

1 **Title: COVID-19 Workplace Outbreaks by Industry Sector and their Associated Household**
2 **Transmission, Ontario, Canada, January – June, 2020**

3 **Authors:**

4 Michelle Murti MD MPH (1,2), Camille Achonu MHSc (1), Brendan T. Smith PhD (1,2), Kevin A. Brown
5 PhD (1,2), Jin Hee Kim MD MPH (1,2), James Johnson MPH (1), Saranyah Ravindran MPH (1), Sarah A.
6 Buchan PhD (1,2)

7 **Affiliations:**

- 8 1. Public Health Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 9 2. Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

10

11 **Corresponding Author:**

12 Michelle Murti

13 Michelle.murti@oahpp.ca

14 661 University Ave, Suite 1701, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5G1M1

15

16 **Funding:** This study was supported by Public Health Ontario.

17 **Conflict of Interest:** No competing interests declared

18 **Acknowledgements:**

19 The authors would like to thank Karen Johnson, Brenda Lee and Michael Whelan for their support in
20 preparing the datasets for this analysis.

21

22 Number of Figures: 1

23 Number of Tables: 4

24 Number of References: 26

25 Word count: 2597

26

27

28

29

30

1 **What is already known about this topic?**

2 COVID-19 outbreaks occur within workplaces and can spread to the community

3 **What is added by this report?**

4 From January 21 – June 30, 2020, there were 199 workplace outbreaks in Ontario, Canada; 68% of
5 outbreaks and 80% of outbreak-associated COVID-19 case were in three industry sectors:
6 Manufacturing, Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting, and Transportation/Warehousing. Household
7 transmission occurred among 31% of outbreak cases, resulting in a 56% increase in workplace outbreak-
8 associated cases when burden of household transmission is considered.

9 **What are the implications for public health practice?**

10 Workplace outbreak prevention measures should be targeted to industry sectors at risk by preventing
11 introduction from exposed employees, spread in the workplace, and transmission to the greater
12 community.

13

14 **Abstract (244 words)**

15 **Introduction:** Workplaces requiring in-person attendance of employees for ongoing operations may be
16 susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks that impact workers as well as their close contacts. To understand
17 industry sectors impacted by workplace outbreaks in the first wave of the pandemic, and the additional
18 burden of illness through household transmission, we analyzed public health declared workplace
19 outbreaks between January 21 to June 30, 2020, and their associated cases from January 21 to July 28.

20 **Methods:** Number, size and duration of outbreaks were described by sector, and outbreak cases were
21 compared to sporadic cases in the same time frame. Address matching identified household cases with
22 onset ≥ 2 days before, ≥ 2 days after, or within 1 day of the workplace outbreak case.

23 **Results:** There were 199 outbreaks with 1245 cases, and 68% of outbreaks and 80% of cases belonged to
24 i) Manufacturing, ii) Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting, iii) Transportation and Warehousing. Median
25 size of outbreaks was 3 cases (range: 1-140), and lasted median 7days (range: 0-119). Outbreak cases
26 were significantly more likely to be male, younger, healthier, and have better outcomes. There were 608
27 household cases associated with 339 (31%) outbreak cases with valid addresses, increasing the burden
28 of illness by 56%. The majority of household cases (368, 60%) occurred after the outbreak case.

29 **Conclusions:** Workplace outbreaks primarily occurred in three sectors. COVID-19 prevention measures
30 should target industry sectors at risk by preventing introduction from exposed employees, spread in the
31 workplace, and spread outside of the workplace.

32

33 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2, workplace, outbreaks, household transmission, industry

34

1 Introduction

2 The Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
3 Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) led to a lockdown on non-essential services in mid-March 2020 in Ontario,
4 with subsequent staged regional re-opening of the economy from May 2020.^{1,2} Over this period, a
5 number of workplace settings have been identified in Canada and internationally as particularly
6 susceptible to outbreaks with high attack rates, such as food processing facilities.³⁻⁷ These outbreaks
7 have highlighted the need for workplace infection and prevention and control measures to reduce the
8 risk from workplaces, in particular where employees have close, prolonged contact.⁸ However, with re-
9 opening of the economy and previously closed (“non-essential”) workplaces, ongoing assessment of
10 outbreak settings is necessary to understand evolving COVID-19 transmission risk in workplaces. While
11 other jurisdictions have identified higher risk industry sectors associated with outbreaks, similar
12 systematic assessment is lacking in Canada.⁵

