medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Second versus first wave of COVID-19 deaths: shifts in age distribution and in nursing home fatalities

John P.A. Ioannidis, MD, DSc, professor

Cathrine Axfors, MD, PhD, postdoctoral fellow

Despina G. Contopoulos-Ioannidis, MD, clinical associate professor

Stanford Prevention Research Center, Department of Medicine, and Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine (Dr. Ioannidis), Meta-Research Innovation Center at Stanford (METRICS), Stanford, California, USA (Dr. Ioannidis and Dr. Axfors), Department of Women's and Children's Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden (Dr. Axfors), and Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, USA (Dr. Contopoulos-Ioannidis)

Correspondence to: John P.A. Ioannidis, MD, DSc, Stanford Prevention Research Center, 1265 Welch Road, Medical School Office Building, Room X306, USA. E-mail: jioannid@stanford.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, age, nursing home, epidemic wave

Data sharing: All the data are in the manuscript and tables

Conflicts of interest: None

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Funding: METRICS is supported by grants by the Laura and John Arnold Foundation. CA is supported by postdoctoral grants from Uppsala University, the Swedish Society of Medicine, the Blanceflor Foundation, and the Sweden-America Foundation. The authors had no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years, and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE:

To examine whether the age distribution of COVID-19 deaths and the share of deaths in nursing homes changed in the second versus the first pandemic wave.

ELIGIBLE DATA:

We considered all countries that had at least 4000 COVID-19 deaths occurring as of November 25, 2020, at least 200 COVID-19 deaths occurring in the first wave period, and at least 200 COVID-19 deaths occurring in the second wave period; and which had sufficiently detailed information available on the age distribution of these deaths. We also considered countries with data available on COVID-19 deaths of nursing home residents for the two waves.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES:

Change in the second wave versus the first wave in the proportion of COVID-19 deaths occurring in people <50 years old among all COVID-19 deaths and among COVID019 deaths in people <70 years old; and change in the proportion of COVID-19 deaths in nursing home residents among all COVID-19 deaths.

RESULTS:

Data on age distribution in eligible locations were available for 11 countries. Individuals <50 years old tended to have a larger share in the total COVID-19 deaths in the second wave than in the first wave in western European countries and the USA, but the absolute difference did not exceed 0.5% in any country. The proportion of deaths in individuals <50 years old was higher in Turkey and Ukraine, but it decreased in the second wave. Separate data on nursing home COVID-19 deaths for first and second waves were available for 9 countries. With the exception of Australia, the share of COVID-19 deaths that were accounted by nursing home residents decreased in the second wave, and the decrease was significant and substantial (relative risk estimates: 0.28 to 0.78) in 7/9 countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CONCLUSIONS: In the examined countries, age distribution of COVID-19 deaths has been fairly similar in the second versus the first wave, but the contribution of COVID-19 deaths in nursing home residents to total fatalities has decreased in most countries in the second wave.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

What is known on this topic

* COVID-19 risk of death has a very steep age gradient

* Many COVID-19 deaths occur in nursing home residents

* Many countries have seen a pattern of two separate waves of COVID-19, but it is unknown whether these two waves differ in the age distribution of COVID-19 deaths and in fatalities in nursing home residents

What this study adds

* Age distribution of COVID-19 deaths has been fairly similar in the second versus the first wave in most countries, with some exceptions.

* Deaths in people <50 years old remain a small minority of COVID-19 deaths.

* The contribution of deaths in nursing home residents to total fatalities remains high in absolute magnitude, but it has decreased in most countries in the second wave.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Many countries around the world, in particular in Europe, have seen a pattern of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic where a first wave occurred in the spring that substantially subsided during the summer, and a second wave emerged in the fall of 2020. A key question is whether the age distribution of COVID-19 fatalities in these locations has remained steady between the two waves or not. COVID-19 is known to have an extremely steep risk gradient for death across age groups (1-3). If the relative share of infections is larger among young people, the infection fatality rate in the total population is expected to be lower. Conversely, if the relative share of infections is larger among older people, the infection fatality rate is expected to be overall higher.

Data from a considerable number of seroprevalence studies done in different countries have suggested that not all age groups may have been equally infected during the spread of the virus in the first wave (4). In several countries that did very well in the first wave, e.g. Singapore, Australia, or Iceland (5) evidence suggests that elderly people were less likely to be infected and in particular nursing homes were not contributing many fatalities (4). The opposite pattern was seen in countries that had high rates of death, where nursing homes were massively infected during the first wave (6). One wonders whether preferential protection of older, higher-risk people and in particular of nursing home residents is possible and whether this can happen more efficiently in the second wave (7).

