Opportunities to catalyze improved healthcare access in pluralistic systems: a cross-sectional study in Haiti

Authors
Molly B Klarman[1†], Justin Schon[2,3*†], Youseline Cajusma[1], Stace Maples[4], Valery M Beau de Rochars[5], Chantale Baril[6], Eric J Nelson[1]

Affiliations
[1] Departments of Pediatrics and Environmental and Global Health, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[2] Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl

[3] Department of Politics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

[4] Stanford Geospatial Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

[5] Department of Health Services Research, Management and Policy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

[6] State University of Haiti, Port-au-Prince, Haiti

[†] Co-first authors

[*] Former institution

Corresponding author contact information
Eric J. Nelson, MD PhD
2055 Mowry Road, Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
Email: eric.nelson@ufl.edu

SUMMARY

Introduction. Gains to ensure global healthcare access are at risk of stalling because some old resilient challenges require new solutions. Our objective was to study a pluralistic healthcare system that is reliant on both conventional and non-conventional providers to discover opportunities to catalyze renewed progress.

Methods. A cross-sectional study was conducted among households with children less than 5 years of age in Haiti. Households were randomly sampled geographically with stratifications for population density. Household questionnaires with standardized cases (intentions) were compared to self-recall of health events (behaviors). The connectedness of households and their providers was determined by network analysis.

Results. A total of 568 households (incorporating 2900 members) and 65 providers were enrolled. Households reported 636 health events in the prior month. Households sought care for 35% (n=220) and treated with home remedies for 44% (n=277). The odds of seeking care increased 217% for severe events (aOR=3.17; 95%CI 1.99-5.05; $p< 0.001$). The odds of seeking care from a conventional provider increased by 37% with increasing distance (aOR=1.37; 95%CI 1.06-1.79; p=0.016). Despite stating an intention to seek care from conventional providers, there was a lack of congruence in practice that favored non-conventional providers (McNemar's Chi-squared Test $p<0.001$). Care was sought from primary providers for 68% (n=150) of cases within a three-tiered network; 25% (n=38/150) were non-conventional.

Conclusion. Addressing geographic barriers, possibly with technology solutions, should be prioritized to meet healthcare seeking intentions while developing approaches to connect non-conventional providers into healthcare networks when geographic barriers cannot be overcome.

Article Summary

- The study is inclusive of both conventional and non-conventional healthcare providers, reflecting Haiti's pluralistic healthcare system.
- The study utilized randomized geospatial sampling method to ensure participants were geographically representative of all families in the study area.
- Employed an unique application of network analysis to observe relationships between families and healthcare providers to identify approaches to increase healthcare access in seemingly change resilient pluralistic systems.
- A limitation of the approach to compare healthcare seeking intentions and behaviors was that the standardized case scenarios did not cover all health event scenarios.
- A limitation on enrollment was that non-conventional healthcare providers were more difficult to locate than conventional providers.

INTRODUCTION

Improving access to healthcare is one of the highest global health priorities set by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) (2015)[1]. SDG 3.8 seeks to "achieve universal health coverage (UHC)", however the current rate of progress is insufficient to reach this target by 2030. Low and middle income countries (LMIC) are the furthest off track[2 3], and UHC tracking indicators show no significant gains for children between 2010 and 2020[4]. The COVID-19 pandemic will exacerbate the limited progress[5]. Innovative approaches are needed to overcome resilient barriers to catalyze progress to achieve universal healthcare access.

Common barriers are accessibility, availability, and acceptability[6]. Determinants inside and outside of households influence when a potential barrier manifests as an actual barrier. One method to prioritize determinants for action is to investigate healthcare seeking behaviors starting with illness recognition ('is the family member sick?') and response ('what should the family do?'). Determinants associated with 'recognition' and 'response' include biomedical understanding of illness[7], ability to recognize danger signs[8], caregiver's perception of illness severity[9-11], age[12], level of education[7 8] and marriage status[11], number of symptoms[11], gender[13 14], rural/urban location[7 15], intra-household relationships[16 17], distance[15 18 19], finances [17 19 20] and wait times[18].

Once a decision is made to seek care, factors that influence provider selection reveal additional barriers. LMIC healthcare systems are poorly defined with a range of conventional and non-conventional providers; alternative terminologies are qualified/unqualified or formal/informal[21]. Parallel and differential access to conventional and non-conventional providers manifests in chaotic systems[22]. Conventional providers can be defined as licensed doctors and nurse practitioners at government or non-

governmental organization (NGO) facilities. Non-conventional providers can be defined as traditional healers, medication vendors, unlicensed practitioners and pharmacists. Provider selection exposes conflicts between a patient's intention ('would do') and behavior ('did do')[23 24]. These conflicts represent an opportunity to reveal unanticipated solutions to improve access to care.

The associated networks within pluralistic healthcare systems rely on the relationships among and between conventional and non-conventional providers[25 26]. Conventional primary care providers, including community health workers, are assumed to be the first access point into healthcare systems[27], however non-conventional providers have an important and underappreciated role[28]. Non-conventional providers often practice in parallel without disclosure to conventional providers which creates alternative pathways for seeking care[29]. These complex relationships are not adequately understood, yet are essential to improving healthcare access[30-32].

Haiti was chosen as a generalizable setting to address these knowledge gaps. Access to healthcare in Haiti is low at 23% nationwide and 5% among the rural population[33]. Non-conventional healthcare services and traditional medicine are common[32 34-36]. Child health and wellness is substandard with Haiti ranking 150 out of 180 countries[37]. The under five year mortality rate is 67/1,000 live births compared to 41/1,000 live births globally[38]. Healthcare seeking behavior in Haiti has been evaluated for mental health[39], prenatal care[40], childbirth location[41] and cost[42 43]. Our objective was to address actionable knowledge gaps, having generalizable impact beyond Haiti, on care seeking behavior and provider selection from conventional and non-conventional providers, and their associated networks.

