

# Primary school staff reflections on school closures due to COVID-19 and recommendations for the future: a national qualitative survey.

Emily Marchant<sup>1\*</sup>, Charlotte Todd<sup>1</sup>, Michaela James<sup>1</sup>, Tom Crick<sup>2</sup>, Russell Dwyer<sup>3</sup>, Sinead Brophy<sup>1</sup>

1. Medical School, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom
2. School of Education, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom
3. St Thomas Community Primary School, Swansea, United Kingdom

\*Corresponding author

Email: [E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk](mailto:E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk)

## Abstract

School closures due to the COVID-19 global pandemic are likely to have a range of negative consequences spanning the domains of child development, education and health, in addition to the widening of inequalities and inequities. Research is required to improve understanding of the impact of school closures on the education, health and wellbeing of pupils and school staff, the challenges posed during reopening and importantly to identify how countries can ensure a safe return to education and to inform policy. This qualitative study aimed to reflect on the experience of primary school staff (pupils aged 3-11) in Wales regarding school closures and the initial reopening of schools and to identify recommendations for the future. A total of 208 school staff completed a national online survey through the HAPPEN primary school network, consisting of questions about school closures, the phased reopening of schools and a return to full-time education. Thematic analysis of survey responses identified five recommendations; (i) prioritise the health and wellbeing of pupils and staff. This includes more focus on wellbeing activities and less focus on attainment/assessments and protecting staff breaks to promote workplace wellbeing; (ii) focus on enabling parental engagement and support. Introduce support sessions for parents and include regular check ins during periods of home learning; (iii) improve digital competence amongst pupils, teachers and parents. Ensure the provision of equipment and training in building digital skills. Offer a combination of paper-based and digital home learning activities; (iv) consider opportunities for smaller class sizes and additional staffing. Ensure support is directed to need whilst providing pastoral care; and (v) improve the mechanism of communication between schools and families, and between government and schools. Ensure that schools receive advance notice of local or national changes in guidance.

## Background

The COVID-19 global pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in the temporary closure of education across all settings and a rapid move to “emergency remote teaching”. School closures were implemented as a public health measure to reduce social contacts and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in countries worldwide. At its peak, school closures were experienced by over 1.6 billion pupils from more than 190 countries globally [1]. Children under 14 years appear to have lower susceptibility of infection than adults and school-aged children display a reduced risk of clinical symptoms [2–6]. A recent rapid systematic review assessing the effectiveness of school closures during past and present coronavirus outbreaks suggested that school closures are likely to have only a relatively small impact on reducing overall transmission [7]. Among the 16 studies considered, the review concluded that the current evidence to support national school closures is weak given the characteristics of COVID-19 dynamics in school-aged children. However, school closures have wider and unintended consequences spanning the domains of child development, health, social and economic outcomes [8–10]. Closing schools may cause economic harms at both a family and societal level through a loss of parental productivity, earnings and childcare responsibilities [11]. Prolonged periods away from school may also cause demand for the provision of adapted education practice, likely requiring significant parental input, engagement and a positive home learning environment [12]. In addition, the likely negative impacts on learning progression, social interaction and physical and mental health must also be considered [13]. Most notably, a consequence of school closures is the widening of inequalities in children’s health and education outcomes. Survey research conducted by the UK’s National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER)

demonstrated that over half of teachers reported that the learning gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers has widened. Estimates suggest a 46% increase in the curriculum learning gap, and primary school teachers suggesting larger reductions in children's learning than secondary school teachers [14].

A recent report by the Royal Society's Data Evaluation and Learning for Viral Epidemics (DELVE) group examining the benefits and risks of school closures concluded that keeping schools open should be a national priority, given both the immediate and longer term negative consequences [13]. However, there is currently little evidence regarding the challenges posed to schools during lockdown and reopening. There needs to be research examining the impact of reopening schools not just on the epidemic curve, but also on the education, health and wellbeing of pupils and school staff [15,16]. In addition, research is required to improve understanding of the impact of school closures on children, and importantly to identify how countries can ensure a safe return to education and to better shape and inform policy [7].

