medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Valuation System connectivity is correlated with poly-drug use in young adults

Kavinash Loganathan[1*], Jinglei Lv[2,3,4], Vanessa Cropley[3], Andrew Zalesky[3,4], Eric Tatt Wei Ho[1,5],

1 Centre for Intelligent Signal & Imaging Research, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Perak, Malaysia.

2 Sydney Imaging & School of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

3 Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne & Melbourne Health, Melbourne Australia

4 Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

5 Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Perak, Malaysia

***Corresponding author**:

Kavinash Loganathan

Centre for Intelligent Signal & Imaging Research

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS

32610 Bandar Seri Iskandar, Perak, Malaysia.

Tel: +6 05 368 7893

Fax: +6 05 365 7443

Em**ail: kavinash@gmail.com**

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Poly-drug consumption is a dangerous, yet complex model of substance use that contributes to many cases of imprisonment and fatal overdose. Despite the growing number of studies looking at this phenomenon, there remains a lack of neuroimaging data elucidating the neural markers of poly-drug use. In particular, the valuation system, tasked with weighing the value of items and experiences, may hold significance in understanding the motivations behind poly-drug use. To this end, we sought to analyze the functional connectivity of the Valuation System (VS), Executive Control System (ECS) and Valuation-Control Complex (VCC) of drug-using participants in the Human Connectome Project Healthy Young Adult dataset (n=992). Using multivariate regression, network-averaged connectivities were correlated with various substance use measures (stimulants, cocaine, hallucinogens, opiates, sedatives and marijuana) and demographic variables (gender, parental use history). We found that the VS, ECS and VCC were all correlated with drug use behaviour either as individual systems or when paired with other substances. Both VS (R^2= 0.53) and ECS (R^2= 0.55) connectivity are positively correlated with stimulant use whereas both ECS (R^2= 0.45) and VCC (R^2= 0.045) connectivity are negatively correlated with marijuana use. VS (R^2= 0.75) and VCC (R^2= 0.74) connectivities are also negatively correlated with sedative use. Additionally, network connectivity was correlated with drug use behaviour via two-way interactions with other substances. These findings provide preliminary indications of the consequences of poly-drug use in healthy young adults.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Poly-drug use is a growing problem worldwide with many rehabilitating patients having consumed more than one drug (Su et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018). Poly-drug dependents mix-and-match different combinations in an attempt to increase the efficacy (Scott et al., 2007) or attenuate drawbacks (Fisk et al., 2011; Hernández-López et al., 2002) of a particular substance. Poly-drug use is related to dysfunctional behavioural regulation and executive functioning (Fernandez-Serrano et al., 2010; Keyes et al., 2008; Koester et al., 2013), as well as risky sexual practices (Floyd et al., 2010) and even imprisonment (Cooper et al., 2018). Despite the multi-layered nature of poly-drug use (Jongenelis et al., 2019), one concept could play a key role by underpinning a variety of substance use profiles: valuation.

Value is the currency of choice (Helie et al., 2017) and has been linked with drug use as seen in studies featuring heroin (Xie et al., 2014), stimulants (Gipson and Bardo, 2009), cocaine (Meade et al., 2011) and marijuana (Elton et al., 2019) dependents. Drugs become valued as a means of achieving a desired state, be it euphoria or relief from pain (Koob et al., 2014). As such, addictive drugs are sometimes considered valuable for their euphoria-inducing (Klega and Keehbauch, 2018) or pain-killing properties (Choi et al., 2017). Additionally aberrant executive control (Volkow et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2015) has been observed during dependency. Research indicates that the relationship between valuation and executive control become unbalanced, possibly contributing to risky pursuit and consumption of drugs (Xie et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2015). The valuation system (VS) is thought to be involved in estimating the incentive value of different options and comprises the ventromedial prefontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, amygdala and nucleus accumbens (van den Bos and McClure, 2013). The VS is implicated in value-setting (Ousdal et al., 2014) and is hypothesized to interface between pleasure and pain (Kringelbach, 2005; Rolls et al., 2003; Schultz, 2007; Seymour et al., 2005; Small et al., 2001; Tremblay and Schultz, 1999). The executive control system (ECS), consisting of the lateral prefrontal cortex, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and posterior parietal cortex, guides behaviour in pursuit of long-term goals (McClure et al., 2004). The ECS may assist in refining VS-encoded values by incorporating elements of future-thinking and careful deliberation (McClure et al., 2004; van den Bos and McClure, 2013). Both the VS and ECS are linked with substance use behaviour both as a network (Xie et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2015) and as individual regions (Bedi et al., 2015; Garavan et al., 2008; Kosten et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Although the concept of value has gained importance in the field of drug dependency research, functional neuroimaging evidence remains scarce. Relatively few studies have taken into account the wide range of drug types consumed concurrently (Jongenelis et al., 2019). Taken together with the tendency to exclude poly-drug users from individual substance use neuroimaging studies (Ma et al., 2011; Zhai et al., 2015), these limitations have lead a dearth in imaging-based findings. We aim to address this issue by correlating the connectivity of the VS, ECS and the valuation-control complex (VCC, a hybrid network comprised of VS and ECS regions) with poly-drug use in the Human Connectome Project Healthy Young Adult dataset using multivariate generalized linear models. We hypothesize that functional connectivity within the VS, ECS and VCC would all be correlated with alcohol, tobacco, opiates, cocaine, stimulants, sedatives and marijuana use. We further hypothesize that in some cases, connectivity may be correlated with substance use via a paired interaction with another drug (eg VS connectivity paired with sedative use may be negatively correlated with cocaine use).

