Acute nasal dryness in COVID-19

Jordi Navarra (PhD)[1*], Alba Ruiz-Ceamanos (MA)[1,2], Juan José Moreno (PhD)[3,4], José María García-Basterrechea (MD)[5], Josep de Haro-Licer (MD)[6], Scott Sinnett (PhD)[7], Charles Spence (PhD)[8], & David Gallardo-Pujol (PhD)[9,10]

1. Department of Cognition, Development and Educational Psychology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
2. CETT-UB. Barcelona School of Tourism, Hospitality and Gastronomy, Barcelona, Spain
3. Department Nutrition, Food Science and Gastronomy, Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
4. CIBEROBN Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain
5. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Múrcia, Múrcia, Spain
6. Ear, Nose and Throat Department, Hospital Municipal de Badalona - Badalona Serveis Assistencials (HMB-BSA), Barcelona, Spain
7. Department of Psychology, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Hawai'i, USA
8. Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
9. Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
10. Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Corresponding author:
Jordi Navarra
Department of Cognition, Development and Educational Psychology
University of Barcelona, Spain
Faculty of Psychology, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 171, 08035, Barcelona, Spain.

Phone: +34 933125140
E-mail: jordi.navarra@ub.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, nasal dryness, olfaction, goblet cells, ACE-2 receptors.

2   **Abstract**

One of the entry routes of SARS-CoV-2 is the nasal epithelium. Although mounting

evidence suggests the presence of olfactory dysfunction, and even anosmia, in patients

with COVID-19, it is not clear whether these patients also suffer from other "nasal"

symptoms that may influence their olfaction. A group of 35 patients with COVID-19

(and a control group matched in gender and age) were surveyed about the presence of a

variety of nasal symptoms that may be associated to drastic perturbations experienced in

the nasal cavity (e.g., "excessive dryness" and/or a continual sensation of having had a

"nasal douche"). We used a cross-sectional, retrospective survey, targeted at the general

population by means of non-quoted, non-random, snowball sampling. Symptoms were

assessed with absence/presence responses. The possible association between two

continuously distributed latent variables from categorical variables was estimated by

means of polychoric correlations. More than 68% of the patients reported at least one

"nasal" symptom. The clinical group also experienced "a strange sensation in the nose"

and having excessive nasal dryness significantly more often than the control group.

Fifty-two percent of the patients (but only 3% of the control group) reported a constant

sensation of having had a strong nasal douche. Nasal symptoms predominantly co-

occurred with anosmia/hyposmia, and ageusia/hypogeusia, appeared principally before

or during the other symptoms of COVID-19, and lasted for twelve days, in average. The

presence of these nasal symptoms, and their early occurrence, could potentially

facilitate early diagnosis of COVID-19 and initial social distancing efforts.

Introduction

In 2020, a new disease, known as COVID-19, caused by an infection of SARS-CoV-2,

has spread globally. Given the high percentage of patients with COVID-19 who are

asymptomatic or experience only mild symptoms (Kim et al., 2020), the need to identify

(and isolate if necessary) possible carriers of the SARS-CoV-2 virus quickly and

inexpensively is crucial to helping reduce its spread. Recognizing and understanding all

of the possible symptomatic manifestations of COVID-19, including those that seem to

be less life-threatening, can be relevant for diagnostic, treatment, and mitigation efforts

(e.g., social distancing), especially in situations where RT-PCR tests cannot be

administered to all non-severe cases. Along these lines, we now know, for example, that

approximately 80% of COVID-19 patients in Europe report some loss of smell and taste

(Lechien, Chiesa-Estomba, De Siati, 2020; see also Moein, Hashemian, Mansourafshar,

Khorram-Tousi, Tabarsi, and Doty, 2020).

