

1 **A sense of being needed: an interpretative phenomenological**
2 **analysis of hospital-based allied health professionals' experiences**
3 **during the COVID-19 pandemic**

4

5 Roel van Oorsouw, PT MSc¹; Anke Oerlemans, PhD²; Emily Klooster, PT MSc^{2,3}; Manon van den
6 Berg, PhD⁴; Hanneke Kalf, PhD¹; Hester Vermeulen, PhD^{2,5}; Maud Graff, PhD¹; Philip van der Wees,
7 PT PhD^{1,2}; Niek Koenders PT MSc¹

8

9 ¹ Radboud university medical center, Radboud institute for Health Sciences, Department of
10 Rehabilitation, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

11 ² Radboud university medical center, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, IQ healthcare, Nijmegen,
12 the Netherlands.

13 ³ Deventer Hospital, Department of Rehabilitation, Deventer, the Netherlands.

14 ⁴ Radboud university medical center, Department of Gastro-enterology and Hepatology, Nijmegen, the
15 Netherlands.

16 ⁵ HAN University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of health and Social Studies, Nijmegen, The
17 Netherlands.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

18 **Abstract**

19 **Background** The outbreak of COVID-19 led to a large number of hospitalizations in the Netherlands
20 and worldwide. As a result, the work of hospital-based allied health professionals changed rapidly. In
21 such a healthcare crisis, professionals may not be able to fulfill their own professional values about
22 good care, resulting in ethical issues and moral distress. The aim of this study was to explore the lived
23 experiences of allied health professionals working in hospitals during COVID-19 pandemic, with
24 attention to the ethical issues and moral distress these professionals might have encountered.

25 **Methods and findings** Lived experiences were collected in semi-structured interviews with a total of
26 39 allied health professionals working in four different hospitals. Interviews were held in June and July
27 2020, after waning of the first-wave of COVID-19 spreading in the Netherlands. Interpretative
28 phenomenological analysis revealed four themes: 'a disease with large impact', 'personal health and
29 safety', 'staying human in chaotic times' and 'solidarity and changing roles'. Participant experiences
30 show that the virus and COVID-19 measures had an enormous impact on the in-hospital working
31 environment due to the massive downscaling of regular care, infection prevention measures and
32 unknown risks to allied health professionals' personal health. At the same time, participants
33 experienced a certain freedom, which made room for authentic motives, connection and solidarity. The
34 themes and accompanying ethical issues reflected a wide range of situations that were morally
35 complex and led to moral distress.

36 **Conclusions** To diminish long-lasting negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and moral distress,
37 employers should empathize with experiences of allied health professionals and create conditions for
38 ethical reflection. Our data show that allied health professionals value professional autonomy. Creating
39 room for professional autonomy makes them feel needed, connected and energized. However, the
40 needs of allied health professionals may conflict with organizational rules and structures.

41 Introduction

42 On the 11th of March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak of
43 COVID-19 to be a pandemic. This outbreak, caused by the contagious novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-
44 2), led to a large number of hospitalizations worldwide.[1] At the peak of hospitalizations in the
45 Netherlands, in the beginning of April, over 1,300 patients with COVID-19 were admitted to intensive
46 care units (ICU) and over 2,600 patients were admitted to hospital wards.[2] Hospitals in the
47 Netherlands reached their capacity limits, especially in districts with a large number of COVID-
48 19 cases.

49 During this first wave, patients hospitalized with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 were cared
50 for in full isolation and separated from other patients, using “cohorting”. Cohorting allows dedicated
51 staff to work in a ward only with COVID-19-positive tested patients, so they are unlikely to carry the
52 virus from positive to negative patients. Press conferences from the Prime Minister and Minister of
53 Health were held in order to announce measures in order to slow down spreading of the virus, and to
54 “flatten the curve”. [3] Employees in vital professions were asked to keep working at their workplaces,
55 whereas other citizens were advised to work from home or close their business. Schools and childcare
56 were rapidly closed, causing children to be at home. Visiting policies in hospitals were adjusted and in
57 some cases fully restricted. At the same time there were shortages of personal protection equipment
58 (PPE).

59 Hospital-based allied health professionals (AHPs), including dietitians, occupational
60 therapists, physical therapists, and speech-language therapists, were tasked with a variety of roles, in
61 a working environment very different from normal. They had to make decisions with limited knowledge
62 of optimal precaution and treatment strategies. Due to the crisis situation, the work process was often
63 task-based instead of human-based and holistic. Although the professional roles were mostly well
64 delineated, AHPs could face roles for which they were not prepared.

65 As earlier outbreaks have shown, decision-making in a time of emergency is associated with
66 ethical issues.[4] Ethical issues occur when values and norms conflict, or when they no longer seem
67 applicable. These conflicts may occur within a person, between different persons, or there may be
68 disagreement with the rules or structures that guide his or her professional activities.[5] In these
69 situations, it is not possible to avoid acting, therefore decisions have to be made.[6] Making difficult
70 decisions in troubling situations such may result in moral distress.[7] Moral distress is defined as “*one*
71 *or more negative self-directed emotions or attitudes that arise in response to one’s perceived*

72 *involvement in a situation that one perceives to be morally undesirable*”.[8] Moral distress among
73 healthcare professionals can cause professional dissatisfaction, burnout, and shortages in medical
74 staff.[7] Moral distress has been studied extensively among nurses and physicians, because they
75 regularly face life-threatening and morally sensitive situations.[9] However, moral distress can be
76 experienced by healthcare professionals across disciplines.[10]

77 During the COVID-19 pandemic, AHPs have been supporting nurses and physicians, often
78 beyond the scope of their normal professional roles, meaning that they might have faced moral
79 distress as well. To our knowledge, the experiences of AHPs working in the hospital during a
80 pandemic have not been studied yet. Insight into their experiences could help to empathize with their
81 unusual working situation, and identify requirements for healthy deployment of AHPs during this
82 pandemic or a possible future one. The aim of this study was to explore the lived experiences of AHPs
83 working in hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic, with attention to the ethical issues and moral
84 distress these professionals might have encountered.

85

86 **Methods**

87 **Qualitative approach and research paradigm**

88 We performed an interpretative phenomenological study to explain lived experiences of AHPs.
89 Phenomenology, founded by Edmund Husserl, is a philosophical approach to study experiences.[11] It
90 focuses on the appearance of the world to the individual, through “*going back to the things*
91 *themselves*”. We collected first-person accounts of experiences with semi-structured interviews and
92 analyzed the interview data with interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA).[12] Reporting of this
93 study followed the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research.[13]

94

95 **Researcher characteristics and reflexivity**

96 The interviews were performed by three researchers (RvO, NK and EK), all hospital-based physical
97 therapists and involved in healthcare for patients hospitalized with COVID-19. The interviewers were
98 all educated and experienced in performing qualitative research. Prior to the interviews, the
99 interviewers bracketed their own experiences, opinions and prejudices on working as an AHP in the
100 hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic.[14] They did so by writing a reflection report. Before start of
101 the data analysis, the two data analysts (RvO and NK) bracketed their experiences, opinions and
102 prejudices once more in a reflection report. The other researchers have a background as ethicist (AO),

103 dietician (MvdB), speech and language therapist (HK), nurse (HV), occupational therapist (MG), and
104 physical therapist (PvdW).

