Immune memory in mild COVID-19 patients and unexposed donors from India reveals persistent T cell responses after SARS-CoV-2 infection

Asgar Ansari[1,6], Rakesh Arya[2,6], Shilpa Sachan[1,6], Someshwar Nath Jha[1,6], Anurag Kalia[1], Anupam Lall[3], Alessandro Sette[4,5], Alba Grifoni[4], Daniela Weiskopf[4], Poonam Coshic[3,7], Ashok Sharma[2,7], Nimesh Gupta[1*]

[1]Vaccine Immunology Laboratory, National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi, 110067, India; [2]Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, 110029, India; [3]Department of Transfusion Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, 110029, India; [4]Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA; [5]Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA; [6]These authors contributed equally. [7]These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nimesh Gupta, Vaccine Immunology Laboratory, National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi -110067, India. Email: nimesh.gupta@nii.ac.in

Abstract

Understanding the causes of the diverse outcome of COVID-19 pandemic in different geographical locations is important for the vaccine implementation and pandemic control responses. Here, we examined the SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4$^+$ T-cell responses in unexposed individuals and patients recovered from mild COVID-19. Using HLA class II predicted peptide megapools, we identified SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells in around 70% of the unexposed individuals. Moreover, we found detectable immune reactivity up to 5 months in mild COVID-19 recovered patients in the two major arms of protective adaptive immunity; CD4$^+$ T cells and B cells. Interestingly, the persistent immune reactivity in COVID-19 patients is predominantly targeted towards the Spike glycoprotein of the SARS-CoV-2. This study provides the evidence of both high magnitude pre-existing and persistent immune memory in Indian population. By providing the knowledge on cellular immune responses to SARS-CoV-2, our work has implication for the evaluation and implementation of vaccines against COVID-19.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has evolved with variable trajectory in diverse geographical locations. Pre-existing T cell memory acquired from 'common cold' Human Coronaviruses (HCoVs) could have substantial implication in the immunological and epidemiological outcome of the pandemic. Because of the diverse geo-distribution and prevalence of HCoVs, there may be a varying impact of pre-existing immunity on the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, there is a considerable interest to understand the traits of pre-existing immunity and its impact on the virus spread and pathogenesis, disease outcome and the establishment of protective immunity in COVID-19.

In context of pre-existing immunity, the cross-reactive T cells are the focus of extensive investigations. Recent reports reveal the existence of cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells in ~20-50% of the individuals never been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 (1-5). These cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells are largely canonical memory cells and they may be the outcome of previous infections with many of the common cold HCoVs (4). The cross-reactive memory CD4 T-cell subsets may lead to a favorable course of SARS-CoV-2 infection via direct anti-viral effects of CD4-CTL (Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes) or T helper cells, and also via establishing optimal germinal centers derived protective humoral immunity by follicular T helper cells. In fact, the cross-reactive immune memory to SARS-CoV-2 is limited to CD4^{+} T cells and more studies are required to understand the cross-reactivity from HCoVs in case of the humoral immunity (6-8). Although most of these studies are limited to the antibody analyses and there is no firm knowledge available for the cross-reactivity in the B cell pool. The Spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 is the major target of neutralizing antibodies (8, 9). Particularly, antibodies targeting RBD display high neutralizing potential (10) and shown to be predicative of survival (11). However, there has been a concern over the decline of antibodies within first few months after SARS-CoV-2 infection (12, 13). Although, it's not clear if this decline is gradual and if the similar decline exists in the memory pool of T cells and B cells.

The cross-reactive immunity acquired from the common cold HCoVs may have substantial impact on the immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 and also to the COVID-19 vaccine. Although, the exact origin is not known the geo-distribution and prevalence of common cold HCoVs may impact the magnitude and targets of cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells and may lead to a diverse outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, the traits and impact of pre-existing

immunity and the stability of immune memory in the crucial arms of protective immunity needs to be explored across the diverse populations. In this study, we have examined the traits and stability of immune memory in unexposed donors and patients recovered from mild COVID-19. We show that the SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells exist in the unexposed donors, with Non-spike domains as the predominant target of CD4$^+$ T cells in ~70% of the individuals. Moreover, we also show that immune reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 is detectable in mild COVID-19 patients at least up to 5 months after recovery in the crucial arms of protective immunity; CD4$^+$ T cells and B cells. Interestingly, the durable immune reactivity in COVID-19 patients was highly targeted towards the Spike glycoprotein of the SARS-CoV-2. Our work provides the evidence of pre-existing reactivity and immune memory detectable in mild COVID-19 patients from the geographical location that is experiencing high burden of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic with an extremely low case fatality.

