Lost in time: temporal monitoring elicits clinical decrements in sustained attention post-stroke

MB Brosnan[1,2,3,6*], PM Dockree[1], S Harty[1,2], DJ Pearce[6], JM Levenstein[2,4], CR Gillebert[2,5], MA Bellgrove[6], RG O'Connell[1,6], IH Robertson[1], N Demeyere[2,]

1. Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience and School of Psychology, Dublin, Ireland

2. Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

3. Oxford Centre for Human Brain Activity, Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

4. Section on Functional Imaging Methods, Laboratory of Brain and Cognition, National Institutes of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, United States

5. Department of Brain and Cognition, KU Leuven, Belgium

6. School of Psychological Sciences and Monash Institute for Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences (MICCN), Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

Mental fatigue, 'brain fog' and difficulties maintaining engagement are commonly reported issues in a wide range of neurological and psychiatric conditions. These compromise the ability to effectively engage with rehabilitative intervention and limit plasticity processes necessary for optimal recovery.

Traditional sustained attention tasks commonly measure this capacity as the ability to detect target stimuli based on sensory features in the auditory and visual domains. However, with this approach, discrete sudden onset target stimuli may exogenously capture attention and/or result in automatic stimulus-response mappings to aid target detection, thereby masking deficits in the ability to endogenously sustain attention over time. We have developed a sustained attention test (the continuous temporal expectancy test; CTET) which requires individuals to continuously monitor a stream of patterned stimuli alternating at a fixed temporal interval (690ms) and detect an infrequently occurring target stimulus defined by a prolonged temporal duration (1020ms or longer). Because sensory properties of target and non-target stimuli are perceptually identical and differ only in temporal duration, the CTET taxes continuous monitoring processes which are critical for sustaining attention.

Here, using the CTET we assessed stroke survivors with unilateral right hemisphere damage (*N*=14), a cohort in which sustained attention deficits have been extensively reported. The right hemisphere stroke survivors had overall lower target detection accuracy on the CTET compared to neurologically-healthy age-matched older controls (N=18). In addition, performance of the stroke survivors was characterised by significantly steeper within-block performance decrements in target detection accuracy compared with controls. These decrements occurred within short temporal windows (~3 ½ minutes) and were restored by the break periods between blocks.

These findings outline a precise measure of the endogenous processes hypothesized to underpin deficits of sustained attention following right hemisphere stroke and suggest that continuous temporal monitoring may be a particularly sensitive way to capture clinical deficits in the capacity to sustain attention over time.

1.1 Introduction

Difficulties concentrating and maintaining engagement, mental fatigue, and 'brain fog' are commonly reported in a wide range of psychiatric, neurodevelopmental, and neurological conditions including depression (Deng et al.), ADHD (Association 2013) stroke (Rueckert and Grafman 1996), Alzheimer's Disease (Perry et al. 2000), and, most recently, following COVID-19 (Zhou et al. 2020). Deficits in this fundamental facet of cognition can hinder recovery and exacerbate neurocognitive issues in at least two ways. Firstly, lapses in sustained attention compromise the ability to engage with the task at hand (Smilek et al. 2010). Therefore, sustained attention deficits limit the extent to which patients can engage with, and accordingly benefit from learning, intervention and rehabilitation programmes (e.g. (Blanc-garin 1994; Robertson, Ridgeway, et al. 1997; Bennett et al. 2002; van Zandvoort et al. 2005). Second, the deployment of attention is known to be critical for gating plasticity processes (Recanzone et al. 1993; Polley et al. 2006). Plasticity processes in turn underlie cortical remapping and functional reorganisation of the brain that are characteristic of recovery (Hallett 2001; Di Lazzaro et al. 2010; Fu and Zuo 2011; Phillips et al. 2015; Qian et al.). Thus, sustained attention deficits may also hinder the extent to which functional restoration can occur at the neural level.

Several studies in neurologically healthy participants have suggested that sustained attention is supported by a predominantly right-lateralised network (Warm et al. 1980; Whitehead 1991; Johannsen et al. 1997; Singh-Curry and Husain 2009; Langner and Eickhoff 2013), modulated by the locus coeruleus norepinephrine noradrenergic (LC-NE) arousal system (Posner and Petersen 1990; Corbetta and Shulman 2002; Corbetta and Shulman 2011). In correspondence with findings highlighting a privileged role for the right hemisphere for sustained attention, stroke survivors with damage to RH networks show pronounced deficits in this capacity (Wilkins et al. 1987; Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Robertson, Manly, Beschin, et al. 1997; Rueckert and Grafman 1998; Malhotra et al. 2009), typically defined by an overall deficit in the ability to detect infrequently occurring visual targets when monitoring a continuous stream of stimuli. Early experimental tasks designed to capture sustained attention typically asked participants to monitor targets over tens of minutes. This would typically elicit performance decrements (so-called time-on-task effects) in healthy individuals (N.H. Mackworth 1948; J.F. Mackworth and Taylor 1963; Parasuraman 1979). Subsequent assessments defined sustained attention as the ability to 'self-sustain mindful, conscious processing of stimuli', in order to capture transient lapses in attention while performing more complex, goal-directed tasks of much shorter durations (e.g. the Sustained Attention to Response Test (SART(Robertson, Manly, Andrade, et al. 1997; Manly et al. 2003)). Counter-intuitively, when neurological healthy individuals perform such for protracted periods of time, performance improvements, as opposed to decrements are observed (Staub et al. 2015; Brosnan et al. 2018). Moreover, experimental tasks assessing time on task effects in RH stroke often fail to show performance decrements over relatively short task durations (~8-10minutes; (Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Rueckert and Grafman 1998; Malhotra et al. 2009). This suggests that either RH stroke survivors do not show linear decrements in performance over time (which is at odds with anecdotal reports from both RH stroke survivors and their clinicians), or that sustained attention tasks may not be sufficiently sensitive to capture precise deficits in the temporal dynamics of sustained attention difficulties. One limitation of traditional sustained attention tasks is that the abrupt exogenous capture of

