


Graphical Abstract

Urban Vulnerability Assessment for Pandemic surveillance

Jeisson Prieto, Rafael Malagón, Jonatan Gomez, Elizabeth León

Schematic diagram of the Urban Vulnerability Assessment in Pandemics



1

2

3

4

5 Highlights

6 **Urban Vulnerability Assessment for Pandemic surveillance**

7 Jeisson Prieto,Rafael Malagón,Jonatan Gomez,Elizabeth León

- 8 • Conceptual framework for Urban Vulnerability Assessment (UVA) in Pandemics is proposed.
- 9 • UVA helps decision-makers to review how the strengthening of surveillance and control measures would mitigate
10 Pandemics.
- 11 • UVA is tested in the current COVID-19 Pandemic in Bogotá, Colombia using publicly available data.
- 12 • UVA creates not only one, but a set of vulnerability indices (i.e., low-high, lowest-highest, and 1-10) to Pandemic
13 surveillance in Bogotá, Colombia.

Urban Vulnerability Assessment for Pandemic surveillance

Jeisson Prieto^{a,*}, Rafael Malagón^b, Jonatan Gomez^c and Elizabeth León^c

^aDepartamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

^bDepartamento de Salud Colectiva, Facultad de Odontología, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

^cDepartamento de Ingeniería de Sistemas e Industrial, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Urban vulnerability
Vulnerability assessment
Infectious diseases
Pandemic
COVID-19 vulnerability index
Spatial analysis

ABSTRACT

A Pandemic devastates the life of global citizens and causes significant economic, social, and political disruption. Evidence suggests that Pandemic's likelihood has increased over the past century because of increased global travel and integration, urbanization, and changes in land use. Further, evidence concerning the urban character of the Pandemic has underlined the role of cities in disease transmission. An early assessment of the severity of infection and transmissibility can help quantify the Pandemic potential and prioritize surveillance to control of urban areas in Pandemics. In this paper, an Urban Vulnerability Assessment (UVA) methodology is proposed. UVA investigates the possible vulnerable factors related to Pandemics to assess the vulnerability in urban areas. A vulnerability index is constructed by the aggregation of multiple vulnerability factors computed on each urban area (i.e., urban density, poverty index, informal labor, transmission routes). UVA provides insights into early vulnerability assessment using publicly available data. The applicability of UVA is shown by the identification of high-vulnerable areas where surveillance should be prioritized in the COVID-19 Pandemic in Bogotá, Colombia.

Declarations of interest: none

1. Introduction

Pandemics are large-scale outbreaks of infectious diseases that increase morbidity and mortality over a big geographic area and cause significant social, political, and economical disruption (Madhav et al., 2017; PAHO, 2009). Previous Pandemics (Madhav et al., 2017), have exposed gaps related to the timely detection of disease, tracing of contacts, availability of basic care, quarantine and isolation procedures, and health sector preparedness (i.e., global coordination and response mobilization) (Moon et al., 2015; Pathmanathan et al., 2014). Suddenly, significant policy attention has focused on the need to identify and limit emerging outbreaks that might lead to Pandemics and to expand and sustain investment to build preparedness and health capacity (Lederberg et al., 2003). Nonetheless, the timeliness of implementing these measures is paramount to control a highly contagious disease. Efficient prioritization of investigation of high-vulnerable areas would optimize the use of resources and potentially limit the size of the Pandemic (Ethelberg et al., 2009a,b; Whittaker et al., 2009).

Vulnerability assessment describes the degree to which socioeconomic systems and physical assets in geographic areas are either susceptible or resilient to the impact of disaster (i.e., Pandemic). Besides, it helps to determine what types of preparedness and response activities might help lower the vulnerability classification most quickly. Once priority vulnerable areas are identified, it will be possible to the understanding of why certain locations may need to be prioritized for preventative action and response efforts (de Mattos Almeida et al., 2007; Moore et al., 2017; PAHO, 2009;

Madhav et al., 2017). Several models have been proposed to quantify vulnerable geographic areas over the infectious disease domain, i.e., vector-borne diseases (Hagenlocher et al., 2014), Dengue (de Mattos Almeida et al., 2007), malaria (Kienberger and Hagenlocher, 2014; Hagenlocher and Castro, 2015), and Ebola (Moore et al., 2017). More recently, a COVID-19 vulnerability index for urban areas in India was proposed (Mishra et al., 2020). This study proposes a vulnerability Index by aggregating weighted scores of a set of variables related to COVID-19 precaution of social distance and lockdown in geographic areas of four metro cities in India.

