

The association of covid-19 infection with household food insecurity among Iranian population

Running title: COVID-19 and food insecurity

Naser Kalantari¹, Neda Ezzeddin^{2*}, Hassan Eini-Zinab¹

¹Department of Community Nutrition, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute; and Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding Author

Address: No. 7, Shahid Farahzadi Blvd, Shahid Hafezi St. (Western Arghavan), Ghods Town (West), Tehran, Iran.

ID (ORCID): 0000-0002-0334-0858

Tel: 98-2122077424, Fax: 98-2122360660, Email: neda.ezedin@yahoo.com

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the association of food insecurity score with the status of Covid-19 infection.

Design: An online cross-sectional study. Demographic and socio-economic information were collected by questionnaire. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) was used in assessing household food security status. The analysis data was done by IBM SPSS 22.0, using Chi-square test, ANOVA test and Multinomial logistic regression model.

Setting: Iran

Participants: 2871 Iranian adults (over 18 years old)

Results: findings indicated that men [OR=0.60, CI= (0.41, 0.87), P<0.05], and healthcare personnel [OR=3.66, CI= (1.90, 7.05), P<0.001] were at higher risk for Covid-19. It was also shown that the food insecurity score is significantly higher among infected people compared to not-infected [OR=1.03, CI= (1.00, 1.05), P<0.05]. The comparison between suspected and not-infected individuals only indicated the significant differences in perceived COVID-19 prevention score, which was higher among not-infected people [OR=0.88, CI=(0.84,0.93), P<0.001].

Conclusion: Based on the results, in addition to long-term policies to improve food security, policymakers and planners need to plan and implement short-term policies (financial or food assistance) to reduce society vulnerability to the Covid-19.

Keyword

Covid-19, food insecurity, socio-economic, prevention

Introduction

The novel Covid-19 have had consequences on people life, all over the world ⁽¹⁾. These effects include not only the physical and mental health ⁽²⁾, but also the livelihoods ⁽³⁾ and economic status ⁽⁴⁾. Many people, specifically self-employed ⁽⁵⁾ lost their job or income, which in turn leads to food insecurity ⁽⁶⁾. In the study conducted by Kent et.al on Australian, household who lost their income, or had their income reduced at least 25 percent, experienced food insecurity during the pandemic ⁽⁷⁾. The pandemic has also disrupted food Supply chain contains production, processing distribution and demand ⁽⁴⁾, lead to reduced access to healthy foods ⁽⁸⁾ or sometimes increased food prices ⁽⁹⁾.

Food insecurity has negative impacts on health ⁽¹⁰⁾, including the function of immune system ^(11,12). Given the novelty of covid-19, there is little evidence of an association between the Covid-19 infection and food insecurity. Because the pandemics has been related with increased food insecurity among households from different countries ^(2,13-17), this study intends to investigate the association of food insecurity score with the status of Covid-19 infection. The results of the study can be used by policy makers and planners in policy making. It also calls for more attention to food insecurity, especially in Covid-19 infected patients.

Method

An online cross-sectional study was performed among Iranian society from the whole country, by available sampling method. Participants consist of 2871 Iranian adults (over 18 years old) who invited via social networks such as Telegram Messenger, WhatsApp Messenger and Instagram (from August to September 2020). Before answering the questions, the participants expressed their satisfaction.

Demographic (age, sex and family size), socio-economic (educational level, job and family monthly income) information were collected by questionnaire. Participants were asked to choose their infection status to Covid-19 status from the options: **1.** I have infected (Diagnosis by a physician using PCR test or CT scan tests of the lungs), **2.** I have suspected (Definite infection of someone in close contact; or signs of disease without a diagnostic test in participants) and **3.** None of these conditions (which considered as not- infected). Participants was also ask to score their preventive behavior (Frequent hand washing, wearing a mask, keeping social distance, not attending closed public places, not leaving home except when necessary, etc.) against Covid-19. The range of this score was between 1 (lowest) and 10 (highest), and named as “perceived COVID-19 prevention score” in this study.

Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) is a 9-item questionnaire, used in assessing household food security status, and rated on a Likert scale (0-3). The higher total score of the questionnaire, the greater food insecurity. A standard Persian questionnaire was used in the current study (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.85$)⁽¹⁸⁾.

