

1

Original Investigation

2

3

No associations between physical activity and clinical outcomes among hospitalized

4

patients with severe COVID-19

5

6

Ana J. Pinto^{1*}; Karla F. Goessler^{1*}; Alan L. Fernandes²; Igor H. Murai²; Lucas P. Sales²;

7

Bruna Z. Reis²; Mayara Diniz Santos²; Hamilton Roschel¹; Rosa M. R. Pereira²; Bruno

8

Gualano^{1,3}

9

10 *Both authors equally contributed to the manuscript

11

12 ¹ Applied Physiology and Nutrition Research Group, School of Physical Education and Sport;

13 Clinical Hospital HCFMUSP, School of Medicine FMUSP, University of Sao Paulo, Sao

14 Paulo-SP, Brazil

15 ² Rheumatology Division, Clinical Hospital HCFMUSP, School of Medicine FMUSP,

16 University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo-SP, Brazil

17 ³ Food Research Center, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo-SP, Brazil

18

19 **Corresponding author (to whom reprint requests should be addressed):**

20 Prof. Dr. Bruno Gualano

21 Rheumatology Division, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao

22 Paulo, SP, BR. Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 455 – Pacaembu - São Paulo, SP – Brasil; postal code:

23 01246-903. Phone: + 55 11 3061-8789; e-mail: gualano@usp.br

24

25 **Abstract**

26

27 **Purpose:** This small-scale, prospective cohort study nested within a randomized controlled
28 trial aimed to investigate the possible associations between physical activity levels and
29 clinical outcomes among hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19.

30 **Methods:** Hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 were recruited from Clinical Hospital
31 of the School of Medicine of the University of Sao Paulo (a quaternary referral teaching
32 hospital), and from Ibirapuera Field Hospital, both located in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Physical
33 activity levels were assessed by Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical Activity. The
34 primary outcome was hospital length of stay. The secondary outcomes were: mortality,
35 admission to the intensive care unit (ICU), and mechanical ventilation requirement.

36 **Results:** Mean hospital length of stay was 8.5 ± 7.1 days; 3.3% of patients died, 13.8% were
37 admitted to ICU, and 8.6% required mechanical ventilation. Linear regression models
38 showed that physical activity indexes were not associated with hospital length of stay (work
39 index: $\beta=-0.57$ [95%CI: -1.80 to 0.65], $p=0.355$; sport index: $\beta=0.43$ [95%CI: -0.94 to 1.80],
40 $p=0.536$; leisure-time index: $\beta=1.18$ [95%CI: -0.22 to 2.59], $p=0.099$; total activity index:
41 $\beta=0.20$ [95%CI: -0.48 to 0.87], $p=0.563$. Physical activity indexes were not associated with
42 mortality, admission to ICU and mechanical ventilation requirement (all $p>0.05$).

43 **Conclusions:** Among hospitalized patients with COVID-19, physical activity did not
44 associate with hospital length of stay or any other clinically-relevant outcomes. These
45 findings suggest that previous physical activity levels may not change the prognosis of severe
46 COVID-19.

47 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2; prognosis; lifestyle; physical inactivity.

48 **Introduction**

49

50 Physical inactivity has been considered a predisposing factor to acquired infections in
51 several cohorts (1-3). A solid body of literature provides biological plausibility for the
52 negative impact of physical inactivity on the immune system. For instance, chronic inactivity
53 has been linked to increased systemic inflammation, impaired natural killer cell cytolytic
54 activity (4), and reduced T-cell proliferation and cytokine production (5, 6), which can
55 ultimately lead to a loss of viral control (7).

56 The immunoregulatory role of physical activity is also well known. Regular physical
57 activity has been postulated to improve, or at least maintain, immunity across the life span
58 (8). Evidence has also pointed out that physical activity is able to reduce the incidence and
59 the number and severity of symptoms associated with acute respiratory infections (e.g., upper
60 respiratory tract infection) (9).