On the other hand, the recently published response plan for the current COVID-19 Pandemic, UN-Habitat has underlined the urban-centric character of the infectious disease (UN Habitat, 2020). It says, more than 1430 cities are affected by the Pandemic in 210 countries and well above 95% of the total cases are located in urban areas. Further, the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasized that the first transmission in the COVID-19 Pandemic did happen in the internationally connected megacities (WHO, 2020). Even though there is urban universality of the disease, the cities of the global south are more susceptible given their population densities, low income, risky occupations, and lack of affordable health services (Mitlin, 2020).

In this paper, a conceptual framework for Urban Vulnerability Assessment (UVA) in Pandemics is proposed. UVA conducted a comprehensive review of relevant literature to identify vulnerable factors influencing Pandemics. These factors are used to generate an index that allows us to identify and rank potentially vulnerable urban areas. UVA helps decision-makers to review how the strengthening of surveillance and control measures would mitigate the vulnerability of Pandemic. UVA is tested in the current COVID-19 Pandemic in Bogotá, the crowdest city of Colombia. Us-

*Corresponding author

 japrietov@unal.edu.co (J. Prieto)

ORCID(s): 0000-0002-9259-4699 (J. Prieto)




Fig. 1: Schematic diagram of the urban vulnerability assessment in Pandemic disaster¹.

80 ing widely available data of Bogotá (i.e., from the National
81 Department of Statistics (DANE), the District Planning Sec-
82 retary (SDP), and the District Mobility Secretary (SDM)),
83 UVA creates a spatially explicit COVID-19 vulnerability in-
84 dex of Bogotá.

85 This paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 de-
86 velops the methodology for Urban Vulnerability Assessment
87 (UVA) to Pandemic surveillance. Section 3 describes the ap-
88 plicability of UVA for the current COVID-19 Pandemic in
89 Bogotá, Colombia. Finally, section 5 discusses some of the
90 conclusions and potential future developments.

91 2. Vulnerability factor analysis

92 The conceptual framework for Urban Vulnerability As-
93 sessment (UVA) in Pandemics is illustrated in Fig. 1. UVA
94 involves five main stages. The first stage is the identifica-
95 tion of vulnerable factors influencing Pandemics, see Fig.
96 1(a). The second stage is to transform the raw input data
97 from each vulnerable factor into a probability distribution,
98 see Fig. 1(b). The third state groups geographic areas with
99 similar characteristics into classes to assign a vulnerability
100 level, see Fig. 1(c). After that, an aggregation method is
101 applied to create a unique rank for each class, see Fig. 1(d),
102 where a higher rank is assigned to a higher vulnerability
103 level, see Fig. 1(e).

104 2.1. Literature review

105 We conducted a literature search to identify a set of peer-
106 reviewed studies that possibly examined types of vulnerabil-
107 ity factors related to Pandemics, see Fig. 1(a). The studies

108 consider both factors related to past Pandemics (i.e., 1881
109 Fifth cholera, 1918 Spanish flu influenza, 1957 Asian flu in-
110 fluenza, 2003 SARS, 2009 h1n1, 2013 West Africa Ebola)
111 and factors found in the current COVID-19 Pandemic. The
112 search retrieved studies for which the study's title, abstract,
113 or keywords indicated the study examined a type of vulnera-
114 bility in Pandemics. Then, a manual assessment is made for
115 every study against eligibility criteria:

- 116 • The study provided a quantitative or conceptual anal-
117 ysis of a type(s) of vulnerability factors related to in-
118 fectious diseases (or Pandemics).
- 119 • The actual analysis or argument of the study earnestly
120 included vulnerability.
- 121 • The study focuses on urban areas.
- 122 • To be eligible the study focuses more on the vulnera-
123 bility analysis at geographic area than on the individ-
124 ual vulnerability of infectious diseases.

125 Then, the vulnerability factor the study focused on, the
126 geographic focus of the study and the methods used to assess
127 the vulnerability is recorded. This involved examining the ti-
128 tle, abstract, or keywords, or full-text version if required. We
129 listed the country or region(s) where the study focused. For
130 theoretical studies, studies that presented examples without
131 a geographic focus, or studies with an unclear geographic
132 focus, the geographic location is listed as Not Applicable
133 (NA). Table 1 summarizes the 10 studies that considered for
134 the analysis of vulnerability factors related to Pandemics.

