

1 ***Psychosocial Health of School-aged Children during the Initial COVID-19 Safer-at-Home School***
2 ***mandates in Florida: A cross-sectional study***

3 ***Sarah McKune¹, Daniel Acosta¹, Nick Diaz¹, Kaitlin Brittain¹, Diana Joyce- Beaulieu², Anthony T.***
4 ***Maurelli^{1,3}, Eric J. Nelson^{1,3,4}***

5 ¹ Departments of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health and Health Professions,
6 University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

7 ² Department of Special Education, School Psychology, & Early Childhood Studies, College of Education,
8 University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

9 ³ Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

10 ⁴ Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

11 Corresponding Author: Sarah McKune, smckune@ufl.edu

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 **Abstract**

31 **Background:** Given the emerging literature regarding the impacts of lockdown measures on
32 mental health, this study aims to identify risk factors in school-aged children for being at risk for
33 psychosocial disorders during the COVID-19 Safer-at-Home School mandates in Florida

34 **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in April 2020 (n=280). Bivariate analysis and
35 logistic and multinomial logistic regression models are used to examine socio-demographic and
36 knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) predictors of anxiety, depression, and obsessive-
37 compulsive disorder (OCD).

38 **Results:** Loss of household income was associated with being at risk for depression
39 [aOR=3.130, 95% CI= (1.41-6.97)], anxiety [aOR=2.531, 95%CI= (1.154-5.551)], and OCD
40 [aOR=2.90, 95%CI= (1.32-6.36)]. Being female was associated with risk for depression
41 [aOR=1.72, 95% CI=(1.02-2.93)], anxiety [aOR=1.75, 95% CI=(1.04-2.97)], and OCD[aOR=1.764,
42 95%CI= (1.027-3.028)]. Parental practices that are protective against COVID-19 were associated
43 with children being at risk of depression [aOR=1.55, 95% CI= (1.04-2.31)]. Being at a lower
44 school level was risk factor for anxiety and OCD.

45 **Conclusions:** Efforts to address mental health risk in children, as a result schools should
46 prioritize girls, younger children, and children of families who lose income. Limiting the spread
47 of COVID-19 through school closure may exacerbate the risk of psychosocial disorders in
48 children, thus school administrators should move quickly to target those at greatest risk.

49
50 **Keywords:**

51 **COVID-19, Mental Health, Psychosocial impacts, Vulnerable Population, Pandemic**

52

53

54

55

56 **Background**

57 Social distancing is the primary public health intervention to reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2,
58 the virus that causes COVID-19. In the Spring of 2020, school closures, limits on the number of
59 individuals allowed at social gatherings, and closing restaurants, bars, and businesses rapidly
60 reduced transmission and flattened the epidemiologic curve in many parts of the US, including
61 Florida. Though children appear to be at less risk of severe illness and mortality associated with
62 COVID-19¹, these interventions significantly disrupted the lives of children and families – and
63 not equally. Disparities in health care, education, and wealth, which are prevalent across the
64 United States, put minorities and disadvantaged groups at higher risk for negative outcomes
65 associated with the pandemic.

66

67 The effects of COVID-19 are far reaching, and scientists urged the research community early in
68 the pandemic to prioritize high quality data on mental health and psychosocial effects of the
69 COVID-19 pandemic ^{2,3}. Past evidence has shown significant psychological effects on children
70 during disasters ⁴, and in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, reports of psychosocial
71 distress in children and adolescents have increased compared to the pre-pandemic baseline ^{5,6}.
72 COVID-19 related hardships have also affected psychological wellbeing of children, with greater
73 impact on those who have pre-existing mental illness or who live in households facing larger
74 economic distress^{6,7}. Findings from early studies in China found an increase in the prevalence of
75 children reporting symptoms of depression and anxiety during home confinement ⁸. A similar
76 study of the general population in China found that some preventive measures were associated
77 with reporting mental health symptoms ⁹. COVID-19 psychosocial data are limited, especially
78 data representative of racial and ethnically diverse populations of children in the US. Given that
79 minority communities and communities of color in the US have experienced much higher rates
80 of infection and death ¹⁰, the distribution of the psychological toll of COVID-19, including fear,
81 loss, and trauma, is disproportionately affecting children of these communities ^{11,12}. Unequal
82 access to healthcare and educational options during the pandemic, along with any

83 discrimination that comes from being a member of a community with high rates of
84 transmission^{5,13}, will only serve to amplify these psychosocial ramifications.

85 Families have varying degrees of knowledge, and myriad attitudes and practices surrounding
86 COVID-19. If/how these knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) are associated with risk of
87 poor psychosocial outcomes in children is unknown. KAP studies, often used to develop
88 appropriate public health interventions, are built on the well-established Theory of Planned
89 Behavior and assume a linear relationship, culminating in behaviors that improve health¹⁴⁻¹⁶.
90 Given that the situation with COVID-19 has had high levels of uncertainty, there might be a
91 relationship with KAP and psychosocial distress.