105

106 **Sampling strategy**

107 Through purposeful sampling, AHPs were selected for participation in this study. Optimal variation was
108 sought in gender, age, allied health profession, years of work experience, and type of hospital.
109 Potential participants were eligible for study inclusion if they were employed in a hospital as a
110 dietician, occupational therapist, physical therapist, or speech-language therapist and if they
111 participated in healthcare for patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 infection. Potential participants
112 were excluded from the study if the professional had no access to digital communication equipment or
113 if the professional suffered from psychological complaints requiring professional support.

114 Team managers of allied healthcare departments in four hospitals in the Netherlands, two academic
115 (Radboud University Medical Center and Maastricht University Medical Center+) and two general
116 hospitals (Deventer Ziekenhuis and Bernhoven), were asked to recommend potential participants.
117 These professionals received an email from the author (NK) to provide study information and to invite
118 them for participation. Professionals not responding to the invitation received one reminder email.
119 Professionals willing to participate signed their informed consent digitally using Castor electronic data
120 capture.

121

122 **Data collection**

123 First-person accounts of experiences were collected to study the lived experiences of AHPs, setting
124 aside assumptions and prejudices from participants and interviewers about common sense and
125 science. Participants were asked to share their thoughts and experiences in one in-depth semi-
126 structured interview of maximum 60 minutes. Individual interviews were performed rather than focus
127 group interviews, because the experiences of the professionals were assumed to be potentially
128 sensitive. The interviews were structured by an interview guide covering the following topics: working
129 in the hospital, working with patients with COVID-19, working at the cohort ward, working at the ICU;
130 screening, diagnostics, treatment, ending treatment and referral of patients with COVID-19; personal
131 protection equipment and infection precautions; ethical dilemmas, moral stress, and personal health.
132 The interviewers stimulated the participants to describe the experiences as they had lived them and to
133 avoid causal explanations, generalizations, or abstract interpretations.[15] All interviews were held
134 though video calling to avoid the risk of viral spreading. The interviews were audio-recorded.

135

136 **Data analysis**

137 All interviews were transcribed verbatim by a third party. Data were analyzed following the IPA
138 method, a thoroughly described method situating participants in their specific context, exploring their
139 personal perspectives.[12] This method consists of six steps: step one to five were performed
140 independently by the data analysts (RvO and NK), step six was performed by all authors. 1) *Reading*
141 *and re-reading a transcript*. For this step the transcript of one interview was read and the recording
142 was listened simultaneously. 2) *Initial noting*. In this step the interview was coded line-by-line, looking
143 specifically for experiential claims, concerns and understandings of the case's lived experiences. 3)
144 *Developing emergent themes*. The initial codes from step two were turned into themes through
145 interpreting by the data analysts. The analysts looked for the essences of the things said. 4) *Searching*
146 *for connections across emergent themes*. The data analysts fit together the themes and selected the
147 themes relevant to the research question. Connections between themes were noted in one case
148 description per case. 5) *Moving to the next case*. Step one to four were repeated for each case. 6)
149 *Looking for patterns across cases*. Patterns across cases were searched in two consensus meetings
150 using the transcripts (step 1) and case descriptions (step 4). The two analysts presented and
151 explained their case descriptions. All authors looked for similarities and differences between the two
152 case descriptions. After three unique cases, they hypothesized patterns across cases by looking for
153 connections, potential themes, certain orders, and key emergent themes. Finally, the first author (RvO)
154 went through the individual case descriptions once more, to check whether they were adequately
155 reflected by the established themes.

156

157 **Techniques to enhance trustworthiness**

158 The transcripts were aggregated and analyzed using ATLAS.ti software (ATLAS.ti version 8.4,
159 Scientific Software Development GmbH, Berlin) supporting open and transparent data analysis. All
160 data and steps of data analysis can be traced back in the audit trail. Furthermore, credibility and
161 trustworthiness of interviews were enhanced by the timing of the data collection. There was maximum
162 three months between the lived experiences in the work situation and the interview. The collected data
163 were analyzed by two different researchers independently to create maximum thoroughness and to
164 correct for individual blind spots. By using a heterogeneous sample of four different types of AHPs, we
165 created an interprofessional understanding of lived experiences. Moreover the consensus meetings

166 improved transparency and thoroughness of the data analysis. All quotes of participants were
167 translated by a third party native speaker.

168


169 **Ethical considerations**

170 As described in the introduction, caring in times of a pandemic can be intense and distressing.
171 Therefore the interviews concerning this work period were anticipated to be potentially sensitive,
172 emotional or confronting. Participants were informed about the sensitivity of topics in the research
173 information letter. At the start of the interview it was emphasized that the interview could be paused or
174 stopped at any moment without giving any reason. Moreover, individual support was secured by all
175 team managers. The Radboudumc ethical committee (dossier number 2020-6520) judged that this
176 study does not fall under the scope of the Dutch Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act
177 (WMO). General principles from the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice were
178 followed.[16,17]

179

180 **Context**

181 The interviews were performed in June and July 2020. In these months, the numbers of
182 hospitalizations concerning COVID-19 infected persons in the Netherlands were low, see Figure 1.
183 The lockdown measures in the Netherlands were less restrictive starting May 2020. In June and July
184 2020, the basic rules still applied: stay home when being sick, work from home by default, wash your
185 hands more often than usual and keep 1,5 meters distance. Public life had somewhat normalized, with
186 students returning to schools, wearing face-masks in public transport and bars and restaurants being
187 open to a maximum of 30 persons.



188

189 Figure 1. Number of newly admitted patients in Dutch hospitals with COVID-19 infection

190 Results

191 Participants

192 Forty-two potential participants were contacted, of whom three did not respond to the study invitation.
193 In total 39 AHPs enrolled in the study and completed the interview. The sample consisted of 9
194 dietitians, 7 occupational therapists, 13 physical therapists, and 10 speech therapists. Two of the 39
195 interviews were held through a phone call with audio recording without video connection, because of
196 technical failure. Interviews had a mean duration of 48 minutes (standard deviation: 6 minutes).
197 Participant characteristics are displayed in table 1.