sensory target stimuli (i.e., the onset of either visual or auditory targets) may exogenously engage attention and inadvertently 'support' sustained attention such that successful performance on the task is not uniquely contingent on endogenous deployment of attentional control. Moreover, the continuous mapping of a transiently-presented target stimulus (e.g., number 3 from a stream of 9 numbers; Robertson, Manly, Andrade, et al. 1997; Manly et al. 2003) with a specific behaviour (respond or withhold a response), may result in automatic detection which is characteristic of consistent-mapping paradigms (Shiffrin and Schneider 1977) . Thus, these tasks may fail to identify decrements in attentional engagement occurring over relatively shorter time scales.

The current study employed the Continuous Temporal Expectancy Task (CTET; (O'Connell, Dockree, Robertson, Bellgrove, Foxe, and Kelly 2009) in which targets and non-targets differ only in temporal duration and are otherwise perceptually identical. This eliminates any potential for exogenous attentional capture by perceptual features of the stimuli since targets and non-targets differ only in temporal duration. Moreover, previous work has shown that with mind-wandering, time 'contracts' (Terhune et al. 2017), therefore a task necessitating the detection of relatively longer temporal intervals might be particularly sensitive to states of inattention. In the CTET, participants monitor a continuous stream of patterned stimuli that are presented centrally and make a button press when they detect a longer-duration stimulus. This task is sensitive to within block performance decrements (over approximately 3 minute windows) in neurologically healthy individuals and here we assess its potential to elicit performance decrements in RH stroke survivors, a group clinically and experimentally characterised by sustained attention deficits (Wilkins et al. 1987; Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Robertson, Ridgeway, et al. 1997; Rueckert and Grafman 1998; Malhotra et al. 2009; Molenberghs et al. 2009). We reasoned that the CTET will maximally tax sustained attention in RH stroke survivors and reveal performance decrements over short time windows.

1.2 Methods

Participants

Fourteen chronic stroke survivors with unilateral damage to the RH were recruited through the Cognitive Neuropsychology Centre, Department of Experimental Psychology, Oxford University. All patients were >1 year post stroke (*M*=2.15 years, *SD*=1.07; *note* this data was not available for one self-referred patient). All study participants provided written informed consent, in compliance with relevant protocols approved by the University of Oxford Central University Research Ethics Committee and the experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. Eighteen neurologically healthy older adults were recruited at the Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, Monash University, Australia. The experimental protocol was approved by Monash University's Human Research Ethics Committee before testing and was carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

Demographics

All participants provided their age, gender, and level of education. Differences in demographic factors between healthy older adults and the stroke survivors were assessed using one way between subject ANOVAs with 'Group' as the between subject factor. The stroke survivors and healthy older adults did not differ in age ($F_{1,30}$=2.99; p=.09). Binomial tests conducted separately for the healthy older controls and the stroke survivors indicated that the proportion of male to female participants did not differ significantly from the expected .50 (p>.41 for both groups). The RH stroke survivors had spent fewer years in formal education ($F_{1,30}$=8.98, p=.005, η_p^2=.23).

Table 1: Demographic information for the healthy older adults and RH stroke survivors

	Controls (N=18)	Patients (N=14)
Age (yrs)	74.78 (5.99) 60-82	70.71 (7.32) 58-84
Gender	11 male (47.83%)	9 male (64.29%)
*Education (yrs)	16.89 (3.45) 10-22	13.23 (3.40) 8-21

Note Years in education was not available for one stroke participant. * indicates a significant difference between patients and controls Values denote mean (SD).