In Wales, one of the four home nations of the UK, education is a devolved responsibility of the Welsh Government. The announcement of school closures came into effect on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2020, alongside the rapid development and implementation of a "continuity of learning" policy [17]. Following a lockdown period of over 14 weeks, schools reopened using a phased approach with limited capacity on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2020 for a period of "check in, catch up and prepare". All schools in Wales reopened from 1<sup>st</sup> September 2020 for a two week period to return to full capacity, with statutory education resuming on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2020. School operation consists of a number of measures in order to minimise the risks of COVID-19 transmission. These include the use of contact group 'bubbles', limiting contact between groups, social distancing between staff, pupils, parents and visitors, and the

requirement of group isolation following COVID-19 outbreaks supported by the “Test Trace Protect” strategy [18,19]. Schools are also adopting a blended learning approach through a combination of in-school and remote teaching when necessary. The delivery of an adapted approach to teaching, learning, and school operation and the continual management of risk are required whilst also addressing the impact and challenges as a result of school closures. Given the need to ensure a safe return to education, it is essential to understand the experiences of primary school staff. Therefore, the aim of this qualitative study is to reflect on primary school staffs’ experience of school closures and the initial reopening of schools and to identify recommendations for the future and a return to full-time education.

## Methods

This study adopted a qualitative design in order to understand the perspectives of primary school staff (working with pupils aged 3-11) regarding school closures and the reopening of schools within Wales, United Kingdom. An online survey with primary school staff (headteachers, deputy headteachers, teachers, teaching assistants, support staff) was distributed in July 2020 through the HAPPEN primary school network (Health and Attainment of Pupils in a Primary Education Network) [20]. Thematic analysis was used to generate themes based on survey responses to gain an understanding into the experiences of primary school staff during the period of school closures (March to July 2020) and the reopening of schools (September 2020).

## Participants

A purposive sample of staff (headteachers, deputy headteachers, teachers, teaching assistants, support staff) working in primary schools across Wales were invited to participate in the HAPPEN School Staff survey in July 2020. All primary schools in Wales (which include pupils from ages 3-11) were contacted via email through HAPPEN inviting their staff to complete the survey. A snowball method was encouraged in which the representative from each school was asked to share the survey with staff within the primary school.

A total of 208 staff from 78 primary schools across 16 local authorities completed the survey (8% did not provide details on school name or local authority). The sample consisted of 20 headteachers, 9 deputy headteachers, 106 teachers, 54 teaching assistants, 3 higher level teaching assistants, 9 support staff and 6 other (e.g. supply teacher, special needs/additional learning needs teacher). The survey was open to staff across all years of primary school (reception: ages 4-5; year 1: ages 5-6; year 2: ages 6-7; year 3: ages 7-8; year 4: ages 8-9; year 5: ages 9-10; year 6: ages 10-11). Of those that provided information on year group, 41% worked within the Foundation Phase (ages 3-7) and 59% in Key Stage 2 (ages 7-11). Information regarding the proportion of pupils eligible for free school meals (FSM) within each school was obtained from 84% of the sample and ranged from 1.7-58.7% (national average 19%). 50% of school staff worked in schools with a proportion of pupils eligible for FSM greater than the national average (>19%).

## Ethics

Information sheets and consent forms were distributed to participants detailing the aims of the study. To participate in the survey, primary school staff were required to provide

acknowledgment they understood the information sheet and consented to participate at the start of the online survey. Ethical approval was granted by the Swansea University Medical School Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 2017-0033D). All participants were reminded of their right to withdraw from the research at any point. All personal data such as school names were anonymised. Electronic data (survey responses) were stored in password protected files.

