**TITLE**

**Relationships of Alzheimer's disease and apolipoprotein E genotypes with small RNA and**

**protein cargo of brain tissue extracellular vesicles**

**AUTHORS**

Yiyao Huang[a,#], Tom A. P. Driedonks[a,#], Lesley Cheng[b], Andrey Turchinovich[c,d], Harinda

Rajapaksha[b], Tanina Arab[a], Bonita H. Powell[a], Olga Pletniková[e,f], Javier Redding[e], Juan C.

Troncoso[e,g], Laura Vella[h,i], Lei Zheng[j], Andrew F. Hill[b], Vasiliki Mahairaki[f,k], Kenneth W. Witwer[a,f,k,*]

**Affiliations**

[a]Department of Molecular and Comparative Pathobiology, Johns Hopkins University School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

[b]Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe

University, Bundoora, Australia

[c]Molecular Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany

[d]SciBerg e.Kfm, Mannheim, Germany

[e]Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

[f]Department of Pathology and Anatomical Sciences, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical

Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

[g]Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

[h]The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville,

Australia

<sup>i</sup>Department of Surgery, The University of Melbourne, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville,

Australia

<sup>j</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,

Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>k</sup>Richman Family Precision Medicine Center of Excellence in Alzheimer's Disease, Johns Hopkins

University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding author

Kenneth W. Witwer, kwitwer1@jhmi.edu

**Email addresses**

Yiyao Huang yiyaohuang@jhu.edu

Tom A. P. Driedonks tdriedo1@jhu.edu

Lesley Cheng l.cheng@latrobe.edu.au

Andrey Turchinovich andrey.turchinovich@sciberg.com

Harinda Rajapaksha K.Rajapaksha@latrobe.edu.au

Tanina Arab tarab1@jhu.edu

Bonita H. Powell bpowel15@jhu.edu

Olga Pletniková olgaplet@buffalo.edu

Javier Redding redding54@jhmi.edu

Juan C. Troncoso troncoso@jhmi.edu

Laura Vella laura.vella@florey.edu.au

Lei Zheng nfyyzhenglei@smu.edu.cn

Andrew F. Hill Andrew.Hill@latrobe.edu.au

Vasiliki Mahairaki vmachai1@jhmi.edu

Kenneth W. Witwer kwitwer1@jhmi.edu

**ABSTRACT**

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a public health crisis that grows as populations age. Hallmarks of this

neurodegenerative disease include aggregation of beta-amyloid peptides and

hyperphosphorylated tau proteins in the brain. Variants of the APOE gene are the greatest

known risk factors for sporadic AD. As emerging players in AD pathophysiology, extracellular

vesicles (EVs) contain proteins, lipids, and RNAs and are involved in disposal of cellular toxins

and intercellular communication. AD-related changes in the molecular composition of EVs may

contribute to pathophysiology and lend insights into disease mechanisms. We recently adapted

a method for separation of brain-derived EVs (bdEVs) from post-mortem tissues. Using this

method, we isolated bdEVs from AD patients with different APOE genotypes (n=23) and

controls (n=7). bdEVs were counted, sized, and subjected to parallel small RNA sequencing and

proteomic analysis. Numerous bdEV-associated RNAs and proteins correlated with AD

pathology and APOE genotype. Some of the identified entities have been implicated previously

in important AD-related pathways, including amyloid processing, neurodegeneration, and

metabolic functions. These findings provide further evidence that bdEVs and their molecular

cargo modulate development and progression of AD.

**Keywords**

Alzheimer's disease, APOE, brain, extracellular vesicles, exosomes, proteomics, RNA

sequencing, neurodegeneration

## INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD, (1)) is a public health crisis (2,3) that continues to grow as the

population ages, demanding new insights into pathophysiology. Mechanisms of pathogenesis

are manifold and incompletely understood. Synaptic connections are lost through

neurodegeneration. Extracellular deposition of β-amyloid (Aβ—fragments of the amyloid

precursor protein, APP) occurs in the form of neuritic plaques (NPs), while intracellular

aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau produces neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) (4–8). Similar

to misfolded prions, pathogenic Aβ and tau can template misfolding and protein modifications

at recipient sites (9–11). Wide distribution and high density of NPs and NFTs correspond with

greater cognitive impairment. Other hallmarks of AD include vascular amyloidosis, gliosis, and

blood-brain barrier disruption (12).

Numerous genetic risk factors for AD have been identified. Mutations in genes such as

presenilin 1 (PSEN1) and APP contribute to early-onset, familial AD (FAD). In sporadic AD,

variants of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene (*APOE*) are the greatest known genetic risk factor

(13–16). A central player in lipid homeostasis, APOE assists in intercellular lipid transfer, binding

both cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein receptors in addition to binding Aβ. Three major

APOE isoforms exist, encoded by alleles ε2, ε3, and ε4, and produce proteins that differ by only

1-2 amino acids but have different binding partner interactions. Whereas APOE2 is protective

against AD relative to APOE3, APOE4 is associated with increased risk, particularly in women

and certain ethnic populations (17). In Caucasians, the risk of late-onset AD is higher for APOE4

homozygosity (12-fold) and heterozygosity (2-3-fold), with some indication of greater risk for

early-onset disease (18). A majority of AD patients in the US have at least one ε4 allele. The

critical role of APOE in central nervous system (CNS) lipid metabolism is not limited to AD. The

ε4 allele has been associated with increased cholesterol, ceramide, and sphingomyelin in brains

of HIV dementia patients (19), a difference not due to inflammation (activated microglia) or

astrogliosis. Furthermore, interactions of biological sex (AD disproportionately affects women

(20)) and APOE4 have been noted in AD (17,21,22) and AD models (23,24).

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) comprise a diversity of lipid bilayer membrane-delimited particles

that dispose cellular toxins and mediate intercellular communication (25–27). Roles for EVs

have recently been recognized in AD pathophysiology (28–30). EVs participate in

neurodegeneration in AD, Parkinson's, and prion diseases  in part by spreading misfolded

proteins (31–35). In AD, Aβ and tau have been found in or on EVs in AD models and patients

(32–34,36–42). EVs are also found "at the scene of the crime": in amyloid plaques (36,43). EV

cargo betray AD and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (37,39,44–48). Furthermore, some EVs

contribute to amyloid clearance by glia (49–51), suggesting that EVs from healthy cells block AD

pathology. However, context matters: in certain models, reducing EV release may diminish

pathology (52,53). Differential expression of various microRNAs was observed in brain tissue

(54–56) and serum of AD patients (57), suggesting that the RNA cargo of EVs may contribute to

AD pathogenesis.

The study of EVs and APOE, like the study of EVs in AD in general, is just beginning (58–61), but

the rapidly growing literature shows that EVs and APOE contribute to AD via multiple

mechanisms. At the same time, APOE variants act in lipid homeostasis and trafficking, processes

that also govern EV release and uptake. APOE+ EVs have recently been characterized in

cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and cell culture, with changes in fluids of AD and MCI patients (62). In

the pigment cell amyloid model system (63), APOE was associated with EVs in the

multivesicular body, where it nucleated formation of cytotoxic amyloid aggregates (59,64)

before release from the cell. APOE is prominently sorted into EVs, particularly from neurons

and astrocytes (58). APOE shuttling may increase upon exposure to Aβ peptides (58). Consistent

with an influence on endosome-origin EV biogenesis, APOE is a well-known determinant of

transmission of certain enveloped viruses, a role exerted in the cell of origin, not the recipient

cell (65). Studies in the periphery have touched on the role of EVs in the context of APOE and

atherosclerosis (e.g., (66–68)), but without finding direct connections.

In this study, we separated and characterized EVs from human AD and control brain tissue.

Most studies of EVs in AD to date focus on EV functions or EV-associated biomarkers in biofluids

or cell culture supernatant. However, EVs are most likely to act locally, in the tissue of origin.

We therefore obtained brain tissue of AD cases with different APOE genotypes as well as

control brain tissue. We used our modification of a rigorous method for separation of tissue EVs

(69,70) to obtain and characterize bdEVs in accordance with the recommendations of the

Minimal Information for Studies of EVs (MISEV) and related initiatives of the International

Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) (26,71–73). RNA and protein profiling revealed multiple

molecular entities associated with Alzheimer's disease and, to a lesser extent, with APOE

genotype in AD.

**METHODS**

*Tissue collection, processing, and approvals*

Human brain tissues were obtained from Johns Hopkins Alzheimer's Disease Research Center.

All collections were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board.

Following external examination and weighing of the autopsy brain, the right cerebral

hemisphere was cut in coronal slabs and frozen on prechilled metal plates and stored at −80°C.

A mixture of temporal cortex and inferior parietal cortex tissues from 23 Alzheimer's disease

(AD) patients and 7 non-AD controls were included in the study (Table 1). AD patients were

diagnosed according to Braak (74) and CERAD (75,76) criteria. For APOE genotyping, genomic

DNA was extracted from tissue using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen). Genotyping was performed using

standard procedures (77).

*Separation of extracellular vesicles from brain tissue*

EVs were separated from brain tissues using our published protocol (70). Before extraction, a

small (~50 mg) piece of tissue was stored at −80°C for later protein and RNA extraction. The

remaining frozen tissue was weighed and briefly sliced on dry ice and then incubated in 75 U/ml

collagenase type 3 (Worthington #CLS-3, S8P18814) in Hibernate-E solution for 20 min at 37°C.

PhosSTOP and Complete Protease Inhibitor (SigmaAldrich PS/PI 4906837001/11697498001)

solution were added to stop digestion. The dissociated tissue was spun at 300 × g for 10 min at

4°C. Supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and spun at 2000 × g for 15 min at 4°C.

Supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter (Millipore Sigma, SLGS033SS) to deplete

tissue debris and spun at 10,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C (Thermo Fisher swinging-bucket rotor

model AH-650, k-factor 53, acceleration and deceleration settings of 9, using Ultra-Clear tubes

with 5 ml capacity). The pellet was resuspended in 100 μl PBS. This resuspension is termed the

"10,000 × g pellet" (10K). The 10,000 × g supernatant was concentrated by 100 kilodalton (kDa)

MWCO protein concentrator (Thermo Fisher 88524) from 5 ml to 0.5 ml and loaded onto a size-

exclusion chromatography column (qEV original, IZON Science SP1-USD, Christchurch, New

Zealand) and eluted by PBS. 0.5 ml fractions were collected. The first 3 ml (Fractions 1-6) of the

eluate were discarded as the void volume and subsequent 0.5 mL fractions were collected. For

the purposes of this study, a total of 2 ml eluate (Fractions 7-10) were pooled and

ultracentrifuged for 70 min at 110,000 × g (average) at 4°C (swinging-bucket rotor model TH-

641, Thermo Fisher, k factor 114 at max speed, acceleration and deceleration settings of 9,

using thinwall polypropylene tubes with 13.2 ml capacity). Supernatant was removed, and the

pellet was resuspended in 100 μl PBS as the purified EV fraction. Fractions were stored at -80°C.

***Brain homogenate preparation for protein and RNA***

For protein extraction, brain homogenates (BH) were prepared by grinding tissue in cold PBS

containing PI/PS with a handheld homogenizer (Kontes Pellet Pestle Motor) for 10 sec. RIPA

lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology 9806) was added and the mixture was sonicated using an

ultrasonic ice bath at 20 kHz for 4 × 20 sec, with a 10 sec interval between each sonication.

Homogenate was rotated at 4°C for 2 h and spun 15 min at 14,000 × g at 4°C. Supernatant was

transferred to tubes and stored at -80°C.

For RNA extraction, Trizol (Thermo Fisher 15596018) was added to frozen brain tissue and

homogenized with Lysing Matrix D beads (MP Biomedicals 116913100) using a benchtop

homogenizer at speed setting of 4.0 m/s for 20 sec (FastPrep-24, MP Biomedicals). After

homogenization, the supernatant was collected and subjected to RNA extraction by miRNeasy

Mini Kit (Qiagen 217004) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

***Nano-flow analysis***

EV concentration and size distribution were estimated using the nanoFCM flow nanoAnalyzer

(NanoFCM Co.) per manufacturer's instructions. The instrument was calibrated for

concentration and size using 200 nm polystyrene beads and a silica nanosphere cocktail

(provided by NanoFCM as pre-mixed silica beads with diameters of 68, 91, 113, and 151 nm),

respectively. EV and 10K preparations were resuspended in 50 μl of PBS, diluted as needed

(typically 1:200 dilution for EV and 1:50 dilution for 10K fractions), and loaded and recorded for

1 minute. Using a calibration curve, the flow rate and side scatter intensity were converted into

particle numbers.

***RNA extraction and quality control***

RNA was extracted by miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen 217004) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The small RNA profiles of samples were analyzed using capillary electrophoresis by

RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies 5067-1513) on a Fragment Analyzer (Advanced

Analytical). Total RNA and small RNA from BH were analyzed using capillary electrophoresis by

RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies 5067-1511) and RNA 6000 Pico Kit.

*Small RNA sequencing*

EV RNA was concentrated to 6 µl using a Savant SpeedVac Vacuum concentrator. Small RNA

libraries were constructed from 50 ng of RNA extracted from brain homogenate or 5 µl of RNA

from bdEVs using the Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit V2 (Life Technologies 4475936). Barcodes were

attached using the Ion Xpress™ RNA-Seq Barcode 1-16 Kit (Life Technologies 4471250)

according to the manufacturer's protocol and as previously published (78). The yield and size

distribution of the small RNA libraries (96 nt to 250 nt) were assessed using the Agilent 2100

Bioanalyzer™ instrument with DNA 1000 chip (Agilent Technologies 5067-1504). Multiplexed

libraries were equally pooled to 45 pM and prepared for deep sequencing using the Ion Chef

system (Life Technologies 4484177) and sequenced on the Ion Torrent S5™ using Ion™ 540

chips (Life Technologies A27765).