61 The link between physical (in)activity and COVID-19 remains to be properly
62 established. A recent study showed that physical inactivity increases the relative risk for
63 COVID-19 hospital admission in a UK cohort by 32% (10), suggesting that the adoption of
64 an active lifestyle could lower the risk of severe infection. Nonetheless, it is unclear whether
65 physical activity confers a better prognosis to patients hospitalized with severe COVID-19.

66 This small-scale, prospective cohort study aimed to investigate the possible
67 associations between physical activity levels and clinical outcomes (hospital length of stay,
68 mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission and mechanical ventilator requirement) among
69 hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19.

70

71 **Methods**

72

73 **Study design**

74 This is a prospective, cohort study nested within a multicenter, randomized controlled
75 trial designed to test the safety and efficacy of vitamin D₃ supplementation in hospitalized
76 patients with severe COVID-19 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04449718), conducted
77 between June 2, 2020 and October 7, 2020. We assessed patients' clinical status, coexisting
78 chronic diseases, demographic characteristics, self-reported body weight and height,
79 ethnicity, and physical activity upon hospital admission. Clinical outcomes were assessed
80 through medical records.

81

82 **Patients**

83 Hospitalized patients were recruited from the Clinical Hospital of the School of
84 Medicine of the University of Sao Paulo (a quaternary referral teaching hospital), and from
85 Ibirapuera Field Hospital, both located in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Inclusion criteria were: 1) adults
86 aged 18 years or older; 2) diagnosis of COVID-19 by either polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
87 for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from nasopharyngeal
88 swabs or computed tomography scan findings (bilateral multifocal ground-glass opacities \geq
89 50%) compatible with the disease; 3) diagnosis of flu syndrome with hospitalization criteria
90 on hospital admission, presenting with respiratory rate \geq 24 breaths per minute, saturation $<$
91 93% on room air or risk factors for complications, such as heart disease, diabetes mellitus,
92 systemic arterial hypertension, neoplasms, immunosuppression, pulmonary tuberculosis, and
93 obesity, followed by COVID-19 confirmation. Exclusion criteria were: 1) patient unable to
94 read and sign the written informed consent; 2) patient already admitted under invasive
95 mechanical ventilation; 3) previous vitamin D₃ supplementation ($>$ 1000 IU/day); 4) renal
96 failure requiring dialysis or creatinine \geq 2.0 mg/dL; 5) hypercalcemia defined by total

97 calcium > 10.5 mg/dL; 6) pregnant or lactating women; and 7) patients with expected
98 hospital discharge in less than 24 hours upon admission.

99 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Hospital of the School
100 of Medicine of the University of Sao Paulo and by the Ethics Committee of Ibirapuera Field
101 Hospital. All the procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
102 The participants provided written informed consent before being enrolled in the study
103 (approval number: 30959620.4.0000.0068).

104

105 **Outcome measures**

106 Physical activity levels were assessed by Baecke Questionnaire of Habitual Physical
107 Activity (11), which has been previously validated to the Brazilian population (12). The
108 questionnaire consists of 3 sections: work, sport, and leisure-time activity. Scores in each
109 section range between 0 and 5, where higher scores indicate a higher physical activity level.
110 A total activity index is obtained by summing all scores (maximum score = 15).

111 The primary outcome was hospital length of stay, defined as the total number of days
112 that patients remained hospitalized from the date of hospital admission until the date of
113 hospital discharge or death. The criteria used for patient discharge were: 1) no need for
114 supplemental oxygen in the last 48 hours; 2) no fever in the last 72 hours; and 3) oxygen
115 saturation > 93% in room air without respiratory distress. The secondary outcomes were: 1)
116 mortality; 2) admission to the ICU; and 3) mechanical ventilation requirement.