13 Assessment of the morbidity and mortality of cases associated with workplace outbreaks alone may
14 under-represent the burden of illness associated with transmission from these outbreaks. Previous
15 studies have shown the impacts from workplace outbreaks beyond the affected cases to their
16 surrounding community adding to the overall burden of illness from household and community
17 transmission.^{3,9} Identifying vulnerable industry sectors and their related community transmission is
18 necessary to target public health interventions to prevent outbreaks and subsequent community
19 spread. Our objectives were to: 1) describe workplace outbreaks in Ontario over the first six months of
20 2020 (the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and initial stages of re-opening); 2) describe cases
21 associated with these workplace outbreaks; and 3) estimate the additional burden of illness due to
22 associated household transmission.

23

24 Methods

25 *Data Source*

26 We obtained data on workplace outbreaks and laboratory-confirmed cases identified by the 34 local
27 public health units (PHU) in Ontario.¹⁰ Data were obtained from the integrated Public Health
28 Information System, the Toronto Public Health Coronavirus Rapid Entry System, the Ottawa Public
29 Health COVID-19 Ottawa Database, the Middlesex-London COVID-19 Case and Contact Management
30 tool and Ontario Case and Contact Management database, collectively known as CCM Plus. Cases were
31 extracted from January 21, 2020, when COVID-19 became reportable in the province, to July 28, 2020,
32 to account for the delay in surveillance and reporting of cases associated with workplace outbreaks
33 declared January 21 - June 30, 2020.

34 *Outbreak definitions*

35 PHUs are responsible for declaring outbreaks of SARS-CoV-2 in various settings, including workplaces,
36 based on their assessment of risk of acquisition and transmission.¹¹ Provincial guidance on the
37 definition of a workplace outbreak (two or more cases reasonably acquired in the workplace) was only
38 issued on June 11, 2020, and outbreaks with a single case were declared prior to this guidance based on
39 health unit assessment of risk of an outbreak in the setting.¹² In this report, workplaces refer to non-
40 hospital, non-congregate living, and non-childcare settings. Agriculture outbreaks were excluded as
41 congregate living settings if >4 cases had the same address. Workplace outbreak locations (address and

1 business name as entered by the PHU) were manually classified according to the North American
2 Industry Classification System (NAICS) into one of 20 industry sectors.¹³ Outbreak size is the number of
3 confirmed cases linked by the PHU to an outbreak. Outbreak duration is the time from the accurate
4 episode date of the first to the last case in the outbreak, and zero in outbreaks with a single case.
5 Accurate episode date is determined by a hierarchy of symptom onset, specimen collection/test date, or
6 reported date.

7 *Outbreak-associated cases*

8 Outbreak associated cases ≥ 14 years old were included based on work eligibility in Ontario.¹⁴
9 Demographic information included gender, age, presence of one or more comorbidities (list previously
10 described)⁹, and Ontario region (Central East, Central West, Eastern, North East, North West, South
11 West, Toronto). Clinical symptoms were classified as asymptomatic, presymptomatic (defined as having
12 a testing date prior to symptom onset date), symptomatic, or missing. Clinical outcomes were classified
13 as not hospitalized, hospitalized, intensive care unit without a ventilator, required a ventilator, and
14 death. Outbreak cases were compared to sporadic (case not associated with any type of outbreak) cases
15 ≥ 14 years old over the same time period in Ontario.

16 *Household transmission*

17 We defined household spread using a natural language processing algorithm to link confirmed
18 workplace outbreak-associated cases to other confirmed COVID-19 cases in Ontario by matching their
19 residential address. Symptom onset dates of household and outbreak cases were compared to describe
20 overall household transmission as: acquisition (household case was 2-28 days prior to outbreak case),
21 transmission (household case 2-28 days after outbreak case), or unknown direction (household case ± 1
22 day of outbreak case). Onset of illness was defined as symptom onset date, which was available for 60%
23 of outbreak cases and 63% household cases. For those missing symptom onset date we calculated the
24 weekly median number of days from test date to symptom onset date where data were available and
25 performed a deterministic imputation based on the week of testing. If test date was not available we
26 used the weekly median time from symptom onset to date reported to the local PHU to impute
27 symptom onset. Onset date in asymptomatic individuals was the testing date or reporting date if testing
28 date was not available. For any households with two or more cases associated with the same workplace
29 outbreak, subsequent cases were considered due to household transmission to assess burden of illness
30 from household spread.

