1   Potential of school-based preventive treatment to reduce *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission

3   Lauren M. Cohee*[†], Clarissa Valim[†], Jenna E. Coalson[#], Andrew Nyambalo, Moses Chilombe,
4   Andrew Ngwira, Andy Bauleni, Karl B. Seydel, Mark L. Wilson, Terrie E. Taylor, Don P.
5   Mathanga, Miriam K. Laufer
6
Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine,
Baltimore, MD, USA (LM Cohee MD, Prof MK Laufer MD); Department of Global Health,
Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA (C Valim DSc); Department of
Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA (JE
Coalson PhD, ML Wilson ScD); Blantyre Malaria Project, University of Malawi College of
Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi (A Nyambalo, KB Seydel MD, Prof TE Taylor DO), Malaria Alert
Center, University of Malawi College of Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi (M Chilombe, A Ngwira, A
Bauleni, Prof DP Mathanga MBBS), College of Osteopathic Medicine, Michigan State University,
East Lansing, MI, USA (KB Seydel MD, Prof TE Taylor DO)
[#]Current address: Eck Institute for Global Health, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN,
USA
† These authors contributed equally to this work
19
*Correspondence to:
Dr. Lauren M Cohee
Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health
University of Maryland School of Medicine
Baltimore, MD 21201 USA
lcohee@som.umaryland.edu
919-699-1592

Abstract

In areas where malaria remains entrenched, novel transmission-reducing interventions are essential

for malaria elimination. Here we report the impact of screening-and-treatment of asymptomatic

schoolchildren (N=705) on gametocyte - the parasite stage responsible for human-to-mosquito

transmission - carriage and use concomitant household-based surveys to predict the potential

reduction in transmission in the surrounding community. Among 179 students with gametocyte-

containing infections, 84% had positive malaria raid diagnostic tests. While gametocyte burden

remained constant in untreated children, treatment with artemether-lumefantrine reduced the

gametocyte prevalence (p<0.0001) from 51.8% to 9.7% and geometric mean gametocyte density

(p=0.008) from 0.52 to 0.05 gametocytes/microliter. Based on these estimates, the gametocyte

burden in the community could be reduced by 25-55% depending on the season and the measure

used to characterize gametocyte carriage. Thus, school-based interventions to treat asymptomatic

infections may be a high-yield approach to not only improve the health and education of

schoolchildren, but also decrease malaria transmission.

Introduction

In the last two decades, many regions have made substantial progress toward elimination of malaria. Recently, however, progress has stalled and malaria remains entrenched in some highly endemic areas like Malawi.[1] In these areas, current interventions (e.g., vector control, universal access to effective testing and treatment, and preventive treatment of high risk populations[2]) may not adequately interrupt human-to-mosquito *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission. Sub-clinical infections in individuals at lower risk of disease often remain untreated, creating a silent reservoir that may perpetuate transmission.[3] To achieve malaria elimination, interventions must not only prevent and treat disease but also decrease parasite transmission.[4]

School-age children bear an under-appreciated burden of *P. falciparum* infection and may be a key transmission reservoir.[5] School-age children frequently have higher prevalence of infection than younger children and adults[6–10] and their infections more often contain gametocytes, the parasite stage required for human-to-mosquito transmission.[8,11] When bitten, infected school-age children and young children are similarly infectious to mosquitoes.[12] However, school-age children have larger body surface area and less bed net use, increasing availability for bites.[13–16] These factors together suggest school-age children are dominant sources of human-to-mosquito *P. falciparum* transmission.[16–18] Indeed, expanding community-based seasonal malaria chemoprevention from only young children to include school-age children was associated with a 20% decrease in clinical malaria in older community members who did not receive the intervention.[19]

With rising school enrollments across the malaria-endemic world, malaria interventions could leverage existing school infrastructure. Treatment options include "intermittent preventive

treatment" where all students regularly receive antimalarial drugs for parasite clearance and

transient prophylaxis, and "screening-and-treatment" where asymptomatic students are screened

and only those testing positive receive treatment. Preventive treatment directly decreases infection

and gametocyte prevalence, clinical disease, and anemia among students[20,21], but potential indirect

effects on the surrounding community have not been established. While dynamic modeling data

suggests the utility of this intervention, only one study has reported the impact of school-based

preventive treatment on community-level infection, finding a small but significant decrease in

community infection prevalence surrounding intervention schools despite low intervention

coverage.[22,23]

We conducted a school-based screen-and-treat cohort study in Malawi concurrent with household-

based cross-sectional surveys. Specifically, we aimed to estimate potential reduction in prevalence

of gametocyte-containing infections and gametocyte density in the community following

screening-and-treatment of schoolchildren. If school-based preventive treatment reduces

gametocyte burden, this intervention could both improve the health of schoolchildren and

contribute more broadly to malaria elimination.

Results

We enrolled 786 students in school-based cohorts: 405 in the rainy season and 381 in the dry

season. Complete follow-up was obtained for 616 students (78% of enrolled students)

(Supplemental Figure S1). Among the 705 students with baseline data, the mean age was 10.4

years, 51% were female, and 47% reported sleeping under a net the previous night. The weighted

prevalence of RDT-positivity among students at baseline was 42%. An additional 8% of students

had infection detected by only PCR. The distribution of infection prevalence varied by school and

season (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Baseline *P. falciparum* infection prevalence (×) and proportion of students with
gametocyte-containing infections detected (black bar) and not detected (grey bar) by rapid
diagnostic test in school-based cohorts.

Determinants of gametocyte burden in school-based cohorts at baseline

Overall, at baseline, 28% of students had gametocyte-containing infections (8-50% across schools and seasons; Figure 1). To disentangle predictors of having an infection containing gametocytes from those of simply having any infection, we evaluated predictors among only students with *P. falciparum* infection. Among the 253 students with *P. falciparum* infection by PCR at baseline, 70% contained gametocytes. Infections were more likely to contain gametocytes in the rainy season and in younger students, although the age association was not statistically significant (Table 1). Associations were comparable in multivariable models with or without non-significant variables.