## School staff survey

The online school staff survey consisted of questions about the logistics of the phased reopening of schools, the experiences of staff regarding benefits/negative effects of lockdown and school closures on children in their class/school, recommendations for a future lockdown/school closures, in addition to concerns and recommendations for the full-time reopening of schools. These questions were open-ended in order to gather information rich responses regarding participants' views, experiences and recommendations. For the purpose of this research study, responses relating to lockdown/school closures were gathered from the following questions; (i) *'Have you seen any benefits of lockdown for children in your class/school? (This could be about learning or the wider benefits)'*, (ii) *'Have you seen any negative effects of lockdown for children in your class/school? (This could be about learning or the wider risks)'* and (iii) *'If lockdown happened again, what do you think should be done differently?'*. Responses relating to the full-time return to education were gathered from the following questions; (iv) *'How do you feel about all children returning to school in September?'*, (v) *'What are your main concerns about returning to school in September?'* and (vi) *'What would help to make this easier?'*. To give a quantitative understanding of the type

of support children require on return, the survey also asked a multiple choice question of “*What professional development, training or support do you think you may need to support your return to school?*”, with options of *digital skills, supporting learner health and wellbeing, health and safety training, teaching and learning approaches or other.*

## Data analysis

This research study adopted an interpretive approach through thematic analysis of survey responses in order to gain an understanding of the perspectives and recommendations of primary school staff regarding school closures and the full-time reopening of schools. Responses from the school staff survey were downloaded to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. The lead researcher (EM) followed an open coding process in which words and phrases were assigned to participants’ responses. Another researcher (CT) independently coded random survey responses from 50 participants. These coding responses were compared between researchers to ensure accuracy and consistency. Agreement between researchers was very high; if there was a discrepancy or disagreement, a third researcher adjudicated. Following this, the two researchers worked together through an extensive process of categorising codes into themes and sub-theme headings. These themes and sub-themes were then grouped into over-arching recommendations based on school staff suggestions for future school closures and the reopening of schools.

## Results

### Recommendation 1: Prioritise the health and wellbeing of pupils and staff

A key priority highlighted by school staff was the importance of prioritising the health and wellbeing of pupils and staff, with school staff consistently mentioning the negative impact of school closures on children’s physical and mental health and social development. There was a recognition that some children were very supported in lockdown, with potential benefits to their wellbeing; *“Spending time with family, baking, walking etc has been hugely beneficial to their wellbeing”* (headteacher). However, this was not the case for all children in which *“[Lockdown] has been good for children to spend more time with families. But not so great for some”* (teacher).

There were significant concerns expressed by school staff regarding wellbeing, anxiety and general mental health problems. One headteacher incorporated quantitative measures of children’s wellbeing during lockdown and reported that *“assessments undertaken since lockdown indicate a decline in emotional well-being”*. In addition, of the categorical responses asking school staff what professional development or training staff required upon the return to school, 62% chose *‘Supporting learning health and wellbeing’*, highlighting the demand for additional support within the school setting.

Many teachers also felt that pupils were engaging in less physical activity during lockdown, *“less active”* (year 1 teacher), and others perceiving a *“lack of physical fitness”* (reception teacher). In addition, weight gain was highlighted by a number of teachers; *“some children have put on an unhealthy amount of weight”* (year 2 teaching assistant).

Upon the phased return to school in July, school staff observed that *“some seem lethargic coming into school and parents report poor sleep routines”* (headteacher). Others perceived that the lack of school routine impacted subsequent class behaviour, stating there were *“discipline issues with pupils not having the school structure for a long time”* (year 3 teacher). The challenge of children resuming school routines after a long period away from the school environment was highlighted; *“the children will have been affected by being out of school for so long, and will have trouble re-adjusting to school life”* (year 2 teacher). This was also raised by school staff in relation to children with additional learning needs (ALN); *“ALN children especially finding this time difficult - Struggles due to lack of routine for many”* (year 2 teacher).

Staff were concerned about the lack of social interaction during the period of school closures, with those teaching younger year groups referencing pupils to be *“isolated”* (reception teacher), *“distanced from peers”* (year 2 teacher) and commenting that *“children reluctant to speak in group contexts”* (year 3 teacher). Some teachers had received concerns from parents regarding their children; *“Some parents have informed us that their children are quite withdrawn and have stopped connecting with friends”* (year 4 teacher), and other teachers highlighted similar experiences; *“Some pupils are quieter and withdrawn”* (year 6 teacher). In addition, primary school staff also reported a perceived increase in screen time, social media use and gaming, with responses including *“a lot of time spent on computers and not going outside”* (year 2 teaching assistant), and *“out of routines. Playing inappropriate games and excessive screen time”* (year 3 teacher).