¹Unicode emojis (book) are generated with Latex.

Table 1

Summary of studies considered to vulnerability factors related with Pandemics.

Reference	Vulnerable factor(s)	Geographic focus	Methods	Main findings
(Chen et al., 2010)	Essential worker Household size Age Gender	Singapur	Demographic, clinical, treatment, and laboratory data	Describe incidence and vulnerable factors for Pandemic in healthcare personnel
(Madhav et al., 2017)	Geographic spark Geographic spread Burden quantification Disease importation Essential worker Healthcare access	NA	Epidemiology evidence of previous infectious diseases	Covers the concerning of vulnerability, impacts, mitigation and Pandemic knowledge gaps
(Jordan et al., 2020)	Medical preconditions Age Gender Essential worker	United Kingdom	Epidemiology evidence of COVID-19	How the vulnerability might vary in different population groups or settings
(Jung et al., 2020)	Time delay illness Insufficient follow-up Age Gender	China	Demographic, clinical, treatment, and laboratory data	Provides insights in early vulnerability assessment using publicly available data
(Oppenheim et al., 2019)	Medical workforce Hospital capacity Water and sanitation Logistics Per capita income Public education	NA	Conceptual framework for epidemic preparedness and response	Epidemic Preparedness Index (EPI) for assessing resilience to epidemic and Pandemic outbreaks
(Moore et al., 2017)	Health infrastructure Demographics Disease dynamics Governance International support Economic growth	NA	Literature review and expert elicitation	Identify the most vulnerable countries to infectious disease outbreaks
(Morse, 2001)	Population growth High-density facilities Worldwide movement Inadequate sanitation	NA	Epidemiology evidence of previous infectious diseases	Identification of specific factors responsible for disease emergence
(Summers et al., 2010)	Socioeconomic status Age First Aid knowledge Rural or urban living	New Zealand	Epidemiology evidence of previous infectious diseases	Description of vulnerable factors for death in an outbreak of Pandemic
(PAHO, 2009)	Public transportation Nearby food market Overall poverty rate Healthcare access Public services access	NA	Vulnerable indicators for area classification	Identify geographic areas to be prioritized for preventative action and response efforts
(Xu et al., 2020)	Geographic spread Transmission routes Infectious period	NA	Demographic, clinical, treatment, and laboratory data	Epidemiological modeling to reduce the disease burden

Note: Studies retrieved from the literature search. NA means not applicable.

2.2. Statistical data analysis

Let S be a geographical space under investigation (i.e. state, county, or city) defined in terms of a finite set of N smaller spatial units (i.e. counties, census tracts, or zip codes); that is $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Let \mathcal{V} be a set of M vulnerable factors, and V_k the values of the N spatial units in the k -th vulnerable factor $V_k = \{v_{k,1}, \dots, v_{k,N}\}$. The raw data for

each factor are normed across all countries over the range 0 (best) to 1 (worst), see Fig. 1(b), by estimating the probability density f_{V_k} at specific spatial unit x using the Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) method.

$$f_{V_k}(x) = \frac{1}{N\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{K}_\lambda(x, v_{k,i}) \quad (1)$$

146 where \mathcal{K} is the kernel (a non-negative function) and λ is the
147 smoothing parameter called the *bandwidth*.

148 As a proposal to identify spatial units with possible high
149 levels of vulnerability, a cluster analysis is made to group
150 spatial areas with similar characteristics. Then, using a cluster-
151 ing algorithm (i.e., K-means), the spatial areas are grouped
152 according to their probability distribution for the M vulner-
153 able factors, see Fig. 1(c).