117

118 **Statistical Analysis**

119 Associations between physical activity levels (independent variables) and hospital
120 length of stay (primary outcome) were tested using linear regression models, whereas
121 associations between physical activity levels and mortality, admission to ICU, and

122 mechanical ventilation requirement (secondary outcomes) were tested using logistic
123 regression models. In a sensitivity analysis for the primary outcome, patients were divided
124 into tertiles according to total activity index. Then, potential differences between tertiles were
125 assessed by generalized estimating equations (GEE) model, based on the assumption of a
126 normal distribution, identity link function, and an exchangeable working correlation, with
127 group (lower, mid, and upper tertiles) as fixed factor. All regression and GEE models were
128 adjusted for potential confounders (i.e., age, sex, BMI, and number of comorbidities). For the
129 primary outcome, models were also adjusted for mortality. Statistical analyses were
130 performed in SAS, version 9.4. Data are expressed as β and 95% confidence interval (95%CI)
131 or odds ratio (OR) and 95%CI. Significance level was set at $p=0.050$.

132

133 **Results**

134

135 **Patients**

136 Two-hundred and nine patients had complete physical activity data and were included
137 in this study. Patients' age was 54.9 ± 14.5 years, BMI was 31.0 ± 6.5 kg/m², 51.7% were
138 men, 48.3% were white, 48.8% had hypertension, 28.7% had diabetes, and 11.5% had
139 cardiovascular diseases (Table 1). Seventy-three percent required supplemental oxygen (134
140 were on oxygen therapy and 19 were on non-invasive ventilation), and 62.2% had computed
141 tomography scan findings suggestive of COVID-19. Mean hospital length of stay was $8.5 \pm$
142 7.1 days, 3.3% of patients died, 13.8% were admitted to ICU, and 8.6% required mechanical
143 ventilation. Mean work index was 2.1 ± 0.8 (range: 0.9 to 3.8), sport index was 2.0 ± 0.7
144 (range: 1.0 to 4.3), leisure-time index was 2.5 ± 0.7 (range: 1.0 to 4.3), and total activity
145 index was 6.6 ± 1.5 (range: 3.1 to 11.1).

146

147 **Primary Outcome**

148 Linear regression models showed that physical activity indexes were not associated
149 with hospital length of stay (work index: $\beta=-0.50$ [95%CI: -1.60 to 0.60], $p=0.368$; sport
150 index: $\beta=0.09$ [95%CI: -1.14 to 1.32], $p=0.8823$; leisure-time index: $\beta=0.90$ [95%CI: -0.37 to
151 2.17], $p=0.162$; total activity index: $\beta=0.07$ [95%CI: -0.53 to 0.68], $p=0.810$; Figure 1A).

152 Lower (5.0 [95%CI: 4.9 to 5.2]), mid (6.6 [95%CI: 6.5 to 6.8]), and upper (8.3
153 [95%CI: 8.1 to 8.4]) tertiles significantly differed in respect of total activity index ($p<0.001$).
154 Sensitivity analysis showed that hospital length of stay was comparable between lower (8.5
155 days [95%CI: 7.0 to 10.0]), mid (8.4 days [95%CI: 7.0 to 9.9]) and upper (8.0 days [95%CI:
156 6.5 to 9.4]) tertiles of total activity index ($p=0.875$; Figure 1B).