31 *Statistical Analysis*

32 Demographic, risk factor and outcome variables were compared between outbreak cases and sporadic
33 cases by chi square test or Mann-Whitney test for non-parametric measures. Two sided $p < 0.05$ was
34 considered significant. All descriptive and statistical analyses were conducted in SAS version 9.3 (SAS
35 Institute, Cary, NC).

36 This analysis was approved by the Public Health Ontario Research Ethics Board (2028-020.01).

37

38 **Results**

1 There were 199 workplace outbreaks declared by PHUs in Ontario during the study period, with 1245
2 outbreak-associated cases (**Table 1**). There were three or more outbreaks associated with nine industry
3 sectors, with the majority in Manufacturing (45%), Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting (12%), and
4 Transportation and Warehousing (11%), with cases in these sectors accounting for 56%, 16% and 8%, of
5 all outbreak cases, respectively. Outbreaks ranged in size from 1-140 cases, with 149 (75%) having two
6 or more cases. Median outbreak size was largest in the Public Administration sector (9 cases) and
7 smallest in the Retail sector (1 case), and while Manufacturing had the largest outbreak (140 cases), its
8 median size was 3 cases. Outbreak duration ranged from zero days (50 outbreaks with a single case) to
9 119 days, with Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting having the longest median duration (13.5 days),
10 and the Retail sector having the shortest median duration (0 days), due to eight outbreaks with only a
11 single associated case. Workplace outbreaks were infrequent from the end of February until mid-April
12 2020, and steadily increased from the beginning of May, when public health restrictions began to ease,
13 to a peak of 8 outbreaks declared in a single day on May 27 (**Figure 1**).¹ In comparison, sporadic (non-
14 outbreak associated) SARS-CoV-2 cases in Ontario peaked in March, and then had a smaller peak in May
15 (**Figure 1**). Both sporadic cases and workplace outbreaks steadily declined over June. There were no
16 specific patterns of sectors affected over the time period.

17 Outbreak associated cases compared to sporadic cases were significantly more likely to be male (71.7%
18 vs. 51.5%), younger (median age 40 years vs 45 years), and were less likely to have one or more
19 comorbidities (22.8% vs 33.1%) (**Table 2**). Outbreak cases were significantly more likely to be
20 asymptomatic (20.7% vs 9.2%) or presymptomatic (3.9% vs 2.5%), and less likely to be hospitalized (4.2%
21 vs 12.3%). There were a total of 52 hospitalizations and 6 deaths among workplace outbreak associated
22 cases. Workplace outbreak cases were under-represented compared to sporadic cases in most regions
23 of Ontario, but over-represented in the South West (17.4% vs 8.0%) region.

24 Among 1196 (96%) workplace outbreak cases with a valid residential address, 339 were matched to 608
25 household cases. There was a median of one household case associated with the 339 workplace
26 outbreak case (range 1-12 cases). Of the household cases, 17% (103/608) belonged to the same
27 workplace outbreak, but considered household cases as they occurred after the initial workplace
28 outbreak case in their household, and leaving 31% (339/(1196-103)) workplace cases with household
29 transmission. Therefore, accounting for household cases among cases with a valid address increased the
30 burden of illness associated with workplace outbreaks by 56% (608/(1196-103)). Whereas assuming all
31 cases are workplace associated (even if in the same household), the household transmission burden of
32 illness increases by 42% ((608-103)/(1196)). Based on onset dates, 11% (66/608) of household cases
33 were ≥ 2 days prior (acquisition), 60% (368/608) were ≥ 2 days after (transmission), and 29% (174/608)
34 were ± 1 day (unknown direction) relative to the outbreak case. Household cases were also most likely to
35 be working age adults (19-59 years old) (74%), followed by <19 year olds (15%), and ≥ 60 year olds (11%)
36 (**Table 3**). Female outbreak cases were associated with 33% of household cases, but only account for
37 28.3% of workplace outbreak associated cases. The proportion of outbreak cases with a corresponding
38 household case ranged by industry sector, from 7% (Construction) to 40% (Accommodation and Food
39 Services) (**Table 4**). Overall, the ratio of household cases to outbreak cases was 0.5, with the highest
40 ratio by far in the Accommodation and Food Services sector (1.1), and lowest in Construction (0.1). The
41 proportion of female cases and median age of cases was similar between outbreak cases with household
42 cases and outbreak cases overall by industry sector, with no apparent trends across sectors between
43 demographics of outbreak cases.

