

## **Pre-existing cardiovascular disease rather than cardiovascular risk factors drives mortality in COVID-19**

*Short title: Pre-existing CVD drives COVID-19 mortality*

Kevin O’Gallagher<sup>1\*</sup>, Anthony Shek<sup>2\*</sup>, Daniel M. Bean<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca Bendayan<sup>2,3</sup>, James T. H. Teo<sup>4</sup>, Richard J. B. Dobson<sup>2,5</sup>, Ajay M. Shah<sup>1,4\*\*</sup>, Rosita Zakeri<sup>1\*\*</sup>

\*These authors contributed equally

\*\*These authors jointly supervised and contributed to the study.

<sup>1</sup> King’s College London British Heart Foundation Centre, School of Cardiovascular Medicine & Sciences, London, UK;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biostatistics and Health Informatics, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London, UK;

<sup>3</sup> NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King’s College London, UK;

<sup>4</sup> King’s College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK;

<sup>5</sup> Health Data Research UK London, Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, UK.

### **Correspondence:**

Dr Rosita Zakeri and Professor Ajay Shah, School of Cardiovascular Medicine & Sciences, James Black Centre, King’s College London, 125 Coldharbour Lane, London SE5 9NU, UK.

Tel: 44-207848-5189. Fax: 44-207848-5193. Email: [rosita.zakeri@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:rosita.zakeri@kcl.ac.uk) and

[ajay.shah@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:ajay.shah@kcl.ac.uk).

## **Abstract**

### **Background**

The association between cardiovascular (CV) risk factors, such as hypertension and diabetes, established CV disease (CVD), and susceptibility to CV complications or mortality in COVID-19 remains unclear.

### **Methods**

We conducted a cohort study of consecutive adults hospitalised for severe COVID-19 between 1<sup>st</sup> March and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020. Pre-existing CVD, CV risk factors and associations with mortality and CV complications were ascertained.

### **Findings**

Among 1,721 patients (median age 71 years, 57% male), 349 (20.3%) had pre-existing CVD (CVD), 888 (51.6%) had CV risk factors without CVD (RF-CVD), 484 (28.1%) had neither. Patients with CVD were older with a higher burden of non-CV comorbidities. During follow-up, 438 (25.5%) patients died: 37% with CVD, 25.7% with RF-CVD and 16.5% with neither. CVD was independently associated with in-hospital mortality among patients <70 years of age (adjusted HR 2.43 [95%CI 1.16-5.07]), but not in those  $\geq$ 70 years (aHR 1.14 [95%CI 0.77-1.69]). RF-CVD were not independently associated with mortality in either age group (<70y aHR 1.21 [95%CI 0.72-2.01],  $\geq$ 70y aHR 1.07 [95%CI 0.76-1.52]). Most CV complications occurred in patients with CVD (66%) versus RF-CVD (17%) or neither (11%;  $p < 0.001$ ). 213 [12.4%] patients developed venous thromboembolism (VTE). CVD was not an independent predictor of VTE.

### **Interpretation**

In patients hospitalised with COVID-19, pre-existing established CVD appears to be a more important contributor to mortality than CV risk factors in the absence of CVD. CVD-related hazard may be mediated, in part, by new CV complications. Optimal care and vigilance for destabilised CVD are essential in this patient group.

**Key words:** COVID-19, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular risk factors, hypertension, diabetes

## Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has heterogeneous clinical manifestations. Early studies demonstrated that older male patients and individuals with long-term health conditions were at highest risk for severe and fatal outcomes<sup>1-3</sup>. Accordingly, cardiovascular (CV) risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes, and chronic CV diseases (CVD), including ischaemic heart disease and heart failure, are highly prevalent among patients admitted to hospital with severe COVID-19. Whilst some individuals with CV risk factors have concomitant established CVD, many do not. In population-based studies, diabetes and chronic CVD, but not hypertension, have been associated with higher mortality<sup>4,5</sup>. At present, patients with either established CVD or CV risk factors are considered to be vulnerable individuals<sup>6</sup>. However, it remains unclear whether an increased susceptibility to severe COVID-19 in patients with CV risk factors is driven by co-existent CVD, or whether patients with CV risk factors without established CVD have a similarly severe course.

The relationship between CVD and COVID-19 may also be bidirectional. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is reported to directly infect the endothelium and possibly the heart<sup>7,8</sup>, which could precipitate CV complications. Isolated case reports of fulminant myocarditis or pericarditis have been attributed to COVID-19<sup>9-11</sup>, although the incidence and mechanism of such complications is debated. Furthermore, while patients with pre-existing CVD may be at increased risk of CV complications<sup>12,13</sup>, it is not clear the extent to which these represent recurrent or decompensated CVD rather than *de novo* complications, nor whether the risk also applies to patients with CV risk factors.

To address these questions, we evaluated outcomes associated with pre-existing CVD and CV risk factors, in a large multi-ethnic cohort of patients hospitalised for severe COVID-19. Our aims were to determine a) the relative risks of in-hospital mortality and CV complications for individuals with COVID-19 and pre-existing CVD versus CV risk factors without established CVD, and b) factors associated with the occurrence of major CV complications in patients with COVID-19.

## Methods

### *Approvals*

This study was conducted under London South East Research Ethics Committee approval (reference 12/LO/2048) granted to the King's Electronic Records Research Interface (KERRI); specific work on COVID-19 was reviewed with expert patient input on a virtual committee with Caldicott Guardian oversight.

### *Study Design*

We conducted a retrospective observational cohort study of consecutive adult patients (age >18 years) admitted with COVID-19 to King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (comprising two hospitals: King's College Hospital and Princess Royal University Hospital), between 1<sup>st</sup> March and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020. All patients had a positive RT-PCR antigen test for SARS-CoV-2. Only patients admitted to hospital for  $\geq 24$  hours were included. A subset of this cohort has been reported previously<sup>14,15</sup>.

### *Data sources and processing*

Structured and unstructured data were extracted from the electronic health record (EHR) using previously described natural language processing (NLP) informatics tools belonging to the CogStack ecosystem<sup>16</sup>, DrugPipeline<sup>17</sup>, MedCAT<sup>18</sup>, and MedCATtrainer<sup>19</sup>. Clinician case review was used for additional validation (**Supplemental Methods**).