198 Table 1. Participant characteristics.

Participant characteristics (N=39)	
Gender	
Women	29 (74%)
Men	10 (26%)
Age (in years)	
Mean (standard deviation)	39 (11)
Range	24 - 64
Profession	
Dietician	9 (23%)
Occupational therapist	7 (18%)
Physical therapist	13 (33%)
Speech-language therapist	10 (26%)
Work experience in the hospital (in years)	
Mean (standard deviation)	15 (11)
Range	1 - 42
Working in type of hospital	
University hospital	22 (56%)
General hospital	17 (44%)

199

200 Theme descriptions

201 Four themes emerged from the data analysis: 'a disease with large impact', 'personal health and
202 safety', 'staying human in chaotic times' and 'solidarity and changing professional roles'. Thorough
203 descriptions of the themes are provided below in order to elucidate their meaning. Participant quotes
204 are used to exemplify particulars of the phenomenon. They are numbered in correspondence to the
205 numbers in the open access database. The theme descriptions contain ethical issues as experienced
206 by the participants. Themes, ethical issues and accompanying values at stake are displayed in table 2.

207 Table 2. Themes, ethical issues and accompanying values at stake.

Theme	Ethical questions	Values at stake
A disease with large impact	How can I treat patients without sufficient knowledge of the disease? How can I avoid over-exerting patients?	Beneficence, non-maleficence, professionalism
Personal health and safety	Is it safe to work in the hospital? Am I putting my loved ones at risk?	Patient health, personal health, health of family, responsibility, duty
Staying human in chaotic times	How do I keep a healthy work/life balance? How do I stay human in these conditions? How can I treat patients in a humane way?	Personal health, duty, humanity, dignity
Solidarity and changing professional roles	What role should I take on? How can I support my colleagues? Am I a general healthcare professional or a specialized allied health professional?	Responsibility, solidarity, competence

208

209 A disease with large impact

210 One of the first encounters of Dutch AHPs with COVID-19 was media footage of Italian ICUs with large
 211 many patients mechanically ventilated in prone position. At the end of February, the first case of
 212 COVID-19 in the Netherlands was confirmed. Participants pointed out that they knew a large number
 213 of very sick patients could come their way and impact their work heavily, which made them
 214 apprehensive. In some cases, AHPs were trained and briefed to be able to help out nurses. Non-acute
 215 care was scaled down and AHPs working in outpatient clinics had to call their patients to cancel
 216 appointments. Some participants experienced some very quiet shifts, in which they were waiting for
 217 patients to arrive. They sensed a quiet before the storm and a looming threat.

218

219 *“That was surreal. I came in, and it was actually very quiet from eight to ten and then between*
 220 *ten and twelve we suddenly had emergency admissions and scheduled admissions and that’s*
 221 *when you saw the nurses panic, because the nursing team had to be completely reorganized*
 222 *as they suddenly had six patients instead of three.” (participant 10320)*

223

224 When the number of hospitalized patients increased, participants reported that things changed very
 225 quickly. Hospital wards were restructured in order to provide cohort treatment and nursing staff was
 226 shuffled around. When hospitals reached their patient ward limits, patients were transferred to other

227 hospitals. Participants felt like they had ended up in a bad movie. There was a sense of disbelief and
228 being taken by surprise.

229

230 *“During one of my shifts at the ICU a helicopter landed on the front lawn three times to take*
231 *patients from the ICU to another hospital because we were full. It was also quite intense to see*
232 *a long line of ambulances loading and unloading people.” (participant 36412)*

233

234 The AHPs talked about a crisis mode, and among nurses and physicians, a survival mode. There was
235 uncertainty and questions such as: What is happening? Will we be able to cope with all these
236 patients? Participants explain that they felt anxious, especially those who witnessed severe illness due
237 to COVID-19 among loved ones, or AHPs living in areas with a large number of patients with COVID-
238 19. The streets were empty, because of the government measures, which made going to their work
239 weird and surreal. Hospitalized patients were very sick, requiring high doses of oxygen, many patients
240 were mechanically ventilated in prone position and many patients died. The participants were
241 overwhelmed by the severity of the illness. Some patients had great impact on the wellbeing of
242 participants, especially when patients were of similar age as themselves.

243

244 *“One of the most intense moments [I experienced] took place on that first Friday when a man*
245 *my own age was being intubated. I was born in the year ‘79 so when I heard him come in and*
246 *I heard the staff say that there would soon be a man admitted that was born in ‘79, I thought,*
247 *oof, he is my age. [...] In the end he did make it after 3 days. He was also off the ventilator*
248 *after 3 days. I checked up on him for a while. Some people get under your skin a little.”*
249 *(participant 10320)*

250

251 The AHPs saw patients being lonely, and felt the urge to help and comfort them. This feeling was
252 amplified by the fact that family and close relatives of patients were often forbidden from visiting as a
253 result of infection precaution measures. AHPs saw patients in fear and panic and witnessed sad
254 moments, which made them feel powerless. Concerning the treatment of patients with COVID-19,
255 there was uncertainty about what to do. AHPs had little information about the disease. Participants did
256 not dare to rely on their clinical experience because the disease showed an unpredictable course, very
257 different from normal. They faced ethical issues: How can I treat patients without sufficient knowledge
258 of the disease and its unpredictable course? How can I avoid over-exerting patients? AHPs tried to

259 solve these ethical issues by being more careful, and by including more checks and balances. Some
260 participants felt they were failing the patients, because they did not have the expert knowledge needed
261 to effectively treat patients. The participants wondered if patients would ever recover, and what would
262 be left of their quality of life.

263

264 *“Usually, if you look [at a patient] objectively, you would say that as he swallows so well, we*
265 *can stop the treatment, but at the same time I think, yesterday he could not do it yet, why is*
266 *that? So, I will make sure to check [on the patient] tomorrow and if he still does well, then I will*
267 *stop my treatment. That sudden change in patients is something I do not recognize and I think*
268 *most of us do not, that is really something that we think is typical of COVID-19, that made it*
269 *more difficult.” (participant 37470)*

270

271 *“What really got to me is seeing patients panic, but not really being able to reassure those*
272 *patients, to say don’t worry, it will all work out, we know what we are doing. When it comes to*
273 *other patients in the hospital you often know the course [of illness] so you can also assume a*
274 *more reassuring role. So I thought that was, well, I found it quite strenuous mentally.”*
275 *(participant 38684)*

276

277 The participants experienced a sharp contrast between being inside and outside of the hospital. From
278 the outside, the situation seemed eerie due to the stories being told in the media. Being inside the
279 hospital did put things into perspective according to AHPs. There was a feeling of dread, a feeling of
280 pressure, but at the same an excitement before and while entering the wards. Participants typically
281 mentioned they were curious and wondering: What is happening behind those closed doors? This
282 made it even more rousing to go through the doors or sluices.