METHODS

Study design and participants. In this cross-sectional study, consenting participants were enrolled at the household and healthcare provider levels. Household inclusion criteria were households with an adult (18 years or older) head-of-household (HoH) and at least one child under 5 years. Provider inclusion criteria were adult healthcare providers identified by an enrolled household and located within the study area. The 477 sq km study area, encompassing the communes of Gressier and Leogane Haiti, was divided into two-square km grid-cells. Population density was determined by approximating the number of structures within the grid cell using satellite data from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (USA). Density per grid cell was categorized by structure quartiles; low (0-112 structures), medium (113-397 structures) and high (398-4664 structures). Each grid cell was divided into Thiessen polygons using ArcGIS Online (Esri version 18.0.3) with divisions that reflected the number of households targeted for enrollment; low =18, medium =24, and high =53 per grid cell. The first house encountered in a polygon was recruited. Grid-cells were surveyed sequentially (e.g. low, medium, high) and randomly ordered within each category across a 12-month study period (Aug 2018-July 2019). Although ten grid-cells of each density were designated for survey, six of each were sampled because of logistical limitations. Adult participants provided informed written consent. Ethical approvals were obtained from the Comité National de Bioéthique (National Bioethics Committee of Haiti; 1718-35) and the University of Florida (IRB201703246).

Data Collection. Data were collected by one enumerator and one nurse. Survey instruments included two thirty-minute in-person questionnaires administered with REDCap mobile version 9.1.1 and were piloted with non-participant households. A household questionnaire collected demographic and socio-economic data, and

healthcare seeking behavior for standardized cases and health events (appendix). A health event was defined as any illness a household member experienced in the previous month, regardless of whether care was sought. The standardized respiratory and diarrhoeal cases consisted of hypothetical scenarios involving an ill child at 10 PM with typical symptoms of acute respiratory infection or diarrhoea. A provider questionnaire captured details about the facility/business, personnel qualifications and resources available (appendix). Conventional providers were licensed persons who worked at a licensed facility. For large facilities with greater than 200 patients per month, the facility itself was defined as a 'provider'. Non-conventional providers were licensed or non-licensed providers at non-licensed facilities, or mobile non-licensed providers.

Statistical Analysis. Household demographics and health event characteristics were described by proportions for categorical variables and medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables. Social economic status (S.E.S.) index was generated using a summative score of the following components: land, animal, bank account and phone ownership, HoH education level, household electricity source, fuel source, water source, primary source of income, primary transportation method, sanitation type, household floor, wall and roof type, and grid cell density. Provider characteristics were described similarly. The two primary analyses compared (i) households that did and did not seek care for health events and (ii) households that did and did not seek care from a conventional provider. For care-seeking, we used bivariate and multivariate logistic regression models with seeking care as the dependent variable and expressed the results as odds ratios (ORs) and adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for care sought. Similar methods were used to compare the selection of seeking care from a conventional versus non-conventional provider. Health events were analyzed as discrete variables.

Two-mode whole networks [44 45] of households and providers compared provider types identified/sought in standardized cases and health events; sub-analyses were performed for respiratory infection ('cough' or 'cold' with fever), diarrhoeal illness ('diarrhoea' with/without blood), and "other" cases (all other illness types not categorized as respiratory or diarrhoea). Ego networks[44 46] were generated for commonly identified providers in the whole-network analysis. One-mode provider referral networks[44] were generated. Statistical significance was defined at α=0.05 and 95% confidence intervals are provided. Missingness that was not excluded was for provider types identified by households but not located and enrolled; for regression models, case-wise deletion was used. Analyses were completed in Stata (v11) and the igraph, and in R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing; packages included 'sf' by E. Pebesma and R. Bivand 2018)[47 48].

Patient and public involvement. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

Household characteristics. A total of 868 households were randomly screened and 568 (65%) were enrolled with a distribution of 112, 144 and 312 between low, medium and high-density grid-cells; 24% were excluded because the household had no children under 5 years (figure 1A, table 1). The average household had 5.2 members, and among the 2900 household members, 58% were under 21 years.

Health event characteristics. A total of 636 health events were identified (tables 2,S1,S2); 22%, 50%, and 28% of households reported 0, 1, or at least 2 health events in the previous month respectively. The most common symptoms were congestion, fever and cough, present in 61%, 52% and 49% of health events respectively**.** The most

common perceived cause of health events was humoral pathology[49] (41%), considered an imbalance of 'hot' and 'cold' within the body caused by environmental exposures. Forty percent of health events were considered severe. Half of all health events started at nighttime (55%), of these, 36% were severe (table S1). Respiratory infection symptoms started more often at nighttime (66%) compared to diarrhoea that more often started during the daytime (65%) (table S2).

Determinants to seek care for health events. Healthcare was sought outside the household for 35% (n=220/636) of health events, and most often for children between 0-4 years (65%). The most common reason cited for not seeking care was preference to treat at the household with home remedies (67%; n=277/416) followed by the health event did not necessitate treatment (24%; n=99/416). The majority of households delayed seeking care (67%; n=138/201), most commonly citing monetary and transportation (46%; n=63/138) barriers. Among those who sought care without delay, 68% (n=43/63) chose to do so because of severity. In the bivariate analysis, decreased odds of seeking care was associated with nighttime events (OR=0.69; 95%CI 0.50-0.96; p=0.029) and informal transport (OR=0.53; 95%CI 0.36-0.79; p=0.002). Increased odds of seeking care were associated with illness severity (OR=3.20; 95%CI 2.28-4.50; p< 0.001), high density grid-cells (OR=1.66; 95%CI 1.18-2.34; p=0.004) and high S.E.S. (OR=1.45; 95%CI 1.03-2.03; p=0.034). In the multivariate analysis, the odds of seeking care increased by 217% with severe illness (aOR=3.17; 95%CI 1.99-5.05; p<0.001).