*RNA sequencing data analysis*

Original BAM files were converted into FASTQ format using picard tools (SamToFastq

command). Reads shorter than 15 nt were removed from the raw FASTQ data using cutadapt

software v1.18. The size-selected reads were aligned to human reference transcriptomes using

bowtie software (1 mismatch tolerance) in a sequential manner. Specifically, reads were first

mapped to rRNA, tRNA, RN7S, snRNA, snoRNA, scaRNA, VT-RNA, Y-RNA as well as the

mitochondrial genome. All reads which did not map to the above RNA species were aligned to

human miRNA references (miRBase 22 release). The remaining reads were further aligned to

protein-coding mRNAs and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) references (GENCODE Release 29).

The numbers of reads mapped to each RNA type were extracted using eXpress software based

on a previous publication (79). To compare the RNA biotype distribution, reads of different RNA

biotypes were normalized as reads per million total reads (RPM). Differential gene expression

was quantified using R/Bioconductor packages DESeq or DESeq2 as described (80,81).

***Mass spectrometry***

Samples were resuspended in 1 X RIPA buffer (20mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1mM

Na2EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1% SDS, 2.5mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1mM β-

glycerophosphate, 1mM Na3VO4, 1μg/ml leupeptin) and protease inhibitors and incubated on

ice for 5 min. The samples were sonicated for 15 min in an ice water bath before centrifugation

at 14,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and assessed for protein

concentration using the micro BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific 23235). 3 μg of brain

homogenate and 1.5 μg of 10K pellet and EV samples were buffer-exchanged prior to mass

spectrometry to remove detergent. Proteins were resuspended in 8M Urea, 50 mM Tris pH=8.3.

1 μL of TCEP (tris [2-carboxyethyl] phosphine hydrochloride, 200 mM solution in water) was

then added to the samples and incubated for 4 hours at 21°C in a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf

AG). 4 μL of 1M IAA (iodoacetamide in water) was then added and samples were incubated in

the dark at 21°C. 800 μL of 50 mM Tris (pH 8.3) and 1 μg trypsin were then added to samples

prior to overnight incubation at 37°C. 10 μL of 10% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was added to each

sample to acidify. Samples were cleaned using stage-tips preparations using 3 plugs of Empore

polystyrenedivinylbenzene (SBD-XC) copolymer disks (Sigma Aldrich, MO, USA) for solid phase

extraction. Peptides were reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid and 2% acetonitrile and loaded

onto a trap column (C18 PepMap 100 μm i.d. × 2 cm trapping column, Thermo Fisher Scientific)

at 5 μL/min for 6 min using a Thermo Scientific UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system and washed for

6 min before switching the precolumn in line with the analytical column (BEH C18, 1.7 μm, 130

Å and 75 μm ID × 25 cm, Waters). Separation of peptides was performed at 45°C, 250 nL/min

using a linear ACN gradient of buffer A (water with 0.1% formic acid, 2% ACN) and buffer B

(water with 0.1% formic acid, 80% ACN), starting from 2% buffer B to 13% B in 6 min and then

to 33% B over 70 min followed by 50% B at 80 min. The gradient was then increased from 50%

B to 95% B for 5 min and maintained at 95% B for 1 min. The column was then equilibrated for

4 min in water with 0.1% formic acid, 2% ACN. Data were collected on a Q Exactive HF (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) in Data Dependent Acquisition mode using m/z 350–1500 as MS scan range at

60 000 resolution. HCD MS/MS spectra were collected for the 7 most intense ions per MS scan

at 60 000 resolution with a normalized collision energy of 28% and an isolation window of 1.4

m/z. Dynamic exclusion parameters were set as follows: exclude isotope on, duration 30 s, and

peptide match preferred. Other instrument parameters for the Orbitrap were MS maximum

injection time 30 ms with AGC target $3 \times 10^6$, MSMS for a maximum injection time of 110 ms

with AGT target of $1 \times 10^5$.

***Proteomics data analysis***

Human protein sequences (last modified date: 16 May 2019) were downloaded from the

Uniprot database and used as the database for the search engine. Common Repository of

Adventitious Proteins (CRAP) was used as the potential lab contaminant database. Protein

identification was performed using the proteomics search engine Andromeda built in to

Maxquant V 1.16.0. Trypsin with a maximum of two missed cleavages was used as the cleavage

enzyme. Carbamidomethyl of cysteine was set as fixed modification and oxidation of

methionine was set as variable modification. The Percolator results were set to reflect a

maximum of 1% false discovery rate (FDR). The Label Free quantification was done with match

between runs using a match window of 0.7 min. Large label free quantification (LFQ) ratios

were stabilized to reduce the sensitivity for outliers. For human datasets, data scaling was done

using the cyclic loess method, and scaled data were visualized with a PCA plot. For differential

abundance analysis, nested factorial design was set up for the analysis, where each subtype of

the disease was nested within the main disease category and contrasts for the main categories

were computed by averaging the subtypes.

The protein interaction and cluster protein function prediction was done by Protein-Protein

Interaction Networks Functional Enrichment Analysis (STRING) (82). Kyoto Encyclopedia of

Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (83) was used to enrich pathway involvement of identified

proteins. Statistical significance of enrichment was determined by the tools mentioned above.

Only nominally significant categories (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) were included for

analysis.

**Electrochemiluminescence-linked (ECL) immunoassay for total tau and phosphorylated tau**

**detection**

Total tau and phosphorylated tau at threonine 231 (phosTau) were measured in BH, 10K, and

EVs using an ECL-immunoassay (Meso Scale Discovery K15121D) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 10K and EV samples were diluted 1:10 while BH was

diluted 1:100 in 2% block buffer (2% BSA in tris buffer) containing 0.5% triton X-100. Samples

were incubated for one hour on the plate. After washing the plate, the SULFO-TAG Anti-Total

Tau Antibody was added and incubated with the plate for one hour. After washing, MSD Read

Buffer was added, and the plate was read immediately with a Quick plus SQ 120 MM

instrument. Data analysis was done on MSD DISCOVERY WORKBENCH software version 2.0.

***Statistical analysis***

Statistical significance of particle count, particle: protein ratio, size distribution, RNA biotype

differences, and tau and phosTau level differences between AD and control groups and

between either of two APOE genotype groups were determined by two-tailed Welch's t-test.

***Data availability***

Nucleic acid sequencing data have been deposited with the Gene Expression Omnibus,

accession GSE159541. Proteomics data files are available on request. We have submitted all

relevant details of our experiments to the EV-TRACK knowledgebase (EV-TRACK ID: EV200126)

(73).

**RESULTS**

***Separation of EVs from AD and control brain tissue***

Following the protocol illustrated in Figure 1A, we separated brain-derived extracellular vesicles

(bdEVs) from control (n=7) and AD (n=23) individuals with different APOE genotypes (Table 1).

A small amount (~50 mg) of each tissue was set aside to produce brain homogenate (BH) to

assess protein and RNA profiles of the source material. After enzymatic digestion of the

remaining tissue and initial filtering, 10,000 x g ultracentrifuged pellets were collected and

termed "10K" as an intermediate product of EV separation. The 10K supernatant was then

separated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (70) and concentrated into a more pure EV

preparation. Fractions were processed for characterization, including proteomics and small RNA

profiling.

***Basic EV characterization***

Particle concentration per 100 mg tissue input and particle size distribution were determined

by nano-flow cytometry measurement (NFCM). No significant particle yield difference was

detected between AD and control brain-derived 10K and EV fractions (Figure 1B left).

Particle:protein ratio was also calculated to evaluate EV purity. This ratio was similar between

AD and control group (Figure 1B right). However, the EV fraction had a higher particle:protein

ratio compared with 10K, as expected and consistent with greater protein contamination of the

10K pellet (Figure 1B right). Fractions from AD and control groups also had similar size

distributions. However, more small particles in the approximately 45-50 nm diameter range

were observed in AD samples compared with controls (Figure 1C). No significant particle count

differences or size distribution shifts were observed for samples with different APOE genotypes

(ε2/3, ε3/3, ε3/4/, and ε4/4; Figure S1A-B). We examined the expression levels of 13 proteins

that are commonly reported to be associated with EVs (CD81, CD9, FLOT1, FLOT2, RAB1A,

RAB7A, TUBA1B, TUBB4B, ANXA2, ANXA5, ANXA6, ACTN1, GAPDH). These proteins were not

found to be significantly differentially abundant between AD and controls. Principal component

analysis (PCA) also showed different EV marker expression patterns between BH, 10K, and EV

fractions, but not between AD and controls (Figure 1D).

***Assessment of RNA biotype distribution***

Small RNA sequencing of BH, 10K, and EV fractions yielded 3.2M (± 1M), 2.2M (± 0.9M), and

0.75M (± 0.36M) reads, respectively (M = million, 1 x 10^6). After adapter clipping and

removing reads shorter than 15 nt, 71.28% (± 4.4%) of BH, 73.79% (±6.8%) of 10K, and 41.83%

(± 9.0%) of EV reads mapped to the human genome (hg38). Reads mapped to various RNA

biotypes were normalized to reads per million mapped reads (RPM) (Fig S2A-C). Overall, no

major differences in biotype distribution were observed between AD and control conditions,

although AD EVs showed a slight decrease in mitochondrial RNA (mtRNA) (Figure S2C). We then

determined enrichment of ncRNA biotypes in EVs relative to tissues and assessed whether the

enrichment pattern differed between AD and control brains. To do this, we normalized the RPM

of each ncRNA biotype to its average RPM in brain tissues (Figure S2D). Consistent with earlier

reports (70,84–86), miRNA and snoRNA were relatively underrepresented in EVs compared with

brain homogenates. The same was observed for mtRNA and, to a lesser extent, for snRNA. Y-

RNA was equally abundant in BH, 10K, and EVs. tRNA was enriched in 10K and was equally

abundant in EVs and BH. RN7S (SRP-RNA) was significantly enriched in the purified EV fraction

of AD samples, but not of controls. Vault RNAs were strongly enriched in EVs, although this may

have been due to co-purification of extracellular Vault particles with EVs, as reported earlier

(87). Interestingly, both protein-coding (mRNAs) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were

enriched in EVs compared with BH and 10K, and these reads mapped to thousands of different

genes. However, because of our size selection and library preparation methods, these reads

likely represent the RNA degradome and not full-length RNAs.

***EVs are associated with differentially expressed miRNAs related to AD pathology***

Examining individual miRNAs, 26 miRNAs (10K) and 16 miRNAs (EVs) differed significantly (FDR

< 0.05) between AD and controls (Figure 2A). Of these, two miRNAs in 10K and nine miRNAs in

EVs differed by more than two-fold (Figure S3A-B). Table 2 provides a summary of these

dysregulated miRNAs, indicating up/downregulation and involvement in AD as reported in

previous studies, since up/downregulation of some EV-associated miRNAs was consistent with

reports of brain tissue expression (54–56). By APOE genotype, focusing on the presumed

highest-risk ε4/4 and the lowest-risk ε2/3 carriers in our study, nine miRNAs in 10K differed

more than two-fold (with p value < 0.05), while only two miRNAs (miR-379-5p and miR-199a-

5p) differed in EVs (Figure 2B, S4A-B, and Table 3). However, none of the same miRNAs were

found in the comparisons of AD versus controls and APOE ε4/4 versus ε2/3.

To assess whether AD-associated miRNA differences in the extracellular space reflect overall

changes in brain tissue, we compared miRNA fold-changes of 10K and EVs with BH. We

highlighted miRNAs that were differentially abundant only in BH (blue dots, Figure 2C), only in

10K or EV (red dots), or in both EVs and BH (purple dots). Around 30% of differentially abundant

EV miRNAs reflected differences that could also be observed in BH (18 miRNAs in 10K and 13 in

EV, Figure 2C). miRNAs that showed robust differential abundance (FDR < 0.05 and log2 fold-

change > 2) were found within this subset of miRNAs. Eight miRNAs showed consistent changes

across BH, 10K, and EVs (Figure 2D). Analyzing the higher- and lower-risk APOE genotypes as

above, more than 90 miRNAs were differentially abundant in BH (blue dots), while relatively

few miRNAs differed in EVs (red dots). Of the differentially abundant miRNAs, 14 showed

consistent change between 10K and BH, but only three between EVs and BH (Figure 2E).

Curiously, the red blood cell-specific miR-451a was consistently differentially abundant across

all fractions (Figure 2F). Whether this is a coincidental contaminant or indicative of some

biological difference may require further investigation. miR-328-2p, in contrast, was

downmodulated in BH and 10K, but upmodulated in the EV fraction (Figure 2F).

***Differential expression of other non-coding RNA biotypes in brain tissue and EVs related to AD***

***pathology***

Since incorporation into EVs of non-miRNA non-coding RNAs can be modulated by external

stimuli imposed on cells (86,88), and since these ncRNAs may also contribute to intercellular

communication (89,90), we examined individual snoRNAs, snRNAs, Y-RNAs, and tRNAs.

snoRNAs were relatively depleted from EVs (Figure S2D), and we did not find any significant

differences in snoRNA content of BH, 10K or EVs in AD versus controls (data not shown).