Table 1: Baseline prevalence and predictors of infections containing gametocytes among students with *P. falciparum* infections in the school-based cohort

Predictor	N PCR positive	Weighted proportion containing gametocytes	Bivariate association*		Multivariable association*	
			OR [95% CI]	p-value	OR [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]						
Bvumbwe	21	0·89	Ref.	0·19	Ref.	0·40
Ngowe	35	0·72	0·30 [0·05-1·8]		0·35 [0·05-2·4]	
Maseya	93	0·76	0·37 [0·07-2·0]		0·44 [0·07-2·7]	
Makhuwira	104	0·64	0·21 [0·04-1·1]		0·28 [0·05-1·6]	
Season						
Dry	123	0·63	Ref.	0·07	**Ref**	**0·040**
Rainy	130	0·77	1·9 [0·95-3·6]		**2·0 [1·0-4·0]**	
Age	253	---[b]	0·90 [0·79-1·0]	0·10	0·89 [0·78-1·0]	0·08
Sex						
Male	129	0·71	Ref.	0·70		
Female	124	0·69	0·88 [0·46-1·7]			
Hemoglobin						
Not anemic	197	0·67	Ref.	0·16		
Anemic[c]	55	0·77	1·8 [0·79-4·1]			
Fever status[d]						
Afebrile	109	0·72	Ref.	0·88		
Febrile	144	0·68	0·95 [0·48-1·9]			
Recent treatment[e]						
Not treated	238	0·70	Ref.	0·99		
Treated	13	0·70	0·99 [0·20-4·9]			
Slept under a ITN[f]						
No	151	0·68	Ref.	0·58		
Yes	97	0·72	1·2 [0·61-2·4]			

The crude weighted geometric mean gametocyte density among those with gametocytes was 0.34

gametocytes/µl (95% CI: 0.19-0.60 gametocytes/µl; range 0.002-661 gametocytes/µl).

Gametocyte density was higher in the rainy season and decreased with age (Table 2). Overall,

5.3% of students had high- density infections (≥10 gametocytes/µl) at baseline. Among students

with gametocyte-containing infections, 19% had high density infections. In addition to season and

age, odds of high density gametocyte infection were significantly higher in children with fever and

in the lowest prevalence school (Bvumbwe; Supplemental Table S3).

Table 2: Predictors of baseline gametocyte density among infections containing gametocytes in the school cohort

Predictor	N gametocyte positive	Bivariate associations*		Multivariable associations*	
		% Difference** [95% CI]	p-value	% Difference [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]					
Bvumbwe	19	Ref.	0·36	Ref.	0·20
Ngowe	24	-39 [-93 to 470]		-50 [-89 to 123]	
Maseya	71	-63 [-95 to 170]		-37 [-81 to 108]	
Makhuwira	65	-79 [-97 to 70]		-70 [-91 to 1]	
Season					
Dry	77	**Ref.**	**<0·001**	**Ref.**	**<0·001**
Rainy	102	**4740 [2210 to 10040]**		**5186 [2447 to 10870]**	
Age (per year increase)	179	-12 [-29 to 10]	0·25	**-18 [-30 to –3]**	**0·02**
Sex					
Male	92	Ref.	0·12		
Female	87	150 [-20 to 710]			
Hemoglobin					
Not anemic	137	Ref.	0·42		
Anemic [b]	41	80 [-58 to 670]			
Fever status [c]					
Afebrile	78	Ref.	0·13		
Febrile	101	120 [-20 to 510]			
Recent treatment [d]					
Not treated	168	Ref.	0·21		
Treated	10	340 [-56 to 4240]			

Slept under ITN[e]			
No	102	Ref.	0·30
Yes	73	90 [-43 to 510]	

* Obtained in a survey weighted (weights calculated by school*standard) linear regression with logarithm transformed gametocyte density as an outcome, and analyzing the variables shown in the table

**Percent difference in gametocyte density from reference category is calculated as: [exp(coeff)-1] x 100.

ITN = insecticide treated net; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval

[a] Univariate association for school, all bivariate include adjustment for school.

[b] Hemoglobin <11·0g/dL; 1 missing observation

[c] Measured temperature ≥37·5ºC at baseline visit or reported in the last two weeks

[d] Reported in the last two weeks; 1 missing observations

[e] 4 missing observations

Gametocyte-containing infections missed by RDT screening

A key factor in the success of screen-and-treat interventions is the test sensitivity for detecting

infections of interest, i.e., gametocyte-containing infections for transmission reduction. Sixteen

percent of all students gametocyte-containing infections and 9% with high-density gametocyte-

containing infections were RDT-negative and thus not treated. RDTs were less likely to detect

gametocyte-containing infections at lower densities (Table 3). After adjusting for density, RDTs

also missed gametocyte-containing infections more often in the lowest prevalence school

(Bvumbwe) than other schools (OR for failure 6.6, 95% CI:1.9-24, p=0.004).

Table 3: Characteristics of gametocyte containing infections missed (not detected) by RDT screening at baseline

Predictor	N gametocyte positive	Weighted proportion not detected by RDT	Bivariate association* OR [95% CI]	p-value	Multivariable association* OR [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]						
Bvumbwe	19	0·40	Ref.	0·061	**Ref.[g]**	**0·01**
Ngowe	25	0·17	0·31 [0·07-1·4]		**0·21 [0·04-1·2]**	
Maseya	71	0·19	0·34 [0·10-1·2]		**0·25 [0·06-1·0]**	
Makhuwira	65	0·10	0·16 [0·04-0·60]		**0·09 [0·02-0·38]**	
Season						
Rainy	103	0·11	**Ref.**			
Dry	77	0·23	**2·8 [1·2-6·8]**	**0·02**		
Age (per year increase)	180	---[b]	1·1 [0·96-1·3]	0·13		
Gametocyte density (log10)	180	---[c]	**0·76 [0·64-0·90]**	**0·002**	**0·76 [0·64-0·90]**	**0·002**
Sex						
Male	92	0·13	Ref.	0·24		
Female	88	0·20	1·6 [0·72-3·7]			
Hemoglobin						
Not anemic	138	0·17	Ref.	0·83		
Anemic[d]	41	0·13	0·89 [0·30-2·6]			
Fever status[e]						
Afebrile	78	0·21	Ref.	0·39		

Febrile	102	0·13	0·70 [0·31-1·6]	
Recent treatment[f]				
Not treated	169	0·17	Ref.	0·39
Treated	10	0·07	0·40 [0·05-3·4]	
Slept under ITN[h]				
No	102	0·13	Ref.	0·41
Yes	74	0·18	1·4 [0·60-3·5]	

* Obtained in a survey weighted logistic regression of gametocyte-containing infections with the outcome of RDT negativity. All analyzed variables are shown in the table.

