1	Community factors and excess mortality in first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic
2	Pothen Davies ^{1,2,3,4,5} Brandon I. Barkes ^{1,2,5} James Bannett ^{1,2,5} Daniela Eacht ^{1,2,5} Marta
4	Blangiardo ^{1,2,3} , Majid Ezzati ^{1,2,4} , Paul Elliott ^{1,2,3,4,6}
5	
6	¹ UK Small Area Health Statistics Unit, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School
7 8	of Public Health, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, W2 1PG, London, United Kingdom.
9	² MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics,
10	School of Public Health, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, W2 1PG, London, United
11	Kingdom.
12	
13	³ National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit on Chemical and
14	Radiation Hazards, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health,
15	Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, W2 1PG, London, United Kingdom.
16 17	
1/ 10	National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Environmental
18	Exposures and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, W2 1PC, London, United Kingdom
20	Imperial Conege London, Norroik Flace, w2 11 0, London, Onned Kingdom.
20	5 These authors contributed equally to this work
22	These autions contributed equally to this work
23	⁶ Address for correspondence: p.elliott@imperial.ac.uk
24	
25	Risk factors for increased risk of death from Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19) have
26	been identified ^{1,2} but less is known on characteristics that make communities resilient or
27	vulnerable to the mortality impacts of the pandemic. We applied a two-stage Bayesian
28	spatial model to quantify inequalities in excess mortality at the community level during
29	the first wave of the pandemic in England. We used geocoded data on all deaths in
30	people aged 40 years and older during March-May 2020 compared with 2015-2019 in
31	6,791 local communities. Here we show that communities with an increased risk of
32	excess mortality had a high density of care homes, and/or high proportion of residents
33	on income support, living in overcrowded homes and/or high percent of people with a
34	non-White ethnicity (including Black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups).
35	Conversely, after accounting for other community characteristics, we found no
36	association between population density or air pollution and excess mortality. Overall,
37	the social and environmental variables accounted for around 15% of the variation in
38	mortality at community level. Effective and timely public health and healthcare
39	measures that target the communities at greatest risk are urgently needed if England
40	and other industrialised countries are to avoid further widening of inequalities in
41	mortality patterns during the second wave.

42 Excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic is the combination of deaths caused, or 43 contributed to, by infection with SARS-CoV-2 plus deaths that resulted from the widespread 44 behavioural, social and healthcare changes that accompanied national responses to the emergency^{1,3-5}. England has experienced one of the highest death tolls from COVID-19 in the 45 46 industrialised world, far beyond what would be expected from its underlying health status and factors like obesity^{1,2,6,7}. COVID-19 may substantially widen existing national health 47 inequalities as the direct and indirect impacts of the pandemic may disproportionately effect 48 49 the population groups with the highest healthcare utilisation: the elderly, people with chronic 50 health conditions, people from a minority ethnic background and people who live in more deprived areas^{2,8}. 51

52

53 Rates of diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infections and deaths among people with confirmed infection 54 vary substantially across England⁹. But neither local variations in all-cause mortality associated 55 with the pandemic, nor their community determinants, are well understood; population density 56 and urbanisation are often mentioned as major contributory factors in urbanised industrialised countries^{10,11}. Here, we analysed geocoded data on all-cause mortality at ages 40 years and 57 over for 6,791 local communities (Middle Super Output Areas [MSOAs]; median population 58 59 7,956 in 2018, median area 3.04 km², Extended Data Table 1) in England to quantify local 60 variations in excess mortality in the first wave of the pandemic, from 1 March to 31 May 2020, 61 and to identify the community characteristics associated with these patterns.

62

From 1 March to 31 May 2020, 171,294 people at ages 40 years and over died in England,
compared with a mean of 121,358 deaths in the same period in 2105-2019, equivalent to 49,936
excess deaths. Compared with 2015-2019, a greater proportion of the deaths in 2020 were in
men, in care homes and a smaller proportion occurred in hospitals (Fig. 1).

68 Because communities (MSOAs) are small, we used a Bayesian spatial model to obtain stable 69 estimates of excess death rates based on data for each community and those of its neighbours 70 to reduce uncertainty (Methods). The spatial model included terms for potential community 71 determinants of mortality: percent population on income support as a marker of area poverty; 72 population density; percent who are non-White; and percent population living in overcrowded 73 homes. We also included air pollution, namely annual average nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and fine 74 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and number of care homes per 1,000 population. Data sources and 75 definitions of these variables are detailed in Methods. Each variable was divided into quintiles 76 of the distribution to allow for non-linear relationships (Extended Data Table 2).