154 2.3. Create vulnerability index

155 In order to assign a vulnerability level (rank) to each cluster,
156 a Borda's count aggregation method is proposed (Emerson,
157 2013). The Borda's method takes as input a set of ranks
158 $R = \{R_1, \dots, R_M\}$ (where R_k is an order of the Clusters
159 $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_L\}$ in the k -th vulnerability factor), and pro-
160 duce single rank by mixing the orders of all the input ranks.
161 For this, let $t_{R_k}^{C_i}$ the position of the Cluster C_i in the rank
162 R_k . A new aggregated value of ranking or the i -th Cluster is
163 defined as:

$$164 \mathcal{R}(C_i) = \sum_{k=1}^M |C| - t_{R_k}^{C_i} \quad (2)$$

165 For the vulnerability level assignation problem, a set of
166 ordered lists or ranks is calculated using the centroid of each
167 cluster. Therefore, Borda's count aggregation method is used,
168 that is, vulnerability factor ranks R_k were made sorted the
169 values of each centroid for the M vulnerability factors. Next,
170 these M ranks were combined using Borda's method to con-
171 struct the aggregated vulnerability rank, see Fig. 1(d). Fi-
172 nally, these vulnerability rank is associated with a vulnera-
173 bility index, i.e., higher rank indicates higher vulnerability,
see Fig. 1(e).

174 3. Vulnerability index for the COVID-19 in 175 Bogotá, Colombia


176 3.1. Study area and data sources

177 UVA is tested by the creation of a vulnerability index for
178 the current COVID-19 Pandemic in Bogotá city, the largest
179 and crowded city in Colombia. Bogotá is a metropolitan
180 city with 7.412.566 inhabitants living in an area of 1775km
181 (995km urban and 718km rural), at an altitude 2640m, with
182 an annual temperature ranging from 6 to 20°C, and annual
183 precipitation of over 840mm. Bogotá is composed of 621
184 Urban Sectors². Each Urban sector belongs to one of the
185 112 Zonal Planning Units (UPZ), see Fig. 2.

186 Information was obtained from the National Department
187 of Statistics (DANE), from District Planning Secretary of
188 Bogotá (SDP), and District Mobility Secretary of Bogotá
189 (SDM). Data comprised public information about demographic,

²Urban Sector is a cartographic division created by the National Administrative Department of Statistics (DANE for its acronym in Spanish).

³The Bogotá's maps were created using shapes provided by Secretaría Distrital de Planeación de Bogotá for UPZ and National Administrative Department of Statistics (DANE) for Urban sectors.



(a) Zonal Planing Units (UPZ) (b) Urban sectors

Fig. 2: Spatial distribution of Bogotá, Colombia using Zonal Planing Units (UPZ) (left) and Urban sectors (right)³.

transportation, socio-economic, and health conditions reported
from 2011 to 2020. A summary of the dataset is presented
as follows:

- **MON_2017 (SDP, 2011, 2017):** Dataset provided by SDP and it contains set monographs to provide a physical, demographic and socioeconomic vision of Bogotá and its districts.
- **SDM_2017 (SDM, 2018):** Dataset provided by SDM and it presents detailed official information of the characterization of mobility in Bogotá.
- **CNPV_2018 (DANE, 2018a):** Dataset provided by DANE and it is the national census made in 2018 and provides statistics about socio-demographic information Colombia.
- **DANE_2018 (DANE, 2018b):** Dataset provided by DANE and it contains the results of the Multidimensional Poverty Index that analyze educational conditions, health, work, access home public services, and housing conditions.
- **DANE_2020 (DANE, 2020):** Dataset provided by DANE and it presents a vulnerability index based on demographic and health conditions relevant for COVID-19 Pandemic.

Since the datasets' information are in different spatial units (i.e., Urban sectors, UPZ), the spatial unit using in this study is the Urban sector (more atomic), and the information at UPZ level is then transformed into Urban sectors by spatial transformation (i.e., an Urban sector belongs to one and only one UPZ, then the UPZ values are assigned to the Urban sector).

3.2. Vulnerability categories

Given the public data available for Bogotá, and the vulnerable factors find in the literature review (see Section 2.1)

Table 2
Vulnerability domains for the COVID-19 case in Bogotá, Colombia.