Provider characteristics. Households identified 140 providers and 65 (46%) were located and enrolled (figure 1B; table S3). Failure to enroll providers was most commonly due to the provider being located outside the study area (21%; n=29) or an inability to locate the provider/ had ceased operation (14%; n=19). Details from the household surveys enabled the determination of provider type and setting (table S3).

148 Among surveyed providers, 68% (n=44) had a business license. Variation was observed
149 by grid cell density; only 4 providers were identified in the low-density grid-cells and
150 none were available after 8 pm (table S3).

151 **Determinants to seek care from a conventional provider.** Care was sought from a
152 conventional provider for 83% (n=180/218) and a non-conventional provider for 17%
153 (n=38/218) of health events (table S4). Bivariate analysis found decreased odds of
154 seeking care from a conventional provider was associated with informal transport
155 (OR=0.33; 95%CI 0.15-0.71; p=0.005) and HoH with no formal education (OR=0.32;
156 95%CI 0.12-0.82; p=0.018). The odds increased in association with S.E.S. (OR=2.78;
157 95%CI 1.25-6.25; p=0.12). In multivariate analysis, increased odds of seeking care from
158 a conventional provider was associated with increased travel distance (aOR=1.37;
159 95%CI 1.06-1.79; p=0.016) and HoH education at or above secondary school
160 (aOR=5.00; 95%CI 1.05-25.00; p=0.044). These findings are consistent with responses
161 that 53% of families (n=116/220) cited distance as the most important factor in provider
162 selection. Households that cited distance traveled a median of 1.5km (IQR 0.7km-
163 3.9km) in comparison to 3.6km (IQR 1.5km-7.8km) for households that did not cite
164 distance. Households traveled further (Mann Whitney, p<.0001) to seek care from
165 conventional providers (median 2.6km, IQR 1.1km-5.9km) than non-conventional
166 providers (median 0.9km, IQR 0.0km-2.3km). The difference in cost was less when
167 households sought care from non-conventional providers (median US $1.28, IQR US
168 $0.16-$2.56) compared to when households sought care from conventional providers
169 (median US $4.49, IQR US $1.92-$7.85; Mann Whitney, p < 0.0001).

170 **Congruence between care seeking intentions and behaviors by provider type.**
171 Comparisons of intentions versus behaviors for the type of provider sought was
172 conducted using data from the household standardized cases ('where would you go?')

173 and the household health events (‘where did you go?’). Among all health event types,
174 there was a lack of congruence between intentions and behaviors (OR =0.15; 95%CI
175 0.04-0.43; p<0.001); 27 of the 31 non-congruent events were attributed to households
176 that intended to seek care from a conventional provider but switched to non-
177 conventional (table S5). In bivariate analysis, ARI with diarrhoea and informal
178 transportation were associated with increased non-congruence. In contrast, HoH
179 education level of secondary and above and high-density grid-cells were associated
180 with congruence. Multivariate analysis found no significant factors (table S6).

181 **Network analysis of care-seeking intentions versus behaviors.**

182 Two-mode whole networks were used to evaluate the relationships between households
183 and providers identified through standardized cases and health events (figure 2). The
184 number of households linked to each provider per health event was statistically different
185 compared to standardized respiratory (Mann Whitney, p=.014) and diarrhoeal (Mann
186 Whitney, p=.007) cases; distance traveled was statistically different compared to
187 diarrhoeal cases (Wilcoxon, p=.001); sub-analysis comparisons for respiratory and
188 diarrhoeal specific health events were similar (figure S1). Two-mode ego network
189 analyses focused on a public clinic (C1) and a private hospital (H1) commonly identified
190 in the whole-network analysis as the intended provider (figure 2); in practice,
191 households sought care from these providers less often (Student *t*-test; both p<0.001).
192 In one-mode analysis, providers identified 33 conventional and 0 non-conventional
193 referral providers that formed a three-tiered network (figure. S2A); 45% (n=15) received
194 one referral at the lowest tier, 42% (n=14) received between 2-10 referrals at the middle
195 tier and 12% (n=4) received 10 or more referrals at the highest tier. Sixty-eight percent
196 of households sought care from providers receiving zero referrals. Referral networks
197 from standardized respiratory and diarrhoeal cases were similar (figure S2B,C).

198 **DISCUSSION**

199 Should care be sought, and from whom, are fundamental questions when medical
200 problems arise in households. The decisions may trigger entry into a linear healthcare
201 system with primary, secondary and tertiary conventional providers or a pluralistic
202 system that includes non-conventional providers. In this cross-sectional study, we found
203 that approximately one-third of health events were not treated, one-third were treated at
204 the household and one third sought care. When care was sought, it was for severe
205 illnesses and from a mixture of conventional and non-conventional providers, largely
206 disconnected from healthcare networks, despite intentions to seek care from connected
207 conventional providers. The findings reveal insights on how to catalyze improved
208 healthcare access in seemingly change resilient healthcare settings.

209 Households living in low population density regions had the lowest S.E.S. compared to
210 those in high population density regions. Households approached health events with a
211 pragmatic mindset aware of healthcare access barriers. Barriers to seeking care
212 included nighttime presentation, limited access to motorized transport, and poverty.
213 Disease severity was the major determinant to seek care. Families delayed seeking
214 care because of financial and transport barriers, unless the event was severe or not
215 resolving. These data document that poverty and geographic isolation in rural
216 communities are barriers to seek care.

