Opportunities to catalyze improved healthcare access in pluralistic systems: a cross-sectional study in Haiti

Authors
Molly B Klarman[1†], Justin Schon[2,3*†], Youseline Cajusma[1], Stace Maples[4], Valery M Beau de Rochars[5], Chantale Baril[6], Eric J Nelson[1]

Affiliations
[1] Departments of Pediatrics and Environmental and Global Health, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[2] Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl

[3] Department of Politics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

[4] Stanford Geospatial Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

[5] Department of Health Services Research, Management and Policy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

[6] State University of Haiti, Port-au-Prince, Haiti

[†] Co-first authors

[*] Former institution

Corresponding author contact information
Eric J. Nelson, MD PhD
2055 Mowry Road, Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
Email: eric.nelson@ufl.edu

SUMMARY

Introduction. Gains to ensure global healthcare access are at risk of stalling because some old resilient challenges require new solutions. Our objective was to study a pluralistic healthcare system that is reliant on both conventional and non-conventional providers to discover opportunities to catalyze renewed progress.

Methods. A cross-sectional study was conducted among households with children less than 5 years of age in Haiti. Households were randomly sampled geographically with stratifications for population density. Household questionnaires with standardized cases (intentions) were compared to self-recall of health events (behaviors). The connectedness of households and their providers was determined by network analysis.

Results. A total of 568 households (incorporating 2900 members) and 65 providers were enrolled. Households reported 636 health events in the prior month. Households sought care for 35% (n=220) and treated with home remedies for 44% (n=277). The odds of seeking care increased 217% for severe events (aOR=3.17; 95%CI 1.99-5.05; p< 0.001). The odds of seeking care from a conventional provider increased by 37% with increasing distance (aOR=1.37; 95%CI 1.06-1.79; p=0.016). Despite stating an intention to seek care from conventional providers, there was a lack of congruence in practice that favored non-conventional providers (McNemar's Chi-squared Test p<0.001). Care was sought from primary providers for 68% (n=150) of cases within a three-tiered network; 25% (n=38/150) were non-conventional.

Conclusion. Addressing geographic barriers, possibly with technology solutions, should be prioritized to meet healthcare seeking intentions while developing approaches to connect non-conventional providers into healthcare networks when geographic barriers cannot be overcome.

INTRODUCTION

Improving access to healthcare is one of the highest global health priorities set by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) (2015)[1]. SDG 3.8 seeks to "achieve universal health coverage (UHC)", however the current rate of progress is insufficient to reach this target by 2030. Low and middle income countries (LMIC) are the furthest off track[2 3], and UHC tracking indicators show no significant gains for children between 2010 and 2020[4]. The COVID-19 pandemic will exacerbate the limited progress[5]. Innovative approaches are needed to overcome resilient barriers to catalyze progress to achieve universal healthcare access.

Common barriers are accessibility, availability, and acceptability[6]. Determinants inside and outside of households influence when a potential barrier manifests as an actual barrier. One method to prioritize determinants for action is to investigate healthcare seeking behaviors starting with illness recognition ('is the family member sick?') and response ('what should the family do?'). Determinants associated with 'recognition' and 'response' include biomedical understanding of illness[7], ability to recognize danger signs[8], caregiver's perception of illness severity[9-11], age[12], level of education[7 8] and marriage status[11], number of symptoms[11], gender[13 14], rural/urban location[7 15], intra-household relationships[16 17], distance[15 18 19], finances [17 19 20] and wait times[18].

Once a decision is made to seek care, factors that influence provider selection reveal additional barriers. LMIC healthcare systems are poorly defined with a range of conventional and non-conventional providers; alternative terminologies are qualified/unqualified or formal/informal[21]. Parallel and differential access to conventional and non-conventional providers manifests in chaotic systems[22]. Conventional providers can be defined as licensed doctors and nurse practitioners at government or non-

governmental organization (NGO) facilities. Non-conventional providers can be defined

as traditional healers, medication vendors, unlicensed practitioners and pharmacists.

Provider selection exposes conflicts between a patient's intention ('would do') and

behavior ('did do')[23][24]. These conflicts represent an opportunity to reveal unanticipated

solutions to improve access to care.

The associated networks within pluralistic healthcare systems rely on the relationships

among and between conventional and non-conventional providers[25][26]. Conventional

primary care providers, including community health workers, are assumed to be the first

access point into healthcare systems[27], however non-conventional providers have an

important and underappreciated role[28]. Non-conventional providers often practice in

parallel without disclosure to conventional providers which creates alternative pathways

for seeking care[29]. These complex relationships are not adequately understood, yet are

essential to improving healthcare access[30-32].

Haiti was chosen as a generalizable setting to address these knowledge gaps. Access

to healthcare in Haiti is low at 23% nationwide and 5% among the rural population[33].

Non-conventional healthcare services and traditional medicine are common[32][34-36]. Child

health and wellness is substandard with Haiti ranking 150 out of 180 countries[37]. The

under five year mortality rate is 67/1,000 live births compared to 41/1,000 live births

globally[38]. Healthcare seeking behavior in Haiti has been evaluated for mental health[39],

prenatal care[40], childbirth location[41] and cost[42][43]. Our objective was to address

actionable knowledge gaps, having generalizable impact beyond Haiti, on care seeking

behavior and provider selection from conventional and non-conventional providers, and

their associated networks.