Additionally, no differences in snRNA were found for 10K or EVs in AD versus controls, although

a significant upregulation of RNU4-2 in AD brain homogenates was observed (Figure S5A). We

also observed slight differences in Y-RNA content of AD versus control EVs (Figure S5B).

Compared with non-AD controls, 10K fractions of AD patients had higher levels of Y4-RNA (p

value < 0.05), while EVs contained lower levels of Y1-RNA (p value < 0.05).

Interestingly, we found many differences in tRNA content of EVs in AD versus controls (Figure

3A). Most of these differences were subtle, however, as none of the tRNAs were enriched more

than twofold. In EVs, we observed changes in various isodecoders of tRNA-Cys-GCA and tRNA-

Gly-GCC. Additionally, we observed changes in tRNA-Val, tRNA-Arg, tRNA-Pro, and different

tRNA-Ala isoacceptors. In contrast, no clear differences in tRNA content were found in 10K.

Next, we compared the tRNA content of 10K and EVs from APOE ε4/4 versus ε/3 carriers

(Figure 3B). The fold-differences in tRNA dependent on APOE genotype were larger than those

dependent on AD status, although fewer significant tRNAs were found. Two tRNA-Gly-CCC

isodecoders were enriched in 10K from APOE ε2/3 carriers, while no significant differences

were observed in EVs (as defined by FDR < 0.05).

We subsequently compared the differences in EV-associated tRNA with differences in brain

homogenates (Figure 3C). Like the miRNAs, most of the differences were observed only in the

brain homogenates, or in EVs, while a minor number of tRNAs differed in both. Comparing

APOE genotype-dependent differences in the tRNA content of EVs and BH, we observed a great

difference in BH, or in EVs, but only a small number of tRNAs that differed in both (Figure 3D).

**Proteomics and regulatory pathways**

Analysis of data collected by label-free mass spectrometry identified 1675, 801, and 1197

proteins in BH, 10K, and EVs (Supplemental Table 1), respectively. Most proteins were

identified in both AD and control in BH (73.6%), 10K (66.5%), and EVs (66.6%) (Figure 4A, Figure

S6A). Enrichment of common and AD "unique" proteins in BH, 10K, and EVs according to

presumed regulatory pathways as identified in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes

(KEGG) database was observed for pathways directly or indirectly related to neurodegenerative

diseases (Figure 4B, Figure S6B). Metabolic pathways (e.g., carbon metabolism, glycolysis, and

oxidative phosphorylation) were widely enriched for common and AD-unique proteins. Proteins

with known involvement in Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and Huntington's disease

were enriched in AD-unique proteins in 10K and EVs (Figure 4B) and common proteins in BH

(Figure S6B). Principal component analysis (PCA) of the EV proteome content showed a

separation of AD and control groups (Figure 4C, right). In contrast, no such separation was

observed for 10K (Figure 4C, left) or BH (Figure S6C).

**Differentially abundant proteins: AD versus control**

Label-free quantitation (LFQ) was used to identify up- and downregulated proteins in AD (Figure

4D and Figure S6D). More proteins were up- or downregulated in AD in the EV fraction (Figure

4D, right) than in 10K (Figure 4D left) or BH (Figure S6D). EVs have increased potential to

indicate the difference between AD and controls as compared with 10K and BH. Three proteins

(10K) and 11 proteins (EVs) differed by more than two-fold between AD and control (Figure 4E,

S7A-B). Examining proteins that were differentially abundant in EVs by Protein-Protein

Interaction Networks Functional Enrichment Analysis (STRING), 18 out of 31 had high protein to

protein interaction confidence scores (0.7 on a scale of 0-1), participating in AD-related

processes such as neurodegeneration, neurotrophin signaling, oxidative regulation, and

metabolic regulation (Figure 4F). Prominent among these was microtubule-associated protein

tau (MAPT), which forms neurofibrillary tangles in AD brains. To validate these results, we next

measured the concentration of total tau and tau with phosphorylated threonine 231 (phosTau)

in lysed BH, 10K, and EVs by ECL-immunoassay. Normalized to total protein input, both total tau

and phosTau were significantly increased in bdEVs of AD versus controls, but not in the 10K

fraction (Figure 5A). In BH, only phosTau was significantly increased in AD compared with

controls (Figure 5A). Results were similar when data were normalized by starting amount of

tissue (Figure S8). We then assessed the possible correlations of tau or phosTau in BH and 10K

or EV fractions. No strong correlation was observed for total tau levels  (BH vs 10K or EVs;

Figure 5B) or for phosTau in BH and 10K. However, phosTau levels in BH and EVs were positively

correlated (R=0.48, p=0.007; Figure 5B).

**Proteomics: APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3**

The analyses above were next restricted to APOE ε4/4 and ε2/3 genotypes (AD samples only).

Most proteins were detected in more than 50% of individuals in each group (i.e., n > 3 in each;

Figure 6A, Figure S9A). Most proteins were found in common in the ε4/4 and ε2/3 groups

(71.4% in 10K, 70.3% in EVs, and 81.5% in BH). KEGG pathway analyses of APOE ε4/4-"unique"

proteins in 10K and EVs corresponded with metabolism-related pathways (carbon, glutathione,

and galactose metabolism) (Figure 6B). KEGG pathway analysis of APOE ε2/3-"unique" proteins

in 10K and EVs did not return enriched pathways (data not shown), although numerous

pathways were enriched in BH of APOE ε2/3 carriers (Figure S9B). Moreover, both ε4/4 and

ε2/3 contained enriched proteins in BH that were involved in Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's

disease, and oxidative phosphorylation (Figure S9B).

The fold changes and p values of individual proteins upregulated and downregulated in ε4/4 vs

ε2/3 are shown in Figure 6C (10K and EVs) and Figure S9C (BH). The expression levels of

dysregulated proteins between ε4/4 vs ε2/3 are shown in Figure 6D and Figure S10A-B. As for

AD pathology overall, more differentially expressed proteins were identified in 10K and EVs

than in BH. The potential functions of these proteins in neurodegenerative disease progression

are summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The roles of EVs in regulating CNS diseases have been inferred predominantly from studies of *in vitro* models and biofluid EVs, with growing but still limited study of tissue EVs. Here, we compared protein and small RNA contents of brain homogenate with those of a "10K" pelleted extracellular fraction and a purified EV fraction of control and late-stage AD brain, including several APOE genotypes. Although the concentrations of recovered particles did not differ significantly with AD pathology, several bdEV miRNAs, tRNAs, and proteins were dysregulated not only between AD and controls, but also between the APOE genotypes representing the most "distant" risk groups in our study, the ε4/4 and ε2/3 carriers. Proteome differences between AD and controls were most pronounced for EVs, suggesting that EV proteins may have the best biomarker potential, at least for late-stage AD. The dysregulated molecules identified in our study, especially those involved in aging and neurodegeneration pathways, may be involved in CNS disease mechanisms and constitute new biomarkers for disease monitoring.

**Does AD or APOE genotype affect EV production?**

Consistent with previous studies on bdEVs from human cortex (91,92), we observed a similar bdEV recovery for AD and control. However, since bdEVs are released as a mixture of various subtypes and from diverse cells, this finding does not exclude the possibility that biogenesis of specific EV subtypes may be affected by AD. For example, MHC class I bdEVs were reportedly enhanced in preclinical AD patients compared with controls and late-stage AD (92). Also, the APOE ε4 allele was reported to be involved in neuronal, endosomal, and lysosomal system

dysfunction (93). In another study, control brain tissues from human and mouse had reduced

EV counts in the presence of the APOE ε4 allele (61). However, in our late-stage AD samples

(Braak stage 5-6, CERAD B-C), no particle concentration difference was associated with the

APOE ε4 allele or other variants. Consistent with previous findings, this suggests that effects of

APOE alleles, if present, may affect EVs and their effects on brain function in a measurable

manner only before late-stage disease (13,94). Further studies of APOE will be needed in young

brain and during early disease stages.

**Altered bdEV miRNA expression in AD**

RNA sequencing revealed several differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs in AD bdEVs with

potential involvement in AD. Importantly, these DE miRNAs largely overlapped with results of

earlier studies on miRNAs in AD brain tissues (54–56). For example, miRNA-132-3p was

downregulated in AD EVs. It was previously found to be downregulated in late-onset AD,

negatively correlated with Braak stages and formation of tau tangles in the prefrontal cortex

(55). miR-132 promotes neurite outgrowth and synapse formation (95,96), with expression

driven by the neurotrophin-responsive transcription factor CREB (97). Additionally, miR-132

knockout mice express increased levels of phosphorylated tau (98) and display memory deficits

(99). In line with downregulated miR-132-5p, we found that tau levels were increased in AD

EVs. Two other miRNAs downregulated in AD EVs (miR-485-3p and miR-338-5p) target beta-

secretase 1 (BACE1) (100,101). Together with γ-secretase, BACE1 cleaves APP into aggregate-

forming Aβ peptides (102). Downregulated miR-129-5p and miR-432-5p regulate

neuroinflammation (103,104), and miR-129-3p targets fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1),

which controls migration of cortical neurons (105,106). Although our study predominantly

highlights downregulated miRNAs, upregulated miRNAs have also been reported in AD bdEVs

(107). We found at least three upregulated miRNAs in common with that study: miR-483-5p,

miR-152-3p, and miR-30a-5p (107). Beyond these validations, differences between the studies

may be explained by use of different brain regions for bdEV isolation, as well as differences in

separation methods, possibly affecting purity and recovery of EV subtypes. Overall, we found

various dysregulated miRNAs in AD EVs that appear to be involved in AD hallmarks such as APP

and tau processing, neuronal function, memory formation, and neuroinflammation.

**bdEV miRNAs and APOE genotype**

In contrast with AD versus control comparisons, EV miRNA profile differences by APOE were not

as pronounced. Nevertheless, several EV-associated miRNAs differed. In the 10K fraction of

APOE ε4/4 carriers, miR-29b-5p was downregulated. This miRNA targets BACE, which

contributes to Aβ aggregation (Hebert et al PNAS 2008). Additionally, we observed

downregulation of miR-379-5p and miR-410-3p, which have been previously implicated in

anxiety behavior (108), miR-23a-5p, which is linked to neuronal cell loss (109), and miR-483-5p,

which is involved in neuronal development (110). Our findings are limited, however, since only

late-stage carriers were examined, with late Braak stage and similar CNS pathology. miRNAs are

quite possibly involved in APOE genotype interactions much earlier in AD, perhaps even in

young, putatively healthy individuals. More research is thus needed to address the involvement

of these miRNAs in AD pathogenesis.

**AD-dependent differences in additional bdEV-associated ncRNAs**

AD-dependent differences in bdEV-associated ncRNAs such as tRNAs and Y-RNAs were also

apparent. These ncRNAs are highly abundant in EVs from various sources (84–86,111–113), but

their roles in health and disease remain largely unexplored. We found various AD-dependent

differences in tRNA content of EVs, as well as APOE-dependent differences in tRNAs in the 10K

fraction. Changes in cellular tRNA abundance have been implicated in neurological disorders

(114,115), and dysregulation of cellular tRNA levels affects protein expression in cancer (116).

Interestingly, tRNA fragments released with EVs may modulate gene expression in recipient

cells (117). Small changes in bdEV-associated Y-RNAs (Y1 and Y4) were also observed. Y-RNA is

abundantly detected in EVs from various biological sources (118). Cellular Y-RNA serves as a

scaffold for RNA-binding proteins (119). In AD patients, dysregulation of Y3-RNA binding to

enhancer protein HuD (ELAVL4) was shown to cause alternative splicing in neurons (120).

Additionally, EV-associated Y4-RNA activated endosomal RNA sensor TLR7 in monocytes (90). In

brain tissue of AD patients, we observed a significant difference in U4 RNA, which has not been

previously reported in AD. As part of the spliceosome complex, U4 is involved in pre-mRNA

splicing (121). In the prefrontal cortex of AD brains, snRNA U1 was found to be upregulated and

to accumulate in tau plaques (122,123). Upregulation of U1 was additionally implicated in

aberrant mRNA splicing and altered APP expression (122). Our findings encourage further

investigations into the role of extracellular non-miRNA ncRNAs in AD and other neurological

conditions.

**Implications of bdEV-associated proteins in AD**

***bdEV-associated tau proteins***

EVs have been widely reported to propagate misfolded protein MAPT (tau) in

neurodegenerative disease (42,124–126). Consistent with other bdEV studies (42,91,125), we

found a higher level of tau and phosTau protein in EVs of AD brain compared with controls,

adding more evidence to EV-mediated tau propagation. However, we did not see consistent

associations with APOE genotype, possibly because our samples sizes were small, and possibly

because we examined brains from individuals with late-stage disease. Further study is needed,

including study of younger and early-stage individuals with different genotypes, to decide if EVs

act as a protective factor to clear tau from the CNS to the periphery, or as a neurotoxic factor to

spread tau between neurons and glia, or perhaps some as-yet incompletely understood balance

between the two extremes.