Therefore, a key recommendation for potential school closures or remote learning (e.g. self-isolating) was the importance of maintaining and promoting good health and wellbeing. This included suggestions of *“more wellbeing phone calls to children and parents”*

(reception teacher). A number of teachers suggested that this should be prioritised immediately for example through *“wellbeing checks from the start, more interaction with children from the start”* (year 1 teacher).

On the phased reopening, school staff noticed large variation in children’s abilities and the support children had received while at home. A significant concern was the regression of key skills and knowledge during the period of school closures; *“Many not accessing learning and falling behind or regressing”* (reception support staff), *“retention of skills has weakened”* (year 4 teacher), and *“their motivation has reduced. Key skills deteriorated. Knowledge lost”* (year 3 teacher). Given this skill regression reported, some feared that *“there might be a push on rushing straight back into learning at a fast pace where I think the health and wellbeing needs to be the main focus”* (higher level teaching assistant). Increased inequalities and gaps in learning were consistently highlighted by school staff, with responses including *“children’s wellbeing, gaps in learning - especially between groups of learners who have engaged and those who haven’t with home learning”* (year 4 teacher). Increasing inequalities were also highlighted regarding children with ALN as *“some have had no learning as have either not the support at home to help or have refused to work outside of the school setting...list is endless working at a SEN [special educational needs] school”* (teaching assistant) and *“The vulnerable and ALN pupils generally have not engaged in the sessions”* (headteacher).

Despite the learning regression observed, it was still felt that prioritising health and wellbeing was essential upon return to school, particularly with the uncertainty surrounding the longer-term impacts of COVID-19 on the wider education system. Thus, upon the return to school a teacher called for *“the ability to support pupils emotionally as well as forwarding their education”* (year 5 teacher). Suggestions of removing pressure from formal tests and assessments in order to focus on wellbeing and resuming school routine were made,

addressing the concern by school staff of readjusting back to school life; *“Support from senior management to allow us to nurture the children and settle them before they start learning. Less pressure about assessments and attainments and more focus on the children and their needs and feelings”* (higher level teaching assistant). Removing this pressure placed upon school assessments and attainment was highlighted by a range of school staff, with responses including *“less emphasis on school results/comparing of schools attainment/moderation”* (deputy headteacher), and *“no target setting or data collection next year”*.

Recommendations for prioritising health and wellbeing within the school environment included *“planned activities around the well-being of children”* (year 3 teaching assistant) and *“designated staff in each school to help with well-being”* (year 3 teacher). In addition, school staff advocated for time outdoors through outdoor learning and play provision, *“Timetabled regular outdoor sessions, some planned, some free play”* (reception teacher) with support to *“help take groups outside to work on health and well-being”* (year 6 teacher). In order to maintain time spent outside, one teacher recommended that *“we need outdoor shelters so we can do more outside work and the bubbles”* (year 2 teacher).

School staff also raised concerns that their own wellbeing had been ignored during the period of school closures and returning to school. School staff responses regarding lockdown and remote learning included the challenges of combining work and home life in not being able to ‘switch off’; *“the lines blurred with work life balance”* (headteacher) and that there should be *“less pressure on teachers to continue to provide the same level of work whilst working from home trying to support our own families”* (year 4 teacher). One suggestion in the case of a future potential school closures or periods of self-isolation was to ensure that school bulletins, emails and texts stayed within school time. Others advocated for

more flexibility such as *“flexible hours”* (year 3 teacher) and *“flexible working for teachers”* (year 4 teacher).

Upon the phased reopening, school staff commented that *“all stakeholders don't seem to be considering the staff's well-being and worries and anxieties about the whole school return”* (year 6 teacher), and that there were *“staff anxieties with more children and less social distancing”* (headteacher). Concerns were also raised about staff wellbeing in relation to adhering to social distancing and the lack of contact with other school staff; *“Staff isolation - the very nature of primary school approach is collaboration and support...But this is why I mentioned 'staff isolation' and protected breaks - it's an issue”* (year 6 teacher). Therefore, within the school day, staff recommended *“protecting staff breaks (which is essential and hasn't happened during 'return to school')”* (year 6 teacher).

