medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Poor odor identification predicts mortality risk in older adults without neurodegenerative diseases: the Shanghai Aging Study

Zhenxu Xiao[1,2,3], Qianhua Zhao[1,2,3], Xiaoniu Liang[1,2,3], Wanqing Wu[1,2,3], Yang Cao[4*], Ding Ding[1,2,3*]

[1] Institute of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200040, China;

[2] National Clinical Research Center for Aging and Medicine, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200040, China;

[3] State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology and MOE Frontiers Center for Brain Science, School of Basic Medical Sciences and Institute of Brain Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China;

[4] Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro 70182, Sweden.

Correspondence to: Ding Ding, PhD, Professor of Institute of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200040, China, Tel: +86 21 52888158, dingding@huashan.org.cn; and Yang Cao, PhD, Associate Professor of Biostatistics, Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, 70182 Örebro, Sweden, Tel: +46 19 602 6236, yang.cao@oru.se.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Irrespective of neurodegeneration, the decline of olfactory function might also reflect a wider range of pathological conditions contributing to mortality. However, the potential explanations and their predictive values have rarely been reported.

Methods: A total of 1,433 older adults aged ≥ 60 years without neurodegenerative disease were administered a follow-up of 8.6 years on average. Sniffin' Sticks Screening Test was used to assess the olfactory identification at baseline. Survival status during the follow-up was obtained from the local Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Bidirectional stepwise Cox proportional hazards regression was used to identify variables associated with mortality. Two predictive models were constructed by statistical learning methods.

Results: All-cause mortality rate was 1.1/100 person-years during the follow-up. Sex (HR = 0.65, 95%CI 0.46 - 0.93), age (HR = 1.12, 95%CI 1.10 - 1.15), chronic kidney disease (HR = 1.88, 95%CI 1.09 - 3.25), low density lipoprotein (HR = 0.81, 95%CI 0.67 - 0.99), anemia (HR = 2.74, 95%CI 1.19 - 6.30), and fail to identify coffee odor (HR = 1.96, 95%CI 1.19 - 3.23) were significantly associated with all-cause mortality. The logistic regression and the random forest predictive models showed similar predictive accuracy (0.91 and 0.90) and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (0.77 and 0.75).

Conclusions: The association between poor olfactory and long-term mortality was verified among Chinese older population. Certain odors identification ability may

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

contribute to the prediction of long-term mortality along with other important risk factors.

Keywords: Olfactory identification — Odor — Mortality — Cohort studies — Prediction

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Olfaction plays a crucial role in human health by influencing dietary behavior, increasing the awareness of environmental risks, and affecting social interaction (1). Olfactory impairment is an age-related disorder (2). Previous studies have indicated the potential role of olfactory impairment as a marker of underlying physiologic processes or pathology associating with aging and reduced survival in older adults.

Olfactory impairment is regarded as an early marker of impending neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), Parkinson's disease (PD), dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, and Huntington's disease (3), which in turn is related to greater mortality risk (4). An Australian cohort study concluded that the relationship between olfaction and mortality was mediated by cognitive impairment in older adults (5). However, neurodegenerative diseases were reported to contribute to part of the mortality risk in other cohort studies. The Washington Heights/Inwood Columbia Aging Project found a persistent association between odor identification and future mortality in older adults, independent of prospective dementia diagnoses (6). The Betula Study in Sweden indicated that dementia does not attenuate the association between olfactory loss and mortality (7). The Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study (EHLS) in Wisconsin also found a significant association after adjusting cognitive impairment and other potential confounders (8). The Health, Aging, and Body Composition (Health ABC) study found that poor olfaction was associated with higher long-term mortality, particularly among older adults with excellent to good

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

health at baseline. The study also suggests that impaired olfaction is more than a marker of poor overall health because only one-third of the poor olfaction associated mortality could be explained by dementia or Parkinson's disease and weight loss (9). Therefore, irrespective of neurodegeneration, the decline of olfactory function might also reflect a wider range of pathological conditions (10), declining cell regeneration (11), and age-related accumulation of environmental exposures (12), which could contribute to mortality. However, empirical data of potential explanations and their predictive values have rarely been reported.

This study aimed to verify the hypothesis that poor olfactory identification predicts long-term mortality risk in older adults without neurodegenerative diseases by analyzing the longitudinal data of the Shanghai Aging Study. We also evaluated the importance of specific odor identification and other known risk factors for predicting mortality and the predictive ability of models constructed by statistical learning methods.