ITN = insecticide treated net; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval

[a] Univariate association for school, all other associations include school. Prevalence setting: Bvumbwe-low, seasonal; Ngowe-moderate, seasonal; Maseya-high; Makhuwira-high.

[b] Mean age of students with gametocyte containing infections not detected by RDT was 11·5 compared to 10·8 years for students with gametocyte containing infections detected by RDT.

[c] Among students with gametocyte-containing infections not detected by RDT, the mean log gametocyte density was -2·417 compared to a mean log gametocyte density of -0·825 among students with gametocyte-containing infections detected by RDT.

[d] Hemoglobin <11·0 g/dL; 1 missing observation

[e] Measured temperature $\geq 37 \cdot 5°C$ at baseline visit or reported in the last two weeks

[f] Reported in the last two weeks; 1 missing observation

[g] Adjusted OR for Bvumbwe compared to all other schools in the multivariable model is 6·6 [95%CI: 1·9-24], p=0·004

[h] 4 missing observations

Impact of screen-and-treat intervention on gametocyte prevalence and density in the school-based cohort

As hypothesized, treatment decreased gametocyte prevalence (Figures 2A and 2B) and density (Figures 2C and 2D) among students during follow-up. The prevalence of gametocyte-containing infections among treated students decreased from 52% (95% CI: 45-58%) at baseline to 11% (95% CI: 7-14%) after two weeks, a 79% reduction. Gametocyte prevalence remained low after six weeks [10% 95% CI: 6-14%)]. Among untreated students (RDT-negative), the prevalence of gametocyte-containing infections remained unchanged from baseline [9% (95% CI: 5-14%)] to six weeks [12% (95% CI: 7-17%)]. Gametocyte density followed the same trend, with 90% reduction in the geometric mean of gametocyte density after treatment versus 59% increase in untreated children. Two weeks after screening and treatment, only one high-density gametocyte infection was detected in an untreated student who had been RDT negative at baseline. Six weeks after treatment there were four high-density gametocyte-containing infections, two in students that

were not treated and two in students that received treatment, corresponding to a 90% reduction in

the prevalence of high-density gametocyte infections.

Figure 2: Impact of treating RDT+ students on the proportion and density of gametocytes in
the school-based cohort over time.
Proportion of students with gametocytes in the rainy (A) and the dry (B) season. Mean gametocyte
density (logarithm transformed) among students in the rainy (C) and the dry (D) season. Solid lines
represent students who received treatment; Dashed lines represent students who did not receive
treatment. Color designates school Bvumbwe (yellow), Ngowe (red), Maseya (blue), Makhuwira
(green). Both estimates were obtained in random effects longitudinal analysis.

155
156

Gametocytemia in the school-based cohort compared to school-age children in the community

The proportion of infections containing gametocytes in the school-based cohort was similar to that in school-age children in the community (25% vs. 27%, p=0.62), supporting the generalizability of the intervention results to all school-age children in the community. The geometric mean density was higher in school-age children in the community (0.99 gametocytes/μl [95% CI:0.71-1.38]) than in the school (0.34 [95% CI:0.19-0.60], p=0.03). However, the proportion of infections containing ≥10 gametocytes/μl among those with gametocytes was similar (20% in the community vs. 18% in schools, p=0.51).

Predicted reduction in the community gametocyte population following treatment in schools

The screen-and-treat intervention reduced gametocytemia in our school-based cohorts. Furthermore, school-age children substantially contributed to the population of gametocyte-carriers in the surrounding communities; 46% of all gametocyte-containing infections and high-density gametocyte-containing infections were in school-age children, who comprised only 35% of the population (Supplemental Results and Figure S2). If the screen-and-treat intervention were extended to all school attendees, we estimate it could result in at least six weeks of reductions in the community prevalence of gametocyte-containing infections as large as 26% in the rainy and 34% in the dry season (Figure 3A and 3B). The total gametocyte burden (sum of gametocyte densities) in the community would be reduced by 33% in the rainy season and 25% in the dry season (Figure 3C and 3D). The number of infections containing ≥10 gametocytes/μl would be reduced by 44% and 55% in the rainy and dry seasons, respectively (Figure 3E and 3F).

Figure 3: Predicted impact of school-based treatment of RDT positive students on the population of gametocytes in the surrounding community.

Following school-based screening-and-treatment at baseline, the estimated impact on gametocyte prevalence (A in rainy and B in dry seasons), total gametocyte burden (C in rainy and D in dry seasons), and number of infections containing ≥10 gametocytes/µl (E in rainy and F in dry seasons) in the communities surrounding the schools are predicted at one, two, and six weeks after the intervention. Color indicates the proportion of the gametocyte measure by age group: school-age children (6-15y) – black; younger children (6-71m) – light grey; adults (>15y) – dark grey. Total gametocyte burden is the sum of gametocyte densities in individuals in each age group. These calculations assume treatment is not provided to young children, adults, or school-age children who test negative by RDT when the intervention is implemented. Reduction is calculated as the proportional difference between the baseline and six-weeks post intervention.

