

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Running head: Negative attitudes about facemasks

Negative attitudes about facemasks during the COVID-19 pandemic: The dual importance of perceived ineffectiveness and psychological reactance

Steven Taylor¹ and Gordon J. G. Asmundson²

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, CANADA

²Department of Psychology, University of Regina, Regina, SK, CANADA

Correspondence: Steven Taylor, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, V6E 2A1. E-mail: steven.taylor@ubc.ca.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Michelle M. Paluszek, Caeleigh A. Landry, and Geoffrey S. Rachor for their assistance in completing this study.

23 **Abstract**
24 This study reports a comprehensive empirical investigation of the nature and correlates of anti-
25 mask attitudes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Accumulating evidence underscores the
26 importance of facemasks, as worn by the general public, in limiting the spread of infection.
27 Accordingly, mask-wearing has become increasingly mandatory in public places such as stores
28 and on public transit. Although the public has been generally adherent to mask-wearing, a small
29 but vocal group of individuals refuse to wear masks. Anti-mask protest rallies have occurred in
30 many places throughout the world, sometimes erupting violently. Few empirical studies have
31 examined the relationship between anti-mask attitudes and mask non-adherence and little is
32 known about how such attitudes relate to one another or other factors (e.g., non-adherence to
33 social distancing, anti-vaccination attitudes). To investigate these issues, the present study
34 surveyed 2,078 adults from the US and Canada. Consistent with other surveys, we found that
35 most (84%) people wore masks because of COVID-19. The 16% who did not wear masks scored
36 higher on most measures of negative attitudes towards masks. Network analyses indicated that
37 negative attitudes about masks formed an intercorrelated network, with the central nodes in
38 the network being (a) beliefs that masks are ineffective in preventing COVID-19, and (b)
39 psychological reactance (PR; i.e., an aversion to being forced to wear masks). These central
40 nodes served as links, connecting the network of anti-masks attitudes to negative attitudes
41 toward SARSCoV2 vaccination, beliefs that the threat of COVID-19 has been exaggerated,
42 disregard for social distancing, and political conservatism. Findings regarding PR are important
43 because, theoretically, PR is likely to strengthen other anti-masks attitudes (e.g., beliefs that
44 masks are ineffective) because people with strong PR react with anger and counter-arguments
45 when their beliefs are challenged, thereby leading to a strengthening of their anti-mask beliefs.
46 Implications for improving mask adherence are discussed.

47
48
49
50
51

Introduction

Adherence and Non-Adherence to Wearing Facemasks

Historically, protective facemasks have played an important role in limiting the spread of infection during pandemics (1). Mask-wearing has long been regarded as vital for healthcare workers and has been recommended for people in the community, although there have been inconsistent messages from health authorities about mask-wearing for the general public during the COVID-19 pandemic (2-4). Early in the pandemic, some health authorities such as the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended that masks not be worn by the public and that masks should be reserved for health care workers and those infected with COVID-19 (4, 5). Early in the pandemic the WHO and other health authorities also averred that masks are ineffective for the general public, that masks provided a false sense of security, and that the wearing of masks would cause people to touch their faces, thereby increasing their chances of infection (4, 6). Such concerns proved to be unfounded (6-8) and evidence steadily emerged to support the wearing of masks by members of the general public (9, 10). Health authorities such as the WHO subsequently reversed their position on facemasks and recommended that masks be worn by the public when they were in public places, especially when social distancing was not possible (5).

The prevalence of mask-wearing in the community increased as COVID-19 spread globally (5, 11). In the US and Canada, which were the countries in which the present research was focused, mask-wearing in the community increased dramatically in the months following the formal declaration on March 11, 2020 that COVID-19 had become a pandemic (12-15). Surveys conducted from June to August, 2020 suggested that most (approximately 80-85%) of Canadian and American adults regularly wore masks (5, 13-16). The increase in mask-wearing was likely due to several factors including (a) the increasing prevalence of COVID-19, (b) reversal by health authorities on their earlier position, from dissuading to encouraging the public to wear masks, and (c) mask-wearing had become increasingly mandatory in stores and on public transport. In the months after the pandemic was declared, the policy of mandatory masks appeared to gain acceptance among the general public. Surveys in July, 2020 suggested that most respondents (74%) found that a policy of mandatory mask-wearing was personally acceptable (13), which was an increase from 37% in May (12).

Despite the importance of masks, some people object to wearing them. During the so-called Spanish flu pandemic (1918-1920), for example, opposition to wearing masks occurred in San Francisco in 1919, when authorities attempted to make it mandatory to wear masks in public places. The Anti-Mask League was formed, which was a short-lived protest movement in which the proponents argued that masks were ineffective, inconvenient, and that mandatory mask-wearing violated their civil liberties (17, 18). These anti-maskers were a vocal but small group, so most people followed the recommendations to wear masks in public (17, 18).

A similar situation has arisen during the COVID-19 pandemic. Estimates suggest that at the time the present research was conducted (July-August, 2020), about 10-15% of adults in the US and Canada rarely or never wore masks in public (13, 15, 16). During the COVID-19 pandemic, there have been numerous anti-mask protest rallies, primarily in the US, Canada, Europe, and Australia, with some rallies drawing thousands of people (19-22). Protest rallies have persisted despite high rates of infection and large numbers of deaths from COVID-19. Violence has erupted in some rallies as protesters clashed with counter-protesters or with

96 police (20, 22-25). Just as in 1919, the COVID-19 anti-mask protests were spurred by
97 government plans to make masks mandatory. Some rallies were protests against mandatory
98 masks, while others were broader, protesting against masks, social distancing, lockdown, and
99 vaccines (21, 22, 26). Protesters at some anti-masks rallies were joined by people espousing
100 conspiracy theories, such as the unfounded belief that the threat of COVID-19 has been
101 exaggerated by the government in order to control the populace (27).

102 Unlike the 1919 anti-mask movement, which was short-lived and limited to a single city,
103 the anti-mask rallies during COVID-19 have taken place in many cities, sporadically arising
104 without any evidence (at the time of writing) of petering out. The recurrence of such rallies is
105 likely fueled by social media and news media coverage combined with strongly engrained anti-
106 mask attitudes held by at least a small proportion of the population.

107 Very little is known about the motivations for mask non-adherence. Public opinion polls
108 suggest that people with conservative political affiliations (Republicans in the US or
109 Conservatives in Canada) are less likely to wear masks than people with liberal affiliations
110 (Democrats or Liberals) (5, 14, 16, 28). This may be partly because Republican political leaders
111 were initially reluctant to wear masks, even mocking those who wore masks (5, 16), and
112 because people with politically conservative ideologies tend to resist government regulatory
113 efforts (29), such as attempts to make masks mandatory.