Methods

Study participants

The Shanghai Aging Study is a population-based prospective cohort study aiming to investigate the prevalence, incidence, and risk factors for dementia and cognitive impairment among older adults residing in a community of downtown Shanghai, China. The detailed study design and recruitment process of the cohort have been described elsewhere (13).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In the current study, participants were older adults aged 60 years or older who completed the olfactory identification test at the baseline (2009-2010). Participants who met the following criteria were excluded: 1) with dementia, MCI, PD, or other neurodegenerative diseases; 2) undergone maxillofacial surgery, with lesions of the nose or the paranasal sinuses (e.g. rhinosinusitis, polyposis, and allergic rhinitis); 3) with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, acute upper respiratory tract infection within 1 week, or alcohol and drug abuse, which may affect the test of olfactory function (14); 4) had mental retardation or schizophrenia confirmed on their medical record; 5) had severe problems of vision, hearing, or speaking, and were not able to participate actively in the neuropsychological evaluation.

This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China (approval number: 2009-195). All participants and/or their legal guardians have signed written informed consent for participation in the study.

Demographics, lifestyle, and medical history

The demographic and lifestyle characteristics of the participants, including age, gender, years of education, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and the activity of daily living (ADL) were collected via interviewer-administered questionnaires (13, 15). The height and weight of each participant were measured to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI). Medical histories of the participants, including physician-diagnosed hypertension, diabetes, stroke, coronary heart disease, depression,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

cancer, chronic kidney disease, anemia, urinary tract infections, and chronic bronchitis were confirmed from the medical records (13, 15).

Olfactory identification test

The olfactory identification was assessed using the Sniffin' Sticks Screening Test 12 (SSST-12), which was produced by the Burghart Medical Technology (16). The 12 validated common odors (orange, leather, cinnamon, peppermint, banana, lemon, liquorice, coffee, cloves, pineapple, rose, and fish) were presented in 12 different felt-tip sticks (17). Participants were asked to sniff each odor and to name it with or without the help of the choices. The procedure of administrating the SSST-12 was detailed described elsewhere (14).

Laboratory tests

Fasting blood samples were drawn by a research nurse to test total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low density lipoprotein (LDL), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) of study participants. Apolipoprotein (APOE) genotyping was conducted by the TaqmanSNP method based on the blood or saliva samples (18). The presence of at least one ε4 allele was regarded as APOE-ε4 allele positive.

Diagnosis of neurodegenerative diseases

We excluded participants with a diagnosis of neurodegenerative diseases either confirmed by medical history or diagnosed at the clinical interview at the baseline.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Neurologists from Huashan Hospital conducted neurologic examinations assessing the sensory neurons, motor responses, and reflexes of each participant. Medical histories of neurodegenerative diseases, e.g. Dementia, AD, MCI, PD, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, and Huntington's disease were reported by participants and confirmed from their medical records. Additionally, a battery of neuropsychological tests covering domains of global cognition, executive function, spatial construction function, memory, language, and attention was used to assess the cognitive function of the participants. The test battery contains the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) (19), Conflicting Instructions Task (Go/No Go Task), Stick Test, Modified Common Objects Sorting Test, Auditory Verbal Learning Test, Modified Fuld Object Memory Evaluation, Trail-making test A&B, and Ren Min Bi (Chinese currency) test. The elaborate description and application method of these tests were reported elsewhere (20, 21). A panel of experts reached a consensus diagnosis of dementia or non-dementia based on the criteria of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, the 4th edition (DSM-V) (22). MCI was diagnosed based on the Peterson criteria (23).

Follow-up and mortality surveillance

Study participants were prospectively followed up after baseline clinical interviews. Survival status of participants from baseline to December 31, 2019 was obtained from the mortality surveillance system of the local Center for Disease Control and Prevention, which is responsible for verifying the date of death and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

causes of death from the death certificate (24).

Statistical analysis

The continuous variables were presented as mean with standard deviation (SD), and the categorical variables were presented as count and percentage (%). The mortality rate was calculated as the number of dead participants divided by total person-years of follow-up. The participants were divided into good (SSST-12 score > 8) or poor (SSST-12 score ≤ 8) olfactory identification function by the median of the SSST-12 scores. The Pearson's chi-squared test was used to test the differences between groups for categorical variables, and the Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for continuous variables. The Kaplan-Meier curve and the Log-rank test were used to estimate and compare the mortality rates of participants with good and poor olfactory identification.