In order to answer these questions, we assessed the age distribution of COVID-19 fatalities in the two waves in countries with a substantial burden of fatalities as of November 2020, and we also assessed the relative contribution from deaths of nursing home residents in the two waves.

METHODS

Data for comparison of age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in second versus first wave

We considered data from publicly available situational reports of countries that had a large number of deaths in both the first and second waves, so as to allow meaningful inferences in comparing the age

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

distributions, and where the trough between the two waves had happened between May 15 and September 15. Specifically, we considered all countries that had least 4000 COVID-19 deaths, at least 200 COVID-19 deaths occurring in the first wave, and at least 200 COVID-19 deaths occurring in the second wave; and which had information available on the age distribution of these deaths separately. Searches were done on November 13 and updated on November 25 to see if any additional countries had become eligible. In order to separate the two wave periods in a consistent manner, we used the date between the two peaks that had the trough (lowest number of deaths) for a 7-day average according to Worldometer data (8). When two or more dates were tied for trough values, we picked the earliest one.

For each eligible country, we found the most recent situational report that mentioned age distribution of deaths; and the situational report that mentioned age distribution of deaths as of the trough date (or a separation date for the two waves that was as close as possible to the trough, when data were not available specifically up to the trough date). It is acknowledged that a few deaths reported after the trough/separation dates may have happened earlier, but this is likely to pertain to very small numbers and it would not change the overall comparison.

Age cut-offs of interest were pre-specified to be 50 years and 70 years. In European countries that have seen two waves and in the USA, almost two-thirds of the population are younger than 50 years old and the age stratum of 50-69 accounts for another quarter of the population. In a few other countries that have also seen two waves, the proportion of the population younger than 50 years old even exceeds 80%, e.g. in Turkey or Pakistan. However, the lion's share of COVID-19 deaths happen in people above 70; moreover, in countries where elder care facilities are common, a large share of COVID-19 deaths occur in nursing home residents. Documentation of COVID-19 infection may be least systematic in this upper age stratum. The number of COVID-19 deaths may be most error prone and variable in its documentation across countries and even within the same country over time in elderly people, and nursing home residents in particular. Therefore, we aimed to assess whether (A) the proportion of COVID-19 deaths occurring in people <50 years old among all COVID-19 deaths changed in the second versus the first wave; and (B) whether the proportion of COVID-19 deaths

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

occurring in people <50 years old among the COVID-19 deaths occurring in people <70 years old changed between the two waves. When data were not provided for the cut-offs of 50 and 70 years for COVID-19 deaths, we used the closest cut-offs available provided it was not more than 5 years off (45 and 65 years).

Data for COVID-19 deaths in nursing home residents in second versus first wave

We also extracted data on COVID-19 deaths occurring in nursing home residents. We preferred data on all COVID-19 deaths of such residents (occurring in the nursing homes or in hospitals) unless information was available only for COVID-19 deaths happening in nursing homes. We also preferred data on both confirmed and probable COVID-19 deaths, unless only data on confirmed deaths were available. For these data to be eligible, the definition of nursing home resident should not have changed in the two waves.

We compared proportions of these nursing home residents' deaths among all COVID-19 deaths in the second versus first wave periods. The International Long-Term Care Policy Network has issued reports based on available country-level official data for the number of deaths among nursing home residents linked to COVID-19. Their latest report (October 14, 2020) (6) and previous report (June 26, 2020) (9) were considered. Given the substantial spread of COVID-19 occurring in many countries after their latest report, we restricted our analysis to the countries for which we could find updates that covered at least until November 6, 2020 using the official sources cited in the International Long-Term Care Policy Network reports. Latest update for these data was on November 23, 2020. We used as cutoff for the first wave the dates given in the June 26 report (between June 1 and June 23).

Statistical analyses

All comparisons of proportions of COVID-19 deaths per country between the two periods used risk ratios and 95% confidence intervals thereof. Meta-analysis of risk ratios used a random effects model. Heterogeneity was expressed with the I^2 statistic and tested with the chi-squared-based Q test. P-values are two-tailed. Statistical analyses were run in STATA (10).