Vulnerability domains	Vulnerability factor(s)	Definition	Dataset
Where and how he/she lives	Urban density	Number of people inhabiting a given urbanized area	CNPV_2018
	Age	Number of people aged 15–34 years (SARS-CoV-2 incidence increased (Goldstein and Lipsitch, 2020))	CNPV_2018
	Comorbidities	Groups areas according to their demographics and comorbidities	DANE_2020
	Poverty index	Multiple deficiencies in health, education and standard of living	DANE_2018
	Socio-spatial segregation	Absence of interaction between individuals of different social groups	(Alfonso R, 2016) *
Where and how he/she works	Educational	Number of educational buildings (i.e., preschool, primary and high-school, research centers, technical training centers, Universities)	MON_2017
	Cultural	Number of cultural buildings (i.e., theaters, concert halls, libraries, museums, civic centers, community halls)	MON_2017
	Sports	Number of sports buildings (i.e., stadiums, coliseums, sports clubs, country, racetracks, swimming pools)	MON_2017
	Food markets	Number of food market buildings (i.e., Central market, market square)	MON_2017
	Formal Labor	Number of commercial buildings with license	MON_2017
	Informal Labor	Percentage structure of the informal employed according to the workplace	(SDP, 2018) *
Where and how he/she gets around	Public Transportation Dependency	Number of Trips generated throughout the day (trips longer than 15 min)	SDM_2017
	Transmission routes	Number of asymptomatic people at the peak of the Pandemic	(Gomez et al., 2020) *
	Geographic impact	Number of dead people after 100 simulation days	(Gomez et al., 2020) *

* Values calculated in the cited paper.

223 a set of domains is proposed to analyze the vulnerability in
224 Bogotá. Throughout the course of the study, we found that
225 the most-relevant concepts and associated measures fell into
226 three common domains: (i) *Where and how he/she lives*, (ii)
227 *Where and how he/she works*, and (iii) *Where and how he/she*
228 *gets around*. Factors and associated measures within these
229 three domains provide vulnerable factors for the quantitative
230 analysis. Table 2 shows the domains proposed and its corre-
231 sponding vulnerable factors associated with it.

3.2.1. *Where and how he/she lives*

232 Several demographic factors influence the degree of vul-
233 nerability of the Urban sector to Pandemics. The relevant lit-
234 erature emphasizes the role of such factors as *urban density*,
235 *age*, and the degree of urbanization (i.e., *socio-spatial seg-*
236 *regation*).

237 *regation*). The level of education or literacy and the quality
238 of the health care system (i.e., included in the *poverty in-*
239 *dex*) can also play a helpful role in mitigating the spread and
240 effects of infectious diseases (Moore et al., 2017). Further,
241 most data on COVID-19 Pandemic suggest that people with
242 underlying health conditions such as respiratory and cardio-
243 vascular disease, and cancer (i.e., *comorbidities*) are more
244 vulnerable than people without them.

3.2.2. *Where and how he/she works*

245 Urban sectors with high-density facilities (i.e., *educational*
246 *buildings*, *cultural buildings*, *sport buildings*, *food markets*,
247 *all formal labor*) are more vulnerable to the spread of conta-
248 gious diseases due to space limitations within and between
249 households, growth and mobility, and limited water, sanita-
250




Fig. 3: Probability density estimation for vulnerable factors using the KDE method.

251 tion, and hygiene (WASH) infrastructure. The overwhelming
 252 majority of workers in the informal economy (i.e., *in-*
 253 *formal labor*) have higher exposure to occupational health
 254 and safety vulnerability, no appropriate protection, forced
 255 to work for daily sustenance, and out-of-pocket costs (ILO,
 256 2020).

257 3.2.3. *Where and how he/she gets around*

258 Understand transmissibility, risk of geographic spread,
 259 *transmission routes*, and vulnerable factors for infection (i.e.,
 260 *geographic impact*) provides the baseline for epidemiologi-
 261 cal modeling that can inform the planning of response and
 262 containment efforts to reduce the burden of disease (Xu et al.,

2020). As well, there have been claims that the use of public
 263 transport (i.e., *public transportation dependency*) has also
 264 led to the spread of infectious diseases (PAHO, 2009)
 265

266 3.3. Vulnerability analysis

267 To understand the distribution of the vulnerability factors
 268 over the Urban sectors, the raw data for each factor are
 269 normed across all Urban sectors over the 0 (less vulner-
 270 able) to 1 (most vulnerable). The normalization was made
 271 estimating the probability density using the Kernel Density




Fig. 4: Three different cluster models to assess the urban Vulnerability. For each model: clusters generated using the k-means method (top), its corresponding centroid values for each vulnerable factor (bottom-left), and the unique rank generated using the Borda's count method (bottom-right).