The correlation between two continuous variables was evaluated using the Pearson's correlation coefficient. The point-biserial correlation coefficient was used between a binary variable and a continuous variable (25), and the phi coefficient was used between two binary variables (26). Heatmap was used to visualize the multicollinearity among independent variables, e.g. LDL, TC, olfactory sum score, and each specific odor (eFigure 1). Two-tailed P-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

The bidirectional stepwise Cox proportional hazards regression analysis (p for entry = 0.05, p for remove = 0.10) was used to identify variables associated with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

mortality among the independent variables, including demographics, odors, lifestyles, chronic diseases, and laboratory indexes at baseline shown in Table 1.

Variables' importance was evaluated using both the permutation importance (PI) by the multivariate logistic regression (LR) model and Gini importance (GI) by the random forest (RF) model (27-29) (eMethods 1). We used the K-fold cross-validation method to calculate the predictive ability indices (30) (eMethods 2), including accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (31). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) of a predictive model greater than 0.7 was defined as acceptable prediction ability (32, 33).

The descriptive analyses and Cox proportional hazards regression model were conducted in Stata 16.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). PI and GI evaluation by the LR and RF models were achieved in Python 3.6 (Python Software Foundation, https://www.python.org/) using packages scikit-learn 0.22.1 (34) and ELI5 0.10.1 (35). All the computation was operated on a computer with the 64-bit Windows 7 Enterprise operating system (Service Pack 1), Intel ® Core TM i5-4210U CPU of 2.40 GHz, and 16.0 GB installed random access memory.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the 1,433 enrolled participants were presented in Table 1. In general, participants with poor olfactory identification (n = 768) were older (70.2 *vs*. 67.4 years, $P < 0.001$), received less education (12.5 *vs*. 13.1 years, $P < 0.001$), and had lower MMSE scores (28.5 *vs*. 28.9, $P < 0.001$) comparing with participants

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with good olfactory identification (n = 665). Participants with poor olfactory identification were more vulnerable to chronic bronchitis (17.7 % *vs*. 10.1 %, $P < 0.001$) and having lower TC (5.4 *vs*. 5.5, $P = 0.011$). The total SSST-12 score and proportions of correctly identifying each odor were significantly different between participants with good and poor olfactory identification (all $P < 0.001$).

During the follow-up of averagely 8.6 (SD = 1.4) years, the all-cause mortality rate was 1.1/100 person-years in total participants. Participants with poor olfactory identification had a significantly higher mortality rate than those with good olfactory identification (1.3/100 person-years *vs*. 0.8/100 person-years, $P = 0.004$). The Kaplan-Meier curve in Figure 1 presented the cumulated mortality of the participants with good or poor olfactory identification.

The bidirectional stepwise Cox proportional hazards regression analysis identified that sex (HR = 0.65, 95%CI 0.46 - 0.93, $P = 0.019$), age (HR = 1.12, 95%CI 1.10 - 1.15, $P < 0.001$), chronic kidney disease (HR = 1.88, 95%CI 1.09 - 3.25, $P = 0.024$), LDL (HR = 0.81, 95%CI 0.67 - 0.99, $P = 0.043$), anemia (HR = 2.74, 95%CI 1.19 - 6.30, $P = 0.017$), and fail to identify coffee odor (HR = 1.96, 95%CI 1.19 - 3.23, $P = 0.009$) were significantly associated with all-cause mortality (Table 2). PI and GI by LR and RF models indicated the similar order of the identified important variables, i.e. age, ADL, LDL, chronic kidney disease, fail to identify coffee, anemia, sex, fail to identify rose, and fish (Figure 2).

Figure 3 exhibited the predictive ability indices of the LR and RF models constructed using the selected important variables. The LR and RF models showed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

similar predictive ability with AUC = 0.77 and 0.75, and accuracy = 0.91 and 0.90.

Discussion

The current study verified the association between poor olfactory identification and long-term mortality risk in older adults without neurodegenerative diseases. Prediction models containing nine important variables showed acceptable predictive abilities in predicting all-cause mortality. Specifically, the ability to identify coffee odor showed unique importance on the risk of death.