Lesions

Magnetic resonance images (MRI) were acquired on a 3T TIM Trio scanner at the Oxford Centre for Clinical Magnetic Resonance Research (OCMR) for N= 7 patients. These scans were acquired at the chronic stage (i.e., > 6 months post-stroke onset). High-resolution 3D whole-brain T1-weighted MRI scans were acquired using a magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo

sequence (MPRAGE; TR 3000ms, TE 4.7ms, flip angle 8°, 1mm isotropic resolution) and fluid rapid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images were also obtained acquired (TR 5000ms, TE 397, in-plane resolution 1mm, slice thickness 1.5mm). For the remaining patients (n = 7) clinical imaging was acquired at the acute stage (i.e., < 3 weeks post stroke onset) as part of their hospital admission diagnostics. Of these patients, lesions were delineated using either a clinical T1-weighted MRI (n = 1, voxel resolution 1.78mm x 1.78mm x 1mm, 192 axial slices) or clinical CT (n = 6, slice thickness 5mm, 34.17 (2.40; range 30-36) axial slices). Lesions were manually delineated in native structural space slice-by-slice in the axial plane (MRIcron; McCausland Center for Brain Imaging, Columbia, SC, USA). Lesion masks were smoothed at 5mm full-width at half maximum in the z-direction and binarised using a 0.5 intensity threshold. Native space structural scans and lesion masks were aligned to an MNI equivalent stereotactic space ($2mm^3$) specific for each modality and generated from a healthy elderly population. The template spaces and normalization algorithms were performed using "Clinical Toolbox" (Rorden et al., 2012), implemented with Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (SPM8: Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, UK; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk). For two patients, lesions were not yet visible at the time of their clinically acquired acute CT scans. However, both patients' medical presentation and diagnosis indicated RH stroke. The lesion distributions are shown in Fig. 2A.

Assessment

The Continuous Temporal Expectancy Task (CTET)

The Continuous Temporal Expectancy Task (O'Connell, Dockree, Robertson, Bellgrove, Foxe, and Kelly 2009b) was employed to measure sustained attention. This is a temporal judgment task designed to elicit frequent lapses of attention. In this task, a patterned stimulus was presented centrally on a grey background and was constantly rotated at 90° angles (see Fig. 1). The pattern stimulus consisted of a single 8 cm^2 large square divided into a 10 x 10 grid of identical square tiles (0.8 mm^2), each one diagonally split into black and white halves. The tile orientation shifted by 90° in a random direction (clockwise or counter-clockwise) on each frame change yielding four distinct patterns. In the 'standard' trials (~90% of trials) the stimuli were presented for a temporal duration of 690 ms. The participant's task was to identify the infrequent 'target' trials by a button press using their right index finger, where the stimulus was presented for a longer temporal duration. Stimuli were pseudo-randomly presented such that there were between 7 and 15 (average of 11) standard trials between each target presentation.



Figure 1. The Continuous Temporal Expectancy Task (CTET). Participants monitored an alternating patterned stimulus. The visual stimulus usually alternated at a fixed temporal interval (690ms) and the participants' task was to identify stimuli that were presented for a longer interval (1020ms), thereby necessitating target detection in the temporal as opposed to visual domain.

The CTET was designed such that temporal judgments were perceptually undemanding for participants but challenging when tasked to continuously perform the judgments over sustained periods of time. Prior to the experimental blocks, a practice block was administered, where all participants were required to demonstrate 100% accuracy, before advancing to the experimental blocks. For the practice block, three targets were randomly interspersed among 25 standard stimuli. Target stimuli were presented at the target duration of 1020 ms, i.e., 330 ms /47.83% longer than standard trials. If participants missed one or more target stimuli, the practice was performed again. If the participant still failed to identify all the targets, the duration of the target stimulus was adapted such that all participants demonstrated 100% accuracy on two consecutive trials. For the healthy older adults, all participants identified the target stimuli at 100% accuracy during the practice trials at the target duration of 1020 ms. Of the stroke survivors, 7 identified the target stimulus at a duration of 1020 ms at 100% accuracy. For the other 7 patients, the duration of the target stimulus was adapted as follows such that all participants demonstrated 100% accuracy on two consecutive practice trials: If the patient did not achieve 100% accuracy during the first three practice trials, the duration of the target stimulus was increased to 1140ms. Participants were then given two practice trials with this target duration. If 100% accuracy was achieved during these trials, then this target duration was set at 1140ms for the rest of the experiment. If the patient did not exhibit 100% accuracy at this duration, the target duration was subsequently increased again to 1500ms, and following this to

1800ms. In order to ensure the stroke survivors fully understood the task requirements all patients were required to complete two consecutive practice trials at 100% accuracy before proceeding to the experimental trials. For the experimental trials, the target durations for the stroke survivors were either 1020ms (*N*=7), 1140ms (*N*=2), 1500ms (*N*=4), or 1800ms (*N*=1).

This tailored approach was adopted to ensure that any observed differences in attention could not be attributed to the perceptual demands of the task. Participants completed 5 blocks of the task and were given a break (~ 1 minute) in between all blocks. Each block consisted of 225 stimulus rotations with a total duration of between 3 min and 5 s and 3 min and 30 s. The number of targets varied between 18 and 22 per block.