217 Providers were identified by households in both the standardized cases and the health
218 events. Increased S.E.S correlated with seeking care from a conventional provider.
219 Multivariate analysis identified increased distance, education, and higher population
220 density as correlates of seeking care from a conventional provider. These findings
221 highlight that once a household decides to seek care, poverty and geographic isolation

again influence the decision to seek care from a conventional versus non-conventional provider.

Intentions ('would do') and behaviors ('did do') for care-seeking and provider selection were compared by analyzing standardized cases against the health events. Conflict between household intentions and behaviors for seeking care from a conventional provider was found. The directionality was from an intention to seek care from a conventional provider to care sought from a non-conventional provider. These analyses highlight the inequity that both poor and geographically isolated households confront between intentions and behaviors. The data also suggest that poor and isolated households are not content with the choice they are forced to make. Network analysis compared intentions versus behaviors for provider selection. A stark contrast was revealed between an intention to seek care from commonly shared providers yet a behavior to seek care from providers that were less commonly shared. For example, networks analysis of two highly desired conventional providers (C1; H1) found that in practice, less care was sought from these providers.

Disparity between intentions and behaviors can be found broadly across the domain of healthcare. However, this study provides insight that when faced with poverty and isolation, households are forced to turn to nearby providers that are often non-conventional. Methods to reduce non-congruence between intentions and behaviors are needed. We advocate that governmental and non-governmental organizations develop initiatives to investigate how to link disconnected non-conventional providers into the healthcare networks with conventional providers. In parallel, households may desire and need an innovative alternative mechanism to 'bypass' barriers they face when attempting to seek care from a conventional provider. A new model (figure 3) may include improved access to centralized services, or extending access to/near

247 households by mobile healthcare services either physically or electronically through
248 telemedicine.

249 These findings should be viewed within the context of the study limitations. First, the
250 standardized case questionnaires were designed *a priori* to investigate the decision-
251 making process to seek care for children with respiratory or diarrhoeal disease at night.
252 The analytic strategy to compare standardized cases as intentions and health events as
253 behaviors was established *post-hoc*. The limitation is that the specific standardized
254 cases were limited to children who developed symptoms at night which reduced the
255 strength of comparison to the diversity of health events despite sub-analysis to compare
256 cases by chief complaint. The sample size of health events with only respiratory or
257 diarrhoeal complaints alone also limited the strength of comparisons with standardized
258 cases. Second, adjustments for household clustering were not performed because 72%
259 of households had zero or one health event. Third, providers identified by households
260 were difficult to locate and only a portion of providers were located. This resulted in
261 missingness and an information bias such that mobile drug vendors were interviewed
262 less. Lastly, there was a risk of recall bias because questionnaires relied on one-month
263 and one-week recall by households and providers, respectively. Despite these
264 limitations, the approach and findings represent a meaningful contribution.

265 **Conclusion.** The study supports a model that households are likely to treat illnesses at
266 home without assistance. When care is sought, it is for severe illnesses and often from
267 a mixture of disconnected conventional and non-conventional providers despite an
268 intention to seek care from a conventional provider. The findings support bridging
269 geographic barriers, possibly with technology solutions, to meet healthcare seeking
270 intentions while developing approaches to connect non-conventional providers into
271 healthcare networks when geographic barriers cannot be overcome.

Contributions. EJN and MBK conducted the literature search. The study was designed by EJN and MBK. MBK and CY implemented the study and collected data with guidance from SM. SM provided the approach and tools for geospatial mapping. Data analysis, interpretation, figure design, and writing was conducted by JS, MBK and EJN. Clinical oversight in Haiti was governed by CB with assistance by MVBDR. Communication with IRBs was performed by CB, MVBDR and EJN. IRB documentation was written and managed by MBK and EJN.

Acknowledgements. We thank the households and providers that agreed to participate in this study, and diligent field research team who made this study possible. We are grateful to R. Autrey, K. Berquist, D. Schatz and S. Rivkees at the University of Florida for their support and helpful discussions. We thank M. Gurka, R. Vacca and C. McCarty at the University of Florida for guidance and insight on study design and social network analysis. We also express our gratitude to the Christianville Foundation (Gressier, Haiti) for their shared commitment to healthcare access and providing infrastructure for implementation.

Data availability. Data are available in the Supplementary Appendix.

Role of the funding source. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [DP5OD019893] to EJN. Internal support was provided by the University of Florida. These funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclaimer. These funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

295 **SUMMARY OF ADDED BENEFIT AND CLINICAL IMPACT**

296 To best describe what is known about the subject in this manuscript, we performed two
297 searches in PubMed for reports published after January 1, 2010 in all languages. The
298 first search consisted of the search terms [Haiti] AND [care seeking] OR [health seeking]
299 OR [healthcare seeking]. The criteria identified 16 publications. Four of the articles had
300 a primary focus of healthcare seeking behaviors in Haiti; 1 pertained to rabies, 2
301 pertained to mental health and 1 pertained to equitable access to healthcare. Care
302 seeking in Haiti was a component in an additional 6 articles, although not as the primary
303 research objective; 1 pertained to rabies, 1 pertained to cholera patients, 1 pertained to
304 suicide, 1 multi-country study pertained to child health and family planning, 1 multi-
305 country study pertained to user fees and 1 multi-country study pertained to malaria and
306 the private health sector. The remaining 6 articles did not pertain to healthcare seeking
307 in Haiti.