### *Exposures and outcomes*

CV risk factors were defined as a recorded clinical diagnosis of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or self-reported current or past smoking status, in the absence of documented CVD. Pre-existing established CVD was defined as a previous record of  $\geq 1$  of the following diagnoses: myocardial infarction (MI), heart failure, myocarditis, pericarditis, endocarditis, atrial or ventricular arrhythmia, and valvular heart disease (defined as severe<sup>20</sup>). Additional details are provided in the **Supplemental Methods**. CV risk factors

and pre-existing CVD were categorised as present if they had been recorded in the EHR at any time up to the day of admission (or including the day of admission, when recorded as a pre-existing condition). Data were also collected for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), non-CV comorbidities (asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], chronic kidney disease [CKD]), previous venous thromboembolism [VTE] comprising deep vein thrombosis [DVT] or pulmonary embolism [PE]) and clinical examination and routinely collected blood results on admission. BMI categories were defined as underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese (≥30kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and the most recent value used (median day of admission [IQR -1 to +5 days]). CV drug therapy at the time of admission was recorded including Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEI), Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARB), aldosterone antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel antagonists, loop diuretic agents, statins, antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy. High sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) plasma levels were defined as normal when below the 99th percentile of normal values, i.e. 14 ng/L.

The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included any CV complication related to COVID-19 and incident VTE. A CV complication was defined as a new CVD diagnosis or decompensation of pre-existing CVD (additional details provided in the **Supplemental Methods**), recorded in the EHR on presentation to hospital or at any time during admission. Hospital admission date was used as the start of follow-up. Outcomes were ascertained through to death, discharge, or 31<sup>st</sup> July 2020, whichever was earlier.

### *Statistical analyses*

Categorical variables are reported as frequency (%), continuous variables as mean (SD) or median (LQ-UQ), as appropriate. Patient characteristics were compared across 3 groups: patients with pre-existing established CVD (CVD), CV risk factors without CVD (RF-CVD), and no CVD or CV risk factors, using the Chi-squared goodness of fit or Fisher's exact test (categorical variables), one-way analysis of variance (continuous variables) or Kruskal-Wallis/Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (for non-normally distributed data). The Bonferroni

correction was used for individual comparisons. Missing data for blood results were imputed using the multiple imputation approach by chain equations<sup>21</sup>. Imputed variables had less than 25% missing data.

Cumulative incidence plots displaying the probability of in-hospital mortality and discharge were constructed based on a competing risks analysis. To evaluate the association between patient group and mortality, we used Cox proportional hazards regression, with admission date as the start of follow-up and in-hospital mortality as the dependent variable. Unadjusted models examined the effect of patient group, subsequent models were incrementally adjusted for i) demographic variables: age, sex, ethnicity, and, ii) non-CV comorbidities and medications on admission (fully adjusted model). Age was modelled as a categorical variable to allow for potentially non-linear association with outcomes (<40, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, 80+ years). Comorbidities were modelled as binary variables. Patients without pre-existing CVD or CV risk factors were used as the reference group. The proportional hazard assumption was examined graphically and using formal tests, as described by Grambsch<sup>22</sup>; no major deviations from this assumption were observed.

To investigate the association between CV complications and prognosis we performed logistic regression models with patient groups stratified by the presence or absence of CV complications as an independent categorical variable. For secondary outcomes of CV complications or VTE, logistic regression models were constructed with i) patient group and ii) individual CV risk factors or CVDs as binary predictor variables. Unadjusted, demographic-adjusted, and fully adjusted regression models were performed as described above. When individual CVDs were examined, myocarditis and pericarditis were not included in the models, due to their low prevalence. As BMI was missing in >30% of patients, primary analyses were performed without adjustment for BMI. Sensitivity analyses were performed i) restricted to patients with BMI data available including adjustment for BMI as a continuous variable (fully adjusted model), and ii) in the subset of individuals who were

discharged or died (i.e. excluding patients still in hospital). Analyses were performed using STATA/IC (v16.1; StataCorp LLC, TX).

## Results

### *Study population*

Between 1<sup>st</sup> March and 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020, 1,721 patients were admitted with COVID-19 (median age 71 years [IQR 56-83], 56.6% male). Of these, 349 (20.3%) patients had CVD, 888 (51.6%) patients had RF-CVD, and 484 (28.1%) patients had neither. Patients with CVD were older than patients with RF-CVD or neither but had a similar distribution of sex (**Table 1**).

CVD was more prevalent with increasing age, while RF-CVD was most common between 50-70 years (**Supplemental Figure 1A**). Individuals from non-White ethnic groups had a higher prevalence of RF-CVD whereas CVD was more prevalent in the White group (**Supplemental Figure 1B**). The most frequent CVD diagnoses were arrhythmia (86.2% atrial fibrillation), heart failure, and previous MI, respectively (**Table 1**). 119 (34.1%) patients with CVD had more than one CVD diagnosis. Rates of non-cardiovascular comorbidities were highest in patients with CVD, followed by RF-CVD, versus patients with neither (**Table 1**).

On admission, 83% of patients with hypertension were taking an antihypertensive agent, and 73% of patients with atrial fibrillation were on oral anticoagulation. Rates of ACEI or ARB and betablocker use in heart failure patients were 47% and 62% respectively. In patients with a previous MI, rates of antiplatelet, beta-blocker and statin use were 68%, 64% and 65% respectively.

### *Clinical presentation*

Physiological parameters and blood biomarkers are displayed in **Table 2**. There were few clinically significant differences in physiological observations between groups, with the exception of a higher mean systolic blood pressure in patients with RF-CVD (**Table 2**).

Among blood biomarkers, C-reactive protein values were highest in patients with RF-CVD, but similar between patients with CVD and the group with neither CVD nor risk factors (**Table 2**). Renal function was progressively worse across groups, with the lowest eGFR in patients with CVD. Liver function markers were also elevated in patients with CVD versus other groups but remained, on average, within a normal range.

Overall, 742 (43.1%) patients had at least one hs-cTnT measurement, and 552 (32.1%) had a measurement within 24 hours of admission. Patients who did not have a hs-cTnT measurement were older (74.0 vs. 67 years;  $p < 0.001$ ) and more likely to be women (48.4% vs. 36.8%;  $p < 0.001$ ). Among patients with at least one hs-cTnT measurement, elevated values ( $>14\text{ng/L}$ ) were observed in 133/147 (90.5%) patients with CVD, 311/409 (76.0%) patients with RF-CVD and 123/186 (66.1%) patients with no CVD or CV risk factors. Values greater than 10x the upper limit of normal were observed in 23 (15.7%) patients with CVD, 66 (16.1%) patients with RF-CVD, and 22 (11.8%) patients with no CVD or risk factors.