Analyses

Sustained attention was expressed as the percentage of correct target detections. A target was considered correctly identified if a participant responded within 2.07 seconds (i.e. three standard trials) of a target trial. One stroke patient presented with working memory problems, identified by a previous study in the centre using the Oxford Cognitive Screen (Demeyere et al. 2015). In an effort to exclude the possibility that these deficits were contributing to performance on the task, this patient was required to recall the task instructions at the end of each block. Outliers were defined on a per-block basis as participants who had response times greater than 3 times the interquartile range (IQR). One healthy individual was excluded from the analyses based on these criteria.

We examined overall accuracy across all blocks of the task (Fig. 2B), and we also evaluated changes in accuracy both within each block and across blocks (Fig. 2C). Differences in accuracy between the stroke survivors and healthy older adults were evaluated using a repeated-measures mixed ANOVA with 'Performance Decrement Within Blocks' (within four quartiles of each block) and 'Vigilance Decrement Across Blocks' (five blocks) and as within subject factors. 'Group' (RH stroke, healthy ageing) was included as a between subject factor. In order to assess the putatively restorative effect of task break on performance, the average of the 4th quartile computed across blocks 1, 2, 3, and 4 was compared against the average of the 1st quartile across blocks 2, 3, 4, and 5 using a repeated-measures ANOVA with break as a within-subjects, and group as a between-subjects factor.

In order to rule out the possibility that the extent of damage or the differences in formal education between the two groups could be associated with any observed effects, all significant ANOVAs were repeated with lesion size (total number of voxels affected) and years in formal education included as covariates. Note, two stroke survivors were excluded from these ANCOVAs because their lesion size could not be determined (see above).

1.3 Results

Overall Target Detection (Accuracy)

The rate of false alarms was low on the CTET (*M*=3.36, *SD*=2.03, range = 1.2-9.4%) indicating that all participants were performing well above chance level and had correctly understood the instructions. In line with previous reports investigating overall performance levels in RH stroke survivors(Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Robertson, Manly, Andrade, et al. 1997; Rueckert and Grafman 1998), a main effect of Group ($F_{1,29}$=25.34, p<.0005, η_p^2=.47, Fig. 2B; RH stroke M(SD) 64.27% (19.17); Healthy older controls M(SD) 89.29% (6.56)) indicated that the patients were significantly less accurate at identifying target stimuli, compared with the healthy older controls.



Figure 2. A. Lesion overlay for the right hemisphere stroke survivors. Conjunction maps were created from each patients' delineated lesion mask (*N*=12) registered to a template space (MNI152 T1 1mm). Intensity values represent the number of subjects with overlapping lesion affected anatomy. **B. Overall accuracy levels for stroke survivors and neurological healthy older adults**. In line with previous sustained attention studies, patients with unilateral RH damage were less accurate on the CTET as compared with neurologically healthy older adults. **C. Performance decrements over time on the CTET.** Performance decrements within the duration of the task blocks were significantly steeper for the patient cohort relative to the

neurologically healthy older adults. *Note* alternating grey and white columns depict the start of each task block

Time on Task Decrements: Within Block Effects

A main effect of Quartile ($F_{3,87}$=15.58, p<.0005, η_p^2=.35) demonstrated that accuracy on the task decreased within each block (see Fig. 2C), as confirmed by a significant linear contrast ($F_{1,29}$=42.36, p<.0005, η_p^2= .59). Critically, this was qualified by a Group X Quartile interaction ($F_{3,87}$=3.21, p=.03, η_p^2=.10). Follow up repeated-measures ANOVAs with Quartile as the within subjects factor were conducted separately for the neurologically healthy older group and the RH stroke survivors. For both the healthy group ($F_{3,48}$=3.58, p=.02, η_p^2=.18) and the stroke survivors ($F_{3,39}$=11.19, p<.0005, η_p^2=.46), there was a main effect of Quartile, best captured by a linear fit (healthy; $F_{1,16}$=7.41, p=.02, η_p^2=.32; stroke: $F_{1,13}$=38.37, p<.0005, η_p^2=.75). Thus, the significant Group X Quartile interaction was driven by a significantly steeper within-block decrement in the RH stroke survivors relative to the healthy older controls.

Time on Task Decrements: Across Block Effects

There was no main effect of Block ($F_{4,116}$=1.78, p=.14) but there was a Group x Block interaction indicating that the two groups differed in the way their performance changed over coarser time scales (across blocks; $F_{4,116}$=2.75, p=.03, η_p^2=.09). However, follow up repeated measures analyses conducted for each group separately failed to resolve the source of this interaction with no main effect of Block for either the stroke ($F_{4,52}$=2.31, p=.07, η_p^2=.15) nor the healthy older cohort ($F_{4,64}$=.85, p=.5). As such, these results suggest that while the stroke survivors exhibit particularly sharp decrements in their ability to sustain attention within blocks, they did not differ from healthy controls in the extent to which they demonstrated time-on-task decrements in attentional engagement over coarser timescales. Finally, there were no other interaction terms (Quartle x Block, and Quartile x Block x Group both p>.72).