308 The second search consisted of the terms “network analysis” AND “healthcare
309 providers” OR “healthcare provider” OR “referral”. The criteria identified 81 publications.
310 The results were filtered to remove articles with the terms “United States”, U.S., Europe,
311 Australia, “neural network analysis”, and “thematic network analysis” and non-primary
312 research results were also removed. This yielded 20 publications. Three papers used
313 network analysis to investigate the roll of peer networks, one pertaining to diet and
314 exercise in Korea, one pertaining to risk of migraines in Taiwan, and one pertaining to
315 theoretical support programming for cancer patients. Two papers used network analysis
316 to characterize professional advice seeking behavior; one among physicians in Pakistan
317 and the other among primary healthcare workers in Ethiopia. Three papers used
318 network analysis to assess health systems functioning, one pertaining to communication

during hospital patient discharge in the US, one pertaining to patient flow in a US hospital and one pertaining to health systems integration in China. One paper created referral networks among community healthcare providers and their patients 65 and older in the United Kingdom to better inform the organization of community services. Another paper from the United Kingdom used network analysis to map and understand naturally occurring communities that could assist in the development of a new primary care network initiative. Three papers examined relationships among networks of organizations who support the health of specific populations, one pertaining to organizations involved in cancer screening of South Asians in Canada, one pertaining to professionals who provide psychosocial care to victims of violence in Colombia, and one pertaining to organizations who address unmet social needs and their clinical partners in the US.

Despite this body of literature, we did not identify studies that used original data to investigate general healthcare seeking behavior in Haiti. Beyond Haiti, we did not identify studies that performed a network analysis to investigate relationships between households and the healthcare providers they frequent, especially in a global health context. Referral networks were represented in the literature but in the context of high-income countries. Therefore, the knowledge this manuscript contributes on pluralistic healthcare systems are of great value globally. The innovative methods of leveraging standardized cases to gauge intentions and the network analysis framework benefit teams faced with similar challenges. The study revealed unanticipated opportunities that should be further evaluated outside of Haiti and considered by international stakeholders as avenues to catalyze renewed SDG progress.

343 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

344

345 **Figure 1.** Participant enrollment. **A.** The study enrolled 568 households representing
346 2900 household members out of 868 households screened. **B.** The study enrolled 65
347 providers out of 140 identified by households using both standardized case and health
348 event questionnaires; percentages are of those identified by households.

349

350

351 **Figure 2.** Provider selection. Among households with health events in which care was
352 sought (n=180), two-mode whole network analysis was used to elicit care-seeking
353 intentions for standardized respiratory cases (**A),** standardized diarrhoeal cases (**B),**
354 and health events **(C)**. The median number of household-provider linkages per provider
355 and distance traveled were 2 (IQR=1-5) and 3.2km (IQR=1.3km-5.6km), 2.5 (IQR=1-5)
356 and 4.0km (IQR=1.9km-6.4km) and 1 (IQR=1-2) and 2.1km (IQR=1.0km-5.4km) for
357 respiratory standardized cases (A), diarrhoeal standardized cases (B) and health events
358 (C), respectively. Ego network analysis of the two most commonly identified providers.
359 Households that selected public clinic C1 in the respiratory standardized case (n=26;
360 **D**), the diarrhoeal standardized case (n=50; **E**), and/or sought care at C1 for a health
361 event (n=9; **F**). Households that selected private hospital H1 in the respiratory
362 standardized case (n=51; **G**), the diarrhoeal standardized case (n=64; **H**), and/or sought
363 care at H1 for a health event (n=13; **I**).

364

365

366 **Figure 3.** Model to improve access to healthcare in a pluralistic system. A. Current
367 relationships between households and providers. B. Opportunities to improve access to
368 care by better connecting households to conventional providers, and non-conventional
369 providers to the healthcare network. Solid gray arrows represent current connections.
370 Dashed green curved arrow represents a bypass mechanism to improve access to
371 conventional primary providers by innovative mechanisms (e.g. dedicated
372 transport/assistance, mobile outreach clinics, telemedicine). Dashed gray arrows
373 represent connections to link conventional/non-conventional providers.
374

375 **TABLES**

376

Table 1. Household characteristics

Grid cell density	All N=568	Low n=112	Medium n=144	High n=312
Household members: (n%)				
0 to 1 years	322 (11)	63 (11)	79 (11)	180 (11)
2 to 4 years	436 (15)	76 (14)	115 (16)	245 (15)
5 to 10 years	440 (15)	78 (14)	106 (15)	256 (16)
11 to 20 years	481 (17)	89 (16)	141 (19)	251 (16)
≥21 years	1221 (42)	253 (45)	284 (39)	684 (42)
Total members	2900	559 (19)	725 (25)	1616 (56)
Highest-education level (HoH): n(%)				
None	89 (16)	26 (23)	38 (26)	25 (8)
Below secondary	247 (43)	42 (38)	62 (43)	143 (46)
Secondary and above	232 (41)	44 (39)	23 (31)	144 (46)
Transportation: n(%)				
Informal (foot/ bike/ donkey)	176 (31)	52 (46)	64 (44)	60 (19)
Public	74 (13)	0 (0)	1 (1)	73 (23)
Motorcycle taxi	295 (52)	55 (49)	78 (54)	162 (52)
Private car/ motorcycle	19 (3)	2 (2)	1 (1)	16 (5)
Primary source of income: n(%)				
Agriculture/ Animal husbandry	266 (47)	92 (82)	105 (73)	69 (22)
Vendor (Commerce)	165 (29)	11 (10)	24 (17)	130 (42)
Tradesperson	61 (11)	5 (4)	7 (5)	49 (16)
Salaried employment	35 (6)	2 (2)	2 (1)	31 (10)
None	11 (2)	0 (0)	1 (1)	10 (3)
Other	30 (5)	2 (2)	5 (3)	23 (7)
S.E.S index[a]: n(%)				
First quintile	116	59 (53)	53 (37)	4 (1)
Second quintile	112	29 (26)	40 (28)	43 (14)
Third quintile	113	20 (18)	34 (24)	59 (19)
Fourth quintile	115	2 (2)	12 (8)	101 (32)
Fifth quintile	112	2 (2)	5 (3)	105 (34)

377 [a] See methods for S.E.S index components.