Evidence suggests that the virus adheres to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2)

receptors in epithelial cells (Wan, Shang, Graham, Baric, and Li, 2020). Clear

symptomatic manifestations (e.g., loss of smell; see Brann, Tsukahara, and Weinreb,

2020; Lechien et al., 2020; Moein et al., 2020; Spinato, Fabbris, and Polesel, 2020)

associated with damage in nasal (Sungnak et al., 2020; Ziegler, Allon, and Nyquist,

2020), and/or brain cells (Baig, Khaleeq, Ali, and Syeda, 2020; Mao et al., 2020)

expressing ACE-2 have been identified. Goblet cells have recently been shown to

harbor ACE-2 proteins (e.g., Ziegler et al., 2020). These epithelial cells are responsible

for the production of the mucus in respiratory, reproductive, and intestinal tracts. Recent

evidence also points to the presence of goblet secretory cells with ACE-2 membrane

proteins in the respiratory nasal epithelium (e.g., Sungnak et al., 2020). A possible

disruption in the mucus production by the action of SARS-CoV-2 on these cells may

imply a drastic change of the mucosa environment. As sudden changes in mucus density

could compromise the final adherence of the volatile chemical compounds to their

corresponding odorant receptors, olfaction may possibly be compromised in the process

(Hummel, Whitcroft, and Andrews, 2016).

Most important for our purpose, an ostensible reduction of mucus could certainly lead

to strange sensations in the nasal cavity (Hildenbrand, Weber, Brehmer, 2011). If

patients with COVID-19 do experience odd, and even unprecedented sensations in their

nasal cavity, we might be observing yet another warning sign of COVID-19 that has

been ignored so far, probably due to its being overshadowed by more severe symptoms

needing urgent intervention.

The goal of the present study was to obtain diagnostic-valuable data regarding the

presence of these non-olfactory nasal symptoms in patients with COVID-19. The study

was conceived as a cross-sectional, retrospective survey targeted to the general

population by means of non-quoted, non-random, snowball sampling. A brief online

survey gauged whether participants had noticed any strange sensations in their

nose/nasal cavity or not. More specifically, questions addressed if they felt dryness in

their nasal cavity or had perceived the continuous feeling of having had a nasal douche.

Information regarding the time of appearance of these symptoms relative to other

COVID-19 symptoms was also gathered.

Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the University of Barcelona's Bioethics Commission

(CBUB) and followed the Declaration of Helsinki ethical standards and the Spanish and

EU data protection regulations. Written informed consent was obtained prior to

participation in the survey.

Data Collection

Information regarding the presence/absence of non-olfactory nasal symptoms, as well as

regarding the presence/absence of other symptoms previously described in the literature

(e.g., fever, cough, smell and taste loss, etc.), was obtained from a group of patients

with COVID-19 and a control group.

Data were collected by means of an online (Qualtrics) survey, which was designed to:

1) capture the main demographics of respondents, 2) ask whether they were COVID-

tested or COVID-suspected (as suspicion without a PCR test result was considered an

exclusion criterion), and 3) collect information about COVID-19 symptoms.

The survey questionnaire comprised a total of 69 items in four different languages

(Catalan, Spanish, Italian, and French) and were administered depending on the

participants' responses (i.e., some questions appeared only in cases where a specific

response to a particular item was provided by the participant). Snowball sampling was

used to recruit the sample of participants (Goodman, 1961).

Participants

Only those participants who provided a copy of their positive result for SARS-CoV-2 in

a PCR test were included in the patient group. The survey was conducted from

03/30/2020 to 04/06/2020 and a total of 414 people took part.

The vast majority of respondents were from Spain (86%), followed by Italy (9%) and

France (1.5%). Responses from the survey were used to classify respondents as either

patients (with demonstrable positive results in a PCR test), controls, or those with an

uncertain status. Data from the latter were removed from the analyses.

The clinical group of patients with confirmed positive RT-PCR test results included 35

participants (24 female; range=21-65 years; median age=47 years; interquartile range

(IQR)= 38.5-53.5 years), and the control group included 156 participants (110 female;

range=16-76 years; median age= 31 years; IQR=19-50 years). Because these initial

groups differed in terms of age (p<.001) and smoking habits (p<.01), only responses

from a sub-sample of 35 healthy volunteers (based on the following formula:

Group~Gender+Age+Smoking) were used for statistical analyses, ensuring that

participants were matched in terms of age (clinical group's median age=47 years,

IQR=38.5-53.5; control group's median age=46 years, IQR=38.5-53.5), and gender (24

vs. 19 female, respectively; see Table 1 for basic demographic information). However,

the groups were not completely matched in terms of smoking habits (p=.004), given that

no patients (but 8 participants from the control group) reported smoking. The

distribution of overall symptoms for both groups can be found in Table 2.