92 Understanding the effects of COVID-19 on the psychosocial health of school-aged children and
93 identifying those groups of children most at risk is necessary for the development of
94 appropriate, targeted mental health interventions. The aim of this study is to describe the
95 psychosocial health of a population of school-aged children during the early phase of the
96 COVID-19 pandemic. We examine parental KAP related to COVID-19 by race/ethnicity. We then
97 examine these, and other socio-demographic risk factors associated with poor psychosocial
98 health in school-aged children. This information will assist school administrators, public health
99 practitioners, and policy makers in designing evidence-based, targeted interventions to address
100 the psychosocial needs of the school-aged population during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.
101 This study examines social groups (sex, age, race, and ethnicity) and COVID-19 related KAP as
102 risk factors for indicators of poor psychosocial outcomes, including anxiety, depression, and
103 obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), among school-aged (K-12) children in Florida at the
104 beginning of the pandemic.

105 **Methods**

106 *Study Design*

107 A cross-sectional study was conducted in April 2020 to describe the effects of COVID-19 on
108 school-aged children and their parents. We present results from an online survey that collected
109 information on socio-demographics, clinical risk factors, parental COVID-19 related KAP, and
110 indicators of psychosocial risk in children. The survey was coupled with the collection of

111 oropharyngeal swabs (PCR for viral detection) and finger sticks (ELISA for antibodies) from
112 student participants at a drive-thru testing site. Laboratory data associated with this study will
113 be published independently and are not included herein.

114 Data were collected at a public, K-12 school in Florida. The school is mandated to have a
115 student body that reflects the demographics of the State of Florida. Students are characterized
116 by gender, race/ethnicity, family income, and academic needs. Parents/guardians of all current
117 students (N=1178) were invited by email to have their child participate in the study. Those who
118 were interested were able to consent/assent to participation online via a HIPAA compliant
119 interface connected to RedCap (Vanderbilt University). Parental consent was required for all
120 children under the age of 18; and, all children over the age of eight assented for themselves. All
121 data were deidentified prior to analysis and were stored on secured servers to ensure the
122 protection of participants (RedCap). The study was approved by the University of Florida
123 Institutional Review Board, protocol IRB202001345

124 *Variables and statistical analyses*

125 The demographics section of the survey included questions about child's age, sex, race,
126 ethnicity, and the loss of household income. Other risk factors evaluated in the survey included,
127 clinical symptoms and parental occupation. The COVID-19 related KAP section consisted of
128 fourteen knowledge, eight attitude, and five practice questions. Knowledge scores were
129 created by assigning one (1) to each correctly answered knowledge question about COVID-19
130 (transmission, prevention, and/or general information) and summing them for a total possible
131 score of 14. Similarly, attitudes and practices were categorized as protective and unprotective,
132 with protective attitudes and practices coded as one (1) and all others as zero (0), and summed,
133 for respective possible scores of 8 and 5.

134 The questions used to measure psychosocial risk evaluate three internalizing disorders:
135 depression, anxiety, and OCD. These emotional and behavioral disorders were chosen because
136 of their critical importance and generalizability to the pediatric COVID-19 literature^{6,8,17}.
137 Importantly, externalizing disorders are not evaluated in this study. Each psychosocial disorder
138 (depression, anxiety, and OCD) was evaluated using a set of categorical questions (5-point

139 Likert scale). All questions used age-appropriate language and response options (e.g. 1-Never,
140 2-A Little, 3-Sometimes, 4-A Lot, or 5-Always/Constantly). Within each group of questions, if a
141 participant's responses were all 1s or 2s, the child was categorized *Not at Risk*; everyone else
142 was considered *At Risk* and further categorized as *High*, *Medium*, or *Low Risk*, using the
143 frequency of their highest response options (see Likert scale above). Participants who answered
144 "a lot" (4) to two or more questions in a group or "always/constantly" (5) to any one of the
145 questions in the group were considered *High Risk*; participants who answered "a lot" (4) only
146 once, with all other questions at a 3 or below, were considered *Medium Risk*; and any
147 participant who answered "sometimes" (3) to one or more question was considered *Low Risk*.
148 Each group of questions was designed by a team of psychologists working with the research
149 team to identify students at risk of developing one of the specific internalized disorders
150 mentioned above. The six primary outcome variables in this study are *At Risk* (Using *No Risk as*
151 *reference (REF)*) and *High Risk* (REF *No Risk*) of anxiety, depression, and OCD. A summary
152 outcome variable, *Any Risk* indicates if a child presents as *At Risk* for any of the three
153 psychosocial outcomes assessed here.

