

Abstract word count: 250/250
Main text word count: 3,495/3,500
Figures: 2
Tables: 3

An Examination of Sustained Versus Transient Distressing Psychotic-Like Experiences
Using Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development[®] Study Data

Nicole R. Karcher^a, Rachel L. Loewy^b, Mark Savill^b, Shelli Avenevoli^c, Rebekah S. Huber^d,
Carolina Makowski^e, Kenneth J. Sher^f, Deanna M. Barch^{a,g}

^a Washington University School of Medicine, Dept. of Psychiatry

^b University of California, San Francisco, Dept. of Psychiatry

^c National Institute of Mental Health

^d University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Dept. of Psychiatry

^e University of California San Diego, Dept. of Radiology

^f University of Missouri, Dept. of Psychological Sciences

^g Washington University in St. Louis, Dept. of Psychological and Brain Sciences

^h *Please address all correspondence to:* Nicole Karcher, Dept. of Psychiatry, Campus Box 1125, Washington University in St. Louis, One Brookings Drive, Saint Louis, MO 63110; Email: nkarcher@wustl.edu

Abstract

Objective: A potential distinguishing factor between more benign and transient psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) versus PLEs that predict risk for psychiatric disorders is whether the PLEs are sustained and distressing (sustained dPLEs). The current study examined associations of both sustained and transient dPLEs with relevant risk factors (e.g., cognition), use of mental health services, and functional correlates (e.g., school performance) in school-age children.

Method: The current study used three Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development[®] study data waves to create sustained dPLE (n=272), transient dPLE (n=244), and control (n=272) groups. Hierarchical linear models examined whether these groups differed in terms of use of mental health services, functional correlates, family history of mental disorders, other symptoms (e.g., parent-rated psychotic symptoms, internalizing, externalizing symptoms), environmental factors (e.g., adverse childhood events [ACEs]), cognitive functioning, developmental milestone delays, and neuroimaging indices.

Results: Several factors were more strongly associated with sustained versus transient dPLEs, including use of mental health services (for sustained vs. controls: $d=0.38$), drop in grades ($d=-.30$), other symptoms (i.e., parent-rated psychotic, bipolar, internalizing, externalizing, suicidality; $0.33 > ds < 0.88$), ACEs ($d=.36$), and lower fluid and executive functioning cognitive scores ($-0.31 > ds < -0.41$). For most risk factors, the sustained dPLEs group showed the greatest impairments, followed by the transient group, with the control group showing the least impairments.

Conclusions: These results have implications for understanding the pathogenesis of dPLEs, including indicating that several factors may distinguish transient from persisting dPLEs in children, including higher symptoms and ACEs, lower executive functioning scores, greater use of mental health services, and worsening school performance.

Psychotic-like experiences (PLEs), including nonclinical psychosis spectrum symptoms (e.g., perceptual abnormalities, mild delusional thoughts), are relatively common in school-age children, with ~17% of 9-12-years-olds experiencing PLEs (1). Importantly, a subset of these children endorsing PLEs are at risk for conversion to psychotic disorders or other psychiatric disorders in adulthood (2). However, previous research has not yet elucidated what distinguishes those who later develop psychiatric disorders, including psychosis spectrum disorders, versus those who do not. This information would have critical implications for the future application of early identification and preventative interventions.

One potential distinguishing factor between more benign PLEs and those that transition to psychotic disorders is whether they are sustained (i.e., persisting over years) (3,4). Previous research indicates that sustained PLEs are generally associated with greater clinical relevance, including subsequent onset of psychotic disorders (3,5). Another potential distinguishing factor is the level of distress elicited by PLEs (6), as this may contribute to functional impairment. The relevance of distress is supported by studies showing that rather than frequency of psychosis spectrum symptoms, the associated distress predicts the onset of psychotic disorders (7). Furthermore, most studies examine cross-sectional estimates of PLEs, while only a limited number have examined PLE developmental trajectories (8), finding evidence for a sustained and a transient/relatively benign subgroup. However, no studies have specifically examined trajectories of distressing PLEs, as will be done in the current study.

PLEs in general are thought to arise as a result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and pathophysiological factors (e.g., disruptions in connectivity) (9,10). Several large cross-sectional datasets over the past two decades have examined the correlates of PLEs, finding associations with internalizing symptoms and externalizing symptoms (11), developmental impairments (12), and cognitive impairments (13), including reading (14), working memory (15), and processing speed (16) impairments. Of studies examining

trajectories of PLEs, individuals with sustained PLEs tended to score higher on internalizing and externalizing symptoms (5), adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) (17,18), and developmental delays (18). Sustained PLEs are also associated with functional impairments and treatment seeking (3). However, a recent review did not find any consistent predictors emerging across studies (5). Furthermore, previous work has not examined sustained *distressing* PLEs (i.e., sustained dPLEs). In terms of associations with cross-sectional estimates of dPLEs, the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development[®] (ABCD) Study has found associations with a range of risk factors for psychopathology including psychosis, including family history of psychosis, other symptoms including internalizing, externalizing, bipolar, and suicidality symptoms, environmental risk factors including ACEs and exposure to deprivation, drug crime exposure, and lead exposure risk, cognitive impairments, developmental milestone delays, resting state functional connectivity (RSFC) impairments, and global structural MRI impairments (19-22). The current study examined whether, as predicted, sustained dPLEs are more strongly associated with deficits in the aforementioned risk factors, greater use of mental health services, and worse school and social functioning than transient dPLEs using data from 9-10-year-olds in the ABCD Study[®].