Experimental Parameters

Participants, image acquisition and preprocessing

Minimally preprocessed fMRI data from the Human Connectome Project (HCP, Smith *et al.*, 2013) was sourced for healthy adults of both genders (age range = 22-37). Recruitment procedures and inclusion/exclusion criteria are described elsewhere (Van Essen et al., 2013). Only subjects with all four repeated resting-state fMRI sessions (first and second scan sessions with left-right and right-left phase encoding directions), who also possessed complete delay discounting measures, were included (n = 992). The resting-state functional MRI (rsfMRI) data was acquired using the MGH-UCLA Connectome scanner (Smith et al., 2013). Briefly, 1200 consecutive volumes were acquired in ~15 minutes in each scan sessions when the participants were in resting-state with eyes open. An optimized multi-band accelerated gradient-echo planar imaging protocol was adopted for high spatial and temporal resolution. Each subject was scanned twice over 2 days, and each scan consists of two rsfMRI sessions with the phase encoding direction of right-to-left and left-to-right The detailed parameters are as follow: Repetition time= 720 ms, Echo time=33.1 ms, Flip Angle= 52°, Field of view= 280 × 180 mm, Matrix of 140 × 90, Slice number=72 and the voxel size is 2 mm isotropic. The minimal preprocessing pipeline from the HCP team (Glasser et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013) was adopted in this study.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

VS, ECS and VCC mask preparation

The VS, ECS and VCC were delineated using the binary masks that combined regions of interest (ROIs) from both the Desikan-Killiany (Desikan et al., 2006) and Destrieux (Destrieux et al., 2010) parcellations. While most of the regions comprising the VS, ECS and VCC were assembled using the Desikan-Killiany atlas, the more refined parcellation of Destrieux atlas was useful in isolating the dACC. Specifically, for the VS, the total vmPFC was composed of two regions, the sum of both left and right lateral and medial orbitofrontal cortices (Morey et al., 2016), whereas the amygdala and accumbens were composed of the bilateral combination of ROIs for each subcortical region. The PCC consisted of the bilateral posterior dorsal and posterior ventral cingulate cortices (Holt et al., 2011).

For the ECS the LPFC was assembled by combining the bilateral dorsal and ventral sub-regions. The ventrolateral PFC comprised the pars opercularis, pars triangularis and pars orbitalis, whereas the dorsolateral PFC comprised the middle frontal gyrus and superior frontal gyrus (Vijayakumar et al., 2014). The posterior parietal cortex incorporated the bilateral inferior and superior parietal gyri (Desmurget et al., 2018; Sheppard et al., 2010), whereas the dACC was composed of the bilateral anterior and posterior middle cingulate (Demers et al., 2015). The VCC was assembled by combining the masks of both VS and ECS. All anatomical labels were extracted and merged using the FMRIB Software Library (Smith *et al.*, 2004, https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/).

The complete list of all anatomical ROIs used to delineate the VS and ECS are shown in Table 1, along with their central coordinates given in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1: List of ROIs and their corresponding center of gravity (in mm) used to assemble the Valuation and Control system brain masks. LH, left hemisphere; RH, right hemisphere; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; PC, posterior cingulate; FG, frontal gyrus; MC, middle cingulate; lat, lateral; medial, med; vent, ventral; dors, dorsal; sup, superior; inf, inferior; ros, rostral; caud, caudal; mid, middle; ant, anterior; pos, posterior; opercularis, pars opercularis; triangularis, pars triangularis; orbitalis, pars orbitalis