### *In-hospital mortality*

In-hospital outcomes are displayed in **Table 3**. Overall, 438 (25.5%) patients died, 1,246 (72.4%) were discharged and 37 (2.1%) remained in hospital at the end of the study period. The median length of hospitalisation for patients discharged alive was 9 (IQR 4-17) days and was longer for patients with CVD than the groups without CVD (11 [IQR 5-19] vs 7 [IQR 3-16] days,  $p < 0.001$ ).

In-hospital mortality was greatest among patients with CVD (37.3%), intermediate in patients with RF-CVD (25.7%), and lowest among patients with neither (16.5%). **Figure 1** displays cumulative incidence plots of the probability of in-hospital death or discharge over time for each group. For the overall cohort, there was a positive association between CVD and in-hospital mortality in unadjusted (HR 2.17 [95%CI 1.64-2.87],  $p < 0.001$ ), and demographic-adjusted models (adjusted HR 1.45 [95%CI 1.09-1.94],  $p = 0.012$ ). In fully adjusted models additionally accounting for non-CV comorbidities and baseline medications,

there was a positive trend (aHR 1.36 [95%CI 0.97-1.92],  $p=0.076$ ). This effect was principally driven by a prognostic association in patients under 70 years of age (**Figure 2A**), whereas the effect of CVD was smaller and non-statistically significant among patients aged 70 years and older (**Figure 2B**). RF-CVD conferred an increased risk of mortality for the overall cohort in unadjusted analyses (HR 1.51 [95%CI 1.17-1.95],  $p=0.002$ ), but not in demographic-adjusted (aHR 1.17 [95%CI 0.90-1.53],  $p=0.233$ ) or fully adjusted models (aHR 1.13 [95%CI 0.85-1.51],  $p=0.388$ ). RF-CVD were not significantly associated with mortality in patients older or younger than 70 years of age (**Figure 2A-B**).

The main findings were unchanged in sensitivity analyses additionally adjusting for BMI, in the subset of patients for whom BMI data were available (**Supplemental Figure 2A-B**), or in analyses limited to patients who died or were discharged (i.e. excluding current in-patients; **Supplemental Figure 3A-B**),

### *Cardiovascular complications*

Cardiovascular complications occurred in 431 (25.0%) patients, with two-thirds occurring in patients with CVD ( $n=230$ , 65.9%). Patients with RF-CVD also had a higher CV complication rate than patients with no CVD or CV risk factors (16.9% versus 10.5%, Bonferroni adjusted  $p<0.001$ ). The most frequent CV complications were cardiac arrhythmias (84.7% atrial fibrillation), followed by acute heart failure (distinct from myocarditis) and acute MI, respectively (**Table 3**). The incidence of clinician-diagnosed myocarditis was low (0.7%). When arrhythmia-related complications were excluded, 59% of complications occurred in patients with CVD, 33% in patients with RF-CVD, and 8% in patients with neither.

In patients with CVD, the majority of CV complications represented exacerbations or decompensation of the underlying CVD, rather than a new presentation, e.g. 86% of myocardial infarctions occurred in individuals with a previous myocardial infarction (**Supplemental Figure 4**). Exacerbation/decompensation of arrhythmia-related complications (including atrial fibrillation), defined as new or worsening symptoms,

haemodynamic instability or poor heart rate control, also occurred most frequently in individuals with a pre-existing arrhythmia diagnosis. Among specific CVDs and risk factors, pre-existing AF was associated with the highest adjusted odds of having any CV complication, followed by previous MI (**Figure 3A**). For non-arrhythmia related CV complications, the highest adjusted odds were seen in patients with a previous myocardial infarction (**Figure 3B**).

When CV complications were defined by cardiac biomarker elevation, in the subset of patients with a hs-cTnT measurement (n=742), the presence of any troponin elevation (**Supplemental Figure 5A**) and troponin-elevation greater than 10x normal (**Supplemental Figure 5B**) were both associated with increased odds of in-hospital mortality in all patient groups.

The incidence of VTE was higher in patients with CVD versus RF-CVD or neither (18.3% versus 10.9% and 10.7% respectively,  $p < 0.001$  for both comparisons). However, among CVDs and CV risk factors, hypertension (in the absence of established CVD) was the only independent CV predictor of VTE. Patients with previous VTE (n=166, 48% anticoagulated at admission) had the highest rate of new (incident) VTE (49.4% vs 8.4% with no previous VTE  $p < 0.001$ ), and previous VTE was the strongest predictor of incident VTE, including adjustment for baseline anticoagulation use (**Figure 3B**).

## Discussion

Both pre-existing established CVD and CV risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes have been cited as associated with severe and fatal COVID-19 but their relative importance remains unclear. In the present study, we investigated the inter-relationship between CVD, CV risk factors, CV complications and mortality among 1,721 consecutive patients hospitalised due to COVID-19. Overall, 20% of the cohort had CVD while an additional 50% had CV risk factors without yet having developed CVD (RF-CVD). A major finding is that the age- and sex-adjusted mortality risk is markedly increased in patients under the age of 70 years with CVD but is only modestly and non-significantly increased in

those with RF-CVD. The mortality risk associated with CVD appears much lower in individuals above 70 years of age. We also found that 1 in 4 patients hospitalised with COVID-19 experienced a CV complication, with cardiac arrhythmias representing the most common diagnosis, and that the majority of CV complications and myocardial injury occurred in patients with CVD. Myocardial injury as indicated by an elevated troponin level was an independent predictor of mortality. Taken together, these findings support the notion that pre-existing established CVD rather than CV risk factors *per se* influence mortality in severe COVID-19 and that this effect may be driven at least in part by CV complications and injury.

#### *Pre-existing cardiovascular disease*

Evidence to date suggests that patients with pre-existing CVD exhibit more severe COVID-19, with a greater likelihood of hospitalisation<sup>15,23,24</sup>. Accordingly, the prevalence of CVD in our study was similar to other large hospital cohorts<sup>23,25</sup>. We corroborate previous reports showing that a history of CVD is associated with greater risk of COVID-19-related mortality<sup>4,23,24</sup>. Interestingly, we identify an interaction with age, wherein the increase in mortality risk is mainly apparent in people below the age of 70 years. The reasons why older individuals do not also manifest higher CVD-related mortality warrant further investigation but may be related to a higher non-CVD-related mortality risk due to factors such as frailty, other comorbidities and immunosenescence, such that CVD has relatively minor additional effects. Similar age-dependent mortality effects have been reported in other studies<sup>4,5</sup>.