114 Several opinion polls have assessed reasons for not wearing masks, although the polls
115 were limited in the number of reasons assessed. The most common of the assessed reasons for
116 not wearing masks were: Not believing that masks are effective, finding masks uncomfortable,
117 difficulty establishing the habit of mask-wearing, and lack of concern about COVID-19 (13, 14,
118 16, 30). Newspaper reports of protest rallies offer additional, anecdotal information on anti-
119 masks sentiments. Several reasons for not wearing masks were suggested by news reports of
120 anti-mask protests: Beliefs that masks violate civil liberties, beliefs that masks are ineffective
121 and possibly harmful because masks make breathing difficult, and beliefs that the threat of
122 COVID-19 has been exaggerated (2, 19, 21, 22, 31-37). Despite the range of anti-mask attitudes,
123 a common theme running through these reports is that protestors believe that mandatory
124 masks are a violation of civil rights. In other words, anecdotal news reports suggest that the
125 rallies are motivated, at least in part, by a phenomenon known as psychological reactance (PR).

126 **Psychological Reactance**

127 People like to feel in control (38). Relatedly, PR is a motivational response to rules,
128 regulations, or attempts at persuasion that are perceived as threatening one's sense of control,
129 autonomy, or freedom of choice (39, 40). The perceived threat motivates the person to assert
130 their freedom by rejecting attempts at persuasion, rules, regulation, and other means of
131 control. Thus, when PR is evoked it is characterized by counter-arguments and anger (41). This
132 might involve denying the existence of a threat (39, 42); for example, denying the need to wear
133 masks by denying the seriousness of the pandemic. Thus, PR is expected to be correlated with a
134 denial or disregard for the seriousness of the COVID-19 pandemic.

135 The tendency to experience PR is a personality trait (43), which is correlated with a
136 range of phenomena including antisocial and narcissistic personality traits (44, 45) and with
137 political conservatism (46, 47). The latter finding is not surprising given that conservative
138 ideology, as compared to liberal ideology, strongly favors limited government intervention (29),
139 and so government policies that threaten to restrict freedoms are likely to elicit PR (46). Mass

140 communication messages that try to persuade people to adopt a given behavior, such as
141 wearing masks, can elicit PR, thereby undermining the impact of the message (40, 48). Given
142 these considerations, it is important to investigate whether PR is implicated in mask non-
143 adherence during the COVID-19 pandemic.

144 **Aims of the Present Study**

145 Although most people have been adherent to wearing masks during the COVID-19
146 pandemic, opinion polls suggest that a small but significant proportion of people (10-15%)
147 object to wearing masks. News reports, although anecdotal, suggest that people who object to
148 wearing masks are a vocal minority, engaging in protest rallies that sometimes erupt in
149 violence. Accordingly, non-adherence to wearing masks is a socially important phenomenon as
150 well as one that is relevant to managing the COVID-19 pandemic. To date, the study of mask
151 non-adherence during the COVID-19 pandemic has been limited to a small number of opinion
152 polls, with a limited assessment of anti-masks attitudes. The present study had three primary
153 aims.

154 The first aim was to conduct a broader examination of anti-mask attitudes, to determine
155 which attitudes are related to masks non-adherence, and to investigate how anti-mask
156 attitudes are related to one another. Previous research on PR suggests that mask-related PR
157 (i.e., objecting to being forced to wear masks) should be related to other anti-mask attitudes,
158 because when a person with a high degree of PR is challenged regarding a given attitude (e.g.,
159 the belief that masks are ineffective), then that person will resist the efforts at belief change by
160 generating counter-arguments to support the attitudes (e.g., generating counter-arguments to
161 support the belief that masks are ineffective).

162 The second aim was to investigate how anti-mask attitudes are related to political
163 conservatism. Previous research, as discussed above, suggests that the general propensity to PR
164 is related to conservatism; but, it has yet to be empirically established whether mask-related PR
165 is related to political conservatism. The final aim was to investigate how anti-mask attitudes are
166 related to attitudes and behaviors that are associated with non-adherence to other pandemic-
167 control measures during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as disregard for social distancing and
168 anti-vaccination attitudes. Recent research provides evidence for a COVID-19 disregard
169 “syndrome” (49). This is not a syndrome in the medical sense of the term, but rather a
170 constellation of inter-related attitudes and beliefs. People with this syndrome tend to (a)
171 believe that the COVID-19 pandemic has been exaggerated, (b) see themselves as physically
172 robust to any illness they may experience as a result of being infected with SARSCoV2, and (c)
173 tend to disregard social distancing because they see it as unnecessary (49). This syndrome is
174 also associated with negative attitudes toward a potential vaccine for SARSCoV2 (i.e., beliefs
175 that a vaccine is unnecessary or that the benefits of such a vaccine are outweighed by the
176 potential risks) (49).

177 The first aim of this study was addressed by simple t-tests comparing anti-mask
178 attitudes of people who were adherent versus non-adherent to wearing masks. The remaining
179 aims were address by network analyses, which are well-suited for gaining insights into the
180 complex interplay among variables. Network analysis provides important information about
181 relationships among elements (nodes) in a network (e.g., sets of attitudes or behaviors).
182 Network analysis assumes that nodes are inter-related because they are, in some way, causally
183 linked with one another. In network analysis, the links are known as “edges.” The presence of

184 statistically significant edges does not assume that nodes are influenced by some underlying
185 factor such as a latent variable. Instead, network analysis assumes that nodes may directly
186 influence one another (50). In the present study, anti-mask attitudes were predicted to form a
187 network of interconnected nodes. Given that PR theoretically amplifies the strength of other
188 attitudes, mask-related PR was predicted to be one of the central nodes in the network of
189 mask-related attitudes. Network analyses were also conducted to determine how the network
190 of anti-mask attitudes is related to other variables: Political conservatism, the elements of the
191 COVID-19 disregard syndrome, and SARSCoV2 anti-vaccination attitudes. If nodes causally
192 influence one another, then changes in a central node are most likely to lead to changes in
193 other nodes in the network through the spreading of activation. Central nodes, as compared to
194 peripheral nodes, are defining features of a network. Identifying central nodes has the potential
195 to inform which elements to target in interventions. As a caveat, note that network analyses in
196 cross-sectional designs such as the present study are suggestive of, but do not establish
197 causality. Significant edges might represent causal influences (either unidirectional or
198 directional) but experimental designs are needed to establish causality. Accordingly, network
199 analyses provide a source of hypotheses about causal links among variables in a network.

200 **Method**

201 **Sample**

202 The sample consisted of 2,078 adults (age ≥ 18 years) from the United States ($N=1,036$)
203 and Canada ($N=1,042$). The mean age was 54 years ($SD=14$ years, range 18-94 years). Most
204 (93%) were employed full- or part-time, most (82%) had completed full or partial college, and
205 40% were female. Most (70%) were Caucasian, with the remainder being Asian (13%), African
206 American/Black (8%), Latino/Hispanic (4%), or other (4%). Only 2% of the sample reported
207 being diagnosed with COVID-19.