Results

Antibody response in unexposed donors and mild COVID-19 recovered patients with synchronous expansion of antibodies to HCoV-OC43

To investigate the quality and stability of immune reactivity in the COVID-19 patients we recruited 28 adult patients who had recovered from mild COVID-19 (**Table 1**). To explore the impact of cross-reactive immunity from 'common cold' coronaviruses we also utilized plasma samples and the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from healthy blood donors collected prior to the pandemic during 2018-2019. The SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed in all the recruited patients by swab based viral PCR test. None of the patients required hospitalization and were quarantined with the mild-to-moderate manifestation of the disease. The blood sample was collected after 2 to 5 months of recovery from the date of diagnosis. All the patients showed high titer IgG response to the full-length spike unlike unexposed donors that showed no evidence of spike-reactive IgG (**Fig. 1 A-B**). SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein-reactive IgG was present in 15 of the 42 unexposed donors tested, and the titer was significantly higher in the mild COVID-19 recovered patients (**Fig. 1 C-D**). We further analyzed neutralizing antibodies in 8 unexposed donors and 12 COVID-19 patients after ≥4 months of recovery. We observed the presence of highly effective neutralizing antibodies in

all the patients after the long duration of recovery (**Fig. 1E**). Because we observed cross-reactive antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein in unexposed donors, we examined the IgG reactivity to nucleoproteins from common-cold HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 as a representative betacoronavirus and alphacoronavirus, respectively. Interestingly, IgG reactivity was present against both the HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 in almost all the unexposed donors. Interestingly, an increase in IgG reactivity in COVID-19 recovered patients was noted, but limited to the HCoV-OC43 (**Fig. 1F**). Thus, the data suggest a detectable spike- and nucleoprotein-specific antibody response in the Indian patients recovered from mild disease, at least up to 5 months post COVID-19 diagnosis. Surprisingly, COVID-19 patients showed an increase in IgG response against the HCoV-OC43 but not to the other common cold coronavirus tested, HCoV-NL-63, which may have less closely related Nucleoprotein to SARS-CoV-2(14).

Robust SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4$^+$ T-cell responses in unexposed donors and mild COVID-19 cases

CD4$^+$ T cells are crucial for both the anti-viral response and the optimal quality of protective humoral immunity. Thus, we examined the CD4$^+$ T cell reactivity in unexposed donors and the patients recovered from mild COVID-19. We measured the SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4$^+$ T cells in the T cell receptor (TCR) dependent activation induced marker assay (15, 16). Here, for antigen-specific analysis, we stimulated the PBMCs from 18 COVID-19 subjects and 32 unexposed healthy donors (collected during 2018-19 prior to the COVID-19 pandemic) with the peptide megapool spanning the Spike domain (Spike) and the megapool covering the remainder of the SARS-CoV-2 polyprotein (Non-spike) (1, 4). A CMV megapool and the Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B (SEB) superantigen was used as the positive control, while DMSO was used as the negative control (**Fig. 2 and Fig. S1**).

A total of 5 out of 32 unexposed donors were associated with marginal frequency of SARS-CoV-2 spike-reactive AIM$^+$ (OX40$^+$CD137$^+$) CD4$^+$ T cells with an insignificant increase over the DMSO control (**Fig. 2B**). Interestingly, 22 out of 32 unexposed donors robustly responded to the peptide megapool covering the non-spike domains of virus with a significantly higher frequency of AIM$^+$CD4$^+$ T cells over the DMSO control (**Fig. 2B**; DMSO vs Non-spike pool, P=0.0002). The unexposed donors consistently responded to the