System	Functional region	ROI	x	y	z
Valuation	vmPFC	LH lat OFC	-23.83	30.67	-17.77
		RH lat OFC	23.00	31.98	-18.46
		LH med OFC	-6.80	33.49	-16.02
		RH med OFC	6.32	37.38	-16.73
	PCC	LH dors PC	-4.07	-39.89	29.19
		RH dors PC	5.33	-36.50	32.92
		LH vent PC	-7.19	-47.48	8.64
		RH vent PC	8.55	-49.22	7.49
	Amygdala	LH amygdala	-23.18	-4.93	-19.56
		RH amygdala	24.20	-3.75	-19.71
	Accumbens	LH accumbens	-8.79	11.65	-6.46
		RH accumbens	9.53	12.09	-7.23
Control	PPC	LH inf parietal	-41.27	-68.97	34.93
		RH inf parietal	47.51	-60.80	33.32
		LH sup parietal	-20.92	-66.90	48.70
		RH sup parietal	24.23	-61.60	52.12
	dlPFC	LH sup FG	-9.79	27.31	43.89
		RH sup FG	12.23	30.84	43.45
		LH ros mid FG	-31.16	48.05	17.58
		RH ros mid FG	34.05	46.79	17.17
		LH caud mid FG	-35.85	11.95	47.34
		RH cau mid FG	38.06	11.21	48.12
	vlPFC	LH opercularis	-47.86	18.01	13.92
		RH opercularis	48.58	15.55	11.08
		LH orbitalis	-42.11	38.11	-13.59
		RH orbitalis	44.08	39.89	-12.09
		LH triangularis	-46.47	35.21	1.73
		RH triangularis	49.08	33.38	6.56
	dACC	LH ant MC	-7.19	12.19	34.99
		RH ant MC	7.96	15.22	36.09
		LH pos MC	-7.50	-14.65	40.42
		RH pos MC	7.98	-8.77	42.24

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Substance use measures

The following substance use measures were obtained from the Human Connectome Project Restricted Access Data and correlated with Valuation, Control and VCC average connectivity: Times Used Cocaine, Times Used Hallucinogens, Times Used Opiates, Times Used Sedatives, Times Used Stimulants and Times Used Marijuana. These substance use and frequency measures were collected using two approaches: a detailed questionnaire prepared specifically for the Human Connectome Project (for short-term alcohol and tobacco use), followed by the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (SSAGA) for long-term alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use (Bucholz et al., 1994).

Functional connectivity correlated with substance use behaviour

The rsfMRI signal was averaged over all voxels comprising each ROI (node) of the VS (12 nodes) and ECS (20 nodes), yielding a regionally-averaged signal for each node. The Pearson correlation coefficient in the regionally-averaged signals were then computed between all pairs of nodes, resulting in a 12 x 12 (VS), 20 x 20 (ECS) and 32 x 32 (VCC) connectivity matrix for each of the four scan runs (Rest 1-LR, Rest 1-RL, Rest 2-LR, Rest 2-RL). This computation was repeated for each subject. The four connectivity matrices computed from the four rsfMRI sessions for each subject were then averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio, yielding a single connectivity matrix for each of the three systems for every subject. These connectivity matrices were then averaged per subject, such that for each of the VS, ECS and VCC, each subject's connectivity would be represented by a single value. Generalized linear models (GLM) were then computed to correlate the average connectivity of VS, ECS and VCC with each subject's substance use profile. For each analysis, the substance measure in question (e.g. Cocaine Use) was used as a dependent variable, while network-averaged functional connection, use measures for all other substances (e.g. Drink Freq 7 Days, Tobacco Use Total 7 Days, Stimulant Use etc), gender and parental history of drug use were input as independent variables. Multiple comparisons were controlled using the False Discovery Rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). A full list of dependent and independent variables is shown in Table 2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: List of drug-based dependent variables and independent variables comprised of both substance use measures, as well as demographic and family use history variables.

Dependent Variables	Independent Variables	
Cocaine Use Hallucinogen Use Opiate Use Sedative Use Stimulant Use Marijuana Use	Substance Use Measures	Demographics and Family Use Variables
	Total Drinks 7 Days Drink Frequency 7 Days Drinks/Day 12 Months Drink Frequency 12 Months Total Tobacco Use 7 Days Tobacco Use Frequency 12 Months Cocaine Use Hallucinogen Use Opiate Use Sedative Use Stimulant Use Marijuana Use	Gender Father Use History Mother Use History

Results

Average connectivity of the VS, ECS and VCC is correlated, either independently or via a two-way interaction with substance use (Table 3). Both VS and ECS connectivity are positively correlated with Stimulant use, whereas both ECS and VCC connectivity are negatively correlated with Marijuana use. VS and VCC connectivities are negatively correlated with Sedative use.

VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is positively correlated with Cocaine use via a two-way interaction with Total Tobacco 7 Days (amount of tobacco consumed in week) but was negatively correlated via a two-way interaction with Sedative and Stimulants. VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is positively correlated with Marijuana use via a two-way interaction with Fathers' Use History. ECS and VCC connectivity is negatively correlated with Cocaine use via a two-way interaction with Mothers' Use History. VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is positively correlated with Opiate use via a two-way interaction with Tobacco Frequency 7 Days (frequency of tobacco use in a week). VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is positively correlated with Sedative use via a two-way interaction with Stimulant use, while VS and VCC connectivity is positively correlated with Sedative use via a two-way interactions with Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (frequency of consuming alcohol in a year) and Drinks/Day 12 Months (average number of drinks consumed per day in a year). VS and ECS connectivities are negatively correlated with Stimulant use via a two-interaction with Alcohol Frequency 12 Months.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

VS connectivity is positively correlated with Cocaine use via a two-way interaction with Opiates and Hallucinogens, but negatively correlated via a two-way interaction with Marijuana. VS connectivity is positively correlated with Hallucinogen use via paired interactions with Cocaine and Sedatives but negatively correlated via interactions with Opiates and Total Tobacco 7 Days (total amount of tobacco consumed in a week). VS connectivity is positively correlated with Opiate use via two-way interaction with Cocaine. VS connectivity is also positively correlated with Sedative use via a two-way interaction with Hallucinogens. ECS connectivity is negatively correlated with Opiate use via a two-way interaction with Mothers' Use History. ECS connectivity is negatively correlated with Stimulant use via a two-way interaction with Sedative use and Total Drinks 7 Days (total number of alcoholic drinks consumed in a week). Finally, VCC connectivity was negatively correlated with Stimulants via a two-way interaction with Mothers' Use History.