Methods

Participants

The ABCD Study is a large-scale study tracking 9-10-year-olds recruited from 21 research sites across the United States. The current data release, ABCD Data Release 3.0 (DOI 10.15154/1519007) includes 3 waves of data: baseline (N=11,878), year 1 (N=11,235), and year 2 (N=6,571). These data were accessed from the National Institutes of Mental Health Data Archive (see Acknowledgments; see Supplement for study-wide exclusion details). As can be seen in Table 1, three groups were created using data from baseline, year 1, and year 2: 1) a *sustained dPLEs group* that had ≥ 97.5 percentile PQ-BC distress scores for at least two waves

of data, 2) a *transient dPLEs group* that had significantly elevated dPLEs (i.e., ≥ 97.5 percentile PQ-BC distress score) in 1 wave and did *not* have significantly elevated scores (i.e., ≤ 50 percentile PQ-BC) on the other waves (see Supplemental Table 1 for additional group membership details) (8), and 3) a *control group*, acting as a further comparison for the sustained and transient dPLEs groups, which had a stable low trajectory and included participants with no significantly elevated scores (i.e., ≤ 50 percentile PQ-BC) on all ABCD waves (i.e., baseline, year 1, and year 2; note, group percentiles were re-normed at each wave). The control group was matched to the sustained dPLEs group on sex, age, and race/ethnicity. All measured risk factors (detailed below) were obtained at baseline.

Measures

All measures are described in detail within the Supplement.

Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC)

Distress scores from the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC) (23), a 21-item self-report questionnaire, were used to create sustained, transient, and control groups.

Other Symptom and Functioning Measures

Modules from the Kiddie-Structured Assessment for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) for DSM-5 (24), including parent-rated psychotic symptoms (25), current bipolar symptoms, and externalizing symptoms (i.e. current attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder symptom summations) (25), as well as child-rated internalizing symptoms (i.e., current depression and generalized anxiety disorder symptom summations), and suicidality (i.e., current suicidal ideation and behavior symptom summations) were used as measures of psychopathology.

Use of mental health services was measured by asking whether the youth has ever received mental health services. School performance was measured using KSADS questions regarding how well the youth does in school and whether there was a drop in grades over the past year. Social functioning was measured by asking the youth about number of friends.

History of psychotic disorder, depression, and mania in first-degree relatives was assessed using the Family History Assessment Module Screener (26), with each scored as either present or absent (family history of psychosis was an exclusionary criterion in the scoring of family history of depression and mania). Any history of psychotic disorders was scored as present if the participant had any first- or second-degree relatives with a history of psychotic disorder.

Neuropsychological Test Battery

The current study utilized uncorrected National Institutes of Health Toolbox Cognitive Battery scores from the 7 individual tests and fluid and crystallized composite scores, but all analyses include age and sex as covariates (27).

Developmental Milestones

The current study examined parent-reported motor (i.e., rolling over, sitting, and walking delays, subjective motor delays, clumsiness) and speech (i.e., delays in speaking first word, subjective speech delays) developmental milestone delays (28), including individual milestones and both motor and speech composite scores.

Environmental Risk Factors

Based on the child's primary address coordinates, overall deprivation percentile scores, lead exposure risk, rate of poverty (defined as number of individuals living in poverty), drug crime exposure estimates were calculated. The current study also examined Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs), parent-rated perception of neighborhood safety, and number of years at

current residence. The ACEs variable was defined as summations of parent-rated child experience of traumatic experiences from the K-SADS, a parent-rated question from the K-SADS about whether the child was bullied at school or in the neighborhood, and seven parent-rated questions of financial adversity from a demographic questionnaire (e.g., “Were [you] evicted from your home for not paying the rent or mortgage?”).

Structural MRI Measures

For the current study, structural MRI measures include total volume (intracranial, supratentorial, cortical, and subcortical) (29), surface area (30), and cortical thickness (31). All data were acquired on a 3T scanner (Siemens, General Electric, or Phillips) with a 32-channel head coil and completed T1-weighted and T2-weighted structural scans (1mm isotropic).

Resting State Functional Connectivity (RSFC)

Participants completed four 5-minute resting-state BOLD scans, with their eyes open and fixated on a crosshair. Consistent with previous research using the ABCD sample to examine associations with PLEs (22), we examined cingulo-opercular (CON) within-network connectivity, cingulo-parietal (CPAR) within-network connectivity, default mode (DMN) within-network connectivity, CON to cerebellar connectivity, and CPAR to cerebellar connectivity.

Covariates

Every model included age, sex, and race/ethnicity (i.e., White, African American, Hispanic, Asian, Other) as covariates. However, aside from ACEs models, environmental risk factor models did not include race/ethnicity as covariates.¹ Lastly, imaging analyses included scanner type as a covariate, with RSFC analyses additionally including average motion as a covariate.

¹ Race/ethnicity was not included as a covariate in these analyses, due to the all too frequent confounding of minority status with other relevant factors involved in the current study (e.g., deprivation, increased exposure to offenses, reduced access to resources)

Statistical Analyses

The analyses used hierarchical linear models (HLMs) conducted using the R lme4 package (32) (multcomp package for multiple comparison analyses (33)). Variables that were zero-inflated (i.e., developmental milestones) were examined using zero-inflated generalized linear mixed models (glmmTMB package) (34). All analyses modeled family unit and research site as random intercepts. HLMs analyzed whether the groups (i.e., sustained, transient, controls) showed significant differences for each of the variables of interest. Pair-wise comparisons used to examine group differences were False Discovery Rate (FDR)-corrected across the three groups.