The analysis of data was done by IBM SPSS, Version 22.0 software, using Chi-square test, ANOVA test and Multinomial logistic regression model. Level of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The assessment of data normality was also checked by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

Results

The infection status to Covid-19, among studied people was as follows: infected (N=187, 6.5%), suspected (N=512, 17.8%) and not-infected (N=2172, 75.7%). The mean age and family size of samples were 32.99 ± 8.31 and 3.49 ± 1.29 respectively. The prevalence of women (82.8%) was much higher than men (17.2%), so before the data analyzing, sex weighting was done based on the census data of the Statistics Center of Iran (103 men for every 100 women).

Mean age difference between infected, suspected and not-infected people was assessed via on-way ANOVA test, and it was statistically significant ($P<0.05$) (table1). The mean family size was also significantly different between three groups ($P<0.05$) (table1). The mean and standard deviation of perceived prevention score was 7.73 ± 1.98 , among studied samples and it was higher among not-infected group ($P<0.001$) (table1). The mean and standard deviation of food insecurity score was 3.60 ± 5.36 and it was higher among infected group compared suspected and not-infected groups ($P<0.05$) (table1).

The educational level of participants included: diploma and lower (21.6%); associate degree and Bachelor (47.1%); and Masters and higher (31.3%). Chi-square test didn't show any significant association between the educational level and infection status to Covid-19 ($P>0.05$) (table1). The prevalence of infected, suspected and not-infected individuals by job status, was also examined by Chi-square test. The jobs in order of frequency were: employee (24.7%), self-employed (18.8%), housewife (17.8%), student (13.1%), other jobs (10.5%), healthcare personnel (7.8%) and manual worker (7.3%). The prevalence of infected individuals was higher among healthcare personnel and employees, and self-employed was more prevalent among not-infected people ($P<0.001$) (table1). There was also a significant difference between groups, by sex. So, the infection status was lower among women ($P<0.05$) (table1). Monthly family income range was asked from participants and the results were as follows. Under 1800000 Rials (17.2%), 18000000-36000000 Rials (21.6), 36000000-54000000 Rials (23.3), 54000000-

72000000 Rials (14.2) and More than 72000000 Rials (23.6). based on the Chi-square test, there wasn't any difference between the infected, suspected and not-infected participants ($P>0.05$) (table1).

Table 1. The association of COVID-19 infection with variables

Quantitative Variables	Infection status to Covid-19			P-value ^b
	Infected Mean±SD ^a (n=187)	Suspected Mean±SD (n=512)	Not-infected Mean±SD (n=2172)	
Age	32.7±6.6	32.1±7.6	33.1±8.5	0.045
Family size	3.4±1.3	3.6±1.41	3.4±1.2	0.047
Perceived prevention score	7.4±2.1	7.3±2.0	7.8±1.9	0.000
Household food insecurity score	4.5±6.4	3.6±5.1	3.5±5.3	0.034
Qualitative variables	Infected N(%)	Suspected N(%)	Not-infected N(%)	P-value ^c
Sex				0.001
<i>Women</i>	68(4.8)	248(17.6)	1090(77.5)	
<i>Men</i>	119(8.1)	264(18)	1082(73.9)	
	187(6.5)	512(17.8)	2172(75.7)	
Educational level				0.883
<i>Diploma and lower</i>	44(7.1)	110(17.7)	467(75.2)	
<i>Associate Degree and Bachelor</i>	91(6.7)	241(17.8)	1021(75.5)	
<i>Masters and higher</i>	51(5.7)	162(18)	685(76.3)	
job status				0.000
<i>student</i>	11(2.9)	84(22.3)	281(74.7)	
<i>Housewife</i>	24(4.7)	100(19.6)	387(75.7)	
<i>Employee</i>	58(8.2)	116(16.3)	536(75.5)	
<i>Healthcare personnel</i>	31(13.9)	39(17.5)	153(68.6)	
<i>manual worker</i>	24(11.4)	37(17.5)	150(71.1)	
<i>Self-employed</i>	23(4.3)	89(16.5)	427(79.2)	
<i>other</i>	17(5.6)	47(15.6)	238(78.8)	
family income (per month)				0.808
<i>Under 1800000 Rials</i>	37(7.5)	83(16.8)	374(75.7)	
<i>18000000-36000000 Rials</i>	43(6.9)	102(16.4)	476(76.7)	
<i>36000000-54000000 Rials</i>	36(5.4)	121(18.1)	513(76.6)	
<i>54000000-72000000 Rials</i>	28(6.9)	79(19.4)	300(73.7)	
<i>More than 72000000 Rials</i>	43(6.3)	126(18.6)	509(75.1)	
	187(6.5)	511(17.8)	2172(75.7)	