1 Interpretation

2 Three sectors (Manufacturing, Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting, and Transportation and
3 Warehousing) accounted for two-thirds of the public health unit declared non-hospital, non-congregate,
4 non-childcare workplace outbreaks of COVID-19 between January and June 30, 2020, in Ontario. While
5 most outbreaks only had a few cases, the largest outbreak had 140 cases accounting for 11% of all
6 workplace outbreak cases in this analysis. Accounting for household transmission associated with
7 workplace outbreak cases with valid addresses increased the case burden of illness by 56%.

8 Analysis of workplace outbreaks by NAICS sectors in the United States found Manufacturing was also the
9 most common sector for workplace outbreaks; however, Construction and Wholesale Trade were the
10 next most common sectors in that study.⁵ Manufacturing, and particularly food manufacturing, is a
11 sector that requires on-site work at specific times, and often in crowded conditions where physical
12 distancing in lines of work is not possible, and environmental requirements for refrigeration/humidity
13 may impact the use of personal protective equipment for COVID-19. Recommended prevention
14 measures, such as plexiglass barriers where distancing is not possible, evolved over time as more was
15 known about the risk of transmission in these settings.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

16 Farm-related outbreaks, as part of the Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting sector, may be over-
17 represented in Ontario, and in particular the South West region of Ontario, due to intense arable
18 farming in the region.¹⁸⁻²⁰ There was more than double the percentage of workplace outbreak cases in
19 South West compared to sporadic cases in the region, largely due to the burden of farm-related
20 outbreaks there, whereas most other regions had a lower proportion of outbreak cases to sporadic
21 cases. As this analysis removed farms with evidence of congregate living (>4 cases with the same
22 address), where there was significant spread among migrant farm workers living in dormitory-style
23 housing, this analysis is an under-representation of the full burden of illness among farms in Ontario.
24 Some farms with congregate living may still be included in this analysis. Outbreaks in this sector had the
25 longest median duration, almost double than the overall median duration (13.5 vs 7 days), suggesting
26 there may be different challenges in bringing outbreaks under control in this sector, and an increased
27 impacts on workplaces and workers from extended application of outbreak measures. Farm-based mass
28 testing programs may have also contributed to enhanced identification of farm outbreaks, as well as
29 identification of asymptomatic cases. Overall, for all sectors, provincial workplace outbreak guidance
30 recommending broad testing in the workplace once an outbreak is identified likely contributed to the
31 increased proportion of asymptomatic and presymptomatic cases compared to sporadic cases.^{12,21}

32 Workplaces in the Transportation and Warehousing sector often included both activities (trucking and
33 warehousing). Warehouse conditions may face similar indoor crowded conditions as the Manufacturing
34 sector. However, concern has also been raised by Canadian long-haul truck drivers that make frequent
35 crossings into affected areas in the United States.²² Only select details on occupation are routinely
36 collected for surveillance, and job type data were not available to assess relative contribution from
37 Transportation vs Warehousing activities.

38 The timing of the peak of workplace outbreaks at the end of May is potentially linked to re-opening
39 measures in Ontario starting from the beginning of May, thereby increasing the potential locations for
40 workplace outbreaks to occur.¹ However, updated data entry guidance for PHU reporting of workplace
41 outbreaks was issued on May 8, potentially increasing the capture of outbreaks from that time (Public
42 Health Ontario, 2020). Of note, the downward trend of workplace outbreaks paralleled declines in

1 sporadic cases through June, and continued after issuance of provincial outbreak management guidance
2 on June 11.¹² Additionally, most education settings were virtual-only in the analysis time frame.

3 Workplace outbreak cases were younger, healthier, more likely to be male and had less severe
4 outcomes compared to sporadic cases. Although there is evidence of a potential “healthy worker
5 effect”, there were 52 hospitalizations and 6 deaths among included cases. Additionally, every case
6 poses a risk of further spread in their home and community. While full contact lists for outbreak cases
7 were not available to assess the overall community burden, our household transmission analysis
8 suggests that initial cases in the household may be seeds for worker acquisition, introduction to the
9 workplace, and subsequent transmission to other households. Therefore, household spread increases
10 the case burden of illness related to workplace outbreaks, but also may increase the severity of impact if
11 household members are more likely to be hospitalized or die from COVID-19 than the workplace
12 outbreak cases. The proportion of workplace associated cases associated with household transmission
13 (31%) was similar to what was found in another study among all cases in Ontario and other studies
14 internationally.²³⁻²⁶ While there were no specific trends across sectors by age and gender for household
15 transmission, further investigation could assess the apparent increase in household transmission among
16 workers in the Accommodation and Food Services sector.