RESULTS

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Eligible data for age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in the two waves

Thirty-six countries had at least 4000 deaths until November 13. Of those, 17 countries (Belgium, Canada, Czechia, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Pakistan, Poland, Romania, Russia, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom, USA) also had at least 200 deaths in each wave and had a trough between May 15 and September 15. Another 3 countries (Hungary, Portugal, Switzerland) passed 4000 deaths by November 25 and would also be eligible, for a total of 20 eligible countries. We could retrieve some data on the age distribution separately for the two waves for 15 of the 20 countries. However, Canada and Sweden did not show deaths in the age group <50 (or <45) years old; and Portugal and Romania provided only graphs with percentages per age group and resolution was not sufficiently accurate in the <50 years old age group. Eventually, data from 11 countries were finally included (Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the trough separating the two waves occurred between June 1 and August 21 in all locations. The number of deaths in the second wave as of the date of the analysis was lower than the number of deaths in the first wave in 9 countries, whereas it was already higher in the second wave in Switzerland and Ukraine. Sources of information for the 11 countries appear in Supplementary Table 1.

Age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in the two waves

Table 2 shows the age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in the first and second wave in each eligible country with data.

The proportion of COVID-19 deaths <50 years among all COVID-19 deaths in the first wave did not exceed 1.5% in any western European country, but it was higher in the USA (2.8% for a cut-off of <45 years) and it was even higher in Turkey (6.8%) and in Ukraine (12.7%). In the second wave, the proportion did not change by more than 0.5% in western European countries and in the USA, but the absolute change was larger in Ukraine and Turkey. In most countries, the proportion tended to increase, but it decreased in France, Ukraine and Turkey (Figure 1a), creating substantial between-country heterogeneity in the risk ratios

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

comparing the proportions in the two waves (I^2=88%, p<0.001 for heterogeneity). Given this heterogeneity, single summary estimate (summary risk ratio 0.96, 95% CI 0.80-1.16) may not be very reliable.

When estimated only among the COVID-19 deaths in people <70 years old, the proportion of COVID-19 deaths in individuals <50 years old tended to increase in the second wave versus the first wave, but again differences were relatively small (Figure 1b). There was still large between-country heterogeneity (I^2=79%, p<0.001 for heterogeneity) and the summary estimate of the risk ratio (1.04, 95% CI 0.91-1.20) was thus also tenuous. Excluding Turkey and Ukraine, there was limited between-country heterogeneity among the remaining 9 high-income countries (I^2=14%, p=0.32 for heterogeneity) and the summary risk ratio suggested a small increase in the relative representation of individuals <50 years old among COVID-19 deaths in people <70 years old (summary risk ratio 1.10, 95% CI, 1.03-1.19).

COVID-19 deaths of nursing homes residents

Eligible data on nursing home residents' COVID-19 deaths in the first versus second wave could be obtained for 9 countries (Table 3). Sources of data appear in Supplementary Table 2. Notably, the definitions of COVID-19 deaths and nursing home institutions differed across the different countries. In 3 countries, nursing home deaths data included only deaths that occurred in the nursing home environment (i.e. in-hospital deaths of nursing home residents were excluded). In 4 countries, only confirmed COVID-19 nursing home deaths were considered. Definitions of nursing home facilities and other included facilities appear also in Table 3.

With one exception (Australia), the proportion of nursing home COVID-19 deaths among all COVID-19 deaths was lower in the second wave than in the first wave (Figure 2). There were large and statistically significant relative risk reductions in 7 countries (risk ratios 0.28 to 0.78), no significant different in Denmark where few deaths were recorded in both waves, and a major increase in the proportion of nursing home COVID-19 deaths in Australia (risk ratio 2.87) in the second wave. This resulted in extreme between-country heterogeneity (I^2=98%, p<0.001 for heterogeneity) making a summary risk ratio tenuous to obtain.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

DISCUSSION

Main findings

The age distribution of COVID-19 deaths did not change much within each examined western European country or the USA between the first and second waves. A small, non-significant trend for a higher share of younger COVID-19 deaths was seen in most of these high-income countries in the second wave. Concurrently, there was a strong pattern for a decreasing share of COVID-19 deaths of nursing home residents in the second wave versus the first wave, with Australia being an exception to this pattern. Data from two middle-income countries (Turkey and Ukraine) showed overall much higher proportions of COVID-19 deaths among people <50 years old, with a relative decrease in the second wave.