208 **Data Collection Procedures**

209 Data were collected from July 20 to August 7, 2020, using an internet-based self-report
210 survey delivered in English by Qualtrics, a commercial survey sampling and administration
211 company. Qualtrics solicited the present sample as part of our ongoing research program (51,
212 52). Qualtrics maintains a pool of potential participants who have agreed to be contacted in
213 order to respond to surveys. Qualtrics selected and contacted participants to meet sampling
214 quotas based on age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and geographic region within
215 each country. All respondents provided written informed consent prior to completing the
216 survey. The research described in this article was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the
217 University of Regina (REB# 2020-043). Filters were used to eliminate data from careless
218 responders. Embedded in the assessment battery were four attention-check items (e.g., “This is
219 an attention check, please select Strongly Agree”; “For our research, it is really important that
220 you paid attention while responding to our survey. How attentive were you when
221 responding?”: “Very Inattentive” to “Very Attentive”). Participants were included only if they
222 provided correct responses to three or more of the four attention checks (e.g., “Strongly agree”
223 or “Very attentive”), indicating that they were sufficiently attentive. In addition, at the end of
224 the assessment battery, participants were asked to indicate whether, in their honest opinion,
225 their data should be used. Those who responded “no” were excluded from data analysis,
226 regardless of their score on the attention-check items.

227 **Measures**

228 Participants completed a battery of measures, including demographic questions.
229 Adherence to wearing facemasks was assessed with a face-valid yes/no item: “Do you wear a
230 facemask because of concerns about COVID-19?” Participants completed a 12-item scale,
231 developed for the purpose of the present study, assessing negative attitudes about facemasks.
232 The items, derived from previous descriptions of anti-mask attitudes (1, 13, 14, 16-18, 30, 53),
233 are listed in Table 1. Each item was rated on a 7-point scale (1=strongly disagree, 7=strongly
234 agree). Three scales, previously developed to assess the COVID Disregard Syndrome (49), were
235 also administered: (a) Belief that the dangerousness of COVID-19 is exaggerated, (b) disregard
236 for social distancing, and (c) belief that one has robust personal health against infection. Items
237 on these scales were rated on a 5-point scale (0=strongly disagree, 4=strongly agree). These
238 face-valid scales have good levels of reliability and validity (49). Anti-vaccination attitudes
239 toward a SARSCoV2 vaccine were measured using an adaptation of the Vaccination Attitudes
240 Examination Scale (54), assessing vaccination attitudes specific to SARSCoV2 (55). The items in
241 this scale, each rated on a 6-point scale (0=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree), assess mistrust
242 of vaccine benefit, worries over unforeseen future effects of the vaccine, concerns about
243 commercial profiteering from the vaccine, and preference for natural immunity. The scale has
244 good levels of reliability and validity (54, 55). Political conservatism was assessed with a single
245 face-valid item: “In general, how would you describe your political views?” (1=very liberal,
246 7=very conservative).

247 **Statistical Procedures**

248 For the network analyses, Glasso networks (regularized partial correlation networks)
249 were computed using the R *qgraph* package (56). Indices of centrality, also calculated with
250 *qgraph*, were used to assess the relative importance of each node in the network (57). Three
251 indices of centrality were computed: *Strength*, *betweenness*, and *closeness*. *Strength* refers to
252 how well a node is directly connected to other nodes in the network. Node strength is
253 computed as the sum of the absolute values of edge weights (regularized partial correlations)
254 that directly connect that node with other nodes. *Closeness* refers to how well a node is
255 indirectly connected to other nodes in the network. Closeness is calculated by computing, for a
256 given node, the inverse sum of edge weights for the shortest path between that node and each
257 other node, and then summing the values for these paths. *Betweenness* refers to how
258 important a given node is in the average path between two other nodes; that is, how often a
259 given node is the most efficient (shortest) path between other nodes. This is an index of the
260 importance of a given node in connecting nodes with one another. The stability (reliability) of
261 the relative order of magnitude of edge weights and their strengths were tested by the
262 correlation of stability coefficient, also calculated via *bootnet* (50). Coefficients exceeding .50
263 suggest stable (reliable) results (50). Due to the number of statistical tests conducted in this
264 study, the α level was set at .01 instead of the conventional .05.

265 **Results**

266 The majority (84%) of respondents reported that they wore facemask because of
267 personal concerns about COVID-19, which indicates a high degree of adherence to public health
268 recommendations. Negative attitudes about masks were largely uncorrelated with
269 demographic variables (see S1 Appendix). Wearing of masks because of concerns about COVID-
270 19 was also largely unrelated to demographic variables (see S1 Appendix). That is, mask-
271 wearing (coded 1=yes, 0=no) was uncorrelated with age, gender, education level, and

272 employment status (r s ranged from $-.03$ to $.02$; see S1 Appendix). Mask-wearing was
273 significantly correlated with ethnic minority (non-White) status; but, the correlation was very
274 small ($r=.07$, $p<.001$). Mask-wearing also had a small but significant correlation with country
275 (coded as 1=Canada, 2=US); $r=.13$, $p<.001$). That is, significantly more people from the US than
276 Canada reported wearing masks (90% vs. 78%). This may reflect the significantly higher per
277 capita prevalence of COVID-19 disease and mortality in the US than Canada at the time the
278 study was conducted (i.e., late July/early August). At that time, Canada was classified as a
279 moderate mortality country and the US a high mortality country, with the estimated number of
280 deaths per 100,000 people being 25 for Canada and 60 for the US (58).

281 Table 1 provides details about the degree of endorsement of negative attitudes about
282 masks. People who did not wear masks because of COVID-19 tended to have more negative
283 attitudes about masks as compared to people who wore masks. People who did not wear
284 masks were most likely to report that they did not like being forced to wear a facemask (i.e.,
285 mask-related PR), believed that masks were ineffective and possibly harmful, believed that
286 masks had adverse interpersonal effects, found masks to be esthetically unappealing, and
287 found mask-wearing to be an inconvenient habit to form (see Table 1).