283

284 *“At one point it was like that for a few weeks and then I thought well, I would actually like to be*
285 *more involved because I am getting afraid here at home, because I am not there (in the*
286 *hospital). Because at home it all seems very spooky, but when you are there it is all very*
287 *different.” (participant 84710)*

288

289 *“I was a bit apprehensive because well, measures were taken, security staff was sitting by the*
290 *door, people were scanned and those, well, other routes that you had to walk, certain*

291 *departments that you were not allowed to enter, you do become a little curious, like what is*
292 *happening over there?" (participant 48827)*

293

294 The participants explained that they stepped in a different world when they entered the cohort wards
295 or ICUs. The PPE measures impacted the look and feel of the wards, it created an unpleasant
296 personal distance between patients and professionals and caused them to lose their 'human touch'. In
297 a short time, people were used to the situation inside. It was relatively quiet, in contrast to the chaotic
298 context pictured in the media. The participants said they saw "with their own eyes that patients were
299 still humans, irrespective of their condition". Despite the isolation precautions, it was the scenery and
300 work with which they were familiar. The AHPs noticed a difference between colleagues that had seen
301 the wards from the inside and those who had not. The anxiety and feeling of threat was lower among
302 those who had been on the inside according to the participants. They wished that the other colleagues
303 would be allowed to see the patients and wards with their own eyes, because it would put things in
304 perspective.

305

306 *"Actually, in the beginning I often thought of the images of Italy, with all that plastic and I don't*
307 *know, it being completely hectic, but when I actually got to the ICU it was quite tranquil, and*
308 *there were patients in the rooms, but the doors were closed and there was no chaos at the*
309 *time." (participant 11036)*

310

311 *"Of course, you have a kind of specter imagining people vomiting everywhere and having*
312 *diarrhea and well, I don't know really, but that was actually, at least, in my experience it was*
313 *not too bad." (participant 69932)*

314

315 *"Once you are passed the sluice room, and you arrive at the department itself, well, that felt*
316 *strange, but the kind of distress or madness that you see on television and in the media, was*
317 *not present at all." (participant 33394)*

318

319 Some participants suddenly had to work from home; a change that was very abrupt and felt strange.
320 The participants expressed feelings of emptiness, resignation, and guilt towards colleagues working in
321 the hospital. Participants point out that it felt safe to be able to work from home, however, on the other
322 hand it was disappointing that they could not take part in the clinical work. The possibilities to work

323 digitally were seen as positive and offered good solutions. However, participants typically missed their
324 connection with patients, certainly when they had to work with “patients from paper”.

325
326 *“It felt like you were about to be fired. Not that I was afraid of my dismissal, but it felt very*
327 *weird. Because you sort of say goodbye to your colleagues and you do not know when you*
328 *will see each other again. So, we ended up calling each other every day. We did plan a sort of*
329 *meeting at the end of each working day and eventually it turned out that this was not*
330 *necessary, instead we often connected by app and by mail. But it felt very strange.”*
331 *(participant 84710)*

332
333 *“You are a health care worker so you want to do your best, on the other hand, it is also a virus,*
334 *that we can all, that we are all susceptible to and well, there are very strict measures in place*
335 *and you need to stay at home and work from home as much as possible. Then perhaps being*
336 *at home and not necessarily having to visit the hospital, gives you and your family a safe*
337 *feeling.” (participant 46670)*

338
339 Personal health and safety

340 During the first COVID-19 wave in the Netherlands there was little information about the seriousness
341 of the disease. There were stories that about 25% of the hospitalized patients would die. There were
342 cases of healthcare professionals who got sick and were admitted to their own ICU. Furthermore,
343 there was uncertainty about the way the virus spread. Participants point out that they were very aware
344 of the danger of the virus, and that they took action to avoid becoming infected. They felt a great
345 responsibility for the health and wellbeing of their loved ones. Participants faced the question: I want to
346 help in the hospital but is it safe? If I do, will I put my loved ones in danger? This made participants
347 meticulous regarding their hygiene. Suits were changed more often, hands were washed more often,
348 keyboards were cleaned more regularly, and kids were only hugged after first taking a shower. Some
349 participants chose to be in very strict self-isolation to solve this issue and stayed available for work in
350 the hospital. Participants that had to work in the hospital while they had expressed concerns to their
351 supervisors about being infected with the virus, felt guilt towards patients and colleagues who they
352 might have exposed, and made them feel angry and frustrated.

353

354 *“That realistic fear that makes you think in the beginning, maybe I will get it, or maybe I will*
355 *infect other patients, yes, I did have that.” (participant 30812)*

356
357 *“Both my father and my mother are still alive. They are 86 and 87. Yes, I take care of them a*
358 *lot more these days because they are not allowed to, and cannot, go to the shops themselves.*
359 *So, I am very involved with my parents. I was involved already, but now even more so. And*
360 *yes, I have been saying all this time that if they get sick and they die of COVID-19 then there*
361 *is nothing we can do, because they are old and have little resistance. But I don't want them to*
362 *get it from me.” (participant 58414)*

363
364 *“My wife is a little older than I am and she has some lung problems, so she might be*
365 *susceptible, and I didn't want to infect her. I know that at my age I am not a big risk to my kids,*
366 *but the situation I am in means that I can catch it more easily because I am exposed all day, if*
367 *I take proper hygiene measures, this also applies to my grandchildren and my parents. So*
368 *yes, I consciously said that I am going to go into quarantine and stay 1.5 meters away from*
369 *others at home and that is quite easy to do, but you have to be aware of it and we were [...] I*
370 *didn't want to be the one to spread it, to infect vulnerable people and loved ones so that was*
371 *my main motive.” (participant 51388)*

372
373 In addition, close relatives of participants also raised concerns about the safety of their work. They
374 asked whether participants formed a risk because they were in contact with infected persons, and
375 asked if the participants did not feel scared to take on face-to face contact with patients with COVID-
376 19. Overall, participants felt safe using the PPE on the cohort wards and ICUs. Some stated that they
377 were aware of the relatively luxurious position compared to the dearth of PPE in the primary care
378 setting.

379
380 *“At one point I really felt much safer here in the hospital than in the supermarket. I was often*
381 *asked if I was not afraid, and about wearing a suit, and how it must be quite stressful, but to be*
382 *honest, I would rather be in a suit than in the supermarket.” (participant 37470)*

383
384 Some situations caused participants to worry that they had been exposed to the virus, in particular
385 when they witnessed disconnection of mechanical ventilation, when they questioned whether they had

386 pressed the nose pads of the mask sufficiently, or when their skin was not fully covered by the PPE.
387 One participant stated that she wore extra high socks to avoid skin contact. Some participants knew
388 themselves to be hypochondriac, and recognized anxiety about becoming infected as a continuing
389 threat. Many participants opted for a healthy lifestyle in order to be more resilient to the virus and to
390 stay available for work in the hospital.

391

392 *“Then I agreed with my boyfriend not to drink alcohol anymore because we felt like we wanted*
393 *to stay healthy, we both work with COVID-19 patients, so we stopped [drinking]. And we*
394 *started exercising, three times a week, together with our colleagues. That worked out very*
395 *well, because it stopped us from only talking about COVID-19 at work, and changed the*
396 *subject to sports. Never in my life have I exercised so much, ate that healthy, and abstained*
397 *from any alcohol. [...] so strangely enough I came out fitter than I went in. No COVID-19*
398 *pounds.” [participant laughing] (participant 30952)*

399

400 The AHPs felt anger towards people not following the rules, or not keeping the required social
401 distance. This anger was aimed at people outside the hospital, for instance in the supermarket, and
402 also at colleagues in the hospital. Participants feeling vulnerable were extra keen regarding the
403 measures and felt troubled when colleagues were not as compliant, however, they did not want to be
404 the one to keep correcting them. Other participants feeling vulnerable due to previous illness
405 considered it as a personal victory that they were able to keep working in times of COVID. This made
406 professionals feel strong and energetic.