Table 1. Basic demographics and characteristics of the clinical and control groups.

	Clinical (N=35)	SD (or %)	Control (N=35)	SD (or %)
Age (yrs)	46	11	45.9	11
Females	24	68.6	19	54.3
Smoking prevalence				
No	35	100	27	77.1
< 10 cigarettes/day	0	0	6	17.1
>10 cigarettes/day	0	0	2	5.8

Statistical analyses

The statistical software R 4.0 (package e1071) was used to match cases and controls and

to analyze the data. Values are reported as median (IQR). Comparisons of proportions

were assessed using a Fisher's exact 2-tailed test. Differences in the duration of the

symptoms were tested with an unpaired 2-tailed Welch's t test, and 95% CIs are

reported. The possible co-occurrence of symptoms was calculated on the basis of

correlations for categorical variables. These correlations were computed via the

"polychoric" function of the psych package using frequencies as input data. The

significance level was set at $p < .05$, and uncertainty estimates are given as confidence

intervals.

Table 2. Distribution of symptoms in clinical and control participants.

	Clinical (N=35)	%	Control (N=35)	%
Low-grade fever	28	82.4	2	6.06
Fever	19	55.9	0	0.00
Dry cough	25	73.5	8	24.24
Respiratory difficulty	20	58.8	1	3.03
Sore throat	12	35.3	9	27.27
Nasal congestion	19	55.9	10	30.30
Headache	30	88.2	11	33.33
Fatigue	33	97.1	2	6.06
Diarrhea	20	58.8	5	15.15
Anosmia	29	85.3	1	3.03
Ageusia	27	79.4	3	9.09
Some nasal disturbances	19	55.9	4	12.12
Nasal dryness	21	61.8	5	15.15

	Clinical (N=35)	%	Control (N=35)	%
Nasal douche	17	51.5	1	3.03

Results

The participants' responses revealed that 68.6% of the patients experienced at least one

of these "nasal" symptoms (Figure 1A). Further analyses showed that the clinical group

reported having experienced excessive nasal dryness significantly more often than the

control group (21 (61.8%) vs. 5 (15%); odds ratio, 8.7; 95% CI, 2.5-36.5 p<.001; see

Figure 1B). Similarly, the clinical group also differed statistically from the control

group in terms of having "strange nasal sensations" (19 (55.9%) vs. 4 (12%); odds ratio,

37.2, 95% CI, 5-1,666.4; p<.001; see Figure 1B). Further, while nearly half of the

patients (17) reported a sensation similar to that of having had a strong nasal douche,

only a single control participant reported such a sensation (1 (3%); odds ratio, 32.3;

95% CI, 4.3-32.3; p<.001; see Figure 1B).

Figure 1

Figure 1 (legend). Distribution of subjective "nasal" symptoms in each group, and

correlations between nasal and other symptoms. The clinical and control groups

differed statistically in (A) the presence of nasal symptoms in general. (B) The 2 groups

also differed in their subjective perception of three different "nasal" symptoms: Some

nasal disturbances, nose dryness, and nasal douche sensation. (C) Co-occurrence

matrices of symptoms for the clinical group (COVID-19). LowFev=Low grade fever;

DryCgh=Dry cough; RespDf=Respiratory difficulties; SrThrt=Sore throat;

NslCng=Nasal congestion; HedAch=Headache; Fatigu=Fatigue; Diarrh=Diarrhea;

Anosmi=Anosmia; Ageusi=Ageusia; Smdstr=Some disturbances; NasDry=Nasal

Dryness; NasFl=Nasal Flush.

The nasal symptoms reported by the patients tended to co-occur mostly with

anosmia/hyposmia, and ageusia/hypogeusia (see Figure 1C), appeared before or during

(but not after) other symptoms of COVID-19 (χ2= 15.55, df=3, p<.01), and lasted for

about 12.05 days in patients versus 5 days in controls (p=.04), on average (95% CI of

the difference=3.9-10.18). It is worth highlighting that 85.3% and 79.4% of the patients

with COVID-19 reported smell and taste loss, respectively, to some extent, in line with

recent literature (see Brann et al., 2020; Lechien et al., 2020; Moein et al., 2020; Spinato

et al., 2020).