#### *Cardiovascular risk factors*

CV risk factors such as hypertension (56%) and diabetes (35%) were more prevalent than established CVD in our in-patient cohort, similar to other UK studies<sup>23</sup>. Patients from non-White minority ethnic groups had especially high rates of CV risk factors. Despite their high prevalence, there are conflicting data regarding the prognostic impact of common CV risk factors in COVID-19. In hospitalized cohorts such as the UK ISARIC study, diabetes had

only a marginal independent effect on mortality risk, similar to the findings of the current study<sup>23</sup>. The majority of patients in ISARIC had uncomplicated diabetes while hypertension was not assessed. In the population-based OpenSAFELY study, diabetes was independently associated with a higher mortality, with a HR between 1.31 (1.24-1.37) and 1.95 (1.83-2.08) by HbA1c classification, whereas hypertension was not independently associated with mortality<sup>4</sup>. Another large UK population-based study reported a 1.8-fold higher mortality risk for patients with type 2 diabetes after adjustment for multiple factors including CVD<sup>26</sup>. These apparently divergent findings may be reconciled by considering that mortality rates in population-based studies reflect the risk of infection as well as risk of mortality once infected. For example, the higher mortality risk associated with diabetes in population-based studies was suggested to be related, at least in part, to the level of glycaemic control, which also may impact directly on infection risk<sup>5</sup>. Therefore, while patients with diabetes may be more likely to be admitted, the current study and previous reports<sup>23</sup> suggest that the mortality risk in this group may largely relate to the co-existence of CVD or other complications.

It should be acknowledged, however, that there may be interactions among CV risk factors and other variables that affect mortality risk. For example, the relationship between diabetes and mortality is reported to be much steeper in non-white patients below the age of 70 years than in other groups<sup>5</sup>. Mortality risks may also be modified by the rates and intensity of medications such as anti-hypertensive treatment<sup>5</sup>. In the current study, rates of medication use were relatively high (e.g. 83% patients with hypertension on treatment), which could also reduce the impact of CV risk factors.

### *Cardiovascular complications*

A greater mortality risk associated with pre-existing CVD as compared to CV risk factors without CVD raises questions about the potential mechanisms underlying the higher risk. It has been proposed by several authors that endothelial dysfunction may be a major contributor to severe COVID-19<sup>27,28</sup>. Accordingly, pre-existing endothelial dysfunction may

increase the likelihood of developing severe endothelial and vascular impairment with COVID-19. However, such a mechanism would not readily explain the differential risk between established CVD and CV risk factors since both conditions are associated with endothelial dysfunction (and the majority of patients with CVD have CV risk factors). An alternative possibility is that patients with pre-existing established CVD are more prone to develop further cardiac injury and dysfunction which, in combination with pulmonary and right heart problems that represent the major manifestations of severe COVID-19, leads to life-threatening illness.

To explore this possibility, we also analysed CV complications in the current study. The use of semi-automated pipelines to capture all clinician-diagnosed CV events documented in the electronic health record minimised selection and indication bias. With this, our data demonstrate a high frequency of CV events overall (25%), with the majority (73%) representing arrhythmias, and rates of atrial fibrillation comparable to smaller studies<sup>24,29,30</sup>. Other complications included problems such as acute MI and acute heart failure whereas few clinically-diagnosed cases of myocarditis were observed (0.7%). Patients with pre-existing CVD had higher rates of CV complications than those with CV risk factors without CVD or patients without either CVD or CV risk factors. They also had higher rates of VTE but, whereas CVD was an independent predictor for the occurrence of CV complications, it was not a predictor of VTE. Importantly, we also found that a high proportion of COVID-19 related CV complications (mainly cardiac) represent exacerbated or destabilised pre-existing CVD, rather than new presentations. This was particularly true for patients with atrial fibrillation (91% destabilised disease), previous MI (86% recurrent MI), and to a lesser extent HF (68% decompensated disease). Taken together, these findings suggest that the detrimental impact of pre-existing CVD on COVID-19 severity and mortality may be mediated mainly by increased cardiac problems rather than systemic vascular abnormalities such as VTE. In support of this idea, we also found that myocardial injury as assessed by troponin elevation was most prevalent in patients with pre-existing CVD and that it was strongly associated with mortality.

The high incidence of cardiac arrhythmias, including atrial fibrillation, observed in this study may have multiple precipitants, such as myocardial ischaemia, increased sympathetic tone, inflammation (systemic as well as myocardial), and electrolyte imbalance. Our finding of a low incidence of myocarditis is consistent with several other reports and a recent review of autopsy cases<sup>31</sup>. Acute respiratory infections, such as influenza, are recognised triggers for adverse CV events in susceptible individuals<sup>32,33</sup>. Additionally, in 277 hospitalised patients with COVID-19 who underwent clinically-indicated echocardiography, biomarker-defined myocardial injury only conferred an increased risk of mortality among individuals with echocardiographic abnormalities<sup>34</sup>. No significant prognostic impact of myocardial injury was observed in patients with structurally normal hearts. These data are consistent with the concept that severe respiratory viral infections may increase susceptibility to further cardiac dysfunction and injury in individuals with pre-existing CVD, and that an ensuing vicious cycle linking cardiac and respiratory dysfunction may drive mortality in COVID-19.

### *Limitations*

Our analysis was limited to individuals who required hospital admission and are therefore only generalisable to this population. A small minority of patients were still in hospital and were censored at the study end-date (2.1%). However, a sensitivity analysis in patients who were either discharged or died revealed similar findings (**Supplemental Figure 3**). The availability and feasibility of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, as part of the revised Lake Louise 2018 Criteria<sup>35</sup> for the diagnosis of myocarditis, was reduced during the pandemic peak, which may have underestimated the incidence of myocarditis. Finally, our multivariable analyses adjusted for the presence or absence of several comorbidities, however measures of control (e.g. blood pressure control for hypertension or HbA1c for diabetes) were not assessed and may impact the risk of death.