Nursing home COVID-19 deaths

The decreasing share of nursing home resident COVID-19 deaths in the second wave may reflect multiple factors. Higher awareness of the extreme fatality risk of nursing home residents and raised efforts to protect nursing homes (learning from experience) (11, 12) may be responsible for this pattern. Perhaps hygiene measures, infection control, testing of personnel and residents, and avoidance of staff working across multiple nursing homes have become more routine during the second wave. Another potential contributing factor may be better treatment and management. For example, dexamethasone (13) has been available in the second wave; conversely, use of mortality-increasing hydroxychloroquine (14) and suboptimal mechanical ventilation practices may have been reduced in some countries. Cohort effects are also possible: e.g. the first wave may have killed some of the most frail residents and/or may have already preferentially devastated nursing homes with poorer standards and thus higher infection risk.

Some additional factors need to be considered. Within the same country, the second wave may have spread to different communities with different shares of nursing home populations compared with the first wave. Also some data artefact cannot be fully excluded, in particular if some nursing home COVID-19 deaths in the second wave suffer reporting delays. Finally, we tried to ensure that definitions of deaths and nursing

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

home facilities were similar in the two waves, but subtle changes cannot be excluded. In all, the difference between the two waves in overall COVID-19 deaths in most countries is quite large, thus unlikely to be only a data or methods artefact.

Despite the clear improvement, the proportion of COVID-19 deaths that occurred among nursing home residents remained very large in western European countries and the USA. Moreover, not all countries have seen improvements in the second wave. Australia witnessed a major increase, and this may be explained by non-remedied dysfunctions in its elder care (15). The Australian aged care system has long been criticized for understaffing, and using low-pay staff with poor skills who work across multiple facilities. Most facilities are privately run for-profit enterprises. Similar problems with nursing home care inefficiencies have been described also in other countries with a large share of deaths in nursing homes, e.g. in Canada (16); however, in other countries, COVID-19 already hit nursing homes rapidly during the first wave.

Age distribution

The relative stability of the age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in the same country between the two waves suggests that country-specific population demographics are the key driver of the age distribution of infections, which then get reflected also in the age distribution of deaths (17). If anything, we observed a possible increase in the share of COVID-19 deaths among people <50 years old in the second wave in most high-income countries. The absolute difference is small, however; and this may well be a chance finding. Otherwise, it may reflect that older individuals got relatively more protected so far during the second wave than during the first wave. Of interest, COVID-19 deaths in the examined countries during the first wave largely happened during lockdown conditions. Conversely, COVID-19 deaths in the second wave until November reflect infections that happened until October under mostly less restrictive measures without implementation of aggressive lockdown measures. Possibly young people are relatively more frequently infected when there are few restrictive measures, while lockdown may be associated with a more even infection risk across age groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

One would also expect shifts in the age distribution of COVID-19 deaths in the second wave if it is spreading to new epicenters and populations with different demographics. This may be the main reason for the paradoxical shift seen in Turkey where relatively more COVID-19 deaths in young people were seen in the first wave. During the first wave, the highest numbers of cases per million population were seen in Istanbul and southern Turkey (18). Both epicenters are characterized by a very young population, younger than the inhabitants of other locations that were hit more in the second wave.

Implications

The observed patterns of diminished COVID-19 deaths in nursing homes and a small, less certain shift with more COVID-19 deaths in younger individuals in high-income countries may both act in the direction of decreasing the infection fatality rate of the pandemic in the second wave. For an equal number of total infections, when younger people are infected and when nursing homes are spared, the number of total COVID-19 deaths will be less (19). Paradoxically, having a larger share of young deaths is thus not necessarily a bad sign, but may indirectly be an indicator of better protection of vulnerable elderly individuals. Conversely, for other countries where the pandemic shifts from urban centers with young population to areas with older populations, infection fatality rate may increase.

More data would need to be accumulated as the second wave progresses to validate whether the patterns detected so far continue to hold true or are reversed. For example, in particular, use of more aggressive lockdown measures in several countries as the second wave evolved in late fall might affect the demographic composition of COVID-19 fatalities even within the same wave over time. We did not have sufficient data to investigate the evolution of demographic features among fatalities during the first wave in the current analyses. However, some countries like Italy have presented data where the median age of fatalities during the first wave started at lower values, increased during lockdown, and then declined again as restrictions were relieved (20).