17 Limitations of this analysis include incomplete case capture where there were strict testing criteria early
18 in the pandemic limiting detection of all cases, and broad asymptomatic testing and testing of close
19 contacts was not introduced until the beginning of June, 2020.¹ Additional cases may be missing linkage
20 to an outbreak, under-representing the magnitude of workplace outbreaks. Manual assignment of
21 outbreak locations may have led to misclassification by sector; however, this is less likely given broad
22 sector categories versus analysis by subsector. Industry sector may not be representative of the actual
23 occupation of outbreak cases. Use of address matching may have under-represented household
24 transmission cases if addresses were missing, incomplete, or contained errors. Finally, estimates of
25 workers by sector over this time period was not available for this analysis to assess case rates by sector.

26 **Conclusions**

27 Workplace outbreaks have significant impacts on the workers and industries affected, as well as broader
28 community impacts from household transmission. Sector-specific guidance is needed to address sectors
29 at increased risk of outbreaks. As further loosening/tightening of public health measures continue over
30 time, future analyses are needed to reassess trends in affected sectors when a greater number of
31 sectors are operating, affected workers, and broader community transmission related to workplace
32 outbreaks.

33

34

35

36

37

38

1 **References**

- 2 1. Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). COVID-19 in Ontario: a
3 summary of wave 1 transmission patterns and case identification. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for
4 Ontario 2020. [https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/2020/08/covid-](https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/2020/08/covid-19-wave-1-transmission-patterns-epi-summary.pdf?la=en)
5 [19-wave-1-transmission-patterns-epi-summary.pdf?la=en](https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/2020/08/covid-19-wave-1-transmission-patterns-epi-summary.pdf?la=en) (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 6 2. Government of Ontario. Reopening Ontario. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
7 <https://www.ontario.ca/page/reopening-ontario> (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 8 3. Steinberg J, Kennedy ED, Basler C, et al. COVID-19 outbreak among employees at a meat processing
9 facility—South Dakota, March–April 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69(31):1015.
10 <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6931a2> (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 11 4. Dyal JW, Grant MP, Broadwater K, et al. COVID-19 among workers in meat and poultry processing
12 facilities—19 States, April 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69(18).
13 <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6918e3> (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 14 5. Bui DP, McCaffrey K, Friedrichs M, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities among COVID-19 cases in
15 workplace outbreaks by industry sector—Utah, March 6–June 5, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly*
16 *Rep* 2020;69(33):1133-8. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6933e3> (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 17 6. Leclerc QJ, Fuller NM, Knight LE, et al. What settings have been linked to SARS-CoV-2 transmission
18 clusters? *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;5:83. <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15889.2>
19 (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 20 7. Waltenburg MA, Victoroff T, Rose CE, et al. Update: COVID-19 among workers in meat and poultry
21 processing facilities—United States, April–May 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*
22 2020;69(27):887-92. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6927e2> (accessed 8 Oct 2020).
- 23 8. Ontario. Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. COVID-19 guidance: essential workplaces. Toronto,
24 ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
25 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_essential_wo](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_essential_workplaces_guidance.pdf)
26 [rkplaces_guidance.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_essential_workplaces_guidance.pdf) (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 27 9. Schwartz KL, Achonu C, Buchan SA, et al. COVID-19 infections among healthcare workers and
28 transmission within households. *medRxiv* 17 Jun 2020.
29 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.20129619> (accessed 19 Oct 2020).
- 30 10. Ontario. Ministry of Health; Ministry of Long-Term Care. Case definition – coronavirus disease
31 (COVID-19). Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
32 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_case_definiti](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_case_definition.pdf)
33 [on.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_case_definition.pdf) (accessed 19 Oct 2020).
- 34 11. Ontario. Ministry of Health. Infectious diseases protocol: appendix A: disease-specific chapters:
35 chapter: diseases caused by a novel coronavirus, including severe acute respiratory syndrome
36 (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS): effective: January 2020. Toronto, ON:
37 Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
38 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/oph_standards/docs/coronavirus_cha](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/oph_standards/docs/coronavirus_chapter.pdf)
39 [pter.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/oph_standards/docs/coronavirus_chapter.pdf) (accessed 25 Oct 2020).
- 40 12. Ontario. Ministry of Health. COVID-19 guidance: workplace outbreaks. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer
41 for Ontario 2020.
42 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_workplace_o](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_workplace_outbreak_guidance.pdf)
43 [utbreak_guidance.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_workplace_outbreak_guidance.pdf) (accessed 8 Oct 2020).