Discussion

Additional malaria control interventions are needed in areas like Malawi where current measures have not yielded substantial reduction in *P. falciparum* transmission. Our results support the use of school-based screen-and-treat as an intervention that may also decrease transmission in the community. Almost half of high-density gametocyte-containing infections in the community were in school-age children; school-based treatment nearly eliminated this group's contribution to transmission for up to six weeks. We also showed that RDTs detected most high-density gametocyte-containing infections, and that treatment of sub-clinical infections based on RDT results reduced gametocyte prevalence and density. Treating only children with positive RDTs could reduce the community-level gametocyte burden by a third. This reduction in potentially infectious reservoirs could help interrupt persistent malaria transmission.

The high prevalence of gametocyte-containing infections in school-age children in this study is consistent with prior work by our group and others.[8,11,16] However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to simultaneously determine gametocyte burden in schools and surrounding communities to estimate the potential impact of school-based treatment on transmission. In Uganda, school-based intermittent preventive treatment was associated with reduced parasite prevalence in the surrounding community in a large cluster randomized trial.[23] Although the community-level effect was significant, the magnitude was limited by low intervention coverage. Because this intervention was conducted as a clinical trial, parents had to come to the school to consent for each student, creating logistical barriers. Thus, further estimates of the full potential of this intervention are needed.

There are limitations to our analysis. We did not use RDTs to detect infections in the communities

surrounding schools and had to extrapolate from the relationships of PCR- and RDT-detected

infections in the school-based cohort to estimate the number of RDT-positive children in the

community. However, the distribution of gametocyte prevalence in the school-based and

community-based surveys was comparable, supporting the estimate. Furthermore, we used

sensitive molecular methods to detect and quantify gametocytes but did not directly measure

infectiousness using feeding assays, nor did we measure other factors that contribute to

transmission likelihood, such as gametocyte sex ratio, transmission-reducing immunity, and

heterogeneity of mosquito biting. However, our data still likely represent the relative contribution

of different age groups to transmission because prior studies have shown that school-age children

and younger children are more infectious to mosquitos than adults[12] and school-age children are

more likely than younger children to be bitten by competent vectors.[16] Lastly, our estimates of the

impact of the intervention in the community assume universal coverage in students. In Malawi,

school-based deworming programs routinely report coverage of >90% of school-age children. [26]

Thus high levels of coverage are possible.

Our results likely underestimate the overall effect of school-based treatment for three reasons.

First, the impact of clearing gametocyte-containing infections in school-age children is expected

to be amplified because competent vectors probably feed more often on school-age children and

adults who have larger body surface than younger children[15,27] and use bed nets less frequently.[14–

16,27] Second, in our community-level predictions, we conservatively assumed that gametocyte-

containing infections in non-treated age groups would remain constant. However, the decreased

pool of infectious school-age children should also lead to fewer new infections and fewer

gametocyte-containing infections in younger children and adults. Third, our results are based on a

single treatment intervention; the impact of repeated school-based treatment is likely to be even

larger. In clinical trials that have evaluated the impact of school-based malaria preventive

treatment on health outcomes, the most successful interventions were repeated either monthly

during the high transmission season or quarterly throughout the year.[20] Reinfection rates, seasonal

variation in transmission, and duration of the prophylactic effect of the treatment drugs should be

used to guide the frequency of intervention required.

Currently, schools are platforms for successful deworming campaigns and feeding programs, and

a growing number of interventions target this age group, including vitamin supplementation and

adolescent vaccinations. The vast majority of children in sub-Saharan Africa attend schools,

making them a logistically feasible platform for targeted interventions. Schools are particularly

important to reaching this age group, since children rarely have routine contact with the health care

system after completing childhood immunizations. Adding malaria preventive treatment to other

school-based interventions in an integrated school health package should improve the cost:benefit

ratio, promote sustainability, and improve health care access to an underserved population.

One concern is that risk of infection and disease could increase once students leave school or if

the intervention ceased. A prior study of chemoprophylaxis in younger children demonstrated a

transient increase in clinical infection when the intervention was discontinued.[28] However, this

"rebound effect" was not observed in all chemoprophylaxis trials, nor has it been found after

intermittent preventive treatment of infants.[29–31] In highly endemic settings where school-based

treatment interventions are most needed, schoolchildren have acquired partial immunity and often

have sub-clinical infections. Continued exposure should maintain some naturally acquired

immunity. Another concern about the widespread use of preventive treatment is the potential for

drug resistance. While this concern is important, it should be weighed against the direct student

health and potential indirect community benefits when evaluating the approach.[20]

Our results join previous studies in supporting the need for implementation studies to measure the

indirect impact of school-based treatment on community-level malaria transmission. Although

RDTs will fail to detect some low-density infections, such as those observed in the dry season and

in our lowest prevalence school, a screen-and-treat approach may substantially reduce the

population of gametocytes in the community. Alternatively, providing preventive treatment to all

students as intermittent preventive treatment, may have even greater impact. While higher density

gametocyte-containing infections are more likely infectious, low density infections contribute to

population-level transmission dynamics because they are common.[32]

In summary, these results demonstrate the potential for school-based malaria treatment to

substantially decrease *P. falciparum* gametocyte carriage and thus transmission in the surrounding

community. In combination with disease- and vector-control interventions, this transmission-

focused strategy may be critical to reduce the burden of malaria in areas where this disease has

remained entrenched despite current control measures.

Methods

Study sites and design

School-based cohort studies and household-based cross-sectional surveys were conducted at the

end of the rainy season (April-May) and during the dry season (September-October) of 2015. Two

sites with consistently high (>40%) parasite prevalence in school-age children – Maseya and

Makhuwira – and two with lower, seasonally varied transmission (>two-fold seasonal prevalence

difference) – Bvumbwe and Ngowe – were selected from 30 previously studied sites in southern

Malawi.[6] Long-lasting insecticide treated nets were distributed through a national campaign in

2012. Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) and treatment with artemether-lumefantrine were generally

available in local government-operated health facilities.

School-based cohorts: Students present on a sampling day were assigned numbers. Fifteen

students per grade-level were sampled using a random number generator. Students were excluded

if they: had no parent/guardian available to provide consent, would not attend school throughout

the 6-week study, or had a known artemether-lumefantrine allergy. Students enrolled in the rainy

season cohort were excluded from the dry season cohort.