CMV peptide megapool and the SEB superantigen significantly over the DMSO control (**Fig. 2B**; DMSO vs CMV pool, P=0.0005; DMSO vs SEB, P<0.0001). All of the COVID-19 recovered patients showed robust activation and high frequency AIM$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells in response to the spike peptide megapool (**Fig. 2C**; DMSO vs Spike megapool, P<0.0001). Although the frequency of non-spike specific CD4$^+$ T cells was low, all patients showed the presence of AIM$^+$CD4$^+$ T cells in response to the non-spike peptide pool (**Fig. 2C**; DMSO vs Non-spike megapool, P<0.0001). Like unexposed donors, COVID-19 patients readily responded to the CMV peptide pool and SEB stimulation (**Fig. 2C**; DMSO vs CMV pool, P=0.0003; DMSO vs SEB, P<0.0001). Next, we measured the stimulation index of antigen specific stimulations over the unstimulated DMSO control to quantify CD4$^+$ T cell reactivity in case of pre-existing immunity and in long-term post recovery from COVID-19. We observed a remarkably higher frequency of Spike-specific memory CD4$^+$ T cells in recovered patients than the unexposed donors (**Fig. 2D**; Unexposed vs COVID-19, P<0.0001). Surprisingly, higher magnitude of Non-spike specific CD4$^+$ T cells were present in the unexposed donors with a marginally higher ratio in recovered COVID-19 patients (**Fig. 2D**; Unexposed vs COVID-19, P=0.02). We also determined the memory phenotype of the CD4$^+$ T cells responding to the spike and non-spike peptide megapools (**Fig. S2 A**). Both the central memory and effector memory compartments were mainly populated in antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells responding to spike and non-spike genome of SARS-CoV-2. However, no significant difference in the proportion of central memory or effector memory cells was observed between the spike and non-spike specific AIM$^+$CD4$^+$ T cells (**Fig. S2 B**). Altogether, the antigen-specific T cell analyses suggest the existence of Spike-specific CD4$^+$ T cells in unexposed donors with a remarkably high magnitude in case of recovery from mild COVID-19. Interestingly, almost similar magnitude of non-spike specific CD4$^+$ T cells are present in unexposed and COVID-19 recovery patients. Detection of Spike-specific memory CD4$^+$ T cells several months after infection is encouraging for the efforts focusing on SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein as a vaccine candidate.

High magnitude Spike-specific B cells in mild COVID-19 recovered subjects

Because the mild COVID-19 patients showed robust Spike-specific CD4$^+$ T cells reactivity, we examined if a similar finding would extend to SARS-CoV-2 B cell responses. Thus, utilizing SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and Nucleoprotein (representative of Non-spike

domains), we analyzed the frequency of each isotype-specific antibody secreting B cell population in 8 patients after >4 months of recovery from mild disease (**Fig. 3A**). The magnitude of IgG antibody secreting cells (ASC) was the highest among three subsets analyzed. Surprisingly, all the patients showed significant 8-fold higher Spike-specific IgG-ASC over the ASCs specific to SARS-CoV-2 Nucleoprotein (**Fig. 3B;** Count/10^6 PBMCs: Spike - 880±55, Nucleoprotein - 109±48; P=0.0002). Although, the frequency of Nucleoprotein-specific IgM-ASCs was higher than the Nucleoprotein-specific IgG-ASCs, it was not significantly different than the Spike-specific IgM-ASCs (**Fig. 3C;** Count/10^6 PBMCs: Spike - 217±35, Nucleoprotein - 247±48). Plasma cells secreting IgA were present in the least frequency in COVID-19 recovered patients. However, like IgG-ASCs, Spike-specific memory IgA-ASCs were present in 5-fold higher frequency than the Nucleoprotein-specific cells (**Fig. 3D;** Count/10^6 PBMCs: Spike - 52±15, Nucleoprotein - 11±9; P=0.009). Altogether, these results indicate the existence of high magnitude IgG secreting cells in the antigen specific B-cell pool of mild COVID-19 patients. Like in the case of CD4$^+$ T cells, a significant number of B cells is found in long-term after recovery from mild COVID-19, targeted towards the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

Discussion

There is an urgent need to understand the attributes of pre-existing immunity and persistence of immune memory in COVID-19. Here, we report the extent of immune memory in individuals up to 5 months after the recovery from mild COVID-19. The existence of high titer Spike- and Nucleoprotein-specific IgG after several months post-infection indicates persistent antibody response in mild disease. Our observation is consistent with the recent reports where no decline was observed in antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 within 4 to 5 months of the COVID-19 diagnosis (10, 17). This is important information for the vaccine development as the antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 Spike are not swiftly waning from the circulation, at least in the case of mild disease.

In our cohort, SARS-CoV-2 Spike cross-reactive antibodies were not detected in the unexposed donors' samples collected during January 2018 to December 2019. This may be due to highly divergent Spike of SARS-CoV-2 than the seasonal coronaviruses (18). By

contrast, almost 35% of the unexposed adult donors showed the existence of SARS-CoV-2 Nucleoprotein reactive antibodies. Unlike Spike protein, Nucleoprotein antibodies are more cross-reactive within the subgroups of HCoVs (19) and it's likely that the adult population in India has been exposed to common cold HCoVs as frequently as in the case with children and adolescents (20). The apparent nucleoprotein cross-reactivity seems to best correlate with the HCoV-OC43 Nucleoprotein-specific antibodies as increased titers associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection were observed with HCoV-OC43, a representative betacoronavirus used in this study. This may be due to more conserve Nucleoprotein immunodominant regions within the same family of betacoronaviruses (21). Although, it's not clear if the similar or the unique epitopes of HCoV-OC43 are associated with this observed expansion. Systematic serological study in a larger cohort will be helpful to understand the cross-reactivity exist in the Nucleoprotein and Spike glycoprotein between the endemic HCoVs and SARS-CoV-2.