## Conclusions

Among patients hospitalised with COVID-19, pre-existing established CVD appears to be a more important contributor to in-hospital mortality than CV risk factors without co-existent CVD, particularly in patients below the age of 70 years. This enhanced risk may be driven, at least in part, by a higher incidence of cardiac complications and myocardial injury in patients with pre-existing CVD whereas VTE appears less important. Optimal management of pre-existing CVD and vigilance for the occurrence of cardiac complications may serve to modify outcomes related to COVID-19 in this group.

## Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the clinicians and NHS staff who have looked after our patients with COVID-19. We additionally thank the patient experts of the KERRI committee.

## Funding

This work was supported in part by the British Heart Foundation (CH/1999001/11735 and RE/18/2/34213 to AMS) and the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centres (NIHR BRCs) at Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (IS-BRC-1215-20006) and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLAM; IS-BRC-1215-20018) both with King's College London. RZ is also supported by a King's Prize Fellowship. AMS is also supported by the Fondation Leducq. KO'G is supported by a MRC Clinical Training Fellowship. AS is funded by the King's Medical Research Trust. DMB holds a UKRI Fellowship as part of HDRUK MR/S00310X/1. RB is supported by a Medical Research Council (MRC) Skills Development Fellowship programme (MR/R016372/1) and the NIHR SLAM BRC. RJBD is also supported by Health Data Research UK (HDRUK); UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) London Medical Imaging & Artificial Intelligence Centre for Value Based Healthcare; the BigData@Heart Consortium (Grant No. 116074 of the European Union Horizon 2020 programme); the NIHR BRC and Research Informatics Unit

at University College London Hospitals; and the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration South London at KCHFT. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### **Declaration of interests**

JTHT received research funding from Innovate UK & Office of Life Sciences, and iRhythm Technologies, and holds shares <£5,000 in Glaxo Smithkline and Biogen. The other authors declare no competing interests.

### **Availability of data and materials**

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article (and its supplementary information files). Individual participant data will not be made available due to confidentiality regulations.

### **References**

1. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10229): 1054-62.
2. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020; **55**(5).
3. Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; **94**: 91-5.
4. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature* 2020; **584**(7821): 430-6.
5. Holman N, Knighton P, Kar P, et al. Risk factors for COVID-19-related mortality in people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes in England: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; **8**(10): 823-33.
6. NHS. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/coronavirus-covid-19/people-at-higher-risk/whos-at-higher-risk-from-coronavirus/>. 2020 (accessed 11th October 2020).

7. Schaller T, Hirschbuhl K, Burkhardt K, et al. Postmortem Examination of Patients With COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(24): 2518-20.
8. Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lutgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy Findings and Venous Thromboembolism in Patients With COVID-19: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; **173**(4): 268-77.
9. Hu H, Ma F, Wei X, Fang Y. Coronavirus fulminant myocarditis saved with glucocorticoid and human immunoglobulin. *Eur Heart J* 2020.
10. Hua A, O'Gallagher K, Sado D, Byrne J. Life-threatening cardiac tamponade complicating myo-pericarditis in COVID-19. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**(22): 2130.
11. Sanchez-Recalde A, Solano-Lopez J, Miguelena-Hycka J, Martin-Pinacho JJ, Sanmartin M, Zamorano JL. COVID-19 and cardiogenic shock. Different cardiovascular presentations with high mortality. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)* 2020; **73**(8): 669-72.
12. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol* 2020.
13. Lala A, Johnson KW, Januzzi JL, et al. Prevalence and Impact of Myocardial Injury in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 Infection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; **76**(5): 533-46.
14. Bean DM, Kraljevic Z, Searle T, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers are not associated with severe COVID-19 infection in a multi-site UK acute hospital trust. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020; **22**(6): 967-74.
15. Zakeri R, Bendayan R, Ashworth M, et al. A case-control and cohort study to determine the relationship between ethnic background and severe COVID-19. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020: 100574.
16. Jackson R, Kartoglu I, Stringer C, et al. CogStack - experiences of deploying integrated information retrieval and extraction services in a large National Health Service Foundation Trust hospital. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2018; **18**(1): 47.
17. Bean DM, Teo J, Wu H, et al. Semantic computational analysis of anticoagulation use in atrial fibrillation from real world data. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**(11): e0225625.
18. Kraljevic Z, Searle T, Shek A, et al. Multi-domain Clinical Natural Language Processing with MedCAT: the Medical Concept Annotation Toolkit. *Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/201001165>* 2020.
19. Searle T, Z K, Bendayan R, Bean D, R. D. *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Natural Language Processing* 2019: 139-44.
20. Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ, et al. 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2017; **38**(36): 2739-91.
21. van Buuren S, Boshuizen HC, Knook DL. Multiple imputation of missing blood pressure covariates in survival analysis. *Stat Med* 1999; **18**(6): 681-94.
22. Grambsch PM. Goodness-of-fit and diagnostics for proportional hazards regression models. *Cancer Treat Res* 1995; **75**: 95-112.

23. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, et al. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ* 2020; **369**: m1985.
24. Inciardi RM, Adamo M, Lupi L, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for COVID-19 and cardiac disease in Northern Italy. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**(19): 1821-9.
25. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(20): 2052-9.
26. Barron E, Bakhai C, Kar P, et al. Associations of type 1 and type 2 diabetes with COVID-19-related mortality in England: a whole-population study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; **8**(10): 813-22.
27. Libby P, Luscher T. COVID-19 is, in the end, an endothelial disease. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**(32): 3038-44.
28. Gustafson D, Raju S, Wu R, et al. Overcoming Barriers: The Endothelium As a Linchpin of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pathogenesis? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2020; **40**(8): 1818-29.
29. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020.
30. Peltzer B, Manocha KK, Ying X, et al. Outcomes and Mortality Associated with Atrial Arrhythmias Among Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2020.
31. Halushka MK, Vander Heide RS. Myocarditis is rare in COVID-19 autopsies: cardiovascular findings across 277 postmortem examinations. *Cardiovasc Pathol* 2020; **50**: 107300.
32. Madjid M, Miller CC, Zarubaev VV, et al. Influenza epidemics and acute respiratory disease activity are associated with a surge in autopsy-confirmed coronary heart disease death: results from 8 years of autopsies in 34,892 subjects. *Eur Heart J* 2007; **28**(10): 1205-10.
33. Nguyen JL, Yang W, Ito K, Matte TD, Shaman J, Kinney PL. Seasonal Influenza Infections and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality. *JAMA Cardiol* 2016; **1**(3): 274-81.
34. Giustino G, Croft LB, Stefanini GG, et al. Characterization of Myocardial Injury in Patients With COVID-19. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; **76**(18): 2043-55.
35. Ferreira VM, Schulz-Menger J, Holmvang G, et al. Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in Nonischemic Myocardial Inflammation: Expert Recommendations. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018; **72**(24): 3158-76.
36. Kellum J, Lameire, N, Aspelin, P, Barsoum, RS, Burdmann, EA, Goldstein, SL, Herzog, CA, Joannidis, M, Kribben, A, Levey, AS, MacLeod, AM, Mehta, RL, Murray, PT, Naicker, S, Opal, SM, Schaefer, F, Schetz, M & Uchino, S. Kidney disease: Improving global outcomes (KDIGO) acute kidney injury work group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. *Kidney International Supplements* 2012; **2**(1): 1-138.

37. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). *Circulation* 2018; **138**(20): e618-e51.
38. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016; **37**(27): 2129-200.
39. Caforio AL, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E, et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**(33): 2636-48, 48a-48d.
40. Adler Y, Charron P, Imazio M, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Endorsed by: The European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2015; **36**(42): 2921-64.
41. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The Task Force for the Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* 2015; **36**(44): 3075-128.

## Figure legends

**Figure 1. Cumulative incidence plots displaying the probability of in-hospital death and discharge over time**

**Figure 2. Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group.**

A. Age <70 years (n=828), B. Age 70 years and over (n=893)

**Figure 3. Risk of COVID-19 related complications by cardiovascular risk group.** A. Any cardiovascular complications, B. Non-arrhythmia related cardiovascular complications, C. Venous thromboembolism.

**Table 1. Patient characteristics**

	<b>Total N=1721</b>	<b>No CVD or CV risk factors N=484 (28.1%)</b>	<b>RF-CVD N=888 (51.6%)</b>	<b>CVD N=349 (20.3%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Demographics</b>					
Age, y	71 (56-83)	58 (44-75)	71 (59-82)	81 (71-88)	<0.001
Age group, n (%)					<0.001
<40	132 (7.7)	97 (20.0)	31 (3.5)	4 (1.2)	
40-49	127 (7.4)	64 (13.2)	55 (6.2)	8 (2.3)	
50-59	266 (15.5)	93 (19.2)	149 (16.8)	24 (6.9)	
60-69	303 (17.6)	73 (15.1)	187 (21.1)	43 (12.3)	
70-79	316 (18.4)	56 (11.6)	173 (19.5)	87 (24.9)	
80+	577 (33.5)	101 (20.9)	293 (33.0)	183 (52.4)	
Male sex	974 (56.6)	259 (53.5)	522 (58.8)	193 (55.3)	0.146
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.2 (22.5-31.1)	25.4 (21.8-30.6)	26.9 (23.1-31.8)	25.1 (22.0-29.0)	<0.001
BMI category*					<0.001
Underweight	74 (4.3)	23 (4.8)	29 (3.3)	22 (6.3)	
Normal weight	349 (20.3)	103 (21.3)	152 (17.1)	94 (26.9)	
Overweight	291 (16.9)	71 (14.7)	155 (17.5)	65 (18.6)	
Obese	317 (18.4)	83 (17.2)	177 (19.9)	57 (16.3)	
Missing	690 (40.1)	204 (42.2)	375 (42.2)	111 (31.8)	
Ethnicity					<0.001
White	845 (49.1)	238 (49.2)	382 (43.0)	225 (64.5)	
Black	434 (25.2)	85 (17.6)	280 (31.5)	69 (19.8)	
Asian	96 (5.6)	29 (6.0)	55 (6.2)	12 (3.4)	
Mixed/Other	121 (7.0)	37 (7.6)	64 (7.2)	20 (5.7)	
Missing	225 (13.1)	95 (19.6)	107 (12.1)	23 (6.6)	
<b>Comorbidities</b>					
Cardiovascular risk factors					
Hypertension	963 (56.0)	-	689 (77.6)	274 (78.5)	0.726
Diabetes	601 (34.9)	-	440 (49.6)	161 (46.1)	1.000
Type 1	6 (0.4)	-	5 (0.6)	1 (0.3)	0.305
Type 2	595 (34.6)	-	435 (49.0)	160 (45.9)	0.320
Ever smoker	432 (25.1)	-	314 (35.4)	118 (33.8)	0.607
Current smoker	114 (6.6)	-	85 (9.6)	29 (8.3)	0.490
Ex-smoker	318 (18.5)	-	229 (25.8)	89 (25.5)	0.917
Cardiovascular diseases					
Previous myocardial infarction	107 (6.2)	-	-	107 (30.7)	-
Chronic heart failure	133 (7.7)	-	-	133 (38.1)	-
Previous myocarditis	4 (0.2)	-	-	4 (1.2)	-
Previous pericarditis	3 (0.2)	-	-	3 (0.9)	-
Arrhythmia	218 (12.7)	-	-	218 (62.5)	-

Atrial fibrillation	188 (10.9)	-	-	188 (53.9)	-
Valvular heart disease**	15 (0.9)	-	-	15 (4.3)	-
Previous endocarditis	16 (0.9)	-	-	16 (4.6)	-
<b>Non-cardiac comorbidities</b>					
Asthma	148 (8.6)	16 (3.3)	74 (8.3)	58 (16.6)	<0.001
COPD	129 (7.5)	5 (1.0)	53 (6.0)	71 (20.3)	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease	165 (9.6)	6 (1.2)	71 (8.0)	88 (25.2)	<0.001
Previous pulmonary embolism	90 (5.2)	8 (1.7)	27 (3.0)	55 (15.8)	<0.001
Previous deep vein thrombosis	110 (6.4)	12 (2.5)	37 (4.2)	61 (17.5)	<0.001
<b>Medication</b>					
ACEI / ARB	528 (31.4)	29 (6.5)	353 (39.9)	146 (41.8)	<0.001
Aldosterone antagonist	66 (3.9)	11 (2.5)	24 (2.7)	31 (8.9)	<0.001
Beta-blocker	430 (25.6)	43 (9.6)	197 (22.3)	190 (54.4)	<0.001
Calcium-channel blocker	458 (27.3)	26 (5.8)	345 (39.0)	87 (24.9)	<0.001
Loop diuretic	245 (14.6)	20 (4.5)	93 (10.5)	132 (37.8)	<0.001
Statin	678 (40.3)	64 (14.3)	420 (47.5)	194 (55.6)	<0.001
Anticoagulant	325 (19.3)	53 (11.8)	108 (12.2)	164 (47.0)	<0.001
Antiplatelet agent	395 (23.5)	47 (10.5)	222 (25.1)	126 (36.1)	<0.001

Data represent n (%) or median (IQR).