Results

In general, the results detailed below follow a similar pattern, whereby across all factors examined, the sustained dPLEs group generally showed the greatest impairments, followed by the transient dPLEs group, with the control group showing the least evidence of impairment. Below we provide details about each domain.

dPLEs

As can be seen in Table 2 and Figure 1, at every time point the sustained group showed greater dPLEs than both the transient and control groups. The transient group also showed greater dPLEs than the control group.

Use of Mental Health Services and Functional Correlates

The sustained group showed greater use of mental health services and greater drop in grades compared to the transient dPLEs group (Table 2, Figure 2). In addition, both sustained and transient groups showed greater mental health services use and worse school performance compared to the control group, with the sustained dPLEs additionally showing a greater drop in grades compared to the control group.

Family History of Psychosis

As can be seen in Table 2, although the sustained versus transient groups did not differ in number of first-degree relatives with a history of psychosis, the sustained dPLEs group showed a higher number of family members with a history of psychosis than either the transient or control group (Figure 2).

Other Symptoms

At baseline, the sustained dPLEs group showed higher levels of all other symptoms compared to both the transient and control groups (i.e., parent-reported psychotic symptoms, bipolar, internalizing, externalizing, suicidality; see Table 2 and Figure 2). Notably, the transient dPLEs group did not differ from controls on parent-reported psychotic symptoms, although the transient group showed higher levels of bipolar, internalizing, externalizing, and suicidality symptoms than controls.

Environmental Risk Factors

Even after including age, sex, and race/ethnicity as covariates, the sustained dPLEs group showed higher levels of ACEs than both the transient and control groups (Table 2, Figure 2). In terms of other environmental risk factors, the sustained dPLEs group showed lower parent-reported perception of neighborhood safety than the control group.

Neuropsychological Test Performance

As can be seen in Table 3, the fluid composite showed a graded pattern, with the sustained dPLEs group showing lower scores than the transient dPLEs group, who in turn showed lower scores than controls. For the crystallized composite, both the sustained and transient dPLEs groups performed worse than controls but did not differ significantly from each other. In terms of individual tests, the sustained dPLEs group showed lower scores compared to both the transient dPLEs and control groups on the card sorting executive function task, with the transient and control groups not differing significantly. For the pattern comparison processing speed and picture sequence memory tasks, only the sustained dPLEs group showed lower scores compared to the control group (see Figure 2; note, the transient group showed a pattern

of lower scores than controls, but not statistically significant). List sorting working memory, picture vocabulary, and reading test scores were significantly lower in both the sustained and transient dPLEs groups compared to controls but did not differ significantly across dPLEs groups.

Developmental Milestone Delays

As can be seen in Table 3, the sustained dPLEs group showed greater speech developmental delays, greater delays in speaking their first word, and greater subjective speech delays than controls (see Figure 2), with the transient group also showing greater total speech developmental delays than controls. Additionally, the sustained dPLEs group showed greater parent-reported clumsiness compared to the control group.

Global Structural Metrics

The sustained dPLEs group showed lower cortical thickness compared to the control group (see Table 3, Figure 2). In addition, both sustained and transient groups showed lower supratentorial, cortical, and subcortical volume compared to the control group, but did not differ significantly from each other.

Resting State Functional Connectivity

The sustained and transient dPLEs group showed lower CON RSFC compared to controls (see Table 3; Figure 2).

Discussion

The current study examined whether a sustained dPLEs group showing elevated dPLEs at multiple timepoints exhibited meaningful differences in terms of the degree of impairments across an array of domains compared to a transient dPLEs group. The current study found that several factors were more strongly associated with sustained versus transient dPLEs, including any family history of psychosis, greater parent-rated symptoms of psychosis, self-reported symptoms of suicidality, internalizing, and externalizing psychopathology, ACEs, use of mental health services, drop in grades, and both fluid composite and executive functioning test scores.

For almost all risk factors, there was a noteworthy gradient in impairment, such that the sustained group showed the greatest impairments, followed by the transient group, with the control group showing the least impairments, consistent with some previous research (5,18).

Importantly, several findings emerged indicating that the sustained dPLEs group may exhibit more severe psychopathology and impairments in school performance compared to the other groups. The transient group showed greater mental health service use and worse school performance than controls, but the sustained group showed even greater severity of impairments in these domains, and additionally showed a greater drop in grades compared to both transient and control groups (for differences between the sustained and control groups, |Cohen's d s| ranged from .38-.46, reflecting small-medium effect sizes). These data are consistent with the idea that clinicians may consider using persistence of dPLEs as a marker of identifying individuals most in need of evaluation and intervention.