It's intriguing that antibodies targeting Nucleoprotein existed in unexposed donors and are detectable in high titers in a long-term in mild COVID-19 patients. Because Nucleoprotein is not accessible on the virus surface, these antibodies may not exert the neutralization activity. If they are protective, a plausible mechanism for anti-viral function of the Nucleoprotein antibodies is via antibody-mediated effector functions, like ADCC (Antibody Dependent Cell Cytotoxicity) and CDC (Complement Dependent Cytotoxicity). However, this would only be possible if the Nucleoprotein-related antigens are expressed on the infected cell surface, as detected in case of influenza virus (22). Clearly detailed studies are required to understand the biological implication of Nucleoprotein-specific antibodies in the SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and in the disease outcome.

We also determined the specificity and magnitude of the SARS-CoV-2 reactive CD4$^+$ T cell responses in mild disease in comparison to the pre-existing immunity in the Indian population. Our observation of poorly detected SARS-CoV-2 Spike-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells in unexposed donors is consistent with the findings in the USA and the German cohorts (1, 3). However, strikingly higher frequency of SARS-CoV-2 Non-spike specific CD4$^+$ T cells were observed in our cohort of unexposed donors, which was in range of 70% of the responders as compared to 50% in USA and the Singapore cohort (1, 2). In the USA cohort, the cross-reactive epitopes in the Non-spike megapool are scattered all over the genome targeting both the non-structural and structural domains (4). However, among the structural domains, the epitopes in Non-spike megapool targeted by the CD4$^+$ T cells are mostly directed toward the

Nucleoprotein. Because of substantial homology of Nucleoprotein between common cold HCoVs and SARS-CoV-2 and due to high prevalence of related common cold HCoVs, as supported by the IgG reactivity, it's plausible that a higher extent of Nucleoprotein cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells are present in our cohort of unexposed donors. Certainly, in-depth analyses in the unexposed donors are necessary to reveal if the prevalence and frequency of common cold HCoVs defines the cross-reactivity to different epitopes in the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

The cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells might not be implicated solely in terminating the virus infection however they may limit the virus burden and reduce the course of symptomatic infection leading to lower incidences of severe disease (23). This is particularly interesting in context of the high frequency Nucleoprotein-specific cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells. Nucleoprotein is the first and most abundantly produced multifunctional protein in the virus infected cells (24). The pre-existing cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells may limit the virus spread by cytolysis of the infected cells that are displaying the processed Nucleoprotein on their surface early in the infection. By controlling the virus spread and reducing the virus burden, pre-existing cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells might be implicated in providing a competitive window to the host to initiate an optimal protective immune response against the SARS-CoV-2.

Interestingly, high magnitude of Spike- and remainder of the genome CD4^{+} T cell responses are present in the patients long after recovery from mild COVID-19. However, unlike Spike-specific CD4^{+} T cells that show a substantially higher magnitude over the cross-reactive T cells in unexposed donors, the non-spike specific CD4^{+} T cells are associated with a lesser increase after COVID-19. There may be multiple mechanisms implicated in this observed outcome; (i) the epitopes in the non-spike group are differentially targeted in the unexposed donors and the COVID-19 patients, limiting expansion in the total pool of cross-reactive CD4^{+} T cells, (ii) T-cells specific for cross-reactive epitopes are maintained in the memory pool and the T cells targeting non-cross-reactive epitopes are either not expanded de novo or selectively lost over the period of time after COVID-19 infection (25, 26), or (iii) there is an immunodominance of CD4^{+} T cells targeting the highly immunodominant Spike glycoprotein leading to de novo expansion of Spike-specific CD4^{+} T cells and outcompeting the expansion of CD4^{+} T cells targeting low frequency T-cell epitopes present in Nucleoprotein and the remainder of the genome (4, 27, 28). Despite of the apparent differences in the threshold of

response as compared to the unexposed donors, the spike and non-spike specific CD4$^+$ T cells are enriched in both the central and effector memory compartments in the recovered patients. Further investigations in COVID-19 patients and unexposed donors will reveal the underlying mechanism for this diverse response of Spike- and remainder of the genome-specific CD4$^+$ T cells. In the similar lines, higher magnitude of Spike-specific IgG and IgA secreting B cells are present almost 5 months after recovery from COVID-19. The substantially higher magnitude of Spike-specific B cells over Nucleoprotein-specific B cells further supports our hypothesis of targeted and stable immune response to highly immunodominant Spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 in mild disease.