407

408 *“For me it really is like I told you, about having been ill, and at that time, it was questioned*
409 *whether I could ever lead a normal life. And that took about 2 years. And then I slowly started*
410 *living a normal life again. But I never dared to dream that I could do this. And now I've done it,*
411 *so now I feel like I can take on the world.” (participant 13293)*

412

413 Staying human in chaotic times

414 In a short period of time adjustments were made in the hospitals including restructuring departments
415 for cohort, replacing staff, and implementing new treatment guidelines and protocols. Participants felt a
416 need for clarity and leadership. Some participants recognized aspects from previous epidemics, such
417 as coping with patients with HIV. The restructuring came with a continuous stream of information.

418 There were lots of newsletters, emails and webinars to update the healthcare professionals.
419 Participants pointed out that frequent changes in policy made the situation chaotic and they spoke of
420 an overkill of information through all different channels. It was difficult to distinguish between the main
421 and the side issues. In addition, it took much time to distil what information was actually relevant for
422 their work.

423

424 *“I was a bit apprehensive because you had to deal with a lot of new rules. On how to act, how*
425 *to put on and take off the insulation materials correctly, whether I was allowed in or not?”*
426 *(participant 38684)*

427

428 *“You don't dare not to read it because you might miss something you need to know. So, I*
429 *always read everything but, in the end, I thought hmm, does this actually make a difference for*
430 *me?” (participant 58414)*

431

432 Rules and policies changed over and over again. The AHPs experienced this period as chaotic and
433 hectic, they had to be alert all day. This also concerned PPE usage. Patients had to be cared for in full
434 isolation and at the same time scarcity of PPE material resulted in orders to avoid patient contact
435 unless strictly necessary. AHPs felt pressure to not use any materials if not very urgent. Working in the
436 PPE was physically tough: participants mention they felt dehydrated, and experienced headaches in
437 the evening. They had to be creative in unfamiliar circumstances, avoid going in and out rooms and
438 departments, find solutions in the usage of materials, because the normal instruments were not
439 available at cohort wards and ICUs, or could not be used due to limited cleaning properties. Moreover,
440 they had to work with inexperienced teams and colleagues, because nurses were oftentimes
441 relocated. With many things different, participants were happy to recognize personnel on the floor.
442 Working in this new situation and with this new disease, participants explain that they could no longer
443 rely on their routine, which made their workdays intense and exhausting.

444

445 *“Usually the door is open so you walk in and start treating someone and you occasionally do*
446 *consult with the nursing staff of course, but that happened more often now because the door is*
447 *closed and you don't really want to waste materials [PPE], so you ask in advance, who's*
448 *behind the door? How are they doing? What should we do? What do we want to do? We need*

449 *to make choices. So, we actually added a step [to the process] in order to choose what is*
450 *necessary and what is not, and where I should go” (participant 58247)*

451
452 *“Everyone was a little lost, there was a group of regular ICU nurses of course, MC [Medium*
453 *Care] nurses from wards other than the ICU, OR [operation room] staff who were working on*
454 *the ICU, nurses from the day center and yes, they had to be trained. They didn’t know where*
455 *anything was, they hardly knew anything about the way the ICU operates, so yes, you noticed*
456 *that it was different than usual and the regular ICU staff were also allocated to various*
457 *departments, so you came into contact with other people as well when generally you are in*
458 *your own bubble so to speak, you know everyone, you are familiar with each other and that*
459 *was different now.” (participant 36915)*

460
461 The period was experienced as intense and stressful, but on the other hand also valuable. After work
462 they could feel tired, cranky and hot-tempered. Some participants experienced difficulty sleeping. They
463 took their work home, and the experiences had to be processed. Participants were confronted with the
464 question: I want to fulfill my job in the best possible way, however, how do I keep a healthy work/life
465 balance? It helped to share stories and feelings with loved ones. Others explained that they had to
466 write things down, or take time to ponder in order to process things. After the COVID period they felt
467 tired and needed a period to cool off. Participants experienced the workload in different ways.
468 Especially the participants with small children explained that is was a very busy period due to the
469 home situation with home schooling. Others stated that the period was actually quite relaxed, because
470 a lot of meetings were cancelled and appointments with friends and family were cancelled.

471
472 *“I also quite liked the fact that a lot of meetings that you usually had to attend had been*
473 *canceled. So, it certainly has advantages. So yes, I do hope we can hold onto some things*
474 *from this period of time.” (participant 26976)*

475
476 *“If we could fully return to work immediately, I would certainly like that. On the other hand, I*
477 *also see the benefits of working more flexibly and being able to make your own choices.”*
478 *(participant 98542)*

479

480 The unfamiliar chaotic situations, with all professionals dressed in PPE, and large number of sick,
481 often sedated, COVID-19 patients made the work at the wards and the ICUs feel less humane than
482 normal. In the crisis situation there was a high turnover and a lot of patients died. Therefore some
483 participants felt that they blocked their emotions. They experienced a robot modus and the work felt
484 factory-like. This typically was the case for AHPs participating in teams that supported ICU nurses
485 turning patients in prone position. Participants felt that they were treating bodies, or human-like dolls,
486 rather than persons. Some situations were referred to as disgraceful. Patients were oftentimes naked,
487 smelling, bloated and affected by severe decubitus, which AHPs had not experienced before. There
488 was a lack of personal information in the rooms, which made it impossible to provide person-centred
489 care. This felt wrong, and some participants felt guilt towards those patients. They wondered: how do I
490 stay human in these conditions? And how can I treat patients in a humane way? They coped with this
491 by talking to patients, and by showing dignity and respect, even when patients were deeply sedated
492 and were not expected to hear anything of the things said.

493

494 *“What really, well, what really affected me was the one nurse who addressed people by name*
495 *and told them [what we were doing] we will now do this or do that. I really liked that, so I*
496 *picked it up and started doing it myself. I started addressing people too. Otherwise, it feels like*
497 *a rag doll lying there.” (participant 58414)*

498

499 The AHPs wanted to restore and promote the patients’ dignity as much as possible. Some participants
500 explained that they work in healthcare because they want to care for people. They feel the need for
501 human contact, and empathized with the lack of contact patients received.