77

All but 360 communities in men and 668 in women had higher mortality in 2020 than expected based on prior years (Fig. 2) with a posterior probability of increased mortality of at least 90% in 4,240 (62.4%) communities in men and 3,166 (46.7%) in women. Of these, mortality more than doubled in 697 (10.3%) and more than tripled in 18 (0.26%) communities in men and in 498 (7.3%) and 15 (0.22%) communities respectively in women (Extended Data Table 3).

83

The communities with an increase in mortality were spread across the country with the lowest increases in remote rural areas (Fig. 3). The largest increases in mortality were concentrated in London¹², especially for men; for women high excess mortality also occurred in suburban areas. In men, 30.8% of variation in excess mortality was explained by local clustering but only 18.4% in women, suggesting greater correlation in excess mortality between neighbouring areas for men than women. On average, communities with large increases in mortality tended to have greater social and environmental deprivation than those with small increases (Fig. 2).

92 The combination of a large relative increase in mortality and a high baseline (i.e. pre-pandemic) 93 death rate meant that men in 2,240 communities and women in 1,544 communities experienced 94 250 or more excess deaths per 100,000 people aged 40 years and over compared with the prior 95 years; in 333 communities for men and 305 for women, the excess mortality burden was at 96 least 500 per 100,000 people. The large variation in excess death rates meant that 25% of all 97 excess deaths during the pandemic occurred in only 9.4% of communities for men and 9.1% 98 for women, and one half of excess deaths occurred in 24.3% and 24.2% of communities, 99 respectively. Excess deaths per 100,000 people were only moderately correlated between men 100 and women (Extended Data Fig. 1 and 2).

101

102 Each of the community characteristics considered was individually (i.e. in univariate analysis) 103 associated with excess deaths during the pandemic in graded fashion across quintiles (Fig. 4, 104 Extended Data Table 4). However, there were strong inter-correlations between some 105 variables; for example, Kendall's Tau was 0.67 and 0.55 between percent non-White 106 population and levels of NO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$ respectively (Extended Data Table 5). When the 107 community characteristics were considered jointly in multivariable analyses, air pollution and 108 population density were no longer associated with excess deaths, contrary to reports in some 109 studies that air pollution is a contributory factor for COVID-19 deaths (Extended Data Table 6)¹³⁻¹⁶. Relationships with income support, percent non-White population and overcrowded 110 111 homes persisted, although were attenuated – with a ~10% higher rate across quintiles for men, 112 and somewhat weaker associations for women. The relationship with care home density, even 113 after accounting for the other variables, remained strong, with a ~22% higher excess death rate 114 for men and ~27% for women in communities with the highest compared to lowest density of care homes; many of these deaths were not assigned to COVID-19¹⁷. Overall, the community 115 116 variables accounted for 17.6% of the variation in mortality at community level in men and 117 14.9% in women (Extended Data Table 6). Sensitivity analyses with different smoothing
118 parameters, excluding deaths in care homes, and combining data for men and women, did not
119 materially alter our findings (Extended Data Table 7 a-e)

120

121 Our study has strengths and limitations. We included excess mortality from all causes, not just 122 deaths coded to COVID-19. This should give the most complete picture of the effects of the 123 pandemic on mortality and is comparable across geographies, as it is not dependent on 124 availability of testing or diagnostic facilities nor variations in national or local coding practices. 125 Not only could COVID-19 deaths have been wrongly ascribed to other causes but deaths from 126 other causes may have been affected by the switching of healthcare resources to deal with the 127 pandemic^{6,8,18-20}. Although we accounted for population changes in the communities during the 128 study period, population estimates at this scale were only available to 2018 and were 129 extrapolated for the subsequent years. We used mortality data for the same three months of the 130 year (March to May) over the previous five years to estimate the expected numbers of deaths 131 in those months during 2020. But factors like temperature may modify the number of deaths 132 observed. In addition, we used data from the last national census in 2011 to obtain information 133 on sociodemographic characteristics of communities. To the extent that there have been 134 demographic changes in the nine years since then, this may have led to misclassification of 135 areas with respect to their community characteristics.