154 Bivariate analysis of all outcome variables was conducted to test for association with
155 knowledge score, attitude score, and practice score, demographic variables, and parental
156 occupation. An additional bivariate analysis of each individual KAP question was used to
157 identify association between any KAP item (question) and race/ethnicity using Fisher's Exact
158 Test and logistic regression. Further analysis was conducted using logistic and multinomial
159 logistic regression models to examine predictors of *Any Risk* (REF *No Risk*) and *High Risk* (REF *No*
160 *Risk*), respectively, for each depression, anxiety, and OCD. The covariates included in the
161 models were race/ethnicity, sex, school level, household loss of income during the pandemic,
162 parent working in a medical setting, knowledge score, attitude score, and practice score. Survey
163 data were collected in RedCap and analyzed in R Software (version 4.0.0) and SPSS (version 26).

164 **Results**

165 A total of 280 students were enrolled out of student body of 1178. Table 1 presents the
166 demographic characteristic of the sample and the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) for being at *Any*

167 *Risk* for one or more of three internalizing syndromes considered in this study (depression,
168 anxiety, or OCD). The study population was nearly 2/3 White, a fifth Hispanic (regardless of
169 race), and 9% Black. Participants were 48% male, and distributed across high school (40%),
170 middle school (29%) and primary school (31%).

171

172 Parental knowledge about COVID-19 was high, with 31.4% answering all questions correctly,
173 and only 13.6% answering three or more questions incorrectly (Table 2). Attitudes had similar
174 results, with most parents expressing agreement with protective attitudes. Preventative
175 practices were also high, with at least 90% of respondents reporting increased hand washing,
176 avoiding physical contact with those outside their home, and adhering to social distancing
177 guidelines (Table 3).

178 There was a statistically significant association between race/ethnicity and knowledge
179 questions regarding the existence of an effective cure of the virus, where Multiracial/Other
180 respondents had a higher rate of incorrect responses compared to White respondents. The use
181 of masks as a protective factor against COVID-19 was also significant, with White respondents
182 answering that masks do not protect against the virus at a higher proportion than African
183 Americans and Hispanics (Table 2).

184 There were race/ethnic differences in who was worried about getting infected by the virus and,
185 correspondingly, with the knowledge question about mask usage; White respondents were less
186 worried overall about getting infected with the virus compared to African Americans and
187 Hispanics (Table 3). There were no significant differences between Multiracial/Other and White
188 respondents in mask usage or being worried about infection with the virus. Among those
189 practices assessed, no significant difference by race/ethnicity was found for social distancing,
190 handwashing, or general hygiene; however, Hispanic respondents were less likely to report
191 purchasing larger amounts of staple foods than normal.

192

193 Loss of household income was significantly associated with students being *At Risk* of depression
194 [aOR=3.130, 95% CI= (1.41-6.97)], anxiety [aOR=2.531, 95%CI= (1.154-5.551)], and OCD
195 [aOR=2.90, 95%CI= (1.32-6.36)], see Table 4. Statistical results also indicate a marginally
196 significant association (where $p < 0.1$) between loss of household income and a student being at
197 *High Risk* for depression [p value 0.05, aOR=3.74, 95% CI=(1.00-14.01)] and OCD [p value 0.069,
198 aOR=3.19, 95% CI=(0.91-11.12)], see Table 4. Being female was significantly associated with
199 being *At Risk* for depression [aOR=1.72, 95% CI=(1.02-2.93)], anxiety [aOR=1.75, 95% CI=(1.04-
200 2.97)], and OCD[aOR=1.764, 95%CI= (1.027-3.028)]. Girls may also be more likely to present as
201 *High Risk* for depression [p value=0.057, aOR=2.93, 95% CI= (0.970-8.84), Table 3] and OCD [p
202 value= 0.050 aOR=2.58, 95%CI= (0.99-6.68), table 4], as a marginally significant association was
203 identified.

204 School level was significantly associated with being *At Risk* and *High Risk* of both anxiety and
205 OCD (Table 4). Those in primary school and middle school are more likely to be *At Risk* than
206 students in high school, and those in primary school being more likely to be at *High Risk*. A
207 family's COVID-19 Practice score was significantly associated with a child being *At Risk* for
208 depression [aOR=1.55, 95% CI= (1.04-2.31), Table 4]; those families who followed stricter
209 protective practices were more likely to have a child who presented as *At Risk* for depression. A
210 family's COVID-19 Attitude score was marginally significant in the multinomial regression model
211 for *High Risk* of anxiety [p value=0.062, aOR 1.82, 95% CI (0.971-3.43)]. Inversely, increased
212 parental COVID-19 Knowledge was protective against children presenting as *High Risk* for OCD.
213 Parents working in a frontline medical setting was protective against children being *At Risk* for
214 depression [p value=0.084, aOR=0.59, 95% CI= (0.33-1.07)] or OCD [p value= 0.091 aOR=0.592,
215 95%CI= (0.322-1.088)].

