# Intraglottal aerodynamic pressure and energy transfer in a self-oscillating synthetic model of the vocal folds

Mohsen Motie-Shirazi,<sup>1</sup> Matías Zañartu,<sup>2</sup> Sean D. Peterson,<sup>3</sup> and Byron D. Erath<sup>1, a</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, Clarkson University,

Potsdam, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electronic Engineering, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María,

Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering, University of Waterloo,

Waterloo, ON, CA

(Dated: 22 October 2020)

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

1	Self-sustained oscillations of the vocal folds during phonation are the result of the
2	energy exchange between the airflow and the vocal fold tissue. Understanding this
3	mechanism requires accurate investigation of the aerodynamic pressures acting on
4	the vocal fold surface during oscillation. A self-oscillating silicone vocal fold model
5	was used in a hemilary ngeal flow facility to measure the time-varying pressure dis-
6	tribution along the inferior-superior length of the vocal fold with a spatial resolution
7	of $0.254\mathrm{mm},$ and at four discrete locations in the anterior-posterior direction. It was
8	found that the intraglottal pressures during the opening and closing phases of the vo-
9	cal fold are highly dependent on three-dimensional and unsteady flow behaviors. The
10	measured aerodynamic pressures and estimates of the medial surface velocity were
11	used to compute the intraglottal energy transfer from the airflow to the vocal folds.
12	The energy was greatest at the anterior-posterior midline, and decreased significantly
13	toward the anterior/posterior endpoints. The net energy transfer over an oscillation
14	cycle was positive, consistent with the theory of energy exchange during phonation.
15	The findings provide insight into the dynamics of the vocal fold oscillation and the
16	potential causes of some vocal fold disorders.

18

17

Keywords: Intraglottal aerodynamics; Aerodynamic pressure; Aerodynamic energy; Synthetic vocal fold model

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathbf{a}} berath@clarkson.edu$ 

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

# 19 I. INTRODUCTION

Self-sustained oscillations of the vocal folds (VFs) are the result of coupling between the aerodynamic energy that is transferred to the VFs by the air being forced between them, the biomechanical structure of the VFs, and the acoustic loading of the vocal tract<sup>1</sup>. The rate of energy transfer from the fluid to the VF tissue is correlated with the pressure and velocity fields within the glottis (i.e., the opening between the VFs)<sup>2,3</sup>. Because the glottis forms a time-varying orifice during phonation, high-fidelity temporal and spatial resolution of the intraglottal pressure and velocity is needed to elucidate the physics of VF oscillation<sup>4,5</sup>.

Glottal velocity fields have been widely studied using static and dynamic VF models<sup>6–16</sup>, 27 excised  $larynges^{17-20}$ , and computational approaches<sup>21-29</sup>, with a review of the literature 28 found in Mittal et al.<sup>30</sup>. Surprisingly, there is little work that has connected the observed 29 fluid flow phenomena with the resultant pressure loading at sufficient spatial and temporal 30 resolutions to provide direct insight into the energy exchange process. Initial work utilized 31 static VF models in steady flow<sup>31–35</sup>, identifying that the glottal pressure reached a mini-32 mum for divergent orientations. Subsequent work investigated the influence of features such 33 as inferior/superior VF angles<sup>35-37</sup> and inlet and exit VF radii<sup>38-40</sup> on the pressure field. 34 While providing significant spatial resolution of the VF surface pressure, the use of static 35 geometries in steady flow, commonly justified by invoking the quasi-steady assumption<sup>41</sup>, 36 neglects temporal dependencies in the flow. Subsequent work has shown the quasi-steady 37 assumption overlooks key fluid dynamics, particularly during the opening and closing phases 38 when flow accelerations are very high  $^{15,42-44}$ . 39

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

During the closing phase of a modal phonatory cycle, the glottis forms a divergent chan-40 nel. The resultant adverse pressure gradient gives rise to complex flow behavior, including 41 variations in the flow separation point<sup>45</sup>, formation and propagation of vortices within the 42 glottis<sup>20,46–48</sup>, and flow asymmetries. This phenomenon has been observed using particle 43 image velocimetry (PIV) in studies with static<sup>11</sup>, dynamically driven<sup>14</sup>, and self-sustained 44 oscillating<sup>49</sup> models of the VFs as well as in an excised canine  $larvnx^{50}$ . The resultant 45 pressure loading has been investigated by exploring a theoretical solution for the asymmet-46 ric pressure loading 51-53. While this empirical approach was derived from unsteady, driven 47 vocal-fold oscillations, the model implementation relied upon a quasi-steady assumption, 48 and further neglected three-dimensional effects and supraglottal geometry (i.e., the ven-49 tricular folds), which have subsequently been shown to be important in determining the 50 development of flow asymmetries 54,55. 51

Finally, despite the fairly common use of two-dimensional VF model geometries that are 52 extruded in the anterior-posterior direction, the three-dimensionality of the VFs has been 53 shown to have a significant influence on VF surface pressure loadings<sup>56</sup>, exhibiting a lower 54 pressure drop than that observed for two-dimensional models, and giving rise to anterior-55 posterior variations in the pressure loading. Unfortunately, these effects have largely been 56 investigated in static models with steady flow. A notable exception measured the unsteady, 57 three-dimensional intraglottal pressure loading in self-oscillating excised VFs, investigating 58 the dependence of both anterior-posterior pressure gradients, and unsteady effects<sup>57</sup>. It was 59 found that the intraglottal pressure reached negative gauge values only along the midline of 60 the VFs, and not at the anterior and posterior edges. However, the diameter of the pressure 61

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

sensors used to acquire the intraglottal pressure was relatively large (2.36 mm) compared to the inferior-superior and anterior-posterior VF dimensions ( $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ mm})$ ), leading to poor spatial resolution of the flow and obfuscating some of the key dynamical behaviors.

Nevertheless, similar results have been observed using computational models of the VFs 65 undergoing self-sustained oscillations<sup>58,59</sup>. Early efforts<sup>3</sup> validated the computational model 66 knematics with synthetic silicone VF models to investigate the aerodynamic energy exchange 67 during oscillation. The results provided insight into the net energy transfer from the airflow 68 to the VF tissue, which was found to be positive, validating a previously proposed theory 69 that the energy transfer from the fluid to the VF must be greater during opening than closing 70 to produce self-sustained oscillations<sup>5</sup>. However, modeling VF contact is highly challenging 71 in numerical investigations, which can influence the accuracy of the oscillation dynamics. 72

Despite the extensive efforts devoted to resolving the intraglottal aerodynamic pressure 73 during VF oscillation, temporal and spatial variations in the pressure have still not been 74 accurately quantified. This deficiency arises from the challenging environment of voiced 75 speech production, were tight geometric constraints, in tandem with the high-frequency 76 of the VF oscillations, pose significant challenges such as accurate positioning of a probe 77 between the VFs and the disruptive effect of the probe on the flow field<sup>60</sup>. Recently, a new 78 approach that employs synthetic, self-oscillating VF models in a hemilaryngeal configuration 79 was developed and validated for accurately measuring the intraglottal aerodynamic pressures 80 during oscillation $^{60}$ . The self-oscillating VF model captures both three-dimensional and 81 unsteady flow effects addressing the shortcomings of many prior works. 82

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

The objective of this work is to investigate the effects of flow unsteadiness and three-83 dimensionality on the intraglottal pressure distributions in a hemilary needly self-oscillating 84 silicone VF model. The model is incorporated into a novel flow facility that enables, for 85 the first time, both temporal and spatial resolution of the intraglottal pressure waveform 86 during the opening and closing phases of the phonatory cycle. The pressure field is then used 87 to investigate the energy exchange from the airflow to the VFs. The flow facility and the 88 measurement procedure are described in Section II. The results and discussion are presented 89 in Section III. Finally, Section IV is left for the conclusions. 90

# 91 II. METHODS

# 92 A. Hemilaryngeal Flow Facility

A synthetic, self-oscillating silicone model of the VFs was used in a hemilaryngeal flow facility to acquire the intraglottal pressure distribution in both the inferior-superior and the anterior-posterior directions. The geometry of the VF model and the experimental setup was similar to that used in prior work (See Section 3.1 in Motie-Shirazi et al.<sup>60</sup>), and is shown in Figure 1a.