288 Anti-mask attitudes were strongly correlated with one another, with r s ranging from $.35$
289 to $.91$ and a mean r of $.55$ ($ps<.001$; see S1 Appendix). Figures 1 to 3 summarize the results of
290 the network analyses. Figure 1 depicts the edges (regularized partial correlations) between
291 nodes in the network of anti-mask attitudes. Edge values and their significance levels are shown
292 in S1 Appendix. The figure shows five sets of strongly clustered attitudinal variables, as
293 indicated by the strongest edges (i.e., thickest of the green connecting lines) in Figure 1: (A)
294 Beliefs that masks are ineffective and possibly harmful, (B) beliefs that mask-wearing is an
295 inconvenient habit to form, (C) beliefs that masks are esthetically unappealing, (D) beliefs that
296 masks have adverse interpersonal effects, and (E) beliefs about the physical inconvenience of
297 masks (i.e., difficulty breathing and overheating). As shown in Table 1, clusters A-D
298 discriminated people who wore masks from those who did not. Figure 1 shows that at the
299 center of the network was mask-related PR, which was connected to all clusters except cluster
300 E. The coefficients of stability for the network shown in Figure 1 were $.96$ for edge weights and
301 $.75$ for node strengths. These values exceed the cutoff of $.50$, suggesting stable (reliable)
302 results.

303 Figure 2 shows the indices of centrality for the network in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows that
304 beliefs that masks are ineffective was the strongest node in the network in that it was the node
305 that was most directly connected to other nodes in the network. Figure 2 further shows that PR
306 had the highest values on the closeness and betweenness indices. In other words, PR was highly
307 important in terms of connecting other nodes with one another. In summary, Figures 1 and 2
308 indicate that PR and beliefs that masks are ineffective were the most important nodes in the
309 network of anti-mask attitudes.

310 Figure 3 shows how the network of anti-mask attitudes was linked to other variables.
311 For this network the coefficient of stability was $.93$ for edge weights, suggesting that the
312 estimation of these values was stable (reliable). The actual values of the edge weights and their
313 significance levels appear in S1 Appendix. For the network in Figure 3, centrality indices were
314 not computed because they were not relevant to the aims of this analysis, which was to
315 determine how the network of anti-mask attitudes was linked to other variables. The figure

316 shows that the network of anti-mask attitudes was linked to the other variables by means of
317 the two most important nodes in the anti-mask network—PR and beliefs that masks are
318 ineffective. Beliefs that masks are ineffective was also linked to the nodes comprising the
319 COVID Disregard Syndrome, and to COVID-19 anti-vaccination attitudes. PR was linked to the
320 COVID Disregard Syndrome and to political conservatism. PR was significantly correlated with
321 political conservatism for samples from both the US ($r=.36, p<.001$) and Canada ($r=.28, p<.001$),
322 with no significant difference between the two at the α level of .01 ($z=1.98, p>.04$).

323 Discussion

324 People who object to wearing masks are a small but highly vocal minority of individuals.
325 The media attention that they have drawn may have given the misleading impression that the
326 anti-mask sentiment is widespread. However, our findings, just like the findings from opinion
327 surveys (5, 13-16) show that the majority of people are willing to follow the advice of health
328 authorities about wearing masks. Refusal to wear masks is associated with a range of anti-mask
329 attitudes, at the center of which are PR and beliefs that masks are ineffective. The core reasons
330 identified in the present study are remarkably similar to the reasons voiced in 1919 by the Anti-
331 Mask League; that is, they believed that masks were ineffective and violated their civil liberties.
332 Figure 2 shows that the network of anti-mask attitudes is linked, via its central nodes (mask-
333 related PR and beliefs that masks are ineffective), to other variables such as disregard for social
334 distancing and anti-vaccination attitudes. These findings underscore the importance of mask-
335 related PR and beliefs that masks are ineffective.

336 A critical question for psychologists and those involved in public health messaging is,
337 “What is the best way of encouraging people with anti-mask attitudes to wear masks?” It is
338 important to consider the motivational roots of mask refusal. If a person refuses to wear a mask
339 simply because he or she believes them to be ineffective, then it might be that targeted
340 education may be sufficient. However, given that the belief that masks are ineffective is
341 strongly correlated with mask-related PR ($r=.66, p<.001$; see S1 Appendix), this approach may
342 be insufficient in many or perhaps most other cases. People who believe masks are ineffective
343 also tend to have high levels of PR. If one tries to persuade a person with high PR that masks
344 are effective, this will elicit reactance in which that person generates further arguments against
345 the effectiveness of masks. The same applies to other reasons for mask refusal (e.g., the belief
346 that masks make people look suspicious). Attempts to counter the majority of reasons for mask
347 refusal will also elicit reactance because mask-related PR lies at the heart of the network of
348 anti-mask attitudes.

349 PR is strongly correlated ($r=.51$) with psychopathic personality traits such as a tendency
350 toward angry, impulsive behavior (45). Accordingly, confronting people who refuse to wear
351 masks could be hazardous. Indeed, there have been media reports of people reacting violently
352 when confronted about not wearing masks. For example, on public transit or in stores in which
353 mask-wearing is mandatory, people who refuse to wear masks have verbally and physically
354 assaulted people who have asked them to don a mask (59, 60). Accordingly, the CDC has
355 recommended against confronting non-mask-wearers (61).

356 Alternative strategies are required, particularly persuasion strategies that address PR.
357 Targeting PR is important because reactance lies at the heart of anti-mask attitudes. If the links
358 in Figure 1 have any causal status, then reducing PR should lead to a reduction in other anti-
359 masks attitudes. Researchers in the field of mass communication have suggested several

360 strategies for improving the persuasiveness of messages in situations in which PR might occur.
361 Indirect or subtle types of messaging—known as “nudges” in behavioral economics (62)—could
362 be used. A nudge is something that alters a person’s behavior in a predictable way without
363 forbidding any options or significantly changing their economic incentives. Mask-related nudges
364 could consist of handing out free masks at the entrances to store or displaying brightly colored
365 posters of happy cartoon characters wearing masks. It is unclear whether such nudges are
366 effective when PR plays a role in mask refusal.

367 Other forms of messaging have been proposed as ways of specifically dealing with PR. A
368 review of the research literature on mass communication (48) concluded that several
369 messaging strategies could circumvent PR. These strategies could be adapted for mask-related
370 PR, as follows:

371 (a) Add postscripts to messages that emphasize freedom of choice (e.g., “Please do your
372 part in managing the pandemic by wearing a mask. The choice is yours.”). The postscript is
373 intended to ameliorate PR.

374 (b) Narratives that highlight personal choice (e.g., personal stories about why people
375 who were initially reluctant to wear masks eventually chose to wear masks).

376 (c) Messaging that emphasizes how an individual’s choices impact others (e.g.,
377 “Choosing to wear a mask shows that you care about your community”).

378 (d) Messages that on the surface address one audience but are really targeted at a
379 different audience who may be listening (e.g., “Thank you for choosing to wear masks. Wearing
380 a mask might seem to be a small thing to it, but it is vitally important.”).

381 (e) Messages that forewarn receivers about the potential of them experiencing
382 reactance (e.g., “Some people think they’re giving up freedom by wearing a mask. But that’s
383 not true. Wearing a mask is a way of freeing ourselves from the pandemic”).