***bdEV-associated antioxidant proteins***

Upregulated members of the antioxidant peroxiredoxin (PRDX) family in AD EVs include PRDX1,

PRDX2, and PRDX6. Oxidative damage is often implicated in the progression of

neurodegenerative diseases. We and our collaborators previously found that PRDXs were highly

abundant in EVs derived from both human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and

mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and that these proteins help to reduce oxidative stress in

induced senescent cells (127). Although the protective role of PRDX family members in AD

(128–130) and the association of PRDX with EVs (127,131,132) have been reported before, this

is the first study to show PRDXs upregulating in tissue EVs from AD brain. We also found

another antioxidant defense protein, deglucase DJ1 (PARK7), enriched in APOE ε4/4 compared

with ε2/3 samples. PARK protects cells against hydrogen peroxide-induced death (133,134)

upon oxidative stress. We hypothesize that this factor may spread via EVs as a neuroprotective

response in patients with the risk factor ε4.

*bdEV-associated mitochondrial and metabolism pathway proteins*

Mounting evidence suggests that mitochondrial and metabolism dysfunction contribute to AD

by compromising the energy supply (135–138). We found numerous bdEV-associated proteins

that contribute to mitochondrial and metabolic pathways. The ATPase enzyme member valosin-

containing protein/p97 (VCP) was upregulated in AD bdEVs. VCP is thought to bring about

mitophagy impairment, leading to mitochondrial dysfunction in AD (139,140). Higher levels of

tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) protein mitochondrial aconitate hydratase (ACO2) were previously

found in multiple brain regions of AD patients (141) and here appeared to be enriched in bdEVs

of APOE ε4/4 patients compared with ε2/3. We also identified changes in bdEV proteins that

are involved in glycolytic and carbon metabolism, including transketolase (TKT) (142,143),

alpha-enolase (ENO1) (144,145), and fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A (ALDOA) (146). Further

exploration of protein modification and enzymatic activity of these proteins is now indicated to

unveil mechanisms of energy metabolism deficits in AD.

*bdEV-associated proteins in neurogenesis*

Impairment of pathways involved in neurogenesis/differentiation are thought to be early

clinical events in aging and AD development (136,147–150). Among neurogenesis-related

proteins, the 14-3-3 protein family of ubiquitous phosphoserine/threonine–binding proteins is

highly abundant in brain and implicated in nervous system development and maintenance

(151–153). Our study shows dysregulation of 14-3-3 isoforms ε (YWHAE), ζ (YWHAZ), and γ

(YWHAG) in the 10K and EV fractions. 14-3-3 proteins indirectly regulate activation of Rho

family GTPases (154). Interestingly, several proteins in the Rho-GTPase cycle were also

dysregulated in our bdEVs, including Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1/3 (RAC1/3),

and Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitors 1 and 2 (ARHGDIA, GDI2). We also found that contactin-1

(CNTN1) and neurofascin (NFASC), two proteins involved in axonal guidance and neuron

projection development (155–158), were downregulated in AD bdEVs, which may reflect

neurodegeneration. In addition, some neuroprotective proteins were also found to be

downregulated in bdEVs from APOE ε4/4 patients compared to ε2/3, such as γ-enolase (ENO2)

(159–161) and brevican core protein (BCAN) (162–164).

In summary, this is the first study thoroughly examining bdEV small RNA and protein content

from clinical AD patients with different APOE genotypes. Our results identified the composition

of RNAs (including miRNAs, tRNAs, and Y-RNAs) and proteins in bdEVs that varied with AD

pathology and APOE genotypes. These bdEV-transported molecules may play critical roles in

modulating AD progression and thus have potential for exploitation as biomarkers and

therapeutic targets.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported in part by grants from the US National Institutes of Health: AI144997

(to KWW, with support for TAPD), MH118164 and AG057430 (to VM and KWW), by

UG3CA241694 (to KWW), supported by the NIH Common Fund, through the Office of Strategic

Coordination/Office of the NIH Director, and by the National Health and Medical Research

Council of Australia (GNT1132604 to AFH). The Johns Hopkins Alzheimer's Disease Research

Center provided tissues and is funded by P30 AG066507 (PI: M. Albert). VM and KWW gratefully

acknowledge support from the Richman Family Precision Medicine Center of Excellence in

Alzheimer's Disease including helpful comments and advice from founder and director

Constantine Lyketsos. Thanks to: Kenneth Pienta, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine,

for access to the nanoFCM flow nanoAnalyzer platform; Mitchell Science Writing for manuscript

editing and citation formatting; and the La Trobe University Comprehensive Proteomics

Platform. We also thank members of the Witwer and Retrovirus Laboratories, Johns Hopkins

University School of Medicine, and various members of the International Society for

Extracellular Vesicles for valuable discussions and support.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Alzheimer's disease (AD) and control brain tissue-derived EV (bdEV) enrichment and

characterization. (A) Workflow for bdEV enrichment, small RNA sequencing and proteomics.

Following digestion, centrifugation, and filtration steps, 10,000 x g pellets from AD and control

brain tissue (BH) (as indicated in Table 1) were collected and defined as the 10K fraction. Size-

exclusion chromatography (SEC) was applied to 10,000 x g supernatants to enrich EVs. RNA and

proteins from BH, 10K, and EVs were then isolated and subjected to small RNA sequencing and

mass spectrometry. (B) Left: Particle concentrations of 10K and EV fractions of AD and control

samples were measured by NFCM. Particle concentration for each group was normalized by

tissue mass (per 100 mg). Right: Ratio of particles to protein (particles/µg). Protein

concentrations of 10K and EV fractions were measured by BCA protein assay. Data are mean +/-

SD. ns: no significant difference (p > 0.05) between AD and control by two-tailed Welch's t-test.

(C) Size distributions of 10K and EV fractions (AD and control) were measured by NFCM and

calculated as particles in a specific size bin versus total detected particles in each sample

(percentage). Data are presented as mean +/- SD. *p ≤ 0.05, ****p ≤ 0.0001 by two-tailed

Welch's t-test. (D) Principal component analysis (PCA) based on extracellular vesicle (EV)

marker expression per proteomics analysis. EV markers used for PCA: CD81, CD9, FLOT1, FLOT2,

RAB1A, RAB7A, TUBA1B, TUBB4B, ANXA2, ANXA5, ANXA6, ACTN1, GAPDH. Data are presented

as mean +/- SD.

Figure 2. bdEV miRNAs with differential expression in AD. (A) Volcano plots showing 10K (left)

and EV (right) miRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values (pval) for AD versus control. (B)

Volcano plots showing 10K (left) and EV (right) miRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values

(pval) for APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers. (A) and (B): Thresholds for two-fold change and p

value < 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines. Significant changes are indicated with different

colors. Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black: non-adjusted p value < 0.05, and red: FDR < 0.05.

(C) miRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) for AD and control for BH were plotted against 10K

(upper left) and EVs (upper right). Venn diagrams of miRNAs differentially expressed between

AD and controls in 10K (bottom left) versus BH, and EVs versus BH (bottom right). (D) The

normalized abundance of eight miRNAs dysregulated in AD and control in common in BH, 10K,

and EV fractions. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. (E) miRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC)

between APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 in BH were plotted against 10K (upper left) and EVs (upper

right). Venn diagrams of miRNAs differentially expressed between APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 in

10K (bottom left) versus BH, and EVs versus BH (bottom right). (C) and (E): Dashed lines indicate

log2FC of one (up or down). Colored dots indicate miRNAs with p values < 0.05 in BH (blue), in

10K/EV (red), or in both BH and 10K/EVs (purple), or unchanged transcripts (grey). Dot size

represents the mean normalized abundance of individual miRNAs. (F) The normalized

abundance of two miRNAs dysregulated in APOE ε4/4 vs APOE ε2/3 in BH, 10K, and EV

fractions. Data are presented as mean +/- SD.

Figure 3. bdEV tRNAs with differential expression in AD. (A) Volcano plots showing 10K (left)

and EV (right) tRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values (pval) for AD versus control. (B)

Volcano plots showing 10K (left) and EV (right) tRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values

(pval) for APOE ε4/4 versus APOE ε2/3. (A) and (B): Thresholds for two-fold change and p value

< 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines. Significant changes are indicated with different colors.

Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black: non-adjusted p value < 0.05, and red: FDR < 0.05. (C) tRNA

log2 fold changes (Log2FC) for AD and control for BH were plotted against 10K (left) and EVs

(right). (D) tRNA log2 fold changes (Log2FC) between APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers for BH

were plotted against 10K (left) and EVs (right). (C) and (D): Dashed lines indicate log2FC of one

(up or down). Colored dots indicate tRNAs with p values < 0.05 in BH (blue), in 10K/EV (red), or

in both BH and 10K/EVs (purple), or unchanged transcripts (grey). Dot size represents the mean

normalized abundance of individual tRNAs.

Figure 4. bdEV protein content reflects differences between AD and control brain tissues. (A)

Venn diagrams of proteins identified in 10K and EVs from AD and control patients. (B) Top 10

pathways ranked by FDR-corrected p value of 10K and EV proteins according to the Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). (C) Principal component analysis (PCA) based on

proteome content of 10K (left) and EVs (right). (D) Volcano plots showing 10K (left) and EV

(right) protein log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values (pval) for AD versus control. Thresholds

for two-fold change and p value < 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines. Significant changes are

indicated with different colors. Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black: non-adjusted p value <

0.05, and red: FDR < 0.05. (E) Expression level of proteins differentially expressed between AD

and control with fold change > 2 (non-adjusted p value < 0.05) in 10K (left) and EVs (right). Data

are presented as mean +/- SD. (F) String protein interaction network analysis indicated that 18

proteins (out of 31 proteins showing differential expression (non-adjusted p value < 0.05)

between AD and control in EVs) were enriched with known high confidence (0.7 on a scale of 0-

1) protein-protein interactions. Protein clusters are indicated with different colors based on

predicted functions.

Figure 5. Higher total tau and phosphorylated tau protein levels in bdEVs from AD patients

compared with controls. (A) The level of total tau and phosphorylated tau protein per 100 μg

protein input in BH, 10K, and EVs. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. ns: no significant

difference (p > 0.05), *p $\leq$ 0.05, ***p $\leq$ 0.001, ****p $\leq$ 0.0001 by two-tailed Welch's t-test. (B)

Scattered plot of tau and phosphorylated tau protein levels between BH with 10K (left), and BH

with EVs (right) in AD and controls. Trendlines are shown in black, and the grey area depicts

95% confidence intervals. Pearson correlation coefficient (R) and significance (p) are shown

based on n = 30 AD and control samples.

Figure 6. bdEV proteins with differential expression in APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers. (A)

Venn diagrams of proteins identified in 10K and EVs from APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers.

(B) Significant pathways of APOE ε4/4 10K and EV proteins according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia

of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). (C) Volcano plots showing 10K (left) and EV (right) protein log2

fold changes (Log2FC) and non-adjusted p values (pval) between APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3

carriers. Thresholds for two-fold change and p value < 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines.

Significant changes are indicated with different colors. Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black:

non-adjusted p value < 0.05. (D) Expression level of proteins differentially expressed between

APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 in 10K (left) and EVs (right). Data are presented as mean +/- SD.

Figure S1. Particle yield and size distribution of bdEVs from AD samples with different APOE

genotypes. Particle concentration (A) and size distribution (B) of 10K and EV fractions from AD

patients classified by APOE genotype as measured by NFCM. Data are presented as mean +/-

SD. ns: no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the two APOE genotype groups by two-

tailed Welch's t-test.

Figure S2. Small RNA biotypes in BH, 10K, and EV fractions from AD and control patients. (A)

The log2 reads per million mapped reads (Log2RPM) of small RNA biotypes in BH (top), 10K

(middle), and EVs (bottom) of AD and control. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. **p ≤ 0.01 by

two-tailed Welch's t-test. (B) Normalized RNA class read counts in 10K and EVs were scaled to

the normalized RNA class read counts in BH. Data are presented as mean +/-SD. *p ≤ 0.05, **p

≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, ****p ≤ 0.0001 by two-tailed Welch's t-test.

Figure S3. Normalized count of miRNAs differentially expressed between AD and control with

fold change > 2 in 10K (A) and EVs (B) of patients classified by APOE genotype. *p value < 0.05

by DeSeq2 differential gene expression analysis based on the negative binomial distribution.

Figure S4. Normalized count of miRNAs differentially expressed in APOE ε4/4 versus APOE ε2/3

carriers with fold change > 2 in 10K (A) and EVs (B). *p value < 0.05 by DeSeq2 differential gene

expression analysis based on the negative binomial distribution.

Figure S5. Differential expression of RNU and Y-RNAs related to AD pathology. (A) RNU4

expression in AD versus control in BH. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. (B) Y-RNAs

differentially expressed in AD versus control in BH, 10K, and EVs. Data are presented as mean

+/- SD. *p ≤ 0.05 as determined by DeSeq2 differential gene expression analysis based on the

negative binomial distribution.

Figure S6. Proteins related to AD pathology in brain tissues. (A) Venn diagram of proteins

identified in BH of AD and control. (B) The top 10 pathways ranked by FDR-corrected p value of

BH proteins according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). (C) Principal

component analysis (PCA) based on proteome content of BH. (D) Volcano plots showing BH

protein log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p values (pval) for AD versus control. Thresholds for

two-fold change and p value < 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines. Significant changes are

indicated with different colors. Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black: non-adjusted p value <

0.05, and red: FDR < 0.05.

Figure S7. Expression level of proteins differentially expressed between AD (n=23) and control

(n=7) with fold change > 2 in 10K (A) and EVs (B). Data are presented as mean +/- SD. *p value <

0.05 by Nested Factorial design differential abundance analysis.