Break periods to restore performance

The findings thus far indicate that deficits in attention arise from deficits in the capacity to maintain engagement over short time periods (within block), while performance over long time periods (across blocks) remained stable. This suggests that the short break periods in between blocks exerted a restorative effect over performance. Formal analyses supported this hypothesis. A main effect of Break was observed ($F_{1,29}$=36.76, p<.0005, η_p^2=.56), and there was no signficiant Break x Group interaction effect ($F_{1,29}$=3.30, p=.08, η_p^2=.10). As such, for both the healthy older adults and the RH stroke survivors, performance during the fourth quartiles (healthy older M=85.83%, SD=12.07, RH stroke M=58.45%, SD=23.78) was significantly lower than during the first quartile (healthy older M=94.63%, SD=7.68; RH stroke M=74.79%, SD=21.23), thus demonstrating that irrespective of group, the performance deficit was offset by rest periods between blocks. When years of education and lesion size were controlled for, the main effects of Quartile ($F_{3,78}$=.40, p=.76), Group x Block ($F_{4,104}$=1.84, p=.13), and Group x Break ($F_{1,26}$=2.63, p=.12) were no longer significant. Crucially, however the main effect of Group on Accuracy ($F_{1,26}$=14.73, p=.001, η_p^2=.36), Group on Break ($F_{1,26}$=6.87, p=.01, η_p^2=.21) and Group x Quartile interactions ($F_{3,78}$=3.97, p=.01, η_p^2=.13) remained significant.

1.4 Discussion

Here we show that monitoring attention in the temporal domain captures clinical deficits in maintaining attention within a shorter time window (a linear decline in performance within ~ 3 ½ minutes) than previously noted with RH stroke survivors (Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Robertson, Ridgeway, et al. 1997; Rueckert and Grafman 1998). Our results indicate that well-established clinical deficits in sustained attention (overall target detection accuracy) following RH stroke (Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Robertson, Ridgeway, et al. 1997; Rueckert and Grafman 1998) may arise from a specific impairment in the ability to maintain engagement over relatively short temporal windows. As such, these results suggest that the CTET (O'Connell, Dockree, Robertson, Bellgrove, Foxe, and Kelly 2009a) is a sensitive tool for capturing and examining performance decrements in sustained attention over short temporal windows.

There are several aspects of the CTET that make it appealing for assessing attentional deficits, particularly in patient populations. Firstly, the target stimulus is adapted such that 100% accuracy is exhibited during the practice trials preceding the experimental blocks. Thus, the task is not confounded by an overall perceptual deficit in the stroke survivors. Secondly, unlike many sustained attention tasks (e.g. Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Rueckert and Grafman 1998; Manly et al. 2003; Malhotra et al. 2009), identification of the target in the CTET occurs in the temporal domain rather than being based on perceptual characteristics of targets and non-targets. This is potentially very advantageous in producing a performance decrement as visual search processes which may help with the identification of target stimuli, such as stimulus-driven attentional capture and stimulus-response mapping, are eliminated in the CTET, thus placing greater demands on the continuous monitoring process and taxing the sustained attention system.

Despite the stroke survivors demonstrating steep within-block performance declines, they also exhibited the capacity to temporarily revive their sustained attention following the brief within-block rest periods, an observation supported by significantly higher performance during the first quartiles of blocks 2,3,4, and 5, as compared with the last quartiles of blocks 1,2,3 and 4. In the current study, the within-block performance decrement observed in the RH stroke survivors did not get worse with time (i.e., there was no interaction between this effect and the overall performance decrement over the course of the experiment). This suggests that the sustained attention system can be revived within intervals as short as the between block breaks of approximately one minute. This is in line with literature suggesting the sustained attention system can be manipulated by increasing levels of alertness through the voluntary control over biophysiological markers of arousal (Robertson et al. 1995; O'Connell et al. 2008), or by presenting salient sensory stimuli which act as external alerting cues (Robertson et al. 1998; Finke et al. 2012).

One likely explanation for these sharp decreases in arousal following RH damage is dysfunction of the noradrenergic system. Noradrenaline (norepinephrine), a neurotransmitter emanating from the locus coeruleus with widespread projections throughout the cortex, plays a well-established role in maintaining arousal (Posner and Petersen 1990; Corbetta and Shulman 2002; Corbetta and Shulman 2011) for a review see (Sara 2009). RH dominance of noradrenaline is evident from asymmetric organisation of the noradrenergic system in rats (Robinson 1979; Robinson and Coyle 1980), higher noradrenergic concentrations in the right thalamus in post-