<sup>98</sup> Briefly, a constant pressure source provided flow through a Dwyer RMC 101-SSV inline <sup>99</sup> flow meter (Dwyer, IN, USA) that measured the time-averaged flow rate. Upon exiting the <sup>100</sup> flow meter, the flow entered a  $0.03 \text{ m}^3$  plenum chamber with a cross sectional area of  $0.06 \text{ m}^2$ , <sup>101</sup> whose inner walls were insulated acoustically by foam. The plenum chamber was connected <sup>102</sup> to a model trachea that was comprised of a 213.0 mm<sup>2</sup> area rectangular channel that was

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

150.0 mm long. The subglottal pressure was monitored with a Kulite ET-3DC pressure
transducer (Kulite, NJ, USA). Together, the plenum chamber and square duct mimic the
acoustic loading of the subglottal tract.

The VF model was mounted in a hemilaryngeal configuration by placing it in a bracket at the exit of the model trachea. The wall against which the VF oscillated extended the length of the tracheal tract, and could be moved in the inferior-superior direction. This is referred to as the contact plate (see Figure 1a). A Millar Mikro-Cath pressure sensor (Millar, TX, USA) was embedded in a groove in the contact plate. The groove was then filled with Smooth-On Dragon Skin 10 silicone (Smooth-On Inc, PA, USA) to provide a smooth level surface against which the VF model vibrated.

High-speed video (HSV) of the VF kinematics was acquired with a Photron AX200 charge-113 coupled device (CCD) camera (Photron, Tokyo, Japan) at 20,000 frames-per-second and a 114 resolution of 640 pixels by 480 pixels. The camera was positioned superiorly to the VF 115 exit. An Elicar V-HQ Macro 90 mm f2.5 lens (Jaca Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) provided a 116 field of view measuring 48.0 mm by 36.0 mm. The HSV, subglottal pressure, and intraglot-117 tal pressure signals were all synchronized and acquired using a custom LabVIEW Virtual 118 Instrument program (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX, USA). The subglot-119 tal and intraglottal pressure signals were recorded on a National Instruments PCIe-6321 120 data acquisition card (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX, USA) at 80 kHz for 121 a duration of 0.75 s. 122

<sup>123</sup> A vocal tract was added to the flow facility at the VF exit to include acoustic loading <sup>124</sup> effects, as shown in Figure 1a. The geometry of the vocal tract was idealized based on the

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

(b) A

view of



vocal tract geometry of the vowel /o/ reported from magnetic resonance imaging of the 125 vocal tract<sup>61</sup>. The supraglottal channel had a constant width of 26.2 mm in the anterior-126 posterior direction, and a total length of 180.0 mm. The medial-lateral height consisted 127 of two discrete, connected sections. The first section (exiting the glottis) had a length of 128  $130.0 \,\mathrm{mm}$  and a height of  $10.0 \,\mathrm{mm}$  ( $262.0 \,\mathrm{mm}^2$  cross-sectional area), which then transitioned 129 to the second section, which measured  $50.0 \,\mathrm{mm}$  long with a height of  $30.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$  (917.0  $\mathrm{mm}^2$ 130 cross-sectional area). 131

Four identical contact plates were employed for the measurements, with each containing 132 an embedded pressure sensor at a different location in the anterior-posterior direction; one 133 at the anterior-posterior midline, with the subsequent ones equally spaced every 1.78 mm 134 moving in the anterior direction (see Figure 1b). The coordinate system is defined such 135 that x = 0 corresponds to the location of the inferior edge of the glottis when the VF is 136 at rest and x values indicate the distance in the inferior-superior direction. In addition, 137

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

y = 0 corresponds to the anterior-posterior midline and the y values indicate the distance 138 in the anterior-posterior direction. A Thorlabs PT1 linear slide (Thorlabs, Newton, NJ, 139 USA) moved the contact plate in the inferior-superior (x) direction to allow the pressure 140 to be acquired at any location along this direction. The area over which the pressure was 141 measured was 1.50 mm by 1.30 mm in the inferior-superior and medial-lateral directions, 142 respectively. The linear slide had a positional accuracy of 0.0254 mm. 143

#### Vocal Fold Model В. 144

157

The dimensions of the VF model, layer composition, and silicone mixture ratios used 145 for each layer was the same as prior  $work^{60}$ , with the dimensions and layer thicknesses 146 shown in Figure 2. This profile was extruded in the anterior-posterior direction to a length 147 of 17.0 mm. The modulus of elasticity of each silicone layer, however, was newly quantified 148 using a TA Instruments AR 2000 Rheometer (TA Instruments, DE, USA), as opposed to the 149 previously employed TA Instruments Q 800 dynamic mechanical analysis measurements (TA 150 Instruments, DE, USA), to improve accuracy at the low moduli of interest. To perform the 151 rheology measurements, cylindrical samples with a diameter of 60.0 mm and a thickness of 152 1.0 mm were created from the same batch of each silicone mixture as the VF layers. Elastic 153 (G') and viscous (G'') shear moduli were measured by performing a frequency sweep from 154 1 Hz to 100 Hz, which was the upper limit of the instrument, at 1% strain. The results are 155 presented in Figure 3 and are compared with values from human VF cover measurements<sup>62</sup>. 156 Good agreement with the physiological data is found for the silicone values.

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 2. Geometry and dimensions of the synthetic VF model. All dimensions are in mm.

The complex modulus of elasticity  $(E^*)$  of an isotropic material is related to the complex shear modulus  $(G^* = G' + iG'')$  and Poisson's ratio  $(\nu)$  by

$$E^* = 2G^*(1+\nu).$$
(1)

Poisson's ratio has been measured to be  $\approx 0.4$  for different mixture ratios of silicone at 1% strain<sup>63</sup>. Using these relationships, the magnitude of complex modulus of elasticity at a frequency of 100 Hz was computed for each layer, and is reported in Table I alongside the range of physiological values. Good agreement is found between the physiological and synthetic values.

#### 165 C. Pressure Measurement Procedure

During VF oscillation each of the movable contact plates was independently inserted and positioned such that the pressure sensor was located superior to the VF. The contact plate was then moved in the inferior direction in increments of 0.254 mm. The unsteady intraglottal pressure at each location was acquired by the pressure sensor. Figure 4 shows

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Elastic (G') and (b) viscous (G'') shear moduli of different layers of the VF model with corresponding values for human VFs<sup>62</sup>. Axes are plotted on a logarithmic scale.

the initial and final positions (I and II) of the sensor relative to the VF model at rest. Note that the airflow caused the VF to bulge slightly in the superior direction such that contact did not occur at the exact glottal midpoint of the rest position of the VF model. After sampling for 0.75 s at each location, which produced about 120 cycles for an oscillation frequency of 160 Hz, the waveforms were then phase-averaged based on the oscillation cycle, resulting in a time-varying mean pressure waveform.

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

Layer	Physiological range	Silicone VF Model
	(kPa)	(kPa)
Adipose tissue	$1 - 10^{79}$	4.04
Body	$1.5 - 50^{80-82}$	7.13
Cover	$1 - 8^{62,81,83-86}$	1.10
Epithelium	Not measured	81.10

TABLE I. Moduli of elasticity of physiological and silicone vocal fold models for each layer.



FIG. 4. Schematic of the initial (I) and final (II) positions of the pressure sensor moving with the contact plate relative to a stationary VF model. All dimensions are in mm.

#### 176 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 177 A. Oscillation Dynamics

<sup>178</sup> VF oscillation dynamics were investigated with a medial prephonatory compression of <sup>179</sup> 0.75 mm that resulted in a medial prephonatory pressure of 1.25 kPa. These are, respectively, <sup>180</sup> the medial distance that the VF is deformed into the hemilaryngeal plate when it is in a

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

static configuration, and the resulting static pressure (see Motie-Shirazi et al.<sup>60</sup> for further details). Due to the medial compression, the length of the glottis in the inferior-superior direction during self-oscillation was ~ 1.5 times greater than for the static geometry with no medial compression. The onset pressure of the VF model was measured to be 1.70 kPa. The intraglottal pressure measurements were performed at a mean subglottal pressure of  $p_{sub} = 2.20$  kPa. The mean flow rate was measured to be 338 mL/s. The fundamental frequency of oscillation was 160 Hz yielding a period (T) of 6.25 ms.