Figure S8. Higher total tau and phosphorylated tau protein levels in bdEVs from AD patients vs

controls. The level of total tau and phosphorylated tau protein per 100 mg tissue input in 10K

and EVs. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. ns: no significant difference ($p > 0.05$), $**p \leq 0.01$

by two-tailed Welch's t-test.

Figure S9. Protein related to APOE genotypes in brain tissues. (A) Venn diagram of proteins

identified in BH from APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers. (B) Volcano plots showing BH protein

log2 fold changes (Log2FC) and p-value (pval) for APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 carriers. Thresholds

for two-fold change and p value < 0.05 are indicated by dashed lines. Significant changes are

indicated with different colors. Grey: non-significant (Not Sig), black: non-adjusted p value <

0.05, and red: FDR < 0.05. (C) The pathways significant for APOE ε4/4 and APOE ε2/3 unique

proteins in BH according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG).

Figure S10. Expression level of proteins differentially expressed in APOE ε4/4 versus APOE ε2/3

for 10K (A) and EVs (B). Data are presented as mean +/- SD.

**REFERENCES**

1.    About a peculiar disease of the cerebral cortex. By Alois Alzheimer, 1907 (Translated by

L. Jarvik and H. Greenson). Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord [Internet]. 1987 [cited 2017 Jan

21];1(1):3–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3331112

2.    Alzheimer's Association. 2016 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement

[Internet]. 2016 Apr [cited 2017 Jan 23];12(4):459–509. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27570871

3.    Reitz C. Toward precision medicine in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Transl Med [Internet].

2016 Mar [cited 2017 Jan 23];4(6):107–107. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27127760

4.    Yankner BA, Dawes LR, Fisher S, Villa-Komaroff L, Oster-Granite ML, Neve RL.

Neurotoxicity of a fragment of the amyloid precursor associated with Alzheimer's

disease. Science [Internet]. 1989 Jul 28 [cited 2017 Jan 21];245(4916):417–20. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2474201

5.    Yankner BA. Mechanisms of neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. Neuron

[Internet]. 1996 May [cited 2017 Jan 21];16(5):921–32. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8630250

6.    Sisodia SS, St George-Hyslop PH. gamma-Secretase, Notch, Abeta and Alzheimer's

disease: where do the presenilins fit in? Nat Rev Neurosci [Internet]. 2002 Apr 1 [cited

2017 Jan 21];3(4):281–90. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nrn785

7.    Mattson MP. Pathways towards and away from Alzheimer's disease. Nature [Internet].

824           2004 Aug 5 [cited 2017 Jan 21];430(7000):631–9. Available from:

825           http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature02621

826    8.    Murayama S, Saito Y. Neuropathological diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease. In:

827           Neuropathology. 2004.

828    9.    Stohr J, Watts JC, Mensinger ZL, Oehler A, Grillo SK, DeArmond SJ, et al. Purified and

829           synthetic Alzheimer's amyloid beta (A ) prions. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2012 Jul 3

830           [cited 2017 Jan 21];109(27):11025–30. Available from:

831           http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22711819

832    10.   Watts JC, Condello C, Stohr J, Oehler A, Lee J, DeArmond SJ, et al. Serial propagation of

833           distinct strains of A  prions from Alzheimer's disease patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci

834           [Internet]. 2014 Jul 15 [cited 2017 Jan 21];111(28):10323–8. Available from:

835           http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982139

836    11.   Aguzzi A, Rajendran L. The Transcellular Spread of Cytosolic Amyloids, Prions, and

837           Prionoids. Neuron [Internet]. 2009 Dec 24 [cited 2017 Jan 21];64(6):783–90. Available

838           from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20064386

839    12.   Vinters H V. Emerging Concepts in Alzheimer's Disease. Annu Rev Pathol Mech Dis

840           [Internet]. 2015 Jan 24 [cited 2017 Jan 21];10(1):291–319. Available from:

841           http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25387055

842    13.   Liu C-C, Xu H, Bu G, Liu C-C, Kanekiyo T, Xu H, et al. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer

843           disease: risk, mechanisms and therapy. Nat Rev Neurol [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2017 Jan

844           8];9:106–18. Available from: www.nature.com/nrneurol

14.    Thomas R, Zuchowska P, Morris AWJ, Marottoli FM, Sunny S, Deaton R, et al. Epidermal

growth factor prevents APOE4 and amyloid-beta-induced cognitive and cerebrovascular

deficits in female mice. Acta Neuropathol Commun [Internet]. 2016 Dec 27 [cited 2017

Jan 8];4(1):111. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27788676

15.    Saunders AM, Schmader K, Breitner JC, Benson MD, Brown WT, Goldfarb L, et al.

Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele distributions in late-onset Alzheimer's disease and in

other amyloid-forming diseases. Lancet (London, England) [Internet]. 1993 Sep 18 [cited

2017 Jan 21];342(8873):710–1. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8103823

16.    Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak-Vance M, Enghild J, Salvesen GS, et

al. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of

type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet].

1993 Mar 1 [cited 2017 Jan 21];90(5):1977–81. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8446617

17.    Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull WA, Mayeux R, et al. Effects of age, sex,

and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer

disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. JAMA

[Internet]. [cited 2017 Jan 8];278(16):1349–56. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9343467

18.    Rubinsztein DC, Easton DF. Apolipoprotein E genetic variation and Alzheimer's disease. a

meta-analysis. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord [Internet]. [cited 2017 Jan 29];10(3):199–

209. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10325447

19.  Cutler RG, Haughey NJ, Tammara A, McArthur JC, Nath A, Reid R, et al. Dysregulation of

sphingolipid and sterol metabolism by ApoE4 in HIV dementia. Neurology [Internet].

2004 Aug 24 [cited 2017 Jan 8];63(4):626–30. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15326233

20.  Hebert LE, Weuve J, Scherr PA, Evans DA. Alzheimer disease in the United States (2010-

2050) estimated using the 2010 census. Neurology [Internet]. 2013 May 7 [cited 2017 Jan

8];80(19):1778–83. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23390181

21.  Payami H, Montee KR, Kaye JA, Bird TD, Yu CE, Wijsman EM, et al. Alzheimer's disease,

apolipoprotein E4, and gender. JAMA [Internet]. 1994 May 4 [cited 2017 Jan

8];271(17):1316–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8158809

22.  Ungar L, Altmann A, Greicius MD. Apolipoprotein E, gender, and Alzheimer's disease: an

overlooked, but potent and promising interaction. Brain Imaging Behav [Internet]. 2014

Jun 30 [cited 2017 Jan 8];8(2):262–73. Available from:

http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11682-013-9272-x

23.  Cacciottolo M, Christensen A, Moser A, Liu J, Pike CJ, Smith C, et al. The APOE4 allele

shows opposite sex bias in microbleeds and Alzheimer's disease of humans and mice.

Neurobiol Aging [Internet]. 2016 Jan [cited 2017 Jan 21];37:47–57. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26686669

24.  Raber J, Wong D, Buttini M, Orth M, Bellosta S, Pitas RE, et al. Isoform-specific effects of

human apolipoprotein E on brain function revealed in ApoE knockout mice: increased

susceptibility of females. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 1998 Sep 1 [cited 2017 Jan

21];95(18):10914–9. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9724804

25.    Vella LJ, Sharples RA, Nisbet RM, Cappai R, Hill AF. The role of exosomes in the processing

890            of proteins associated with neurodegenerative diseases. Eur Biophys J [Internet]. 2008

891            Mar 7 [cited 2017 Jan 21];37(3):323–32. Available from:

892            http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18064447

893    26.    Vella L, Hill A, Cheng L. Focus on Extracellular Vesicles: Exosomes and Their Role in

894            Protein Trafficking and Biomarker Potential in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Disease. Int J

895            Mol Sci [Internet]. 2016 Feb 6 [cited 2017 Jan 21];17(2):173. Available from:

896            http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26861304

897    27.    Dinkins MB, Wang G, Bieberich E. Sphingolipid-Enriched Extracellular Vesicles and

898            Alzheimer's Disease: A Decade of Research. J Alzheimer's Dis [Internet]. 2016 Sep 21

899            [cited 2017 Jan 21];1–12. Available from:

900            http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27662306

901    28.    Witwer KW, Théry C. Extracellular vesicles or exosomes? On primacy, precision, and

902            popularity influencing a choice of nomenclature. J Extracell Vesicles [Internet]. 2019 Dec

903            [cited 2019 Aug 2];8(1):1648167. Available from:

904            https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2019.1648167

905    29.    Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, et al.

906            Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position

907            statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the

908            MISEV2014 guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1).

909    30.    Kowal J, Arras G, Colombo M, Jouve M, Morath JP, Primdal-Bengtson B, et al. Proteomic

910            comparison defines novel markers to characterize heterogeneous populations of

extracellular vesicle subtypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2016 Feb 23 [cited 2016 Nov

17];113(8):E968–77. Available from:

http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1521230113

31.    Rajendran L, Bali J, Barr MM, Court FA, Kramer-Albers E-M, Picou F, et al. Emerging Roles

of Extracellular Vesicles in the Nervous System. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2014 Nov 12 [cited

2017 Jan 23];34(46):15482–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25392515

32.    Eitan E, Hutchison ER, Marosi K, Comotto J, Mustapic M, Nigam SM, et al. Extracellular

vesicle-associated Aβ mediates trans-neuronal bioenergetic and Ca2+-handling deficits in

Alzheimer's disease models. npj Aging Mech Dis [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jan

23];2(1):16019. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/npjamd201619

33.    Perez-Gonzalez R, Gauthier SA, Kumar A, Levy E. The exosome secretory pathway

transports amyloid precursor protein carboxyl-terminal fragments from the cell into the

brain extracellular space. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2012 Dec 14 [cited 2016 Jan

22];287(51):43108–15. Available from:

http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M112.404467

34.    Saman S, Kim W, Raya M, Visnick Y, Miro S, Jackson B, et al. Exosome-associated tau is

secreted in tauopathy models and is selectively phosphorylated in cerebrospinal fluid in

early Alzheimer disease. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2011/11/08. 2012 Feb 3 [cited 2017 Jan

23];287(6):3842–9. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22057275

35.    Emmanouilidou E, Melachroinou K, Roumeliotis T, Garbis SD, Ntzouni M, Margaritis LH,

et al. Cell-Produced -Synuclein Is Secreted in a Calcium-Dependent Manner by Exosomes

and Impacts Neuronal Survival. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2010 May 19 [cited 2017 Jan

23];30(20):6838–51. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20484626

36.   Rajendran L, Honsho M, Zahn TR, Keller P, Geiger KD, Verkade P, et al. Alzheimer's

disease beta-amyloid peptides are released in association with exosomes. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A [Internet]. 2006 Jul 25 [cited 2017 Jan 23];103(30):11172–7. Available from:

http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0603838103

37.   Fiandaca MS, Kapogiannis D, Mapstone M, Boxer A, Eitan E, Schwartz JB, et al.

Identification of preclinical Alzheimer's disease by a profile of pathogenic proteins in

neurally derived blood exosomes: A case-control study. Alzheimer's Dement [Internet].

2015 Jun [cited 2017 Jan 23];11(6):600-607.e1. Available from:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1552526014024698

38.   Sardar Sinha M, Ansell-Schultz A, Civitelli L, Hildesjö C, Larsson M, Lannfelt L, et al.

Alzheimer's disease pathology propagation by exosomes containing toxic amyloid-beta

oligomers. Acta Neuropathol [Internet]. 2018 Jul 1 [cited 2020 Oct 16];136(1):41–56.

Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29934873/

39.   Sun R, Wang H, Shi Y, Sun Z, Jiang H, Zhang J. Changes in the Morphology, Number, and

Pathological Protein Levels of Plasma Exosomes May Help Diagnose Alzheimer's Disease.

J Alzheimers Dis [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 16];73(3):909–17. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31884461/

40.   Guix FX, Corbett GT, Cha DJ, Mustapic M, Liu W, Mengel D, et al. Detection of

Aggregation-Competent Tau in Neuron-Derived Extracellular Vesicles. Int J Mol Sci

[Internet]. 2018 Feb 27 [cited 2018 Mar 18];19(3):663. Available from:

http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/3/663

41.      Baker S, Polanco JC, Götz J. Extracellular Vesicles Containing P301L Mutant Tau

Accelerate Pathological Tau Phosphorylation and Oligomer Formation but Do Not Seed

Mature Neurofibrillary Tangles in ALZ17 Mice. J Alzheimer's Dis. 2016;

42.      Polanco JC, Scicluna BJ, Hill AF, Götz J. Extracellular Vesicles Isolated from the Brains of

rTg4510 Mice Seed Tau Protein Aggregation in a Threshold-dependent Manner. J Biol

Chem [Internet]. 2016 Jun 10 [cited 2018 Mar 18];291(24):12445–66. Available from:

http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M115.709485

43.      Rajendran L, Knobloch M, Geiger KD, Dienel S, Nitsch R, Simons K, et al. Increased Aβ

Production Leads to Intracellular Accumulation of Aβ in Flotillin-1-Positive Endosomes.