136

Our finding on the importance of care home density as a predictor of local excess mortality is consistent with the policy in the National Health Service to discharge up to 15,000 medically fit inpatients to avoid hospitals becoming overwhelmed²¹. It is likely that many of the elderly individuals discharged in this way will have needed support from social care services (including care homes) on discharge²² and may have not been tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus

prior to discharge²³. Our study also underlines the associations between excess mortality and 142 poverty, non-White ethnicity^{24,25} and overcrowded housing^{26,27} at the community-level. Those 143 144 living in poor communities and overcrowded homes have fewer opportunities for adopting measures that reduce transmission²⁸, have higher exposure to infection at work or may be more 145 restricted in terms of accessing healthcare for COVID-19 and other conditions²⁹. Recent and 146 147 ongoing research indicates that higher risks associated with ethnicity may at least in part reflect 148 higher levels of overcrowding and poverty (adjusted for in our analysis), higher representation in frontline jobs in the health and care sector^{12,30}, slower access to and utilisation of 149 healthcare^{26,29,31,32}, and possibly higher rates of co-morbidities such as diabetes and 150 151 obesity^{2,33,34}. Further research to understand the pathways underpinning these associations is 152 needed to inform long-term strategy to tackle the social and environmental drivers of inequality 153 that may have contributed to differential mortality during the pandemic.

154

155 In the short-term, as industrialised countries in Europe and elsewhere confront the pandemic's second wave, in addition to more attention to protecting care home residents and workers³⁵, the 156 157 response from many governments has been either a national lockdown or a tiered lockdown applied primarily to cities³⁶. England has now entered its second national lockdown. 158 159 Lockdowns in the first wave were highly effective at driving down the rates of new infection, but they are not sustainable ^{4,37-39}. Therefore, the immediate priority is to continue to strengthen 160 161 public health systems to ensure they have the capacity, in real-time, to test and diagnose newly 162 infected individuals; identify their contacts; provide self-isolation and quarantine advice; and undertake national surveillance to inform the evolving policy response⁴⁰. In parallel, economic 163 164 interventions that support job security and provide financial compensation to low-paid workers required to self-isolate are essential to support population-level compliance with public health 165 advice^{41,42}. 166

In summary, in one of the worst affected industrialised countries in the first wave of the 168 169 COVID-19 pandemic, we found substantial community-level variation in excess mortality, 170 ranging from small declines to tripling in mortality in some areas. Although at first glance the 171 high increases are more evident in cities, population density itself does not appear to be a 172 driver of excess mortality; rather excess mortality risks are related to poverty, overcrowded 173 homes and non-White ethnicity, parallel to large impacts in communities where care homes 174 are located. While we found that these community factors and geographical clustering 175 contributed independently to patterns of excess mortality, a large proportion of the variance 176 remained unexplained. This underlines the importance of using real-time surveillance to 177 identify local outbreaks and target public health resource according to need. A robust public 178 health response is essential if England and other industrialised countries are to control the 179 transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and avoid further widening of inequalities in mortality patterns 180 during the second wave.

181 **References**

- 183 1 Kontis, V. *et al.* Magnitude, demographics and dynamics of the impact of the first
- 184 phase of the Covid-19 pandemic on all-cause mortality in 17 industrialised countries.
- 185 *Nat Med*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1112-0 (2020).
- Williamson, E. J. *et al.* Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using
 OpenSAFELY. *Nature* 584, 430-436 (2020).
- Bilinski, A. & Emanuel, E. J. COVID-19 and Excess All-Cause Mortality in the US
 and 18 Comparison Countries. *Jama*, doi:10.1001/jama.2020.20717 (2020).
- 1904Douglas, M., Katikireddi, S. V., Taulbut, M., McKee, M. & McCartney, G. Mitigating191the wider health effects of covid-19 pandemic response. 369, m1557,
- 192 doi:10.1136/bmj.m1557 %J BMJ (2020).
- Woolf, S. H., Chapman, D. A., Sabo, R. T., Weinberger, D. M. & Hill, L. Excess
 Deaths From COVID-19 and Other Causes, March-April 2020. *Jama* 324, 510-513,
 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.11787 (2020).
- Office for National Statistics. Analysis of all-cause mortality patterns of selected
 European countries and regions, week ending 3 January (Week 1) to week ending 12
 June (Week 24) 2020., (2020).
- Thou, F. *et al.* Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with
 COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet* 395, 10541062, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3 (2020).
- Propper Carol, S., George and Zaranko, Ben. . The wider impacts of the coronavirus
 pandemic on the NHS: Briefing note Report No. ISBN 978-1-912805-70-9, (2020).
- 9 Office for National Statistics. Deaths involving COVID-19 by local area and
 socioeconomic deprivation: deaths occurring between 1 March and 31 July 2020.
 (Office for National Statistics, 2020).
- 207 10 CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Geographic Differences in COVID-19 Cases,
 208 Deaths, and Incidence United States, February 12-April 7, 2020. *MMWR Morb*
- 209 *Mortal Wkly Rep.* **69**, 465-471, doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e4 (2020).
- 210 11 Hernández-Moralez, A., Oroschakoff, Kalina & Barigazzi, Jacopo. in *Politico*211 (2020).
- Ward, H. *et al.* Antibody prevalence for SARS-CoV-2 in England following first peak
 of the pandemic: REACT2 study in 100,000 adults. *medRxiv* (2020).