mortem human neurochemical studies (Debecker et al. 1978), and increased BOLD activation exclusively within the RH during pharmacological upregulation of noradrenaline (Grefkes et al. 2010). Pharmacological interventions such as noradrenergic agonists and methylphenidate that modulate noradrenergic levels within prefrontal regions have been shown to improve sustained attention performance on the CTET in healthy younger adults (Dockree et al. 2017 May), and preliminary evidence suggests that this may be a useful approach to improve sustained attention deficits associated with damage to the RH (Malhotra et al. 2006; Singh-Curry et al. 2011). Future work should directly explore whether noradrenergic dysfunction underlies the pronounced decrements in sustained attention observed in the current study, and whether manipulating activity within this right-lateralised system, for example through pharmacological interventions (Dockree et al. 2017 May), behavioural training paradigms (Milewski-Lopez et al. 2014), fMRI neurofeedback training techniques (deBettencourt et al. 2015; Habes et al. 2016), or brain stimulation (Brosnan et al. 2017; Brosnan et al. 2018) might ameliorate these performance decrements.

Finally, although specific functional impairments resulting from a stroke have often been considered in the context of modular damage (e.g. Broca 1865) , there is a growing consensus that it is not only damage to specific regions but rather disruptions to inter-connected neural networks which are associated with functional impairments (e.g. Forkel et al. 2014, Baldassarre et al. 2016, cf Corbetta and Shulman 2011). With regards to the sustained attention system, recent multivariate analyses of fMRI data have identified a widespread network of cortical, sub-cortical and cerebellar regions underlying the capacity to maintain attentional engagement (deBettencourt et al. 2015; Rosenberg et al. 2016). Rosenberg and colleagues recently showed that only 27% of an MVPA-derived network which successfully predicted the 'high' attention state both in healthy younger adults, and children and adults with attentional deficits (ADHD symptoms) included prefrontal and parietal nodes. These findings do not discount fronto-parietal networks contributions to maintaining sustained attention (Wilkins et al. 1987; Rueckert and Grafman 1996; Rueckert and Grafman 1998) but rather demonstrate the role of these regions within a widespread network (Shalev et al. 2016). The heterogeneity of the lesions in the current cohort support these findings and suggest damage to widespread cortical regions within the right-lateralised noradrenergic-network results in deficits maintaining sustained attention over time (cf, Corbetta and Shulman 2011).

The current findings hold important translational implication for informing cognitive assessment and rehabilitation strategies for individuals who have experienced RH stroke, and in particular, they speak to the need for employing assays that are sensitive to detecting deficits over short time intervals when appraising the integrity of sustained attention in this cohort.

Acknowledgements

We would like to sincerely thank the late Prof Glyn Humphreys for his valuable contributions to the design and implementation of this project. We wish to thank Tara Anne Maguire for her assistance with data collection, and our participants in Melbourne and Oxford for generously volunteering their time. This work was supported by the European Union FP7

Marie Curie Initial Training Network Individualised Diagnostics & Rehabilitation of Attention Disorders (grant number 606901), a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship from the European Commission (AGEING PLASTICITY; grant number 844246), and supported by the NIHR Oxford Health Biomedical Research Centre. The Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging is supported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust (203139/Z/16/Z). Paul Dockree was supported by an Irish Research Council Laureate grant: IRCLA/2017/306

References

Association AP. 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®). American Psychiatric Pub.

Baldassarre A, Ramsey LE, Siegel JS, Shulman GL, Corbetta M. 2016. Brain connectivity and neurological disorders after stroke. Current Opinion in Neurology 29:706–713.

Bennett HP, Corbett AJ, Gaden S, Grayson DA, Kril JJ, Broe GA. 2002. Subcortical Vascular Disease and Functional Decline: A 6-Year Predictor Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 50:1969–1977.

Blanc-garin J. 1994. Patterns of recovery from hemiplegia following stroke. Neuropsychol Rehabil 4:359–385.

Broca P. 1865. Sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé.

Brosnan MB, Arvaneh M, Harty S, Maguire T, O'Connell R, Robertson IH, Dockree PM. 2018. Prefrontal Modulation of Visual Processing and Sustained Attention in Aging, a Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation–Electroencephalogram Coregistration Approach. J Cogn Neurosci.

Brosnan MB, Demaria G, Petersen A, Dockree PM, Robertson IH, Wiegand I. 2017. Plasticity of the Right-Lateralized Cognitive Reserve Network in Ageing. Cerebral Cortex 28:1749–1759.

Corbetta M, Shulman GL. 2002. CONTROL OF GOAL-DIRECTED AND STIMULUS-DRIVEN ATTENTION IN THE BRAIN. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 3:215–229.

Corbetta M, Shulman GL. 2011. Spatial Neglect and Attention Networks. Annual Review of Neuroscience 34:569–599.

Debecker E, Laget P, Raimbault J. 1978. Lateralization of norepinephrine in human thalamus. Science.

deBettencourt MT, Cohen JD, Lee RF, Norman KA, Turk-Browne NB. 2015. Closed-loop training of attention with real-time brain imaging. Nat Neurosci 18:470–475.