Figure 5 shows a kymogram plot of an oscillation cycle at the mid anterior-posterior 188 location extracted from the HSV. At this location, the open quotient  $(OQ = T_{open}/T)$  was 189 calculated to be  $\simeq 0.78$  and the speed quotient  $(SQ = T^+/T^-)$  was  $\simeq 2.09$  where  $T_{\rm open}$ , 190 T,  $T^+$ , and  $T^-$  are defined in Figure 5. Both OQ and SQ are in the physiological ranges 191 for human VFs<sup>64,65</sup>. The visible medial boundary of the VF was determined by defining a 192 threshold value, and a least-squares regression<sup>66</sup> was then used to fit two sinusoidal functions 193 to the boundary during the VF opening and closing phases, indicated by the solid and dashed 194 lines, respectively. These functions were then used to estimate the VF surface velocity to 195 calculate the aerodynamic energy, as explained in Section III B 3. The onset pressure and 196 flow rate of the synthetic model were higher than physiological values<sup>67</sup>. This behavior has 197 been observed in prior investigations with hemilaryngeal configurations<sup>57,68,69</sup>. In addition, 198 a relatively high medial prephonatory compression was required to get robust contact in the 190 synthetic models, which also increased the onset pressure and the flow rate. In spite of the 200 higher subglottal pressures, the VF kinematics are representative of physiological motion. 201

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 5. (Color online) Kymogram at the mid anterior-posterior location of the VF model. Solid and dashed lines identify the sinusoidal functions that were respectively fitted to the inferior edge of the VF during closure, and the superior edge during opening, via least-squares regression<sup>66</sup>.

The glottal height  $(d_g)$  at the four positions in the anterior-posterior direction are plotted 202 in Figure 6 as a function of time (t), normalized by T. The distance from the midline, y 203 (See Figure 1b), is normalized by the glottal half width in the anterior-posterior direction, 204 which was  $w_{\rm g}/2 = 0.85\,{\rm mm}$ . The physical locations relative to the model geometry are 205 indicated in the inset image. Note, the stepwise behavior of the glottal distance is due to 206 the limit of the spatial resolution of the images, which was 0.077 mm/pix. The maximum 207 glottal distance occurred at the midpoint and was calculated to be  $d_{g,max} = 0.67 \text{ mm}$ , which is 208 physiologically accurate<sup>70</sup>. It can be seen that VF closure occurred at the sides first, and then 209 progressed toward the midline, with a similar pattern observed during opening. Moreover, 210 the open quotient was greatest at the midpoint, and decreased towards the anterior-posterior 211 endpoints. The maximum glottal area was 8.45 mm<sup>2</sup>, which is also representative of clinical 212  $measures^{71}$ . 213

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 6. (Color online) Glottal distance at different anterior-posterior locations as a function of normalized time.

#### **B.** Aerodynamic Pressure

### 215 1. Convergent Phase

The spatial variation of the intraglottal aerodynamic pressure in the inferior-superior di-216 rection was computed as the normalized pressure drop, relative to the subglottal pressure, 217  $p_{\rm sub}$ , expressed as  $(p - p_{\rm sub})/p_{\rm sub}$ . Figure 7(a-d) presents surface pressure measurements 218 at the four anterior-posterior locations and at four different instances in time during VF 219 opening, corresponding to t/T = 0, 0.26, 0.39, and 0.45, respectively. The abscissa indi-220 cates the distance from the inferior glottal margin when the VF is at rest and the medial 221 prephonatory compression is not applied, normalized by the inferior-superior glottal length 222 of  $l_g = 4.26 \text{ mm}$  (See Figure 4). The inset images are a superior view of the VF orientation 223

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

at the corresponding times, and denote the anterior-posterior position of the four pressure measurements, indicated by the corresponding line types. At the VF midline, the opening started at t/T = 0 and ended at t/T = 0.52. The dashed vertical lines  $x/l_g = 0$  and  $x/l_g = 1$ indicate the location of the inferior and superior boundaries of the glottis when the VF is at rest.

A video showing the progression of the intraglottal pressure distributions throughout the entire oscillatory cycle is included as a supplementary file<sup>72</sup>. The still images of Figure 7 are extracted from this video, as well as those discussed in Section III B 2 for the divergent orientations.

During VF opening (see Figure 7) the mean subglottal pressure decreased as the flow 233 accelerated as it entered the glottis. A sharp decrease in the pressure occurred as the flow 234 passed through the glottis. Note that for Figure 7(b-d) the pressure within the glottal region 235 plateaus, before sharply decreasing. This can be explained by the viscous losses within the 236 glottis being balanced by the total acceleration of the flow. The minimum pressure then 237 occurred at the glottal exit, where the glottal gap height was smallest. The location of the 238 glottal entrance and exit along the midline of the VF are noted in Figure 7 by the succes-239 sive solid arrows. Comparing these locations across Figure 7(b-d) shows that the glottal 240 entrance and exit were largely stationary during the opening phase of the VF oscillation. 241 Nevertheless, the consistent shift in the location of the superior edge of the VF (the mini-242 mum pressure location) relative to the static position of the superior VF edge indicates the 243 presence of superior VF bulging during oscillation. The intraglottal pressure measurements 244 were compared with prior results acquired along the midline of three-dimensional static VF 245



FIG. 7. (Color online) The normalized intraglottal pressure drop versus the normalized inferiorsuperior distance, plotted at four positions in the anterior-posterior direction, and at normalized times of (a) t/T = 0, (b) t/T = 0.26, (c) t/T = 0.39, and (d) t/T = 0.45. The times coincide with a convergent glottal configuration. The solid arrows indicate the glottal entrance and exit at  $2y/w_g = 0.0$ . Each inset presents a superior view of the VF orientation at the same instance in time. Dashed and solid vertical lines at x=0 and x=1, respectively, identify the glottal entrance and exit when the VF is in its rest configuration. Pressure measurements from steady flow, static investigations<sup>56</sup> are included in subplots (b) and (c) with the glottal margins indicated by hollow arrows.

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

models in steady flow<sup>56</sup>, represented as circles in Figure 7(b,c). The static models had a  $10^{\circ}$ 246 convergence angle and a fixed medial-lateral glottal distance of 0.8 mm. For comparison, the 247 estimated location of the glottal entrance in the current self-oscillating model was aligned 248 with the glottal entrance in the prior static model investigations. The inferior-superior 249 distance of both the prior static model investigations and the current self-oscillating ones 250 were normalized by each corresponding inferior-superior glottal length, which were 3.00 and 251 4.26 mm, respectively. The glottal entrance and exit of the static model are indicated with 252 hollow arrows in Figure 7(b-c). The location of the glottal exit did not match because 253 the glottal length of the synthetic model increased during oscillation, as described above, 254 but was fixed for the static model. The intraglottal pressure profiles in these models are 255 very similar, with a change in the slope at the entrance and a drop in the pressure at the 256 glottal exit. Similar behavior has been reported in static models with convergent glottal 257  $\text{profiles}^{31,35,36,40,73}$ . 258

The effect of unsteady flow was investigated by comparing the temporal progression of 259 the intraglottal pressure waveform during VF opening, presented sequentially in Figure 7(a-260 d). The mean intraglottal pressure decreased at all anterior-posterior locations as the VF 261 was opening. This contradicts the oft-employed quasi-steady assumption that is invoked 262 when investigating pressure-flow relationships through static VF models. As the glottal 263 area increases during opening, the quasi-steady assumption predicts the glottal pressure 264 should increase. Instead, the increased subglottal pressure that builds up during VF closure 265 results in a temporally-accelerating flow field that produces a significant decrease in the 266 nondimensionalized intraglottal pressure drop. While prior work has identified the existence 267

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

of high flow acceleration during opening<sup>17</sup>, these findings indicate how the accelerating flow influences the intraglottal pressure field. In particular, these results show that the decrease in pressure due to flow acceleration is much greater than the pressure increase associated with the increasing glottal area.

The average intraglottal pressure varied in the anterior-posterior direction as a function 272 of time. During the later stages of opening (Figure 7(c-d)), the pressure magnitude in 273 the entrance of the glottis remained largely constant, while the more anterior positions of 274  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.21$  and 0.42 increased slightly, before subsequently decreasing. At  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.63$ 275 the pressure is significantly lower for all inferior-superior positions. These variations are 276 likely due to the three-dimensional geometry, which leads to varying pressure losses within 277 the glottis. This, in turn, drives three-dimensional flow behavior, as has been previously 278 observed<sup>56</sup>. Moreover, as can be seen in Figure 7, the pressure field at the anterior-posterior 279 location of  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.42$  exhibited significantly different behavior than that observed at 280 the midline. During the early phases of opening, the pressure was lower than that at the 283 midline, but then increased to higher values as the cycle progressed. This is likely due to the 282 anterior-posterior asymmetry in VF closure, where the midline closes slightly sooner than 283 more anterior locations. 284

#### 285 2. Divergent Phase

The spatial variation of the normalized intraglottal pressure drop during VF closure is plotted in Figure 8(a-d) at four normalized times of t/T = 0.52, 0.68, 0.74, and 0.77, respectively. The pressure profiles are not presented at  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0$  and 0.21 because closure