Table 3: VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is correlated with drug use, both independently and as part of a two-way interaction with other substances. Effect sizes of each GLM are represented by R^2 values. Negative correlations are written in italics. Father, Fathers' Use History; Mother, Mothers' Use History

Substances	*VS*	*ECS*	*VCC*
Cocaine	R^2= 0.64 VS – Total Tobacco 7 Days (β = 0.078, p<0.01) VS – Hallucinogens (β = 2.51, p<0.01) VS – Opiates (β = 3.21, p<0.01) *VS – Sedatives (β = -7.84, p<0.01)* *VS – Stimulants (β = -2.64, p<0.01)* *VS – Marijuana (β = -0.96, p<0.05)*	R^2= 0.65 ECS – Total Tobacco 7 Days (β = 0.035, p<0.01) *ECS – Sedatives (β = -2.25, p<0.01)* *ECS – Stimulants (β = -1.19, p<0.01)* *ECS – Mother (β = -3.09, p<0.01)*	R^2= 0.63 VCC – Total Tobacco 7 Days (β = 0.00001, p<0.01) *VCC – Sedatives (β = -0.001, p<0.01)* *VCC – Stimulants (β = -0.0006, p<0.01)* *VCC – Mother (β = -0.002, p<0.01)*
Hallucinogens	R^2= 0.60 VS – Cocaine (β = 3.45, p<0.01) VS – Sedatives (β = 2.27, p<0.05) *VS – Opiates (β = -3.09, p<0.01)* *VS – Total Tobacco 7 Days (β = -0.078, p<0.01)*		
Opiates	R^2= 0.68 VS – Tobacco Frequency 7 Days (β = 0.53, p<0.05) VS – Cocaine (β = 2.35, p<0.01)	R^2= 0.66 ECS – Tobacco Frequency 7 Days (β = 0.33, p<0.01) *ECS – Mother (β = -2.84, p<0.05)*	R^2= 0.65 VCC – Tobacco Frequency 7 Days (β = 0.0002, p<0.01)
Sedatives	R^2= 0.75 *VS (β = -4.3, p<0.01)* VS – Drinks/Day 12 Months (β = 0.53, p<0.05) VS – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = 0.68, p<0.01) VS – Hallucinogens (β = 2.56, p<0.01) VS – Stimulants (β = 1.05, p<0.05)	R^2= 0.74 ECS – Stimulants (β = 1.04, p<0.01)	R^2= 0.74 *VCC (β = -0.001, p<0.01)* VCC – Drinks/Day 12 Months (β = 0.0002, p<0.05) VCC – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = 0.0002, p<0.05) VCC – Stimulants (β = 0.0006, p<0.01)
Stimulants	R^2= 0.53 VS (β = 4.54, p<0.01) *VS – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = -0.94, p<0.01)*	R^2= 0.55 ECS (β = 2.17, p<0.05) *ECS – Total Drinks 7 Days (β = -0.22, p<0.01)* *ECS – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = -0.4, p<0.05)* *ECS – Sedatives (β = -2.7, p<0.01)*	R^2= 0.54 *VCC – Mother (β = -0.001, p<0.01)*
Marijuana	R^2= 0.44 VS – Father (β = 9.9, p<0.01)	R^2= 0.45 *ECS (β = -6.07, p<0.01)* ECS – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = 1.25, p<0.01) ECS – Father (β = 2.89, p<0.05)	R^2= 0.45 *VCC (β = -0.003, p<0.01)* VCC – Alcohol Frequency 12 Months (β = 0.0007, p<0.01) VCC – Father (β = 0.003, p<0.01)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

In this study we correlated the average connectivity of the Valuation and Control Systems, as well as the Valuation-Control Complex with drug use in the brains of healthy young adults. The positive correlation between VS and ECS with stimulants is related to sedative co-use (alcohol and sedatives), whereas the positive correlation between all three networks with sedative use occurs when network connectivity forms a two-way interaction with stimulants. We can thus infer cohort-specific motivations for using certain combinations of drugs based on trends observed in this study. Co-use pairs comprised of stimulating and sedating substances are popular due to their synergistic interactions. Stimulants energize the user, producing longer-lasting euphoria while off-setting sedating effects (Hernández-López et al., 2002), while sedatives and alcohol calm the user, ameliorating unpleasant side-effects of stimulant use such as anxiety and aggression (Fisk et al., 2011; Knackstedt and Ettenberg, 2005; Trujillo et al., 2011). On the other hand, the positive correlation between VS and VCC connections with sedatives via a two-way interaction with alcohol suggests a pain-killing combination. Co-use of sedating substances are commonly used for pain management (Jones et al., 2016; Peele, 2016; Vadivelu et al., 2014) especially when a single substance is found to be inadequate for the purpose (Weaver, 2015; Wilson et al., 2020).