216 Discussion

217 A number of items present in this analysis are risk factors for psychosocial distress among
218 school-aged children. These include a loss of income in their household, being female, and
219 being in primary school. In addition, families who report more protective practices were more
220 likely to have children who present as *At Risk* for depression, and families who indicate

221 attitudes consistent with protection from COVID-19 are more likely to have children who
222 present as high risk for anxiety. These results merit further discussion.

223 Loss of household income was a clear indicator of mental distress across the sample population,
224 which is congruent with other studies, suggesting that the economic impacts of the lockdown
225 are an important trigger for mental distress in children.^{3,7,9} Economic hardship alone might be
226 associated with increased risk of socioemotional problems in children, exacerbated by their
227 parents' response to the situation¹⁸. This may also be a partial explanation for why having a
228 parent working on the medical frontline was marginally significant as a protective factor for
229 depression and OCD, as those may be households that are economically advantaged (doctors,
230 nurses), and did not lose income compared to others.

231 Sex was also an important risk factor, as girls were more likely to be at risk for depression,
232 anxiety, and OCD. This finding echoes results from post-disaster related studies, where being
233 female was associated with increased psychosocial risk¹⁹ On the other hand, this result might
234 be related to reporting, as girls are more likely than boys to report their risk and/or clinical
235 symptoms of depression²⁰⁻²². The results also suggest that children in primary school are more
236 likely to present as at high risk for anxiety and OCD, making them priority groups for
237 interventions at schools.

238 Reporting protective practices was associated with children presenting as *At Risk* for
239 depression. Distancing and isolation can increase stress, which can aggravate feelings of
240 loneliness and impact long term health³. Protective attitudes in parents was also associated
241 with children presenting as high risk for anxiety. Higher practice scores were associated with
242 hand washing, avoiding physical contact, and adhering to social distancing guidelines, while
243 higher attitudes scores are related to supporting closures and limiting social gatherings. Even
244 though these attitudes and practices are crucial to slow the spread of viral diseases, to continue
245 implementing them might increase risk of psychosocial distress.

246 Thus, the pandemic paradox arises: do we limit the spread of disease at the cost of higher risk
247 of psychosocial distress, or do we risk overwhelming the health system and witness a spike in
248 deaths, as we seek to protect children from risk of psychosocial distress? There is no simple

249 answer, as both options have important negative impacts and implications. Extended
250 quarantine can expose children to domestic violence ²³⁻²⁵, as well as aggravating loss of
251 household income to those who are unable to hire private childcare, thus are unable to return
252 to work. On the other hand, reopening schools could expose children to deaths of teachers,
253 staff, and fellow students, which would only exacerbate negative psychosocial impacts.
254 Additionally, there are important disparities that will influence which children become infected:
255 a recent study of pediatric cases showed that 51% of the children infected came from low-
256 income communities, while only 2% came from high-income communities²⁶. Yonker et al. also
257 suggest that children from lower income settings pose a larger threat to their families as
258 household size may be larger with multi-generational co-habitation and higher household
259 density ²⁶.

260 **Conclusion**

261 Many studies aim to predict how lockdown measures will flatten the pandemic curve, but few
262 studies focus on the psychosocial impacts of these interventions on children and their families.
263 As public health experts focus on reducing the spread of COVID-19 infections, it is imperative
264 that they also focus on addressing the psychosocial needs of children. Additional research is
265 needed to better understand and address the impacts of the pandemic and its societal
266 responses on children, but doing so must be inclusive of vulnerable populations, including those
267 whose households have lost income. Future research must include economic status and ensure
268 diversity and inclusion of minorities in order to understand how the impacts affect vulnerable
269 groups differently. Given that loss of household income was clearly an important risk factor for
270 depression, anxiety, and OCD, understanding a household's baseline economic status becomes
271 important in considering vulnerability and possible mitigating conditions. These findings have
272 important implications for policy makers as they negotiate the continuation of funding for
273 unemployment benefits and other safety nets for those economically disadvantaged, as losing
274 these could increase the number of children at risk of psychosocial distress. There should be a
275 national, coordinated effort to continue collecting psychosocial data on children, as well as to
276 design strategies and coping mechanisms for these children as societies across the country
277 strive to balance the risks of COVID-19 infection and the risk of lockdown on psychosocial

278 wellbeing. Previous disasters have shown that adverse psychological effects are not only
279 present during the event, but also remain long after the incident¹⁹. Efforts to address mental
280 health cannot wait until the pandemic is over, neither for school age population as the present
281 study suggests, nor for adults, as other studies indicate^{7,9,11,27-29}. At the very minimum, high risk
282 groups must be identified across the US. These data contribute to evidence that lay bare the
283 urgent need for primary and secondary school administrators, in collaboration with public
284 health practitioners and medical professionals, to roll out targeted and group interventions as
285 early as possible to respond to the emotional and psychosocial needs of children after a
286 disaster occurs.