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

at these locations has already occurred at the selected times. Similarly,  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.21$  is not 289 plotted in Figure 8(d) for the same reason. The inset images show a superior view of the VF 290 motion at the same instant in time, with the vertical lines indicating the anterior locations 291 at which the aerodynamic pressure was recorded. A clear divergent profile was present 292 during the closing phases of oscillation, as was observed in the kymogram of Figure 5) 293 and a kymogram extracted from the position  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.21$  (not shown for brevity). For 294 kymograms extracted at the more anterior/posterior positions of  $2y/w_{\rm g}$  = 0.42 and 0.63, 295 propagation of the mucosal and the resultant divergent profile were not as pronounced. 296

The glottal entrance and exit at the midline of the VF are indicated by the solid arrows 297 in Figure 8(b-d). The entrance locations were identified based on the assumption that the 298 pressure will be a minimum at the glottal entrance (the minimum glottal area) when the 299 glottis assumes a divergent orientation. At the beginning of VF closure when t/T = 0.52300 (Figure 8(a)), the glottis was largely a uniform channel, and so it was difficult to identify 301 the precise location of the glottal entrance. In this state, the glottal entrance was presumed 302 to be at the same position as found at the other times during closure because, as can be 303 seen in Figure 8(b-d), this location did not change significantly over time. Downstream of 304 the minimal glottal area, pressure recovery occurred in the divergent glottal channel. The 305 precise location of the glottal exit was difficult to identify because at the exit of the glottis, 306 the pressure was essentially the same as the pressure in the supraglottal tract. Therefore, the 307 location of the glottal exit at each of the anterior locations was estimated by assuming that 308 the glottal length remained equal to the value found for the convergent glottal orientation, 309 as described in Section III B 1. 310



FIG. 8. (Color online) The normalized intraglottal pressure drop versus the normalized inferiorsuperior distance, plotted at four positions in the anterior-posterior direction, and at normalized times of (a) t/T = 0, (b) t/T = 0.26, (c) t/T = 0.39, and (d) t/T = 0.45. The times coincide with a divergent glottal configuration. The solid arrows indicate the glottal entrance and exit at  $2y/w_g = 0.0$ . Each inset image presents a superior view of the VF orientation at the same instance in time. Dashed and solid vertical lines at x=0 and x=1, respectively, identify the glottal entrance and exit when the VF is in its rest configuration. Pressure measurements from steady flow, static investigations<sup>56</sup> are included in subplots (b) and (c) with the glottal margins indicated by hollow arrows.

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

From the pressure distributions it can be seen that the glottal entrance at the anteriorposterior midline of the VF was shifted to  $x/l_{\rm g} \simeq 1.4$ . This indicates increased superior bulging relative to the convergent phase, where the glottal entrance was at  $x/l_{\rm g} \simeq 0.8$ . The minimum pressure in the divergent phase was lower than in the convergent phase due to the pressure recovery arising from the divergent orientation.

The intraglottal pressures are compared in Figure 8 with prior results of steady flow 316 through three-dimensional static VF models with a 10° divergent glottal profile and a fixed 317 medial-lateral glottal distance of 0.8 mm.<sup>56</sup> The intraglottal pressure distributions obtained 318 from the static model investigations at anterior positions of  $2y/w_{\rm g}$  = 0.50 and 0.0<sup>56</sup> are 319 plotted as hollow circles in Figure 8(b, c), respectively. For comparison, the divergent 320 angle of the self-oscillating VF model was estimated from the recorded HSV during the 321 closing phase of the VF based on the known medial-lateral displacement of the inferior and 322 superior edges (see the VF edges at  $T^{-}$  in Figure 5), and the inferior-superior length of the 323 medial surface when at rest. It was found that at an anterior position of  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.21$ , a 324 divergent angle of ~ 10° occurred at t/T = 0.68 (Figure 8(b)) and for an anterior position of 325  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0$  the divergence angle was ~ 10° at t/T = 0.74 (Figure 8(c)). Similar to Figure 7, 326 the estimated location of the glottal entrance was aligned with the glottal entrance from the 327 static model investigations, and the inferior-superior length of each scenario was normalized 328 by the inferior-superior medial surface length. Reasonable agreement is observed between the 329 static and dynamic pressure profiles, which is not surprising as unsteady effects due to flow 330 acceleration are not anticipated to be as significant during the latter phases of the phonatory 331 cycle. The magnitude of the minimum pressure was lower at the middle when compared 332

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

to the anterior/posterior positions, again indicating the presence of three-dimensional flowbehavior.

The temporal variation of the intraglottal pressure during VF closure was observed by 335 comparing the temporal evolution of the minimum pressure peak, which occurs at the min-336 imal glottal area. As the VFs closed, this value decreased as the minimum glottal area 337 became smaller, and the subsequent pressure loss across the glottis increased. However, it is 338 interesting to note that immediately preceding closure, at t/T = 0.77, the minimum pressure 330 increased, despite the continued decrease in the glottal area (see the insets of Figure 8(c,d)). 340 This increase in the minimal pressure can be explained by an increase in the viscous pressure 341 loss when the glottal orifice becomes very small at the end of closure, resulting in a decrease 342 in the flow velocity and consequently a rise in the pressure. This is an important observation 343 that is not captured by static VF investigations. 344

#### 345 3. Aerodynamic Energy

The transfer of energy from the airflow to the VF within the glottis was investigated. The 346 power transferred to the VF is approximately equal to the product of the normal force applied 347 on the VF glottal surface and the normal component of the surface velocity<sup>3</sup>. The VF velocity 348 magnitude in the inferior-superior direction is negligible compared to the medial-lateral 349 velocity and the viscous forces applied on the VF have a minor influence on the transferred 350 power<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the medial-lateral component of the velocity and the fluid pressure were 351 used to estimate the power transfer. At each of the four anterior-posterior locations, the 352 medial-lateral component of glottal surface velocity was estimated from kymogram plots at 353

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

the same position where the pressure measurements were acquired. Two sinusoidal functions 354 were fit to the visible medial boundary of the VF, which is the superior edge during opening 355 and the inferior edge during closing, using least-squares regression<sup>66</sup>, as shown in Figure 5. 356 The magnitude of the glottal surface velocity in the medial direction  $(V_{\rm g})$  was then estimated 357 by computing the derivative of these functions at each instance in time. This assumes the 358 medial VF surface velocity is equal to the superior edge velocity during opening, and the 359 inferior edge velocity during closing. While admittedly an approximation, it provides a 360 first-order estimate of the VF surface velocity. Because the VF surface velocity could not 361 be estimated outside the glottal region, the power transfer was only investigated within the 362 glottis. 363

The medial VF displacement and surface velocity are presented in Figure 9(a) as a function of the normalized time over an oscillation cycle. The lines with circle symbols represent the medial-lateral glottal distance during opening and closure, with the ordinate axis on the left showing the magnitude. The lines without symbols are the corresponding medial surface velocity, as represented by the ordinate axis on the right. The dash-dot line identifies the contact phase when the VF was closed and the displacement and the velocity were zero. The velocity of the medial surface was positive during opening and negative during closure.

At each of the anterior-posterior locations, the time-varying aerodynamic power per unit anterior-posterior width ( $\dot{W}'$ ), denoted by the prime superscript, during opening and closing of the VF was calculated by multiplying the VF surface velocity with the integral of the

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 9. (Color online) Temporal change in (a) the glottal distance and velocity calculated from the fitted curves to the extracted kymogram, and (b) the power per unit anterior-posterior width, at  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.0$  during the VF opening phase, closing phase, and contact.

<sup>374</sup> pressure between the inferior and superior margins of the glottis according to

$$\dot{W}_k'(t) = \mathbf{v}_{g}(t) \int_{x_{\inf_k}}^{x_{\sup_k}} p_k(x, t) \,\mathrm{d}x,\tag{2}$$

where p(x, t) indicates the intraglottal pressure at the inferior-superior location of x at time t. The limits  $x_{inf}$  and  $x_{sup}$  denote the location of the inferior and superior edges of the VF, which were estimated based on the pressure distributions during the opening and closing phases, using the method explained in Sections III B 1 and III B 2. The subscript k varies

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

from 1 - 4 and corresponds to the four anterior-posterior positions at which the pressure was acquired.