The positive correlations observed among all three networks and cocaine use via two-way interactions with tobacco can be attributed to the concurrent use of both cocaine and tobacco (Marks et al., 2016), with the latter acting as a gateway to cocaine use (Kandel and Kandel, 2015). The positive correlation between VS connectivity and both cocaine as well as opiates could be indicative of “speedball” use. This cocaine-heroin mixture possess enhances feelings of euphoria an thus may act as a stronger reinforcer of cocaine-opiate combination use (Duvauchelle et al., 1998; Lacy et al., 2014). The VS acts as the middle ground for processing both pleasure and pain (Leknes and Tracey, 2008). Therefore, the positive correlation observed between VS, ECS and VCC connectivity and sedative substances (marijuana, sedatives) when paired with other sedating substances (such as alcohol) could indicate these combinations of substances are being used for pain management.

This study is not without limitations. There are various types of stimulants (Arria and Wish, 2006), sedatives (Ista et al., 2007; Lyphout et al., 2019) and hallucinogens (Skryabin et al., 2018), some of which have been manufactured to have pharmacological properties of other abusable substances (Klega and Keehbauch, 2018). Given that each drug has its own

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

mechanism of action that target specific receptors or pathways, information regarding the specific types of drugs used, similar to the breakdown given for alcohol and tobacco use, may help shed additional light on the relationships between network connectivity and poly-drug use measures.

In conclusion, VS, ECS and VCC connectivity is correlated with poly-drug use, both independently and via interactions with other substances. A notable observation is that the connectivity of each network is able to distinguish between intake of different drugs, possibly along motivation and incentive lines (euphoria-seeking, pain-killing, etc). Reflecting on the relationships between substances, stimulant use was involved in the consumption of other drugs such as such as cocaine and sedatives. Alcohol and tobacco were linked also to poly-drug behaviour, correlating with all six illicit drugs analyzed. Although a preliminary study, these results open the door to more comprehensive analysis into the neuropsychiatric mechanics of poly-drug use, particularly the connections within the valuation system and valuation-control complex.

Acknowledgements: Kavinash Loganathan performed analysis, interpreted results and prepared this manuscript, Jinglei Lv was involved in experimental design, assisted in analysis and interpretation of results, Eric Tatt Wei Ho performed analysis and interpreted results, Vanessa Cropley assisted with brain mask generation and provided technical feedback, Andrew Zalesky was involved in experimental design, provided technical feedback and assisted with result interpretation.

Funding: Kavinash Loganathan is grateful to IBRO-APRC and Yayasan Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (YUTP) for funding to undertake this study. Eric Tatt Wei Ho gratefully acknowledges the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for funding through the Higher Institution Center of Excellence (HI-CoE) program awarded to the Center for Intelligent Signal & Imaging Research, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS and the YUTP-Fundamental Research Grant award. Vanessa Cropley was supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Investigator Grant (1177370).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Arria, A.M., Wish, E.D., 2006. Nonmedical use of prescription stimulants among students. Pediatr. Ann. 35, 565–571. https://doi.org/10.3928/0090-4481-20060801-09

Bedi, G., Lindquist, M.A., Haney, M., 2015. An fMRI-Based Neural Signature of Decisions to Smoke Cannabis. Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll. Neuropsychopharmacol. 40, 2657–2665. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.135

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling The False Discovery Rate - A Practical And Powerful Approach To Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc., Ser. B 57, 289–300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101

Bucholz, K.K., Cadoret, R., Cloninger, C.R., Dinwiddie, S.H., Hesselbrock, V.M., Nurnberger, J.I.J., Reich, T., Schmidt, I., Schuckit, M.A., 1994. A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report on the reliability of the SSAGA. J. Stud. Alcohol 55, 149–158. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.1994.55.149

Choi, N.G., DiNitto, D.M., Marti, C.N., Choi, B.Y., 2017. Association between Nonmedical Marijuana and Pain Reliever Uses among Individuals Aged 50. J. Psychoactive Drugs 49, 267–278. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2017.1342153

Cooper, J., Jarrett, M., Forrester, A., di Forti, M., Murray, R.M., Huddy, V., Roberts, A., Phillip, P., Campbell, C., Byrne, M., McGuire, P., Craig, T., Valmaggia, L., 2018. Substance use and at-risk mental state for psychosis in 2102 prisoners: the case for early detection and early intervention in prison. Early Interv. Psychiatry 12, 400–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12343