272 Estimation (KDE) ⁴

273 Fig 3 shows the normalization for the three domains. For
 274 each vulnerable factor the KDE estimation is showed and the
 275 vulnerability value is then associated with the found distribu-
 276 tion. Further, the vulnerability value is plotted over all
 277 Urban sectors in Bogotá, where red color means higher vul-
 278 nerability (higher probability) and green color means lower
 279 vulnerability (lower probability). The results show the spa-
 280 tial correlation that exists for some vulnerable factors, espe-
 281 cially for the *Where and how she/he works* domain. In con-
 282 trast to the *Where and how she/he lives* domain, where the
 283 spatial correlation is not clear, the vulnerability is distributed
 284 across the geography area under study (Bogotá).

285 3.4. Vulnerability index

286 To provide better response for vulnerability assessment,
 287 UVA generates three different models to assess vulnerability
 288 in different ways. The first model has three different clus-
 289 ters to get a vulnerability index from low to high (i.e., low,
 290 medium, high). The second model has five different clus-
 291 ters to get a vulnerability index from lowest to highest (i.e.,
 292 lowest, low, medium, high, highest). The third model has
 293 then clusters to get a vulnerability index from 1 to 10. Fig 4
 294 shows the different models (i.e., 3 clusters, 5 clusters, and 10
 295 clusters). For each model, the clusters generated are shown
 296 over Bogotá's map and the centroids are presented for all
 297 generated clusters. The centroids are ranked (from higher

to lower values) getting 14 ranks (one for each vulnerable 298
 factor). Then, Borda's count method is used to get a unique 299
 ranking for each cluster. 300

301 Finally, a vulnerability label is assigned for each cluster
 302 based on the ranking (i.e., higher rank indicates higher vul-
 303 nerability). Fig 5 shows the final vulnerability index for the
 304 three different vulnerability index constructed with UVA.
 305 For Vulnerability index I, the results show high vulnerable
 306 urban sectors in the south and west part of the city. On the
 307 other hand, the Vulnerability index II shows how some Ur-
 308 ban sectors change from medium-vulnerability (in compari-
 309 son with the Vulnerability index I) to low or high-vulnerability.
 310 The Vulnerability index III presents an interesting scenario,
 311 where the spatial correlation between urban sectors (showed
 312 in Vulnerability index I and II) is not remarkable getting an
 313 unbiased vulnerability index for COVID-19 in Bogotá, Colom-
 314 bia.

315 4. Conclusions and Future Work

316 An Urban Vulnerability Assessment (UVA) for Pandemic
 317 surveillance is proposed. UVA highlights the vulnerability
 318 based on a set of 14 vulnerable factors found in the litera-
 319 ture. The vulnerable factors are ranked for each spatial unit
 320 under study using some statistical methods like Kernel Den-
 321 sity Estimation (KDE) and Clustering Analysis. To generate
 322 a unique vulnerability index, Borda's count method is used.

323 The proposed UVA is tested in the current COVID-19
 324 Pandemic in Bogotá city, the largest and crowded city in
 325 Colombia. UVA creates not only one, but a set of vulner-

⁴KDE uses the Gaussian kernel for its estimations and Scott's Rule for the bandwidth selection (Scott, 2015).




Fig. 5: Vulnerability indices generated using UVA for the current COVID-19 Pandemic in Bogotá, Colombia. Vulnerability index I has 3 levels from low to high (left); Vulnerability index II has 5 levels from lowest to highest (middle); and Vulnerability index III has 10 levels from 1 to 10 (right).

ability indices (i.e., low-high, lowest-highest, and 1-10) to Pandemic surveillance. Surveillance is of primary importance to monitor the burden of disease and will give both local authorities and the global community a chance for a quick response to public health threats.

UVA could be used to build evidence for planning, modeling, and epidemiological studies to better inform the public, policymakers, and international organizations and funders as to where and how to improve surveillance, response efforts, and delivery of resources, which are crucial factors in containing the COVID-19 Pandemic. It must concern the spatial inequality problems in multiple deprivations and other depreciating characteristics. Thus enabling equity-based urban planning that vows to restrict the transmission of COVID-19 now or any similar Pandemic in the future.

References

Alfonso R, Ó.A., 2016. Densidades divergentes y segregación socioespacial en la bogotá de hoy: un análisis a partir de un índice de calidad de la densidad, in: VIII Seminario Internacional de Investigación en Urbanismo, Barcelona-Balneário Camboriú, Junio 2016, Departament d'Urbanisme i Ordenació del Territori. Universitat Politècnica.