214	13	Cole, M. A., Ozgen, C. & Strobl, E. Air Pollution Exposure and Covid-19 in Dutch
215		Municipalities. Environmental and Resource Economics 76, 581-610 (2020).
216	14	Konstantinoudis, G. et al. Long-term exposure to air-pollution and COVID-19
217		mortality in England: a hierarchical spatial analysis. medRxiv (2020).
218	15	Magazzino, C., Mele, M. & Schneider, N. The relationship between air pollution and
219		COVID-19-related deaths: an application to three French cities. Applied Energy,
220		115835 (2020).
221	16	Wu, X., Nethery, R. C., Sabath, B. M., Braun, D. & Dominici, F. Exposure to air
222		pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States. medRxiv (2020).
223	17	Iacobucci, G. Covid-19: UK government is urged to publish daily care home deaths as
224		it promises more testing. BMJ 369m, 1504, doi:https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1504
225		(2020).
226	18	Karanikolos, M. & McKee, M. How comparable is COVID-19 mortality across
227		countries. COVID-19 Health System Monitor 4 (2020).
228	19	Newton, J. Behind the headlines: counting COVID-19 deaths. Public Health Matters
229		(2020).
230	20	Watson, J., Whiting, P. F. & Brush, J. E. Interpreting a covid-19 test result. BMJ
231		369m , 1808, doi:doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1808 (2020).
232	21	Stevens, S., Pritchard, A Important and urgent - next steps on NHS reponse to
233		COVID-19, <https: coronavirus="" td="" wp-<="" www.england.nhs.uk=""></https:>
234		content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/urgent-next-steps-on-nhs-response-to-covid-19-
235		letter-simon-stevens.pdf> (2020).
236	22	Emmerson, C. et al. Risk factors for outbreaks of COVID-19 in care homes following
237		hospital discharge: a national cohort analysis. medRxiv (2020).
238	23	Healthwatch. 590 people's stories of leaving hospital during COVID-19. (2020).
239	24	Public Health England. Beyond the data: Understanding the impact of COVID-19 on
240		BAME groups., (PHE, London, UK., 2020).
241	25	Price-Haywood, E. G., Burton, J., Fort, D. & Seoane, L. Hospitalization and Mortality
242		among Black Patients and White Patients with Covid-19. 382, 2534-2543,
243		doi:10.1056/NEJMsa2011686 (2020).
244	26	Aldridge, R. W. et al. Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic groups in England are at
245		increased risk of death from COVID-19: indirect standardisation of NHS mortality
246		data. Wellcome Open Research 5, 88 (2020).