Limitations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Some limitations need to be discussed. First, age information was missing on some deaths, but the missingness is unlikely to have created systematic bias in the comparison of first versus second waves. Second, many nursing home deaths have substantial ambiguity in their attribution to COVID-19, especially when there is no test confirmation. We tried to use data with consistent definitions and approaches in the two waves, but the two periods may still differ, e.g. typically more testing was done in the second wave. If anything, this would usually tend to increase the number of confirmed COVID-19 deaths in nursing homes in the second wave. Third, it would be useful to understand whether there are differences between the two waves in the share of deaths in people without underlying conditions and/or specific risk profiles. This could give additional insights about the relative exposure and protection of these groups in the two time periods. However, such data are very sparse in currently available situational reports (e.g., 21, 22). Fourth, we did not find sufficiently detailed data on age distribution of COVID-19 deaths even for some high-income countries (in contrast to countries that make even their historical reports easily available). This is a deficiency that could be quickly corrected in country-level situational reports. We found very sparse data from middle-income countries and no data from low-income countries. However, most low-income countries have not had a trough separating two waves. Instead, they have typically seen continuous epidemic activity comprising a single wave – at least to-date.

Future questions

Acknowledging these caveats, demographic profile changes in the future evolution of the second waves and of any other surges of COVID-19 pandemic activity should be monitored. Moreover, the effects of different non-pharmaceutical measures, as well as prospective vaccinations during 2021, on the distribution of deaths warrants close attention. It would be useful to understand the extent to which the epidemiological footprint of the epidemic waves is largely intrinsically dependent on the population structure and on the virus (and thus relatively constant) or, conversely, can be efficiently modulated by appropriate interventions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Williamson E, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran KJ, Bacon S, Bates C, Morton CE, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19-related hospital death in the linked electronic health records of 17 million adult NHS patients. medRxiv. 2020:2020.05.06.20092999.
2. Ioannidis JPA, Axfors C, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG. Population-level COVID-19 mortality risk for non-elderly individuals overall and for non-elderly individuals without underlying diseases in pandemic epicenters. Environmental research. 2020;188:109890.
3. O'Driscoll M, Dos Santos GR, Wang L, Cummings DAT, Azman AS, Paireau J, et al. Age-specific mortality and immunity patterns of SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2020.
4. Ioannidis JPA. Precision shielding for COVID-19: metrics of assessment and feasibility of deployment. medRxiv. 2020:2020.11.01.20224147.
5. Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Jonsson H, Magnusson OT, Melsted P, Norddahl GL, et al. Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic Population. The New England journal of medicine. 2020.
6. Comas-Herrera A, Zalakaín J, Lemmon E, Henderson D, Litwin C, Hsu A, et al. Mortality associated with COVID-19 in care homes: international evidence. Article in LTCcovid.org, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPEC-LSE, 14 October 20202020.
7. Smith GD, Spiegelhalter D. Shielding from covid-19 should be stratified by risk. BMJ. 2020;369:m2063.
8. Worldometers.info. COVID-19 CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC Dover, Delaware, USA2020 [updated November 26, 2020. Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/#countries.
9. Comas-Herrera A, Zalakaín J, Litwin C, Hsu A, Lemmon E, Henderson D, et al. Mortality associated with COVID-19 in care homes: early international evidence. Article in LTCcovid.org, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPEC-LSE, 26 June 20202020.
10. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
11. Burton JK, Bayne G, Evans C, Garbe F, Gorman D, Honhold N, et al. Evolution and effects of COVID-19 outbreaks in care homes: a population analysis in 189 care homes in one geographical region of the UK. The Lancet Healthy Longevity. 2020;1(1):e21-e31.
12. Salcher-Konrad M, Jhass A, Naci H, Tan M, El-Tawil Y, Comas-Herrera A. COVID-19 related mortality and spread of disease in long-term care: a living systematic review of emerging evidence. medRxiv. 2020:2020.06.09.20125237.
13. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. The New England journal of medicine. 2020.
14. Axfors C, Schmitt AM, Janiaud P, van 't Hooft J, Abd-Elsalam S, Abdo EF, et al. Mortality outcomes with hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in COVID-19: an international collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. medRxiv. 2020:2020.09.16.20194571.
15. Cousins S. Experts criticise Australia's aged care failings over COVID-19. The Lancet. 2020;396(10259):1322-3.
16. Liu M, Maxwell CJ, Armstrong P, Schwandt M, Moser A, McGregor MJ, et al. COVID-19 in long-term care homes in Ontario and British Columbia. Canadian Medical Association Journal. 2020;192(47):E1540.
17. Spiegelhalter D. Use of "normal" risk to improve understanding of dangers of covid-19. BMJ. 2020;370:m3259.
18. Ministry of Health. COVID-19 Weekly Situation Report 29/06/2020 - 05/02/2020 Turkey. https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/; 2020.
19. Ioannidis JPA. Global perspective of COVID-19 epidemiology for a full-cycle pandemic. European journal of clinical investigation. 2020;50(12):e13423.
20. Instituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS). Caratteristiche dei pazienti deceduti positivi all'infezione da SARS-CoV-2 in Italia. Dati al 11 november 2020. https://www.epicentro.iss.it/en/coronavirus/sars-cov-2-integrated-surveillance-data; 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