Chen, M.I., Lee, V.J., Barr, I., Lin, C., Goh, R., Lee, C., Singh, B., Tan, J., Lim, W.Y., Cook, A.R., et al., 2010. Risk factors for pandemic (h1n1) 2009 virus seroconversion among hospital staff, singapore. *Emerging infectious diseases* 16, 1554.

DANE, 2018a. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística: COLOMBIA - Censo Nacional de Población y Vivienda - CNPV - 2018. URL: <http://microdatos.dane.gov.co/index.php/catalog/643>.

DANE, 2018b. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística: Pobreza multidimensional en Colombia. URL: https://www.dane.gov.co/files/investigaciones/condiciones_vida/pobreza/2018/bt_pobreza_multidimensional_18.pdf.

DANE, 2020. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística: Índice de vulnerabilidad por manzana con el uso de variables demográficas y comorbilidades. URL: https://www.dane.gov.co/files/comunicados/Nota_metodologica_indice_de_vulnerabilidad.pdf.

Emerson, P., 2013. The original borda count and partial voting. *Social Choice and Welfare* 40, 353–358.

Ethelberg, S., Lisby, M., Vestergaard, L., Enemark, H.L., Olsen, K., Stensvold, C., Nielsen, H., Porsbo, L.J., Plesner, A.M., Mølbak, K., 2009a. A foodborne outbreak of cryptosporidium hominis infection. *Epidemiology & Infection* 137, 348–356.

Ethelberg, S., Smith, B., Torpdahl, M., Lisby, M., Boel, J., Jensen, T., Nielsen, E.M., Mølbak, K., 2009b. Outbreak of non-o157 shiga toxin-producing escherichia coli infection from consumption of beef sausage. *Clinical infectious diseases* 48, e78–e81.

Goldstein, E., Lipsitch, M., 2020. Temporal rise in the proportion of younger adults and older adolescents among coronavirus disease (covid-19) cases following the introduction of physical distancing measures, germany, march to april 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 25, 2000596.

Gomez, J., Prieto, J., Leon, E., Rodriguez, A., 2020. INFEKTA: A General Agent-based Model for Transmission of Infectious Diseases: Studying the COVID-19 Propagation in Bogotá-Colombia. *medRxiv* .

Hagenlocher, M., Castro, M.C., 2015. Mapping malaria risk and vulnerability in the united republic of tanzania: a spatial explicit model. *Population health metrics* 13, 1–14.

Hagenlocher, M., Kienberger, S., Lang, S., Blaschke, T., 2014. Implications of spatial scales and reporting units for the spatial modelling of vulnerability to vector-borne diseases. *GI_Forum* 2014, 197.

ILO, 2020. Covid-19 crisis and the informal economy: immediate responses and policy challenges .

Jordan, R.E., Adab, P., Cheng, K., 2020. Covid-19: risk factors for severe disease and death.

Jung, S.m., Akhmetzhanov, A.R., Hayashi, K., Linton, N.M., Yang, Y., Yuan, B., Kobayashi, T., Kinoshita, R., Nishiura, H., 2020. Real-time estimation of the risk of death from novel coronavirus (covid-19) infection: inference using exported cases. *Journal of clinical medicine* 9, 523.

Kienberger, S., Hagenlocher, M., 2014. Spatial-explicit modeling of social vulnerability to malaria in east africa. *International journal of health geographics* 13, 1–16.

Lederberg, J., Hamburg, M.A., Smolinski, M.S., et al., 2003. Microbial threats to health: emergence, detection, and response. National Academies Press.

Madhav, N., Oppenheim, B., Gallivan, M., Mulembakani, P., Rubin, E., Wolfe, N., 2017. Pandemics: risks, impacts, and mitigation, in: *Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty*. 3rd edition.