287 **Limitations**

288 Data were not collected on household income; only loss of income due to COVID-19 was
289 captured, thus limiting interpretation of some of these analyses. The survey instrument was
290 designed in March 2020, when the use of masks was not widely recommended³⁰ and there
291 were many uncertainties about the virus and its spread. As a result, only one question about
292 mask usage was included in the knowledge section of the questionnaire. The survey was
293 completed in mid-April when schools had already moved to remote learning and prevention
294 campaigns were already in effect, which could explain the high rate at which knowledge
295 questions were answered correctly.

296 **List of abbreviations**

297 aOR: Adjusted odds ratio

298 CI: Confidence Interval

299 HIPPA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

300 KAP: Knowledge, attitudes, and practices

301 OCD: Obsessive Compulsive Disorder

302 **Declarations**

303 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

304 The study was approved by the University of Florida Institutional Review Board, protocol
305 IRB202001345

306 **Consent for publication**

307 Not applicable

308 **Availability of data and materials**

309 All data will be de-identified and made publicly available 12 months after the end of the cohort
310 study.

311 **Competing interests**

312 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

313 **Funding**

314 This research was funded and supported by the University of Florida College of Public Health
315 and Health Professions, the College of Medicine, the Clinical and Translational Science Institute,
316 and the Emerging Pathogens Institute at the University of Florida.

317 **Authors' Contributions**

318 SM designed and supervised the study, supervised the analyses, and led the writing. DA
319 completed the analyses and significantly contributed to the writing. ND assisted with the
320 analyses and assisted with the writing. KB assisted with the analyses and assisted with the
321 writing. DJB assisted with the design, with the analyses, and assisted with the writing. ATM
322 designed and supervised the study, assisted with the writing. EJM designed and supervised the
323 study, contributed to the writing

324 **Acknowledgements**

325 A special thanks to the school personnel and staff; the medical, public health, and nursing
326 student volunteers; Drs. Tara Sabo-Atwood, John Lednicky, and the entire research testing lab

327 staff in Environmental and Global Health; and Dr. Glenn Morris, and Dr. Michael Lauzardo for
328 their support and guidance.

329 **References**

- 330 1. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 Among Children in China. *Pediatrics*.
331 2020;145(6). doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0702
- 332 2. Holmes* EA, O'Connor* RC, Perry VH, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the
333 COVID-19 pandemic: *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;(January):19-21.
- 334 3. Bavel JJV, Baicker K, Boggio PS, et al. Using social and behavioural science to support
335 COVID-19 pandemic response. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2020;4(May). doi:10.1038/s41562-020-
336 0884-z
- 337 4. Rolfsnes ES, Idsoe T. School-Based Intervention Programs for PTSD Symptoms: A Review
338 and Meta-Analysis. 2007;20(3):251-262. doi:10.1002/jts.20622
- 339 5. Duan L, Shao X, Wang Y, et al. An investigation of mental health status of children and
340 adolescents in china during the outbreak of COVID-19. *J Affect Disord*.
341 2020;275(April):112-118. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.029
- 342 6. Taquet M, Quoidbach J, Fried EI, Goodwin GM. Mood Homeostasis before and during the
343 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Lockdown among Students in the Netherlands.
344 *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2020;2019:2019-2021. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.2389
- 345 7. Gassman-Pines A, Ananat EO, Fitz-Henley J. COVID-19 Crisis Impacts on Parent and Child
346 Psychological Well-being. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(4):e2020007294. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-
347 007294
- 348 8. Xinyan X, Qi X, Yu Z, Qi L, Jiajia Z, Ranran S. Mental Health Status Among Children in
349 Home Confinement During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak in Hubei Province,
350 China. *Jama Pediatr*. 2020;7:2-4.
- 351 9. Shi L, Lu ZA, Que JY, et al. Prevalence of and Risk Factors Associated With Mental Health
352 Symptoms Among the General Population in China During the Coronavirus Disease 2019