Table 2. Health event characteristics

Grid cell density	All N=636	Low n=122(19)	Medium n=136(21)	High n=378(59)
Patient age: n(%)				
0-4 years	411 (65)	78 (64)	96 (71)	237 (63)
5-10 years	26 (4)	3 (2)	8 (6)	15 (4)
11+ years	199 (31)	41 (34)	32 (24)	126 (33)
Symptom [a]: n(%)				
Congestion (cold)	385 (61)	82 (67)	92 (68)	211 (56)
Fever	330 (52)	60 (49)	73 (54)	197 (52)
Cough	313 (49)	66 (54)	79 (58)	168 (44)
Diarrhoea	133 (21)	23 (19)	36 (26)	74 (20)
Headache	66 (10)	14 (11)	10 (7)	42 (11)
Abdominal pain	39 (6)	7 (6)	9 (7)	23 (6)
Vomiting	31 (5)	4 (3)	8 (6)	19 (5)
Chest pain	22 (3)	6 (5)	7 (5)	9 (2)
Skin problem	16 (3)	3 (2)	4 (3)	9 (2)
Infection	10 (2)	2 (2)	0 (0)	8 (2)
Other symptoms[2]	80 (13)	12 (10)	14 (10)	54 (14)
Cause (perceived): n(%)				
Temperature exposure[c]	258 (41)	52 (43)	59 (43)	147 (39)
Medical/ epidemiological/ environmental	130 (20)	16 (13)	26 (19)	88 (23)
Cultural (e.g. teething)	56 (9)	10 (8)	14 (10)	32 (8)
Stress/ fatigue	45 (7)	9 (7)	11 (8)	25 (7)
Unknown	136 (21)	31 (25)	26 (19)	79 (21)
Severity (perceived): n(%)				
Not severe	382 (60)	66 (55)	85 (63)	231 (61)
Severe	252 (40)	55 (45)	51 (38)	146 (39)
Sought care: n(%)				
Yes	220 (35)	39 (32)	33 (24)	148 (39)
No	416 (65)	83 (68)	103 (76)	230 (61)

[a] Percentage of health events that include listed symptoms; median symptoms/health event =2.

[b] 'Other' included anemia, back, diabetes, ear, hypertension, joint, mouth, pregnancy, seizure, throat, trauma, vaginal and vision medical problems.

[c] A perceived imbalance of 'hot' and 'cold' within the body caused by environmental exposures (humoral pathology).

Table 3. Determinants to seek care for health events

	Care sought n=220	Care not sought n=416	OR (CI)	p value	aOR (CI)	p value
Patient age: n(%)						
0-4 years	131 (60)	280 (67)	0.71 (0.51-1.00)	0.052	Ref	Ref
5-10 years	10 (5)	16 (4)	1.19 (0.53-2.67)	0.672	0.77 (0.22-2.63)	0.675
11+ years	79 (36)	119 (29)	1.38 (0.98-1.96)	0.068	0.75 (0.40-1.38)	0.355
Illness[a]: n(%)						
Respiratory	96 (44)	222 (53)	**0.67 (0.48-0.94)**	**0.018**	0.75 (0.40-1.42)	0.378
Diarrhoea	17 (8)	35 (8)	0.91 (0.50-1.66)	0.757	0.69 (0.27-1.73)	0.426
Respiratory and diarrhoea	19 (9)	62 (15)	**0.54 (0.31-0.93)**	**0.025**	0.99 (0.37-2.65)	0.980
Other	88 (40)	96 (23)	**2.22 (1.56-3.15)**	**<0.001**	Ref	Ref
Distance (km)[b]: median (IQR)	3.2 (1.3-5.5)	3.5 (1.5-6.1)	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	0.239	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.135
Severity (perceived): n(%)						
Not-Severe	92 (42)	290 (70)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Severe	127 (58)	125 (30)	**3.20 (2.28-4.50)**	**<0.001**	**3.17 (1.99-5.05)**	**<0.001**
Time of health event[c]						
Day	101 (48)	138 (36)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Night	108 (52)	242 (64)	**0.69 (0.50-0.96)**	**0.029**	0.80 (0.49-1.29)	0.359
Transportation: n(%)						
Informal (foot/ bike/ donkey)	43 (20)	130 (31)	**0.53 (0.36-0.79)**	**0.002**	0.78 (0.28-2.19)	0.638
Public/ motorcycle taxi	166 (76)	267 (64)	**1.72 (1.19-2.49)**	**0.004**	Ref	Ref
Private car/ motorcycle	10 (5)	17 (4)	1.12 (0.50-2.48)	0.785	0.49 (0.15-1.58)	0.235
Highest-education level (HoH): n(%)						
None	22 (10)	66 (16)	**0.59 (0.35-0.98)**	**0.043**	Ref	Ref
Below secondary	88 (40)	181 (44)	0.87 (0.62-1.21)	0.394	1.34 (0.60-3.02)	0.475
Secondary and above	110 (50	169 (41)	**1.46 (1.05-2.03)**	**0.024**	1.61 (0.68-3.77)	0.277
Primary source of income n(%)						
Agriculture/Animal husbandry	87 (43)	182 (48)	0.85 (0.61-1.20)	0.364	5.04 (0.57-44.51)	0.146
Vendor (Commerce)	71 (35)	134 (35)	1.02 (0.72-1.46)	0.897	2.56 (0.29-22.67)	0.398
Tradesperson	33 (16)	47 (12)	1.41 (0.87-2.29)	0.160	2.68 (0.29-25.18)	0.388
Salaried employment	16 (8)	25 (6)	1.24 (0.65-2.38)	0.512	2.95 (0.30-28.67)	0.351
Grid cell population density: n(%)						
Low	84 (32)	39 (10)	0.86 (0.57-1.32)	0.498	Ref	Ref
Medium	33 (12)	103 (28)	**0.54 (0.35-0.83)**	**0.005**	1.11 (0.52-2.35)	0.783
High	148 (56)	230 (62)	**1.66 (1.18-2.34)**	**0.004**	1.69 (0.82-3.47)	0.154
Health events per prior month: median (IQR)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	1.08 (0.88-1.32)	0.458	0.94 (0.72-1.23)	0.666
S.E.S index[d] median (IQR)	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	**1.45 (1.03-2.03)**	**0.034**	(omitted)	(omitted)