Demers, L.A., Olson, E.A., Crowley, D.J., Rauch, S.L., Rosso, I.M., 2015. Dorsal Anterior Cingulate Thickness Is Related to Alexithymia in Childhood Trauma-Related PTSD. PLoS One 10, e0139807–e0139807. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139807

Desikan, R.S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B.T., Dickerson, B.C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R.L., Dale, A.M., Maguire, R.P., Hyman, B.T., Albert, M.S., Killiany, R.J., 2006. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage 31, 968–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021

Desmurget, M., Richard, N., Beuriat, P.-A., Szathmari, A., Mottolese, C., Duhamel, J.-R.,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Sirigu, A., 2018. Selective Inhibition of Volitional Hand Movements after Stimulation of the Dorsoposterior Parietal Cortex in Humans. Curr. Biol. 28, 3303-3309.e3. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.027

Destrieux, C., Fischl, B., Dale, A., Halgren, E., 2010. Automatic parcellation of human cortical gyri and sulci using standard anatomical nomenclature. Neuroimage 53, 1–15. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.06.010

Duvauchelle, C.L., Sapoznik, T., Kornetsky, C., 1998. The synergistic effects of combining cocaine and heroin ("speedball") using a progressive-ratio schedule of drug reinforcement. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 61, 297–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-3057(98)00098-7

Elton, A., Stanger, C., James, G.A., Ryan-Pettes, S., Budney, A., Kilts, C.D., 2019. Intertemporal decision-making-related brain states predict adolescent drug abuse intervention responses. NeuroImage. Clin. 24, 101968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101968

Fernandez-Serrano, M.J., Perez-Garcia, M., Schmidt Rio-Valle, J., Verdejo-Garcia, A., 2010. Neuropsychological consequences of alcohol and drug abuse on different components of executive functions. J. Psychopharmacol. 24, 1317–1332. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881109349841

Fisk, J.E., Murphy, P.N., Montgomery, C., Hadjiefthyvoulou, F., 2011. Modelling the adverse effects associated with ecstasy use. Addiction 106, 798–805. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.03272.x

Floyd, L.J., Hedden, S., Lawson, A., Salama, C., Moleko, A.G., Latimer, W., 2010. The association between poly-substance use, coping, and sex trade among black South African substance users. Subst. Use Misuse 45, 1971–1987. https://doi.org/10.3109/10826081003767635

Garavan, H., Kaufman, J.N., Hester, R., 2008. Acute effects of cocaine on the neurobiology of cognitive control. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 363, 3267–3276. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0106

Gipson, C.D., Bardo, M.T., 2009. Extended access to amphetamine self-administration increases impulsive choice in a delay discounting task in rats. Psychopharmacology

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(Berl). 207, 391–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-009-1667-4

Glasser, M.F., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Wilson, J.A., Coalson, T.S., Fischl, B., Andersson, J.L., Xu, J., Jbabdi, S., Webster, M., Polimeni, J.R., Van Essen, D.C., Jenkinson, M., Consortium, W.-M.H.C.P., 2013. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. Neuroimage 80, 105–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.127

Helie, S., Shamloo, F., Novak, K., Foti, D., 2017. The roles of valuation and reward processing in cognitive function and psychiatric disorders. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1395, 33–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.13327

Hernández-López, C., Farré, M., Roset, P.N., Menoyo, E., Pizarro, N., Ortuño, J., Torrens, M., Camí, J., de La Torre, R., 2002. 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (ecstasy) and alcohol interactions in humans: psychomotor performance, subjective effects, and pharmacokinetics. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 300, 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.300.1.236

Holt, D.J., Cassidy, B.S., Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Lee, S.M., Coombs, G., Goff, D.C., Gabrieli, J.D., Moran, J.M., 2011. An anterior-to-posterior shift in midline cortical activity in schizophrenia during self-reflection. Biol. Psychiatry 69, 415–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.10.003

Ista, E., van Dijk, M., Gamel, C., Tibboel, D., de Hoog, M., 2007. Withdrawal symptoms in children after long-term administration of sedatives and/or analgesics: a literature review. “Assessment remains troublesome”. Intensive Care Med. 33, 1396–1406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0696-x

Jones, C.M., Baldwin, G.T., Manocchio, T., White, J.O., Mack, K.A., 2016. Trends in Methadone Distribution for Pain Treatment, Methadone Diversion, and Overdose Deaths - United States, 2002-2014. MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 65, 667–671. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6526a2

Jongenelis, M., Pettigrew, S., Lawrence, D., Rikkers, W., 2019. Factors Associated with Poly Drug Use in Adolescents. Prev. Sci. 20, 695–704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-019-00993-8

Kandel, D., Kandel, E., 2015. The Gateway Hypothesis of substance abuse: developmental,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

biological and societal perspectives. Acta Paediatr. 104, 130–137. https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.12851

Keyes, K.M., Martins, S.S., Hasin, D.S., 2008. Past 12-month and lifetime comorbidity and poly-drug use of ecstasy users among young adults in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Drug Alcohol Depend. 97, 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2008.04.001

Klega, A.E., Keehbauch, J.T., 2018. Stimulant and Designer Drug Use: Primary Care Management. Am. Fam. Physician 98, 85–92.