- 353 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw open*. 2020;3(7):e2014053.
354 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.14053
- 355 10. Laurencin CT, McClinton A. The COVID-19 Pandemic: a Call to Action to Identify and
356 Address Racial and Ethnic Disparities. *J Racial Ethn Heal Disparities*. 2020;7(3):398-402.
357 doi:10.1007/s40615-020-00756-0
- 358 11. Shim RS, Compton MT. The Social Determinants of Mental Health: Psychiatrists' Roles in
359 Addressing Discrimination and Food Insecurity. *Focus (Madison)*. 2020;18(1):25-30.
360 doi:10.1176/appi.focus.20190035
- 361 12. Ambrose AJH. Inequities during COVID-19. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(2).
362 doi:10.1542/peds.2020-1501
- 363 13. Zheng G, Jimba M, Wakai S. Exploratory study on psychosocial impact of the severe acute
364 respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak on Chinese students living in Japan. *Asia-Pacific J*
365 *Public Heal*. 2005;17(2):124-129. doi:10.1177/101053950501700211
- 366 14. Werner PD. Implications of Attitude-Behavior Studies for Population Research and Action
367 Author (s): Paul D . Werner Published by: Population Council Stable URL:
368 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1966280> Implications of Attitude-Behavior Studies for
369 Population Researc. 2020;8(11):294-299.
- 370 15. Ajzen I. Perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of
371 planned behavior. *J Appl Soc Psychol*. 2002;32(4):665-683. doi:10.1111/j.1559-
372 1816.2002.tb00236.x
- 373 16. Warwick D. *The KAP Survey: Dictates of Mission Versus Demands of Science*. London:
374 Harvard Institute for International Development; 1983.
- 375 17. Seçer İ, Ulaş S. An Investigation of the Effect of COVID-19 on OCD in Youth in the Context
376 of Emotional Reactivity, Experiential Avoidance, Depression and Anxiety. *Int J Ment*
377 *Health Addict*. 2020. doi:10.1007/s11469-020-00322-z
- 378 18. McLoyd VC. Socialization and development in a changing economy. *Am Psychol*.

- 379 1989;44(2):293-302.
380 [http://eds.a.ebscohost.com.libproxy.txstate.edu/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=80&sid=](http://eds.a.ebscohost.com.libproxy.txstate.edu/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=80&sid=d35e1ab5-fcdd-4a23-8336-2c0a867a8837@sessionmgr4005&hid=4202)
381 [d35e1ab5-fcdd-4a23-8336-2c0a867a8837@sessionmgr4005&hid=4202](http://eds.a.ebscohost.com.libproxy.txstate.edu/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=80&sid=d35e1ab5-fcdd-4a23-8336-2c0a867a8837@sessionmgr4005&hid=4202).
- 382 19. Goldmann E, Galea S. Mental health consequences of disasters. *Annu Rev Public Health*.
383 2014;35:169-183. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182435
- 384 20. Kaczynski KJ, Claar RL, Logan DE. Testing gender as a moderator of associations between
385 psychosocial variables and functional disability in children and adolescents with chronic
386 pain. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2009;34(7):738-748. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsn113
- 387 21. Nolen-Hoeksema S, Girgus JS. The Emergence of Gender Differences in Depression
388 During Adolescence. *Psychol Bull*. 1994;115(3):424-443. doi:10.1037//0033-
389 2909.115.3.424
- 390 22. Lewinsohn PM, Lewinsohn M, Gotlib IH, Seeley JR, Allen NB. Gender differences in
391 anxiety disorders and anxiety symptoms in adolescents. *J Abnorm Psychol*.
392 1998;107(1):109-117. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.107.1.109
- 393 23. Bradbury-Jones C, Isham L. The pandemic paradox: The consequences of COVID-19 on
394 domestic violence. *J Clin Nurs*. 2020;29(13-14):2047-2049. doi:10.1111/jocn.15296
- 395 24. Campbell AM. An increasing risk of family violence during the Covid-19 pandemic:
396 Strengthening community collaborations to save lives. *Forensic Sci Int Reports*.
397 2020;2(April):100089. doi:10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100089
- 398 25. Humphreys KL, Myint MT, Zeanah CH. Increased risk for family violence during the
399 COVID-19 pandemic. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0982
- 400 26. Yonker LM, Neilan AM, Bartsch Y, et al. Pediatric SARS-CoV-2: Clinical Presentation,
401 Infectivity, and Immune Responses. *J Pediatr*. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.08.037
- 402 27. Ettman CK, Abdalla SM, Cohen GH, Sampson L, Vivier PM, Galea S. Prevalence of
403 Depression Symptoms in U.S. Adults Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA*
404 *Netw Open*. 2020;In Press(9). doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.19686

- 405 28. Islam MA, Barna SD, Raihan H, Khan MNA, Hossain MT. Depression and anxiety among
 406 university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh: A web-based cross-
 407 sectional survey. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0238162. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0238162
- 408 29. Math S, Nirmala M, Moirangthem S, Kumar N. Disaster management: Mental health
 409 perspective. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2015;37(3):261-271. doi:10.4103/0253-7176.162915
- 410 30. CDC. CDC 's recommendations for implementation of mitigation strategies for Florida ,
 411 based on current situation with COVID-19 transmission and consideration of the state ' s
 412 large older adult population. 2020:1-5. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-
 413 ncov/downloads/FL_Community_Mitigation.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/FL_Community_Mitigation.pdf).