METHODS

Study design and participants. In this cross-sectional study, consenting participants were enrolled at the household and healthcare provider levels. Household inclusion criteria were households with an adult (18 years or older) head-of-household (HoH) and at least one child under 5 years. Provider inclusion criteria were adult healthcare providers identified by an enrolled household and located within the study area. The 477 sq km study area, encompassing the communes of Gressier and Leogane Haiti, was divided into two-square km grid-cells. Population density was determined by approximating the number of structures within the grid cell using satellite data from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (USA). Density per grid cell was categorized by structure quartiles; low (0-112 structures), medium (113-397 structures) and high (398-4664 structures). Each grid cell was divided into Thiessen polygons using ArcGIS Online (Esri version 18.0.3) with divisions that reflected the number of households targeted for enrollment; low =18, medium =24, and high =53 per grid cell. The first house encountered in a polygon was recruited. Grid-cells were surveyed sequentially (e.g. low, medium, high) and randomly ordered within each category across a 12-month study period (Aug 2018-July 2019). Although ten grid-cells of each density were designated for survey, six of each were sampled because of logistical limitations. Adult participants provided informed written consent. Ethical approvals were obtained from the Comité National de Bioéthique (National Bioethics Committee of Haiti; 1718-35) and the University of Florida (IRB201703246).

Data Collection. Data were collected by one enumerator and one nurse. Survey instruments included two thirty-minute in-person questionnaires administered with REDCap mobile version 9.1.1 and were piloted with non-participant households. A household questionnaire collected demographic and socio-economic data, and

healthcare seeking behavior for standardized cases and health events (appendix). A

health event was defined as any illness a household member experienced in the

previous month, regardless of whether care was sought. The standardized respiratory

and diarrhoeal cases consisted of hypothetical scenarios involving an ill child at 10 PM

with typical symptoms of acute respiratory infection or diarrhoea. A provider

questionnaire captured details about the facility/business, personnel qualifications and

resources available (appendix). Conventional providers were licensed persons who

worked at a licensed facility. For large facilities with greater than 200 patients per

month, the facility itself was defined as a 'provider'. Non-conventional providers were

licensed or non-licensed providers at non-licensed facilities, or mobile non-licensed

providers.

Statistical Analysis. Household demographics and health event characteristics were

described by proportions for categorical variables and medians with interquartile ranges

(IQR) for continuous variables. Social economic status (S.E.S.) index was generated

using a summative score of the following components: land, animal, bank account and

phone ownership, HoH education level, household electricity source, fuel source, water

source, primary source of income, primary transportation method, sanitation type,

household floor, wall and roof type, and grid cell density. Provider characteristics were

described similarly. The two primary analyses compared (i) households that did and did

not seek care for health events and (ii) households that did and did not seek care from a

conventional provider. For care-seeking, we used bivariate and multivariate logistic

regression models with seeking care as the dependent variable and expressed the

results as odds ratios (ORs) and adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for care sought. Similar

methods were used to compare the selection of seeking care from a conventional

versus non-conventional provider. Health events were analyzed as discrete variables.

Two-mode whole networks [44] [45] of households and providers compared provider types

identified/sought in standardized cases and health events; sub-analyses were

performed for respiratory infection ('cough' or 'cold' with fever), diarrhoeal illness

('diarrhoea' with/without blood), and "other" cases (all other illness types not categorized

as respiratory or diarrhoea). Ego networks[44] [46] were generated for commonly identified

providers in the whole-network analysis. One-mode provider referral networks[44] were

generated. Statistical significance was defined at $\alpha=0.05$ and 95% confidence intervals

are provided. Missingness that was not excluded was for provider types identified by

households but not located and enrolled; for regression models, case-wise deletion was

used. Analyses were completed in Stata (v11) and the igraph, and in R (R Foundation

for Statistical Computing; packages included 'sf' by E. Pebesma and R. Bivand 2018)[47]

[48].

Patient and public involvement. Patients or the public were not involved in the design,

or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

Household characteristics. A total of 868 households were randomly screened and

568 (65%) were enrolled with a distribution of 112, 144 and 312 between low, medium

and high-density grid-cells; 24% were excluded because the household had no children

under 5 years (figure 1A, table 1). The average household had 5.2 members, and

among the 2900 household members, 58% were under 21 years.

Health event characteristics. A total of 636 health events were identified (tables

2,S1,S2); 22%, 50%, and 28% of households reported 0, 1, or at least 2 health events

in the previous month respectively. The most common symptoms were congestion,

fever and cough, present in 61%, 52% and 49% of health events respectively. The most

common perceived cause of health events was humoral pathology[49] (41%), considered

an imbalance of 'hot' and 'cold' within the body caused by environmental exposures.

Forty percent of health events were considered severe. Half of all health events started

at nighttime (55%), of these, 36% were severe (table S1). Respiratory infection

symptoms started more often at nighttime (66%) compared to diarrhoea that more often

started during the daytime (65%) (table S2).

Determinants to seek care for health events. Healthcare was sought outside the

household for 35% (n=220/636) of health events, and most often for children between 0-4

years (65%). The most common reason cited for not seeking care was preference to

treat at the household with home remedies (67%; n=277/416) followed by the health

event did not necessitate treatment (24%; n=99/416). The majority of households

delayed seeking care (67%; n=138/201), most commonly citing monetary and

transportation (46%; n=63/138) barriers. Among those who sought care without delay,

68% (n=43/63) chose to do so because of severity. In the bivariate analysis, decreased

odds of seeking care was associated with nighttime events (OR=0.69; 95%CI 0.50-0.96;

p=0.029) and informal transport (OR=0.53; 95%CI 0.36-0.79; p=0.002). Increased odds

of seeking care were associated with illness severity (OR=3.20; 95%CI 2.28-4.50; p<

0.001), high density grid-cells (OR=1.66; 95%CI 1.18-2.34; p=0.004) and high S.E.S.