384 (f) Using reactance to strengthen the message (e.g., “You have a right to wear a mask to
385 stay safe. Don’t let anyone take away your right”). This message could help mask-refusers to
386 realize that wearing a mask is a right that they can choose to adopt.

387 The efficacy of these messaging strategies for improving mask adherence remains to be
388 investigated. No single message is effective under all circumstances, and pilot testing is
389 required before messages are implemented in mass communication programs in order that
390 they be fine-tuned and to avert any unintended adverse effects of messaging.

391 The present study has various strengths and limitations. In terms of strengths, the
392 sample was large and the present study was, to our knowledge, the first to use network
393 analysis to understand the interrelationships among anti-mask attitudes and their relationships
394 to other variables that have been shown to influence attitudes and behavior in the context of
395 pandemics. A further strength of the present study was that, compared to previous surveys, the
396 present study examined a larger number of different types of anti-mask attitudes as they
397 related to mask-wearing and other variables. A limitation is that not all possible anti-mask
398 attitudes were assessed. Future research is needed to investigate anti-masks attitudes that
399 were not investigated in the present study. For example, the present study did not assess the
400 belief that mask-wearing is a sign of weakness, which was an attitude voiced in an Ohio anti-
401 mask rally (63). The replicability of the findings across different countries and cultures also
402 remains to be investigated in future research. A further limitation is that network analysis, as a
403 statistical modeling method, is insufficient for determining the causal nature of the

404 relationships between nodes. Nevertheless, the present findings provide a strong rationale for
405 conducting future experimental studies on the causal status of mask-related PR and beliefs that
406 masks are ineffective. The present study also underscores the importance of conducting future
407 research to investigate the efficacy of messaging strategies that take PR into consideration as a
408 means of addressing the problem of mask non-adherence.

409

410

References

- 411
- 412 1. Taylor S. The psychology of pandemics: Preparing for the next global outbreak of
413 infectious disease. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing; 2019.
- 414 2. Bennett I. Coronavirus: Anti-mask activists protest against order to wear face coverings.
415 Sky News. Available from: [https://news.sky.com/story/coronavirus-anti-mask-activists-
416 protest-against-order-to-wear-face-coverings-12032097](https://news.sky.com/story/coronavirus-anti-mask-activists-protest-against-order-to-wear-face-coverings-12032097). 2020, Jul 20 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 417 3. Curtis LD. WHO and CDC have conflicting advice about public wearing masks during
418 COVID-19 pandemic. KUTV. Available from: [https://kutv.com/news/coronavirus/who-
419 there-is-no-evidence-wearing-a-mask-in-public-setting-prevents-covid-19-infection](https://kutv.com/news/coronavirus/who-there-is-no-evidence-wearing-a-mask-in-public-setting-prevents-covid-19-infection).
420 2020, Apr 7 [cited 2020 Nov 5].
- 421 4. Feng S, Shen C, Xia N, Song W, Fan M, Cowling BJ. Rational use of face masks in the
422 COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8:434-6.
- 423 5. Morning Consult. In April, half of the public had worn a face mask in the past month.
424 Now, that number is 95%. Available from:
425 <https://morningconsult.com/2020/07/30/covid-face-masks-polling-update/>. 2020 Jul 30
426 [cited 2020 Nov 5].
- 427 6. Mantzari E, Rubin GJ, Marteau TM. Is risk compensation threatening public health in the
428 Covid-19 pandemic? *BMJ*. 2020;370:m2913.
- 429 7. Tao Z-Y, Dong J, Culleton R. The use of facemasks may not lead to an increase in hand-
430 face contact. *Transbound and Emerg Dis*. 2020;doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13698.
- 431 8. Chen Y-J, Qin G, Chen J, Xu J-L, Feng D-Y, Wu X-Y, et al. Comparison of face-touching
432 behaviors before and during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*.
433 2020;3:e2016924.
- 434 9. CDC. Considerations for wearing masks. Available from:
435 [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/cloth-face-cover-
436 guidance.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/cloth-face-cover-guidance.html). 2020 Nov 4 [cited 2020 Nov 4].
- 437 10. Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ. Physical distancing, face
438 masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and
439 COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1973-87.
- 440 11. Ipsos. More people say they're wearing masks to protect themselves from COVID-19
441 since March. Available from: [https://www.ipsos.com/en-us/news-and-polls/more-
442 people-say-theyre-wearing-masks-protect-themselves-covid-19-march](https://www.ipsos.com/en-us/news-and-polls/more-people-say-theyre-wearing-masks-protect-themselves-covid-19-march). 2020 Apr 16
443 [cited 2020 Nov 5].
- 444 12. Ipsos. US COVID-19 aggregated topline report. Available from:
445 [https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ipsos-coronavirus-us-aggregate-topline-
446 062320.pdf](https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ipsos-coronavirus-us-aggregate-topline-062320.pdf). 2020 Jun 23 [cited 2020 Sep 1].
- 447 13. Angus Reid Institute. COVID-19: Canadian concern over falling ill on the rise again.
448 Available from: <http://angusreid.org/covid-concern-rising/>. 2020 Jul 15 [cited 2020 Nov
449 5].
- 450 14. Pew Research Center. More Americans say they are regularly wearing masks in stores
451 and other businesses. Available from: [https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-
452 tank/2020/08/27/more-americans-say-they-are-regularly-wearing-masks-in-stores-and-
453 other-businesses/](https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/08/27/more-americans-say-they-are-regularly-wearing-masks-in-stores-and-other-businesses/). 2020 Aug 27 [cited 2020 Nov 5].