*p* values refer to comparisons across 3 groups (except for cardiovascular risk factors, where comparisons are between 2 groups: CVD versus RF-CVD).

ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

\*BMI categories classified as: underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese (≥30kg/m<sup>2</sup>),

\*\*Severe degree of valvular heart disease (ESC guidelines<sup>20</sup>).

**Table 2. Clinical observations and laboratory results**

	<b>Total N=1721</b>	<b>No CVD or CV risk factors N=484 (28.1%)</b>	<b>RF-CVD N=888 (51.6%)</b>	<b>CVD N=349 (20.3%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Observations</b>					
Temperature, °C	37.0 ± 0.02	37.1 ± 0.04	37.1 ± 0.02	36.8 ± 0.03	<0.001
Heart rate, /min	85.7 ± 0.7	88.3 ± 2.2	84.9 ± 0.6	83.9 ± 0.9	0.058
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	127.0 ± 0.7	124.2 ± 1.6	129.1 ± 0.8	125.4 ± 1.3	0.003
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	72.6 ± 0.5	72.7 ± 0.8	73.7 ± 0.9	69.8 ± 0.8	0.017
Respiratory rate, /min	20.5 ± 0.1	20.4 ± 0.3	20.8 ± 0.2	20.0 ± 0.3	0.085
Oxygen saturation, %	96.0 ± 0.1	96.0 ± 0.3	96.0 ± 0.1	96.2 ± 0.1	0.649
<b>Laboratory results</b>					
CRP, mg/L	97.4 ± 2.2	87.4 ± 4.3	107.9 ± 84.6	84.6 ± 4.3	<0.001
White cell count, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	9.0 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.5	8.6 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.4	0.130
Lymphocytes, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.4 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	0.438
Neutrophils, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	6.9 ± 0.1	7.2 ± 0.3	6.7 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.3	0.196
Platelets, x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	234.6 ± 2.5	238.2 ± 5.1	234.3 ± 3.3	230.4 ± 5.8	0.571
Sodium, mmol/L	137.8 ± 0.2	138.0 ± 0.3	137.7 ± 0.2	137.7 ± 0.4	0.659
Urea, mmol/L	9.7 ± 0.2	6.6 ± 0.3	10.6 ± 0.3	11.7 ± 0.4	<0.001
eGFR, mL/min/m <sup>2</sup>	60.1 ± 0.6	72.1 ± 1.0	57.3 ± 0.9	50.7 ± 1.4	<0.001
Albumin, g/L	36.9 ± 0.1	38.0 ± 36.7	36.7 ± 0.2	35.6 ± 0.3	<0.001
ALP	99.4 ± 2.2	96.1 ± 4.3	94.3 ± 3.0	117.3 ± 5.0	<0.001
Bilirubin	12.3 ± 0.6	14.4 ± 1.7	10.9 ± 0.5	12.9 ± 0.9	0.031
High sensitivity cardiac troponin T, ng/L					
Baseline*	21 (9-50)	11 (5-31)	22 (11-50)	43 (19-76)	<0.001
N	552	145	308	99	
N (%) elevated**	349 (63.2)	63 (43.4)	203 (65.9)	83 (83.8)	
Peak	36 (16-85)	27 (10-71)	35 (16-84)	48 (23-93)	<0.001
N	742	186	409	147	
N (%) elevated**	567 (76.4)	123 (66.1)	311 (76.0)	133 (90.5)	

Data reported as mean ± SEM (median [IQR] for high sensitivity cardiac troponin T)

CRP, C-reactive protein; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

\*Within 24 hours of admission

\*\*Greater than 14ng/L

**Table 3. Complications and in-hospital outcomes of patients with COVID-19**

	Total N=1721	No CVD or CV risk factors N=484 (28.1%)	RF-CVD N=888 (51.6%)	CVD N=349 (20.3%)	p-value
<b>Complications</b>					
Cardiac					
Acute myocardial infarction	68 (4.0)	4 (0.8)	21 (2.4)	43 (12.3)	<0.001
Acute heart failure	151 (8.8)	12 (2.5)	43 (4.8)	96 (27.5)	<0.001
Myocarditis	12 (0.7)	0	9 (1.0)	3 (0.9)	0.090
Pericarditis	2 (0.1)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	0	0.688
Arrhythmia*	314 (18.3)	40 (8.3)	99 (11.2)	175 (50.1)	<0.001
Atrial fibrillation	266 (15.5)	28 (5.8)	74 (8.3)	164 (47.0)	<0.001
Number of cardiac complications**					<0.001
0	1,290 (75.0)	433 (89.5)	738 (83.1)	119 (34.1)	
1	325 (18.9)	45 (9.3)	129 (14.5)	151 (43.3)	
2+	106 (6.2)	6 (1.2)	21 (2.4)	79 (22.6)	
Venous thromboembolism					
Pulmonary embolism	151 (8.8)	41 (8.5)	66 (7.4)	44 (12.6)	0.015
Deep vein thrombosis	98 (5.7)	21 (4.3)	43 (4.8)	34 (9.7)	0.001
Extra-cardiac					
Acute kidney injury***	266 (15.5)	30 (6.2)	164 (18.5)	72 (20.6)	<0.001
<b>Outcomes</b>					
Died in hospital	438 (25.5)	80 (16.5)	228 (25.7)	130 (37.3)	<0.001
ICU admission	224 (13.0)	74 (15.3)	127 (14.3)	23 (6.6)	<0.001
Death or ICU admission	587 (34.1)	132 (27.3)	311 (35.0)	144 (41.3)	<0.001
Discharged from hospital alive	1,246 (72.4)	393 (81.2)	639 (72.0)	214 (61.3)	<0.001
Hospital length of stay <sup>§</sup> , days	9 (4-17)	7 (3-16)	8 (4-18)	11 (5-19)	<0.001

Data presented as n (%) or median (IQR). Table includes all in-hospital diagnoses during the admission (including new and recurrent diagnoses).


\*Any physician-identified cardiac arrhythmia

\*\* Number of physician-diagnosed CV complications from the following: acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, myocarditis, pericarditis, arrhythmia including AF, and endocarditis.