The sustained dPLEs group showed a number of differences compared to both the transient and control groups in terms of family history of psychosis and other symptoms. First, the sustained group had a greater first- and second-degree family history of psychosis than the transient and control groups. The sustained dPLEs group also showed higher levels of both parent- and self-reported symptoms compared to both the transient dPLEs and control groups (although notably the transient dPLEs group also showed higher internalizing, externalizing, and suicidality symptoms compared to the control group), in line with previous work (5). Previous work has theorized that in psychosis-prone individuals, the presence of critical thoughts may influence PLEs to become increasingly negatively valenced. This may trigger increased internalizing and externalizing symptoms as well as increased rumination regarding these dPLEs, leading to persistence of these experiences (5). Our findings are potentially consistent with this framework. The higher parent-rated psychotic symptoms in the sustained dPLEs group compared to either the transient or control groups is critical caregiver-rated validation that this group is exhibiting more severe psychopathology, including significantly worse psychosis

spectrum symptoms, and potentially pointing to greater caregiver symptom awareness with greater severity and longevity of PLEs.

In terms of environmental risk factors associated with the development of psychosis, sustained dPLEs were associated with higher ACEs compared to both the transient and control groups. This replicates previous work finding that sustained PLEs were associated with increased rates of trauma, including victimization (17,18). This finding is in line with theories that exposure to additional environmental risks, such as ACEs, can interact with genetic vulnerabilities to contribute to subclinical psychosis becoming initially distressing and sustained, potentially followed by a transition to a clinical psychotic state (9,10). The sustained dPLEs group also experienced lower perception of neighborhood safety compared to the control group. In terms of speculative mechanisms, previous work has theorized that increased chronic stressors cumulatively result in downstream neurobiological effects (e.g., dopamine sensitization, HPA axis dysfunction), potentially resulting in sustained dPLEs (4).

A similar graded pattern was found for neurocognition and developmental delays whereby the sustained dPLEs group generally showed the greatest impairments and the control group showed the least impairments. Several cognitive domains, including both fluid and crystallized intelligence composites, tests of working memory (listing sorting), picture vocabulary, reading, as well as speech development delays, showed differences for both sustained and transient dPLEs groups compared to controls. These impairments may be associated with dPLEs and/or psychopathology in general, as opposed to being specifically associated with more severe dPLEs (e.g., sustained dPLEs). For executive function (card sorting), processing speed (pattern comparison), episodic memory (picture sequence), time to speak first word, subjective speech delays, and clumsiness, the sustained dPLEs group showed greater impairments compared to the control group whereas the transient dPLEs group did not show a difference compared to the control group. The sustained dPLEs group showed lower fluid cognition and executive functioning scores compared to the transient group, perhaps

indicating that these may be particularly important cognitive markers of persistence of PLEs.

Overall, these findings are consistent with previous work finding language impairments (12,35), processing speed (16), motor abnormalities (36), and executive functioning (37) as potential important markers in the development of psychosis. The findings indicate that sustained dPLEs are associated with impairment in a range of cognitive functions, with evidence that executive functioning scores in particular may differentiate the sustained dPLEs from transient dPLEs and controls. Further, these findings may indicate that these risk factors are either predictors and/or consequences of greater severity of symptoms, such that neurocognition and developmental delays may partially account for presenting symptoms and problems and/or may reflect underlying anomalies in pathophysiology.

Several key findings emerged from the neuroimaging analyses. First, consistent with evidence that lower cortical thickness is associated with greater severity of psychosis spectrum symptoms, including the development of a psychosis spectrum disorder (38), the sustained dPLEs group showed lower cortical thickness compared to controls. Both the sustained and transient dPLEs groups showed lower cortical and subcortical volume than controls, consistent with previous research (39). Further, in terms of RSFC, both the sustained and transient dPLEs groups showed lower CON RSFC compared to the control group. Although speculative, alterations in cortical thickness may index greater impairment associated with sustained dPLEs, whereas alterations in subcortical volume and CON RSFC may be more associated with the psychosis spectrum phenotype more broadly.

The current study had several limitations and points to consider. First, the groups were created based on *a priori* definitions of groups membership. Based on the current study's group definitions, it is possible that individuals that met transient criteria due to significant elevations only at year 2 (and not baseline and year 1) will meet criteria for the sustained dPLEs group in later waves of data collection. Further, it is possible that individuals that met sustained criteria at baseline and year 1 but not year 2 represent a 'recovering' group. However, the inclusion of

these individuals likely only attenuated our results. Further, only including individuals that met criteria for the transient group at baseline and the sustained group at year 1 and year 2 generally produced similar results. Additionally, given that we were specifically interested in sustained versus transient dPLEs, we chose not to use a data-driven approach to create trajectories. Future research should continue to examine whether associations between sustained versus transient dPLEs remain consistent over time as sample sizes increase and more participants become eligible for these groups over time. Lastly, the measures used contain a mix of parent- and child- reports. For some measures (e.g., ACEs), parent report is limited by the parent's awareness of the events and willingness to report (40).

The current research furthers our understanding of sustained versus transient dPLEs, finding evidence in support of the notion that dPLEs that persist over time are indeed associated with greater family history of psychosis, impairments in symptoms, use of mental health services, school functioning, and lower fluid and executive functioning scores. In addition, the study provided further evidence for ACEs as an important environmental risk factor in sustained dPLEs (3,4,9,10). There are several reasons why some risk factors may differentiate sustained from transient dPLEs and others do not. For example, risk factors differentiating sustained from transient dPLEs groups included factors that may be associated with the degree of distress and impairment currently experienced by the sustained dPLEs group (e.g., symptoms, use of mental health services, drop in grades). Furthermore, several risk factors, including developmental milestone delays, cognitive functioning, and several neuroimaging metrics showed gradient effects, with the sustained dPLE group showing larger effects than the transient group. These risk factors may further differentiate sustained versus transient dPLEs over time as the former group becomes increasingly condensed to those at risk for psychiatric disorders. Further, it is possible that impairments in several risk factors (e.g., cognition, neural impairments) may intensify over development, such as in adolescence. As future ABCD data releases become

SUSTAINED VERSUS TRANSIENT dPLEs

Karcher 15

available, research will be able to examine risk factor trajectories of sustained dPLEs, including clinical and functional outcomes as these youth enter adolescence and young adulthood.