Enrolled students were interviewed at baseline, one-, two-, and six-week visits about bed net use

the night prior, current or recent illness, and antimalarial treatment. At baseline, a finger-prick

blood sample was obtained for detection of *P. falciparum* by RDTs and hemoglobin was measured

by portable photometer (Hemocue, Angelholm, Sweden). RDT-positive students received weight-

based treatment with artemether-lumefantrine (Novartis Pharma AG or Ajanta Pharma Ltd). At

baseline and all follow-up visits, finger-prick blood was obtained for molecular detection of any-

stage parasite and gametocytes. At the final visit, parents were interviewed and health passports

(individual portable medical records) reviewed to identify intercurrent fever or malaria treatment.

Household-based cross-sectional surveys: Concurrently cross-sectional surveys were conducted

in 80 households in each school catchment area, including a cluster of 30 from ongoing

surveillance studies (Details in [6]) and 50 households newly selected based on closest Euclidian

distance to the school. Households were visited within two weeks of the school cohort baseline

visit. Questionnaires based on the Malaria Indicator Survey were administered and blood samples

obtained for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, as previously described.[6,14] RDTs were not

performed and no treatment was provided. School attendance in the last four weeks was asked for

all participants ≥ five years. Few five-year-olds attended school, thus school-age children were

defined as those six to 15 years old. Younger children were defined as 6-71-months-old, and adults

as over 15 years old.

Ethical considerations

Written informed consent and assent were obtained from adults and children, as appropriate. The

University of Malawi College of Medicine Research and Ethics Committee and the Institutional

Review Board of the University of Maryland Baltimore approved this study.

Plasmodium **infection detection methods**

At the school-based study baseline visit a histidine-rich protein 2-based RDT (Paracheck® Orchid

Biomedical Systems, Goa, India or SD Bioline, Standard Diagnostics Inc., Suwon City, Republic

of Korea) was used per manufacturer instructions. For all blood specimens, real-time PCR was

performed to detect *P. falciparum* lactate dehydrogenase (*Pf*LDH) DNA, as described

previously.[11] Plates were run in duplicate. If *Pf*LDH positive in either run, quantitative reverse

transcription PCR was performed on whole blood preserved in RNAprotect Cell Reagent (Qiagen

Inc. Valencia, CA, USA) to measure expression of the mature gametocyte marker *Pfs25*.[24]

Infection and treatment definitions

P. falciparum infection was defined as positive *Pf*LDH PCR results on at least one run. Participants

without *Pf*LDH detected by PCR were considered gametocyte negative. RDT-positive students

with negative PCRs were considered negative for active infection, but were included in the

treatment group for analysis because they had received artemether-lumefantrine. Other malaria

treatment during follow-up was defined as any treatment with an effective antimalarial reported

during student follow-up interviews, during the parent interview, or in the student's health

passport. High-density gametocyte infections were those with $\geq$ 10 gametocytes/microliter (μl).

Lower densities of gametocytes are thought to contribute less to transmission [25].

Statistical analysis

All analyses of the school-based population accounted for survey weights and school sampling

strata (Supplementary Materials). Contribution to transmission was evaluated four ways: 1)

gametocyte prevalence defined as the proportion of participants with any gametocytes; 2)

gametocyte density; 3) total gametocyte burden calculated as the sum of participant gametocyte

densities; and 4) prevalence of high-density gametocyte infections.

For school-based cohorts at baseline, logistic regression models were used to assess determinants

of gametocyte prevalence and linear models (log10-transformed) were used to assess determinants

of gametocyte density y among infections with > 0 gametocytes. We used logistic regression

among students with gametocyte-containing infections to identify characteristics of students in

whom RDTs failed to detect gametocyte-containing infections at baseline. Gametocyte density

was included as a continuous variable after assessing linearity of the relationship with odds of

RDT negativity. Because of baseline differences in transmission pattern and demographics, all

analyses were adjusted for school. In all models, selection of relevant predictors was based on

Wald tests through best subset regression. Effect in linear models was reported as percent

difference in absolute gametocyte density and was calculated as [exp(coeff)-1] x 100.

Differences in the prevalence of gametocytemia and gametocyte density over time between treated

(RDT positive) and untreated students (RDT negative) were assessed in nested random intercept

longitudinal models by testing an interaction term between treatment and indicator variables for

visit. Based on these longitudinal models, predictions of gametocyte prevalence and density were

obtained, marginal on random intercepts, and stratified by school, season, and treatment status

(baseline RDT positive or negative), with other modeled predictors assumed constant.

To assess the contribution of school-age children to the overall community population of

gametocytes, we compared the distribution of gametocyte-containing infections, high density

gametocyte infection, and geometric mean gametocyte density through chi-squared tests and linear

regression: i) across age-groups, and ii) between students in the school-cohort and school-age

children in the community. This analysis was unweighted because sampling of community surveys

was not stratified.

Predictive modeling

To evaluate the potential indirect impact of school-based preventive treatment on the community,

we estimated the expected reduction in the number of gametocyte-containing infections,

gametocyte burden, and the number of high density gametocyte-containing infections in the

communities surrounding schools if the screen-and-treat intervention had included all students.

Briefly, we first calculated the number of children from the community likely to be in school from

the age- and gender-weighted proportion of school-age children that reported attending school in

the past four weeks. To estimate the expected reduction in the numbers of gametocyte-containing

infections and high-density gametocyte-containing infections in the communities surrounding

schools, we used mixture of distributions and conditional probabilities. To estimate the impact of

the intervention on the overall gametocyte burden, we first fit overdispersed Poisson models to the

school-based cohort with gametocyte density and RDT positivity results at baseline, then predicted

the overall gametocyte density changes following the intervention for the hypothetical population

of school-going children from the community who would have had a malaria infection and been

treated in the screen-and-treat intervention (RDT-positive at baseline) at each follow-up time point.

We assumed the population prevalence of gametocyte-containing infections in adults and younger

children would remain constant during the intervention period because they would not receive the

intervention.