\*\*\* Acute kidney injury was defined according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes definition<sup>36</sup>.

§ Among patients discharged

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence plots displaying the probability of in-hospital death and discharge over time




The light blue region represents the probability of being alive and still in hospital at the time of study close.


CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

**Figure 2. Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group**

**A. Age <70 years (n=828)**



**B. Age 70 years and over (n=893)**




aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF, cardiovascular risk factors; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

Model 1 adjusted for age, sex and ethnicity.


Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, non-cardiac comorbidities (asthma, COPD, chronic renal failure, pulmonary embolism, DVT) and medications (ACEI or ARB, aldosterone receptor antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, loop diuretics, statins, anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents).

**Figure 3. Risk of COVID-19 related complications by cardiovascular risk group.**


**A. Any cardiovascular complication**



**B. Non-arrhythmia related cardiovascular complications**



### C. Venous thromboembolism



aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; MI, myocardial infarction; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

Each model is adjusted for the variables listed, as well as age, sex, ethnicity, non-cardiac comorbidities (asthma, COPD, chronic renal failure, pulmonary embolism, DVT) and medications (ACEI or ARB, aldosterone receptor antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, loop diuretics, statins, anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents).

## Supplemental Materials

### Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Supplemental Methods</b> Classification of Cardiovascular Diseases (CVD) and complications of COVID-19	2
<b>Supplemental Figures</b>	
Supplemental Figure 1 Prevalence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease and risk factors by A) age and B) ethnic group	3
Supplemental Figure 2 Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group in patients with BMI data available	4
Supplemental Figure 3 Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group in patients who were discharged or died (i.e. excluding current in-patients).	5
Supplemental Figure 4 Incidence of COVID-19 related cardiovascular complications in patients with pre-existing established cardiovascular disease.	6
Supplemental Figure 5 Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age, cardiovascular risk group and myocardial injury A. Any troponin elevation (hs-cTnT>14ng/L). B. Troponin elevation >10x upper limit of normal (hs-cTnT>140ng/L)	7
<b>Supplemental References</b>	8

## Supplemental methods

### *Classification of Cardiovascular Diseases (CVD) and complications of COVID-19*


Myocardial infarction, heart failure, myocarditis, pericarditis were defined according to clinician diagnoses in accordance with internationally recognised guidelines<sup>37-40</sup>. Valvular heart disease refers to ‘severe’ grade disease (i.e. not including mild and moderate disease), as defined by European Society of Cardiology guidelines<sup>20</sup>. A pre-existing or new diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmia was defined by the SNOMED CT UK extension parent term “Clinical Arrhythmia” and all daughter terms, and therefore encompasses a wide spectrum of cardiac arrhythmias, including a specific term for atrial fibrillation (which we also report separately). Atrial fibrillation including paroxysmal, persistent and longstanding persistent classifications. Endocarditis was defined according to international guidelines.<sup>41</sup>

Acute myocardial infarction, myocarditis, pericarditis and endocarditis were defined as complications of COVID-19 if a clinician-diagnosis was documented during the hospital admission for COVID-19, consistent with guideline-directed diagnostic criteria<sup>37,39-41</sup>. Acute heart failure complicating COVID-19 was defined as a clinician-made diagnosis of acute left or right ventricular failure e.g. acute pulmonary or peripheral oedema. An exacerbation or decompensation of arrhythmia (including atrial fibrillation) was defined by new or worsening symptoms, haemodynamic instability or poor heart rate control, as documented by the treating physician.


## Supplemental Figure 1. Prevalence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease and risk factors

factors

### A. By age group



### B. By ethnic group




Bars represent the proportion of patients (%) within each age or ethnic group.


CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF, cardiovascular risk factors; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established cardiovascular disease;

**Supplemental Figure 2. Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group in patients with BMI data available.**

**A. Age <70 years (n=486)**



**B. Age 70 years and over (n=539)**




aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF, cardiovascular risk factors; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

Model 1 adjusted for age, sex and ethnicity.


Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, non-cardiac comorbidities (asthma, COPD, chronic renal failure, pulmonary embolism, DVT) and medications (ACEI or ARB, aldosterone receptor antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, loop diuretics, statins, anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents).

**Supplemental Figure 3. Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age and cardiovascular risk group in patients who were discharged or died (i.e. excluding current in-patients).**

**A. Age <70 years (n=799)**



**B. Age 70 years and over (n=885)**




aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF, cardiovascular risk factors; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

Model 1 adjusted for age, sex and ethnicity.

Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, non-cardiac comorbidities (asthma, COPD, chronic renal failure, pulmonary embolism, DVT) and medications (ACEI or ARB, aldosterone receptor antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, loop diuretics, statins, anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents).

### Supplemental Figure 4. Incidence of COVID-19 related cardiovascular complications in patients with pre-existing established cardiovascular disease.




CVD denotes established cardiovascular disease


Bars represent the proportion (%) of patients with CVD experiencing that complication. The blue bars represent events occurring in patients with a previous (or underlying chronic) diagnosis of the respective condition, e.g. myocardial infarction occurring in an individual with a previous myocardial infarction, and acute decompensated heart failure occurring in an individual with known chronic heart failure. Exacerbation/decompensation of arrhythmia-related complications (including atrial fibrillation) refer to new or worsening symptoms, haemodynamic instability or poor heart rate control. Note that patients can experience more than 1 complication. Total number of cases displayed at the end of each bar.

## Supplemental Figure 5. Risk of in-hospital mortality stratified by age, cardiovascular risk group and myocardial injury (n=742 patients)

### A. Any troponin elevation (hs-cTnT>14ng/L)



### B. Troponin elevation >10x upper limit of normal (hs-cTnT>140ng/L)



aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RF, cardiovascular risk factors; RF-CVD, cardiovascular risk factors without established CVD.

Demographic-adjusted model: adjusted for age, sex and ethnicity.

## Supplemental References

1. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). *Circulation* 2018; **138**(20): e618-e51.
2. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016; **37**(27): 2129-200.
3. Caforio AL, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E, et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**(33): 2636-48, 48a-48d.
4. Adler Y, Charron P, Imazio M, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Endorsed by: The European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2015; **36**(42): 2921-64.
5. Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ, et al. 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2017; **38**(36): 2739-91.
6. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The Task Force for the Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* 2015; **36**(44): 3075-128.