^aStandard Deviation ^bANOVA ^c Chi-square test

Based on the results (ANOVA test and Chi-square test), significant predictors were included in multinomial logistic regression models. As shown in table2, the first category of coefficients compares infected versus not-infected; the next category, suspected versus infected. The results indicated that food insecurity score, job status and sex, significantly deference between infected and not-infected people (P<0.05). The comparison between suspected and not-infected individuals only indicated differences in perceived COVID-19 prevention score (P<0.05).

Table 2. Final Multinomial logistic regression models to examine the association between COVID-19 infection and household food insecurity score

Infection status to Covid-19		Unadjusted		Adjusted	
		Exp(B)	95% CI*	Exp(B)	95% CI
			lower ,upper		lower ,upper
Infected	Age	0.99	0.97, 1.01	0.98	0.96, 1.00
	Perceived COVID-19 prevention score	0.91	0.84, 0.97**	0.96	0.89, 1.03
	Household food insecurity score	1.03	1.00, 1.05**	1.03	1.00, 1.05**
	Sex=women (Ref: men)	0.57	0.41, 0.77***	0.60	0.41, 0.87**
	Job= Student (Ref: other)	0.57	0.264, 1.248	0.59	0.26, 1.34
	Job= Housewife (Ref: other)	0.87	0.45, 1.67	1.35	0.66, 2.72
	Job = Employee (Ref: other)	1.55	0.88, 2.74	1.78	0.99, 3.20
	Job = Healthcare personnel (Ref: other)	2.89	1.54, 5.43**	3.66	1.90, 7.05***
	Job = Manual worker (Ref: other)	2.27	1.17, 4.39**	1.84	0.93, 3.61
	Job = Self-employed (Ref: other)	0.75	0.39, 1.45	0.75	0.38, 1.47
Suspected	Age	0.98	0.97, 0.99**	0.99	0.97, 1.00
	Perceived COVID-19 prevention score	0.88	0.84, 0.93***	0.88	0.84, 0.93***
	Household food insecurity score	1.00	0.98, 1.02	0.99	0.97, 1.01
	Sex=women (Ref: men)	0.93	0.76, 1.13	0.89	0.70, 1.13
	Job = Student (Ref: other)	1.50	1.01, 2.23**	1.38	0.91, 2.10
	Job = Housewife (Ref: other)	1.29	0.88, 1.90	1.43	0.95, 2.15
	Job = Employee (Ref: other)	1.08	0.74, 1.57	1.07	0.73, 1.57
	Job = Healthcare personnel (Ref: other)	1.28	0.80, 2.05	1.29	0.79, 2.08
	Job = Manual worker (Ref: other)	1.23	0.76, 1.98	1.02	0.62, 1.66
	Job = Self-employed (Ref: other)	1.04	0.71, 1.53	0.97	0.65, 1.44

Note: the reference is the not-infected status of covid-19 infection

*Confidence Interval

** P-value <0.05

***P-value <0.001

Discussion

The current study was conducted among Iranian adult population, in order to assess the association of the infection status to Covid-19 and household food insecurity score. Results indicated that men and healthcare personnel were at higher risk for Covid-19. It was also shown that the household food insecurity score was significantly higher among infected people compared to not-infected. This finding is consistent with Escobar et.al study conducted on Californians ⁽¹⁹⁾. The higher infection of people with food insecurity, can be explained from different dimensions. It has been shown that food insecurity can be associated with a weaker immune system response ⁽¹¹⁾. Food insecurity is also associated with greater inflammation in the body ⁽²⁰⁾. In the study conducted by Kelly et.al, the Ebola deaths were much higher among food insecure individuals ⁽¹²⁾. HIV infected people who suffer from food insecurity and Undernutrition were more vulnerable to disease progression ^(21,22). There is a similar study on the vulnerability of food insecure children to frequent cold ⁽²³⁾. Leddy et.al showed in a study, that the Antiretroviral Drug function, significantly affected by food security status ⁽²⁴⁾.