502

503 *“People also feel really lonely don't they, and as I said, all doors are closed, you have to rely*
504 *on that one moment when someone comes in, all suited up, well then, that real contact, that*
505 *real human contact is not there really and it is that human interest that I think we need to make*
506 *sure we hold on to.” (participant 66521)*

507

508 The participants stressed the importance of a personal connection when motivating patients.
509 Participants found creative ways to establish human contact, despite the PPE boundaries. They made
510 extra eye contact, or used their voice in particular ways. Some participants explained that they very
511 deliberately showed their face to the patient, before entering the room.

512

513 Solidarity and changing professional roles

514 The period of crisis was experienced as a time of solidarity. Participants typically felt an urge to
515 support their colleagues, to relieve busy physicians and nurses and to help keep the hospital up and
516 running. Participants speak of a caring reflex, a duty, a calling, a feeling of commitment, a need to
517 stand by when needed, to be of service. They felt drawn to the hospital. They felt that this was why
518 they had become a healthcare professional. Many hospital-based AHPs were deployed as general
519 healthcare professionals. Some helped transporting patients through the hospital, others were
520 stationed at the nursing ward to support nursing staff. They helped with washing, replenishing stocks,
521 bringing medicine and so on.

522

523 *“An event like this also creates solidarity. You go for it together and that is a great feeling.”*

524 *(participant 30556)*

525

526 *“It really felt like you just had to be there for the department, you know, and offer help.*

527 *Because you knew there was a lot of pressure on the nurses and on the, well, the hospital.*

528 *And if you can support them as a dietitian by simply being more accessible and also being*

529 *there for them in the weekend, so that it does not become an issue for them, then I think that*

530 *will also make their work easier. [...] They should be able to focus on the primary process as*

531 *much as possible.” (participant 83109)*

532

533 *“On my first day off, I went cycling. That is my hobby, so I went for a leisurely ride, reducing*

534 *stress. And I as cycled towards [city name], I was inclined to ride to the hospital. At that*

535 *moment you realise that you are constantly taking on the assisting role. It didn't matter what*

536 *you did, either. I even bathed a patient; those are nursing duties. The nurse asked me if I*

537 *wanted to bathe the patient, and I said I never did that before but—by then I'd also seen a lot*

538 *of feces, and at that point that doesn't matter as long as you can help the nurses. They are*

539 *very busy.” (participant 10320)*

540

541 Some participants state they felt frustration when they were not allowed to help out on the wards due

542 to rules or management choices. When coming to and working on the wards or ICUs they felt welcome

543 and appreciated. There was a strong sense of team spirit among healthcare workers. The participants
544 felt proud that they were able to fulfill their role.

545

546 *“You just do it. In my opinion the risk you took was small compared to the gains of work*
547 *satisfaction. So, you help the more vulnerable, the very sick, and that feels like a small*
548 *investment you can make in order to contribute to society. I was very proud of my work at that*
549 *time.” (participant 96116)*

550

551 From outside the hospital participants also felt gratitude and respect in the form of banners,
552 messages, fruit baskets and gifts that were sent to the wards. There were two negative cases in this
553 theme: two participants explained that they did not see any role for themselves. They felt that, in a
554 time of life and death, AHPs should exercise restraint and not place themselves in the foreground.

555

556 *“In matters of life and death, what should an occupational therapist come around for? It was*
557 *like, family became very important, as did social work and spiritual guidance, they are very*
558 *important. It was very medical and then, well, within the entire ICU, at that level of care, there*
559 *is nothing for you to do as an occupational therapist.” (participant 48827)*

560

561 Other AHPs helped turning patients on the ICUs, because there were many patients being
562 mechanically ventilated in prone position. They were staffed to assist nurses, where they are used to
563 being in the lead. Some participants explain that this was weird and confusing. It was not clear what
564 was expected from them, and what role they should take. They questioned: What role should I take?
565 AHPs also had questions regarding their identity, for example: Am I a general healthcare professional
566 or a specialized AHP? As a general healthcare professional, they particularly wanted to support
567 nurses and physicians to reduce their workload. As an AHP, they critically considered what care ought
568 to be provided.

569

570 *“On the ICU you usually take the lead as a physiotherapist and the nurse has a supportive role*
571 *and now, we had to, well, search for a new division of roles in which the nurse actually wanted*
572 *to take the lead. I noticed, especially in that first week, that the nurses were really taken aback*
573 *and it took a bit of getting used to, like oh, the tube might come off and no, you can't touch*
574 *anything. [...] And as you are a physiotherapist at heart, you will not simply turn the patient*

575 *over but you also look at the overall mobility to get a bit of a feeling. Because you don't know*
576 *that [patient] population either.” (participant 40447)*

577

578 Sometimes, based on their skill and knowledge, they saw room for improvement in the situation,
579 however they were not sure whether feedback was appreciated in this situation. They were aware of
580 the workload among the nurses and did not want to be an additional burden. Some participants took
581 care not to delegate work to nurses on the floor. On the other hand, participants had difficulty not
582 seeing the situation through their allied health lenses. The new disease also raised some professional
583 curiosity. They wanted to add their knowledge and skills where they thought this could be beneficial to
584 the patient. They felt pride in their profession and sought recognition for it. Several participants pointed
585 out that they felt room to pick up the expert role during the COVID-19 crisis. They took this period as
586 an opportunity to show other disciplines what they were capable of. For instance, the dieticians helped
587 determine the food demand of ICU patients, in order to relieve ICU physicians from this task. It felt like
588 a victory when this was established and appreciated. In several different ways participants felt room to
589 take up roles that they were normally not able to. Some were seen as national experts and taught
590 webinars. Others experienced that they could finally have substantive talks with physicians. The
591 hierarchy seemed to vanish. Moreover, in the crisis period a lot of things could be organized within
592 short periods of time. These things together made the period energizing, exciting and instructive.

593

594 *“The theme leader nutritionist discussed with a privacy officer whether we could also view the*
595 *patients' files without referral. And because it was to the benefit of the patient's treatment, I*
596 *think we actually received approval for it that same day. When usually you have to get*
597 *approval from 3 committees, so to speak, it was now arranged within a day. So that is*
598 *something that changed with Covid-19, now things could be arranged more quickly.”*
599 *(participant 83109)*

600

601 *“All of a sudden, a lot was approved that would usually take months or years. Protocols were*
602 *suddenly added on the portal within a week.” (participant 98542)*

603

604 It was bizarre to see all the possibilities and opportunities in this period. This made it possible to get
605 things done that had long been desired. This felt refreshing and gave an energy boost. Participants
606 wished they could keep aspects of this new vibe. After the crisis period participants felt recognition and

607 goodwill on the wards. However, some participants pointed out that the hierarchy and bureaucratic
608 procedures started to come back, which felt frustrating.