157

158 **Secondary Outcomes**

159 Logistic regression models showed that physical activity indexes were not associated
160 with mortality (work index: OR=1.1 [95%CI: 0.3 to 3.8], $p=0.936$; sport index: OR=0.4
161 [95%CI: 0.1 to 1.5], $p=0.192$; leisure-time index: OR=0.5 [95%CI: 0.1 to 2.1], $p=0.342$; total
162 activity index: OR=0.7 [95%CI: 0.4 to 1.3], $p=0.272$), admission to ICU (work index: OR=
163 1.1 [95%CI: 0.6 to 2.0], $p=0.697$; sport index: OR=0.9 [95%CI: 0.5 to 1.8], $p=0.867$; leisure-
164 time index: OR=0.5 [95%CI: 0.3 to 1.1], $p=0.077$; total activity index: OR=0.9 [95%CI: 0.7
165 to 1.2], $p=0.459$), and mechanical ventilation requirement (work index: OR=0.6 [95%CI: 0.3
166 to 1.2], $p=0.176$; sport index: OR=0.9 [95%CI: 0.4 to 2.0], $p=0.844$; leisure-time index:
167 OR=0.7 [95%CI: 0.3 to 1.6], $p=0.413$; total activity index: OR=0.8 [95%CI: 0.5 to 1.2],
168 $p=0.214$; Figure 2).

169

170 **Discussion**

171

172 This small-scale, prospective, cohort study provided novel evidence that physical
173 activity levels do not associate with hospital length of stay or any other relevant clinical
174 outcomes among patients with severe COVID-19.

175 The negative impact of physical inactivity on the immune system has been widely
176 reported (4-7). Inactivity has been associated with higher rates of viral and bacterial
177 infections, immunosenescence, and poor vaccine responses (4-7). In addition, inactivity is
178 closely related to obesity, a condition that predisposes to poor antibody responses to
179 vaccination (13, 14) and impaired lymphocyte proliferation following mitogenic stimulus
180 (15). These immunological disturbances, among others, experienced by obese people are
181 implied in a greater risk of viral and bacterial infections as well as longer hospital length of
182 stay due to more frequent and prolonged complications following surgery (16, 17). This body
183 of literature has supported the speculation that physical inactivity could be a risk factor for
184 COVID-19 as well.

185 A few recent studies have supported this hypothesis. A large-scale, observational
186 study involving individuals residing in England showed that physical inactivity and obesity
187 account for up to 8.6% and 29.5%, respectively, of the population attributable fraction of
188 severe COVID-19 (10), defined as a case requiring hospital admission. These findings are
189 corroborated by another study showing that being physical active is associated with a 35%
190 reduction in hospitalization due to COVID-19 in Brazil (18). While these studies suggest that
191 physical activity may prevent hospital admission due to COVID-19, it remained to be
192 investigated the influence of lifestyle on the prognosis in already hospitalized patients.

193 This study aimed to address this gap by following a small cohort of hospitalized
194 patients with severe COVID-19. We showed that physical activity levels did not associate
195 with hospital length of stay or any clinical outcome. It is difficult to contrast these findings
196 with others since there is a lack of analogous studies involving COVID-19 patients. However,

197 among patients with cardiovascular disease, higher physical activity level was related to a
198 slightly shorter hospital stay (0.9 day) (19). A similar conclusion was reached by another
199 study that observed that physical inactivity was a predictor of longer hospital lengths of stay
200 among community-dwelling older adults (3.18 vs 0.82 days in active individuals) (20). One
201 may speculate that the main factor underlying the contrasting outcomes found in these
202 cohorts and in ours are the patients' characteristics. In fact, the longer hospital length of stay
203 in the current study (8.5 days vs 2.6 (20) and 2.1 days (19)) appears to indicate that our
204 patients were more severe than those of the other cohorts. Furthermore, it is possible to
205 conjecture that the protective effect of physical activity may be less pronounced in acute
206 infectious diseases, such as COVID-19, as compared to chronic conditions, such as
207 cardiovascular diseases (19).

208 The main strength of this study involves its novelty in assessing the influence of
209 physical activity levels, using a validated questionnaire, in a hospitalized cohort of patients
210 with severe COVID-19 and several comorbidities (see Table 1).

211 The main limitations of this study involve its observational nature, which hampers
212 causative relationships; the small sample size, which increases the chances of type 2 errors,
213 particularly considering the low incidence for the secondary outcomes; the use of a
214 questionnaire to assess physical activity, which is prone to recall bias, overreporting, and
215 limited time frame of evaluation (i.e., 1 year); and the lack of patients' follow-up after the
216 hospital discharge.