- 1 13. Statistics Canada. North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Canada 2017 version 3.0.
2 Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada 2020.
3 [https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p3VD.pl?Function=getVD&TVD=1181553#:~:text=North%20Am](https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p3VD.pl?Function=getVD&TVD=1181553#:~:text=North%20American%20Industry%20Classification%20System%20%28NAICS%29%20Canada%202017,%20%20Construction%20%2016%20more%20rows%20)
4 [erican%20Industry%20Classification%20System%20%28NAICS%29%20Canada%202017,%20%20Co](https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p3VD.pl?Function=getVD&TVD=1181553#:~:text=North%20American%20Industry%20Classification%20System%20%28NAICS%29%20Canada%202017,%20%20Construction%20%2016%20more%20rows%20)
5 [nstruction%20%2016%20more%20rows%20](https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p3VD.pl?Function=getVD&TVD=1181553#:~:text=North%20American%20Industry%20Classification%20System%20%28NAICS%29%20Canada%202017,%20%20Construction%20%2016%20more%20rows%20) (accessed 15 Oct 2020).
- 6 14. Ontario. Ministry of Labour, Training and Skills Development. Minimum age. Toronto, ON: Queen's
7 Printer for Ontario 2015. [https://www.labour.gov.on.ca/english/hs/min](https://www.labour.gov.on.ca/english/hs/min_age.php) [_age.php](https://www.labour.gov.on.ca/english/hs/min_age.php) (accessed 13 Oct
8 2020).
- 9 15. Ontario. Ministry of Labour, Training and Skills Development. Food manufacturing and processing
10 health and safety during COVID-19. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
11 [https://www.ontario.ca/page/food-manufacturing-and-processing-health-and-safety-during-covid-](https://www.ontario.ca/page/food-manufacturing-and-processing-health-and-safety-during-covid-19)
12 [19](https://www.ontario.ca/page/food-manufacturing-and-processing-health-and-safety-during-covid-19) (accessed 10 Oct 2020).
- 13 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Meat & poultry processors: interim guidance from CDC
14 and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease
15 Control and Prevention 2020. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/organizations/meat-poultry-processing-workers-employers.html)
16 [ncov/community/organizations/meat-poultry-processing-workers-employers.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/organizations/meat-poultry-processing-workers-employers.html) (accessed 10 Oct
17 2020).
- 18 17. Waltenburg MA, Rose CE, Victoroff T, et al. Coronavirus disease among workers in food processing,
19 food manufacturing, and agriculture workplaces. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020;27(1).
20 <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2701.203821> (accessed 10 Oct 2020).
- 21 18. Ontario. Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. Southern Ontario Region at a glance.
22 Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario 2016.
23 http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca/english/stats/county/southern_ontario.htm (accessed 10 Oct 2020).
- 24 19. Ruby M. Migrant worker dies of COVID-19 after outbreak at large Southwestern Ontario farm. *The*
25 *Province* 22 Jun 2020. [https://theprovince.com/news/local-news/migrant-worker-dies-of-covid-19-](https://theprovince.com/news/local-news/migrant-worker-dies-of-covid-19-after-outbreak-at-large-southwestern-ontario-farm/wcm/0b88d5c9-ea01-4c68-ac3d-bb5db9e0b268)
26 [after-outbreak-at-large-southwestern-ontario-farm/wcm/0b88d5c9-ea01-4c68-ac3d-](https://theprovince.com/news/local-news/migrant-worker-dies-of-covid-19-after-outbreak-at-large-southwestern-ontario-farm/wcm/0b88d5c9-ea01-4c68-ac3d-bb5db9e0b268)
27 [bb5db9e0b268](https://theprovince.com/news/local-news/migrant-worker-dies-of-covid-19-after-outbreak-at-large-southwestern-ontario-farm/wcm/0b88d5c9-ea01-4c68-ac3d-bb5db9e0b268) (accessed 10 Oct 2020).
- 28 20. Ontario. Ministry of Health. Guidance for temporary foreign workers. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer
29 for Ontario 2020.
30 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_foreign_work](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_foreign_workers_guidance.pdf)
31 [ers_guidance.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/2019_foreign_workers_guidance.pdf) (accessed 10 Oct 2020).
- 32 21. Ontario. Ministry of Health. COVID-19 guidance: on-farm outbreak management. Toronto, ON:
33 Queen's Printer for Ontario 2020.
34 [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/COVID-](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/COVID-19_Farm_Outbreak_guidance.pdf)
35 [19_Farm_Outbreak_guidance.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/publichealth/coronavirus/docs/COVID-19_Farm_Outbreak_guidance.pdf) (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 36 22. Georgieva K. COVID-19 situation in the U.S. 'scary' and 'dangerous,' Canadian long-haul truckers
37 warn. *CBC News* 14 Jul 2020. [https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/truck-drivers-say-keep-](https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/truck-drivers-say-keep-border-closed-to-non-essential-travel-1.5648051)
38 [border-closed-to-non-essential-travel-1.5648051](https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/truck-drivers-say-keep-border-closed-to-non-essential-travel-1.5648051) (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 39 23. Paul LA, Daneman N, Brown KA et al. Characteristics associated with household transmission of
40 SARS-CoV-2 in Ontario, Canada. *medRxiv* 26 Oct 2020/
41 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.10.22.20217802v1> (accessed 22 Nov 2020).
- 42 24. Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Halloran ME, Dean NE. Household transmission of SARS-CoV-2: A
43 systematic review and meta-analysis of secondary attack rate. *medRxiv* 2020.
44 DOI:10.1101/2020.07.29.20164590 (accessed 22 Nov 2020).