The time dependence of  $\dot{W}'_a$  at the midline of the VF is plotted in Figure 9(b). During 381 VF opening the intraglottal power was initially positive because the spatial average of the 382 intraglottal pressure was in phase with the surface velocity and they both have a positive 383 magnitude, indicating the transfer of energy from the airflow to the VF. The power de-384 creased in time due to the decreasing intraglottal pressure, as can be seen in the sequential 385 progression of Figure 7(a-d). This was due to the unsteady flow acceleration. The power 386 transfer became negative during the last 10% of the opening phase as the spatial average of 387 the intraglottal gauge pressure became negative while the surface velocity was still positive, 388 denoting that energy began to be transferred from the VF back to the fluid even as the VF 380 was still opening. This is a unique behavior that arises from the unsteady flow acceleration, 390 and that is not captured by quasi-steady investigations. 391

A discontinuity is observed in Figure 9 when the VF transitioned from the opening to closing phase. This is due to the discrete change in the surface velocity that occurred due to the technique that was employed for estimating it. Namely, the assumption that the medial surface velocity is equal to velocity at the superior VF edge during opening, and the inferior edge during closing.

<sup>397</sup> During the closing phase, both the intraglottal pressure and velocity were negative, which <sup>398</sup> lead to a positive power transfer, indicating that the direction of the energy transfer was <sup>399</sup> again from the fluid to the VF. The power initially increased during the closing phases as <sup>400</sup> the intraglottal pressure became more negative as the glottal orifice became smaller and the

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

flow accelerated (see Figure 8(b ,c)). Immediately preceding closure, however, the power rapidly decreased due to the rapid increase in the intraglottal pressure, as was observed in Figure 8(d), likely because of the decrease in the glottal velocity due to the high viscous losses when the glottal orifice becomes very small , immediately preceding contact.

Although the mechanics of energy transfer have been studied numerically<sup>5,53,74</sup>, computationally<sup>3</sup>, 405 and in driven synthetic VF models<sup>16</sup>, they have never been explored experimentally in 406 self-oscillating VFs. Nevertheless, the calculated aerodynamic power variation can be com-407 pared with values from the prior investigations utilizing two-dimensional computational 408 models<sup>3</sup> and a driven synthetic VF model<sup>16</sup>. In both of these computational and driven 409 model studies, the power was computed over the entire medial surface of the VF, as op-410 posed to considering only the contribution from the medial component of the VF surface 411 velocity and only in the glottal region, as performed in the current study. Nevertheless, 412 similar behavior was observed in the time-varying aerodynamic power during the opening 413 phase of oscillation, with an initial positive value that subsequently decreased, reaching a 414 negative value as the glottis reached maximum opening (compare Figure 9(b) to Figure 12 415  $in^3$  and Figure 13  $in^{16}$ ). However, during the closing phase, the power in the computational 416 and the driven model investigations reached more negative values at the beginning of the 417 closing phase and then increased, becoming positive only at the end of the closing phase. In 418 the current study the power was always positive during the closing phase. This discrepancy 419 is likely due to the power transfer that occurred in the VF entrance regions, which was in-420 cluded in the computational and the driven models and not in the current synthetic silicone 421 model investigation. For example, at the beginning of the closing phase, the magnitude 422

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

of the pressure within the glottis was negative while the pressure in the subglottal region 423 was positive, as can be seen in Figure 8(a). This resulted in positive power transfer in the 424 self-oscillating model, which only considered the negative pressure within the glottis when 425 computing the power transfer. In contrast, the power transfer in the computational and the 426 driven models were positive because it considered the pressure distribution across the entire 427 VF surface, including the regions of positive pressure inferior to the glottis. However, as 428 the VFs closed, the intraglottal pressure decreased, see Figures 8(c,d). Because the surface 429 velocity inside the glottis is higher than along the inferior surfaces, the power transfer that 430 occurs within the glottis drives the energy exchange. This is evidenced by the net power 431 subsequently increasing in all of the models. One important feature of the power transfer 432 that was not captured by the computational and the driven models was the sharp drop in 433 power immediately preceding VF closure, which was due to increased pressure losses arising 434 from viscous effects as the glottal orifice becomes very small. This is likely because in the 435 computational investigations, the collision phase was not modeled (i.e., a finite glottal area 436 was always present) thereby altering the aerodynamic behavior during closure. In the driven 437 model, a Bernoulli flow assumption was used to obtain the pressure field, which neglected 438 the viscous effects of the flow and was not able to predict the pressure rise at the end of the 439 closing phase. 440

The variation of the intraglottal aerodynamic energy transfer as a function of anteriorposterior position was also investigated. The aerodynamic energy transferred per unit width (W') during the opening and closing of one VF oscillation was calculated by integrating the

#### JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

<sup>444</sup> power per unit width over time as

$$W'_{k} = \int_{t_{i_{k}}}^{t_{e_{k}}} \dot{W}'_{k}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{3}$$

where  $t_i$  and  $t_e$  are the times corresponding to start and end of the opening or closing of 445 the VF at the specified anterior-posterior location, as denoted by the subscript k. The total 44F aerodynamic energy per unit width at the four anterior-posterior directions is shown in 447 Figure 10 along with the respective contributions from the opening and closing phases. The 448 total aerodynamic energy transfer was positive across the entire anterior-posterior width. 440 indicating that throughout an oscillation cycle, net energy is transferred from the fluid to 450 the VF across the anterior-posterior direction. However, the total energy per unit width 451 decreased significantly from the midline to the anterior edge, indicating that the majority 452 of the energy exchange occurs at the middle of the VFs. The total energy at the location of 453  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.63$  was only 14% of the value at the midline. These findings further reinforce the 454 importance of considering three-dimensional effects. 455

It is interesting to note that while the midpoint experienced largely the same amount of 456 positive energy transfer during both opening and closing, the more anterior locations exhibit 457 a marked increase in energy transfer during the opening versus closing phases. The energy 458 during opening increased from the midline to  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.21$  because of the increased intra-459 glottal pressure at this same location, as observed in Figure 7(a-d). It is believed that this 460 anterior-posterior variation in the intraglottal pressure was due to the three-dimensional flow 461 behavior that occurred. During closure, the energy transfer decreased and became slightly 462 negative at  $2y/w_{\rm g} = 0.42$  because the glottal profile was less divergent at this location. Con-463

# JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics



FIG. 10. (Color online) Aerodynamic energy per unit anterior-posterior width computed during VF opening and closing, and the total aerodynamic energy transferred over the entire phonatory cycle, as a function of anterior-posterior location.

sequently, the minimum gauge pressure remained positive. The energy transfer, computed
as the product of the pressure and the negative surface velocity was, therefore, negative.

The total energy transferred to the VF within the glottis can be computed by assuming a linear variation between the energy at each anterior-posterior location that goes to zero at the anterior-posterior edge, and integrating the energy over the anterior-posterior direction. Note that because the pressure field was assumed symmetric about the anterior-posterior midline, the energy was only computed over half of the VF width, and then multiplied by 2. The aerodynamic energy transfer, W, during the opening and closing phases, as well as the total amount, were found to be

473  $W_{\text{Opening}} = 17.52 \mu J, W_{\text{Closure}} = 8.29 \mu J, W_{\text{Total}} = 25.81 \mu J, \text{ respectively.}$ 

The net energy transfer was positive during both opening and closing, which is in contrast with prior numerical<sup>3</sup> and driven VF model<sup>16</sup>observations, although only the intraglottal en-

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

ergy transfer was considered in the current work. Nevertheless, these findings are consistent 476 with the theory that the net energy transfer must be positive to ensure self-oscillation<sup>5</sup>. The 477 energy transfer per unit width at the anterior-posterior midline accounted for  $\approx 45\%$  of the 478 total energy transfer to the VFs, with the middle third of the glottis accounting for over 479 80% of the total aerodynamic energy transfer. While prior work<sup>56</sup> has highlighted how the 480 surface pressure is influenced by anterior-posterior variations, the energy transfer is found 481 to be even more centrally concentrated along the glottal midline due to the multiplicative 482 relationship between the decreased pressure and surface velocity at the anterior-posterior 483 endpoints. The concentration of the energy transfer in the middle of the VF also suggests 484 that more energy is also dissipated along the midline, which coincides with where VF fatigue 485 and damage are most likely to occur<sup>75,76</sup>. This may help explain the prevalence of some VF 486 pathologies such as polyps and nodules in the mid anterior-posterior direction of the VFs<sup>77</sup>. 487

The variation in energy transfer due to the inferior-superior VF limits over which the aerodynamic pressure is considered has implications for reduced-order VF models as well since, historically, they only consider the contribution to intraglottal energy transfer from medial-lateral force and velocity components<sup>78</sup>, as in the current work. Discrepancies due to the approximation of the medial surface velocity in the current investigations are also likely to influence the energy exchange.