Footnote for Table 3

[a] Respiratory is defined as ‘cough’ or ‘cold’ with fever and no diarrhoea , diarrhoea is with or without blood in the stool and no respiratory infection, ‘other’ included anemia, back, diabetes, ear, hypertension, joint, mouth, pregnancy, seizure, throat, trauma, vaginal and vision medical problems.

[b] Calculated using straight-line distance between a house and the chosen provider for respiratory standardized case.

[c] Day is between 6AM- 6PM and night is between 6PM-6AM.

[d] See methods for variables included in the S.E.S index

394 **REFERENCES**

395 1. Programme UND. Sustainable Development Goals: @undp; 2020 [Available from:
396 https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html
397 accessed March 20 2020.
398 2. Measuring Progress From 1990 to 2017 and Projecting Attainment to 2030 of the
399 Health-Related Sustainable Development Goals for 195 Countries and
400 Territories: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017.
401 *Lancet (London, England)* 2018;392(10159) doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32281-
402 5
403 3. The World Health Organization, The World Bank. Tracking Universal Health
404 Coverage: 2017 Global Monitoring Report. Washington, D.C., 2017.
405 4. Amouzou A, Jiwani SS, da Silva ICM, et al. Closing the inequality gaps in
406 reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health coverage: slow and fast
407 progressors. *BMJ Global Health* 2020;5(1):e002230. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-
408 002230
409 5. Roberton T, Carter ED, Chou VB, et al. Early estimates of the indirect effects of the
410 COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and child mortality in low-income and middle-
411 income countries: a modelling study. *The Lancet Global Health* 2020 doi:
412 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30229-1
413 6. Poverty and Access to Health Care in Developing Countries - Peters - 2008 - Annals
414 of the New York Academy of Sciences - Wiley Online Library. 2020 doi:
415 10.1196/annals.1425.011
416 7. Do M, Babalola S, Awantang G, et al. Associations between malaria-related
417 ideational factors and care-seeking behavior for fever among children under five
418 in Mali, Nigeria, and Madagascar. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(1):e0191079. doi:
419 10.1371/journal.pone.0191079
420 8. Manna B, Nasrin D, Kanungo S, et al. Determinants of Health Care Seeking for
421 Diarrheal Illness in Young Children in Urban Slums of Kolkata, India. *The*
422 *American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2013;89(1 Suppl) doi:
423 10.4269/ajtmh.12-0756
424 9. D'Souza RM. Role of health-seeking behaviour in child mortality in the slums of
425 Karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 2003;35(1):131-44. doi:
426 http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021932003001317
427 10. Amuyunzu-Nyamongo M, Nyamongo I. Health Seeking Behaviour of Mothers of
428 Under-Five-Year-Old Children in the Slum Communities of Nairobi, Kenya.
429 *Anthropology & Medicine* 2006;13(1):25-40. doi: 10.1080/13648470500516261
430 11. Kolola T, Gezahegn T, Addisie M. Health Care Seeking Behavior for Common
431 Childhood Illnesses in Jeldu District, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *PLOS*
432 *ONE* 2016;11(10):e0164534. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164534

12. Sarker AR, Sultana M, Mahumud RA, et al. Prevalence and Health Care–Seeking Behavior for Childhood Diarrheal Disease in Bangladesh. *Glob Pediatr Health* 2016;3 doi: 10.1177/2333794x16680901
13. Ismail SA, McCullough A, Guo S, et al. Gender-related differences in care-seeking behaviour for newborns: a systematic review of the evidence in South Asia. *BMJ Global Health* 2019 doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001309
14. Sultana M, Sarker AR, Sheikh N, et al. Prevalence, determinants and health care-seeking behavior of childhood acute respiratory tract infections in Bangladesh. *PLOS ONE* 2019;14(1):e0210433. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210433
15. Wambui WM, Kimani S, Odhiambo E. Determinants of Health Seeking Behavior among Caregivers of Infants Admitted with Acute Childhood Illnesses at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. *Int J Pediatr* 2018;2018 doi: 10.1155/2018/5190287
16. Akinyemi JO, Banda P, Wet ND, et al. Household relationships and healthcare seeking behaviour for common childhood illnesses in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-national mixed effects analysis. *BMC Health Services Research* 2019;19(1):1-11. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4142-x
17. Ellis AA, Winch P, Daou Z, et al. Home management of childhood diarrhoea in southern Mali—Implications for the introduction of zinc treatment. *Social Science & Medicine* 2007;64(3):701-12. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.10.011
18. Rutebemberwa E, Nsabagasani X, Pariyo G, et al. Use of drugs, perceived drug efficacy and preferred providers for febrile children: implications for home management of fever. *Malaria Journal* 2009;8(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/1475-2875-8-131
19. Tsukahara T, Sugahara T, Ogura S, et al. Effect of pecuniary costs and time costs on choice of healthcare providers among caregivers of febrile children in rural Papua New Guinea. *Health Econ Rev* 2019;9 doi: 10.1186/s13561-019-0250-x
20. M A-N, IK N. Health Seeking Behaviour of Mothers of Under-Five-Year-Old Children in the Slum Communities of Nairobi, Kenya. *Anthropology & Medicine* 2006;13(1):25-40. doi: 10.1080/13648470500516261
21. Cross J, MacGregor HN. Knowledge, legitimacy and economic practice in informal markets for medicine: A critical review of research. *Social Science & Medicine* 2010;71(9):1593-600. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.07.040
22. Peters DH, Bloom G. Bring order to unregulated health markets. *Nature* 2012;487(7406):163-65. doi: 10.1038/487163a
23. Yao J, Agadjanian V. Bypassing health facilities in rural Mozambique: spatial, institutional, and individual determinants. *BMC Health Services Research* 2018;18(1):1006. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3834-y