414

415 **Tables**

416 *Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the study population and Adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) for being*
 417 *at Any Risk of anxiety, depression, or OCD (n=280)*

		Study sample % (school %)	At Any Risk of anxiety, depression, or OCD	95% CI
School Level	High School	40% (42%)	Ref	NA
	Middle School	29% (28%)	1.72	0.928-3.19
	Primary School	31% (30%)	2.1	1.14-3.88
Sex	Male	48% (50%)	Ref	NA
	Female	52% (50%)	1.745	1.047-3.88
Race/Ethnicity	White	62% (48%)	Ref	NA
	Latinx/Hispanic	19% (24%)	0.532	0.266-1.066
	Black	9% (26%)	0.591	0.234-1.492
	Multiracial/Other	10% (7%)	0.603	0.251-1.449

418

419 **Table 2: Knowledge Results. P-values are for Fisher’s Exact Test comparing knowledge answers and**
 420 **race/ethnicity.**

Knowledge Results			
Questions	n=280		p-value
	Correct	Incorrect	
	No (%)	No (%)	
The main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, fatigue, dry cough, and muscle aches.	265(94.6%)	15(5.4%)	0.589
Unlike the common cold, stuffy nose, runny nose, and sneezing are less common in persons infected with the COVID-19 virus.	220(78.6%)	60(21.4%)	0.747
There currently is no effective cure for COVID-19, but early symptomatic and supportive treatment can help most patients recover from the infection.	265(94.6%)	15(5.4%)	0.016*
Antibiotics can be used to treat COVID-19 ^A	210 (75.0%)	70(25%)	0.732
Not all persons with COVID-19 will develop to severe cases. Those who are elderly and have chronic illnesses are more likely to be severe cases.	277(98.9%)	3(1.1%)	1.000
People of all racial and ethnic groups can become infected with the COVID-19 virus.	276(98.6%)	4(1.4%)	0.818
Most people who are infected with the COVID-19 virus recover from it	258(92.1%)	22(7.9%)	0.609
Handwashing can help reduce transmission of the COVID-19 virus.	279(99.6%)	1(0.4%)	1.000
Persons with COVID-19 cannot pass the virus to others if they do not have symptoms.	261(93.2%)	19(6.8%)	0.823
The COVID-19 virus spreads via respiratory droplets of infected individuals	269(96.1%)	11(3.9%)	0.657
Ordinary residents can wear general medical masks to prevent infection by the COVID-19 virus.	173(61.8%)	107(38.2%)	0.015*
It is not necessary for children and young adults to take measures to prevent infection by the COVID-19 virus.	272(97.1%)	8(2.9%)	0.533
Isolation and treatment of people who are infected with the COVID-19 virus are effective ways to reduce the spread of the virus.	278(99.3%)	2(0.7%)	1.000
People who have contact with someone infected with the COVID-19 virus should be immediately isolated in a proper place. In general, the observation period is 14 days.	278(99.3%)	2(0.7%)	0.379
Cumulative Knowledge Score	n=280		
	Scores out of 14	No.	%
	<11	8	2.9%
	11	30	10.7%

	12	55	19.6%
	13	99	35.4%

421 **p-value*<0.05

422 ^This item is false. The survey was developed and implemented prior to the use of hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, or
423 remdesivir in experimental trials.

424

425 **Table 3: Attitudes and Practices Results. P-values are for Fisher's Exact Test comparing protective and**
426 **un-protective attitudes and practices with race/ethnicity.**