(OR=1.45; 95%CI 1.03-2.03; p=0.034). In the multivariate analysis, the odds of seeking

care increased by 217% with severe illness (aOR=3.17; 95%CI 1.99-5.05; p<0.001).

Provider characteristics. Households identified 140 providers and 65 (46%) were

located and enrolled (figure 1B; table S3). Failure to enroll providers was most

commonly due to the provider being located outside the study area (21%; n=29) or an

inability to locate the provider/ had ceased operation (14%; n=19). Details from the

household surveys enabled the determination of provider type and setting (table S3).

Among surveyed providers, 68% (n=44) had a business license. Variation was observed

by grid cell density; only 4 providers were identified in the low-density grid-cells and

none were available after 8 pm (table S3).

Determinants to seek care from a conventional provider. Care was sought from a

conventional provider for 83% (n=180/218) and a non-conventional provider for 17%

(n=38/218) of health events (table S4). Bivariate analysis found decreased odds of

seeking care from a conventional provider was associated with informal transport

(OR=0.33; 95%CI 0.15-0.71; p=0.005) and HoH with no formal education (OR=0.32;

95%CI 0.12-0.82; p=0.018). The odds increased in association with S.E.S. (OR=2.78;

95%CI 1.25-6.25; p=0.12). In multivariate analysis, increased odds of seeking care from

a conventional provider was associated with increased travel distance (aOR=1.37;

95%CI 1.06-1.79; p=0.016) and HoH education at or above secondary school

(aOR=5.00; 95%CI 1.05-25.00; p=0.044). These findings are consistent with responses

that 53% of families (n=116/220) cited distance as the most important factor in provider

selection. Households that cited distance traveled a median of 1.5km (IQR 0.7km-

3.9km) in comparison to 3.6km (IQR 1.5km-7.8km) for households that did not cite

distance. Households traveled further (Mann Whitney, p<.0001) to seek care from

conventional providers (median 2.6km, IQR 1.1km-5.9km) than non-conventional

providers (median 0.9km, IQR 0.0km-2.3km). The difference in cost was less when

households sought care from non-conventional providers (median US $1.28, IQR US

$0.16-$2.56) compared to when households sought care from conventional providers

(median US $4.49, IQR US $1.92-$7.85; Mann Whitney, p < 0.0001).

Congruence between care seeking intentions and behaviors by provider type.

Comparisons of intentions versus behaviors for the type of provider sought was

conducted using data from the household standardized cases ('where would you go?')

and the household health events ('where did you go?'). Among all health event types,

there was a lack of congruence between intentions and behaviors (OR =0.15; 95%CI

0.04-0.43; p<0.001); 27 of the 31 non-congruent events were attributed to households

that intended to seek care from a conventional provider but switched to non-

conventional (table S5). In bivariate analysis, ARI with diarrhoea and informal

transportation were associated with increased non-congruence. In contrast, HoH

education level of secondary and above and high-density grid-cells were associated

with congruence. Multivariate analysis found no significant factors (table S6).

Network analysis of care-seeking intentions versus behaviors.

Two-mode whole networks were used to evaluate the relationships between households

and providers identified through standardized cases and health events (figure 2). The

number of households linked to each provider per health event was statistically different

compared to standardized respiratory (Mann Whitney, p=.014) and diarrhoeal (Mann

Whitney, p=.007) cases; distance traveled was statistically different compared to

diarrhoeal cases (Wilcoxon, p=.001); sub-analysis comparisons for respiratory and

diarrhoeal specific health events were similar (figure S1). Two-mode ego network

analyses focused on a public clinic (C1) and a private hospital (H1) commonly identified

in the whole-network analysis as the intended provider (figure 2); in practice,

households sought care from these providers less often (Student *t*-test; both p<0.001).

In one-mode analysis, providers identified 33 conventional and 0 non-conventional

referral providers that formed a three-tiered network (figure. S2A); 45% (n=15) received

one referral at the lowest tier, 42% (n=14) received between 2-10 referrals at the middle

tier and 12% (n=4) received 10 or more referrals at the highest tier. Sixty-eight percent

of households sought care from providers receiving zero referrals. Referral networks

from standardized respiratory and diarrhoeal cases were similar (figure S2B,C).

DISCUSSION

Should care be sought, and from whom, are fundamental questions when medical problems arise in households. The decisions may trigger entry into a linear healthcare system with primary, secondary and tertiary conventional providers or a pluralistic system that includes non-conventional providers. In this cross-sectional study, we found that approximately one-third of health events were not treated, one-third were treated at the household and one third sought care. When care was sought, it was for severe illnesses and from a mixture of conventional and non-conventional providers, largely disconnected from healthcare networks, despite intentions to seek care from connected conventional providers. The findings reveal insights on how to catalyze improved healthcare access in seemingly change resilient healthcare settings.

Households living in low population density regions had the lowest S.E.S. compared to those in high population density regions. Households approached health events with a pragmatic mindset aware of healthcare access barriers. Barriers to seeking care included nighttime presentation, limited access to motorized transport, and poverty. Disease severity was the major determinant to seek care. Families delayed seeking care because of financial and transport barriers, unless the event was severe or not resolving. These data document that poverty and geographic isolation in rural communities are barriers to seek care.