Analyses were conducted in Stata/SE version 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and R

v3.5 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).[25] Weighted analyses used the

survey module of Stata. Significance was set at alpha = 0.05. Further details of the predictive

modeling and statistical analysis are provided in the Supplemental Information.

Funding: U.S. National Institutes of Health U19AI089683 (TET), K24AI114996 (MKL); and

K23AI135076, Thrasher Research Fund Early Career Award, and Burroughs Wellcome

Fund/American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene Postdoctoral Fellowship in Tropical

Infectious Diseases (LMC)

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data

interpretation, or writing the report. The corresponding author had full access to all data and took

final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate valuable input on the analysis and crafting of the manuscript from Drs. Kristin

Stafford, Sania Amr, and Andrea Buchwald. We thank Heidi Fancher and the administrative team

at the Malaria Alert Center for their support in executing the study. We are grateful for the hard

work and dedication of the field team, study nurses, teachers, school administrators who made the

study possible. Most importantly, we thank the participants for their commitment and patience.

Contributors

Conceived of the study concept and design: LMC, CV, MLW, MKL. Data collection and

management: LMC, JEC, MC, ANg, AB, TET, DPM, MKL. Laboratory analysis: ANy, KBS.

Performed data analysis: LMC, CV. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: LMC, CV, MLK.

Agree with manuscript results and conclusions: LMC, CV, JEC, ANy, MC, ANg, AB, KBS,

MLW, TET, DPM, MKL

Declaration of Interests

We declare no competing interests.

428
429

References

432   1.   World Health Organization. *World Malaria Report 2019.* Geneva; 2019. doi:Licence: CC

433   BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO

434   2.   WHO. *Global Technical Strategy for Malaria 2016-2030*; 2015.

435   https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/176712/9789241564991_eng.pdf?sequen

436   ce=1. Accessed June 23, 2020.

437   3.   Bousema T, Okell L, Felger I, Drakeley C. Asymptomatic malaria infections:

438   detectability, transmissibility and public health relevance. *Nat Rev Microbiol.*

439   2014;12(12):833-840. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3364

440   4.   malERA: An updated research agenda for characterising the reservoir and measuring

441   transmission in malaria elimination and eradication. *PLoS Med.* 2017;14(11).

442   doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002452

443   5.   Nankabirwa J, Brooker SJ, Clarke SE, et al. Malaria in school-age children in Africa: an

444   increasingly important challenge. *Trop Med Int Health.* August 2014.

445   doi:10.1111/tmi.12374

446   6.   Walldorf JA, Cohee LM, Coalson JE, et al. School-Age Children Are a Reservoir of

447   Malaria Infection in Malawi. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(7):e0134061.

448   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134061

7.   Nankabirwa JI, Yeka A, Arinaitwe E, et al. Estimating malaria parasite prevalence from

community surveys in Uganda: a comparison of microscopy, rapid diagnostic tests and

polymerase chain reaction. *Malar J*. 2015;14(1):528. doi:10.1186/s12936-015-1056-x

8.   Zhou Z, Mitchell RM, Kariuki S, et al. Assessment of submicroscopic infections and

gametocyte carriage of Plasmodium falciparum during peak malaria transmission season

in a community-based cross-sectional survey in western Kenya, 2012. *Malar J*.

2016;15(1):421. doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1482-4

9.   Mwandagalirwa MK, Levitz L, Thwai KL, et al. Individual and household characteristics

of persons with Plasmodium falciparum malaria in sites with varying endemicities in

Kinshasa Province, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Malar J*. 2017;16(1).

doi:10.1186/s12936-017-2110-7

10.   Pinchoff J, Chaponda M, Shields TM, et al. Individual and Household Level Risk Factors

Associated with Malaria in Nchelenge District, a Region with Perennial Transmission: A

Serial Cross-Sectional Study from 2012 to 2015. Paul R, ed. *PLoS One*.

2016;11(6):e0156717. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156717

11.   Coalson JE, Walldorf JA, Cohee LM, et al. High prevalence of Plasmodium falciparum

gametocyte infections in school-age children using molecular detection: patterns and

predictors of risk from a cross-sectional study in southern Malawi. *Malar J*. 2016;15(15).

doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1587-9

12.   Lin Ouédraogo A, Gonçalves BP, Gnémé A, et al. Dynamics of the Human Infectious

Reservoir for Malaria Determined by Mosquito Feeding Assays and Ultrasensitive

Malaria Diagnosis in Burkina Faso. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;213(1):90-99.

doi:10.1093/infdis/jiv370

13.   Noor AM, Kirui VC, Brooker SJ, Snow RW. The use of insecticide treated nets by age:

implications for universal coverage in Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;9:369.

doi:10.1186/1471-2458-9-369

14.   Buchwald AG, Walldorf JA, Cohee LM, et al. Bed net use among school-aged children

after a universal bed net campaign in Malawi. *Malar J*. 2016;15(1):127.

doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1178-9

15.   Port GR, Boreham PF. The relationship of host size to feeding by mosquitoes of the

Anopheles gambiae Giles complex (Diptera: Culicidae). *Bull Entomol Res*. 1980;70:133–

144.

16.   Gonçalves BP, Kapulu MC, Sawa P, et al. Examining the human infectious reservoir for

Plasmodium falciparum malaria in areas of differing transmission intensity. *Nat Commun*.

2017;8(1):1133. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01270-4

17.   Stone W, Gonçalves BP, Bousema T, Drakeley C. Assessing the infectious reservoir of

falciparum malaria: past and future. *Trends Parasitol*. May 2015.

doi:10.1016/j.pt.2015.04.004

18.   Coalson JE, Cohee LM, Buchwald AG, et al. Simulation models predict that school-age

children are responsible for most human-to-mosquito Plasmodium falciparum

transmission in southern Malawi. *Malar J*. 2018;17(17). doi:10.1186/s12936-018-2295-4

19.   Cissé B, Ba EH, Sokhna C, et al. Effectiveness of Seasonal Malaria Chemoprevention in

Children under Ten Years of Age in Senegal: A Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomised

Trial. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(11):e1002175. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002175

20.   Cohee LM, Opondo C, Clarke S, et al. Preventive malaria treatment among school-age

children in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Lancet Glob*

Heal. 2020;In press. doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30325-9

21.   Clarke SE, Rouhani S, Diarra S, et al. Impact of a malaria intervention package in schools

497   on Plasmodium infection, anaemia and cognitive function in schoolchildren in Mali: a

498   pragmatic cluster-randomised trial. *BMJ Glob Heal*. 2017;2(2):e000182.