247	27	Patel, J. et al. Poverty, inequality and COVID-19: the forgotten vulnerable. Public
248		health 183, 110 (2020).
249	28	Smith, L. E. et al. Factors associated with adherence to self-isolation and lockdown
250		measures in the UK; a cross-sectional survey. medRxiv (2020).
251	29	Stoye, G., Zaranko, B., Shipley, M., McKee, M. & Brunner, E. J. Educational
252		Inequalities in Hospital Use Among Older Adults in England, 2004-2015. The
253		Milbank Quarterly (2020).
254	30	Mathur, R. et al. Ethnic differences in COVID-19 infection, hospitalisation, and
255		mortality: an OpenSAFELY analysis of 17 million adults in England. medRxiv
256		(2020).
257	31	House, N., Holborn, H. & Wc, L. ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care.
258		Published online 26 , 24 (2020).
259	32	Office for National Statistics. Coronavirus (COVID-19) related deaths by ethnic
260		group, England and Wales: 2 March 2020 to 10 April 2020 [Online] (2020).
261	33	Goff, L. M. Ethnicity and Type 2 diabetes in the UK. Diabetic Medicine 36, 927-938
262		(2019).
263	34	Townsend, M. J., Kyle, T. K. & Stanford, F. C. Outcomes of COVID-19: disparities
264		in obesity and by ethnicity/race. International Journal of Obesity 44, 1807-1809,
265		doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-020-0635-2 (2020).
266	35	Oliver, D. David Oliver: Preventing more deaths in care homes in a second pandemic
267		surge. BMJ 369, m2461, doi:10.1136/bmj.m2461 %J BMJ (2020).
268	36	Department of Health and Social Care. Local restrictions: areas with an outbreak of
269		coronavirus (COVID-19), <https: collections="" government="" local-<="" td="" www.gov.uk=""></https:>
270		restrictions-areas-with-an-outbreak-of-coronavirus-covid-19> (2020).
271	37	Office for National Statistics. Coronavirus and the impact on output in the UK
272		economy: April 2020. (ONS, 2020).
273	38	Riley, S., Ainslie, K., Eales, O., Walters, C., Wang, W., Atchison, C., Diggle, P.,
274		Ashby, D., Donnelly, C., Cooke, G., Barclay, W., Ward, H., Darzi, D., Elliot, P
275		Transient dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 as England exited national lockdown. Preprint
276		(2020).
277	39	Vinceti, M. et al. Lockdown timing and efficacy in controlling COVID-19 using
278		mobile phone tracking. EClinicalMedicine 25, 100457,
279		doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100457 (2020).

- 280 40 Roderick, P., Macfarlane, A. & Pollock, A. M. Getting back on track: control of
 281 covid-19 outbreaks in the community. *bmj* 369 (2020).
- 282 41 Michie, S. *et al.* Reducing SARS-CoV-2 transmission in the UK: A behavioural
- science approach to identifying options for increasing adherence to social distancing
 and shielding vulnerable people. *British Journal of Health Psychology* (2020).
- 285 42 Smith, L. E. *et al.* Adherence to the test, trace and isolate system: results from a time
- 286 series of 21 nationally representative surveys in the UK (the COVID-19 Rapid Survey
- 287 of Adherence to Interventions and Responses [CORSAIR] study). *medRxiv* (2020).

- 288 Methods
- 289

290 Data sources

291 The study uses data held by the UK Small Area Health Statistics Unit (SAHSU), obtained from 292 the Office for National Statistics (ONS). The ONS individual mortality data included date of 293 death, date of registration of death, place of residence of the deceased, place of death (e.g. 294 hospital, hospice, care home, at home), and International Classification of Diseases tenth 295 revision (ICD10) codes of the underlying cause of death. Annual population was from ONS 296 mid-year population estimates by age and sex for communities (MSOAs) in England, 2015 to 297 2018. 2019 MSOA populations were estimated by distributing 2019 local authority district 298 populations according to MSOA shares in 2018. No 2020 population data are yet available and 299 2019 estimates were used instead.

300

301 Characteristics of MSOAs (communities)

To investigate the association of community characteristics with excess mortality we includedthe following data at MSOA level:

- Income deprivation: Proportion of the population (adults and children, including asylum seekers) on government assistance due to low income and unemployment⁴³.
- Population density: Number of people per square kilometre from 2019 mid-year
 population estimates, as described above.
- Ethnicity: Percentage of the population of ethnic origin other than White from 2011
 census data⁴⁴.
- Housing: Percentage of overcrowded households defined as households with at least
 one fewer bedroom as required based on the number of household members and their
 relationship to each other, from 2011 census data⁴⁴.
- Air pollution: Annual average concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and fine
 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) for 2018 at 1km x 1km grids, modelled to MSOA level using
 2011 postcode headcount information⁴⁵.
- Location of care homes: Care homes per 1,000 population using data from the Care
 Quality Commission via Geolytix⁴⁶.
- 318 All covariates were divided into quintiles, giving approximately 1,360 MSOAs in each quintile.
- 319
- 320

321 Statistical methods

All analyses were carried out for males and females separately. We split age into four groups:
40-59 years; 60-69 years; 70-79 years; 80+ years.

324

325 We used a two-stage approach in order that the pandemic and comparison periods were treated 326 as independent and distinct. First, we obtained estimates of the death rates in each MSOA for 327 the comparison period of 1 March to 31 May 2015-2019 using a model that incorporated spatial 328 and age terms to obtain stable estimates of death rates in each age group. Then in a second 329 stage, we modelled the death rates from 1 March to 31 May 2020, relative to the death rates 330 estimated for the comparison period. We estimated excess mortality for each MSOA by 331 comparing death rates for these three months between 2020 and 2015-2019 by sex and age-332 group. In the second stage we included spatial and age terms as well as community variables 333 to assess their effect on excess mortality. The spatial terms in both stages allowed for local 334 smoothing across communities as well as global smoothing across England and were shared 335 across all age groups.