Many population-based longitudinal studies have reported the association between olfactory impairment and the mortality risk in older adults (4-10, 36, 37). Although the olfactory tests and the covariates were diverse, all the studies concluded consistent results that participants with poor olfactory identification had significantly higher mortality risk. Olfactory impairment is considered as the preclinical symptom of neurodegenerative diseases, which may play a key role in this relationship. However, several previous studies indicated that neurodegenerative diseases only could explain part of the association of olfactory impairment with future mortality. In the Health ABC Study, people with poor olfactory function were 1.46 and 1.30 times more likely to die during 10 follow-up years and 13 follow-up years, while neurodegenerative diseases explained 22% higher mortality in 10 years (9). The Blue Mountains Eye Study demonstrated the association between the moderate olfactory loss and the 68% increased risk of five-year all-cause mortality disappeared when adjusting for cognitive impairment (5). Our results demonstrated that in those older

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

adults without neurodegenerative disease, even MCI, poor olfaction could still be an essential contributor in predicting long-term mortality.

Previous studies only demonstrated the association of olfactory function and mortality risk. However, to our knowledge, the predictive value assessed by statistical learning models has not been reported. Abundant demographic and medical historical variables collected in our Shanghai Aging Study offered us a unique opportunity to screen the valuable variables for the predictive models. The permuting method took both the main effect of a variable and the mutual effect with other variables into consideration in prediction models. In the LR model, PI was measured by looking at how much the accuracy decreases when the variable's information is not available (38). In the RF model, GI was calculated as the sum over the number of splits (across all trees) that include the variable, proportionally to the number of samples in each split (27). We used the two predictive models with two indexes and showed much similar AUCs, which could be verified mutually and improve the robustness of our results.

To date, several possible mechanisms have explained the association between olfactory impairment and the risk of death, regardless of the impact of neurodegenerative diseases. First, because the olfactory function partly determines the eating experience, poor olfaction could induce a poor appetite, resulting low body weight, low BMI, or even malnutrition (39, 40). These consequences and the contribution to death have already been confirmed in several epidemiological studies (5, 9, 10). Second, olfactory impairment might be a manifestation of the declining

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ability of neurogenesis and plasticity. Since adult neurogenesis exists in the olfactory system peripherally and centrally, olfactory impairment could be regarded as a sign of brain aging (41). Third, olfactory impairment was also found associated with cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus (DM) (5, 9). The Health ABC study concluded that poor olfaction was modestly associated with death from cardiovascular disease (9). Gouveri et al. found that patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) with diabetic retinopathy had significantly worse olfactory function than T2DM patients without complication (42). It indicated that microvascular injury might play a role in olfactory impairment among T2DM patients. Fourth, some environmental exposures, such as pollution and toxins, could directly cause central nervous system diseases through the olfactory nerve or indirectly cause pulmonary and cardiovascular diseases, which induced higher mortality risk (43, 44). This so-called *olfactory vector hypothesis* emphasized that some people with PD, which present with smell loss concomitantly, may be caused by environmental agents that enter the brain via olfactory mucosa (12). Lastly, age-related hyposmia could manifest regenerative dysfunction and atrophic of the olfactory epithelium, which may be caused by telomere shortening (11).

Although the underlying mechanism of the relationship between coffee identification and death has not been illuminated, there are still some clues. Our previous study demonstrated the inability to smell coffee was related to the annual decline rate of the MMSE scores (45). An interesting study found that recognition of the coffee odor was faster in caffeine consumers than non-consumers, and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

high-caffeine consumers had greater olfactory sensitivity for the coffee odor than the moderate- or non- consumers (46). According to these results, we suspect that people who could not identify coffee were less likely to drink coffee. Since many large-sampled studies found that coffee drinkers were inversely associated with total and cause-specific mortality (47, 48), failing to smell coffee may be associated with higher mortality. Whether there are other mechanisms between coffee identification and death should be further explored.

There were some limitations in this study. First, our prediction models were constructed based on Han Chinese older adults aged ≥ 60 years living in an urban community, which limited the generalization of results from the current study. Second, although we excluded people with neurodegenerative diseases based on medical records and examinations of cognitive impairment, there still might be some participants at the preclinical phase of neurodegenerative diseases that could not be detected. Third, the SSST-12 test only covers 12 common odors. There might be other odors that could have predictive value to predict mortality. More odors which are crucial in our daily lives and their potential value need to be further explored by using olfactory tests with more odor samples. Finally, better performance of predictive models depends on more observation data. The relatively small sample size in our study limited us to construct prediction models of cause-specific mortality further.