Knackstedt, L.A., Ettenberg, A., 2005. Ethanol consumption reduces the adverse consequences of self-administered intravenous cocaine in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 178, 143–150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-004-1996-2

Koester, P., Volz, K.G., Tittgemeyer, M., Wagner, D., Becker, B., Gouzoulis-Mayfrank, E., Daumann, J., 2013. Decision-making in Polydrug Amphetamine-type Stimulant Users: an fMRI Study. Neuropsychopharmacology 38, 1377.

Koob, G.F., Buck, C.L., Cohen, A., Edwards, S., Park, P.E., Schlosburg, J.E., Schmeichel, B., Vendruscolo, L.F., Wade, C.L., Whitfield, T.W.J., George, O., 2014. Addiction as a stress surfeit disorder. Neuropharmacology 76 Pt B, 370–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.05.024

Kosten, T.R., Scanley, B.E., Tucker, K.A., Oliveto, A., Prince, C., Sinha, R., Potenza, M.N., Skudlarski, P., Wexler, B.E., 2006. Cue-Induced Brain Activity Changes and Relapse in Cocaine-Dependent Patients. Neuropsychopharmacology 31, 644–650. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300851

Kringelbach, M.L., 2005. The human orbitofrontal cortex: linking reward to hedonic experience. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 691–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1747

Lacy, R.T., Strickland, J.C., Brophy, M.K., Witte, M.A., Smith, M.A., 2014. Exercise decreases speedball self-administration. Life Sci. 114, 86–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2014.08.005

Leknes, S., Tracey, I., 2008. A common neurobiology for pain and pleasure. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 314–320. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2333

Liu, J., Liang, J., Qin, W., Tian, J., Yuan, K., Bai, L., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., Wang, Y., Li,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Q., Zhao, L., Lu, L., von Deneen, K.M., Liu, Y., Gold, M.S., 2009. Dysfunctional connectivity patterns in chronic heroin users: an fMRI study. Neurosci. Lett. 460, 72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2009.05.038

Lyphout, C., Yates, C., Margolin, Z.R., Dargan, P.I., Dines, A.M., Heyerdahl, F., Hovda, K.E., Giraudon, I., Bucher-Bartelson, B., Green, J.L., Wood, D.M., 2019. Presentations to the emergency department with non-medical use of benzodiazepines and Z-drugs: profiling and relation to sales data. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 75, 77–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-018-2550-1

Ma, N., Liu, Y., Fu, X.-M., Li, N., Wang, C.-X., Zhang, H., Qian, R.-B., Xu, H.-S., Hu, X., Zhang, D.-R., 2011. Abnormal brain default-mode network functional connectivity in drug addicts. PLoS One 6, e16560. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016560

Marks, K.R., Alcorn, J.L. 3rd, Stoops, W.W., Rush, C.R., 2016. Cigarette Cue Attentional Bias in Cocaine-Smoking and Non-Cocaine-Using Cigarette Smokers. Nicotine Tob. Res. 18, 1915–1919. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntw026

McClure, S.M., York, M.K., Montague, P.R., 2004. The Neural Substrates of Reward Processing in Humans: The Modern Role of fMRI. Neurosci. 10, 260–268. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858404263526

Meade, C.S., Lowen, S.B., MacLean, R.R., Key, M.D., Lukas, S.E., 2011. fMRI brain activation during a delay discounting task in HIV-positive adults with and without cocaine dependence. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging 192, 167–175. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.12.011

Morey, R.A., Haswell, C.C., Hooper, S.R., De Bellis, M.D., 2016. Amygdala, Hippocampus, and Ventral Medial Prefrontal Cortex Volumes Differ in Maltreated Youth with and without Chronic Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 41, 791–801. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.205

Ousdal, O.T., Specht, K., Server, A., Andreassen, O.A., Dolan, R.J., Jensen, J., 2014. The human amygdala encodes value and space during decision making. Neuroimage 101, 712–719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.07.055

Peele, S., 2016. People Control Their Addictions: No matter how much the “chronic” brain disease model of addiction indicates otherwise, we know that people can quit addictions

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- with special reference to harm reduction and mindfulness. Addict. Behav. reports 4, 97–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2016.05.003

Rolls, E.T., O'Doherty, J., Kringelbach, M.L., Francis, S., Bowtell, R., McGlone, F., 2003. Representations of Pleasant and Painful Touch in the Human Orbitofrontal and Cingulate Cortices. Cereb. Cortex 13, 308–317.