Neurodegener Dis [Internet]. 2007 Jun 29 [cited 2017 Jan 23];4(2–3):164–70. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17596711

44.      Kapogiannis D, Boxer A, Schwartz JB, Abner EL, Biragyn A, Masharani U, et al.

Dysfunctionally phosphorylated type 1 insulin receptor substrate in neural-derived blood

exosomes of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. FASEB J [Internet]. 2015;29(2):589–96.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25342129

45.      Goetzl EJ, Boxer A, Schwartz JB, Abner EL, Petersen RC, Miller BL, et al. Altered lysosomal

proteins in neural-derived plasma exosomes in preclinical Alzheimer disease. Neurology

[Internet]. 2015;85(1):40–7. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26062630

46.      Gámez-Valero A, Campdelacreu J, Vilas D, Ispierto L, Reñé R, Álvarez R, et al. Exploratory

study on microRNA profiles from plasma-derived extracellular vesicles in Alzheimer's

disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. Transl Neurodegener [Internet]. 2019 Oct 3

[cited 2020 Oct 16];8(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31592314/

47.   Li F, Xie XY, Sui XF, Wang P, Chen Z, Zhang JB. Profile of Pathogenic Proteins and

MicroRNAs in Plasma-derived Extracellular Vesicles in Alzheimer's Disease: A Pilot Study.

Neuroscience. 2020;

48.   Jia L, Zhu M, Kong C, Pang Y, Zhang H, Qiu Q, et al. Blood neuro-exosomal synaptic

proteins predict Alzheimer's disease at the asymptomatic stage. Alzheimer's Dement

[Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 16]; Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32776690/

49.   Yuyama K, Sun H, Mitsutake S, Igarashi Y. Sphingolipid-modulated Exosome Secretion

Promotes Clearance of Amyloid- by Microglia. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2012 Mar 30 [cited

2017 Jan 23];287(14):10977–89. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22303002

50.   Yuyama K, Sun H, Sakai S, Mitsutake S, Okada M, Tahara H, et al. Decreased

Amyloid- Pathologies by Intracerebral Loading of Glycosphingolipid-enriched Exosomes

in Alzheimer Model Mice. J Biol Chem. 2014 Aug;289(35):24488–98.

51.   An K, Klyubin I, Kim Y, Jung J, Mably AJ, O'Dowd ST, et al. Exosomes neutralize synaptic-

plasticity-disrupting activity of Aβ assemblies in vivo. Mol Brain [Internet]. 2013 Nov 13

[cited 2017 Jan 23];6(1):47. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24284042

52.   Dinkins MB, Dasgupta S, Wang G, Zhu G, Bieberich E. Exosome reduction in vivo is

associated with lower amyloid plaque load in the 5XFAD mouse model of Alzheimer's

disease. Neurobiol Aging [Internet]. 2014 Aug [cited 2017 Jan 23];35(8):1792–800.

Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0197458014002048

53.   Dinkins MB, Enasko J, Hernandez C, Wang G, Kong J, Helwa I, et al. Neutral

Sphingomyelinase-2 Deficiency Ameliorates Alzheimer's Disease Pathology and Improves

Cognition in the 5XFAD Mouse. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2016 Aug 17 [cited 2017 Jan

23];36(33):8653–67. Available from:

http://www.jneurosci.org/lookup/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1429-16.2016

54.   Cogswell JP, Ward J, Taylor IA, Waters M, Shi Y, Cannon B, et al. Identification of miRNA

changes in Alzheimer's disease brain and CSF yields putative biomarkers and insights into

disease pathways. J Alzheimers Dis [Internet]. 2008;14(1):27–41. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citati

on&list_uids=18525125

55.   Lau P, Bossers K, Janky R, Salta E, Frigerio CS, Barbash S, et al. Alteration of the microRNA

network during the progression of Alzheimer's disease. EMBO Mol Med. 2013;

56.   Wang WX, Huang Q, Hu Y, Stromberg AJ, Nelson PT. Patterns of microRNA expression in

normal and early Alzheimer's disease human temporal cortex: White matter versus gray

matter. Acta Neuropathol. 2011;

57.   Cheng L, Doecke JD, Sharples RA, Villemagne VL, Fowler CJ, Rembach A, et al. Prognostic

serum miRNA biomarkers associated with Alzheimer's disease shows concordance with

neuropsychological and neuroimaging assessment. Mol Psychiatry [Internet]. 2015 Oct

28 [cited 2018 Mar 18];20(10):1188–96. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/mp2014127

58.    Nikitidou E, Khoonsari PE, Shevchenko G, Ingelsson M, Kultima K, Erlandsson A. Increased

Release of Apolipoprotein E in Extracellular Vesicles Following Amyloid-β Protofibril

Exposure of Neuroglial Co-Cultures. J Alzheimers Dis [Internet]. 2017 Aug 29 [cited 2018

Mar 18];60(1):305–21. Available from:

http://www.medra.org/servlet/aliasResolver?alias=iospress&doi=10.3233/JAD-170278

59.    van Niel G, Bergam P, Di Cicco A, Hurbain I, Lo Cicero A, Dingli F, et al. Apolipoprotein E

Regulates Amyloid Formation within Endosomes of Pigment Cells. Cell Rep [Internet].

2015 Oct 6 [cited 2017 Jan 8];13(1):43–51. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26387950

60.    Abdullah M, Takase H, Nunome M, Enomoto H, Ito J, Gong J-S, et al. Amyloid-β Reduces

Exosome Release from Astrocytes by Enhancing JNK Phosphorylation. J Alzheimer's Dis

[Internet]. 2016 Aug 8 [cited 2017 Jan 8];53(4):1433–41. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27392863

61.    Peng KY, Pérez-González R, Alldred MJ, Goulbourne CN, Morales-Corraliza J, Saito M, et

al. Apolipoprotein E4 genotype compromises brain exosome production. Brain. 2019;

62.    Yang Y, Keene CD, Peskind ER, Galasko DR, Hu S-C, Cudaback E, et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid

Particles in Alzheimer Disease and Parkinson Disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol

[Internet]. 2015 Jul [cited 2017 Jan 8];74(7):672–87. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26083568

63.    Watt B, van Niel G, Raposo G, Marks MS. PMEL: a pigment cell-specific model for

functional amyloid formation. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res [Internet]. 2013 May [cited

2017 Jan 8];26(3):300–15. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23350640

64.	van Niel G. Study of Exosomes Shed New Light on Physiology of Amyloidogenesis. Cell

Mol Neurobiol [Internet]. 2016 Apr 17 [cited 2017 Jan 8];36(3):327–42. Available from:

http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10571-016-0357-0

65.	Zhao F, Zhao T, Deng L, Lv D, Zhang X, Pan X, et al. Visualizing the Essential Role of

Complete Virion Assembly Machinery in Efficient Hepatitis C Virus Cell-to-Cell

Transmission by a Viral Infection-Activated Split-Intein-Mediated Reporter System.

Diamond MS, editor. J Virol [Internet]. 2017 Jan 15 [cited 2018 Mar 18];91(2):e01720-16.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27852847

66.	Li X-F, Wang Y, Zheng D-D, Xu H-X, Wang T, Pan M, et al. M1 macrophages promote

aortic valve calcification mediated by microRNA-214/TWIST1 pathway in valvular

interstitial cells. Am J Transl Res [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Mar 18];8(12):5773–83.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28078049

67.	Gao W, Liu H, Yuan J, Wu C, Huang D, Ma Y, et al. Exosomes derived from mature

dendritic cells increase endothelial inflammation and atherosclerosis *via* membrane TNF-

α mediated NF-κB pathway. J Cell Mol Med [Internet]. 2016 Dec [cited 2018 Mar

18];20(12):2318–27. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27515767

68.	Pienimaeki-Roemer A, Kuhlmann K, Böttcher A, Konovalova T, Black A, Orsó E, et al.

Lipidomic and proteomic characterization of platelet extracellular vesicle subfractions

from senescent platelets. Transfusion [Internet]. 2015 Mar [cited 2018 Mar

15];55(3):507–21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25332113

69.	Vella LJ, Scicluna BJ, Cheng L, Bawden EG, Masters CL, Ang C-S, et al. A rigorous method

to enrich for exosomes from brain tissue. J Extracell Vesicles [Internet]. 2017 Jan 26

[cited 2018 Jan 18];6(1):1348885. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28804598

70.    Huang Y, Cheng L, Turchinovich A, Mahairaki V, Troncoso JC, Pletniková O, et al. Influence

of species and processing parameters on recovery and content of brain tissue-derived

extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2020;

71.    Lotvall J, Hill AF, Hochberg F, Buzas EI, Di Vizio D, Gardiner C, et al. Minimal experimental

requirements for definition of extracellular vesicles and their functions: a position

statement from the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles

[Internet]. 2014;3:26913. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25536934

72.    Witwer KW, Soekmadji C, Hill AF, Wauben MH, Buzas EI, Di Vizio D, et al. Updating the

MISEV minimal requirements for extracellular vesicle studies: building bridges to

reproducibility. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;

73.    Van Deun J, Mestdagh P, Agostinis P, Akay Ö, Anand S, Anckaert J, et al. EV-TRACK:

Transparent reporting and centralizing knowledge in extracellular vesicle research. Nat

Methods. 2017;14(3).

74.    Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Vol. 82, Acta

Neuropathologica. Springer-Verlag; 1991. p. 239–59.

75.    Mirra SS, Heyman A, McKeel D, Sumi SM, Crain BJ, Brownlee LM, et al. The consortium to

establish a registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD). Part II. Standardization of the

neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. Neurology. 1991;41(4):479–86.

76.    Morris JC, Heyman A, Mohs RC, Hughes JP, van Belle G, Fillenbaum G, et al. The

1088           consortium to establish a registry for alzheimer's disease (CERAD). Part I. Clinical and

1089           neuropsychological assessment of alzheimer's disease. Neurology. 1989;39(9):1159–65.

1090    77.    Hixson JE, Vernier DT. Restriction isotyping of human apolipoprotein E by gene

1091           amplification and cleavage with HhaI - PubMed. J Lipid Res. 1990;31(3):545–8.

1092    78.    Cheng L, Hill AF. Small RNA library construction for exosomal RNA from biological

1093           samples for the ion torrent PGM$^{TM}$ and ion S5$^{TM}$ system. In: Methods in Molecular

1094           Biology. 2017.

1095    79.    Roberts A, Pachter L. Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of

1096           sequencing experiments. Nat Methods. 2013 Jan;10(1):71–3.

1097    80.    Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome

1098           Biol. 2010 Oct;11(10).

1099    81.    Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

1100           RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2014 Dec 5 [cited 2014 Dec

1101           23];15(12):550. Available from:

1102           http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4302049&tool=pmcentrez&

1103           rendertype=abstract

1104    82.    Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11:

1105           Protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional

1106           discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019

1107           Jan;47(D1):D607–13.

83.    Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Vol. 28, Nucleic

Acids Research. Oxford University Press; 2000. p. 27–30.

84.    Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Buermans HP, Waasdorp M, Stoorvogel W, Wauben MH, t Hoen PA.

Deep sequencing of RNA from immune cell-derived vesicles uncovers the selective

incorporation of small non-coding RNA biotypes with potential regulatory functions.

Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2012/07/24. 2012; Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22821563

85.    Tosar JP, Gambaro F, Sanguinetti J, Bonilla B, Witwer KW, Cayota A. Assessment of small

RNA sorting into different extracellular fractions revealed by high-throughput sequencing

of breast cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2015;43(11):5601–16. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25940616

86.    Driedonks TAP, van der Grein SG, Ariyurek Y, Buermans HPJ, Jekel H, Chow FWN, et al.

Immune stimuli shape the small non-coding transcriptome of extracellular vesicles

released by dendritic cells. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2018;

87.    Jeppesen DK, Fenix AM, Franklin JL, Higginbotham JN, Zhang Q, Zimmerman LJ, et al.

Reassessment of Exosome Composition. Cell. 2019;

88.    Chiou NT, Kageyama R, Ansel KM. Selective Export into Extracellular Vesicles and

Function of tRNA Fragments during T Cell Activation. Cell Rep. 2018 Dec 18;25(12):3356-

3370.e4.

89.    Nabet BY, Qiu Y, Shabason JE, Wu TJ, Yoon T, Kim BC, et al. Exosome RNA Unshielding

Couples Stromal Activation to Pattern Recognition Receptor Signaling in Cancer. Cell.

2017 Jul 13;170(2):352-366.e13.

90.    Haderk F, Schulz R, Iskar M, Cid LL, Worst T, Willmund K V., et al. Tumor-derived

exosomes modulate PD-L1 expression in monocytes. Sci Immunol [Internet]. 2017 Jul 28

[cited 2020 Mar 6];2(13). Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28754746

91.    Muraoka S, Lin W, Chen M, Hersh SW, Emili A, Xia W, et al. Assessment of separation

methods for extracellular vesicles from human and mouse brain tissues and human

cerebrospinal fluids. Methods. 2020;

92.    Gallart-Palau X, Guo X, Serra A, Sze SK. Alzheimer's disease progression characterized by

alterations in the molecular profiles and biogenesis of brain extracellular vesicles.

Alzheimer's Res Ther. 2020;

93.    Cataldo AM, Peterhoff CM, Troncoso JC, Gomez-Isla T, Hyman BT, Nixon RA. Endocytic

pathway abnormalities precede amyloid beta deposition in sporadic Alzheimer's disease

and Down syndrome: differential effects of APOE genotype and presenilin mutations. Am

J Pathol [Internet]. 2000 Jul [cited 2017 Jan 30];157(1):277–86. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10880397

94.    Caselli RJ, Reiman EM, Locke DEC, Hutton ML, Hentz JG, Hoffman-Snyder C, et al.

Cognitive domain decline in healthy apolipoprotein E ε4 homozygotes before the

diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol. 2007;

95.    Magill ST, Cambronne XA, Luikart BW, Lioy DT, Leighton BH, Westbrook GL, et al.

MicroRNA-132 regulates dendritic growth and arborization of newborn neurons in the

adult hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;

96.    Luikart BW, Bensen ASL, Washburn EK, Perederiy J V., Su KG, Li Y, et al. MiR-132 mediates

the integration of newborn neurons into the adult dentate gyrus. PLoS One. 2011;

97.    Vo N, Klein ME, Varlamova O, Keller DM, Yamamoto T, Goodman RH, et al. A cAMP-

1154            response element binding protein-induced microRNA regulates neuronal morphogenesis.