499   doi:10.1136/BMJGH-2016-000182

500   22.   Stuckey EM, Stevenson J, Galactionova K, et al. Modeling the Cost Effectiveness of

501   Malaria Control Interventions in the Highlands of Western Kenya. Snounou G, ed. *PLoS*

502   *One*. 2014;9(10):e107700. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107700

503   23.   Staedke SG, Maiteki-Sebuguzi C, Rehman AM, et al. Assessment of community-level

504   effects of intermittent preventive treatment for malaria in schoolchildren in Jinja, Uganda

505   (START-IPT trial): a cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2018;6(6):e668-e679.

506   doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30126-8

507   24.   Real time RT-PCR for presence and quantification of Plasmodium gametocytes from

508   peripheral blood samples in RNAprotect. https://www.protocols.io/view/real-time-rt-pcr-

509   for-presence-and-quantification-o-bh2sj8ee. Accessed July 6, 2020.

510   25.   Team RDC. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* Vienna, Austria:

511   R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2008. http://www.r-project.org.

512   26.   Uniting to Combat Neglected Tropical Diseases. Impact dashboard for preventive

513   chemotherapy (PC) diseases. https://unitingtocombatntds.org/impact-dashboards/pc-

514   diseases-dashboard/. Published 2019. Accessed June 24, 2020.

515   27.   Muirhead-Thompson R. Distribution of Anopheline Mosquito Bites Among Different Age

516   Groups. *Br Med J*. 1951;1:1114.

28.   Greenwood BM, David PH, Otoo-Forbes LN, et al. Mortality and morbidity from malaria

after stopping malaria chemoprophylaxis. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1995;89(6):629-

633.

29. Bradley-Moore AM, Greenwood BM, Bradley AK, et al. Malaria chemoprophylaxis with

chloroquine in young Nigerian children. I. Its effect on mortality, morbidity and the

prevalence of malaria. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 1985;79(6):549-562.

30. Dicko A, Sagara I, Sissoko MS, et al. Impact of intermittent preventive treatment with

sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine targeting the transmission season on the incidence of clinical

malaria in children in Mali. *Malar J*. 2008;7(1):123. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-7-123

31. Macete E, Aide P, Aponte JJ, et al. Intermittent Preventive Treatment for Malaria Control

Administered at the Time of Routine Vaccinations in Mozambican Infants: A

Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *J Infect Dis*. 2006;194(3):276-285.

doi:10.1086/505431

32. Bradley J, Stone W, Da DF, et al. Predicting the likelihood and intensity of mosquito

infection from sex specific Plasmodium falciparum gametocyte density. *Elife*. 2018;7.

doi:10.7554/eLife.34463

Figure 1: Baseline *P. falciparum* infection prevalence (×) and proportion of students with gametocyte-containing infections detected (black bar) and not detected (grey bar) by rapid diagnostic test in school-based cohorts.

Figure 2: Impact of treating RDT+ students on the proportion and density of gametocytes in
the school-based cohort over time.
Proportion of students with gametocytes in the rainy (A) and the dry (B) season. Mean gametocyte
density (logarithm transformed) among students in the rainy (C) and the dry (D) season. Solid lines
represent students who received treatment; Dashed lines represent students who did not receive
treatment. Color designates school Bvumbwe (yellow), Ngowe (red), Maseya (blue), Makhuwira
(green). Both estimates were obtained in random effects longitudinal analysis.
552

553
554

Figure 3: Predicted impact of school-based treatment of RDT positive students on the
population of gametocytes in the surrounding community.
Following school-based screening-and-treatment at baseline, the estimated impact on gametocyte
prevalence (A in rainy and B in dry seasons), total gametocyte burden (C in rainy and D in dry
seasons), and number of infections containing ≥10 gametocytes/µl (E in rainy and F in dry seasons)
in the communities surrounding the schools are predicted at one, two, and six weeks after the
intervention. Color indicates the proportion of the gametocyte measure by age group: school-age
children (6-15y) – black; younger children (6-71m) – light grey; adults (>15y) – dark grey. Total
gametocyte burden is the sum of gametocyte densities in individuals in each age group. These
calculations assume treatment is not provided to young children, adults, or school-age children
who test negative by RDT when the intervention is implemented. Reduction is calculated as the
proportional difference between the baseline and six-weeks post intervention.
567

568
569

Table 1: Baseline prevalence and predictors of infections containing gametocytes among students with *P. falciparum* infections in the school-based cohort

Predictor	N PCR positive	Weighted proportion containing gametocytes	Bivariate association*		Multivariable association*	
			OR [95% CI]	p-value	OR [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]						
Bvumbwe	21	0.89	Ref.	0.19	Ref.	0.40
Ngowe	35	0.72	0.30 [0.05-1.8]		0.35 [0.05-2.4]	
Maseya	93	0.76	0.37 [0.07-2.0]		0.44 [0.07-2.7]	
Makhuwira	104	0.64	0.21 [0.04-1.1]		0.28 [0.05-1.6]	
Season						
Dry	123	0.63	Ref.	0.07	**Ref**	**0.040**
Rainy	130	0.77	1.9 [0.95-3.6]		**2.0 [1.0-4.0]**	
Age	253	--- [b]	0.90 [0.79-1.0]	0.10	0.89 [0.78-1.0]	0.08
Sex						
Male	129	0.71	Ref.	0.70		
Female	124	0.69	0.88 [0.46-1.7]			
Hemoglobin						
Not anemic	197	0.67	Ref.	0.16		
Anemic [c]	55	0.77	1.8 [0.79-4.1]			
Fever status [d]						
Afebrile	109	0.72	Ref.	0.88		
Febrile	144	0.68	0.95 [0.48-1.9]			
Recent treatment [e]						
Not treated	238	0.70	Ref.	0.99		
Treated	13	0.70	0.99 [0.20-4.9]			
Slept under a ITN [f]						
No	151	0.68	Ref.	0.58		
Yes	97	0.72	1.2 [0.61-2.4]			

* Obtained in a survey weighted logistic regression that analyzed the variables shown in the table

ITN = insecticide treated net; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval

[a] Univariate association for school, all other associations include school. Prevalence setting: Bvumbwe-low, seasonal; Ngowe-moderate, seasonal; Maseya-high; Makhuwira-high.