Demeyere N, Riddoch MJ, Slavkova ED, Bickerton W-L, Humphreys GW. 2015. The Oxford Cognitive Screen (OCS): Validation of a stroke-specific short cognitive screening tool. Psychological Assessment 27:883–894.

Deng YQ, Li S, Mindfulness YT, 2014. The relationship between wandering mind, depression and mindfulness. Springer.

Di Lazzaro V, Profice P, Pilato F, Capone F, Ranieri F, Pasqualetti P, Colosimo C, Pravatà E, Cianfoni A, Dileone M. 2010. Motor Cortex Plasticity Predicts Recovery in Acute Stroke. Cerebral Cortex 20:1523–1528.

Dockree PM, Barnes JJ, Matthews N, Dean AJ, Abe R, Nandam LS, Kelly SP, Bellgrove MA, O'Connell RG. 2017 May. The Effects of Methylphenidate on the Neural Signatures of Sustained Attention. Biological Psychiatry.

Finke K, Matthias E, Keller I, Müller HJ, Schneider WX. 2012. How does phasic alerting improve performance in patients with unilateral neglect? A systematic analysis of attentional processing capacity and spatial weighting …. Neuropsychologia 50:1178–1189.

Forkel SJ, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Kalra L, Murphy DGM, Williams SCR, Catani M. 2014. Anatomical predictors of aphasia recovery: a tractography study of bilateral perisylvian language networks. Brain 137:2027–2039.

Fu M, Zuo Y. 2011. Experience-dependent structural plasticity in the cortex. Trends in Neurosciences 34:177–187.

Grefkes C, Wang LE, Eickhoff SB, Fink GR. 2010. Noradrenergic Modulation of Cortical Networks Engaged in Visuomotor Processing. Cerebral Cortex 20:783–797.

Habes I, Rushton S, Johnston SJ, Sokunbi MO, Barawi K, Brosnan M, Daly T, Ihssen N, Linden DEJ. 2016. fMRI neurofeedback of higher visual areas and perceptual biases. Neuropsychologia 85:208–215.

Hallett M. 2001. Plasticity of the human motor cortex and recovery from stroke. Brain Research Reviews 36:169–174.

Johannsen P, Jakobsen J, Bruhn P, Hansen SB, Gee A, Stødkilde-Jørgensen H, Gjedde A. 1997. Cortical Sites of Sustained and Divided Attention in Normal Elderly Humans. NeuroImage 6:145–155.

Langner R, Eickhoff SB. 2013. Sustaining attention to simple tasks: A meta-analytic review of the neural mechanisms of vigilant attention. Psychol Bull.

Mackworth JF, Taylor MM. 1963. The d'measure of signal detectability in vigilancelike situations. Psychology and Aging.

Mackworth NH. 1948. The breakdown of vigilance during prolonged visual search. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology.

Malhotra P, Coulthard EJ, Husain M. 2009. Role of right posterior parietal cortex in maintaining attention to spatial locations over time. Brain 132:awn350–660.

Malhotra PA, Parton AD, Greenwood R, Husain M. 2006. Noradrenergic modulation of space exploration in visual neglect. Annals of Neurology 59:186–190.

Manly T, Owen AM, McAvinue L, Datta A, Lewis GH, Scott SK, Rorden C, Pickard J, Robertson IH. 2003. Enhancing the Sensitivity of a Sustained Attention Task to Frontal Damage: Convergent Clinical and Functional Imaging Evidence. Neurocase 9:340–349.

Milewski-Lopez A, Greco E, van den Berg F, McAvinue LP, McGuire S, Robertson IH. 2014. An evaluation of alertness training for older adults. Front Aging Neurosci 6:843.

Molenberghs P, Gillebert CR, Schoofs H, Dupont P. 2009. Lesion neuroanatomy of the Sustained Attention to Response task. Neuropsychologia.

O'Connell RG, Bellgrove MA, Dockree PM, Lau A. 2008. Self-alert training: Volitional modulation of autonomic arousal improves sustained attention. Neuropsychologia 46:1379–1390.

O'Connell RG, Dockree PM, Robertson IH, Bellgrove MA, Foxe JJ, Kelly SP. 2009a. Uncovering the Neural Signature of Lapsing Attention: Electrophysiological Signals Predict Errors up to 20 s before They Occur. Journal of Neuroscience 29:8604–8611.

O'Connell RG, Dockree PM, Robertson IH, Bellgrove MA, Foxe JJ, Kelly SP. 2009b. Uncovering the Neural Signature of Lapsing Attention: Electrophysiological Signals Predict Errors up to 20 s before They Occur. Journal of Neuroscience 29:8604–8611.

Parasuraman R. 1979. Memory load and event rate control sensitivity decrements in sustained attention. Science 205:924–927.

Perry RJ, Watson P, Hodges JR. 2000. The nature and staging of attention dysfunction in early (minimal and mild) Alzheimer's disease: relationship to episodic and semantic memory impairment. Neuropsychologia 38:252–271.