- 454 15. Pew Research Center. Most Americans say they regularly wore a mask in stores in the
455 past month; fewer see others doing it. Available from:
456 [https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/06/23/most-americans-say-they-](https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/06/23/most-americans-say-they-regularly-wore-a-mask-in-stores-in-the-past-month-fewer-see-others-doing-it/)
457 [regularly-wore-a-mask-in-stores-in-the-past-month-fewer-see-others-doing-it/](https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/06/23/most-americans-say-they-regularly-wore-a-mask-in-stores-in-the-past-month-fewer-see-others-doing-it/). 2020
458 Jun 23 [cited 2020 Nov 5].
- 459 16. Morning Consult. The mask debate isn't just about saving face. Adults who wear them
460 and those who don't explain why. Available from:
461 [https://morningconsult.com/2020/07/01/the-mask-debate-isnt-just-about-saving-face-](https://morningconsult.com/2020/07/01/the-mask-debate-isnt-just-about-saving-face-adults-who-wear-them-and-those-who-dont-explain-why/)
462 [adults-who-wear-them-and-those-who-dont-explain-why/](https://morningconsult.com/2020/07/01/the-mask-debate-isnt-just-about-saving-face-adults-who-wear-them-and-those-who-dont-explain-why/). 2020 Jul 1 [cited 2020 Nov
463 5].
- 464 17. Cohn SK. Epidemics: Hate and compassion from the plague of Athens to AIDS. Oxford:
465 Oxford University Press; 2018.
- 466 18. Dolan B. Unmasking history: Who was behind the anti-mask league protests during the
467 1918 influenza epidemic in San Francisco? Perspectives in Med Humanities.
468 2020:doi.org/10.34947/M7QP4M.
- 469 19. McKay H. Anti-mask coronavirus protests sweep across Europe, posing steep challenges
470 for Western democratic leaders. Fox News. Available from:
471 [https://www.foxnews.com/world/anti-mask-coronavirus-protests-sweep-much-of-](https://www.foxnews.com/world/anti-mask-coronavirus-protests-sweep-much-of-europe-posing-steep-challenges-for-western-democratic-leaders)
472 [europe-posing-steep-challenges-for-western-democratic-leaders](https://www.foxnews.com/world/anti-mask-coronavirus-protests-sweep-much-of-europe-posing-steep-challenges-for-western-democratic-leaders). 2020 Oct 13 [cited
473 2020 Nov 6].
- 474 20. Henley J, Tait R. Covid in Europe: Protests in Czech Republic, Ireland to toughen rules.
475 The Guardian. Available from: [https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/oct/18/covid-](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/oct/18/covid-in-europe-protests-czech-republic-ireland-toughen-rules)
476 [in-europe-protests-czech-republic-ireland-toughen-rules](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/oct/18/covid-in-europe-protests-czech-republic-ireland-toughen-rules). 2020 Oct 18 [cited 2020 Nov
477 6].
- 478 21. Philipose R. Covid-19: A look at anti-mask rallies held around the world amid the
479 pandemic. The Indian Express. Available from:
480 [https://indianexpress.com/article/world/covid-19-a-look-at-anti-mask-rallies-held-](https://indianexpress.com/article/world/covid-19-a-look-at-anti-mask-rallies-held-around-the-world-amid-the-pandemic-6585722/)
481 [around-the-world-amid-the-pandemic-6585722/](https://indianexpress.com/article/world/covid-19-a-look-at-anti-mask-rallies-held-around-the-world-amid-the-pandemic-6585722/). 2020 Sept 6 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 482 22. Christodoulou H. Thousands of anti-mask protesters descend on London calling for
483 lockdown end hours after capital's Tier 2 restrictions. The Sun. Available from:
484 [https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/uknews/12953943/anti-mask-protest-london-tier-2-](https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/uknews/12953943/anti-mask-protest-london-tier-2-lockdown/)
485 [lockdown/](https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/uknews/12953943/anti-mask-protest-london-tier-2-lockdown/). 2020 Oct 17 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 486 23. Pinsent A, Raymond T. Ontario premier denounces reports of violence at Queen's Park
487 anti-mask rally. CTV News. Available from: [https://ottawa.ctvnews.ca/ontario-premier-](https://ottawa.ctvnews.ca/ontario-premier-denounces-reports-of-violence-at-queen-s-park-anti-mask-rally-1.5154254)
488 [denounces-reports-of-violence-at-queen-s-park-anti-mask-rally-1.5154254](https://ottawa.ctvnews.ca/ontario-premier-denounces-reports-of-violence-at-queen-s-park-anti-mask-rally-1.5154254). 2020 Oct 21
489 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 490 24. O'Brien S. Violence erupts at Dublin anti-mask rally as right wingers and counter-
491 protesters clash. Irish Central. Available from:
492 [https://www.irishcentral.com/news/violence-dublin-anti-mask-rally-right-wingers-](https://www.irishcentral.com/news/violence-dublin-anti-mask-rally-right-wingers-counter-protesters-clash)
493 [counter-protesters-clash](https://www.irishcentral.com/news/violence-dublin-anti-mask-rally-right-wingers-counter-protesters-clash). 2020 Oct 11 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 494 25. Armus T. Brooklyn's Orthodox Jews burn masks in violent protests as New York cracks
495 down on rising coronavirus cases. Washington Post. Available from:
496 [https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/10/08/orthodox-jews-protest-covid-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/10/08/orthodox-jews-protest-covid-brooklyn/)
497 [brooklyn/](https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/10/08/orthodox-jews-protest-covid-brooklyn/). 2020 Oct 8 [cited 2020 Nov 6].