[b] Mean age of students with infections containing gametocytes was 10.9 years compared to 11.6 years among those whose infections did not contain gametocytes.

[c] Hemoglobin <11.0 g/dL; 1 missing observation

[d] Measured temperature ≥37.5ºC at visit or reported in the last two weeks

[e] Reported in the last two weeks; 2 missing observations

[f] 5 missing observations

570
571

Table 2: Predictors of baseline gametocyte density among infections containing gametocytes in the school cohort

Predictor	N gametocyte positive	Bivariate associations*		Multivariable associations*	
		% Difference** [95% CI]	p-value	% Difference [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]					
Bvumbwe	19	Ref.	0.36	Ref.	0.20
Ngowe	24	-39 [-93 to 470]		-50 [-89 to 123]	
Maseya	71	-63 [-95 to 170]		-37 [-81 to 108]	
Makhuwira	65	-79 [-97 to 70]		-70 [-91 to 1]	
Season					
Dry	77	**Ref.**	**<0.001**	**Ref.**	**<0.001**
Rainy	102	**4740 [2210 to 10040]**		**5186 [2447 to 10870]**	
Age (per year increase)	179	-12 [-29 to 10]	0.25	**-18 [-30 to -3]**	**0.02**
Sex					
Male	92	Ref.	0.12		
Female	87	150 [-20 to 710]			
Hemoglobin					
Not anemic	137	Ref.	0.42		
Anemic [b]	41	80 [-58 to 670]			
Fever status [c]					
Afebrile	78	Ref.	0.13		
Febrile	101	120 [-20 to 510]			
Recent treatment [d]					
Not treated	168	Ref.	0.21		
Treated	10	340 [-56 to 4240]			
Slept under ITN [e]					
No	102	Ref.	0.30		
Yes	73	90 [-43 to 510]			

* Obtained in a survey weighted (weights calculated by school*standard) linear regression with logarithm transformed gametocyte density as an outcome, and analyzing the variables shown in the table

**Percent difference in gametocyte density from reference category is calculated as: [exp(coeff)-1] x 100.

ITN = insecticide treated net; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval

[a] Univariate association for school, all bivariate include adjustment for school.

[b] Hemoglobin <11.0g/dL; 1 missing observation

[c] Measured temperature ≥37.5ºC at baseline visit or reported in the last two weeks

[d] Reported in the last two weeks; 1 missing observations

[e] 4 missing observations

572
573

Table 3: Characteristics of gametocyte containing infections missed (not detected) by RDT screening at baseline

Predictor	N gametocyte positive	Weighted proportion not detected by RDT	Bivariate association*		Multivariable association*	
			OR [95% CI]	p-value	OR [95% CI]	p-value
Site/school[a]						
Bvumbwe	19	0.40	Ref.	0.061	**Ref.[g]**	**0.01**
Ngowe	25	0.17	0.31 [0.07-1.4]		**0.21 [0.04-1.2]**	
Maseya	71	0.19	0.34 [0.10-1.2]		**0.25 [0.06-1.0]**	
Makhuwira	65	0.10	0.16 [0.04-0.60]		**0.09 [0.02-0.38]**	
Season						
Rainy	103	0.11	**Ref.**			
Dry	77	0.23	**2.8 [1.2-6.8]**	**0.02**		
Age (per year increase)	180	--- [b]	1.1 [0.96-1.3]	0.13		
Gametocyte density (log10)	180	--- [c]	**0.76 [0.64-0.90]**	**0.002**	**0.76 [0.64-0.90]**	**0.002**
Sex						
Male	92	0.13	Ref.	0.24		
Female	88	0.20	1.6 [0.72-3.7]			
Hemoglobin						
Not anemic	138	0.17	Ref.	0.83		
Anemic[d]	41	0.13	0.89 [0.30-2.6]			
Fever status[e]						
Afebrile	78	0.21	Ref.	0.39		
Febrile	102	0.13	0.70 [0.31-1.6]			
Recent treatment[f]						
Not treated	169	0.17	Ref.	0.39		
Treated	10	0.07	0.40 [0.05-3.4]			
Slept under ITN[h]						
No	102	0.13	Ref.	0.41		
Yes	74	0.18	1.4 [0.60-3.5]			

* Obtained in a survey weighted logistic regression of gametocyte-containing infections with the outcome of RDT negativity. All analyzed variables are shown in the table.

ITN = insecticide treated net; OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval

[a] Univariate association for school, all other associations include school. Prevalence setting: Bvumbwe-low, seasonal; Ngowe-moderate, seasonal; Maseya-high; Makhuwira-high.

[b] Mean age of students with gametocyte containing infections not detected by RDT was 11.5 compared to 10.8 years for students with gametocyte containing infections detected by RDT.

[c] Among students with gametocyte-containing infections not detected by RDT, the mean log gametocyte density was -2.417 compared to a mean log gametocyte density of -0.825 among students with gametocyte-containing infections detected by RDT.

[d] Hemoglobin <11.0 g/dL; 1 missing observation

[e] Measured temperature ≥37.5°C at baseline visit or reported in the last two weeks

[f] Reported in the last two weeks; 1 missing observation

[g] Adjusted OR for Bvumbwe compared to all other schools in the multivariable model is 6.6 [95%CI: 1.9-24], p=0.004

[h] 4 missing observations

574
575