336

In the first stage we adjusted for age and smoothed over space to obtain stable estimates of the death rates for the comparison period. We assumed that the number of deaths y_{itk} for the i-th MSOA (i=1,...6,791), the t-th year (t=2015,...,2019) and k-th age group (k=40-59, 60-69, 70-79, 80+) arose from a Poisson distribution:

341
$$y_{itk} \sim Poisson(\lambda_{ikt1}, Pop_{itk})$$

342 with the log-transformed death rates modelled as a sum of space, age and time terms:

343

$$log(\lambda_{ikt1}) = \alpha_0 + \beta_{0k} + U_{0i} + V_{0i} + \gamma_t.$$
(1)

The common intercept for log-transformed death rates is represented by α_0 , with β_{0k} the age effect for the *k*-th age group. We modelled MSOA-level intercepts using a Besag, York and Mollie spatial model ⁴⁷; this includes spatially unstructured, independent and identically distributed Gaussian random effects (V_{0i}) and spatially structured random effects (U_{0i}). The latter were modelled with an intrinsic conditional autoregressive prior, which allows for death rates to be more similar across neighbouring MSOAs than those that are far away. This spatial model provides both local and global smoothing on the underlying death rate λ_{ikt1} .

351

We obtained the posterior distributions of the death rates in each MSOA, age group and year, λ_{ikt1} , and averaged over March to May for the 5 years of the comparison period (2015-2019) to obtain λ_{ik1} , the expected death rate for the *i*-th MSOA and *k*-th age group during March-May 2020 had there been an absence of the pandemic.

356

In the second stage we estimated the ratio between death rates in March to May 2020 and the death rates we would have expected had there been no pandemic, using data for the same three months for 2015-2019. We estimated the effect of community variables on this ratio. For the number of deaths in the i-th MSOA and k-th age group in 2020, we specified the following model: $y_{i,2020,k} \sim Poisson(\rho_{ik}, \lambda_{ik1}, Pop_{i,2020,k})$

where ρ_{ik} represents the age-specific ratio between death rates in 2020 and the comparison period (λ_{ik1}).

365

We modelled the ratio ρ_{ik} in a similar way to stage one using terms to account for both space and age:

368

$$log(\rho_{ik}) = \alpha_1 + \beta_{1k} + U_{1i} + V_{1i}.$$
 (2)

369 Community variables were incorporated into this second stage log-linear model to evaluate 370 their effect on the mortality rate ratio. For univariable effects we added the term δX_i where X_i is 371 the quintile of the variable in the i-th MSOA and δ is the associated effect. Similarly for the 372 full multivariable model evaluating the joint effect of all variables we added $\sum_j \delta_j X_{ij}$ with 373 j=1,...6.

374

375 To ensure uncertainty in the estimation of λ_{ik1} in stage 1 is expressed in stage 2, we drew 50 376 samples from the posterior distribution of each λ_{ik1} and ran a stage 2 analysis fixing λ_{ik1} to 377 each of these values in turn. For each of these 50 analyses we sampled 100 values from the 378 posterior distribution of each λ_{ik2} . In this way we fully expressed the uncertainty resulting from 379 the two stages of our analysis. For the neighbourhood variable effects, we report posterior mean 380 and 95% credible intervals (2.5th to 97.5th percentiles) based on the 5,000 sampled values (50 381 x 100). In addition, for each MSOA we report both excess deaths per 100,000 people and the 382 percentage change in deaths, as described below.

383

384 Excess deaths per 100,000 people

We obtained the posterior distribution of the estimated number of deaths across ages for March - May 2020, calculated as $\hat{y}_{i.2020} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1} \times \rho_{ik} \times Pop_{i.2020,k}$, and subtracted the corresponding number for 2015-2019, calculated as $\hat{y}_{i.2015-2019} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1} \times Pop_{i.2015-2019,k}$. This difference was then divided by the 2020 population over 40 years old in that MSOA and multiplied by 100,000. Fig. 3(A) shows the excess deaths in map form: the colour key on the maps is categorical such that all MSOAs with excess deaths above 500 per 100,000 are coloured darkest red.