- 1 25. Curmei M, Ilyas A, Evans O, Steinhardt J. Estimating household transmission of SARS-CoV-2.
2 *medRxiv* 2020. DOI:10.1101/2020.05.23.20111559 (accessed 22 Nov 20).
- 3 26. Lei H, Xu X, Xiao S, Wu X, Shu Y. Household transmission of COVID-19-a systematic review and
4 metaanalysis. *J Infect* 2020; Epub. DOI:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.08.033.

5

6

7

8

- 1 **Table 1.** The number, size and duration associated with workplace outbreaks by industry sector in
2 Ontario from January 21 to June 30, 2020, with associated cases until July 28, 2020.

| Sector Code | Sector | Outbreaks, n | Cases, n | Median Size (range) | Median Days Duration (range) |
|-------------|--|--------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| 11 | Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 24 | 197 | 3.5 (1-34) | 13.5 (0-119) |
| 23 | Construction | 12 | 44 | 2.5 (1-8) | 4.5 (0-12) |
| 31-33 | Manufacturing | 89 | 702 | 3 (1-140) | 9 (0-119) |
| 44-45 | Retail | 13 | 39 | 1 (1-14) | 0 (0-56) |
| 48-49 | Transportation and Warehousing | 22 | 103 | 2 (1-36) | 5.5 (0-75) |
| 52 | Finance and Insurance | 5 | 14 | 2 (1-5) | 6 (0-31) |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 13 | 43 | 3 (1-13) | 5 (0-50) |
| 72 | Accommodation and food services | 5 | 15 | 2 (2-5) | 6 (2-16) |
| 92 | Public Administration | 3 | 21 | 9 (2-10) | 10 (3-18) |
| | Other Sectors with <3 outbreaks | 13 | 67 | 3 (1-16) | 7 (0-52) |
| | Total | 199 | 1245 | 3 (1-140) | 7 (0-119) |

3

4

Figure 1. Distribution of workplace outbreaks by industry sector from January 21 to June 30, 2020, and the daily count of sporadic (non-outbreak) cases in that time period, Ontario.




Table 2. Demographics, medical risk factors, clinical presentation, outcomes and region of workplace outbreak associated cases and sporadic cases (non-outbreak associated) 14 years of age and older, Ontario, January 21 – July 28, 2020.