In conclusion, the association between poor olfactory and long-term mortality was verified among the Chinese older adults without neurodegenerative diseases. Certain odors identification ability may contribute to the prediction of long-term

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

mortality along with other important risk factors.

Supplementary Material

eMethods 1. The description of PI in LR model and GI in RF model

In the LR model, the PI was calculated for each variable in a model. To mask the information of a variable during validation, instead of removing the variable from the dataset, the PI method replaced it with random noise from other participants by shuffling the values of the variable (28). The relative importance of a variable was calculated as the accuracy decrease of the variable relative to the range of the accuracy decreases of all the variables (29).

In the RF model, the GI indicates how often a particular variable was selected for a split and how large its overall discriminative value was for the classification problem under study (27).

eMethods 2. The description of K-fold cross-validation method

The dataset was split into 5 partitions, which instantiated 5 identical model building and validation processes. The LR and RF models were built on 4 partitions while the predictive ability indices were calculated using the remaining partition. Finally, the average predictive ability indices were calculated over the 5 partitions (30).

The eFigure 1 is available at *The Journals of Gerontology, Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* online.

Conflict of Interest

All the authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by grants of Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (2018SHZDZX01) and ZJLab, National Natural Science Foundation of China (81773513, 82071200), Scientific Research Plan Project of Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (17411950701, 17411950106), and National Project of Chronic Disease (2016YFC1306402).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgments

DD and YC developed the original idea and designed the approach. ZX and DD searched the literature. ZX, QZ, XL, WW collected data. YC and ZX analyzed data. ZX, DD, and YC wrote the manuscript. QZ, XL, and WW revised the manuscript.

References

1. Van Regemorter V, Hummel T, Rosenzweig F, Mouraux A, Rombaux P, Huart C. Mechanisms Linking Olfactory Impairment and Risk of Mortality. *Front Neurosci*. 2020;14:140. Published 2020 Feb 21. doi:10.3389/fnins.2020.00140

2. Schubert CR, Cruickshanks KJ, Fischer ME, et al. Olfactory impairment in an adult population: the Beaver Dam Offspring Study. *Chem Senses*. 2012;37(4):325-334. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjr102

3. Attems J, Walker L, Jellinger KA. Olfaction and Aging: A Mini-Review. *Gerontology*. 2015;61(6):485-490. doi:10.1159/000381619

4. Wilson RS, Yu L, Bennett DA. Odor identification and mortality in old age. *Chem Senses*. 2011;36(1):63-67. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjq098

5. Gopinath B, Sue CM, Kifley A, Mitchell P. The association between olfactory impairment and total mortality in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2012;67(2):204-209. doi:10.1093/gerona/glr165

6. Devanand DP, Lee S, Manly J, et al. Olfactory identification deficits and increased mortality in the community. *Ann Neurol*. 2015;78(3):401-411. doi:10.1002/ana.24447

7. Ekström I, Sjölund S, Nordin S, et al. Smell Loss Predicts Mortality Risk

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Regardless of Dementia Conversion. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2017;65(6):1238-1243. doi:10.1111/jgs.14770

8. Schubert CR, Fischer ME, Pinto AA, et al. Sensory Impairments and Risk of Mortality in Older Adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2017;72(5):710-715. doi:10.1093/gerona/glw036

9. Liu B, Luo Z, Pinto JM, et al. Relationship Between Poor Olfaction and Mortality Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2019;170(10):673-681. doi:10.7326/M18-0775

10. Pinto JM, Wroblewski KE, Kern DW, Schumm LP, McClintock MK. Olfactory dysfunction predicts 5-year mortality in older adults. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(10):e107541. Published 2014 Oct 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107541

11. Watabe-Rudolph M, Begus-Nahrmann Y, Lechel A, et al. Telomere shortening impairs regeneration of the olfactory epithelium in response to injury but not under homeostatic conditions. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(11):e27801. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027801

12. Prediger RD, Aguiar AS Jr, Matheus FC, et al. Intranasal administration of neurotoxicants in animals: support for the olfactory vector hypothesis of Parkinson's disease. *Neurotox Res*. 2012;21(1):90-116. doi:10.1007/s12640-011-9281-8

13. Ding D, Zhao Q, Guo Q, et al. The Shanghai Aging Study: study design, baseline characteristics, and prevalence of dementia. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2014;43(2):114-122. doi:10.1159/000366163

14. Liang X, Ding D, Zhao Q, et al. Association between olfactory identification and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly: the Shanghai aging study. *BMC Neurol*. 2016;16(1):199. Published 2016 Oct 20. doi:10.1186/s12883-016-0725-x

15. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist*. 1969;9(3):179-186.