In summary, we show that the individuals recovered from mild disease display a response detectable months after recovery in two crucial arms of protective immunity - CD4$^+$ T cells and B cells. Our study supports the utilization of spike-specific CD4$^+$ T cells and B cells as an important parameter for vaccine evaluation. We also confirm the existence of pre-existing immunity in the unexposed donors, which is predominantly associated with the non-spike part of the genome of SARS-CoV-2. Although the cross-reactive T cells are present against both the spike and non-spike epitopes, the magnitude of cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells targeting the non-spike epitopes is extremely high in our cohort. Indian continent has seen high burden of the COVID-19 incidences; however, the case fatality rates are extremely low. Whether high magnitude of cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells are contributing to this less severe outcome needs to be addressed. This will be possible by studying the prospective cohorts with varying levels of cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells before exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 and with a diverse clinical outcome of COVID-19. The knowledge from such prospective studies addressing the implication of pre-existing CD4$^+$ T cells in the outcome of disease will be critical for the development, evaluation and implementation of COVID-19 vaccines.

Material and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional review boards of the National Institute of Immunology and All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India. Informed consent

was obtained from all subjects during the enrolment. For analyses in healthy individuals, buffy coat and plasma samples isolated from blood of healthy donors were collected from the blood bank in All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.

PBMC isolation

For all samples blood was collected in K3 EDTA tubes (COVID-19 donors) or EDTA coated blood bag (unexposed donors). Plasma was frozen at -80ºC in multiple aliquots. PBMCs were isolated using Ficoll Paque Plus (GE Life Sciences) density gradient medium and cryopreserved in multiple aliquots in Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco) containing 10% Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO; Thermo-Fisher) and stored in liquid nitrogen until used in the assays. After revival, PBMCs were obtained with >80% viability, as accessed by acridine orange and propidium iodide double staining using the LUNA-FL (Logos Biosystems Inc., USA) automated cell counter. Details of the study population are provided in **Table 1**.

ELISA to detect SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG

ELISA plates (Nunc, Maxisorp) were coated with 100µl/well of SARS-CoV-2 full length Spike protein (Native Antigen, UK) and Nucleoprotein (Sino Biologicals) in PBS (pH 7.4) at the final concentration of 1µg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C. After wash, the plates were blocked with blocking buffer (PBS containing 3% Skim milk and 0.05% Tween-20) and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 2 hours. Plasma samples were heat inactivated at 56^{0}C for 1 hour. Plates were washed and 3-fold serially diluted heat inactivated plasma samples in dilution buffer (PBS containing 1% Skim milk and 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) were added into the respective wells followed by incubation at RT for 1.5 hours. After incubation and wash, Goat anti-human IgG conjugated with Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) (Southern Biotech) was added and plates were incubation at RT for 1 hour. The reaction was developed by adding o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) peroxidase substrate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes in dark at RT. The reaction was stopped by adding 50µl/well of 2N HCl, followed by optical density (OD) measurement at 492 nm using MultiskanGO ELISA reader (Thermo-Fisher). The antigen coated wells that were added with sample diluent alone were used as the blank. The OD values from sample wells after subtracting the mean of OD values from blank wells were used for calculating the Area Under Curve (AUC) using PRISM software.

ELISA to detect HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 specific IgG

The IgG reactivity to the Nucleoprotein of HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 was detected using in-house ELISA. The ELISA plates were coated with 100µl/well of HCoVs Nucleoprotein (Native Antigen, UK) in PBS at the final concentration of 1µg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C. The plates were blocked and the reactivity was accessed in 1:100 diluted samples after incubation at RT for 1.5 hours. The Nucleoprotein-specific IgG were measured at 492 nm using the HRP-conjugated Goat anti-human IgG and OPD. The OD values from sample wells were plotted after subtracting the mean of OD values from blank wells.