It is interesting to note that the aerodynamic power per unit width (see Figure 9(b)), when multiplied by the total glottal with of 17.0 mm yields a maximum value of ~  $\mathcal{O}(0.01W)$ , which is consistent with prior lumped-element modeling efforts<sup>53,74</sup>. Note that the work of Zañartu et al.<sup>74</sup> reports a similar value for the power transfer as shown in Figure 5 in

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

the article, although the associated text reports an incorrect value due to a typographical 498 error. However, the value of the aerodynamic power in the analogous numerical<sup>3</sup> and driven 490 VF work<sup>16</sup> is reported to be two orders of magnitude larger. This difference arises due 500 to computing the power over the entire VF surface, as opposed to just within the glottis. 501 In addition, there also appears to be an inconsistency in how the power was computed in 502 these studies. Namely, the aerodynamic power can be calculated as the product of the 503 pressure integrated over the area and the surface normal velocity, as specified by Equation 504 5. However, as shown in Figure 14 in<sup>3</sup>, the aerodynamic pressure at time t = 0.057 s can 505 be conservatively estimated to be  $\approx 3,000$  Pa over the entire VF surface, which is given to 506 be 1.7 cm in the anterior-posterior direction, and from the same figure, can be estimated to 507 be  $\approx 1.5 \,\mathrm{cm}$  in the inferior-superior direction. The product of these values yields a normal 508 force of  $\approx 0.8$  N. The surface velocity can be estimated from the slope of the change in 509 orifice width in time, given in Figure 12(a) in<sup>3</sup>. At time t = 0.057 s, the slope of the orifice 510 width curve is  $\approx 0.7 \,\mathrm{m/s}$ . The resultant power should then be  $\sim \mathcal{O}(0.1W)$ . Although this 511 value is larger than those reported in the current physical models, this is likely due to the 512 simple estimation of a constant pressure being distributed over the entire VF surface area for 513 ease of calculation in the current discussion. This clearly overestimates the power transfer. 514 Nevertheless, despite this assumption, the estimated value is still over an order of magnitude 515 smaller than the aerodynamic power, reported as  $\approx 8.5 \text{ J/s}$  at t = 0.057 s in Figure 12(b) of 516 Thomson et  $al^3$ . In the same way, it can be inferred that the power magnitude computed in 517 the driven model<sup>16</sup> is at least an order of magnitude higher than expected. 518

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

### 519 IV. CONCLUSIONS

Investigation of the intraglottal aerodynamic pressure was performed with a synthetic self-oscillating VF model in a hemilaryngeal orientation. The key oscillation features of the model such as frequency, open quotient, speed quotient, and glottal distance, were found to have physiologically-relevant values. Therefore, it was deemed a suitable surrogate for investigating the intraglottal pressure distribution.

The intraglottal pressure distribution was found to be highly dependent on unsteady and three-dimensional effects. Unsteady flow effects were reflected in the intraglottal gauge pressure becoming negative during the later stages of VF opening, a feature not captured by prior static VF, steady-flow investigations. This influenced the energy exchange process, decreasing the total amount of energy transferred from the fluid to the VF during the opening phase.

The net aerodynamic energy transfer of the fluid to the structure was also positive during the closing phase, although it was less positive than during opening, thereby satisfying the theory of energy exchange necessary for successful VF oscillation<sup>5</sup>. Immediately preceding VF closure, the energy exchange decreased precipitously as the intraglottal pressure increased due to viscous losses through the narrowing glottal aperture.

Significant variations in the intraglottal aerodynamic pressure were observed between the midline, and the anterior locations along the VF surface. Similarly, the aerodynamic energy transfer varied in the anterior-posterior direction with over 80% of the aerodynamic energy transfer occurring over the middle third of the VF surface. This supports the idea that VF

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

damage occurs along the middle third of the VF length due to the increased dissipation of
energy within this region.

Future work aims to use a similar approach to that employed herein to measure intraglottal pressure distributions during the collision phase of the VF in order to improve estimations of collision dose, thereby better predicting VF damage.

# 545 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders Grant P50 DC015446. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

# 550 **REFERENCES**

- <sup>1</sup>R. Wegel, "Theory of vibration of the larynx 1," Bell System Technical Journal 9(1), <sup>552</sup> 207–227 (1930).
- <sup>553</sup> <sup>2</sup>J. Van den Berg, J. Zantema, and P. Doornenbal Jr, "On the air resistance and the <sup>554</sup> bernoulli effect of the human larynx," The journal of the acoustical society of America <sup>555</sup> **29**(5), 626–631 (1957).
- <sup>3</sup>S. L. Thomson, L. Mongeau, and S. H. Frankel, "Aerodynamic transfer of energy to the
- vocal folds," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **118**(3), 1689–1700 (2005).

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

- <sup>4</sup>I. R. Titze, "Mean intraglottal pressure in vocal fold oscillation," Journal of Phonetics
  <sup>14</sup>(3-4), 359–364 (1986).
- <sup>560</sup> <sup>5</sup>I. R. Titze, "The physics of small-amplitude oscillation of the vocal folds," The Journal of
- <sup>561</sup> the Acoustical Society of America **83**(4), 1536–1552 (1988).
- <sup>562</sup> <sup>6</sup>X. Pelorson, A. Hirschberg, A. Wijnands, and H. Bailliet, "Description of the flow through
- in-vitro models of the glottis during phonation," Acta acustica 3, 191–202 (1995).
- <sup>564</sup> <sup>7</sup>A. Hirschberg, X. Pelorson, G. Hofmans, R. Van Hassel, and A. Wijnands, "Starting
- transient of the flow through an in-vitro model of the vocal folds," in *Vocal fold physiology:*
- <sup>566</sup> Controlling complexity and chaos (Singular Publishing Group, 1996), pp. 31–46.
- <sup>567</sup> <sup>8</sup>G. Hofmans, G. Groot, M. Ranucci, G. Graziani, and A. Hirschberg, "Unsteady flow <sup>568</sup> through in-vitro models of the glottis," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America

569 113(3), 1658-1675 (2003).

- <sup>9</sup>M. Triep, C. Brücker, and W. Schröder, "High-speed piv measurements of the flow downstream of a dynamic mechanical model of the human vocal folds," Experiments in Fluids
  <sup>572</sup> **39**(2), 232–245 (2005).
- <sup>573</sup> <sup>10</sup>B. D. Erath and M. W. Plesniak, "An investigation of bimodal jet trajectory in flow <sup>574</sup> through scaled models of the human vocal tract," Experiments in Fluids **40**(5), 683 (2006).
- <sup>575</sup> <sup>11</sup>B. D. Erath and M. W. Plesniak, "The occurrence of the coanda effect in pulsatile flow
- through static models of the human vocal folds," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of
- 577 America **120**(2), 1000–1011 (2006).

- <sup>12</sup>B. D. Erath and M. W. Plesniak, "An investigation of jet trajectory in flow through scaled
  vocal fold models with asymmetric glottal passages," Experiments in fluids 41(5), 735–748
  (2006).
- <sup>13</sup>B. D. Erath and M. W. Plesniak, "Viscous flow features in scaled-up physical models of
  normal and pathological vocal phonation," International journal of heat and fluid flow
  <sup>583</sup> 31(3), 468–481 (2010).
- <sup>14</sup>B. D. Erath and M. W. Plesniak, "An investigation of asymmetric flow features in a
  scaled-up driven model of the human vocal folds," Experiments in Fluids 49(1), 131–146
  (2010).
- <sup>15</sup>L. Mongeau, N. Franchek, C. H. Coker, and R. A. Kubli, "Characteristics of a pulsating
  jet through a small modulated orifice, with application to voice production," The Journal
  of the Acoustical Society of America 102(2), 1121–1133 (1997).
- <sup>16</sup>J. B. Park and L. Mongeau, "Experimental investigation of the influence of a posterior
   gap on glottal flow and sound," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 124(2),
   <sup>1171–1179</sup> (2008).
- <sup>593</sup> <sup>17</sup>F. Alipour and R. C. Scherer, "Pulsatile airflow during phonation: An excised larynx <sup>594</sup> model," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **97**(2), 1241–1248 (1995).
- <sup>18</sup>F. Alipour, R. Scherer, and V. Patel, "An experimental study of pulsatile flow in canine
  larynges," Journal of Fluids Engineering 117(4), 577–581 (1995).
- <sup>597</sup> <sup>19</sup>G. S. Berke, D. M. Moore, P. A. Monkewitz, D. G. Hanson, and B. R. Gerratt, "A
- <sup>598</sup> preliminary study of particle velocity during phonation in an in vivo canine model," Journal