392

393 Percentage change in deaths

We obtained the posterior distribution of the estimated number of deaths across ages for March - May 2020 as summed over the age groups, as $\hat{y}_{i,2020} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1} \times \rho_{ik} \times Pop_{i,2020,k}$ and divided by the corresponding number for 2015-2019 as $\hat{y}_{i,2015-2019} = \sum_k \lambda_{ik1} \times Pop_{i,2015-2019,k}$. We then subtracted 1 and multiplied by 100

398

399 We fitted the models using the Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA), through the

400 R-INLA software package (<u>http://www.r-inla.org/)</u>^{48,49}. We specified a minimally informative

401 prior, logGamma(1, 0.1), on the hyperparameters τ_V and τ_U .

402

403 As sensitivity analyses we re-ran the model using alternative priors for the hyperparameters 404 τ_V and τ_U firstly using logGamma(0.5, 0.05), and secondly using the penalised complexity 405 prior, as described by Moraga⁵⁰. The results from these sensitivity analyses (Extended Data 406 Table 7a and 7b) show little difference to the main model.

407

408 We carried out an analysis in which deaths in care homes were excluded (Extended Data

409 Table 7c and 7d). Finally, we carried out an analysis in which deaths from both sexes were

410 combined (Extended Data Table 7e).

411

412 **Ethics and governance**

The study was covered by national research ethics approval from the London-South East Research Ethics Committee (Reference 17/LO/0846). Data access was covered by the Health Research Authority Confidentiality Advisory Group under section 251 of the National Health Service Act 2006 and the Health Service (Control of Patient Information) Regulations 2002 (Reference 20/CAG/0008).

- 418
- 419

420	Data	availability			
421	• N	Io identifiable information will be shared with any other organisation. SAHSU does not			
422	h	ave permission to supply data to third parties. Individual mortality data can be requested			
423	tł	nrough the Office for National Statistics (<u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/</u>).			
424	• N	Aid-year population estimates can be downloaded from			
425	<u>h</u>	ttps://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populat			
426	<u>ic</u>	onestimates/datasets/middlesuperoutputareamidyearpopulationestimates.			
427	• E	English Index of Multiple Deprivation data can be downloaded from			
428	<u>h</u>	ttps://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019.			
429	• 2	011 Census data can be downloaded from			
430	<u>h</u>	ttps://www.ons.gov.uk/census/2011census/2011censusdata.			
431	• N	Addelled air pollution data (NO2 & PM2.5) can be downloaded from https://uk-			
432	<u>a</u>	ir.defra.gov.uk/data/pcm-data.			
433	• L	ocations data of care homes can be downloaded from			
434	<u>h</u>	ttps://covid19.esriuk.com/datasets/e4ffa672880a4facaab717dea3cdc404_0.			
435					
436	Code	e availability			
437	The computer code written in \mathbb{R}^{51} for the two stages of Bayesian models used in this work is				
438	available on request.				
439					
440	Methods References				
441					
442	43	McLennan, D. et al. The English Indices of Deprivation 2019: technical report.			
443		(2019).			
444	44	Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census aggregate data. UK Data Service (2016).			
445	45	Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. Modelled background pollution			
446		data (DEFRA., 2020).			
447	46	Geolytix. Geolytix UK Care Homes 2020. (2020).			
448	47	Besag J, Y. J., Mollie A. Bayesian image restoration with two applications in spatial			
449		statistics. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics. , 1-59 (1991).			
450	48	Blangiardo, M. & Cameletti, M. Spatial and spatio-temporal Bayesian models with R-			
451		INLA. (John Wiley & Sons, 2015).			

452 49 Rue, H., Martino, S. & Chopin, N. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent 453 Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. Journal of the 454 royal statistical society: Series b (statistical methodology) **71**, 319-392 (2009). 455 50 Moraga, P. Geospatial Health Data: Modeling and Visualization with R-INLA and 456 Shiny. (Chapman & Hall, 2019). 457 R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. 51 458 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015. Document freely 459 available on the internet at: http://www. r-project. org (2015)

460

461 Acknowledgements

We thank Hima Daby, Gajanan Natu and Eric Johnson for their assistance in data acquisition, storage, preparation and governance; Aubrianna Zhu for her work on the on-line interactive map; and the Office for National Statistics (<u>www.ons.gov.uk</u>) for the provision of mortality data derived from the national mortality registrations.