To address issues of school staff wellbeing, a headteacher emphasised that *“Government need to address teacher anxiety. We need to know that our health is also a priority”*, whilst another teacher encouraged schools *“to continue to be supportive of each other and each other's wellbeing”* (year 6 teacher).

Fears regarding infection transmission within the school setting were highlighted consistently, and school staff called for scientific evidence to support the return to school; *“Having more concrete medical information stating that I am not putting my life at jeopardy being amongst so many and not being able to socially distance. Not being able to protect myself, the pupil I look after or others around him”* (year 1 teaching assistant). Other transmission concerns amongst staff were raised by those deemed as high risk, or with vulnerable family members; *“As a vulnerable member of staff I fear going back with a full class and spending the day trying to distance myself. How can I teach properly like that?”* (year

1 teacher) and another stating *“I risk taking the virus home to members of my family who are extremely vulnerable”* (support staff).

Recommendations to address this included regular virus testing and increased availability of testing for pupils and school staff, with responses including *“routine testing of school staff including being given an anti-body test”* (year 3 teacher) and *“regular and continuous testing in schools, and strict self-isolating for bubbles”* (year 4 teacher). Furthermore, school staff emphasised the importance of having effective test, trace and protect programmes; *“A more robust track and trace system where people are informed of numbers in areas etc”* (deputy headteacher). There was indeed overlap here with recommendation 5 regarding clearer guidance and communication.

## Recommendation 2: Focus on enabling parental support and engagement

The importance of the home learning environment during school closures was highlighted as a key factor in children’s learning progression. School staff spoke about some of the benefits of engaged parents providing one-to-one attention to their children. Engaged parents was also reflected in improved relationships with and communication between the school and parents; *“We’ve established an excellent working relationship with parents. Parents have taken more responsibility over their children’s learning and wellbeing”* (headteacher) and school staff commented; *“A massive improvement witnessed in the communication between myself, school and parents”* (reception teacher).

Of benefit to schools were discussions that *“parents more aware of the learning needs of their children”* (year 3 teacher) and a sense of *“parents’ realisation of what pupils are*

*taught*” (year 3 teacher). For some, this enabled parents to communicate with schools regarding extra support for their children; *“Parents have become more aware of what their children can and cannot do and have sought advice about how to address the areas of concern”* (year 4 teacher).

However, school staff highlighted wide variations in home learning provision; *“For those children who have had parents who have supported them with online learning this has been great”*. Discussions on this topic included the inequalities and inequities present and a sense of widening gaps in learning was projected; *“Gaps in learning depending on parental support”* (deputy headteacher). Another headteacher affirmed that for these pupils, *“their home life has provided limited stimulation”*.

Therefore, some teachers recommended that there needed to be *“more accountability from certain parents”* (year 4 teacher) and others discussed this in relation to parental engagement, stating the importance of *“finding a way of stressing to disengaged parents the importance of completing some work at home”* (reception teacher). Recommendations to address this included *“parents being required to check in weekly”* (year 1 teacher).

However, many school staff discussed the various challenges that acted as a barrier to parents in facilitating home learning. This included parental working commitments including children of parents working in “key worker” occupations, recognised as those that are critical to the COVID-19 response such as roles in health and social care; *“Children of critical care workers have had limited support from parents re learning due to them working very long hours”* (headteacher), a number of children in the household, and parents with other working responsibilities that did not classify as a “key worker”; *“Parents who are still working (not eligible for hub) find it difficult to teach pupils and work particularly if there are two or more*

*children at home*” (year 3 teacher). Additional challenges for home learning were present, for example for those pupils *“who have parents with little or no English”* (teacher). School staff also found that some *“parents find teaching the work difficult”* (year 1 teacher) and suggested additional challenges for children with ALN such as *“stress for parents/carers as I teach children with ALN”* (teacher), and more broadly *“living with stressed parents”* (year 5 teacher).