Providers were identified by households in both the standardized cases and the health events. Increased S.E.S correlated with seeking care from a conventional provider. Multivariate analysis identified increased distance, education, and higher population density as correlates of seeking care from a conventional provider. These findings highlight that once a household decides to seek care, poverty and geographic isolation

again influence the decision to seek care from a conventional versus non-conventional

provider.

Intentions ('would do') and behaviors ('did do') for care-seeking and provider selection

were compared by analyzing standardized cases against the health events. Conflict

between household intentions and behaviors for seeking care from a conventional

provider was found. The directionality was from an intention to seek care from a

conventional provider to care sought from a non-conventional provider. These analyses

highlight the inequity that both poor and geographically isolated households confront

between intentions and behaviors. The data also suggest that poor and isolated

households are not content with the choice they are forced to make. Network analysis

compared intentions versus behaviors for provider selection. A stark contrast was

revealed between an intention to seek care from commonly shared providers yet a

behavior to seek care from providers that were less commonly shared. For example,

networks analysis of two highly desired conventional providers (C1; H1) found that in

practice, less care was sought from these providers.

Disparity between intentions and behaviors can be found broadly across the domain of

healthcare. However, this study provides insight that when faced with poverty and

isolation, households are forced to turn to nearby providers that are often non-

conventional. Methods to reduce non-congruence between intentions and behaviors are

needed. We advocate that governmental and non-governmental organizations develop

initiatives to investigate how to link disconnected non-conventional providers into the

healthcare networks with conventional providers. In parallel, households may desire and

need an innovative alternative mechanism to 'bypass' barriers they face when

attempting to seek care from a conventional provider. A new model (figure S3) may

include improved access to centralized services, or extending access to/near

households by mobile healthcare services either physically or electronically through

telemedicine.

These findings should be viewed within the context of the study limitations. First, the

standardized case questionnaires were designed *a priori* to investigate the decision-

making process to seek care for children with respiratory or diarrhoeal disease at night.

The analytic strategy to compare standardized cases as intentions and health events as

behaviors was established *post-hoc*. The limitation is that the specific standardized

cases were limited to children who developed symptoms at night which reduced the

strength of comparison to the diversity of health events despite sub-analysis to compare

cases by chief complaint. The sample size of health events with only respiratory or

diarrhoeal complaints alone also limited the strength of comparisons with standardized

cases. Second, adjustments for household clustering were not performed because 72%

of households had zero or one health event. Third, providers identified by households

were difficult to locate and only a portion of providers were located. This resulted in

missingness and an information bias such that mobile drug vendors were interviewed

less. Lastly, there was a risk of recall bias because questionnaires relied on one-month

and one-week recall by households and providers, respectively. Despite these

limitations, the approach and findings represent a meaningful contribution.

Conclusion. The study supports a model that households are likely to treat illnesses at

home without assistance. When care is sought, it is for severe illnesses and often from

a mixture of disconnected conventional and non-conventional providers despite an

intention to seek care from a conventional provider. The findings support bridging

geographic barriers, possibly with technology solutions, to meet healthcare seeking

intentions while developing approaches to connect non-conventional providers into

healthcare networks when geographic barriers cannot be overcome.

Contributions. EJN and MBK conducted the literature search. The study was designed

by EJN and MBK. MBK and CY implemented the study and collected data with

guidance from SM. SM provided the approach and tools for geospatial mapping. Data

analysis, interpretation, figure design, and writing was conducted by JS, MBK and EJN.

Clinical oversight in Haiti was governed by CB with assistance by MVBDR.

Communication with IRBs was performed by CB, MVBDR and EJN. IRB documentation

was written and managed by MBK and EJN.

Acknowledgements. We thank the households and providers that agreed to participate

in this study, and diligent field research team who made this study possible. We are

grateful to R. Autrey, K. Berquist, D. Schatz and S. Rivkees at the University of Florida

for their support and helpful discussions. We thank M. Gurka, R. Vacca and C. McCarty

at the University of Florida for guidance and insight on study design and social network

analysis. We also express our gratitude to the Christianville Foundation (Gressier, Haiti)

for their shared commitment to healthcare access and providing infrastructure for

implementation.

Data availability. Data are available in the Supplementary appendix.

Role of the funding source. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health

[DP5OD019893] to EJN. Internal support was provided by the University of Florida. These

funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish,

or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclaimer. These funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis,

decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

SUMMARY OF ADDED BENEFIT AND CLINICAL IMPACT

To best describe what is known about the subject in this manuscript, we performed two searches in PubMed for reports published after January 1, 2010 in all languages. The first search consisted of the search terms [Haiti] AND [care seeking] OR [health seeking] OR [healthcare seeking]. The criteria identified 16 publications. Four of the articles had a primary focus of healthcare seeking behaviors in Haiti; 1 pertained to rabies, 2 pertained to mental health and 1 pertained to equitable access to healthcare. Care seeking in Haiti was a component in an additional 6 articles, although not as the primary research objective; 1 pertained to rabies, 1 pertained to cholera patients, 1 pertained to suicide, 1 multi-country study pertained to child health and family planning, 1 multi-country study pertained to user fees and 1 multi-country study pertained to malaria and the private health sector. The remaining 6 articles did not pertain to healthcare seeking in Haiti.