21. Santé publique France. COVID-19. Point épidémiologique hebdomadaire du 12 novembre 2020. https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr; 2020.

22. Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu – RIVM. Epidemiologische situatie COVID-19 in Nederland, 10 november 2020, 10:00. https://www.rivm.nl; 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Eligible locations for analyses of COVID-19 deaths per age group

Countries	Date of trough	Deaths on trough	Deaths in first wave	Deaths in second wave	Separation date*	Latest date**
Belgium	July 14	1	9788	4318	July 14	Nov 13
France	Aug 10	6	30354	11853	Aug 11	Nov 12
Germany	Aug 1	3	9148	2358	Aug 1	Nov 10
Italy	Aug 15	5	35614	6123	Aug 30	Nov 13
Netherlands	July 14	0	6135	2006	May 22	Nov 10
Spain	July 27	1	20534	10301	May 29	Nov 12
Switzerland	June 1	0	1831	2562	May 23	Nov 25
Turkey	Aug 11	15	5255	4544	Aug 11	Nov 13
Ukraine	June 3	11	735	8582	June 3	Nov 13
United Kingdom	Aug 21	7	56653	3399	Aug 21	Oct 23
USA	July 5	518	130759	93219	July 4	Nov 7

*****data were not always available to separate deaths in the first versus the second wave using the trough date, and in these cases the most proximal date with data available was used. **data were not always available until November 13, and in these cases the most proximal date with available data was used.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Proportion of COVID-19 deaths in specific age groups

Country	FW <50 N (%)	FW 50-69 N (%)	FW ≥70 N (%)	SW <50 N (%)	SW 50-69 N (%)	SW ≥70 N (%)	Total FW ¤	Total SW ¤
Belgium #	40 (0.4)	499 (5.2)	9115 (94.4)	23 (0.5)	263 (5.9)	4142 (93.5)	9654	4428
France #	212 (0.7)	2055 (6.8)	27976 (92.5)	57 (0.5)	528 (4.5)	11220 (95.0)	30243	11805
Germany	114 (1.2)	1212 (13.3)	7817 (85.5)	41 (1.7)	271 (11.5)	2043 (86.8)	9143	2355
Italy	400 (1.1)	4815 (13.5)	30399 (85.4)	72 (1.2)	757 (12.4)	5293 (86.5)	35614	6122
Netherlands	46 (0.7)	649 (10.6)	5440 (88.7)	17 (0.8)	152 (7.6)	1837 (91.6)	6135	2006
Spain	311 (1.5)	2480 (12.1)	17724 (86.4)	176 (1.7)	1215 (12.0)	8722 (86.2)	20515	10113
Switzerland	9 (0.5)	156 (9.5)	1475 (89.9)	11 (0.5)	154 (6.4)	2225 (93.1)	1641	2389
Turkey $	357 (6.8)	1199 (22.9)	3669 (70.2)	220 (4.8)	918 (20.1)	3436 (75.1)	5225	4574
Ukraine	99 (12.7)	367 (47.0)	315 (40.3)	605 (7.0)	4022 (46.4)	4037 (46.6)	781	8664
UK #	592 (1.0)	5338 (9.4)	50723 (89.5)	46 (1.4)	319 (9.4)	3034 (89.3)	56653	3399
USA #	3705 (2.8)	22788 (17.4)	104266 (79.7)	2937 (3.2)	17177 (18.4)	73105 (78.4)	130759	93219