Schultz, W., 2007. Behavioral dopamine signals. Trends Neurosci. 30, 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2007.03.007

Scott, D.J., Domino, E.F., Heitzeg, M.M., Koeppe, R.A., Ni, L., Guthrie, S., Zubieta, J.-K., 2007. Smoking modulation of mu-opioid and dopamine D2 receptor-mediated neurotransmission in humans. Neuropsychopharmacology 32, 450–457. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301238

Seymour, B., O'Doherty, J.P., Koltzenburg, M., Wiech, K., Frackowiak, R., Friston, K., Dolan, R., 2005. Opponent appetitive-aversive neural processes underlie predictive learning of pain relief. Nat. Neurosci. 8, 1234–1240. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1527

Sheppard, B., Chavira, D., Azzam, A., Grados, M.A., Umana, P., Garrido, H., Mathews, C.A., 2010. ADHD prevalence and association with hoarding behaviors in childhood-onset OCD. Depress. Anxiety 27, 667–674. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20691

Skryabin, V.Y., Vinnikova, M., Nenastieva, A., Alekseyuk, V., 2018. Hallucinogen persisting perception disorder: A literature review and three case reports. J. Addict. Dis. 37, 268–278. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2019.1673655

Small, D.M., Zatorre, R.J., Dagher, A., Evans, A.C., Jones-Gotman, M., 2001. Changes in brain activity related to eating chocolate: from pleasure to aversion. Brain 124, 1720–1733.

Smith, S.M., Beckmann, C.F., Andersson, J., Auerbach, E.J., Bijsterbosch, J., Douaud, G., Duff, E., Feinberg, D.A., Griffanti, L., Harms, M.P., Kelly, M., Laumann, T., Miller, K.L., Moeller, S., Petersen, S., Power, J., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Snyder, A.Z., Vu, A.T., Woolrich, M.W., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Van Essen, D.C., Glasser, M.F., 2013. Resting-state fMRI in the Human Connectome Project. Neuroimage 80, 144–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.039

Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Johansen-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Berg, H., Bannister, P.R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, J., Vickers, J., Zhang, Y., De Stefano, N., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. Neuroimage 23 Suppl 1, S208-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051

Su, S., Fairley, C.K., Mao, L., Medland, N.A., Jing, J., Cheng, F., Zhang, L., 2019. Estimates of the national trend of drugs use during 2000-2030 in China: A population-based mathematical model. Addict. Behav. 93, 65–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.01.022

Tremblay, L., Schultz, W., 1999. Relative reward preference in primate orbitofrontal cortex. Nature 398, 704–708. https://doi.org/10.1038/19525

Trujillo, K.A., Smith, M.L., Guaderrama, M.M., 2011. Powerful behavioral interactions between methamphetamine and morphine. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 99, 451–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2011.04.014

Vadivelu, N., Singh-Gill, H., Kodumudi, G., Kaye, A.J., Urman, R.D., Kaye, A.D., 2014. Practical guide to the management of acute and chronic pain in the presence of drug tolerance for the healthcare practitioner. Ochsner J. 14, 426–433.

van den Bos, W., McClure, S.M., 2013. Towards a general model of temporal discounting. J. Exp. Anal. Behav. 99, 58–73. https://doi.org/10.1002/jeab.6

Van Essen, D.C., Smith, S.M., Barch, D.M., Behrens, T.E.J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Consortium, W.-M.H.C.P., 2013. The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: an overview. Neuroimage 80, 62–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.041

Vijayakumar, N., Whittle, S., Yucel, M., Dennison, M., Simmons, J., Allen, N.B., 2014. Thinning of the lateral prefrontal cortex during adolescence predicts emotion regulation in females. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 9, 1845–1854. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst183

Volkow, N.D., Tomasi, D., Wang, G.-J., Fowler, J.S., Telang, F., Goldstein, R.Z., Alia-Klein, N., Wong, C., 2011. Reduced metabolism in brain “control networks” following cocaine-cues exposure in female cocaine abusers. PLoS One 6, e16573. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016573

Wang, T., Ma, J., Wang, R., Liu, Z., Shi, J., Lu, L., Bao, Y., 2018. Poly-Drug Use of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.17.20233601; this version posted November 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Prescription Medicine among People with Opioid Use Disorder in China: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Subst. Use Misuse 53, 1117–1127. https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2017.1400066

Weaver, M.F., 2015. Prescription Sedative Misuse and Abuse. Yale J. Biol. Med. 88, 247–256.

Wilson, T.D., Barry, K.L., Maust, D.T., Blow, F.C., 2020. Association between relationship quality and concurrent alcohol use and sedative-tranquilizer misuse in middle and later life. Aging Ment. Health 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2020.1727850

Xie, C., Shao, Y., Ma, L., Zhai, T., Ye, E., Fu, L., Bi, G., Chen, G., Cohen, A., Li, W., Chen, G., Yang, Z., Li, S.-J., 2014. Imbalanced functional link between valuation networks in abstinent heroin-dependent subjects. Mol. Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.169

Zhai, T., Shao, Y., Chen, Gang, Ye, E., Ma, L., Wang, L., Lei, Y., Chen, Guangyu, Li, W., Zou, F., Jin, X., Li, S.-J., Yang, Z., 2015. Nature of functional links in valuation networks differentiates impulsive behaviors between abstinent heroin-dependent subjects and nondrug-using subjects. Neuroimage 115, 76–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.060