The second search consisted of the terms "network analysis" AND "healthcare providers" OR "healthcare provider" OR "referral". The criteria identified 81 publications. The results were filtered to remove articles with the terms "United States", U.S., Europe, Australia, "neural network analysis", and "thematic network analysis" and non-primary research results were also removed. This yielded 20 publications. Three papers used network analysis to investigate the roll of peer networks, one pertaining to diet and exercise in Korea, one pertaining to risk of migraines in Taiwan, and one pertaining to theoretical support programming for cancer patients. Two papers used network analysis to characterize professional advice seeking behavior; one among physicians in Pakistan and the other among primary healthcare workers in Ethiopia. Three papers used network analysis to assess health systems functioning, one pertaining to communication

during hospital patient discharge in the US, one pertaining to patient flow in a US

hospital and one pertaining to health systems integration in China. One paper created

referral networks among community healthcare providers and their patients 65 and

older in the United Kingdom to better inform the organization of community services.

Another paper from the United Kingdom used network analysis to map and understand

naturally occurring communities that could assist in the development of a new primary

care network initiative. Three papers examined relationships among networks of

organizations who support the health of specific populations, one pertaining to

organizations involved in cancer screening of South Asians in Canada, one pertaining to

professionals who provide psychosocial care to victims of violence in Colombia, and

one pertaining to organizations who address unmet social needs and their clinical

partners in the US.

Despite this body of literature, we did not identify studies that used original data to

investigate general healthcare seeking behavior in Haiti. Beyond Haiti, we did not

identify studies that performed a network analysis to investigate relationships between

households and the healthcare providers they frequent, especially in a global health

context. Referral networks were represented in the literature but in the context of high-

income countries. Therefore, the knowledge this manuscript contributes on pluralistic

healthcare systems are of great value globally. The innovative methods of leveraging

standardized cases to gauge intentions and the network analysis framework benefit

teams faced with similar challenges. The study revealed unanticipated opportunities that

should be further evaluated outside of Haiti and considered by international

stakeholders as avenues to catalyze renewed SDG progress.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Participant enrollment. **A.** The study enrolled 568 households representing 2900 household members out of 868 households screened. **B.** The study enrolled 65 providers out of 140 identified by households using both standardized case and health event questionnaires; percentages are of those identified by households.

Figure 2. Provider selection. Among households with health events in which care was sought (n=180), two-mode whole network analysis was used to elicit care-seeking intentions for standardized respiratory cases (**A),** standardized diarrhoeal cases (**B),** and health events **(C)**. The median number of household-provider linkages per provider and distance traveled were 2 (IQR=1-5) and 3.2km (IQR=1.3km-5.6km), 2.5 (IQR=1-5) and 4.0km (IQR=1.9km-6.4km) and 1 (IQR=1-2) and 2.1km (IQR=1.0km-5.4km) for respiratory standardized cases (A), diarrhoeal standardized cases (B) and health events (C), respectively. Ego network analysis of the two most commonly identified providers. Households that selected public clinic C1 in the respiratory standardized case (n=26; **D**), the diarrhoeal standardized case (n=50; **E**), and/or sought care at C1 for a health event (n=9; **F**). Households that selected private hospital H1 in the respiratory standardized case (n=51; **G**), the diarrhoeal standardized case (n=64; **H**), and/or sought care at H1 for a health event (n=13; **I**).

 TABLES

Table 1. Household characteristics

Grid cell density	All N=568	Low n=112	Medium n=144	High n=312
Household members: (n%)				
0 to 1 years	322 (11)	63 (11)	79 (11)	180 (11)
2 to 4 years	436 (15)	76 (14)	115 (16)	245 (15)
5 to 10 years	440 (15)	78 (14)	106 (15)	256 (16)
11 to 20 years	481 (17)	89 (16)	141 (19)	251 (16)
≥21 years	1221 (42)	253 (45)	284 (39)	684 (42)
Total members	2900	559 (19)	725 (25)	1616 (56)
Highest-education level (HoH): n(%)				
None	89 (16)	26 (23)	38 (26)	25 (8)
Below secondary	247 (43)	42 (38)	62 (43)	143 (46)
Secondary and above	232 (41)	44 (39)	23 (31)	144 (46)
Transportation: n(%)				
Informal (foot/ bike/ donkey)	176 (31)	52 (46)	64 (44)	60 (19)
Public	74 (13)	0 (0)	1 (1)	73 (23)
Motorcycle taxi	295 (52)	55 (49)	78 (54)	162 (52)
Private car/ motorcycle	19 (3)	2 (2)	1 (1)	16 (5)
Primary source of income: n(%)				
Agriculture/ Animal husbandry	266 (47)	92 (82)	105 (73)	69 (22)
Vendor (Commerce)	165 (29)	11 (10)	24 (17)	130 (42)
Tradesperson	61 (11)	5 (4)	7 (5)	49 (16)
Salaried employment	35 (6)	2 (2)	2 (1)	31 (10)
None	11 (2)	0 (0)	1 (1)	10 (3)
Other	30 (5)	2 (2)	5 (3)	23 (7)
S.E.S index[a]: n(%)				
First quintile	116	59 (53)	53 (37)	4 (1)
Second quintile	112	29 (26)	40 (28)	43 (14)
Third quintile	113	20 (18)	34 (24)	59 (19)
Fourth quintile	115	2 (2)	12 (8)	101 (32)
Fifth quintile	112	2 (2)	5 (3)	105 (34)

367   [a] See methods for S.E.S index components.