FW: first wave; SW: second wave

Age groups: <45, 45-64, ≥65. $ Age groups: <50, 50-64, ≥65. ¤ Total number of deaths with age information.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Proportion of COVID-19 deaths occurring in nursing home residents

Countries	Nursing home resident deaths in FW N (%)	End date of FW	Nursing home resident deaths in SW N (%)	Latest date	Total deaths in FW #	Total deaths in SW #	Definition of nursing home deaths $	Definition of nursing home and other included facilities
Australia	29 (28.4)	June 21	656 (81.5)	Nov 17	102	805	R, C	Government-subsidized residential age care facilities
Belgium	4892 (50.5)	June 20	1146 (27.3)	Nov 13	9696	4195	O, C/P	Nursing homes
Canada	6236 (85.1)	June 1	1954 (56.6)	Nov 17	7326	3455	R, C/P	Nursing and retirement homes
Denmark	211 (35.3)	June 15	56 (32.9)	Nov 18	598	170	R, C	Nursing homes
Finland	147 (45.0)	June 23	6 (12.8)	Nov 23	327	47	O, C	Social care 24-hour units
France	14341 (48.5)	June 16	4254 (33.6)	Nov 8	29547	12660	R, C/P	Social and medico-social establishments (ESMS)
Germany	3491 (39.2)	June 23	647 (19.6)	Nov 13	8895	3305	R, C	Communal settings (e.g., nursing homes, homeless shelters, accommodation for refugees, prisons)
UK	16598 (31.1)	June 14 ¤	1868 (23.9)	Nov 13 #	53403	7829	O, C/P	Nursing homes
USA	50185 (45.0)	June 18	41393 (35.3)	Nov 6	111522	117423	R, C/P	Nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, adult care centers, intermediate care centers, and/or other long-term care facilities

FW: first wave; SW: second wave

Total number of deaths with information on nursing home residence status or place of death. $ By place of residence (R) or by place of occurrence (O; i.e., not counting in-hospital deaths of nursing home residents); confirmed COVID-19 (C); confirmed or probable COVID-19 (C/P). ¤ England, Wales, and Northern Ireland: June 12, Scotland: June 14. # England and Wales: October 23; Northern Ireland: November 13; Scotland: November 9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Panel a: Proportion of COVID-19 deaths in people <50 years in the second versus first wave.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Panel b: Proportion of COVID-19 deaths in people <50 years among deaths in people <70 years in the second versus first wave.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Proportion of COVID-19 in nursing home residents in the second versus first wave.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Table 1. Sources for COVID-19 deaths in specific age groups

Countries	First wave	Second wave (if different source)
Belgium	COVID19BE_MORT_20201114.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-11-14] Retrieved from: https://epistat.wiv-isp.be/covid/	
France	Santé publique France. Point épidémiologique hebdomadaire du 13 août 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/recherche/#search=COVID%2019%20%20%20point%20epidemiologique&publications=donn%C3%A9es&regions=National&sort=date	Santé publique France. Point épidémiologique hebdomadaire du 12 novembre 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/recherche/#search=COVID%2019%20%20%20point%20epidemiologique&publications=donn%C3%A9es&regions=National&sort=date
Germany	Robert Koch Institut. Täglicher Lagebericht des RKI zur Coronavirus-Krankheit-2019 (COVID-19). 01.08.2020 – AKTUALISIERTER STAND FÜR DEUTSCHLAND	Robert Koch Institut. Täglicher Lagebericht des RKI zur Coronavirus-Krankheit-2019 (COVID-19). 10.11.2020 – AKTUALISIERTER STAND FÜR DEUTSCHLAND
Italy	Instituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS). Epidemia COVID-19. Aggiornamento nazionale, 20 maggio 2020 – ore 16:00	Instituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS). Caratteristiche dei pazienti deceduti positivi all'infezione da SARS-CoV-2 in Italia Dati al 11 novembre 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.epicentro.iss.it/en/coronavirus/sars-cov-2-integrated-surveillance-data
Netherlands	Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu – RIVM. Epidemiologische situatie COVID-19 in Nederland, 14 juli 2020, 10:00. Retrieved from: https://www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/actueel/wekelijkse-update-epidemiologische-situatie-covid-19-in-nederland	Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu – RIVM. Epidemiologische situatie COVID-19 in Nederland, 10 november 2020, 10:00. Retrieved from: https://www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/actueel/wekelijkse-update-epidemiologische-situatie-covid-19-in-nederland
Spain	Informe COVID-19 nº 33. 29 de mayo de 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Paginas/InformesCOVID-19.aspx	1) Informe nº 52. Situación de COVID-19 en España. Casos diagnosticados a partir 10 de mayo. Informe COVID-19, 12 de noviembre de 2020. 2) Informe nº 36. Situación de COVID-19 en España. Casos diagnosticados a partir 10 de mayo. Informe COVID-19, 23 de julio de 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EnfermedadesTransmisibles/Paginas/InformesCOVID-19.aspx
Switzerland	200325_Datengrundlage_Grafiken_COVID-19-Bericht.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-05-23] Retrieved from: https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/krankheiten/ausbrueche-epidemien-pandemien/aktuelle-ausbrueche-epidemien/novel-cov/situation-schweiz-und-international.html#-1222424946	200325_Datengrundlage_Grafiken_COVID-19-Bericht.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-11-25] Retrieved from: https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/krankheiten/ausbrueche-epidemien-pandemien/aktuelle-ausbrueche-epidemien/novel-cov/situation-schweiz-und-international.html#-1222424946