Activation induced cell marker (AIM) assay for quantification of CD4$^+$ T cells

Antigen-specific CD4 T-cell analysis was performed using the sensitive AIM assay established by the Crotty's lab. The PBMCs were stimulated for 24 hours in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4 peptide megapools (MPs) (Spike protein: Spike; and remainder of the polyprotein: Non-spike) at 1 µg/mL in 96-well U bottom plate in a total of 1x10^6 PBMCs per well. For negative control, an equimolar amount of DMSO (vehicle) was added to unstimulated well. Stimulation with cytomegalovirus CD4 MP (CMV, 1 µg/mL), or Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) were included as positive controls. After 24 hours, cells were washed with 1 mL of PBS with 2% FBS (FACS buffer) and surface stained with antibody cocktail for 1 hour at 4° C in the dark; CD20, CD14, CD16, CD8a and fixable-viability dye coupled with APC eflour 780 in the dump channel, CD4-AlexaFluor 700 (RPA-T4), OX40-FITC (Ber-ACT35), CD137 PE Dazzle (4B4-1), CD45RA Brilliant Violet 785 (HI100) and CCR7 PE-Cy7 (3D12). Following the surface staining, cells were washed with FACS buffer and then fixed with freshly prepared 1% paraformaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich) for 30 minutes at 4°C in the dark. Cells were washed twice with FACS buffer and resuspended in FACS buffer before acquiring on a BD LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data were analysed using FlowJo 10.5.3.

SARS-CoV-2 specific B cell ELISPOT

The antigen-specific memory B cells were measured in the cryopreserved PBMCs using polyclonal stimulation in RPMI 1640 in the presence of R848 (1 µg/mL) and IL-2 (10 U/mL) at cell density of 10^6 PBMCs per well for 5 days. The Fluorospot plate (Mabtech) was charged with ethanol prior to the antigen coating. For antigen specific memory B cell analysis, plate was coated with SARS-CoV-2 full length Spike protein (Native Antigen, UK) and Nucleoprotein (Sino Biologicals) at concentration of 5µg/mL and incubated overnight at

4°C. As a control, for total memory B cell analysis, plates were coated with anti-human IgG, IgM, IgA coating antibodies (Mabtech) at concentration of 15µg/mL. Plates were washed and blocked with complete RPMI medium for at least 30 minutes at room temperature. Stimulated PBMCs were washed and seeded in complete RPMI at 0.5×10^6 PBMCs per well for antigen-specific analysis and 20,000-50,000 cells for total B cell analysis. PBMCs were incubated at 37°C for 8 hours. Cells were discarded and plate was washed PBS. For detection of antibody secreting cell (ASC) spots, anti-human IgG-550, IgM-640 and IgA-490 detection antibodies (Mabtech) were added and plate was incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in dark. Plate was washed and fluorescence enhancer (Mabtech) was added to each well. ASC spots were detected on AID *vSpot* Spectrum Elispot/Fluorospot reader system using AID Elispot software version 7.x. ASC counts were normalized to ASCs per million of PBMCs for all analyses.

Statistical analysis

In all experiments, data are expressed as the mean±s.e.m. The significance of the differences between the groups was analysed with the two-sided Mann-Whitney test or Wilcoxon paired t-test as specified in the figure legends. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with the GraphPad Prism software version v8.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to all the patients for generous support in this study, and Mr Neeraj Gurung, Jagat Sharma (AIIMS), Sudipta Das (NII) for technical support. This work was supported by Science and Engineering Research Board, DST grant IPA/2020/000077 (to NG, AS, PC), Biotechnology Industry Research Assistance Council, DBT grant BT/COVID0010/01/20 (to NG). Further support provided from NIH contract 75N9301900065 (to A.S, D.W) and NIH grant U01 (U01AI141995-03) to A.S.

Author contributions

R.A, J.L, A.S, and P.C: Enrolled and categorized subjects, collected samples and provided clinical information. A.A., S.S., S.N.J, A.K: performed experiments. A.S, A.G, and D.W:

Contributed essential material. N.G.: Conceived and supervised the study, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. A.S and D.W: critically reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no commercial or financial conflicts of interest. A.S is listed as inventor on patent application no. 63/012,902, submitted by La Jolla Institute for Immunology, that covers the use of the megapools and peptides thereof for therapeutic and diagnostic purposes. A.S. is a consultant for Gritstone, Flow Pharma, Merck, Epitogenesis, Gilead and Avalia.

References

1.   Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, Mateus J, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, et al. Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. Cell. 2020;181(7):1489-501 e15.

2.   Le Bert N, Tan AT, Kunasegaran K, Tham CYL, Hafezi M, Chia A, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. Nature. 2020;584(7821):457-62.

3.   Braun J, Loyal L, Frentsch M, Wendisch D, Georg P, Kurth F, et al. SARS-CoV-2-reactive T cells in healthy donors and patients with COVID-19. Nature. 2020.

4.   Mateus J, Grifoni A, Tarke A, Sidney J, Ramirez SI, Dan JM, et al. Selective and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. Science. 2020;370(6512):89-94.