Table 2. Health event characteristics

Grid cell density	All N=636	Low n=122(19)	Medium n=136(21)	High n=378(59)
Patient age: n(%)				
0-4 years	411 (65)	78 (64)	96 (71)	237 (63)
5-10 years	26 (4)	3 (2)	8 (6)	15 (4)
11+ years	199 (31)	41 (34)	32 (24)	126 (33)
Symptom [a]: n(%)				
Congestion (cold)	385 (61)	82 (67)	92 (68)	211 (56)
Fever	330 (52)	60 (49)	73 (54)	197 (52)
Cough	313 (49)	66 (54)	79 (58)	168 (44)
Diarrhoea	133 (21)	23 (19)	36 (26)	74 (20)
Headache	66 (10)	14 (11)	10 (7)	42 (11)
Abdominal pain	39 (6)	7 (6)	9 (7)	23 (6)
Vomiting	31 (5)	4 (3)	8 (6)	19 (5)
Chest pain	22 (3)	6 (5)	7 (5)	9 (2)
Skin problem	16 (3)	3 (2)	4 (3)	9 (2)
Infection	10 (2)	2 (2)	0 (0)	8 (2)
Other symptoms[2]	80 (13)	12 (10)	14 (10)	54 (14)
Cause (perceived): n(%)				
Temperature exposure[c]	258 (41)	52 (43)	59 (43)	147 (39)
Medical/ epidemiological/ environmental	130 (20)	16 (13)	26 (19)	88 (23)
Cultural (e.g. teething)	56 (9)	10 (8)	14 (10)	32 (8)
Stress/ fatigue	45 (7)	9 (7)	11 (8)	25 (7)
Unknown	136 (21)	31 (25)	26 (19)	79 (21)
Severity (perceived): n(%)				
Not severe	382 (60)	66 (55)	85 (63)	231 (61)
Severe	252 (40)	55 (45)	51 (38)	146 (39)
Sought care: n(%)				
Yes	220 (35)	39 (32)	33 (24)	148 (39)
No	416 (65)	83 (68)	103 (76)	230 (61)

[a] Percentage of health events that include listed symptoms; median symptoms/health event =2.

[b] 'Other' included anemia, back, diabetes, ear, hypertension, joint, mouth, pregnancy, seizure, throat, trauma, vaginal and vision medical problems.

[c] A perceived imbalance of 'hot' and 'cold' within the body caused by environmental exposures (humoral pathology).

Table 3. Determinants to seek care for health events

	Care sought n=220	Care not sought n=416	OR (CI)	p value	aOR (CI)	p value
Patient age: n(%)						
0-4 years	131 (60)	280 (67)	0.71 (0.51-1.00)	0.052	Ref	Ref
5-10 years	10 (5)	16 (4)	1.19 (0.53-2.67)	0.672	0.77 (0.22-2.63)	0.675
11+ years	79 (36)	119 (29)	1.38 (0.98-1.96)	0.068	0.75 (0.40-1.38)	0.355
Illness[a]: n(%)						
Respiratory	96 (44)	222 (53)	**0.67 (0.48-0.94)**	**0.018**	0.75 (0.40-1.42)	0.378
Diarrhoea	17 (8)	35 (8)	0.91 (0.50-1.66)	0.757	0.69 (0.27-1.73)	0.426
Respiratory and diarrhoea	19 (9)	62 (15)	**0.54 (0.31-0.93)**	**0.025**	0.99 (0.37-2.65)	0.980
Other	88 (40)	96 (23)	**2.22 (1.56-3.15)**	**<0.001**	Ref	Ref
Distance (km)[b]: median (IQR)	3.2 (1.3-5.5)	3.5 (1.5-6.1)	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	0.239	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.135
Severity (perceived): n(%)						
Not-Severe	92 (42)	290 (70)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Severe	127 (58)	125 (30)	**3.20 (2.28-4.50)**	**<0.001**	**3.17 (1.99-5.05)**	**<0.001**
Time of health event[c]						
Day	101 (48)	138 (36)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Night	108 (52)	242 (64)	**0.69 (0.50-0.96)**	**0.029**	0.80 (0.49-1.29)	0.359
Transportation: n(%)						
Informal (foot/ bike/ donkey)	43 (20)	130 (31)	**0.53 (0.36-0.79)**	**0.002**	0.78 (0.28-2.19)	0.638
Public/ motorcycle taxi	166 (76)	267 (64)	**1.72 (1.19-2.49)**	**0.004**	Ref	Ref
Private car/ motorcycle	10 (5)	17 (4)	1.12 (0.50-2.48)	0.785	0.49 (0.15-1.58)	0.235
Highest-education level (HoH): n(%)						
None	22 (10)	66 (16)	**0.59 (0.35-0.98)**	**0.043**	Ref	Ref
Below secondary	88 (40)	181 (44)	0.87 (0.62-1.21)	0.394	1.34 (0.60-3.02)	0.475
Secondary and above	110 (50	169 (41)	**1.46 (1.05-2.03)**	**0.024**	1.61 (0.68-3.77)	0.277
Primary source of income n(%)						
Agriculture/Animal husbandry	87 (43)	182 (48)	0.85 (0.61-1.20)	0.364	5.04 (0.57-44.51)	0.146
Vendor (Commerce)	71 (35)	134 (35)	1.02 (0.72-1.46)	0.897	2.56 (0.29-22.67)	0.398
Tradesperson	33 (16)	47 (12)	1.41 (0.87-2.29)	0.160	2.68 (0.29-25.18)	0.388
Salaried employment	16 (8)	25 (6)	1.24 (0.65-2.38)	0.512	2.95 (0.30-28.67)	0.351
Grid cell population density: n(%)						
Low	84 (32)	39 (10)	0.86 (0.57-1.32)	0.498	Ref	Ref
Medium	33 (12)	103 (28)	**0.54 (0.35-0.83)**	**0.005**	1.11 (0.52-2.35)	0.783
High	148 (56)	230 (62)	**1.66 (1.18-2.34)**	**0.004**	1.69 (0.82-3.47)	0.154
Health events per prior month: median (IQR)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	1.08 (0.88-1.32)	0.458	0.94 (0.72-1.23)	0.666
S.E.S index[d] median (IQR)	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	**1.45 (1.03-2.03)**	**0.034**	(omitted)	(omitted)