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Turkey	Ministry of Health. COVID-19 Weekly Situation Report 29/06/2020 – 05/07/2020 Turkey. Retrieved from: https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/	Ministry of Health. COVID-19 Weekly Situation Report 19/10/2020 – 25/10/2020 Turkey. Retrieved from: https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/
Ukraine	Deaths-Age-Sex_Covid-19_Ukraine_17-11.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-11-25] Retrieved from: https://dc-covid.site.ined.fr/en/data/ukraine/	
United Kingdom	publishedweek4320202.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-11-13] Retrieved from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales	
USA	Provisional_COVID-19_Death_Counts_by_Sex__Age__and_Week.csv [Dataset version 2020-11-13] Retrieved from: https://data.cdc.gov/NCHS/Provisional-COVID-19-Death-Counts-by-Sex-Age-and-W/vsak-wrfu	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.28.20240366; this version posted November 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Table 2. Sources for COVID-19 deaths occurring in nursing home residents

Countries	Second wave *
Australia	https://www.health.gov.au/news/health-alerts/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov-health-alert/coronavirus-covid-19-current-situation-and-case-numbers#cases-in-aged-care-services
Belgium	Sciensano: the Belgian Institute for Health. COVID-19 BULLETIN EPIDEMIOLOGIQUE HEBDOMADAIRE 13 NOVEMBRE 2020.
Canada	https://ltc-covid19-tracker.ca/
Denmark	https://covid19.ssi.dk/overvagningsdata/ugentlige-opgorelser-med-overvaagningsdata
Finland	https://thl.fi/en/web/infectious-diseases-and-vaccinations/what-s-new/coronavirus-covid-19-latest-updates/situation-update-on-coronavirus#Coronavirus-related_deaths
France	Santé publique France. Point épidémiologique hebdomadaire du 12 novembre 2020
Germany	Robert Koch Institut. Täglicher Lagebericht des RKI zur Coronavirus-Krankheit-2019 (COVID-19) 13.11.2020 – AKTUALISIERTER STAND FÜR DEUTSCHLAND
England and Wales (UK)	publishedweek4320202.xlsx [Dataset version 2020-11-13] Retrieved from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales
Northern Ireland (UK)	https://files.nisra.gov.uk/Deaths/Weekly-Deaths-Dashboard.html
Scotland (UK)	covid-deaths-data-week-46.xlsx [Dataset] Retrieved from: https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/statistics-and-data/statistics/statistics-by-theme/vital-events/general-publications/weekly-and-monthly-data-on-births-and-deaths/deaths-involving-coronavirus-covid-19-in-scotland
USA	https://www.kff.org/health-costs/issue-brief/state-data-and-policy-actions-to-address-coronavirus/#stateleveldata

All websites were accessed 2020-11-23. * For the first wave, the following reference was used for all locations: Comas-Herrera A, Zalakaín J, Litwin C, Hsu AT, Lemmon E, Henderson D and Fernández J-L (2020) Mortality associated with COVID-19 outbreaks in care homes: early international evidence. Article in LTCcovid.org, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPEC-LSE, 26 June 2020.