References

1. Kelleher I, Connor D, Clarke MC, Devlin N, Harley M, Cannon M. Prevalence of psychotic symptoms in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Psychol Med.* 2012;42:1857-1863.
2. Fisher HL, Caspi A, Poulton R, Meier MH, Houts R, Harrington H, Arseneault L, Moffitt TE. Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychol Med.* 2013;43:2077-2086.
3. Dominguez MD, Wichers M, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, van Os J. Evidence that onset of clinical psychosis is an outcome of progressively more persistent subclinical psychotic experiences: an 8-year cohort study. *Schizophr Bull.* 2011;37:84-93.
4. Cougnard A, Marcelis M, Myin-Germeys I, De Graaf R, Vollebergh W, Krabbendam L, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, Henquet C, Spauwen J, Van Os J. Does normal developmental expression of psychosis combine with environmental risk to cause persistence of psychosis? A psychosis proneness-persistence model. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37:513-527.
5. Downs JM, Cullen AE, Barragan M, Laurens KR. Persisting psychotic-like experiences are associated with both externalising and internalising psychopathology in a longitudinal general population child cohort. *Schizophr Res.* 2013;144:99-104.
6. Wusten C, Schlier B, Jaya ES, Fonseca-Pedrero E, Peters E, Verdoux H, Woodward TS, Ziermans TB, Lincoln TM. Psychotic Experiences and Related Distress: A Cross-national Comparison and Network Analysis Based on 7141 Participants From 13 Countries. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44:1185-1194.
7. Rekhi G, Rapisarda A, Lee J. Impact of distress related to attenuated psychotic symptoms in individuals at ultra high risk of psychosis: Findings from the Longitudinal Youth at Risk Study. *Early Interv Psychiatry.* 2019;13:73-78.
8. Kalman JL, Bresnahan M, Schulze TG, Susser E. Predictors of persisting psychotic like experiences in children and adolescents: A scoping review. *Schizophr Res.* 2019;209:32-39.
9. Linscott RJ, van Os J. An updated and conservative systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological evidence on psychotic experiences in children and adults: on the pathway from proneness to persistence to dimensional expression across mental disorders. *Psychol Med.* 2013;43:1133-1149.
10. van Os J, Linscott RJ, Myin-Germeys I, Delespaul P, Krabbendam L. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the psychosis continuum: evidence for a psychosis proneness-persistence-impairment model of psychotic disorder. *Psychol Med.* 2009;39:179-195.
11. Healy C, Brannigan R, Dooley N, Coughlan H, Clarke M, Kelleher I, Cannon M. Childhood and adolescent psychotic experiences and risk of mental disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med.* 2019;49:1589-1599.
12. Cannon M, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Harrington H, Taylor A, Murray RM, Poulton R. Evidence for early-childhood, pan-developmental impairment specific to schizophreniform disorder: results from a longitudinal birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2002;59:449-456.
13. Mollon J, David AS, Zammit S, Lewis G, Reichenberg A. Course of Cognitive Development From Infancy to Early Adulthood in the Psychosis Spectrum. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2018;75:270-279.
14. Hameed MA, Lewis AJ, Sullivan S, Zammit S. Child literacy and psychotic experiences in early adolescence: findings from the ALSPAC study. *Schizophr Res.* 2013;145:88-94.