Footnote for Table 3

[a] Respiratory is defined as 'cough' or 'cold' with fever and no diarrhoea , diarrhoea is with or without blood in the stool and no respiratory infection, 'other' included anemia, back, diabetes, ear, hypertension, joint, mouth, pregnancy, seizure, throat, trauma, vaginal and vision medical problems.
[b] Calculated using straight-line distance between a house and the chosen provider for respiratory standardized case.
[c] Day is between 6AM- 6PM and night is between 6PM-6AM.
[d] See methods for variables included in the S.E.S index

REFERENCES

1. Programme UND. Sustainable Development Goals: @undp; 2020 [Available from:
   https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html
   accessed March 20 2020.
2. Measuring Progress From 1990 to 2017 and Projecting Attainment to 2030 of the
   Health-Related Sustainable Development Goals for 195 Countries and
   Territories: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017.
   Lancet (London, England) 2018;392(10159) doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32281-
   5
3. The World Health Organization, The World Bank. Tracking Universal Health
   Coverage: 2017 Global Monitoring Report. Washington, D.C., 2017.
4. Amouzou A, Jiwani SS, da Silva ICM, et al. Closing the inequality gaps in
   reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health coverage: slow and fast
   progressors. *BMJ Global Health* 2020;5(1):e002230. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-
   002230
5. Roberton T, Carter ED, Chou VB, et al. Early estimates of the indirect effects of the
   COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and child mortality in low-income and middle-
   income countries: a modelling study. *The Lancet Global Health* 2020 doi:
   https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30229-1
6. Poverty and Access to Health Care in Developing Countries - Peters - 2008 - Annals
   of the New York Academy of Sciences - Wiley Online Library. 2020 doi:
   10.1196/annals.1425.011
7. Do M, Babalola S, Awantang G, et al. Associations between malaria-related
   ideational factors and care-seeking behavior for fever among children under five
   in Mali, Nigeria, and Madagascar. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(1):e0191079. doi:
   10.1371/journal.pone.0191079
8. Manna B, Nasrin D, Kanungo S, et al. Determinants of Health Care Seeking for
   Diarrheal Illness in Young Children in Urban Slums of Kolkata, India. *The
   American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2013;89(1 Suppl) doi:
   10.4269/ajtmh.12-0756
9. D'Souza RM. Role of health-seeking behaviour in child mortality in the slums of
   Karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 2003;35(1):131-44. doi:
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021932003001317
10. Amuyunzu-Nyamongo M, Nyamongo I. Health Seeking Behaviour of Mothers of
    Under-Five-Year-Old Children in the Slum Communities of Nairobi, Kenya.
    Anthropology & Medicine 2006;13(1):25-40. doi: 10.1080/13648470500516261
11. Kolola T, Gezahegn T, Addisie M. Health Care Seeking Behavior for Common
    Childhood Illnesses in Jeldu District, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *PLOS
    ONE* 2016;11(10):e0164534. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164534

12. Sarker AR, Sultana M, Mahumud RA, et al. Prevalence and Health Care–Seeking Behavior for Childhood Diarrheal Disease in Bangladesh. *Glob Pediatr Health* 2016;3 doi: 10.1177/2333794x16680901

13. Ismail SA, McCullough A, Guo S, et al. Gender-related differences in care-seeking behaviour for newborns: a systematic review of the evidence in South Asia. *BMJ Global Health* 2019 doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001309

14. Sultana M, Sarker AR, Sheikh N, et al. Prevalence, determinants and health care-seeking behavior of childhood acute respiratory tract infections in Bangladesh. *PLOS ONE* 2019;14(1):e0210433. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210433

15. Wambui WM, Kimani S, Odhiambo E. Determinants of Health Seeking Behavior among Caregivers of Infants Admitted with Acute Childhood Illnesses at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. *Int J Pediatr* 2018;2018 doi: 10.1155/2018/5190287

16. Akinyemi JO, Banda P, Wet ND, et al. Household relationships and healthcare seeking behaviour for common childhood illnesses in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-national mixed effects analysis. *BMC Health Services Research* 2019;19(1):1-11. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4142-x

17. Ellis AA, Winch P, Daou Z, et al. Home management of childhood diarrhoea in southern Mali—Implications for the introduction of zinc treatment. *Social Science & Medicine* 2007;64(3):701-12. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.10.011

18. Rutebemberwa E, Nsabagasani X, Pariyo G, et al. Use of drugs, perceived drug efficacy and preferred providers for febrile children: implications for home management of fever. *Malaria Journal* 2009;8(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/1475-2875-8-131

19. Tsukahara T, Sugahara T, Ogura S, et al. Effect of pecuniary costs and time costs on choice of healthcare providers among caregivers of febrile children in rural Papua New Guinea. *Health Econ Rev* 2019;9 doi: 10.1186/s13561-019-0250-x

20. M A-N, IK N. Health Seeking Behaviour of Mothers of Under-Five-Year-Old Children in the Slum Communities of Nairobi, Kenya. *Anthropology & Medicine* 2006;13(1):25-40. doi: 10.1080/13648470500516261