16. TinsdalerWeg 175, Burghart Medical Technology, Hamburg, Germany. http:// www.burghart.net. Accessed 13 Jan 2016.

17. Wolfensberger M, Schnieper I, Welge-Lüssen A. Sniffin'Sticks: a new olfactory test battery. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 2000;120(2):303-306. doi:10.1080/000164800750001134

18. Smirnov DA, Morley M, Shin E, Spielman RS, Cheung VG. Genetic analysis of radiation-induced changes in human gene expression. *Nature*. 2009;459(7246):587-591. doi:10.1038/nature07940

19. Tombaugh TN, McIntyre NJ. The mini-mental state examination: a comprehensive review. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1992;40(9):922-935. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1992.tb01992.x

20. Zhang MY, Katzman R, Salmon D, et al. The prevalence of dementia and Alzheimer's disease in Shanghai, China: impact of age, gender, and education. *Ann Neurol*. 1990;27(4):428-437. doi:10.1002/ana.410270412

21. Ding D, Zhao Q, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of mild cognitive impairment in an urban community in China: a cross-sectional analysis of the Shanghai Aging Study. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2015;11(3):300-9.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2013.11.002

22. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

disorders, vol. 4. Washington: American Psychiatric Association; 1994. p. 143–7.

23. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med*. 2004;256(3):183-194. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x

24. Ding D, Zhao Q, Guo Q, et al. Progression and predictors of mild cognitive impairment in Chinese elderly: A prospective follow-up in the Shanghai Aging Study. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2016;4:28-36. Published 2016 Apr 9. doi:10.1016/j.dadm.2016.03.004

25. Demirtas H, Hedeker D. Computing the Point-biserial Correlation under Any Underlying Continuous Distribution, Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 2016;45:8, 2744-2751. doi:10.1080/03610918.2014.920883

26. Chen PY, Popovich PM. *Correlation: Parametric and Nonparametric Measures*., Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.; 2002.

27. Louppe G, Wehenkel L, Sutera A, et al. Understanding variable importances in forests of randomized trees. Advances in neural information processing systems; 2013; 431-439.

28. Fisher A, Rudin C, Dominici F. Model class reliance: Variable importance measures for any machine learning model class, from the “rashomon” perspective. *arXiv* preprint. 2018; arXiv:1801.0148968.

29. Gómez-Ramírez J, Ávila-Villanueva M, Fernández-Blázquez MÁ. Selecting the most important self-assessed features for predicting conversion to Mild Cognitive Impairment with Random Forest and Permutation-based methods. *bioRxiv*. 2019; 785519. doi:10.1101/785519

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

30. Lantz B. *Machine learning with R.* Packt Publishing Ltd; 2013.

31. Cao Y, Fang X, Ottosson J, Näslund E, Stenberg E. A Comparative Study of Machine Learning Algorithms in Predicting Severe Complications after Bariatric Surgery. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2019; 8(5):668. doi:10.3390/jcm8050668

32. Mandrekar JN. Receiver operating characteristic curve in diagnostic test assessment. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2010;5(9):1315-1316. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181ec173d

33. Marzban, C. The ROC Curve and the Area under It as Performance Measures. *Wea. Forecasting*. 2004; 19, 1106–1114. doi:10.1175/825.1.

34. Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, et al. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research.* 2011; 12, 2825-2830. doi:10.1524/auto.2011.0951

35. Korobov M, Lopuhin K, Welcome to ELI5's documentation, https://eli5.readthedocs.io/en/latest/, Accessed Feburary 17.

36. Leschak CJ, Eisenberger NI. The role of social relationships in the link between olfactory dysfunction and mortality. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(5):e0196708. Published 2018 May 16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196708

37. Laudisio A, Navarini L, Margiotta DPE, et al. The Association of Olfactory Dysfunction, Frailty, and Mortality Is Mediated by Inflammation: Results from the InCHIANTI Study. *J Immunol Res*. 2019;2019:3128231. Published 2019 Feb 20. doi:10.1155/2019/3128231

38. Altmann A, Toloşi L, Sander O, Lengauer T. Permutation importance: a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

correctedfeature importance measure. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(10):1340-1347. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq134

39. Croy I, Nordin S, Hummel T. Olfactory disorders and quality of life--an updated review. *Chem Senses*. 2014;39(3):185-194. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjt072

40. Gunzer W. Changes of Olfactory Performance during the Process of Aging - Psychophysical Testing and Its Relevance in the Fight against Malnutrition. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017;21(9):1010-1015. doi:10.1007/s12603-017-0873-8

41. Huart C, Rombaux P, Hummel T. Neural plasticity in developing and adult olfactory pathways - focus on the human olfactory bulb. *J Bioenerg Biomembr*. 2019;51(1):77-87. doi:10.1007/s10863-018-9780-x

42. Gouveri E, Katotomichelakis M, Gouveris H, Danielides V, Maltezos E, Papanas N. Olfactory dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus: an additional manifestation of microvascular disease?. *Angiology*. 2014;65(10):869-876. doi:10.1177/0003319714520956

43. Doty RL. The olfactory vector hypothesis of neurodegenerative disease: is it viable?. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;63(1):7-15. doi:10.1002/ana.21327

44. Prediger RD, Aguiar AS Jr, Matheus FC, et al. Intranasal administration of neurotoxicants in animals: support for the olfactory vector hypothesis of Parkinson's disease. *Neurotox Res*. 2012;21(1):90-116. doi:10.1007/s12640-011-9281-8

45. Liang X, Ding D, Zhao Q, et al. Inability to Smell Peppermint Is Related to Cognitive Decline: A Prospective Community-Based Study. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2020;54(3):258-264. doi:10.1159/000505485

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

46. Stafford LD, Damant K, Ashurst S, Parker MO. Higher olfactory sensitivity to coffee odour in habitual caffeine users. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2020;28(2):245-250. doi:10.1037/pha0000293

47. Freedman ND, Park Y, Abnet CC, Hollenbeck AR, Sinha R. Association of coffee drinking with total and cause-specific mortality [published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 2012 Jul 19;367(3):285]. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(20):1891-1904. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1112010

48. Tamakoshi A, Lin Y, Kawado M, et al. Effect of coffee consumption on all-cause and total cancer mortality: findings from the JACC study. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2011;26(4):285-293. doi:10.1007/s10654-011-9548-7

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Baseline demographic characteristics of study participants with poor and good olfactory identification.