- <sup>599</sup> of Voice  $\mathbf{3}(4)$ , 306–313 (1989).
- <sup>20</sup>L. Oren, S. Khosla, and E. Gutmark, "Intraglottal geometry and velocity measurements
  in canine larynges," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 135(1), 380–388
  (2014).
- <sup>603</sup> <sup>21</sup>F. Alipour, D. A. Berry, and I. R. Titze, "A finite-element model of vocal-fold vibration,"
- The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 108(6), 3003-3012 (2000).
- <sup>605</sup> <sup>22</sup>F. Alipour and R. C. Scherer, "Flow separation in a computational oscillating vocal fold
- model," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 116(3), 1710–1719 (2004).
- <sup>607</sup> <sup>23</sup>G. Z. Decker and S. L. Thomson, "Computational simulations of vocal fold vibration:
  <sup>608</sup> Bernoulli versus navier–stokes," Journal of Voice **21**(3), 273–284 (2007).
- <sup>609</sup> <sup>24</sup>C. Zhang, W. Zhao, S. H. Frankel, and L. Mongeau, "Computational aeroacoustics of
  <sup>610</sup> phonation, part ii: Effects of flow parameters and ventricular folds," The Journal of the
  <sup>611</sup> Acoustical Society of America 112(5), 2147–2154 (2002).
- <sup>612</sup> <sup>25</sup>W. Zhao, S. Frankel, and L. Mongeau, "Numerical simulations of sound from confined <sup>613</sup> pulsating axisymmetric jets," AIAA journal **39**(10), 1868–1874 (2001).
- <sup>614</sup> <sup>26</sup>W. Zhao, C. Zhang, S. H. Frankel, and L. Mongeau, "Computational aeroacoustics of
  <sup>615</sup> phonation, part i: Computational methods and sound generation mechanisms," The Jour<sup>616</sup> nal of the Acoustical Society of America **112**(5), 2134–2146 (2002).
- <sup>617</sup> <sup>27</sup>X. Zheng, Q. Xue, R. Mittal, and S. Beilamowicz, "A coupled sharp-interface immersed <sup>618</sup> boundary-finite-element method for flow-structure interaction with application to human <sup>619</sup> phonation," Journal of biomechanical engineering **132**(11) (2010).

- <sup>620</sup> <sup>28</sup>X. Zheng, R. Mittal, and S. Bielamowicz, "A computational study of asymmetric glottal
  <sup>621</sup> jet deflection during phonation," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129(4),
  <sup>622</sup> 2133–2143 (2011).
- <sup>623</sup> <sup>29</sup>X. Zheng, R. Mittal, Q. Xue, and S. Bielamowicz, "Direct-numerical simulation of the
- glottal jet and vocal-fold dynamics in a three-dimensional laryngeal model," The Journal
- of the Acoustical Society of America 130(1), 404–415 (2011).
- <sup>30</sup>R. Mittal, B. D. Erath, and M. W. Plesniak, "Fluid dynamics of human phonation and speech," Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics **45**, 437–467 (2013).
- <sup>628</sup> <sup>31</sup>N. Binh and J. Gauffin, "Aerodynamic measurements in an enlarged static laryngeal <sup>629</sup> model," STL-QPSR **24**(2-3), 1 (1983).
- <sup>32</sup>R. C. Scherer and C.-g. Guo, "Laryngeal modeling: Translaryngeal pressure for a model
   with many glottal shapes," in *First International Conference on Spoken Language Pro- cessing* (1990).
- <sup>33</sup>R. C. Scherer, D. Shinwari, K. J. De Witt, C. Zhang, B. R. Kucinschi, and A. A. Afjeh,
  "Intraglottal pressure profiles for a symmetric and oblique glottis with a divergence angle
  of 10 degrees," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 109(4), 1616–1630 (2001).
- <sup>34</sup>R. C. Scherer, D. Shinwari, K. J. De Witt, C. Zhang, B. R. Kucinschi, and A. A. Afjeh,
  "Intraglottal pressure distributions for a symmetric and oblique glottis with a uniform
  <sup>636</sup> duct (1)," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 112(4), 1253–1256 (2002).
- <sup>639</sup> <sup>35</sup>S. Li, R. C. Scherer, M. Wan, S. Wang, and H. Wu, "The effect of glottal angle on
- <sup>640</sup> intraglottal pressure," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **119**(1), 539–548

JASA/Intraglottal Aerodynamics

<sup>641</sup> (2006).

- <sup>36</sup>S. Li, R. C. Scherer, M. Wan, S. Wang, and H. Wu, "Numerical study of the effects of
  <sup>643</sup> inferior and superior vocal fold surface angles on vocal fold pressure distributions," The
  <sup>644</sup> Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 119(5), 3003–3010 (2006).
- <sup>37</sup>S. Li, R. C. Scherer, M. Wan, S. Wang, and B. Song, "Intraglottal pressure: a comparison
  <sup>646</sup> between male and female larynxes," Journal of Voice (2019).
- <sup>647</sup> <sup>38</sup>S. Li, R. C. Scherer, M. Wan, and S. Wang, "The effect of entrance radii on intraglottal
- pressure distributions in the divergent glottis," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of
- <sup>649</sup> America **131**(2), 1371–1377 (2012).
- <sup>650</sup> <sup>39</sup>L. P. Fulcher, R. C. Scherer, and T. Powell, "Pressure distributions in a static physical
- model of the uniform glottis: Entrance and exit coefficients," The Journal of the Acoustical
  Society of America 129(3), 1548–1553 (2011).
- <sup>40</sup>R. C. Scherer, K. J. De Witt, and B. R. Kucinschi, "The effect of exit radii on intraglottal
   pressure distributions in the convergent glottis," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of
   America 110(5), 2267–2269 (2001).
- <sup>41</sup>K. Ishizaka and J. L. Flanagan, "Synthesis of voiced sounds from a two-mass model of the
  vocal cords," Bell system technical journal 51(6), 1233–1268 (1972).
- <sup>42</sup>J. B. Park and L. Mongeau, "Instantaneous orifice discharge coefficient of a physical, driven
- model of the human larynx," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 121(1),
  442–455 (2007).

- <sup>43</sup>M. H. Krane, M. Barry, and T. Wei, "Dynamics of temporal variations in phonatory flow,"
- <sup>662</sup> The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 128(1), 372–383 (2010).
- <sup>663</sup> <sup>44</sup>C. Vilain, X. Pelorson, C. Fraysse, M. Deverge, A. Hirschberg, and J. Willems, "Exper-
- <sup>664</sup> imental validation of a quasi-steady theory for the flow through the glottis," Journal of
- sound and vibration 276(3-5), 475-490 (2004).
- <sup>45</sup>P. Šidlof, O. Doaré, O. Cadot, and A. Chaigne, "Measurement of flow separation in a
  <sup>667</sup> human vocal folds model," Experiments in fluids 51(1), 123–136 (2011).
- <sup>46</sup>L. Oren, S. Khosla, and E. Gutmark, "Intraglottal pressure distribution computed from
   empirical velocity data in canine larynx," Journal of biomechanics 47(6), 1287–1293
   (2014).
- <sup>47</sup>L. Oren, E. Gutmark, and S. Khosla, "Intraglottal velocity and pressure measurements in
  a hemilarynx model," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 137(2), 935–943
  (2015).
- <sup>48</sup>A. Pirnia, E. A. Browning, S. D. Peterson, and B. D. Erath, "Discrete and periodic vortex
  loading on a flexible plate; application to energy harvesting and voiced speech production,"
  Journal of Sound and Vibration 433, 476–492 (2018).
- <sup>49</sup>J. S. Drechsel and S. L. Thomson, "Influence of supraglottal structures on the glottal jet exiting a two-layer synthetic, self-oscillating vocal fold model," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **123**(6), 4434–4445 (2008).
- <sup>50</sup>S. Khosla, S. Muruguppan, E. Gutmark, and R. Scherer, "Vortical flow field during phona-
- tion in an excised canine larynx model," Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology

- $\mathbf{116}(3), 217-228 (2007).$
- <sup>51</sup>B. D. Erath, S. D. Peterson, M. Zañartu, G. R. Wodicka, and M. W. Plesniak, "A theoretical model of the pressure field arising from asymmetric intraglottal flows applied to
  a two-mass model of the vocal folds," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **130**(1), 389–403 (2011).
- <sup>52</sup>B. D. Erath, S. D. Peterson, M. Zañartu, G. R. Wodicka, K. C. Stewart, and M. W. Plesniak, "Response to "comments on 'a theoretical model of the pressure distributions arising
  from asymmetric intraglottal flows applied to a two-mass model of the vocal folds" [j.
  acoust. soc. am. 130, 389–403 (2011)]," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **134**(2), 913–916 (2013).
- <sup>53</sup>B. D. Erath, S. D. Peterson, K. S. Weiland, M. W. Plesniak, and M. Zañartu, "An acoustic
  <sup>693</sup> source model for asymmetric intraglottal flow with application to reduced-order models of
  <sup>694</sup> the vocal folds," PloS one 14(7), e0219914 (2019).
- <sup>54</sup>X. Zheng, J. Seo, V. Vedula, T. Abraham, and R. Mittal, "Computational modeling and analysis of intracardiac flows in simple models of the left ventricle," European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids 35, 31–39 (2012).
- <sup>55</sup>Q. Xue, X. Zheng, R. Mittal, and S. Bielamowicz, "Computational study of effects of
   <sup>699</sup> tension imbalance on phonation in a three-dimensional tubular larynx model," Journal of
   <sup>700</sup> Voice 28(4), 411–419 (2014).
- <sup>56</sup>R. C. Scherer, S. Torkaman, B. R. Kucinschi, and A. A. Afjeh, "Intraglottal pressures in a three-dimensional model with a non-rectangular glottal shape," The Journal of the

- <sup>703</sup> Acoustical Society of America **128**(2), 828–838 (2010).
- <sup>57</sup>F. Alipour and R. C. Scherer, "Dynamic glottal pressures in an excised hemilarynx model,"
  Journal of Voice 14(4), 443–454 (2000).
- <sup>706</sup> <sup>58</sup>P. Bhattacharya and T. Siegmund, "Validation of a flow–structure-interaction computation
- model of phonation," Journal of fluids and structures 48, 169–187 (2014).
- <sup>59</sup>C. F. de Luzan, L. Oren, E. Gutmark, and S. M. Khosla, "Quantification of the intraglottal
  pressure induced by flow separation vortices using large eddy simulation," Journal of Voice
  (2020).
- <sup>60</sup>M. Motie-Shirazi, M. Zañartu, S. D. Peterson, D. D. Mehta, J. B. Kobler, R. E. Hillman,
- and B. D. Erath, "Toward development of a vocal fold contact pressure probe: sensor
  characterization and validation using synthetic vocal fold models," Applied Sciences 9(15),
  3002 (2019).
- <sup>61</sup>B. H. Story, "Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging-based vocal tract area functions
  obtained from the same speaker in 1994 and 2002," The Journal of the Acoustical Society
  of America 123(1), 327–335 (2008).
- <sup>62</sup>R. W. Chan and M. L. Rodriguez, "A simple-shear rheometer for linear viscoelastic characterization of vocal fold tissues at phonatory frequencies," The Journal of the Acoustical
  Society of America 124(2), 1207–1219 (2008).
- <sup>63</sup>K. A. Stevens, "Geometry and material properties of vocal fold models [MS thesis],"
  Brigham Young University (2015).

723	<sup>64</sup> R. E. Kania, S. Hans, D. M. Hartl, P. Clement, L. Crevier-Buchman, and D. F. Brasnu,
724	"Variability of electroglottographic glottal closed quotients: necessity of standardization
725	to obtain normative values," Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery $130(3)$ ,
726	349–352 (2004).

- <sup>65</sup>J. Lohscheller, J. G. Švec, and M. Döllinger, "Vocal fold vibration amplitude, open quotient, speed quotient and their variability along glottal length: kymographic data from
  <sup>729</sup> normal subjects," Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology **38**(4), 182–192 (2013).
- <sup>66</sup>J. J. Jiang, Y. Zhang, M. P. Kelly, E. T. Bieging, and M. R. Hoffman, "An automatic
  method to quantify mucosal waves via videokymography," The Laryngoscope 118(8),
  1504–1510 (2008).
- <sup>67</sup>R. J. Baken and R. F. Orlikoff, *Clinical measurement of speech and voice* (Cengage Learn<sup>734</sup> ing, 2000).
- <sup>68</sup>D. D. Mehta, J. B. Kobler, S. M. Zeitels, M. Zañartu, B. D. Erath, M. Motie-Shirazi, S. D.
  Peterson, R. H. Petrillo, and R. E. Hillman, "Toward development of a vocal fold contact
  pressure probe: Bench-top validation of a dual-sensor probe using excised human larynx
  models," Applied Sciences 9(20), 4360 (2019).
- <sup>69</sup>J. J. Jiang and I. R. Titze, "Measurement of vocal fold intraglottal pressure and impact
  stress," J. Voice 8, 132–144 (1994).
- <sup>70</sup>M. Doellinger and D. A. Berry, "Visualization and quantification of the medial surface
  dynamics of an excised human vocal fold during phonation," Journal of Voice 20(3), 401–
  413 (2006).

- <sup>71</sup>I. R. Titze and F. Alipour, *The myoelastic aerodynamic theory of phonation* (National
  <sup>745</sup> Center for Voice and Speech, 2006).
- <sup>72</sup>See Supplementary materials at [URL will be inserted by AIP] for a video showing the
  progression of the intraglottal pressure distribution.
- <sup>748</sup> <sup>73</sup>L. P. Fulcher, R. C. Scherer, K. J. De Witt, P. Thapa, Y. Bo, and B. R. Kucinschi,
- "Pressure distributions in a static physical model of the hemilarynx: measurements and
  computations," Journal of Voice 24(1), 2–20 (2010).
- <sup>74</sup>M. Zañartu, G. E. Galindo, B. D. Erath, S. D. Peterson, G. R. Wodicka, and R. E.
- <sup>752</sup> Hillman, "Modeling the effects of a posterior glottal opening on vocal fold dynamics with
- <sup>753</sup> implications for vocal hyperfunction," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America
  <sup>754</sup> 136(6), 3262–3271 (2014).
- <sup>755</sup> <sup>75</sup>I. R. Titze, J. G. Svec, and P. S. Popolo, "Vocal dose measures," Journal of Speech,
  Language, and Hearing Research (2003).
- <sup>76</sup>I. R. Titze and E. J. Hunter, "Comparison of vocal vibration-dose measures for potentialdamage risk criteria," Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research 58(5), 1425–
  1439 (2015).
- <sup>77</sup>F. G. Dikkers and P. G. Nikkels, "Benign lesions of the vocal folds: histopathology and
  <sup>761</sup> phonotrauma," Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology **104**(9), 698–703 (1995).
- <sup>762</sup> <sup>78</sup>B. D. Erath, M. Zañartu, K. C. Stewart, M. W. Plesniak, D. E. Sommer, and S. D.
  <sup>763</sup> Peterson, "A review of lumped-element models of voiced speech," Speech Communication
  <sup>764</sup> 55(5), 667–690 (2013).

- <sup>765</sup> <sup>79</sup>K. Comley and N. Fleck, "The compressive response of porcine adipose tissue from low to
- <sup>766</sup> high strain rate," International Journal of Impact Engineering 46, 1–10 (2012).
- <sup>767</sup> <sup>80</sup>Y. B. Min, I. R. Titze, and F. Alipour-Haghighi, "Stress-strain response of the human
- vocal ligament," Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology 104(7), 563–569 (1995).
- <sup>769</sup> <sup>81</sup>D. K. Chhetri, Z. Zhang, and J. Neubauer, "Measurement of young's modulus of vocal
- <sup>770</sup> folds by indentation," J. Voice **25**, 1–7 (2011).
- <sup>771</sup> <sup>82</sup>G. R. Dion, P. G. Coelho, S. Teng, M. N. Janal, M. R. Amin, and R. C. Branski, "Dynamic
- nanomechanical analysis of the vocal fold structure in excised larynges," The Laryngoscope
- 773 127(7), E225–E230 (2017).
- <sup>83</sup>F. Alipour and S. Vigmostad, "Measurement of vocal folds elastic properties for continuum
  <sup>modeling</sup>," Journal of Voice 26(6), 816–e21 (2012).
- <sup>84</sup>R. W. Chan and I. R. Titze, "Viscoelastic shear properties of human vocal fold mucosa:
  Measurement methodology and empirical results," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 106, 2008–2021
  (1999).
- <sup>85</sup>R. W. Chan, M. Fu, L. Young, and N. Tirunagari, "Relative contributions of collagen
  and elastin to elasticity of the vocal fold under tension," Annals of biomedical engineering
  <sup>35</sup>(8), 1471–1483 (2007).
- <sup>86</sup>L. Oren, D. Dembinski, E. Gutmark, and S. Khosla, "Characterization of the vocal fold
  vertical stiffness in a canine model," Journal of Voice 28(3), 297–304 (2014).