5.   Weiskopf D, Schmitz KS, Raadsen MP, Grifoni A, Okba NMA, Endeman H, et al. Phenotype and kinetics of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Sci Immunol. 2020;5(48).

6.   Yuan M, Wu NC, Zhu X, Lee CD, So RTY, Lv H, et al. A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science. 2020;368(6491):630-3.

7.   Wec AZ, Wrapp D, Herbert AS, Maurer DP, Haslwanter D, Sakharkar M, et al. Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal antibodies. Science. 2020;369(6504):731-6.

8.   Premkumar L, Segovia-Chumbez B, Jadi R, Martinez DR, Raut R, Markmann A, et al. The receptor binding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. Sci Immunol. 2020;5(48).

9.   Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell. 2020;181(2):281-92 e6.

10.   Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, Altman DR, Bailey MJ, Mansour M, et al. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. Science. 2020.

11.   Secchi M, Bazzigaluppi E, Brigatti C, Marzinotto I, Tresoldi C, Rovere-Querini P, et al. COVID-19 survival associates with the immunoglobulin response to the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain. J Clin Invest. 2020.

12.   Seow J, Graham C, Merrick B, Acors S, Pickering S, Steel KJA, et al. Longitudinal observation and decline of neutralizing antibody responses in the three months following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. Nat Microbiol. 2020.

13.   Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, Li Q, Deng HJ, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat Med. 2020;26(8):1200-4.

14.   Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, Yang B, Katzelnick LC, Rattigan SM, et al. A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses: kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with severity. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):4704.

15.   Havenar-Daughton C, Reiss SM, Carnathan DG, Wu JE, Kendric K, Torrents de la Pena A, et al. Cytokine-Independent Detection of Antigen-Specific Germinal Center T Follicular Helper Cells in Immunized Nonhuman Primates Using a Live Cell Activation-Induced Marker Technique. J Immunol. 2016;197(3):994-1002.

16.   Reiss S, Baxter AE, Cirelli KM, Dan JM, Morou A, Daigneault A, et al. Comparative analysis of activation induced marker (AIM) assays for sensitive identification of antigen-specific CD4 T cells. PLoS One. 2017;12(10):e0186998.

17.   Gudbjartsson DF, Norddahl GL, Melsted P, Gunnarsdottir K, Holm H, Eythorsson E, et al. Humoral Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Iceland. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(18):1724-34.

18.   Forni D, Cagliani R, Clerici M, Sironi M. Molecular Evolution of Human Coronavirus Genomes. Trends Microbiol. 2017;25(1):35-48.

19.   Agnihothram S, Gopal R, Yount BL, Jr., Donaldson EF, Menachery VD, Graham RL, et al. Evaluation of serologic and antigenic relationships between middle eastern respiratory syndrome coronavirus and other coronaviruses to develop vaccine platforms for the rapid response to emerging coronaviruses. J Infect Dis. 2014;209(7):995-1006.

20.   Ng KW, Faulkner N, Cornish GH, Rosa A, Harvey R, Hussain S, et al. Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in humans. Science. 2020.

21.   Meyer B, Drosten C, Muller MA. Serological assays for emerging coronaviruses: challenges and pitfalls. Virus Res. 2014;194:175-83.

22.   Virelizier JL, Allison AC, Oxford JS, Schild GC. Early presence of ribonucleoprotein antigen on surface of influenza virus-infected cells. Nature. 1977;266(5597):52-4.

23.   Lipsitch M, Grad YH, Sette A, Crotty S. Cross-reactive memory T cells and herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20(11):709-13.

24.   de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(8):523-34.

25.   Brehm MA, Pinto AK, Daniels KA, Schneck JP, Welsh RM, Selin LK. T cell immunodominance and maintenance of memory regulated by unexpectedly cross-reactive pathogens. Nat Immunol. 2002;3(7):627-34.

26.   Johnson LR, Weizman OE, Rapp M, Way SS, Sun JC. Epitope-Specific Vaccination Limits Clonal Expansion of Heterologous Naive T Cells during Viral Challenge. Cell Rep. 2016;17(3):636-44.

27.   Olson MR, Chua BY, Good-Jacobson KL, Doherty PC, Jackson DC, Turner SJ. Competition within the virus-specific CD4 T-cell pool limits the T follicular helper response after influenza infection. Immunol Cell Biol. 2016;94(8):729-40.

28.   Grifoni A, Sidney J, Zhang Y, Scheuermann RH, Peters B, Sette A. A Sequence Homology and Bioinformatic Approach Can Predict Candidate Targets for Immune Responses to SARS-CoV-2. Cell Host Microbe. 2020;27(4):671-80 e2.