217 In conclusion, among hospitalized patients with COVID-19, physical activity did not
218 associate with hospital length of stay or any other clinically relevant outcomes. These
219 findings, which needs further replication in larger cohorts, suggest that previous physical
220 activity levels may not change the prognosis of severe COVID-19.

221

222 **Acknowledgments**

223 A.J.P., K.F.G., I.H.M., A.L.F., R.M.R.P., and B.G. were supported by São Paulo Research
224 Foundation – FAPESP (grants #2015/26937-4, #2019/18039-7, #2019/24782-4;
225 #2020/11102-2, #2016/00006-7 and #2020/05752-4, and #2017/13552-2). R.M.R.P., H.R.,
226 and B.G. were supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e
227 Tecnológico (grants 305556/2017-7, 301571/2017-1, and 305242/2019-9).

228

229 **Conflict of interest**

230 The authors declare no conflict of interests. Additionally, authors declare that results of the
231 study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate
232 data manipulation. Finally, the results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by
233 ACSM.

234

235 **References**

236

- 237 1. Hamer M, O'Donovan G, Stamatakis E. Lifestyle risk factors, obesity and infectious
238 disease mortality in the general population: Linkage study of 97,844 adults from
239 England and Scotland. *Prev Med.* 2019;123:65-70.
- 240 2. Paulsen J, Askim A, Mohus RM et al. Associations of obesity and lifestyle with the
241 risk and mortality of bloodstream infection in a general population: a 15-year follow-
242 up of 64 027 individuals in the HUNT Study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2017;46(5):1573-81.
- 243 3. Wang HE, Baddley J, Griffin RL et al. Physical inactivity and long-term rates of
244 community-acquired sepsis. *Prev Med.* 2014;65:58-64.

- 245 4. Jung YS, Park JH, Park DI, Sohn CI, Lee JM, Kim TI. Physical inactivity and
246 unhealthy metabolic status are associated with decreased natural killer cell activity.
247 *Yonsei Med J.* 2018;59(4):554-62.
- 248 5. Murdaca G, Setti M, Brenci S et al. Modifications of immunological and neuro-
249 endocrine parameters induced by antiorthostatic bed-rest in human healthy volunteers.
250 *Minerva Med.* 2003;94(6):363-78.
- 251 6. Uchakin PN, Stowe RP, Paddon-Jones D, Tobin BW, Ferrando AA, Wolfe RR.
252 Cytokine secretion and latent herpes virus reactivation with 28 days of horizontal
253 hypokinesia. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 2007;78(6):608-12.
- 254 7. Simpson RJ, Kunz H, Agha N, Graff R. Exercise and the Regulation of Immune
255 Functions. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* 2015;135:355-80.
- 256 8. Turner JE. Is immunosenescence influenced by our lifetime "dose" of exercise?
257 *Biogerontology.* 2016;17(3):581-602.
- 258 9. Simpson RJ, Campbell JP, Gleeson M et al. Can exercise affect immune function to
259 increase susceptibility to infection? *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2020;26:8-22.
- 260 10. Hamer M, Kivimaki M, Gale CR, Batty GD. Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory
261 mechanisms, and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based cohort study of
262 387,109 adults in UK. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020;87:184-7.
- 263 11. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters JE. A short questionnaire for the measurement of
264 habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1982;36(5):936-
265 42.
- 266 12. Florindo AA, Latorre MRDO, Jaime PC, Tanaka T, Zerbini CAF. Methodology to
267 evaluation the habitual physical activity in men aged 50 years or more. *Rev Saude*
268 *Publ.* 2004;38(2):307-14.