| Variable | Number and proportion of workplace outbreak associated cases | | Number and proportion of sporadic cases | | Total | p-value |
|---|---|--------|--|--------|--------------|----------------|
| Total | 1245 | | 22503 | | 23748 | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Female | 352 | (28.3) | 10925 | (48.5) | 11277 | <0.001 |
| Age | | | | | | |
| Median Age | 40 years (14 to 83) | | 45 years (14 to 103) | | | <0.001 |
| 14-19 | 31 | (2.5) | 1016 | (4.5) | 1047 | <0.001 |
| 20-29 | 278 | (22.3) | 4725 | (21.0) | 5003 | |
| 30-39 | 295 | (23.7) | 3740 | (16.6) | 4035 | |
| 40-49 | 268 | (21.5) | 3615 | (16.1) | 3883 | |
| 50-59 | 253 | (20.3) | 4225 | (18.8) | 4478 | |
| 60-69 | 104 | (8.4) | 2930 | (13.0) | 3034 | |
| 70-79 | 14 | (1.1) | 1433 | (6.4) | 1447 | |
| 80+ | 2 | (0.2) | 816 | (3.6) | 818 | |
| Unknown | 0 | (0.0) | 3 | (0.0) | 3 | |
| Medical Risk Factors | | | | | | |
| One or more comorbidities | 284 | (22.8) | 7448 | (33.1) | 7732 | <0.001 |
| High risk status (60+, immunocompromised, cardiovascular, COPD) | 190 | (15.3) | 6498 | (28.9) | 6688 | <0.001 |
| Clinical Presentation | | | | | | |
| Asymptomatic | 258 | (20.7) | 2081 | (9.2) | 2339 | <0.001 |
| Presymptomatic | 49 | (3.9) | 560 | (2.5) | 609 | <0.001 |
| Symptomatic | 726 | (58.3) | 14118 | (62.7) | 14844 | <0.001 |
| Missing symptoms | 261 | (21.0) | 6304 | (28.0) | 6565 | |
| Outcomes | | | | | | |
| Hospitalized | 52 | (4.2) | 2776 | (12.3) | 2828 | <0.001 |
| ICU | 15 | (1.2) | 792 | (3.5) | 807 | <0.001 |
| Ventilator | 8 | (0.6) | 347 | (1.5) | 355 | <0.001 |
| Death | 6 | (0.5) | 584 | (2.6) | 590 | <0.001 |
| Region | | | | | | |
| Central east | 418 | (33.6) | 8110 | (36.0) | 8528 | <0.001 |
| Central west | 106 | (8.5) | 2927 | (13.0) | 3033 | |
| Eastern | 53 | (4.3) | 1547 | (6.9) | 1600 | |
| North east | 0 | (0.0) | 168 | (0.7) | 168 | |
| North west | 0 | (0.0) | 102 | (0.5) | 102 | |
| South west | 217 | (17.4) | 1809 | (8.0) | 2026 | |
| Toronto | 451 | (36.2) | 7840 | (34.8) | 8291 | |

Table 3. Addressed matched household cases (n=608) by age group and timing of onset relative to their workplace outbreak associated case.

| Timing relative to associated workplace outbreak case | < 19 years | 19-59 years | ≥60 years | Total (%) |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ≥2 days prior (Acquisition) | 7 | 48 | 11 | 66 (10.9) |
| ≥2 days after (Transmission) | 60 | 261 | 47 | 368 (60.5) |
| ±1 day (Unknown) | 22 | 141 | 11 | 174 (28.6) |
| Total | 89 | 450 | 69 | 608 (100) |

Table 4. Description of workplace outbreak associated cases associated with an address matched household case(s) by industry sector.

| Sector code and name | Outbreak cases with household case relative to overall outbreak cases | % Female of outbreak cases with household case | Median age (range) of outbreak cases with household case | Household cases | Proportion of household cases to overall outbreak cases |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------|---|
| 11. Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 23/197 | 35% | 35 (16-62) | 40 | 0.2 |
| 23. Construction | 3/44 | 0% | 43 (41-52) | 6 | 0.1 |
| 31-33. Manufacturing | 227/702 | 26% | 45 (16-76) | 395 | 0.6 |
| 44-45. Retail trade | 11/39 | 18% | 46 (28-74) | 24 | 0.6 |
| 48-49. Transportation and warehousing | 20/103 | 45% | 40.5 (18-64) | 50 | 0.5 |
| 52. Finance and insurance | 5/14 | 80% | 41 (26-55) | 7 | 0.5 |
| 62. Health care and social assistance | 14/43 | 86% | 45 (18-83) | 23 | 0.5 |
| 72. Accommodation and food services | 6/15 | 83% | 43.5 (21-53) | 16 | 1.1 |
| 92. Public administration | 5/21 | 80% | 30 (28-65) | 7 | 0.3 |
| Other sectors | 25/67 | 32% | 51 (22-69) | 40 | 0.6 |
| Total | 339/1245 | 33% | 44 (16-83) | 608 | 0.5 |