609

610 *“Now we are back to normal and I actually had that feeling already after 3 weeks at the ICU*
611 *when I thought, ah, okay, we have returned to our regular hierarchy.” (participant 40447)*

612

613 **Discussion**

614 In this qualitative study we explored the lived experiences of AHPs working in a hospital during
615 the COVID-19 pandemic. Interpretative phenomenological analysis revealed four themes: ‘a disease
616 with large impact’, ‘personal health and safety’, ‘staying human in chaotic times’ and ‘solidarity and
617 changing professional roles’. These themes and accompanying ethical issues reflect a wide range of
618 situations that were morally complex and led to moral distress. Participants indicated that, during the
619 first wave of the COVID-19 crisis in the Netherlands, the virus had an enormous impact on the in-
620 hospital working environment due to the massive downscaling of regular care, infection prevention
621 measures and unknown risks to participants’ personal health. Normal structures, frameworks,
622 protocols, agreements, roles and certainties did not meet the crisis requirements. This was frightening
623 for the AHPs and came with a need for structure and leadership. There was a movement to develop
624 new work structures and guidelines, however, the AHPs experienced an overkill of information. They
625 had trouble to distinguish main from side issues and to distil what information was actually relevant for
626 their work. At the same time, participants experienced a certain freedom, which made room for
627 authentic motives, connection and solidarity. In the chaotic situations AHPs were urged to rely on their
628 intuition and started acting accordingly. AHPs felt a calling, experienced a sense of being needed and
629 felt which parts of their work were particularly meaningful such as the therapy for patients and support
630 of nurses. These aspects were pointed to as being beautiful, inspiring and giving lots of energy.
631 Organizational changes could be arranged within short periods of time, changes that were impossible
632 to achieve under normal circumstances. AHPs were keen on sharing their expertise and were
633 professionally interested to treat this new patient group as well as possible. Despite the tough
634 conditions and isolation precautions, they sought ways to stay human in line with their fundamental
635 attitude to care for people and to engage in human interactions. AHPs hoped that these meaningful
636 changes from the crisis period would remain. However, they recognized that when the crisis waned,
637 previous hierarchy and bureaucratic procedures seemed to reappear.

638 In our findings, we recognize two ways of working. Working according to system rules,
639 guidelines or structures and working in a life-world with intuition, intrinsic motivation, trying to do the
640 right thing. These two ways of working show similarities to the two worlds described in Harry
641 Kunneman's concept of normative professionalism.[18] The system world is the "hard" side of the
642 organization, such as policy forming, planning and control cycles, rules, guidelines and management
643 systems. The system intends that, when professionals comply with the rules, the organization will
644 function properly. The life-world is the world of communication, the world where professionals make
645 decisions based on their own knowledge and experience. The life-world applies to the experiences
646 where professionals determine what to do through communication with each other and with the
647 patient. Both worlds are always in place, however, they require a balance and ideally complement
648 each other. The findings in this study indicate that, to enable healthy deployment of AHPs, the system
649 should not be overemphasized and leave room for the life-world of professionals.

650 The concept of normative professionalism is about "good work", it is strongly based on Joan
651 Tronto's ethic of Care. She describes care as: "a species activity that includes everything that we do to
652 maintain, continue, and repair our 'world' so that we can live in it as well as possible".[19] In 1993
653 Tronto describes four moral qualities as elements of good care: 'attentiveness', 'responsibility',
654 'competence' and 'responsiveness'. [19] In the AHPs' experiences we recognize that all four elements
655 came under pressure during the COVID-19 crisis. For example, AHPs could not fully live up to the
656 quality of 'competence', because they had little knowledge about the disease, and they had to fulfill
657 other roles than before. The ethical issues faced by AHPs also reflect straining of these moral
658 qualities. In 2013 Tronto adds a fifth moral quality to her vision: solidarity.[20] This solidarity was
659 strongly evoked during the COVID-19 crisis, and made most AHPs experience a sense of being
660 needed. These findings are in line with earlier studies reporting that healthcare professionals overall
661 felt a strong sense of duty to work during a pandemic influenza,[21] and during the COVID-19 crisis in
662 China.[22]

663 In the participant experiences in this study several types of moral distress as described by
664 Morley et al. can be recognized.[23] Moral dilemma distress was present when AHPs experienced the
665 dilemma that they wanted to help nurses and physicians, however, they did not know whether it was
666 safe to work in the hospital, and they did not want to put their loved ones at risk. Moral values such as
667 professional loyalty and personal health were at stake, because participating in health care would be
668 loyal though it could lead to viral spreading to loved ones. Moral uncertainty distress was present in
669 the lack of knowledge of the disease, expressed in the values competence, beneficence and non-

670 maleficence, relating to AHPs insecurity about how to treat patients in the best way. They did not dare
671 to trust their clinical experience. Moral constraint distress occurred in relation to values such as
672 professionalism, responsibility, and duty. For instance when AHPs were not able to treat patients due
673 to isolation restrictions and shortages in PPE materials. On the other hand, when professionals could
674 not support patients in situations of loneliness or fear due to visitation restrictions. Moral constraint
675 distress also occurred when patients had to be seen using e-consulting, restricting their clinical view
676 and not allowing them to physically examine patients, which felt like inferior treatment. However,
677 patients might have experienced e-consulting differently, as several studies have indicated that patient
678 experiences and outcomes from telemedicine are comparable to standard practice.[24-26]

679 Moral distress is a natural response to morally difficult encounters in the provision of patient
680 care.[23] Ethical conflicts and moral distress will always exist in healthcare, especially in high-intensity
681 settings with ethical decision-making.[27] To avoid long-lasting negative impact of moral distress,
682 efforts should be made to mitigate its effects. Until now, only few evidence-based interventions have
683 been studied with limited effectiveness.[28] Yet, some promising practices can be suggested.
684 [23,27,29] The SUPPORT model enables organizations to simultaneously develop ethical skills and
685 facilitate team-based dialogue while also creating policies shaped by standards of healthy work
686 environments.[27] Based on this model, ethical issues should be recognized and acknowledged.
687 Ethical dialogue should be normalized and safety should be established for discussions among team
688 members. The organization should encourage debriefing and create conditions to engage in ethical
689 reflection. The importance of ethical reflection is also stressed in Kunneman's concept of normative
690 professionalism.[18] It enables them to create room and reflect on "slow questions".[30] Slow
691 questions concern life questions about relations, health, loss, violence or longings, issues that cannot
692 be solved by quick technological solutions. The ethical issues faced by AHPs in the COVID-19 crisis
693 were morally complex, requiring a pragmatic tradeoff of values in the search for good care, and
694 therefore, needing recognition and acknowledgement.

695 Limitations of this study include the lack of data triangulation. The use of other data collection
696 methods, for instance participatory observations, could have enriched the data. However, due to the
697 limited availability of PPE, and the risk of virus transmission this was not an option. Risk of viral
698 spreading was also the reason that interviews had to be performed through video calling. Video calling
699 might have limited the richness of the interviews because of less rapport between interviewer and
700 participant and less non-verbal communication. However, recent studies suggest that in-person
701 interviews are only marginally superior to video calls.[31,32] For this study only the perspective of AHP

702 employees was sought, while the perspectives and interests of managers of organizations, or
703 politicians countrywide, might be opposing. All study participants were AHPs employed in Dutch
704 hospitals. The generalizability of findings might be limited since AHPs in other countries might have
705 had different roles, and might have been working under different circumstances. Furthermore, the
706 severity of the COVID-19 crisis was different between countries, and might therefore not be
707 comparable. However, the curve of hospitalizations was similar to other countries, at least in Western
708 Europe.