Legend to Figures

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 IgG response in pre-pandemic unexposed donors and individuals recovered from mild COVID-19. The IgG titre was measured in plasma sample from unexposed donors collected prior to pandemic and the COVID-19 patients up to 5 months of recovery by ELISA using the full length Spike protein and Nucleoprotein. The ELISA curves in serially diluted samples are shown from 6 representative unexposed donors (grey line) and COVID-19 cases (red line) for (**A**) Spike protein, (**B**) Nucleoprotein. Area under the curve (AUC) for ELISA quantitation of the IgG binding to (**C**) Spike protein, (**D**) Nucleoprotein for 42 unexposed donors and 28 COVID-19 cases inclusive of 6 representative donors of each group shown in panel A and B. (**E**) Neutralizing antibody quantitation in unexposed donors (n=8) and COVID-19 patients (n=12) after >4 months recovery measured using the SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test. Dotted line represents the cutoff of detection. (**F**) HCoVs Nucleoprotein antigen binding (expressed as OD) assessed by ELISA in unexposed donors and COVID-19 patients. Black bars indicate the geometric mean. Statistical comparisons were performed by two-tail Mann-Whitney test. ns: non-significant.

Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4$^+$ T cells response in unexposed donors and recovered COVID-19 patients. The magnitude of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4$^+$ T cells was determined in PBMCs collected from unexposed donors ("Unexposed", n=32) prior to pandemic and in COVID-19 patients ("COVID-19", n=18) after 2 to 5 months of recovery. The PBMCs were stimulated with the peptide megapool specific to Spike glycoprotein (Spike) or to the remainder of the SARS-CoV-2 polyprotein (Non-spike). DMSO was used as the negative control, and CMV peptide megapool and SEB were used for positive stimulation controls. (**A**) Representative FACS contour plots of unexposed and COVID-19 patient in

stimulation conditions of DMSO, Spike peptide megapool, Non-spike peptide megapool, CMV and SEB. Paired graphs depicting the reactivity of AIM^+ ($OX40^+CD137^+$) $CD4^+$ T cells between the negative control (DMSO) and antigen-specific stimulation in (**B**) Unexposed donors (**C**) COVID-19 patients. Dotted line represents the limit of detection. (**D**) Stimulation index quantitation of the AIM^+ ($OX40^+CD137^+$) $CD4^+$ T cells in Unexposed versus COVID-19 cases analysed in the same samples as in panel B and C. Black bars indicate the geometric mean. Statistical comparisons were performed by (B-C) Wilcoxon paired t-test and (D) two-tail Mann-Whitney test. ns: non-significant.

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2-specific memory B cells in recovered COVID-19 patients. The frequency and isotype distribution of antibody secreting B cells (ASC) was measured in the patients (n=8) after >4 months of recovery from mild COVID-19. The memory B cells in PBMCs were polyclonally stimulated before measuring the frequency of SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein- and Nucleoprotein-specific IgG, IgM and IgA antibody secreting cells in Fluorospot assay. (**A**) Representative images of IgG, IgM and IgA secreting B cells in two of the recovered COVID-19 patients. Graphs depicting the magnitude of antibody secreting B cells specific to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein and Nucleoprotein (expressed as spot forming cells (SFC) in 10^6 PBMCs) for (**B**) IgG-ASC (**C**) IgM-ASC and (**D**) IgA-ASC. Black bars indicate the geometric mean. Statistical comparisons were performed by two-tail Mann-Whitney test. ns: non-significant.

Table 1. Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients.

	COVID-19 patients	28
	Age (Years)	21-49 (median=27)
Gender		
	Male (%)	57.1% (16/28)
	Female (%)	42.8% (12/28)
Residency		
	New Delhi (India)	100% (28/28)
	SARS-CoV-2-PCR Positivity	100% (28/28)
Disease Severity*		
	Mild	78.6% (22/28)
	Moderate	21.4% (6/28)
Symptoms		
	Fever	67.8% (19/28)
	Cough	60.7% (17/28)
	Sore throat	75% (21/28)
	Body ache	67.8% (19/28)
	Loss of taste	75% (21/28)
	Loss of smell	57.1% (16/28)
	Shortness in breath	21.4% (6/28)
	Respiratory distress	21.4% (6/28)
	Chest pain	17.8% (5/28)
	Blood in cough	3.5% (1/28)
	Vomiting/Nausea	7.1% (2/28)
	Diarrhoea	10.7% (3/28)
Days post diagnosis at collection		60-144 (28/28) (median = 90)
Contact with known COVID-19 patient		82% (23/28)

*WHO Criteria