Attitudes	n=280			p-value
	Agree No (%)	Disagree No (%)	Don't know No (%)	
I am worried about getting infected with the COVID-19 virus.	176(63%)	82(29.3%)	22(7.9%)	0.030*
I feel confident I can prevent myself and my family from becoming infected with the COVID-19 virus if it becomes more widespread in Florida.	151(53.9%)	64(22.9%)	65(23.2%)	0.275
I know what actions to take to prevent myself and my family from becoming infected with the COVID-19 virus.	256(92.5%)	9(3.2%)	12(4.3%)	1.000
I support a government-imposed mandatory quarantine for those who are infected with the COVID-19 virus.	266(95.0%)	4(1.4%)	10(3.6%)	0.697
I support voluntary home quarantine for up to 2 weeks for people who have been in contact with someone who has COVID-19.	275(98.2%)	1(0.4%)	4(1.8%)	0.064
I support postponing or canceling mass gatherings such as concerts, festivals, and sporting events.	268(95.7%)	4(1.4%)	8(2.9%)	0.325
I support closure of K-12 schools if any student, staff member, or teacher is found to have COVID-19	251(89.6%)	11(3.9%)	18(6.4%)	0.184
If I were exposed to and could possibly be infected with the COVID-19 virus, I would be willing to quarantine myself at home for 2 weeks until I was sure I was not infected in order to prevent others from getting COVID-19 from me.	278(99.3%)	0(0%)	2(0.7%)	0.103
Practice	n=280		p-value	
	Yes No (%)	No No (%)		
In recent days, are you washing your hands with soap and water more often than normal?	262(93.6%)	18(6.4%)	1.000	
In recent days, are you using more disinfectants, such as hand sanitizers and cloth wipes?	252(90.0%)	28(10.0%)	0.878	

In recent days, are you avoiding shaking hands or other physical contact with others outside your home?	277(98.9%)	3(1.1%)	1.000
In recent days, have you adhered to other social distancing guidelines, such as avoiding meetings of more than 10 people and keeping a distance of 6 feet apart?	279(99.6%)	1(0.4%)	1.000
In recent days, have you bought larger amounts of staple foods (flour, sugar, pasta, rice, canned food) than normal?	148(52.9%)	132(47.1%)	0.042*

427 *p-value<0.05

428 **Table 4 Adjusted Odds Ratios Children At Risk and at High Risk for depression, anxiety, and OCD, by**
 429 **race/ethnicity, sex, school level, household loss of income, parental employment, and COVID-19 KAP.**

Covariates	Anxiety		Depression		OCD	
	aOR At Risk (95% C.I.) ¹	aOR High Risk (95% C.I.) ²	aOR At Risk (95% C.I.) ¹	aOR High Risk (95% C.I.) ²	aOR At Risk (95% C.I.) ¹	aOR High Risk (95% C.I.) ²
Race/Ethnicity						
White	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)
Black	0.758(0.29-1.97)	0.34(0.04-2.96)	0.97(0.39 -2.48)	0.74(0.082-6.78)	1.01(0.39-2.66)	2.17(0.57(8.37)
Hispanic	0.589(0.28-1.22)	0.82(0.26-2.64)	0.68(0.33-1.42)	1.83(0.56-5.99)	1.02(0.49-2.08)	0.79(0.22-2.82)
Multiracial	1.034(0.43-2.49)	1.47(0.34-6.36)	0.78 (0.31-1.96)	2.53(0.49-11.41)	1.41(0.58-3.43)	1.55(0.35-6.87)
Sex						
Male	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)
Female	1.753(1.04-2.97)	1.93(0.76-4.88)	1.72 (1.02-2.93)	2.93(0.970-8.84)	1.76(1.03-3.03)	2.58(0.99-6.68)
School Level						
High School	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)
Middle School	1.82(0.95-3.56)	1.26(0.37-4.33)	1.67(0.88-3.18)	0.83(0.23-2.99)	1.16(0.59-2.26)	1.46(0.44-4.87)
Primary School	2.32(1.23-4.36)	2.94(1.04-8.30)	1.63 (0.88-3.07)	1.03(0.33-3.26)	2.01(1.07-3.77)	3.10(1.05-9.12)
Household loss of income due to COVID-19						
No	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)
Yes	2.53(1.15-5.55)	1.17(0.23-5.8)	3.13(1.41-6.97)	3.74(1.00-14.01)	2.90(1.32-6.36)	3.19(0.91-11.12)
Parent working on frontline						
No	Ref (NA)	NA	Ref (NA)	Ref (NA)	Ref	NA
Yes	0.66(0.37-1.18)	0.41(0.13-1.25)	0.59(0.33-1.07)	0.43(0.13-1.45)	0.59(0.32-1.09)	0.42(0.14-1.31)
KAP Scores						
Knowledge Score	1.05(0.83-1.32)	0.96(0.64-1.43)	0.96(0.77-1.21)	1.11(0.69-1.76)	0.89(0.77-1.25)	0.72(0.50-1.04)
Attitude Score	0.97(0.75-1.25)	1.82(0.97-3.43)	0.90(0.71-1.16)	1.51(0.79-2.89)	0.25(0.89-1.56)	1.46(0.87-2.44)
Practice Score	1.08(0.75-1.58)	1.19(0.57-2.52)	1.55(1.04-2.31)	1.34(0.63-2.86)	0.42(0.79-1.74)	1.13(0.57-2.22)

430 ¹ Binary Logistic Regression was used to model At Risk, using No Risk as the reference category

431 ² Multinomial Logistic Regression was used to model High Risk using No Risk as the reference category