		Olfactory identification		
Baseline characteristics	All (N = 1,433)	Poor SSST-12 Score <=8 (N = 768)	Good SSST-12 Score >8 (N = 665)	*P*-value*
Gender, female, n (%)	777 (54.2)	398 (51.8)	379 (57.0)	0.050
Age, years, mean (SD)	68.9 (6.8)	70.2 (7.0)	67.4 (6.3)	<0.001
Education, years, mean (SD)	12.8 (3.5)	12.5 (3.8)	13.1 (3.1)	<0.001
Body mass index, mean (SD)	24.3 (3.4)	24.3 (3.5)	24.2 (3.4)	0.342
Cigarette smoking, n (%)	141 (9.8)	83 (10.8)	58 (8.7)	0.186
Alcohol drinking, n (%)	114 (8.0)	67 (8.7)	47 (7.1)	0.248
Physical activity, mean (SD)	27.2 (25.1)	28.0 (26.2)	26.2 (23.7)	0.161
Coronary heart disease, n (%)	149 (10.4)	88 (11.5)	61 (9.2)	0.158
Hypertension, n (%)	756 (52.8)	422 (54.9)	334 (50.2)	0.074
Diabetes, n (%)	179 (12.5)	97 (12.6)	82 (12.3)	0.864
Depression, n (%)	205 (14.3)	109 (14.2)	96 (14.4)	0.896
Stroke, n (%)	153 (10.7)	93 (12.1)	60 (9.0)	0.059
Cancer, n (%)	154 (10.7)	75 (9.8)	79 (11.9)	0.198
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	80 (5.6)	42 (5.5)	38 (5.7)	0.840
Anemia, n (%)	23 (1.6)	11 (1.4)	12 (1.8)	0.576
Urinary tract infections, n (%)	453 (31.6)	246 (32.0)	207 (31.1)	0.714
Chronic bronchitis, n (%)	203 (14.2)	136 (17.7)	67 (10.1)	<0.001
MMSE score, mean (SD)	28.7 (1.5)	28.5 (1.6)	28.9 (1.3)	<0.001
ADL scores, mean (SD)	20.2 (1.9)	20.3 (1.9)	20.2 (1.8)	0.366
Serum total cholesterol, mean (SD)	5.4 (1.1)	5.4 (1.1)	5.5 (1.1)	0.011
Serum triglyceride, mean (SD)	1.7 (1.0)	1.7 (1.1)	1.8 (1.0)	0.188
Serum high-density lipoprotein, mean (SD)	1.3 (0.3)	1.3 (0.4)	1.3 (0.3)	0.573
Serum low density lipoprotein, mean (SD)	3.3 (0.9)	3.2 (0.9)	3.3 (0.9)	0.095
Apolipoprotein E ε4 positive, n (%)	251 (17.9)	132 (17.6)	119 (18.3)	0.731
SSST-12 score, mean (SD)	8.2 (1.9)	6.8 (1.5)	9.8 (0.9)	<0.001
Orange[a], n (%)	1,120 (78.2)	527 (68.6)	593 (89.2)	<0.001
Leather[a], n (%)	842 (58.8)	330 (43.0)	512 (77.0)	<0.001
Cinnamon[a], n (%)	647 (45.2)	244 (31.8)	403 (60.6)	<0.001
Peppermint[a], n (%)	1319 (92.0)	670 (87.2)	649 (97.6)	<0.001
Banana[a], n (%)	974 (68.0)	406 (52.9)	568 (85.4)	<0.001
Lemon[a], n (%)	787 (54.9)	343 (44.7)	444 (66.8)	<0.001
Liquorice[a], n (%)	806 (56.2)	309 (40.2)	497 (74.7)	<0.001
Coffee[a], n (%)	1343 (93.7)	684 (89.1)	659 (99.1)	<0.001
Cloves[a], n (%)	747 (52.1)	306 (39.8)	441 (66.3)	<0.001
Pineapple[a], n (%)	1012 (70.6)	429 (55.9)	583 (87.7)	<0.001
Rose[a], n (%)	937 (65.4)	383 (49.9)	554 (83.3)	<0.001
Fish[a], n (%)	1214 (84.7)	586 (76.3)	628 (94.4)	<0.001

Note: SSST-12, Sniffin' Sticks Screening Test 12; SD, standard deviation; MMSE, Mini-mental State Examination; ADL, Activities of Daily Living.

a, 'n' represents the number of participants who were able to identify this specific odor.

* Compared between the participants with poor and good olfactory identification function.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229518; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Hazard ratios (95%CI) of the selected variables associated with all-cause mortality by Stepwise Cox regression analysis

	HR	95% CI	*P*-value
Sex, female	0.65	0.46 - 0.93	0.019
Age	1.12	1.10 - 1.15	<0.001
Failing to identify Rose odor	1.38	0.97 - 1.96	0.074
ADL score	1.05	1.00 - 1.10	0.053
Chronic kidney disease	1.88	1.09 - 3.25	0.024
Serum low density lipoprotein	0.81	0.67 - 0.99	0.043
Failing to identify Fish odor	0.61	0.37 - 1.01	0.053
Anemia	2.74	1.19 - 6.30	0.017
Failing to identify Coffee odor	1.96	1.19 - 3.23	0.009

Note: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ADL, Activity of Daily Living Scale.


Poor olfactory identification
Good olfactory identification
Log-rank test: P = 0.0045
Cumulative mortality rate (%)
15
10
5
0
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
Follow-up years


Permutation feature importance from logistic regression
Gini importance from random forest
Relative importance
0.0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
Age
ADL
LDL
Chronic kidney disease
Fail to identify coffee odor
Anemia
Sex
Fail to identify rose odor
Fail to identify fish odor


LR RF
Acc 0.91 0.9
Spe 0.75 0.51
Sen 0.7 0.83
AUC 0.77 0.75
Logistic regression (LR)
Random forest (RF)
Sensitivity
1-Specificity
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0