2.2)	2 (1.1)
I was able to attend all bedside teachings organized in my ward.	185	76 (41.1)	67 (36.2)	15 (8.1)	22 (11.9)	5 (2.7)
I was able to interact with the seniors effectively during my rotation.	186	42 (22.6)	87 (46.8)	32 (17.2)	17 (9.1)	8 (4.3)
I was able to attend online sessions organized by the lecturers.	182	52 (28.6)	73 (40.1)	21 (11.5)	26 (14.3)	10 (5.5)
It was difficult to observe COVID-19 SOPs while clerking patients appropriately.	187	46 (24.6)	81 (43.3)	28 (15)	25 (13.4)	7 (3.7)
Patients are always enough for all the students to clerk.	183	60 (32.8)	64 (35)	25 (13.7)	28 (15.3)	6 (3.3)
I was able to complete all my study objectives during the rotation.	184	16 (8.7)	79 (42.9)	41 (22.3)	44 (23.9)	4 (2.2)
The time allocated for the rotation was adequate.	186	33 (17.7)	61 (32.8)	34 (18.3)	46 (24.7)	12 (6.5)

Assessment is difficult when senior and junior clerks are combined together.	187	40 (21.4)	46 (24.6)	40 (21.4)	42 (22.5)	19 (10.2)
I had adequate personal protective equipment during the rotation	187	19 (10.2)	60 (32.1)	44 (23.5)	51 (27.3)	13 (7)
I found it easy to observe COVID-19 SOPs during clinical sessions.	180	15 (8.3)	50 (27.8)	49 (27.2)	51 (28.3)	15 (8.3)
Learning is difficult when senior and junior clerks are combined together.	187	29 (15.5)	31 (16.6)	29 (15.5)	64 (34.2)	34 (18.2)
Teachers attend more to the junior clerks than the senior clerks.	184	9 (4.9)	34 (18.5)	45 (24.5)	66 (35.9)	30 (16.3)
I was discouraged from pursuing a career in Internal Medicine during my rotation.	185	9 (4.9)	13 (7)	27 (14.6)	59 (31.9)	77 (41.6)

Table 5. Mean attitude scores for attitudes of medical students towards internal medicine rotation amidst COVID-19 pandemic.


Experiences	N	Overall Mean (SD)	Third Year Mean (SD)	Fifth Year Mean (SD)	Man-Whitney p-value
The time allocated for the rotation was adequate.	186	3.3 (1.2)	2.7 (1.1)	4.0 (1.0)	<0.0001
I had adequate personal protective equipment during the rotation	187	3.1 (1.1)	3.0 (1.1)	3.3 (1.1)	0.102
I was able to complete all my study objectives during the rotation.	184	3.3 (1.0)	3.1 (1.0)	3.6 (1.0)	<0.001
I found it easy to observe COVID-19 SOPs during clinical sessions.	180	3.0 (1.1)	2.9 (1.1)	3.1 (1.2)	0.344
I was able to attend all bedside teachings organized in my ward.	185	4.0 (1.1)	3.9 (1.2)	4.2 (1.0)	0.185
I was able to attend online sessions organized by the lecturers.	182	3.7 (1.2)	3.6 (1.2)	3.9 (1.1)	0.072
I was able to interact with the seniors effectively during my rotation.	186	3.7 (1.0)	3.7 (1.1)	3.8 (1.0)	0.570
The patients were cooperative during my clerkship.	186	4.1 (0.8)	4.1 (0.7)	4.0 (0.9)	0.812
It was difficult to observe COVID-19 SOPs while clerking patients appropriately (R).	187	3.7 (1.1)	3.6 (1.2)	3.8 (1.0)	0.192
I felt at risk of contracting COVID-19 during the rotation (R).	186	4.1 (1.0)	4.0 (1.0)	4.2 (0.9)	0.302
I was discouraged from pursuing a career in Internal Medicine during my rotation (R).	185	2.0 (1.1)	1.9 (0.9)	2.2 (1.3)	0.445
I have the opportunity to learn from my senior or junior counterparts.	187	4.3 (0.8)	4.4 (0.8)	4.2 (0.8)	0.140
I feel safe around my senior or junior counterparts.	187	4.3 (0.8)	4.3 (0.8)	4.3 (0.8)	0.882