1155            Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;

1156    98.    Smith PY, Hernandez-Rapp J, Jolivette F, Lecours C, Bisht K, Goupil C, et al. MiR-132/212

1157            deficiency impairs tau metabolism and promotes pathological aggregation in vivo. Hum

1158            Mol Genet. 2015;

1159    99.    Hernandez-Rapp J, Smith PY, Filali M, Goupil C, Planel E, Magill ST, et al. Memory

1160            formation and retention are affected in adult miR-132/212 knockout mice. Behav Brain

1161            Res. 2015;

1162    100.   Faghihi MA, Zhang M, Huang J, Modarresi F, Van der Brug MP, Nalls MA, et al. Evidence

1163            for natural antisense transcript-mediated inhibition of microRNA function. Genome Biol.

1164            2010;

1165    101.   Qian Q, Zhang J, He FP, Bao WX, Zheng TT, Zhou DM, et al. Down-regulated expression of

1166            microRNA-338-5p contributes to neuropathology in Alzheimer's disease. FASEB J. 2019;

1167    102.   Hampel H, Vassar R, De Strooper B, Hardy J, Willem M, Singh N, et al. The β-Secretase

1168            BACE1 in Alzheimer's Disease. Biological Psychiatry. 2020.

1169    103.   Sharma N, Kumawat KL, Rastogi M, Basu A, Singh SK. Japanese Encephalitis Virus exploits

1170            the microRNA-432 to regulate the expression of Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling (SOCS)

1171            5. Sci Rep. 2016;

1172    104.   Zeng Z, Liu Y, Zheng W, Liu L, Yin H, Zhang S, et al. MicroRNA-129-5p alleviates nerve

1173            injury and inflammatory response of Alzheimer's disease via downregulating SOX6. Cell

1174            Cycle. 2019;

105.    Zongaro S, Hukema R, D'antoni S, Davidovic L, Barbry P, Catania MV, et al. The 3' UTR of

FMR1 mRNA is a target of miR-101, miR-129-5p and miR-221: Implications for the

molecular pathology of FXTAS at the synapse. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;

106.    Wu C, Zhang X, Chen P, Ruan X, Liu W, Li Y, et al. MicroRNA-129 modulates neuronal

migration by targeting Fmr1 in the developing mouse cortex. Cell Death Dis. 2019;

107.    Cheng L, Vella LJ, Barnham KJ, McLean C, Masters CL, Hill AF. Small RNA fingerprinting of

Alzheimer's disease frontal cortex extracellular vesiclesand their comparison with

peripheral extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2020;

108.    Marty V, Labialle S, Bortolin-Cavaillé ML, De Medeiros GF, Moisan MP, Florian C, et al.

Deletion of the miR-379/miR-410 gene cluster at the imprinted Dlk1-Dio3 locus enhances

anxiety-related behaviour. Hum Mol Genet. 2016;

109.    Sabirzhanov B, Zhao Z, Stoica BA, Loane DJ, Wu J, Borroto C, et al. Downregulation of

miR-23a and miR-27a following experimental traumatic brain injury induces neuronal cell

death through activation of proapoptotic Bcl-2 proteins. J Neurosci. 2014;

110.    Han K, Gennarino VA, Lee Y, Pang K, Hashimoto-Torii K, Choufani S, et al. Human-specific

regulation of MeCP2 levels in fetal brains by microRNA miR-483-5p. Genes Dev. 2013;

111.    Bellingham SA, Coleman BM, Hill AF. Small RNA deep sequencing reveals a distinct

miRNA signature released in exosomes from prion-infected neuronal cells. Nucleic Acids

Res [Internet]. 2012/09/12. 2012;40(21):10937–49. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22965126

112.    Vojtech L, Woo S, Hughes S, Levy C, Ballweber L, Sauteraud RP, et al. Exosomes in human

semen carry a distinctive repertoire of small non-coding RNAs with potential regulatory

functions. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2014 Jun 17 [cited 2018 Sep 8];42(11):7290–304.

Available from: https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gku347

113.  Godoy PM, Bhakta NR, Barczak AJ, Cakmak H, Fisher S, MacKenzie TC, et al. Large

Differences in Small RNA Composition Between Human Biofluids. Cell Rep. 2018;

114.  Torres AG, Batlle E, Ribas de Pouplana L. Role of tRNA modifications in human diseases.

Trends in Molecular Medicine. 2014.

115.  Kirchner S, Ignatova Z. Emerging roles of tRNA in adaptive translation, signalling

dynamics and disease [Internet]. Vol. 16, Nature Reviews Genetics. Nature Publishing

Group; 2015 [cited 2020 Oct 27]. p. 98–112. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/nrg3861

116.  Goodarzi H, Nguyen HCB, Zhang S, Dill BD, Molina H, Tavazoie SF. Modulated expression

of specific tRNAs drives gene expression and cancer progression. Cell. 2016;

117.  Gámbaro F, Li Calzi M, Fagúndez P, Costa B, Greif G, Mallick E, et al. Stable tRNA halves

can be sorted into extracellular vesicles and delivered to recipient cells in a

concentration-dependent manner. RNA Biol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Jun 23];

Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31885318/

118.  Driedonks TAP, Nolte-T'Hoen ENM. Circulating Y-RNAs in extracellular vesicles and

ribonucleoprotein complexes; Implications for the immune system [Internet]. Vol. 10,

Frontiers in Immunology. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2019 [cited 2020 Jun 23]. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30697216/

119.  Boccitto M, Wolin SL. Ro60 and Y RNAs: structure, functions, and roles in autoimmunity

[Internet]. Vol. 54, Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. Taylor and

Francis Ltd; 2019 [cited 2020 Jun 23]. p. 133–52. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31084369/

120.   Scheckel C, Drapeau E, Frias MA, Park CY, Fak J, Zucker-Scharff I, et al. Regulatory

consequences of neuronal ELAV-like protein binding to coding and non-coding RNAs in

human brain. Elife. 2016;

121.   Matera AG, Wang Z. A day in the life of the spliceosome. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell

Biology. 2014.

122.   Bai B, Hales CM, Chen PC, Gozal Y, Dammer EB, Fritz JJ, et al. U1 small nuclear

ribonucleoprotein complex and RNA splicing alterations in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2013;

123.   Hales CM, Dammer EB, Diner I, Yi H, Seyfried NT, Gearing M, et al. Aggregates of Small

Nuclear Ribonucleic Acids (snRNAs) in Alzheimer's Disease. Brain Pathol. 2014;

124.   Brunello CA, Merezhko M, Uronen RL, Huttunen HJ. Mechanisms of secretion and

spreading of pathological tau protein. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2020.

125.   Baker S, Polanco JC, Götz J. Extracellular Vesicles Containing P301L Mutant Tau

Accelerate Pathological Tau Phosphorylation and Oligomer Formation but Do Not Seed

Mature Neurofibrillary Tangles in ALZ17 Mice. Gozes I, editor. J Alzheimer's Dis

[Internet]. 2016 Oct 4 [cited 2018 Mar 18];54(3):1207–17. Available from:

http://www.medra.org/servlet/aliasResolver?alias=iospress&doi=10.3233/JAD-160371

126.   DeLeo AM, Ikezu T. Extracellular Vesicle Biology in Alzheimer's Disease and Related

Tauopathy. Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology. 2018.

127.   Liu S, Mahairaki V, Bai H, Ding Z, Li J, Witwer KW, et al. Highly Purified Human

Extracellular Vesicles Produced by Stem Cells Alleviate Aging Cellular Phenotypes of

Senescent Human Cells. Stem Cells. 2019;

128.   The Role of Interleukin-18, Oxidative Stress and Metabolic Syndrome in Alzheimer's

Disease. J Clin Med. 2017;

129.   Krapfenbauer K, Engidawork E, Cairns N, Fountoulakis M, Lubec G. Aberrant expression

of peroxiredoxin subtypes in neurodegenerative disorders. Brain Res. 2003;

130.   Lee YJ, Goo JS, Kim JE, Nam SH, Hwang IS, Choi S Il, et al. Peroxiredoxin I regulates the

component expression of γ-secretase complex causing the Alzheimer's disease. Lab Anim

Res. 2011;

131.   Mullen L, Hanschmann EM, Lillig CH, Herzenberg LA, Ghezzi P. Cysteine oxidation targets

peroxiredoxins 1 and 2 for exosomal release through a novel mechanism of Redox-

dependent secretion. Mol Med. 2015;

132.   Szabó-Taylor K, Tóth E, Balogh AM, Sódar BW, Kádár L, Pálóczi K, et al. Monocyte

activation drives preservation of membrane thiols by promoting release of oxidised

membrane moieties via extracellular vesicles. Free Radic Biol Med. 2017;

133.   Sekito A, Koide-Yoshida S, Niki T, Taira T, Iguchi-Ariga SMM, Ariga H. DJ-1 interacts with

HIPK1 and affects H2O2-induced cell death. Free Radic Res. 2006;

134.   Junn E, Jang WH, Zhao X, Jeong BS, Mouradian MM. Mitochondrial localization of DJ-1

leads to enhanced neuroprotection. J Neurosci Res. 2009;

135.   Hauptmann S, Scherping I, Dröse S, Brandt U, Schulz KL, Jendrach M, et al. Mitochondrial

dysfunction: An early event in Alzheimer pathology accumulates with age in AD

transgenic mice. Neurobiol Aging. 2009;

136.  Leuner K, Hauptmann S, Abdel-Kader R, Scherping I, Keil U, Strosznajder JB, et al.

Mitochondrial dysfunction: The first domino in brain aging and Alzheimer's disease?

Antioxidants and Redox Signaling. 2007.

137.  Müller WE, Eckert A, Kurz C, Eckert GP, Leuner K. Mitochondrial dysfunction: Common

final pathway in brain aging and alzheimer's disease-therapeutic aspects. In: Molecular

Neurobiology. 2010.

138.  Cadonic C, Sabbir MG, Albensi BC. Mechanisms of Mitochondrial Dysfunction in

Alzheimer's Disease. Molecular Neurobiology. 2016.

139.  Yamanaka K, Sasagawa Y, Ogura T. Recent advances in p97/VCP/Cdc48 cellular functions.

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Cell Research. 2012.

140.  Guo X, Sun X, Hu D, Wang YJ, Fujioka H, Vyas R, et al. VCP recruitment to mitochondria

causes mitophagy impairment and neurodegeneration in models of Huntington's

disease. Nat Commun. 2016;

141.  Zahid S, Oellerich M, Asif AR, Ahmed N. Differential expression of proteins in brain

regions of alzheimer's disease patients. Neurochem Res. 2014;

142.  Chen Z, Zhong C. Decoding Alzheimer's disease from perturbed cerebral glucose

metabolism: Implications for diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Progress in

Neurobiology. 2013.

143.  Gibson GE, Sheu KR, Blass JP, Baker A, Carlson KC, Harding B, et al. Reduced Activities of

Thiamine-Dependent Enzymes in the Brains and Peripheral Tissues of Patients with

Alzheimer's Disease. Arch Neurol. 1988;

144.  Petrak J, Ivanek R, Toman O, Cmejla R, Cmejlova J, Vyoral D, et al. Déjà vu in proteomics.

A hit parade of repeatedly identified differentially expressed proteins. Proteomics. 2008.

145.   Butterfield DA, Lange MLB. Multifunctional roles of enolase in Alzheimer's disease brain:

Beyond altered glucose metabolism. Journal of Neurochemistry. 2009.

146.   Funari VA, Crandall JE, Tolan DR. Fructose metabolism in the cerebellum. Cerebellum.

2007.

147.   Li B, Yamamori H, Tatebayashi Y, Shafit-Zagardo B, Tanimukai H, Chen S, et al. Failure of

neuronal maturation in Alzheimer disease dentate gyrus. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.

2008;

148.   Boldrini M, Fulmore CA, Tartt AN, Simeon LR, Pavlova I, Poposka V, et al. Human

Hippocampal Neurogenesis Persists throughout Aging. Cell Stem Cell. 2018;

149.   Choi ML, Gandhi S. Crucial role of protein oligomerization in the pathogenesis of

Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. FEBS Journal. 2018.

150.   Demars M, Hu YS, Gadadhar A, Lazarov O. Impaired neurogenesis is an early event in the

etiology of familial Alzheimer's disease in transgenic mice. J Neurosci Res. 2010;

151.   Berg D, Holzmann C, Riess O. 14-3-3 proteins in the nervous system. Nat Rev Neurosci.

2003;

152.   Boston PF, Jackson P, Thompson RJ. Human 14-3-3 Protein: Radioimmunoassay, Tissue

Distribution, and Cerebrospinal Fluid Levels in Patients with Neurological Disorders. J

Neurochem. 1982;

153.   Baxter HC, Fraser JR, Liu WG, Forster JL, Clokie S, Steinacker P, et al. Specific 14-3-3

isoform detection and immunolocalization in prion diseases. In: Biochemical Society

Transactions. 2002.