709 During the COVID-19 crisis, AHPs faced a wide range of situations that were morally complex
710 and led to moral distress. To diminish long-lasting negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and
711 moral distress, employers should empathize with experiences of allied health professionals and create
712 conditions for ethical reflection. Our data show that allied health professionals value professional
713 autonomy. Creating room for professional autonomy makes them feel needed, connected and
714 energized. However, the needs of allied health professionals may conflict with organizational rules and
715 structures.

716

717 **Acknowledgements**

718 We would like to thank all interview participants for their generous participation.

719

720 **Declaration of Interest**

721 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

722

723 **Data availability statement**

724 Data are digitally secured at the Radboudumc and available upon reasonable request.

725

726 **Author contributions**

727 The study was designed by RvO and NK. Data was collected by RvO, EK and NK. Data was analyzed
728 by RvO and NK and checked by AO. The manuscript was written by RvO, AO and NK. MvdB, HK, HV,
729 MG and PvdW contributed to data analysis in the consensus meetings and provided feedback on
730 preliminary manuscript drafts. All authors gave approval for submission of the final version.

731

732 **Funding support**

733 This research was funded by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development
734 (ZonMw), project number 10430042010031.

735

736 **References**

- 737 1. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real
738 time. *The Lancet infectious diseases*. 2020;20(5):533-534.
- 739 2. NICE. <https://www.stichting-nice.nl/covid-19-op-de-zkh.jsp>.
- 740 3. Thunström L, Newbold SC, Finnoff D, et al. The benefits and costs of using social distancing
741 to flatten the curve for COVID-19. *Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis*. 2020:1-27.
- 742 4. Ovadia K, Gazit I, Silner D, et al. Better late than never: a re-examination of ethical dilemmas
743 in coping with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2005;61(1):75-
744 79.
- 745 5. Kole J, de Ruyter D. *Werkzame idealen: Ethische reflecties op professionaliteit*. Uitgeverij Van
746 Gorcum; 2007.
- 747 6. Keulartz J, Schermer M, Korthals M, et al. Ethics in technological culture: a programmatic
748 proposal for a pragmatist approach. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 2004;29(1):3-29.
- 749 7. Tigard DW. Rethinking moral distress: conceptual demands for a troubling phenomenon
750 affecting health care professionals. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*. 2018;21(4):479-
751 488.
- 752 8. Campbell SM, Ulrich CM, Grady C. *A broader understanding of moral distress. Moral Distress
753 in the Health Professions*: Springer; 2018. p. 59-77.
- 754 9. Oh Y, Gastmans C. Moral distress experienced by nurses: a quantitative literature review.
755 *Nursing ethics*. 2015;22(1):15-31.
- 756 10. Whitehead PB, Herbertson RK, Hamric AB, et al. Moral distress among healthcare
757 professionals: Report of an institution-wide survey. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*.
758 2015;47(2):117-125.
- 759 11. Husserl E, Kersten F. *Ideas Pertaining to a Pure Phenomenology and to a Phenomenological
760 Philosophy.: General Introduction to Pure Phenomenology*. 1985.

- 761 12. Smith JA, Shinebourne P. Interpretative phenomenological analysis. American Psychological
762 Association; 2012.
- 763 13. O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, et al. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a
764 synthesis of recommendations. *Academic Medicine*. 2014;89(9):1245-1251.
- 765 14. Bevan MT. A method of phenomenological interviewing. *Qualitative health research*.
766 2014;24(1):136-144.
- 767 15. Van Manen M. *Phenomenology of practice: Meaning-giving methods in phenomenological*
768 *research and writing*. Routledge; 2016.
- 769 16. Association WM. Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving
770 human subjects. *Jahrbuch Für Wissenschaft Und Ethik*. 2009;14(1):233-238.
- 771 17. Guideline IHT. Guideline for good clinical practice. *J Postgrad Med*. 2001;47(3):199-203.
- 772 18. Kunneman H. *Good work: The ethics of craftsmanship*. Uitgeverij SWP; 2013.
- 773 19. Tronto JC. *Moral boundaries: A political argument for an ethic of care*. Psychology Press;
774 1993.
- 775 20. Tronto JC. *Caring democracy: Markets, equality, and justice*. NYU Press; 2013.
- 776 21. Ives J, Greenfield S, Parry JM, et al. Healthcare workers' attitudes to working during pandemic
777 influenza: a qualitative study. *BMC public health*. 2009;9(1):1-13.
- 778 22. Liu Q, Luo D, Haase JE, et al. The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19
779 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2020.
- 780 23. Morley G, Sese D, Rajendram P, et al. Addressing caregiver moral distress during the COVID-
781 19 pandemic. *Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine*. 2020.
- 782 24. Cottrell MA, Galea OA, O'Leary SP, et al. Real-time telerehabilitation for the treatment of
783 musculoskeletal conditions is effective and comparable to standard practice: a systematic
784 review and meta-analysis. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2017;31(5):625-638.
- 785 25. Tenforde AS, Hefner JE, Kodish-Wachs JE, et al. Telehealth in physical medicine and
786 rehabilitation: a narrative review. *PM&R*. 2017;9(5):S51-S58.
- 787 26. Howard IM, Kaufman MS. Telehealth applications for outpatients with neuromuscular or
788 musculoskeletal disorders. *Muscle & nerve*. 2018;58(4):475-485.
- 789 27. Pavlish C, Brown-Saltzman K, So L, et al. SUPPORT: an evidence-based model for leaders
790 addressing moral distress. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*. 2016;46(6):313-320.

- 791 28. Dacar SL, Covell CL, Papathanassoglou E. Addressing moral distress in critical care nurses: a
792 systemized literature review of intervention studies. *Connect: The World of Critical Care*
793 *Nursing*. 2019;13(2):71-89.
- 794 29. Rushton CH, Schoonover-Shoffner K, Kennedy MS. Executive summary: transforming moral
795 distress into moral resilience in nursing. *Journal of Christian Nursing*. 2017;34(2):82-86.
- 796 30. Kunneman H. *Voorbij het dikke-ik* Amsterdam. Netherlands: BV Uitgeverij SWP. 2005.
- 797 31. Irani E. *The Use of Videoconferencing for Qualitative Interviewing: Opportunities, Challenges,*
798 *and Considerations*. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA; 2019.
- 799 32. Krouwel M, Jolly K, Greenfield S. Comparing Skype (video calling) and in-person qualitative
800 interview modes in a study of people with irritable bowel syndrome—an exploratory
801 comparative analysis. *BMC medical research methodology*. 2019;19(1):219.

Number of newly admitted patients in Dutch hospitals with COVID-19 infection