I can comfortably share patients with my senior or junior counterparts.	185	4.3 (0.9)	4.3 (0.9)	4.3 (0.8)	0.800
Teachers attend more to the junior clerks than the senior clerks (R).	184	2.6 (1.1)	2.6 (1.1)	2.6 (1.1)	0.638
Patients are always enough for all the students to clerk.	183	3.8 (1.2)	3.8 (1.2)	3.7 (1.1)	0.533
Learning is difficult when senior and junior clerks are combined together (R).	187	2.8 (1.3)	2.5 (1.3)	3.0 (1.4)	0.013
Assessment is difficult when senior and junior clerks are combined together (R).	187	3.2 (1.3)	3.2 (1.3)	3.3 (1.3)	0.716

*Note: Mean attitude scores range from 1 to 5 with 1 indicating strongly disagree, hence negative attitudes and 5 indicating strongly agree, hence positive attitudes. For reversed statements (R), the higher mean attitude scores, the more negative the attitudes and vice versa.*

1 **Learning preferences.**

2 More than half (51%) of the medical students preferred the status quo, as it  
3 was pre-COVID-19, that is, ward based teaching and traditional face to face  
4 lectures (**Figure 1**). Whereas up to 45% preferred blended online and  
5 conventional classroom teaching, only 2% selected simulations and  
6 mannequins. Preferences did not differ significantly among junior and senior  
7 clerks (P=0.653).




8  
9 **Figure 1. Preferences of teaching modes during the COVID-19**  
10 **pandemic.**

11  
12  
13

14

15 **Overall learning experience during internal medicine rotation.**

16 For the senior clerks who rotated in internal medicine in the pre- COVID  
17 era, the overall experience scores were higher before the COVID-19  
18 pandemic compared to the rotation during the pandemic (4 vs. 3.5,  
19  $P < 0.0001$ ), **Figure 2**.



20

21 **Figure 2. Overall experiences of medical students rotating in the**  
22 **Department of Medicine, Makerere University.**

23

24

## 25 **DISCUSSION**

26 Besides interrupting with clinical clerkships, the COVID-19 pandemic has  
27 brought a myriad of challenges in clinical bedside teaching since medical  
28 students now have limited direct patient care activities, such as physical  
29 examinations and procedures [11,12]. In this study, we found that nearly  
30 half of the medical students felt at risk of contracting SARS-COV-2, had  
31 difficulties in observing COVID-19 preventive SOPs, and had inadequate  
32 exposures to outpatient and emergency departments. This was consistent  
33 with anecdotal observations by the clinical supervisors during the course of  
34 the clinical rotation.

35 Previous studies have evaluated the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on  
36 surgical training [13] and fellowships [14], radiology residency [15],  
37 gastroenterology residency [16] and assessment of medical students [17].  
38 Between 40 and 100% of surgical residents reported severe impact of the  
39 COVID-19 pandemic on their training [13]. However, there is no published  
40 study examining experience of medical students or residence in general  
41 internal medicine. For the senior clerks, majority reported a good or  
42 excellent clinical experience pre-COVID-19 pandemic compared to clerkship  
43 during the pandemic.

44 To minimise risks of contracting SARS-CoV-2 by the learners and their  
45 teachers, many schools and universities have opted for blended online and  
46 ward teachings. Due to the negative impact of COVID-19 pandemic on  
47 medical education, there has been a shift towards e-learning at all levels of

48 education, which may represent an optimal solution to maintain learning  
49 during this unprecedented time [18]. However, e-learning may not facilitate  
50 acquisition of clinical skills and competencies. In resource limited settings  
51 where online learning platforms are not well established it is essential that  
52 the experience of medical students is described to guide future trainings in  
53 the same setting. Despite the challenges, over half of the participants  
54 reported clinical teaching should remain as it was in the pre COVID-19 era,  
55 that is, uninterrupted clinical rotations along with class lectures. It was also  
56 interesting to note that less than 4% of the medical students opted for  
57 online only or use of simulations and mannequins as alternatives to  
58 traditional clinical teaching. This is consistent with a previous study that  
59 showed that e-learning in the clinical years is associated with low students'  
60 satisfaction and technical challenges [18]. In Uganda, we have recently  
61 reported that medical students think e-learning is inefficient and associated  
62 with poor acquisition of knowledge [19]. Moreover, cost of data and internet  
63 stability remains a big barrier to e-learning among medical students in  
64 Uganda [19]. The use of simulations and mannequins have not been  
65 frequently implemented at Makerere University hence the low number of  
66 students who opted for it.