Quality and dietary diversity of food insecure households are lower than food secure ones ^(25,26). A healthy diet that provides the micronutrients needed by the body contributes to the better functioning of the immune system ⁽²⁷⁾. Low-quality diets cause a lack of vital micronutrients for proper body function ⁽²⁸⁾. Selenium deficiency has been shown to be associated with severe status of Covid-19 infection ⁽²⁹⁾, through its important role in immune system function ⁽³⁰⁾. Vitamin D deficiency which is related to higher mortality and morbidity due to Covid-19 ⁽³¹⁻³³⁾, can be caused by food insecurity ⁽³⁴⁾ and low family income ⁽³⁵⁾. The positive effects of vitamin D supplementation have been observed in hospitalized patients with Covid-19 ^(36,37).

High calorie, low quality diet in food insecure households, are also associated with overweight and obesity ^(38,39). Overweight and obesity predispose people to non-communicable disease ⁽⁴⁰⁾. The results of studies performed on hospitalized patients indicated the more vulnerability of obese patient to Covid-19 ⁽⁴¹⁻⁴³⁾. In the present study, participants' weight and height information was not collected because the self-reported anthropometric measures accompany with many errors.

Another reason for the higher food insecurity score among infected individuals, may be due to their increased exposure to the virus, because of non-compliance with quarantine. It has been shown that people with lower economic status were less likely to stay at home, during the pandemic ⁽⁴⁴⁾. The reason could be their efforts to provide food and basic needs for the family. As seen in the United States, racial

and socioeconomic inequalities were evident in infection to Covid-19 ⁽⁴⁵⁾. People with lower Socio-economic status, encounter more concerns about employment, income, access to health care and enough food during the pandemic ⁽¹⁵⁾. So, economically vulnerable people may need immediate financial ⁽⁴⁾ or food assistance in critical situations, including a pandemic outbreak ⁽³⁾. However, in the present study, no significant relationship was found between job status and Covid-19 infection, except for health personnel, but further studies are recommended in this area.

In the present study, due to the cross-sectional feature, it is not possible to differentiate between households that suffered from food insecurity before pandemic, households that became food insecure due to pandemic, or post-infection consequences. Therefore, longitudinal studies are recommended to investigate the causal relationship between food insecurity and Covid-19 infection.

The online study has some inevitable limitations, including participation bias. In this study, the participation of young people was higher. The self-reporting form of data gathering, may also have biases. Some people with severe status of COVID-19, may not be able to participate in the study or have not reported their infection, so there may be underreporting in the number of infected people. It is recommended that similar studies, focused on hospitals and health centers, be conducted to examine the association between food insecurity and COVID-19 infection status.

Conclusion

In the current study, food insecurity score was higher among infected vs. not-infected individuals. This indicates the higher vulnerability of food insecure households against COVID-19. So, in addition to reducing food insecurity and hunger, which is the No. 2 goal of sustainable development ⁽⁴⁶⁾, policymakers and planners need to plan and implement short-term policies (financial or food assistance) to reduce society vulnerability to the Covid-19, and increase the resilience to such disaster.

Acknowledgment

This research grants related to COVID-19, from Vice-Chancellor of Research Affairs, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (code: 413, date of approval: 2 August 2020). The authors are thankful from people participated in the study and shared the questionnaire link at Social Networks.

Conflicting Interests

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Financial Support

This research grants related to Corona, from Vice-Chancellor of Research Affairs, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (code: 413, date of approval: 2 August 2020).

Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Standards Disclosure

This research was approved by Ethics Committee of the National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.SBMU.nnftri.Rec.1399 .028) on 26 July 2020.