154.    Toyo-Oka K, Wachi T, Hunt RF, Baraban SC, Taya S, Ramshaw H, et al. 14-3-3ε and ζ

1308            regulate neurogenesis and differentiation of neuronal progenitor cells in the developing

1309            brain. J Neurosci. 2014;

1310    155.    Gennarini G, Bizzoca A, Picocci S, Puzzo D, Corsi P, Furley AJW. The role of Gpi-anchored

1311            axonal glycoproteins in neural development and neurological disorders. Molecular and

1312            Cellular Neuroscience. 2017.

1313    156.    Gulisano W, Bizzoca A, Gennarini G, Palmeri A, Puzzo D. Role of the adhesion molecule

1314            F3/Contactin in synaptic plasticity and memory. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience.

1315            2017.

1316    157.    Ebel J, Beuter S, Wuchter J, Kriebel M, Volkmer H. Organisation and control of neuronal

1317            connectivity and myelination by cell adhesion molecule neurofascin. Advances in

1318            neurobiology. 2014.

1319    158.    Sherman DL, Tait S, Melrose S, Johnson R, Zonta B, Court FA, et al. Neurofascins are

1320            required to establish axonal domains for saltatory conduction. Neuron. 2005;

1321    159.    Hattori T, Takei N, Mizuno Y, Kato K, Kohsaka S. Neurotrophic and neuroprotective

1322            effects of neuron-specific enolase on cultured neurons from embryonic rat brain.

1323            Neurosci Res. 1995;

1324    160.    Hafner A, Obermajer N, Kos J. γ-Enolase C-terminal peptide promotes cell survival and

1325            neurite outgrowth by activation of the PI3K/Akt and MAPK/ERK signalling pathways.

1326            Biochem J. 2012;

1327    161.    Hafner A, Glavan G, Obermajer N, Živin M, Schliebs R, Kos J. Neuroprotective role of γ-

1328            enolase in microglia in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease is regulated by cathepsin X.

1329    Aging Cell. 2013;

1330 162. Hockfield S, Kalb RG, Zaremba S, Fryer H. Expression of neural proteoglycans correlates

1331    with the acquisition of mature neuronal properties in the mammalian brain. In: Cold

1332    Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology. 1990.

1333 163. Bandtlow CE, Zimmermann DR. Proteoglycans in the developing brain: New conceptual

1334    insights for old proteins. Physiological Reviews. 2000.

1335 164. Frischknecht R, Seidenbecher CI. Brevican: A key proteoglycan in the perisynaptic

1336    extracellular matrix of the brain. International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology.

1337    2012.

1338

Figure 1

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 6

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S5

**A**

APOE ε4/4

59   602   78

APOE ε2/3

**B**

**APOE ε4/4 and ε2/4 unique proteins**

- ε4/4
- ε2/3

Circadian entrainment
Chemokine signaling pathway
Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis
Insulin secretion
Protein processing in ER
cGMP-PKG signaling pathway
Cardiac muscle contraction
Gastric acid secretion
Thyroid hormone synthesis
Thermogenesis
Long-term depression
Platelet activation
Calcium reabsorption
Pancreatic secretion
Aldosterone synthesis and secretion
Salivary secretion
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
Metabolic pathways
Parkinson's disease
Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling
Oxidative phosphorylation
Huntington's disease
Alzheimer's disease

-log (FDR)

**C**

**BH**

-log10(pval)

PLP1

NFASC

sig
1. Not Sig
2. p-value < 0.05

Log2FC (APOE ε4/4 - ε2/3)

**Table 1**. Human cortex tissues used in this study

| Diag-nosis | APOE genotype | Age | Sex | RACE | Braak Stage | CERAD stage | PMI (h) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AD | E2/3 | 60s | M | W | 6 | C | 4 |
| AD | E2/3 | 60s | F | W | 6 | C | 11 |
| AD | E2/3 | 60s | M | W | 6 | C | 11 |
| AD | E2/3 | 80s | M | W | 5 | C | 5 |
| AD | E2/3 | 60s | M | W | 6 | C | 4 |
| AD | E3/3 | 80s | M | W | 6 | C | 7 |
| AD | E3/3 | 100s | F | W | 6 | B | 14 |
| AD | E3/3 | 80s | F | W | 6 | C | 8 |
| AD | E3/3 | 60s | M | W | 6 | C | 18 |
| AD | E3/3 | 80s | F | W | 6 | C | 17 |
| AD | E3/4 | 50s | F | W | 6 | C | 19 |
| AD | E3/4 | 90s | F | W | 6 | C | 21 |
| AD | E3/4 | 80s | F | W | 6 | C | 5 |
| AD | E3/4 | 80s | M | W | 6 | C | 9.5 |
| AD | E3/4 | 60s | F | W | 6 | C | 5.5 |
| AD | E3/4 | 80s | M | W | 6 | C | 18 |
| AD | E4/4 | 60s | M | W | / | / | 20 |
| AD | E4/4 | 90s | F | W | / | / | 6 |
| AD | E4/4 | 70s | F | W | / | / | 6 |
| AD | E4/4 | 90s | F | W | 6 | C | 6 |
| AD | E4/4 | 60s | F | W | / | / | 12 |
| AD | E4/4 | 70s | F | W | 6 | C | 13 |
| AD | / | 70s | M | W | 5 | C | 9 |
| Control | / | 80s | M | B | / | / | 10 |
| Control | / | 80s | M | W | / | / | 6 |
| Control | / | 50s | F | W | / | / | 6 |
| Control | E3/3 | 70s | M | W | 2 | / | 4 |
| Control | / | 90s | F | W | 1 | / | 8 |
| Control | / | 80s | F | W | 2 | / | 7 |
| Control | / | 90s | M | W | 2 | / | 15 |

Sex: M = male; F = female; Race: W = White; B = Black or African American; PMI: post-mortem interval

**Table 2**. Significantly different miRNAs (adjust P value < 0.05) with at least twofold change between AD and control in EVs and 10K. Up/downregulation of these EV miRNAs was compared with brain miRNA change in three earlier studies. Studies that have identified involvement of these miRNAs in AD are listed, as well as the potential target genes of the miRNAs.

| EV type | miRNA | Log (RPM) | Log2FC (AD/ CTRL) | P-adj | Cogswell 2008 (18525125) | Lau 2013 (24014289) | Wang 2011 (20936480) | mRNA target in literature (PMID) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EVs | miR-132-3p | 7.73 | -2.079 | 1.56E-03 | down | down | - | Targets effectors of abnormal Tau phosphorylation GSK-3beta and Calcineurin/PP2B, impairs Tau metabolism (26362250) MiR-132 deficiency affects MeCP2 in cortex BDNF in hippocampus, miR-132 deficiency affects memory formation and retention (25813747) MiR-132 regulates dendritic growth and arborization of neurons (MiR-132 regulates dendritic growth and arborization of neurons (21059906) |
| | miR-935 | 6.24 | -1.354 | 5.55E-03 | - | - | - | Role in AD unclear |
| | miR-433-3p | 5.31 | -1.273 | 2.15E-02 | - | down | - | Targets FGF20, which affects alpha-syn levels in Parkinson's disease (18252210) |
| | miR-129-2-3p | 5.28 | -1.241 | 2.15E-02 | - | down | down | Targets FMR1, involved in learning, memory and autistic behavior (23390134) |
| | miR-129-1-3p | 1.17 | -2.154 | 2.49E-02 | - | - | - | - |
| | miR-129-5p | 1.95 | -1.826 | 2.49E-02 | - | down | down | Targets SOX6 which protects from apoptosis / neuroinflammation (31564203) |
| | miR-338-5p | 2.40 | -1.481 | 3.12E-02 | down | - | down | Targets BACE1 (30576233) |
| | miR-338-5p | 3.20 | -1.515 | 4.37E-02 | down | - | down | Targets mitochondrial COXIV, a protein involved in oxidative phosphorylation in neurons (19020050) |
| | miR-744-5p | 26.42 | -1.375 | 4.96E-02 | - | up | - | Targets NPAS4, protein involved in neuronal differentiation and which affects BDNF (27189618) |
| | miR-432-5p | 2.00 | -1.329 | 4.96E-02 | - | - | down | Targets Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling 5 (SOCS5), link with neuroinflammation ( 27282499) |
| | miR-485-3p | 2.40 | -1.481 | 3.12E-02 | - | down | down | Targets BACE1 and is counteracted by lncRNA BACE1 antisense (20507594) |
| 10K | miR-132-5p | 17.15 | -1.249 | 1.76E-06 | down | down | - | see miR-132 in EVs |
| | miR-132-3p | 145.42 | -1.020 | 2.19E-04 | down | down | - | see miR-132 in EVs |

P-adj: adjusted P value.

**Table 3**. Significantly different miRNAs (adjusted P value < 0.05) with twofold change between APOE ε4/4 and ε2/3 carrier in EVs and 10K. Up/downregulation of these EV miRNAs was compared with brain miRNA change in three earlier studies. Studies that have identified involvement of these miRNAs in AD are listed, as well as potential target genes of the miRNAs.

| EV type | miRNA | logRPM | Log2FC (APOE ε4/4 / APOE ε2/3) | p-value | Previously reported mRNA targets (PMID) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EV | miR-199a-5p | 3.21 | 1.275 | 1.71E-02 | targets ApoE in melanoma (23142051), targets CD44 in cancer (22498306), CD44 KO mice have spatial memory deficits (25219362) |
| | miR-379-5p | 1.70 | -1.093 | 2.16E-02 | deletion of miR-379 in mice causes anxiety behavior (26744330), AD patients can have increased anxiety |
| 10K | miR-483-5p | 29.70 | 1.819 | 2.96E-03 | targets epigenetic regulator methyl CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) (23431031) |
| | miR-29b-2-5p | 9.33 | -1.208 | 5.90E-03 | targets BACE1 which is involved in Abeta formation (18434550) |
| | miR-23b-5p | 2.76 | -2.622 | 9.72E-03 | downregulation of miR-23a in brain injury causes neuronal cell loss (25057207) |
| | miR-487a-5p | 9.33 | -1.120 | 1.09E-02 | downregulated in AD (20936480, 24014289) |
| | miR-410-3p | 5.96 | -1.263 | 1.55E-02 | deletion of miR-410 in mice causes anxiety behaviour (26744330), AD patients can have increased anxiety |
| | let-7f-2-3p | 5.28 | -1.403 | 1.66E-02 | unclear |
| | miR-629-5p | 1.44 | 2.788 | 2.22E-02 | unclear |
| | miR-590-5p | 6.90 | 1.249 | 3.33E-02 | unclear |
| | miR-1225-5p | 1.27 | 3.091 | 3.53E-02 | unclear |

**Table 4.** The potential function of significantly different proteins (P value < 0.05) between APOE ε4/4 and ε2/3 carriers in EVs

| Protein symbol | Protein name | Enriched in | Functions | Reference (PMID) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| RAC1 | Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1 | ε 2/3 | Rho-GTPases, membrane remodeling | 30594931, 30005699, 29330095 |
| BCAN | Brevican core protein | ε 2/3 | neurite outgrowth, stabilizes synapses | 11015614, 2132834 |
| ENO2 | γ-enolase | ε 2/3 | neurotrophic and neuroprotective | 23621429, 7753500, 22257123 |
| VCP | Transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase | ε 2/3 | mitochondrial mitophagy impairment, abnormal protein aggregate and clearance | 27561680, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011.07.001 |
| CALM3 | Calmodulin-3 | ε 4/4 | cytosolic Ca2+ concentration regulation | 9237482 |
| PARK7 | Protein deglycase DJ-1 | ε 4/4 | antioxidant defense | 16517609, 26907355 |
| ACO2 | Aconitate hydratase | ε 4/4 | energy metabolism, mitochondrial function | 13894501, 13894501 |
| CKMT1A | Creatine kinase U-type | ε 4/4 | ATP production | 20639122, 26907355 |
| HBB | Hemoglobin subunit beta | ε 4/4 | oxygen homeostasis regulation | 19116637, 24333691 |

**Table 5.** The potential function of significantly different proteins (P value < 0.05) between APOE ε4/4 and ε2/3 carrier in 10K

| Protein symbol | Protein name | Enriched in | Function in CNS disease | Reference (PMID) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DBI | Acyl-CoA-Binding Protein | ε 2/3 | GABA receptor modulation; neurogenesis | 1664069, 22226357, 28343864 |
| GOT2 | Glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase, mitochondrial | ε 2/3 | mitochondrial energy metabolism | 23600763 |
| ARHGDIA | Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitor 1 | ε 2/3 | modulation of GTPases; cell motility regulation | 27841340 |
| CALM3 | Calmodulin-3 | ε 2/3 | cytosolic Ca2+ concentration regulation | 9237482 |
| LDHA | L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain | ε 2/3 | glycolysis | 31112527 |
| PGAM1 | Phosphoglycerate mutase 1 | ε 4/4 | glycolysis metabolism; cell proliferation; | 27572934, 30460638 |
| GPI | Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase | ε 4/4 | glycolysis metabolism; neuroleukin function | 29905912, 28803808, 3352745 |
| YWHAG | 14-3-3 protein gamma | ε 4/4 | neuronal differentiation | 29075177, 30853823 |

**Supplemental Table 1**. Number of proteins identified in each type of sample/fraction

| Groups | BH | 10K | EVs |
|---|---|---|---|
| All samples | 1675 | 801 | 1197 |
| Control | 1399 | 530 | 847 |
| AD | 1329 | 728 | 1047 |