15. Reininghaus U, Rauschenberg C, Ten Have M, de Graaf R, van Dorsselaer S, Simons CJP, Gunther N, Pries LK, Guloksuz S, Radhakrishnan R, Bak M, van Os J. Reasoning bias, working memory performance and a transdiagnostic phenotype of affective disturbances and psychotic experiences in the general population. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49:1799-1809.
16. Kelleher I, Murtagh A, Clarke MC, Murphy J, Rawdon C, Cannon M. Neurocognitive performance of a community-based sample of young people at putative ultra high risk for psychosis: support for the processing speed hypothesis. *Cognitive neuropsychiatry*. 2013;18:9-25.
17. Mackie CJ, Castellanos-Ryan N, Conrod PJ. Developmental trajectories of psychotic-like experiences across adolescence: impact of victimization and substance use. *Psychol Med*. 2011;41:47-58.
18. Wigman JT, van Winkel R, Raaijmakers QA, Ormel J, Verhulst FC, Reijneveld SA, van Os J, Vollebergh WA. Evidence for a persistent, environment-dependent and deteriorating subtype of subclinical psychotic experiences: a 6-year longitudinal general population study. *Psychol Med*. 2011;41:2317-2329.
19. Karcher NR, Barch DM, Avenevoli S, Savill M, Huber RS, Simon TJ, Leckliter IN, Sher KJ, Loewy RL. Assessment of the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version for Measurement of Self-reported Psychoticlike Experiences in Childhood. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018.
20. Karcher NR, Niendam TA, Barch DM. Adverse childhood experiences and psychotic-like experiences are associated above and beyond shared correlates: Findings from the adolescent brain cognitive development study. *Schizophr Res*. 2020.
21. Karcher NR, Shiffman JE, Barch DM. Environmental Risk Factors and Psychotic-Like Symptoms in Children Aged 9-11. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2020.
22. Karcher NR, O'Brien KJ, Kandala S, Barch DM. Resting-State Functional Connectivity and Psychotic-like Experiences in Childhood: Results From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2019.
23. Loewy RL, Pearson R, Vinogradov S, Bearden CE, Cannon TD. Psychosis risk screening with the Prodromal Questionnaire--brief version (PQ-B). *Schizophr Res*. 2011;129:42-46.
24. Townsend L, Kobak K, Kearney C, Milham M, Andreotti C, Escalera J, Alexander L, Gill MK, Birmaher B, Sylvester R, Rice D, Deep A, Kaufman J. Development of Three Web-Based Computerized Versions of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (KSADS-COMP) Child Psychiatric Diagnostic Interview: Preliminary Validity Data. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2019.
25. Kobak KA, Kratochvil CJ, Stanger C, Kaufman J: Computerized screening of comorbidity in adolescents with substance or psychiatric disorders. in Paper presented at the Anxiety Disorders and Depression Conference. La Jolla, CA2013, April.
26. Rice JP, Reich T, Bucholz KK, Neuman RJ, Fishman R, Rochberg N, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JI, Jr., Schuckit MA, Begleiter H. Comparison of direct interview and family history diagnoses of alcohol dependence. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research*. 1995;19:1018-1023.
27. Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Tulsky DS, Zelazo PD, Bauer PJ, Carlozzi NE, Slotkin J, Blitz D, Wallner-Allen K, Fox NA, Beaumont JL, Mungas D, Nowinski CJ, Richler J, Deocampo JA, Anderson JE, Manly JJ, Borosh B, Havlik R, Conway K, Edwards E, Freund L, King JW, Moy C, Witt E, Gershon RC. Cognition assessment using the NIH Toolbox. *Neurology*. 2013;80:S54-64.

28. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Heeringa S, Merikangas KR, Pennell BE, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM. Design and field procedures in the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2009;18:69-83.
29. Fischl B, Sereno MI, Dale AM. Cortical surface-based analysis. II: Inflation, flattening, and a surface-based coordinate system. *Neuroimage.* 1999;9:195-207.
30. Chen CH, Gutierrez ED, Thompson W, Panizzon MS, Jernigan TL, Eyler LT, Fennema-Notestine C, Jak AJ, Neale MC, Franz CE, Lyons MJ, Grant MD, Fischl B, Seidman LJ, Tsuang MT, Kremen WS, Dale AM. Hierarchical genetic organization of human cortical surface area. *Science.* 2012;335:1634-1636.
31. Fischl B, Dale AM. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2000;97:11050-11055.
32. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:14065823.* 2014.
33. Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biometrical journal.* 2008;50:346-363.
34. Magnusson A, Skaug H, Nielsen A, Berg C, Kristensen K, Maechler M, van Bentham K, Bolker B, Brooks M, Brooks MM. Package 'glmmTMB'. R Package Version 02 0. 2017.
35. Mollon J, Reichenberg A. Cognitive development prior to onset of psychosis. *Psychol Med.* 2017;1-12.
36. Schiffman J. Motor Issues in the Clinical High Risk Phase of Psychosis. *Schizophrenia bulletin.* 2017;43:937-938.
37. Pena J, Ojeda N, Segarra R, Eguiluz JI, Garcia J, Gutierrez M. Executive functioning correctly classified diagnoses in patients with first-episode psychosis: evidence from a 2-year longitudinal study. *Schizophr Res.* 2011;126:77-80.
38. Cannon TD, Chung Y, He G, Sun D, Jacobson A, van Erp TG, McEwen S, Addington J, Bearden CE, Cadenhead K, Cornblatt B, Mathalon DH, McGlashan T, Perkins D, Jeffries C, Seidman LJ, Tsuang M, Walker E, Woods SW, Heinssen R. Progressive reduction in cortical thickness as psychosis develops: a multisite longitudinal neuroimaging study of youth at elevated clinical risk. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2015;77:147-157.
39. Dwyer DB, Cabral C, Kambeitz-Ilankovic L, Sanfelici R, Kambeitz J, Calhoun V, Falkai P, Pantelis C, Meisenzahl E, Koutsouleris N. Brain Subtyping Enhances The Neuroanatomical Discrimination of Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44:1060-1069.
40. Chan KL. Comparison of Parent and Child Reports on Child Maltreatment in a Representative Household Sample in Hong Kong. *Journal of family violence.* 2012;27:11-21.

Acknowledgments

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study (<https://abcdstudy.org>), held in the NIMH Data Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than 10,000 children age 9-10 and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood. The ABCD Study is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041022, U01DA041028, U01DA041048, U01DA041089, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041120, U01DA041134, U01DA041148, U01DA041156, U01DA041174, U24DA041123, U24DA041147, U01DA041093, and U01DA041025. A full list of supporters is available at <https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html>. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/Consortium_Members.pdf. ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators.

The ABCD data repository grows and changes over time. The ABCD data used in this report came from DOI 10.15154/1519007.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr. Loewy is a Lundbeck International Neuroscience Foundation faculty member. No other authors report disclosures.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by National Institute on Drug Abuse (U01 DA041120 to D.M.B. and K.J.S.); National Institute of Mental Health (MH014677 and L30 MH120574-01 to N.R.K.) (MH018261-31 to M.S.); National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism K05-AA017242 to K.J.S.); the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) and Fonds de Recherche du Quebec-Sante (FRQS) (C.M.).