Discussion

The pattern of results reveals an abnormal presence of subjective nasal symptoms in a

group of patients who tested positive for COVID-19. While the presence of these

sensations are likely irrelevant with respect to patient outcome (though some patients

described them as extremely annoying), the early presence of these symptoms and the

fact that they are clearly distinguishable from the loss of smell and taste previously

reported in the literature (e.g., Spinato et al., 2020) highlight the potential importance of

their use when exploring protocols for COVID-19. It is, however, plausible that all

nasal and olfactory manifestations of the illness have related etiologies (i.e., impairment

of epithelial cells that express ACE-2), as sudden changes in mucus density (due to the

impairment of nasal goblet cells) not only induce nasal dryness (Hildenbrand et al.,

2011), but also compromise the final adherence of the volatile chemical compounds to

their corresponding odorant receptors (see Hummel, 2016). The presence of these nasal

sensations could be taken into account for both diagnostic and social distancing

purposes, especially in those situations in which RT-PCR tests cannot be administered

to non-severe cases.

A possible limitation of the present study is the possibility of having false COVID-19

negative participants in the control group. It is worth mentioning that any study that

tests only for patients might result in a false-negative proportion, but given the

seroprevalence in the Spanish population (5%) at the time of this study, this might

imply the addition of roughly 8-9 more participants with positive result in PCR test in

our full sample, or 1-2 in the subsample, which would not alter the results significantly.

Considering that nasal dryness could easily be related to some initial damage caused by

SARS-CoV-2, future studies might determine the point in time where patients

experiencing abnormal nasal dryness begin to develop other COVID-19 symptoms.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all of the participants that volunteered to answer the survey used

to obtain the data reported in the present study.

References

Baig AM, Khaleeq A, Ali U, Syeda H. Evidence of the COVID-19 virus targeting the

CNS: Tissue distribution, host−virus interaction, and proposed neurotropic

mechanisms. *ACS Chem Neurosci*. 2020;11(7):995-998. doi:

10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00122.

Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2

entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-

associated anosmia. *BioRxiv*; 2020. DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.25.009084.

Goodman LA. Snowball Sampling. *Ann Math Statist*. 1961;32(1):148−170.

doi:10.1214/aoms/1177705148

Hildenbrand T1, Weber RK, Brehmer D. Rhinitis sicca, dry nose and atrophic rhinitis: a

review of the literature. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2011; **268**: 17-26.

doi:10.1007/s00405-010-1391-z

Hummel T, Whitcroft KL, Andrews P et al. Causes and classification of olfactory

loss. Position paper on olfactory dysfunction. *Rhinology* 2016; **54**: 6-10.

Kim GU, Kim MJ, Ra SH, Lee J, Bae S, Jung J, Kim SH. Clinical characteristics of

asymptomatic and symptomatic patientswith mild COVID-19. *Clin Microbiol*

Infect. 2020;26(7):948.e1-948.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.040

Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, et al. Olfactory and gustatory

dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild to moderate forms of the

coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A multicenter European study. *Eur Arch*

Otorhinolaryngol. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s00405-020-05965-1

Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with

coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol*. 2020;e201127.

doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127

Moein ST, Hashemian SMR, Mansourafshar B, Khorram-Tousi A, Tabarsi P, Doty RL.

Smell dysfunction: a biomarker for COVID-19. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*.

2020;10.1002/alr.22587. doi:10.1002/alr.22587

Spinato G, Fabbris C, Polesel J, et al. Alterations in smell or taste in mildly

symptomatic outpatients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *JAMA*. 2020;323(20):2089−

2090. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.6771

Sungnak W, Huang N, Bécavin C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly

expressed in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. *Nat Med*.

2020;26(5):681−687. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0868-6

Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor recognition by the novel

coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of

SARS coronavirus. *J Virol*. 2020;94(7):e00127−20. doi:10.1128/JVI.00127-20

Ziegler CGK, Allon SJ, Nyquist SK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 is an

interferon-stimulated gene in human airway epithelial cells and is detected in

specific cell subsets across tissues. *Cell*. 2020;181(5):1016−1035.e19.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.035

Figure 1