- 498 26. ITV. Covid rules breached at Cardiff anti-vaccination and anti-mask protest attended by
499 Piers Corbyn. ITV. Available from: [https://www.itv.com/news/wales/2020-10-12/covid-](https://www.itv.com/news/wales/2020-10-12/covid-rules-breached-at-cardiff-anti-vaccination-and-anti-mask-protest-attended-by-piers-corbyn)
500 [rules-breached-at-cardiff-anti-vaccination-and-anti-mask-protest-attended-by-piers-](https://www.itv.com/news/wales/2020-10-12/covid-rules-breached-at-cardiff-anti-vaccination-and-anti-mask-protest-attended-by-piers-corbyn)
501 [corbyn](https://www.itv.com/news/wales/2020-10-12/covid-rules-breached-at-cardiff-anti-vaccination-and-anti-mask-protest-attended-by-piers-corbyn). 2020 Oct12 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 502 27. Little S. QAnon conspiracy theorists, far-right group join Vancouver anti-mask rally.
503 Global News. Available from: [https://globalnews.ca/news/7332529/vancouver-anti-](https://globalnews.ca/news/7332529/vancouver-anti-mask-rally-qanon/)
504 [mask-rally-qanon/](https://globalnews.ca/news/7332529/vancouver-anti-mask-rally-qanon/). 2020 Sep 13 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 505 28. Hapuhennedige S. Public health experts are learning from Canada’s anti-mask protests.
506 CMAJ. 2020;192:E1274-5.
- 507 29. Crawford JT, Brandt MJ, Inbar Y, Chambers JR, Motyl M. Social and economic ideologies
508 differentially predict prejudice across the political spectrum, but social issues are most
509 divisive. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2017;112:383-412.
- 510 30. Angus Reid Institute. Vacillate or vaccinate? Fewer than half of Canadians say they’d get
511 a COVID-19 inoculation as soon as possible. Available from:
512 <http://angusreid.org/covid19-vaccine-october/>. 2020 Oct 2 [cited 2020 Nov 5].
- 513 31. Nguyen L, Roa R. Orange County reaches 800 coronavirus-related deaths as anti-mask
514 protest goes on in Costa Mesa. Los Angeles Times. Available from:
515 [https://www.latimes.com/socal/daily-pilot/news/story/2020-08-15/orange-county-](https://www.latimes.com/socal/daily-pilot/news/story/2020-08-15/orange-county-reaches-800-coronavirus-related-deaths-as-anti-mask-protest-goes-on-in-costa-mesa)
516 [reaches-800-coronavirus-related-deaths-as-anti-mask-protest-goes-on-in-costa-mesa.](https://www.latimes.com/socal/daily-pilot/news/story/2020-08-15/orange-county-reaches-800-coronavirus-related-deaths-as-anti-mask-protest-goes-on-in-costa-mesa)
517 2020 Aug 15 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 518 32. Lasseret B. Speakers at anti-mask rally in Manti claim mandates infringe on freedom,
519 harm economy. Sanpete Messenger. Available from:
520 <http://sanpetemessenger.com/archives/19640>. 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 521 33. Kestler-D’Amours J. COVID-19 conspiracies creating a “public health” crisis in Canada,
522 experts say. CTV News. Available from: [https://montreal.ctvnews.ca/covid-19-](https://montreal.ctvnews.ca/covid-19-conspiracies-creating-a-public-health-crisis-in-canada-experts-say-1.5049423)
523 [conspiracies-creating-a-public-health-crisis-in-canada-experts-say-1.5049423](https://montreal.ctvnews.ca/covid-19-conspiracies-creating-a-public-health-crisis-in-canada-experts-say-1.5049423). 2020 Aug
524 3 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 525 34. Beer T. Anti-mask rallies continue in U.S. amid rising coronavirus cases and deaths.
526 Forbes. Available from: [https://www.forbes.com/sites/tommybeer/2020/07/16/anti-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/tommybeer/2020/07/16/anti-mask-rallies-continue-in-us-amid-rising-coronavirus-cases-and-deaths/?sh=2ea889db2246)
527 [mask-rallies-continue-in-us-amid-rising-coronavirus-cases-and-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/tommybeer/2020/07/16/anti-mask-rallies-continue-in-us-amid-rising-coronavirus-cases-and-deaths/?sh=2ea889db2246)
528 [deaths/?sh=2ea889db2246](https://www.forbes.com/sites/tommybeer/2020/07/16/anti-mask-rallies-continue-in-us-amid-rising-coronavirus-cases-and-deaths/?sh=2ea889db2246). 2020 Jul 16 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 529 35. Battagello D. Anti-mask protest movement appears to gain supporters in Windsor.
530 Windsor Star. Available from: [https://windsorstar.com/news/local-news/no-mask-](https://windsorstar.com/news/local-news/no-mask-protest-movement-gains-supporters)
531 [protest-movement-gains-supporters](https://windsorstar.com/news/local-news/no-mask-protest-movement-gains-supporters). 2020 Oct26 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 532 36. Barrow T. Dr. Deena Hinshaw addresses Lethbridge anti-mask protesters. CTV News.
533 Available from: [https://calgary.ctvnews.ca/dr-deena-hinshaw-addresses-lethbridge-anti-](https://calgary.ctvnews.ca/dr-deena-hinshaw-addresses-lethbridge-anti-mask-protesters-1.5100335)
534 [mask-protesters-1.5100335](https://calgary.ctvnews.ca/dr-deena-hinshaw-addresses-lethbridge-anti-mask-protesters-1.5100335). 2020 Sep 10 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 535 37. Ali S. Anti-mask rally draws large crowd at Nebraska capitol. Lincoln Journal Star.
536 Available from: [https://journalstar.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/anti-mask-rally-](https://journalstar.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/anti-mask-rally-draws-large-crowd-at-nebraska-capitol/article_e3b6f5a4-4b84-5826-8632-e1c529838c38.html)
537 [draws-large-crowd-at-nebraska-capitol/article_e3b6f5a4-4b84-5826-8632-](https://journalstar.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/anti-mask-rally-draws-large-crowd-at-nebraska-capitol/article_e3b6f5a4-4b84-5826-8632-e1c529838c38.html)
538 [e1c529838c38.html](https://journalstar.com/news/local/govt-and-politics/anti-mask-rally-draws-large-crowd-at-nebraska-capitol/article_e3b6f5a4-4b84-5826-8632-e1c529838c38.html). 2020 Sep 19 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
- 539 38. Seligman MEP. Helplessness: On depression, development and death. San Francisco, CA:
540 Freeman; 1975.
- 541 39. Brehm JW. A theory of psychological reactance. New York: Academic; 1966.

- 542 40. Rosenberg BD, Siegel JT. A 50-year review of psychological reactance theory. *Motiv Sci.*
543 2018;4:281-300.
- 544 41. Rains SA. The nature of psychological reactance revisited: A meta-analytic review. *Hum*
545 *Commun Res.* 2013;39:47-73.
- 546 42. Brehm SS, Brehm JW. *Psychological reactance: A theory of freedom and control.* New
547 York: Academic; 1981.
- 548 43. Steindl C, Jonas E, Sittenthaler S, Traut-Mattausch E, Greenberg J. Understanding
549 psychological reactance: New developments and findings. *Z Psychol.* 2015;223:205-14.
- 550 44. Frank SJ, Jackson-Walker S, Marks M, Van Egeren LA, Loop K, Olson K. From the
551 laboratory to the hospital, adults to adolescents, and disorders to personality: The case
552 of psychological reactance. *J Clin Psychol.* 1998;54:361-81.
- 553 45. Lewing CA, Caraway SJ. Psychological reactance as a motivation in psychopathy. *Pers*
554 *Indiv Dif.* 2019;139:355-9.
- 555 46. Irmak C, Murdock MR, Kanuri VK. When consumption regulations backfire: The role of
556 political ideology. *J Mark Res.* 2020;57:966-84.
- 557 47. Ma Y, Dixon G, Hmielowski J. Psychological reactance from reading basic facts on climate
558 change: The role of prior views and political identification. *Environ Comm.* 2019;13:71-
559 86.
- 560 48. Staunton TV, Alvaro EM, Rosenberg BD. A case for directives: Strategies for enhancing
561 clarity while mitigating reactance. *Curr Psychol.* 2020:doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-
562 00588-0.
- 563 49. Taylor S, Paluszek M, Landry C, Rachor GS, Asmundson GJG. Worry, avoidance, and
564 coping during the COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive network analysis. *J Anxiety*
565 *Disord.* 2020:102327.
- 566 50. Epskamp S, Borsboom D, Fried EI. Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy.
567 *Behav Res Methods.* 2018;50:195-212.
- 568 51. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszek MM, Fergus TA, McKay D, Asmundson GJG. Covid stress
569 syndrome: Concept, structure, and correlates. *Depress Anxiety.* 2020;37:706-14.
- 570 52. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszek MM, Fergus TA, McKay D, Asmundson GJG. Development
571 and initial validation of the COVID Stress Scales. *JAnxiety Disord.* 2020;72:102232.
- 572 53. Howard MC. Understanding face mask use to prevent coronavirus and other illnesses:
573 Development of a multidimensional face mask perceptions scale. *Br J Health Psychol.*
574 2020:doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12453.
- 575 54. Martin LR, Petrie KJ. Understanding the dimensions of anti-vaccination attitudes: The
576 vaccination attitudes examination (VAX) scale. *Ann Behav Med.* 2017;51:652-60.
- 577 55. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszek MM, Groenewoud R, Rachor GS, Asmundson GJG. A
578 proactive approach for managing COVID-19: The importance of understanding the
579 motivational roots of vaccination hesitancy for SARS-CoV2. *Front Psychol.* 2020;11:2890.
- 580 56. Epskamp S, Maris G, Waldorp LJ, Borsboom D. Network psychometrics. In: P. Irwing, T.
581 Booth, Hughes DJ, editors. *Wiley handbook of psychometric testing.* 2. New York: Wiley;
582 2016. p. 953-85.
- 583 57. Epskamp S, Cramer AO, Waldorp LJ, Schmittmann VD, Borsboom D. Qgraph: Network
584 visualizations of relationships in psychometric data. *J Stat Softw.* 2012;48:1-18.