References

1. World Health Organization (2020) Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public: myth busters. .
2. Hamadani JD, Hasan MI, Baldi AJ, et al. (2020) Immediate impact of stay-at-home orders to control COVID-19 transmission on socioeconomic conditions, food insecurity, mental health, and intimate partner violence in Bangladeshi women and their families: an interrupted time series. *The Lancet Global Health* **8**, e1380–e1389.
3. Workie E, Mackolil J, Nyika J, et al. (2020) Deciphering the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on food security, agriculture, and livelihoods: A review of the evidence from developing countries. *Current Research in Environmental Sustainability* **2**, 100014.
4. Aday S & Aday MS (2020) Impacts of COVID-19 on Food Supply Chain. *Food Quality and Safety*.
5. Mathew N, Deborah I, Karonga T, et al. (2020) The impact of COVID-19 lockdown in a developing country: narratives of self-employed women in Ndola, Zambia. *Health Care Women Int*, 1–14.
6. Parolin Z & Wimer C (2020) Forecasting estimates of poverty during the COVID-19 crisis. *Poverty and Social Policy Brief*. New York, NY: Center on Poverty and Social Policy at the Columbia School of Social Work **4**.

7. Kent K, Murray S, Penrose B, et al. (2020) Prevalence and Socio-Demographic Predictors of Food Insecurity in Australia during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Nutrients* **12**.
8. Pereira M & Oliveira AM (2020) Poverty and food insecurity may increase as the threat of COVID-19 spreads. *Public Health Nutrition* **23**, 3236–3240. Cambridge University Press.
9. (2020) *Policy Brief: The Impact of COVID-19 on Food Security and Nutrition*. ETHIOPIA: United Nations.
10. Darling KE, Fahrenkamp AJ, Wilson SM, et al. (2017) Physical and mental health outcomes associated with prior food insecurity among young adults. *J Health Psychol* **22**, 572–581.
11. Weiser SD, Young SL, Cohen CR, et al. (2011) Conceptual framework for understanding the bidirectional links between food insecurity and HIV/AIDS. *Am J Clin Nutr* **94**, 1729S-1739S.
12. Kelly JD, Richardson ET, Drasher M, et al. (2018) Food Insecurity as a Risk Factor for Outcomes Related to Ebola Virus Disease in Kono District, Sierra Leone: A Cross-Sectional Study. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **98**, 1484–1488. The American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.
13. O’Kane G (2020) COVID-19 puts the spotlight on food insecurity in rural and remote Australia. *Aust J Rural Health* **28**, 319–320.
14. Ali A, Ahmed M & Hassan N Socioeconomic impact of COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from rural mountain community in Pakistan. *Journal of Public Affairs* **n/a**, e2355.
15. Sharma SV, Chuang R-J, Rushing M, et al. (2020) Peer Reviewed: Social Determinants of Health–Related Needs During COVID-19 Among Low-Income Households With Children. *Preventing Chronic Disease* **17**. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
16. Owens MR, Brito-Silva F, Kirkland T, et al. (2020) Prevalence and Social Determinants of Food Insecurity among College Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Nutrients* **12**.
17. Ribeiro-Silva R de C, Pereira M, Campello T, et al. (2020) Covid-19 pandemic implications for food and nutrition security in Brazil. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* **25**, 3421–3430. ABRASCO - Associação Brasileira de Saúde Coletiva.

18. Mohammadi F, Omidvar N, Houshiar-Rad A, et al. (2012) Validity of an adapted Household Food Insecurity Access Scale in urban households in Iran. *Public Health Nutr* **15**, 149–157.
19. Escobar M, Mendez AD, Encinas MR, et al. (2020) High Food Insecurity in Latinx Families and Associated COVID-19 Infection in the Greater Bay Area, California. *medRxiv*, 2020.10.11.20210906. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
20. Gowda C, Hadley C & Aiello AE (2012) The Association Between Food Insecurity and Inflammation in the US Adult Population. *Am J Public Health* **102**, 1579–1586. American Public Health Association.
21. Aibibula W, Cox J, Hamelin A-M, et al. (2017) Association Between Food Insecurity and HIV Viral Suppression: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *AIDS Behav* **21**, 754–765.
22. Ivers LC, Cullen KA, Freedberg KA, et al. (2009) HIV/AIDS, Undernutrition, and Food Insecurity. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **49**, 1096–1102.
23. Alaimo K, Olson CM, Frongillo EA, et al. (2001) Food insufficiency, family income, and health in US preschool and school-aged children. *Am J Public Health* **91**, 781–786.
24. Leddy AM, Sheira LA, Tamraz B, et al. (2020) Food Insecurity Is Associated With Lower Levels of Antiretroviral Drug Concentrations in Hair Among a Cohort of Women Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* **71**, 1517–1523.
25. Davison KM, Gondara L & Kaplan BJ (2017) Food Insecurity, Poor Diet Quality, and Suboptimal Intakes of Folate and Iron Are Independently Associated with Perceived Mental Health in Canadian Adults. *Nutrients* **9**, 274. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
26. Singh DR, Ghimire S, Upadhayay SR, et al. (2020) Food insecurity and dietary diversity among lactating mothers in the urban municipality in the mountains of Nepal. *PLOS ONE* **15**, e0227873. Public Library of Science.
27. Richardson DP & Lovegrove JA (undefined/ed) Nutritional status of micronutrients as a possible and modifiable risk factor for COVID-19: a UK perspective. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 1–7. Cambridge University Press.