Additional Contributions: We thank the families participating in the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development study.

Table 1. Group Characteristics^a

	Sustained dPLEs (n=272)	Transient dPLEs (n=244)	Controls (n=272)	2-Tailed Test Statistic (<i>t</i> , χ^2)	<i>p</i> - value
Sex (% female)	48.2%	56.6%	48.2%	4.75	.09
Race/Ethnicity					
White	37.5%	38.9%	37.5%	0.15	.93
Black	23.2%	21.3%	23.2%	0.33	.85
Asian	0.4%	1.2%	0.4%	1.99	.37
Other	11.0%	11.5%	11.0%	0.03	.98
Hispanic	27.9%	27.0%	27.9%	0.07	.97
Age	9.82 (0.61)	9.92 (0.59)	9.82 (0.61)	0.31	.76

Abbreviation: dPLE=distressing psychotic-like experiences.

^aThe control group was matched to the sustained dPLEs group in terms of propensity scores. HLMs were used to compare means across groups. χ^2 Tests were used to compare ordinal/binary variables across groups.

^bMeans (SEs).

Table 2. Comparisons between Groups for Symptoms, Functional Correlates, Family History of Mental Illness, and Environmental Risk Factors^a

	Sustained dPLEs (n=272)		Transient dPLEs (n=244)		Controls (n=272)		Sustained vs. Transient		Sustained vs. Controls		Transient vs. Control	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	t	FDRp	t	FDRp	t	FDRp
dPLEs												
Baseline	35.39	18.82	20.12	18.86	1.71	2.53	10.75	<.001	24.47	<.001	13.47	<.001
Year 1	35.32	18.50	9.97	14.07	1.46	3.35	20.57	<.001	28.31	<.001	7.17	<.001
Year 2	25.89	14.87	9.91	13.64	0.78	1.59	14.96	<.001	23.86	<.001	9.34	<.001
Use of Mental Health Services and Functional Correlates												
Use of Mental Health Services	0.28	0.45	0.19	0.39	0.13	0.33	2.10	.04	4.36	<.001	2.20	.04
School Performance	3.30	0.74	3.39	0.69	3.62	0.54	-1.35	.18	-5.43	<.001	-3.96	<.001
Drop in Grades	0.18	0.39	0.10	0.30	0.08	0.27	2.99	.004	4.03	<.001	0.94	.35
Number of Friends	23.66	25.01	21.92	20.57	20.61	18.39	1.00	.48	1.54	.37	0.51	.61
Family History												
1 st Degree Psychosis	0.04	0.20	0.04	0.20	0.02	0.15	0.43	.66	1.28	.61	0.83	.61
Any Psychosis	0.17	0.38	0.10	0.30	0.09	0.29	2.65	.01	2.67	.01	-0.04	.97
1 st Degree Depression	0.35	0.48	0.29	0.45	0.24	0.43	1.04	.30	2.32	.06	1.32	.28
1 st Degree Mania	0.07	0.25	0.08	0.27	0.03	0.18	-0.09	.93	0.19	.93	0.27	.93
Other Symptoms												
Parent-Rated Psychotic	0.62	1.89	0.28	1.31	0.14	0.74	2.42	.02	3.82	<.001	1.30	.20
Parent-Rated Bipolar	0.94	1.95	0.52	1.44	0.23	0.58	2.75	.009	5.42	<.001	2.55	.01
Internalizing	2.38	3.55	1.02	2.51	0.12	0.72	6.16	<.001	10.23	<.001	3.85	<.001
Parent-Rated Externalizing	6.57	9.73	3.68	7.54	1.80	4.75	3.64	<.001	6.84	<.001	3.07	.002
Suicidality	0.51	1.30	0.24	0.82	0.02	0.22	3.17	.002	5.73	<.001	2.45	.01
Environmental Risk Factors												
ACEs	1.92	2.32	1.29	1.59	1.09	2.24	3.94	.001	4.74	<.001	1.15	.25
Overall Deprivation Percentile	51.07	28.22	44.11	29.08	42.25	29.58	0.88	.58	0.87	.58	0.06	.95
Lead Exposure Risk	5.72	3.21	5.73	3.07	5.34	3.09	0.10	.92	0.44	.92	0.38	.92
Rate of Poverty	23.91	16.71	22.78	16.72	21.14	17.29	0.16	.87	0.30	.87	0.16	.87
Perceptions of Neighborhood Safety	10.77	3.13	11.22	2.99	11.72	2.92	-1.53	.13	-3.52	.001	-1.91	.08
Drug Crime Exposure	6.77E+03	7.49E+03	6.43E+03	7.58E+03	5.74E+03	7.21E+03	0.30	.77	1.59	.32	1.25	.32
Years at Residence	4.78	4.01	5.57	4.30	5.74	4.26	-1.08	.42	-1.39	.42	-0.37	.71

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229229>; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abbreviations: n =sample size; M =mean; SE =Standard Error; t = t -statistic; FDR_p =False Discovery Rate-corrected p value; dPLEs=distressing psychotic-like experiences; ACEs=Adverse Childhood Experiences.

^aSignificant estimates in bold. Test statistics are 2-tailed.