- 585 58. Bilinski A, Emanuel EJ. COVID-19 and excess all-cause mortality in the US and 18
586 comparison countries. JAMA. 2020:doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.20717.
- 587 59. Richardson V. Mask madness: Coronavirus confrontations escalate across U.S.
588 Washington Times. Available from:
589 [https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/jul/20/coronavirus-mask-](https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/jul/20/coronavirus-mask-confrontations-escalate-across-us/)
590 [confrontations-escalate-across-us/](https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/jul/20/coronavirus-mask-confrontations-escalate-across-us/). 2020 Jul 20 [cited 2020 Jul 20].
- 591 60. Szekeres J. Fight breaks out on bus in Surrey after passenger refuses to wear mask.
592 News 1130. Available from: [https://www.citynews1130.com/2020/09/27/violent-fight-](https://www.citynews1130.com/2020/09/27/violent-fight-surrey-board-translink-bus/)
593 [surrey-board-translink-bus/](https://www.citynews1130.com/2020/09/27/violent-fight-surrey-board-translink-bus/). 2020 Sep 27 [cited 2020 Sept 27].
- 594 61. CDC. Limiting workplace violence associated with COVID-19 prevention policies in retail
595 and services businesses. Available from: [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/organizations/business-employers/limit-workplace-violence.html)
596 [ncov/community/organizations/business-employers/limit-workplace-violence.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/organizations/business-employers/limit-workplace-violence.html).
597 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2020 Sept 2].
- 598 62. Thaler RH, Sunstein CR. Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness.
599 New Haven, CT: Yale University Press; 2008.
- 600 63. Mulraney F. Hundreds of anti-mask protesters protected by armed militia and bikers
601 gather at the Ohio statehouse saying face coverings are “propaganda” and holding “I will
602 not wear someone else’s fear” signs. Daily Mail. Available from:
603 [https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8537449/Hundreds-armed-anti-mask-](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8537449/Hundreds-armed-anti-mask-protesters-gather-outside-Ohio-statehouse.html)
604 [protesters-gather-outside-Ohio-statehouse.html](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8537449/Hundreds-armed-anti-mask-protesters-gather-outside-Ohio-statehouse.html). 2020 Jul 18 [cited 2020 Nov 6].
605
607

608 Table 1. Scores on measures of negative attitudes towards facemasks.

609


	Figure 1 cluster	People who don't wear masks (N=338) M (SD)	People who wear masks (N=1,740) M (SD)	t(df=2,026)
I do not like feeling forced to wear a facemask (psychological reactance).	--	3.7 (2.3)	3.0 (2.1)	5.87***
Facemasks are ineffective.	A	3.3 (2.0)	2.6 (1.7)	6.53***
Facemasks provide a false sense of security.	A	4.0 (2.0)	3.4 (1.9)	5.66***
Facemasks are unsafe because they force you to touch your face.	A	3.2 (1.9)	2.7 (1.7)	5.22***
It is hard to develop the habit of wearing a facemask.	B	3.8 (2.1)	3.0 (1.9)	6.43***
Wearing a facemask is too much of a hassle.	B	3.2 (2.1)	2.7 (1.8)	5.15***
Facemasks look ugly or weird.	C	3.2 (2.0)	2.9 (1.9)	3.10**
Facemasks look silly.	C	3.3 (2.1)	3.0 (1.9)	3.03**
Facemasks make other people feel uneasy.	D	2.9 (1.9)	2.6 (1.8)	3.42***
Facemasks make people look untrustworthy.	D	3.2 (1.9)	2.9 (1.8)	2.54*
It is difficult to breathe when wearing a facemask.	E	4.5 (1.9)	4.3 (1.9)	1.70
Facemasks cause me to overheat.	E	4.3 (1.9)	4.2 (1.9)	1.39

* $p < .01$, ** $p < .005$, *** $p < .001$.

610


611

612 *Figure 1.* Network analysis of anti-mask attitudes: Strength of interconnections (edges) among the nodes in the network. All
 613 edges were positive (i.e., positive regularized partial correlations). Stronger edges are indicated by thicker lines. For all edges,
 614 $p < .01$. *Legend:* *Difficulty breathing:* It is difficult to breathe when wearing a facemask. *False sense of security:* Facemasks
 615 provide a false sense of security. *Hard to form habit:* It is hard to develop the habit of wearing a facemask. *Hassle:* Wearing a
 616 facemask is too much of a hassle. *Ineffective:* Facemasks are ineffective. *Look silly:* Facemasks look silly. *Overheat:* Facemasks
 617 cause me to overheat. *Psychol. reactance to facemasks:* I do not like feeling forced to wear a facemask. *Touch face:*
 618 Facemasks are unsafe because they force you to touch your face. *Ugly:* Facemasks look ugly or weird. *Uneasy:* Facemasks
 619 make other people feel uneasy. *Untrustworthy:* Facemasks make people look untrustworthy.



620
 621

622 *Figure 2.* Centrality indices for nodes in the network analysis of anti-mask attitudes. Large values indicate that a given node
 623 had greater importance in the network, as indicated by its connections (edges) with other elements in the network. *Legend:*
 624 *BRE:* It is difficult to breathe when wearing a facemask. *FAC:* Facemasks are unsafe because they force you to touch your
 625 face. *HAB:* It is hard to develop the habit of wearing a facemask. *HAS:* Wearing a facemask is too much of a hassle. *HEA:*
 626 Facemasks cause me to overheat. *INE:* Facemasks are ineffective. *PR:* I do not like feeling forced to wear a facemask. *SEC:*
 627 Facemasks provide a false sense of security. *SIL:* Facemasks look silly. *UGL:* Facemasks look ugly or weird. *UNE:* Facemasks
 628 make other people feel uneasy. *UNT:* Facemasks make people look untrustworthy.



629
630