67 Notably, the costs incurred by the students during the rotation in the  
68 COVID-19 pandemic era could have had an impact on the learning  
69 experience. The government reduced the number of travellers that each  
70 public transport means was supposed to carry to enforce social distancing  
71 as a guideline for prevention of COVID-19 transmission. Costs of using

72 public transport therefore increased to more than twice the previous costs.

73 The means of transport provided by the university was also inadequate to

74 cater for all the students.

75 The impact of interruption of clinical clerkship in internal medicine due to

76 the COVID-19 pandemic and whether the experience of clinical clerkship

77 during the pandemic has affected performance in theory and clinical exams

78 of our students is not known. However, in Columbia [17], students who sat

79 their exams during the pandemic had higher scores compared with historic

80 cohort. However, these high scores were attributed to the open book nature

81 of the exams and that students had more time to prepare for them.

## 82 **Study limitations**

83 Our study had some limitations. Firstly, there was no previously

84 standardized and validated tool to assess clinical learning experience during

85 this COVID-19. However, we adapted an existing validated and published

86 tool used in a similar setting but in a non-pandemic situation. Secondly,

87 there's paucity of published literature in this area and therefore not

88 sufficient published studies to compare and contrast our findings with.

89 However, to the best of our knowledge this is the first study to explore

90 clinical learning experience of medical students in their clinical years during

91 this pandemic in Uganda and Africa at large. Despite the above limitations,

92 our findings provide valuable information about teaching and learning

93 clinical medicine during the pandemic in resource-limited settings.

## 94 **Conclusions**

95 In this study, we have reported a considerable negative effect of the COVID-  
96 19 pandemic among undergraduate medical students clerking internal  
97 medicine in Uganda. Direct patient care activities were adversely affected.  
98 Clinical teaching of junior clerks should be separate from senior clerks to  
99 maximise their clinical learning experience.

100 **Acknowledgment**

101 We acknowledge Gladys Kyolaba for administrative support.

102 **Authors' contribution**

103 **Conceptualization:** Pauline Byakika-Kibwika, Felix Bongomin, Lydia

104 Nakiyingi, Ponsiano Ocama,

105 **Data curation:** Ronald Olum and Felix Bongomin

106 **Formal analysis:** Ronald Olum and Felix Bongomin

107 **Funding acquisition:** Not applicable

108 **Investigation:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi, Lalitha

109 Rejani, Isaac Ssinabulya, Christine Sekaggya-Wiltshire, Ponsiano

110 Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

111 **Methodology:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi, Ponsiano

112 Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

113 **Project administration:** Ponsiano Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

114 **Resources:** Ponsiano Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

115 **Software:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum

116 **Supervision:** Lydia Nakiyingi, Ponsiano Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

117 **Validation:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi, Lalitha

118 Rejani, Isaac Ssinabulya, Christine Sekaggya-Wiltshire, Ponsiano

119 Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

120 **Visualization:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi, Lalitha

121 Rejani, Isaac Ssinabulya, Christine Sekaggya-Wiltshire, Ponsiano

122 Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

123 **Writing – original draft:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi,

124 Ponsiano Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

125 **Writing – review & editing:** Felix Bongomin, Ronald Olum, Lydia Nakiyingi,

126 Lalitha Rejani, Isaac Ssinabulya, Christine Sekaggya-Wiltshire,

127 Ponsiano Ocama, Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

128 **Guarantor**

129 Pauline Byakika-Kibwika

130 **Competing interests:** The authors have declared no competing interests.

131 **Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript

132 and its Supporting Information files.