403 The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World
404 Bank.
405 de Mattos Almeida, M.C., Caiaffa, W.T., Assunção, R.M., Proietti, F.A.,
406 2007. Spatial vulnerability to dengue in a Brazilian urban area during a
407 7-year surveillance. *Journal of Urban Health* 84, 334–345.
408 Mishra, S.V., Gayen, A., Haque, S.M., 2020. Covid-19 and urban vulnera-
409 bility in India. *Habitat International* 103, 102230.
410 Mitlin, D., 2020. Dealing with covid-19 in the towns and cities of the global
411 south. *IIED Blogs* 27.
412 Moon, S., Sridhar, D., Pate, M.A., Jha, A.K., Clinton, C., Delaunay, S.,
413 Edwin, V., Fallah, M., Fidler, D.P., Garrett, L., et al., 2015. Will ebola
414 change the game? ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. the
415 report of the Harvard-Ishtm independent panel on the global response to
416 ebola. *The Lancet* 386, 2204–2221.
417 Moore, M., Gelfeld, B., Adeyemi Okunogbe, C.P., 2017. Identifying future
418 disease hot spots: infectious disease vulnerability index. *Rand Health*
419 *Quarterly* 6.
420 Morse, S.S., 2001. Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases, in:
421 *Plagues and Politics*. Springer, pp. 8–26.
422 Oppenheim, B., Gallivan, M., Madhav, N.K., Brown, N., Serhiyenko, V.,
423 Wolfe, N.D., Ayscue, P., 2019. Assessing global preparedness for the
424 next pandemic: development and application of an epidemic prepared-
425 ness index. *BMJ Global Health* 4, e001157.
426 PAHO, 2009. Leadership during a pandemic: What your municipality can
427 do.
428 Pathmanathan, I., O'Connor, K.A., Adams, M.L., Rao, C.Y., Kilmarx, P.H.,
429 Park, B.J., Mermin, J., Kargbo, B., Wurie, A.H., Clarke, K.R., 2014.
430 Rapid assessment of ebola infection prevention and control needs—six
431 districts, Sierra Leone, October 2014. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality*
432 *Weekly Report* 63, 1172.
433 Scott, D.W., 2015. *Multivariate density estimation: theory, practice, and*
434 *visualization*. John Wiley & Sons.
435 SDM, 2018. *Secretaría Distrital de Movilidad: Observatorio de Movilidad*
436 *Bogotá D.C. 2017*. URL: [http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/](http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf)
437 [determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_](http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf)
438 [en_bogota.pdf](http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf).
439 SDP, 2011. *Secretaría Distrital de Planeación: Monografía de las localidades Bogotá D.C. 2011*. URL:
440 [http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2011%5D)
441 [informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2011%5D)
442 [monografia-de-bogota-2011%5D](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2011%5D).
443 SDP, 2017. *Secretaría Distrital de Planeación: Monografía de las localidades Bogotá D.C. 2017*. URL:
444 [http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2017%5D)
445 [informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2017%5D)
446 [monografia-de-bogota-2017%5D](http://www.sdp.gov.co/gestion-estudios-estrategicos/informacion-cartografia-y-estadistica/repositorio-estadistico/monografia-de-bogota-2017%5D).
447 SDP, 2018. *Secretaría Distrital de Planeación: Determinantes de la distribución espacial de la informalidad laboral en Bogotá*. URL: [http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_](http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf)
448 [de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf](http://www.sdp.gov.co/sites/default/files/determinantes_de_la_distribucion_espacial_de_informalidad_laboral_en_bogota.pdf).
449 Summers, J.A., Wilson, N., Baker, M.G., Shanks, G.D., 2010. Mortality
450 risk factors for pandemic influenza on New Zealand troop ship, 1918.
451 *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 16, 1931.
452 UN Habitat, 2020. *UN-Habitat COVID-19 response plan*. URL:
453 [https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2020/04/final_](https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2020/04/final_un-habitat_covid-19_response_plan.pdf)
454 [un-habitat_covid-19_response_plan.pdf](https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/2020/04/final_un-habitat_covid-19_response_plan.pdf).
455 Whittaker, P., Sopwith, W., Quigley, C., Gillespie, I., Willshaw, G., Lycett,
456 C., Surman-Lee, S., Baxter, D., Adak, G., Syed, Q., 2009. A national
457 outbreak of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 associated with
458 consumption of lemon-and-coriander chicken wraps from a supermarket
459 chain. *Epidemiology & Infection* 137, 375–382.
460 WHO, 2020. *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report*
461 *- 40*. URL: [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200229-sitrep-40-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=849d0665_2)
462 [situation-reports/20200229-sitrep-40-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=849d0665_](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200229-sitrep-40-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=849d0665_2)
463 [2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200229-sitrep-40-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=849d0665_2).
464 Xu, B., Kraemer, M.U., Group, D.C., 2020. Open access epidemiological
465 data from the covid-19 outbreak. *The Lancet. Infectious Diseases* .
466
467
468
469