In order to address this, staff recommended that *“parents need to be supported more to complete the home learning”* (headteacher) and suggestions included that *“parents had access to a local helpline that could direct them for support”* (headteacher). Other recommendations to support parents with home learning included meetings and live feeds with teachers to access support; *“Possibly meetings with parents, to offer support and guidance in regards to best way to support their child at home. Opportunities for parents to access live feeds with teachers - if only to reassure and encourage”* (year 4 teacher). In addition, a more universal and regular approach to supporting parents and families was suggested; *“Weekly check in with those families who need support, not just those on the vulnerable list”* (year 6 teacher). Other challenges relating to parental engagement encompassed barriers regarding digital support that will be outlined in the following recommendation.

School staff also recommended working with parents regarding their expectations and suggested asking *“parents’ views about home learning”* to ensure that parental expectations aligned with school priorities. In addition, primary school staff highlighted the importance of building rapport with parents to ensure *“better home/school links set up beforehand”* (year 1 teacher). Others recommended more direct communication between teachers and parents *“rather than just headteacher to parents”* (reception teacher) and emphasised that communication with parents should be an ongoing process.

### Recommendation 3: Enhance (pupil, parent and staff) digital competence through increased access and support

During lockdown and school closures, teaching was achieved primarily through digital methods requiring children to engage with remote learning online. Thus, this required access to appropriate technology and a general understanding and competence of basic digital skills. School staff highlighted that this acted as a barrier to progression with learning for some children as *“some children were unable to access online learning”* (year 2 teaching assistant) and others stated that *“lots of children who were digitally excluded suffered as the extra digital resources were not made available to the children until weeks into lockdown”* (year 6 teacher).

Competing demands for use of digital technology within the household prevented children from learning; *“Lack of IT equipment where some members of the family use the equipment”* (teacher), *“some large families are having to share one device”* (year 3 teacher) and *“some children were working from phones”* (year 4 teacher).

It was reported by school staff that parents with lower digital competence skills and a lack of access to digital equipment were contributing to widening gaps; *“Widened gap of those that have/have not devices and know-how. Lack of parental knowledge of technology has hindered some”* (deputy headteacher) and *“some parents have been reluctant to use Hwb [national virtual learning environment and resource repository] as they are not familiar with it”* (year 2 teacher). A concern for schools was that *“some parents were too embarrassed to ask for help in regards to support with technology”* (year 4 teacher).

Therefore, access to digital equipment was a consistent recommendation in the case of a blended learning approach; *“all pupils need to be given a laptop/internet access if they don’t already have one. Lessons introductions should be recorded”* (year 6 teacher) and *“ensure all children are equipped with digital equipment to access online learning”* (year 3 teacher). Gathering information on children’s access to digital equipment was suggested through a *“survey of access for pupils regarding IT access and internet access”* (teacher). In addition to the provision of equipment, school staff highlighted that digital training may be required for pupils; *“Children need to be trained up on how to access digital resources and live lessons beforehand”* (year 3 teacher).

Schools attempted to support those pupils without equipment through the provision of resources; *“Some pupils didn't have digital devices but this was dealt with by loaning Chrome Books”* (Year 2 teaching assistant). However, where this was not possible, a recommendation involved the combination of both digital and paper-based options for children without access to appropriate technology; *“Paper based work for pupils who cannot access online work”* (year 5 teacher).

Additional digital training for teachers was also advocated as challenges were raised including *“staff confidence in use of technology and the pitfalls of live streaming”* (headteacher). Therefore, digital support was recommended; *“teachers trained to be able to deliver online teaching”* (reception teacher), and references to blended learning were also made; *“ensure that teachers have training in blended learning and that the approach is consistent across the school”* (year 6 teacher).

Regarding internet access in the home, a teacher recommended to *“ensure all parents have access to the Internet for online learning”* (year 2 teacher). However, internet access without digital knowledge or competence was a barrier to parents. Therefore, supporting

parents with digital training was also advocated, with suggestions such as *“have sessions with parents on how to use Google Classroom to ensure they can support learning”* (year 4 teacher), and ensuring *“a support process in place for those parents who encounter any online difficulties”* (higher level teaching assistant).