24. Rao KD, Sheffel A. Quality of clinical care and bypassing of primary health centers in India. *Social Science & Medicine* 2018;207:80-88. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.04.040
25. Mackintosh M, Channon A, Karan A, et al. What is the private sector? Understanding private provision in the health systems of low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet* 2016;388(10044):596-605. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00342-1
26. Durham J, Marcos M, Hill PS, et al. Haïti and the health marketplace: the role of the private, informal market in filling the gaps left by the state. *BMC Health Services Research* 2015;15(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1088-5
27. World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals 2018 [Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/328065/WHO-HIS-SDS-2018.15-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y accessed September 28 2020.
28. Geldsetzer P, Williams TC, Kirolos A, et al. The Recognition of and Care Seeking Behaviour for Childhood Illness in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9(4):e93427. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093427
29. Colvin C, Smith H, Swartz A, et al. Understanding Careseeking for Child Illness in sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Conceptual Framework Based on Qualitative Research of Household Recognition and Response to Child Diarrhoea, Pneumonia and Malaria. *Social science & medicine* 2013;86 doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.02.031
30. McPake B, Hanson K. Managing the public–private mix to achieve universal health coverage. *The Lancet* 2016;388(10044):622-30. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00344-5
31. Bennett S, Bloom G, Knezovich J, et al. The future of health markets. *Global Health* 2014;10:51. doi: 10.1186/1744-8603-10-51
32. Durham J, Michael M, Hill PS, et al. Haïti and the health marketplace: the role of the private, informal market in filling the gaps left by the state. *BMC Health Services Research* 2015;15(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/s12913-015-1088-5
33. Gage AD, Leslie HH, Bitton A, et al. Assessing the quality of primary care in Haiti. *Bull World Health Organ* 2017;95(3):182-90. doi: 10.2471/blt.16.179846
34. Moise K, Bernard JJ, Henrys JH. Evaluation of antibiotic self-medication among outpatients of the state university hospital of Port-Au-Prince, Haiti: a cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J* 2017;28 doi: 10.11604/pamj.2017.28.4.12589
35. Hill PS, Pavignani E, Michael M, et al. The "empty void" is a crowded space: health service provision at the margins of fragile and conflict affected states. *Conflict and Health* 2014;8(1):1-10. doi: doi:10.1186/1752-1505-8-20

36. Kohler JC, Pavignani E, Michael M, et al. An examination of pharmaceutical systems in severely disrupted countries. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* 2012;12(1):1-11. doi: doi:10.1186/1472-698X-12-34
37. Clark H, Coll-Seck AM, Banerjee A, et al. A future for the world's children? A WHO-UNICEF-Lancet Commission. *The Lancet* 2020;395(10224):605-58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32540-1
38. United Nations Interagency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Levels and Trends in Child Mortality. New York
United Nations Children's Fund, 2017.
39. Wagenaar BH, Kohrt BA, Hagaman AK, et al. Determinants of Care Seeking for Mental Health Problems in Rural Haiti: Culture, Cost, or Competency. *https://doiorg/101176/appips201200272* 2013 doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201200272
40. White K, Small M, Frederic R, et al. Health Seeking Behavior Among Pregnant Women in Rural Haiti. *Health care for women international* 2006;27(9) doi: 10.1080/07399330600880384
41. Raymondville M, Rodriguez CA, Richterman A, et al. Barriers and facilitators influencing facility-based childbirth in rural Haiti: a mixed method study with a convergent design. *BMJ Global Health* 2020;5(8):e002526. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002526
42. Cros M, Cavagnero E, Alfred JP, et al. Equitable realization of the right to health in Haiti: how household data inform health seeking behavior and financial risk protection. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 2019;18(1):1-16. doi: doi:10.1186/s12939-019-0973-7
43. Ponsar F, Tayler-Smith K, Philips M, et al. No Cash, No Care: How User Fees Endanger Health--Lessons Learnt Regarding Financial Barriers to Healthcare Services in Burundi, Sierra Leone, Democratic Republic of Congo, Chad, Haiti and Mali. *International health* 2011;3(2) doi: 10.1016/j.inhe.2011.01.002
44. Borgatti SP, Martin GJohnson, Jeffrey C. Analyzing Social Networks: SAGE Publications Ltd 2018.
45. Davis A, Gardner BB, Gardner MR, et al. Deep South; a social anthropological study of caste and class. Chicago, Ill.: The University of Chicago Press 1941.
46. Vacca R, Solano G, Lubbers M, et al. A Personal Network Approach to the Study of Immigrant Structural Assimilation and Transnationalism. *Social Networks* 2018;53:72-89. doi: 10.1016/j.socnet.2016.08.007
47. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2014 [Available from: http://www.R-project.org/.
48. Pebesma E, Bivand R. Simple Features for R. R package version 0.6-3. 2018
49. World Health Organization, Pan American Health Organization. Culture and Mental Health in Haiti: A Literature Review, 2010.

551