Table 3. Comparisons between Groups for Neuropsychological Test Performance, Developmental Milestone Delays, and Neuroimaging Risk Factors^a

	Sustained dPLEs (n=272)		Transient dPLEs (n=244)		Controls (n=272)		Sustained vs. Transient		Sustained vs. Controls		Transient vs. Control	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	t	FDR _p	t	FDR _p	t	FDR _p
Neuropsychological Test Performance												
Fluid	86.71	10.35	89.37	10.61	90.87	10.12	-2.50	.01	-5.19	<.001	-2.63	.01
Crystallized	83.12	6.12	83.85	7.02	86.27	6.38	-0.46	.65	-5.71	<.001	-5.22	<.001
List Sorting	91.89	12.41	93.98	11.05	96.15	11.91	-1.73	.08	-4.37	<.001	-2.58	.02
Pattern Comparison	84.49	14.93	86.86	14.36	87.69	14.11	-1.52	.19	-2.88	.01	-1.32	.19
Picture Vocabulary	80.80	6.71	81.77	7.72	83.80	8.11	-0.94	.35	-5.05	<.001	-4.09	<.001
Reading	88.45	6.78	88.72	7.24	91.35	6.10	0.21	.83	-4.81	<.001	-4.96	<.001
Flanker	92.56	9.34	92.66	10.09	93.78	8.68	0.25	.80	-1.49	.21	-1.72	.21
Card Sorting	88.71	10.97	91.42	10.19	91.89	9.62	-2.52	.02	-3.79	.001	-1.20	.23
Picture	99.21	11.93	101.45	12.25	102.47	12.57	-1.60	.16	-3.07	.007	-1.40	.16
Developmental Milestone Delays												
Motor	0.51	0.84	0.47	0.89	0.36	0.71	0.95	.34	1.94	.16	0.95	.34
Speech	0.36	0.63	0.30	0.60	0.19	0.48	0.93	.35	3.18	.005	2.22	.04
Clumsiness	0.21	0.41	0.15	0.36	0.13	0.34	1.69	.14	2.52	.04	0.78	.48
Roll Over	0.07	0.26	0.09	0.29	0.07	0.25	-1.02	.46	0.35	.73	1.38	.46
Sit Unassisted	0.05	0.22	0.07	0.26	0.04	0.20	-1.44	.23	0.23	.82	1.68	.23
Walk Unassisted	0.05	0.21	0.05	0.22	0.03	0.18	0.09	.93	0.89	.64	0.79	.64
Subjective Motor Delays	0.13	0.34	0.10	0.30	0.09	0.28	0.84	.57	1.42	.47	0.57	.57
Speak First Word	0.14	0.34	0.11	0.31	0.07	0.26	0.82	.41	2.58	.03	1.73	.11
Subjective Speech Delays	0.22	0.42	0.18	0.39	0.13	0.33	0.83	.41	2.63	.03	1.77	.11
Global Structural MRI												
Surface Area	1.83E+05	1.95E+04	1.82E+05	1.80E+04	1.85E+05	2.11E+04	-0.16	.88	-1.94	.12	-1.77	.12
Cortical Thickness	2.74E+00	1.18E-01	2.75E+00	1.16E-01	2.76E+00	1.13E-01	-1.37	.26	-2.41	.049	-1.03	.30
Intracranial Volume	1.46E+06	1.48E+05	1.47E+06	1.46E+05	1.50E+06	1.45E+05	-0.28	.78	-2.34	.06	-2.05	.06
Supratentorial Volume	9.22E+05	9.22E+04	9.22E+05	9.22E+04	9.22E+05	9.22E+04	0.03	.98	-2.54	.02	-2.56	.02
Total Cortical Volume	5.76E+05	5.84E+04	5.77E+05	5.45E+04	5.87E+05	6.03E+04	-0.45	.65	-2.61	.03	-2.14	.049
Total Subcortical	5.87E+04	5.12E+03	5.84E+04	4.54E+03	5.97E+04	5.74E+03	0.99	.32	-2.94	.005	-3.93	<.001

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229229>; this version posted November 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Volume												
Resting State Functional Connectivity												
CON	0.26	0.10	0.26	0.10	0.29	0.10	1.26	.21	-2.14	.0496	-3.37	.002
CPAR	0.71	0.29	0.74	0.31	0.76	0.29	-1.16	.55	-0.91	.55	0.28	.78
DMN	0.22	0.09	0.23	0.09	0.24	0.08	-1.42	.23	-1.81	.21	-0.34	.73
CON-cerebellum	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.11	0.04	0.07	-1.06	.29	1.17	.29	2.22	.08
CPAR-cerebellum	-0.03	0.15	-0.01	0.15	0.01	0.16	-0.18	0.86	-1.65	.22	-1.44	.22


Abbreviations: n=sample size; M=mean; SE=Standard Error; t=t-statistic; FDRp=False Discovery Rate-corrected p value; CON=cingulo-opercular; CPAR=cingulo-pareital; DMN=default mode.

^aSignificant estimates in bold. Test statistics are 2-tailed.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Average distressing psychotic-like experience (dPLE) scores for participants at each assessment wave (0=baseline; 1=year 1; 2=year 2) for each of the groups and for the entire sample. Error bars reflect 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 2. Effect sizes (Cohen's D) for each of differences between the groups for risk factors with significant effects (Abbreviations: ACEs=Adverse Childhood Events; CON=cingulo-opercular).



SUSTAINED VERSUS TRANSIENT dPLEs

Karcher 27