28. Kazemi A, Ghaemmaghami Hezaveh SJ, Nikniaz L, et al. (2020) Is Food Insecurity Associated With Iron Deficiency Anemia and Vitamin D Deficiency Among Women of Reproductive Age? *Topics in Clinical Nutrition* **35**, 240–247.
29. Moghaddam A, Heller RA, Sun Q, et al. (2020) Selenium Deficiency Is Associated with Mortality Risk from COVID-19. *Nutrients* **12**.
30. Bae M & Kim H (2020) Mini-Review on the Roles of Vitamin C, Vitamin D, and Selenium in the Immune System against COVID-19. *Molecules* **25**, 5346. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
31. Meltzer DO, Best TJ, Zhang H, et al. (2020) Association of vitamin D status and other clinical characteristics with COVID-19 test results. *JAMA network open* **3**, e2019722–e2019722. American Medical Association.
32. Radujkovic A, Hippchen T, Tiwari-Heckler S, et al. (2020) Vitamin D deficiency and outcome of COVID-19 patients. *Nutrients* **12**, 2757. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
33. Jain A, Chaurasia R, Sengar NS, et al. (2020) Analysis of vitamin D level among asymptomatic and critically ill COVID-19 patients and its correlation with inflammatory markers. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 20191. Nature Publishing Group.
34. Wahlqvist ML (2013) Vitamin D status and food security in North-East Asia. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* **22**, 1–5.
35. Mark S, Lambert M, O’Loughlin J, et al. (2012) Household Income, Food Insecurity and Nutrition in Canadian Youth. *Can J Public Health* **103**, 94–99.
36. Annweiler C, Hanotte B, Grandin de l’Eprevier C, et al. (2020) Vitamin D and survival in COVID-19 patients: A quasi-experimental study. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **204**, 105771.
37. Rastogi A, Bhansali A, Khare N, et al. (2020) Short term, high-dose vitamin D supplementation for COVID-19 disease: a randomised, placebo-controlled, study (SHADE study). *Postgraduate Medical Journal*. The Fellowship of Postgraduate Medicine.

38. Van Der Velde LA, Nyns CJ, Engel MD, et al. (2020) Exploring food insecurity and obesity in Dutch disadvantaged neighborhoods: a cross-sectional mediation analysis. *BMC Public Health* **20**, 1–11. Springer.
39. El Zein A, Colby SE, Zhou W, et al. (2020) Food Insecurity Is Associated with Increased Risk of Obesity in US College Students. *Current Developments in Nutrition* **4**.
40. Ellulu M, Abed Y, Rahmat A, et al. (2014) Epidemiology of obesity in developing countries: challenges and prevention. *Global Epidemic Obesity* **2**, 2.
41. Huang Y, Lu Y, Huang Y-M, et al. (2020) Obesity in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism* **113**, 154378.
42. Cai Q, Chen F, Wang T, et al. (2020) Obesity and COVID-19 Severity in a Designated Hospital in Shenzhen, China. *Diabetes Care* **43**, 1392–1398.
43. Hamer M, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, et al. (2020) Overweight, obesity, and risk of hospitalization for COVID-19: A community-based cohort study of adults in the United Kingdom. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 21011–21013.
44. Lou J, Shen X & Niemeier D (2020) *Are stay-at-home orders more difficult to follow for low-income groups?* Working Paper.
45. Wolfson JA & Leung CW (2020) Food Insecurity During COVID-19: An Acute Crisis With Long-Term Health Implications. *Am J Public Health* **110**, 1763–1765. American Public Health Association.
46. (2017) *The Sustainable Development Goals Report*. New York: United Nations.