21. Cross J, MacGregor HN. Knowledge, legitimacy and economic practice in informal markets for medicine: A critical review of research. *Social Science & Medicine* 2010;71(9):1593-600. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.07.040

22. Peters DH, Bloom G. Bring order to unregulated health markets. *Nature* 2012;487(7406):163-65. doi: 10.1038/487163a

23. Yao J, Agadjanian V. Bypassing health facilities in rural Mozambique: spatial, institutional, and individual determinants. *BMC Health Services Research* 2018;18(1):1006. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3834-y

24. Rao KD, Sheffel A. Quality of clinical care and bypassing of primary health centers in India. *Social Science & Medicine* 2018;207:80-88. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.04.040

25. Mackintosh M, Channon A, Karan A, et al. What is the private sector? Understanding private provision in the health systems of low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet* 2016;388(10044):596-605. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00342-1

26. Durham J, Marcos M, Hill PS, et al. Haïti and the health marketplace: the role of the private, informal market in filling the gaps left by the state. *BMC Health Services Research* 2015;15(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1088-5

27. World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals 2018 [Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/328065/WHO-HIS-SDS-2018.15-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y accessed September 28 2020.

28. Geldsetzer P, Williams TC, Kirolos A, et al. The Recognition of and Care Seeking Behaviour for Childhood Illness in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9(4):e93427. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093427

29. Colvin C, Smith H, Swartz A, et al. Understanding Careseeking for Child Illness in sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Conceptual Framework Based on Qualitative Research of Household Recognition and Response to Child Diarrhoea, Pneumonia and Malaria. *Social science & medicine* 2013;86 doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.02.031

30. McPake B, Hanson K. Managing the public–private mix to achieve universal health coverage. *The Lancet* 2016;388(10044):622-30. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00344-5

31. Bennett S, Bloom G, Knezovich J, et al. The future of health markets. *Global Health* 2014;10:51. doi: 10.1186/1744-8603-10-51

32. Durham J, Michael M, Hill PS, et al. Haïti and the health marketplace: the role of the private, informal market in filling the gaps left by the state. *BMC Health Services Research* 2015;15(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1088-5

33. Gage AD, Leslie HH, Bitton A, et al. Assessing the quality of primary care in Haiti. *Bull World Health Organ* 2017;95(3):182-90. doi: 10.2471/blt.16.179846

34. Moise K, Bernard JJ, Henrys JH. Evaluation of antibiotic self-medication among outpatients of the state university hospital of Port-Au-Prince, Haiti: a cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J* 2017;28 doi: 10.11604/pamj.2017.28.4.12589

35. Hill PS, Pavignani E, Michael M, et al. The "empty void" is a crowded space: health service provision at the margins of fragile and conflict affected states. *Conflict and Health* 2014;8(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/1752-1505-8-20

36. Kohler JC, Pavignani E, Michael M, et al. An examination of pharmaceutical systems in severely disrupted countries. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* 2012;12(1):1-11. doi: doi:10.1186/1472-698X-12-34

37. Clark H, Coll-Seck AM, Banerjee A, et al. A future for the world's children? A WHO-UNICEF-Lancet Commission. *The Lancet* 2020;395(10224):605-58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32540-1

38. United Nations Interagency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Levels and Trends in Child Mortality. New York
 United Nations Children's Fund, 2017.

39. Wagenaar BH, Kohrt BA, Hagaman AK, et al. Determinants of Care Seeking for Mental Health Problems in Rural Haiti: Culture, Cost, or Competency. *https://doiorg/101176/appips201200272* 2013 doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201200272

40. White K, Small M, Frederic R, et al. Health Seeking Behavior Among Pregnant Women in Rural Haiti. *Health care for women international* 2006;27(9) doi: 10.1080/07399330600880384

41. Raymondville M, Rodriguez CA, Richterman A, et al. Barriers and facilitators influencing facility-based childbirth in rural Haiti: a mixed method study with a convergent design. *BMJ Global Health* 2020;5(8):e002526. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002526

42. Cros M, Cavagnero E, Alfred JP, et al. Equitable realization of the right to health in Haiti: how household data inform health seeking behavior and financial risk protection. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 2019;18(1):1-16. doi: doi:10.1186/s12939-019-0973-7

43. Ponsar F, Tayler-Smith K, Philips M, et al. No Cash, No Care: How User Fees Endanger Health--Lessons Learnt Regarding Financial Barriers to Healthcare Services in Burundi, Sierra Leone, Democratic Republic of Congo, Chad, Haiti and Mali. *International health* 2011;3(2) doi: 10.1016/j.inhe.2011.01.002

44. Borgatti SP, Martin GJohnson, Jeffrey C. Analyzing Social Networks: SAGE Publications Ltd 2018.

45. Davis A, Gardner BB, Gardner MR, et al. Deep South; a social anthropological study of caste and class. Chicago, Ill.: The University of Chicago Press 1941.

46. Vacca R, Solano G, Lubbers M, et al. A Personal Network Approach to the Study of Immigrant Structural Assimilation and Transnationalism. *Social Networks* 2018;53:72-89. doi: 10.1016/j.socnet.2016.08.007

47. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2014 [Available from: http://www.R-project.org/.

48. Pebesma E, Bivand R. Simple Features for R. R package version 0.6-3. 2018

49. World Health Organization, Pan American Health Organization. Culture and Mental Health in Haiti: A Literature Review, 2010.