133 **Funding:** None

134 **Ethical considerations**

135 The study protocol was approved by the MakCHS School of Medicine

136 Research and Ethics Committee (reference number #REC REF 2020-221).

137 All participants provided written informed consent.

138

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Zhou, X.-L. Yang, X.-G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H.-R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.-L. Huang, H.-D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R.-D. Jiang, M.-Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X.-R. Shen, X. Wang, X.-S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q.-J. Chen, F. Deng, L.-L. Liu, B. Yan, F.-X. Zhan, Y.-Y. Wang, G.-F. Xiao, Z.-L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin, *Nature*. 579 (2020) 270–273. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7>.
- [2] World Health Organization, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak, World Heal. Organ. Geneva, Switz. (2020). <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019> (accessed November 11, 2020).
- [3] Woldometer, COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic, (2020). <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> (accessed October 24, 2020).
- [4] C. Ortolani, E.A. Pastorello, Hydroxychloroquine and dexamethasone in COVID-19: who won and who lost?, *Clin. Mol. Allergy*. 18 (2020) 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12948-020-00132-7>.
- [5] H. Pan, R. Peto, Q.A. Karim, M. Alejandria, A.M. Henao-Restrepo, C.H. García, M.-P. Kieny, R. Malekzadeh, S. Murthy, M.-P. Preziosi, S. Reddy, M.R. Periago, V. Sathiyamoorthy, J.-A. Røttingen, S. Swaminathan, Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19 –interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results, *MedRxiv*. (2020).
- [6] T.P. Velavan, C.G. Meyer, The COVID-19 epidemic, *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13383>.
- [7] D. Bell, K.S. Hansen, A.N. Kiragga, A. Kambugu, J. Kissa, A.K. Mbonye, Predicting the impact of COVID-19 and the potential impact of the public health response on disease burden in Uganda, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0546>.
- [8] G. Iacobucci, Covid-19: medical schools are urged to fast-track final

- year students, *BMJ*. (2020) m1064.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1064>.
- [9] Ministry of Health The Republic of Uganda, National Guidelines for Management of COVID-19, (2020).  
<https://www.health.go.ug/cause/national-guidelines-for-management-of-covid-19/> (accessed November 16, 2020).
- [10] T.C. Wendy Yu, B.R. Wheeler, A.G. Hill, Effectiveness of standardized clerkship teaching across multiple sites, *J. Surg. Res.* 168 (2011) e17–e23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2009.09.035>.
- [11] S. Rose, Medical Student Education in the Time of COVID-19, *JAMA - J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 323 (2020) 2131–2132.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.5227>.
- [12] H. Bauchner, J. Sharfstein, A Bold Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic Medical Students , National Service , and Public Health, (2020) 19–20. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6166>.
- [13] M.E. Huamanchumo-Suyon, D. Urrunaga-Pastor, P.J. Ruiz-Perez, P.K. Rodrigo-Gallardo, C.J. Toro-Huamanchumo, Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on general surgery residency program in Peru: A cross-sectional study, *Ann. Med. Surg.* 60 (2020) 130–134.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2020.10.031>.
- [14] T. Gupta, T.M. Nazif, T.P. Vahl, H. Ahmad, A.E. Bortnick, F. Feit, R. Jauhar, R. Kandov, M. Kim, A. Kini, W. Lawson, R. Leber, A. Lee, A.E. Moreyra, R.M. Minutello, T. Sacchi, P.J. Vaidya, M.B. Leon, S.A. Parikh, A.J. Kirtane, S. Kodali, Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on interventional cardiology fellowship training in the New York metropolitan area: A perspective from the United States epicenter, *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* (2020) ccd.28977.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.28977>.
- [15] D. Odedra, B.S. Chahal, M.N. Patlas, Impact of COVID-19 on Canadian Radiology Residency Training Programs, *Can. Assoc. Radiol. J.* 71

(2020) 482–489. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0846537120933215>.

- [16] G. Marasco, O.M. Nardone, M. Maida, I. Boskoski, L. Pastorelli, F. Scaldaferri, Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on clinical practice and training of young gastroenterologists: A European survey, *Dig. Liver Dis.* 52 (2020) 1396–1402.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2020.05.023>.
- [17] J. Prigoff, M. Hunter, R. Nowygrod, Medical Student Assessment in the Time of COVID-19, *J. Surg. Educ.* (2020).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.07.040>.
- [18] M. Al-balas, H.I. Al-balas, H.M. Jaber, K. Obeidat, H. Al-balas, E.A. Aborajoo, R. Al-taher, B. Al-balas, Distance learning in clinical medical education amid COVID-19 pandemic in Jordan: current situation , challenges , and perspectives, (2020) 1–7.
- [19] R. Olum, L. Atulinda, E. Kigozi, D.R. Nassozi, A. Mulekwa, F. Bongomin, S. Kiguli, Medical Education and E-Learning During COVID-19 Pandemic: Awareness, Attitudes, Preferences, and Barriers Among Undergraduate Medicine and Nursing Students at Makerere University, Uganda, *J. Med. Educ. Curric. Dev.* 7 (2020) 238212052097321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2382120520973212>.