Phillips JL, Batten LA, Tremblay P, Aldosary F, Blier P. 2015. A Prospective, Longitudinal Study of the Effect of Remission on Cortical Thickness and Hippocampal Volume in Patients with Treatment-Resistant Depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 18:pyv037–pyv037.

Polley DB, Steinberg EE, Merzenich MM. 2006. Perceptual Learning Directs Auditory Cortical Map Reorganization through Top-Down Influences. J. Neurosci. 26:4970–4982.

Posner MI, Petersen SE. 1990. The Attention System of the Human Brain. Annual Review of Neuroscience 13:25–42.

Qian X, Loo B, Castellanos FX, Liu S, Translational HK, 2018. Brain-computer-interface-based intervention re-normalizes brain functional network topology in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. nature.com.

Recanzone GH, Schreiner CE, Merzenich MM. 1993. Plasticity in the frequency representation of primary auditory cortex following discrimination training in adult owl monkeys. J. Neurosci. 13:87–103.

Robertson IH, Manly T, Andrade J, Baddeley BT, Yiend J. 1997. `Oops!': Performance correlates of everyday attentional failures in traumatic brain injured and normal subjects. Neuropsychologia 35:747–758.

Robertson IH, Manly T, Beschin N, Daini R. 1997. Auditory sustained attention is a marker of unilateral spatial neglect. Neuropsychologia 35:1527–1532.

Robertson IH, Mattingley JB, Rorden C, Driver J. 1998. Phasic alerting of neglect patients overcomes their spatial deficit in visual awareness. Nature 395:169–172.

Robertson IH, Ridgeway V, Greenfield E, Parr A. 1997. Motor recovery after stroke depends on intact sustained attention: a 2-year follow-up study. Neuropsychology 11:290.

Robertson IH, Tegnér R, Tham K, Lo A. 1995. Sustained attention training for unilateral neglect: theoretical and rehabilitation implications. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology 17:416–430.

Robinson R. 1979. Differential behavioral and biochemical effects of right and left hemispheric cerebral infarction in the rat. Science 205:707–710.

Robinson RG, Coyle JT. 1980. The differential effect of right versus left hemispheric cerebral infarction on catecholamines and behavior in the rat. Brain Res 188:63–78.

Rosenberg MD, Finn ES, Scheinost D, Papademetris X, Shen X, Constable RT, Chun MM. 2016. A neuromarker of sustained attention from whole-brain functional connectivity. Nat Neurosci 19:165–171.

Rueckert L, Grafman J. 1996. Sustained attention deficits in pat ients with right frontal lesions. Neuropsychologia 34:953–963.

Rueckert L, Grafman J. 1998. Sustained attention deficits in patients with lesions of posterior cortex. Neuropsychologia 36:653–660.

Sara SJ. 2009. The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 10:211–223.

Shalev N, Humphreys G, Demeyere N. 2016 Aug 9. Assessing the temporal aspects of attention and its correlates in aging and chronic stroke patients. Neuropsychologia:1–10.

Shiffrin RM, Schneider W. 1977. Controlled and automatic human information processing: II. Perceptual learning, automatic attending and a general theory. Psychol Rev 84:127–190.

Singh-Curry V, Husain M. 2009. The functional role of the inferior parietal lobe in the dorsal and ventral stream dichotomy. Neuropsychologia 47:1434–1448.

Singh-Curry V, Malhotra P, Farmer SF, Husain M. 2011. Attention deficits following ADEM ameliorated by guanfacine. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry 82:688–690.

Smilek D, Carriere JSA, Cheyne JA. 2010. Failures of sustained attention in life, lab, and brain: Ecological validity of the SART. Neuropsychologia 48:2564–2570.

Staub B, Doignon-Camus N, Marques-Carneiro JE, Bacon É, Bonnefond A. 2015. Age-related differences in the use of automatic and controlled processes in a situation of sustained attention. Neuropsychologia 75:607–616.

Terhune DB, Croucher M, Marcusson-Clavertz D, Macdonald JSP. 2017. Time contracts and temporal precision declines when the mind wanders. Psychology and Aging.

van Zandvoort MJE, Kessels RPC, Nys GMS, de Haan EHF, Kappelle LJ. 2005. Early neuropsychological evaluation in patients with ischaemic stroke provides valid information. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery 107:385–392.

Warm JS, Richter DO, Sprague RL, Porter PK, Schumsky DA. 1980. Listening with a dual brain: Hemispheric asymmetry in sustained attention. Bull Psychon Soc 15:229–232.

Whitehead R. 1991. Right Hemisphere Processing Superiority During Sustained Visual Attention. J Cogn Neurosci 3:329–334.

Wilkins AJ, Shallice T, McCarthy R. 1987. Frontal lesions and sustained attention. Neuropsychologia 25:359–365.

Zhou H, Lu S, Chen J, Wei N, Wang D, Lyu H, Shi C, Hu S. 2020. The landscape of cognitive function in recovered COVID-19 patients. Journal of Psychiatric Research 129:98–102.