466

467 P.E. is Director of the UK Small Area Health Statistics Unit. He acknowledges support from the Medical Research Council (MRC) for the MRC Centre for Environment and Health 468 469 (MR/S019669/1); the British Heart Foundation Imperial College Centre for Research 470 Excellence (RE/18/4/34215); National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial College 471 Biomedical Research Centre (BRC); the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit (HPRU) on 472 Environmental Exposures and Health (NIHR-200880); and the NIHR HPRU in Chemical and 473 Radiation Threats and Hazards (NIHR-200922). P.E. is supported by Health Data Research 474 UK (HDR UK) and the UK Dementia Research Institute at Imperial College London, which 475 receives funding from the UK Medical Research Council, Alzheimer's Society and 476 Alzheimer's Research UK (MC PC 17114). P.E. also acknowledges support from the Huo 477 Family Foundation for research into COVID-19.

478

J.B. and M.E are supported by Pathways to Equitable Healthy Cities grant from the Wellcome
Trust (209376/Z/17/Z).

481

B.D acknowledges funding from the NIHR HPRU in Chemical and Radiation Threats and
Hazards (NIHR-200922) and the HDR UK Hub DISCOVER-NOW.

- 485 All authors acknowledge infrastructure support for the Department of Epidemiology and486 Biostatistics provided by the NIHR Imperial BRC.
- 487
- The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or theDepartment of Health and Social Care.
- 490

491 Author contributions

- P.E and M.E conceived and supervised the study. B.D. and M.B. developed the initial study protocol. M.B. and J.B. developed the statistical model. D.F. prepared the denominator and covariate data. B.D. led the acquisition of identifiable data and study permissions. B.P. authored the computer code, performed the analysis and prepared the initial results. All authors contributed to the drafting of the paper and critical interpretation of results and approve the final version for publication.
- 498

499 **Competing interest declaration**

- 500 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 501

502 Additional information

- 503 Supplementary information is available for this paper.
- 504 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.E.
- 505

- 506 **Figure legends**
- 507

Fig. 1. Distributions of deaths by sex, age and place of death. Study period: 1 March to 31 May 2020. Comparison period: 1 March to 31 May 2015-2019.

510

511 Fig. 2. Excess mortality (with 95% credible intervals) from 1 March to 31 May 2020 512 compared to the same period for the preceding five years, for middle super output areas 513 (MSOAs) in England. (A) Excess deaths per 100,000 people aged 40 years and over in 2020 514 compared to the average for the same period for the preceding five years. (B) Percent increase 515 in death rates in 2020 compared to the average for the same period for the preceding five years. 516 Colouring indicates the sum (by quintiles) of community characteristics of the MSOAs: % 517 population on income support; population density; % population non-White; % population 518 living in overcrowded homes; air pollution (NO₂ and PM_{2.5}); care homes per 1,000 population. 519 Inserts are histograms of the distribution of (A) excess deaths per 100,000 people aged 40 years 520 and over, and (B) percent increase in death rates across MSOAs.

521

522 Fig. 3. Maps of middle super output areas (MSOAs) in England showing excess deaths 523 per 100,000 people aged 40 years and over. (A) Excess deaths per 100,000 males 524 (left)/females (right) from 1 March to 31 May 2020 compared to the same period for the 525 preceding five years. (B) Posterior probability that excess deaths > 0. Community 526 characteristics of the MSOAs were: % population on income support; population density; % 527 population non-White; % population living in overcrowded homes; air pollution (NO₂ and 528 $PM_{2.5}$; care homes per 1,000 population. We map the posterior probability which measures 529 the extent to which an estimate of excess/fewer deaths is likely to be a true increase/decrease. 530 Where the entire posterior distribution of estimated excess deaths for an MSOA is greater than 531 zero, there is a posterior probability of ~ 1 of a true increase, and conversely where the entire 532 posterior distribution is less than zero there is a posterior probability of ~0 of a true increase. 533 This posterior probability would be ~0.5 in an MSOA in which an increase is statistically 534 indistinguishable from a decrease (Extended Data Table 3).

535

Fig. 4. The relationship between community characteristics of middle super output areas (MSOAs) in England and excess mortality from 1 March to 31 May 2020 compared to the same period for the preceding five years. (A) Univariable relationship between each characteristic and excess mortality; (B) Multivariable relationship between characteristic and excess mortality after adjustment for the other characteristics. Proportional increase in death

- 541 rates shown as rate ratios (with 95% credible intervals) for quintiles of the distributions relative
- to lowest quintile.













Fig. 3.





Fig. 4.