- 269 13. Clemens R, Sanger R, Kruppenbacher J et al. Booster immunization of low- and non-
270 responders after a standard three dose hepatitis B vaccine schedule--results of a post-
271 marketing surveillance. *Vaccine*. 1997;15(4):349-52.
- 272 14. Sheridan PA, Paich HA, Handy J et al. Obesity is associated with impaired immune
273 response to influenza vaccination in humans. *International journal of obesity (2005)*.
274 2012;36(8):1072-7.
- 275 15. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Nehlsen-Cannarella SL et al. Influence of obesity on
276 immune function. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1999;99(3):294-9.
- 277 16. Gottschlich MM, Mayes T, Khoury JC, Warden GD. Significance of obesity on
278 nutritional, immunologic, hormonal, and clinical outcome parameters in burns. *J Am*
279 *Diet Assoc*. 1993;93(11):1261-8.
- 280 17. Falagas ME, Kompoti M. Obesity and infection. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006;6(7):438-46.
- 281 18. de Souza FR, Motta-Santos D, Santos Soares Dd et al. Physical activity decreases the
282 prevalence of COVID-19-associated hospitalization: Brazil EXTRA study. *medRxiv*.
283 2020:2020.10.14.20212704.
- 284 19. Ek A, Kallings LV, Ekstrom M, Borjesson M, Ekblom O. Subjective reports of
285 physical activity levels and sedentary time prior to hospital admission can predict
286 utilization of hospital care and all-cause mortality among patients with cardiovascular
287 disease. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2020:1474515120921986.
- 288 20. Woolcott JC, Ashe MC, Miller WC, Shi P, Marra CA, Team PR. Does physical
289 activity reduce seniors' need for healthcare?: a study of 24 281 Canadians. *Br J Sports*
290 *Med*. 2010;44(12):902-4.

292 **Figure legends**

293

294 **Figure 1.** Associations between physical activity level and hospital length of stay.

295 Data are presented as β (95%CI).

296

297 **Figure 2.** Associations between physical activity level and mortality, admission to ICU, and

298 mechanical ventilation requirement.

299 Data are presented as OR (95%CI).

1 **Table**



2 **Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics.




	n=209
Age, mean (SD), y	54.9 (14.5)
Sex, No. (%)	
Male	108 (51.7)
Female	101 (48.3)
Race, No. (%)	
White	101 (48.3)
Brown	78 (37.3)
Black	30 (14.4)
Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m ² [n=192]	31.0 (6.5)
Underweight, No. (%)	2 (1.0)
Normal, No. (%)	28 (14.6)
Overweight, No. (%)	58 (30.2)
Obesity, No. /total (%)	104 (54.2)
Acute COVID-19 symptoms, No. (%)	
Fever	149 (71.3)
Cough	177 (84.7)
Fatigue	184 (88.0)
Arthralgia	71 (34.0)
Myalgia	144 (68.9)
Nasal congestion	78 (37.3)
Coryza	87 (41.6)
Sore throat	69 (33.0)

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

Diarrhea	95 (45.5)
Coexisting diseases, No. (%)	
Hypertension	102 (48.8)
Cardiovascular disease	24 (11.5)
Diabetes	60 (28.7)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	7 (3.3)
Asthma	10 (4.8)
Rheumatic disease	17 (8.1)
Concomitant medications, No. (%)	
Antibiotic	207 (99.0)
Anticoagulant	188 (90.0)
Analgesic	139 (66.5)
Corticosteroids	156 (74.6)
Antihypertensive	101 (48.3)
Hypoglycemic	42 (20.1)
Hypolipidemic	28 (13.4)
Antiemetic	117 (56.0)
Antiviral	3 (1.4)
Proton pump inhibitor	112 (53.6)
Levothyroxine	17 (8.1)
Physical activity level, mean (SD)	
Work index	2.1 (0.8)
Sport index	2.0 (0.7)
Leisure-time index	2.5 (0.7)
Total activity index	6.6 (1.5)

3 Data are expressed as mean (SD) or absolute and relative frequencies (n[%]).

A**B**

Work index**Sport index****Leisure-time index****Total activity index**