## Recommendation 4: Adapt the learning environment and teaching practice

During the phased reopening of schools and a return to full-time education, school staff discussed the factors relating to the learning environment and teaching practice that required adaptations in order to support children’s learning, wellbeing and address the widened inequalities. The most consistent factors suggested by school staff were that of smaller class sizes and additional staff. Many school staff commented on the benefits of smaller class sizes during the phased return to school. The guidance on reduced pupil attendance during this period forced the need for smaller class sizes and many reflected on the positives of this; *“The children have thrived in smaller groups. We have seen quieter pupils come out of their shells as they have not been 'drowned out' by the more enthusiastic. They have also had more individual support. We have seen a number of pupils learning more due to being in a smaller group”* (headteacher).

The reduced numbers in class during the phased return to school allowed for a focus to be placed on whole-school wellbeing, addressing previous concerns and recommendations regarding prioritising wellbeing. In addition, it allowed extra support for pupils that required help; *“Smaller classes have provided more quality 'chats' with teacher which has benefitted the children's wellbeing and been particularly helpful in reducing levels of anxiety. The more*

*relaxed structure has had positive effects on staff and pupil wellbeing on the whole” (year 6 support staff).*

Reduced class sizes and staffing capacity was discussed in relation to the ratio of staff to pupils and being able to provide additional support where required as a result of widened gaps in learning; *“Having full classes and not having the time to talk to the pupils who will really need it. Pressure to help those pupils who haven’t engaged in any learning to catch up” (year 3 teacher).*

Recommendations for the longer-term changes in class size were relayed and the benefits this could bring to pupils’ learning; *“Smaller class sizes and more staff - the reason why the private sector do so well...The pupils have said how it is easier to learn within a smaller group” (headteacher).* However, this also required additional staffing capacity, with the need for more staff and funding; *“Support in regards to mental health and wellbeing, possibly reduced class sizes, extra support for pastoral care and to help catch up. More funding” (year 4 teacher)*

Funding and investment by the government requiring long-term commitment to support adaptations to current education practice was recommended. This would enable schools in reducing class sizes, especially in the context of major national curriculum reform in Wales that was published in January 2020, to start from September 2022 [21]. This included ensuring the necessary support and capacity for pupils was available; *“I understand that we have to get the economy going but if the Government wants children to 'catch up' they need to understand the positive impact of being in smaller groups. Due to budget constraints we have had large classes to keep jobs - now is the time to INVEST in learning - class sizes no greater than 20. This will have a massive impact on pupil learning” (headteacher).* Extra staffing was also suggested in relation to school autonomy, with a teacher suggesting the

need for *“funding for extra staff to manage the needs of the individual school”* (year 5 teacher).

Finally, the enhanced cleaning and hygiene practices that schools need to adopt on return was also remarked upon as a concern of school staff in terms of the expectations, time taken and support; *“Maintaining good hand hygiene with a full class of 30 will be very difficult to monitor and very time consuming”* (year 5 teacher). Teachers were concerned that cleaning time will be time taken away from supporting children with learning; *“Staff regularly cleaning surfaces and toilets and won’t have much time to support children”* (headteacher). However, ensuring schools maintained an effective cleaning practice was recommended; *“I think we need to try it and make it as safe as we possibly can by making sure we have good hygiene practice in place”* (reception teacher).

## Recommendation 5: Clear communication of guidance and expectations

Regarding a full-time return to education, school staff advocated for advance notice from government and public health officials regarding any new changes in guidance to schools. Senior leadership and teachers advocated for *“clear guidance from day one”* (headteacher) and *“clear communications between Government, local authorities and schools”* (reception teacher). Clear guidance was a consistent recommendation by school staff who called for *“proactive communication from Government”* (year 6 teacher) and *“precise guidelines from the Government”* (headteacher).

Others called for an understanding of school needs and contexts, highlighting a need for *“clear guidance from Government with an understanding of how schools operate”*

(reception teacher). School staff recommended that it was essential that communication between government and schools was done so transparently and on a regular and rapid basis; *“More information being given to schools explaining the reasoning behind decisions made, given to us before the general public rather than at the same time”* (year 3 teacher). However, a mistrust in statistics and scientific evidence was highlighted, with a learning support teacher stating there was a *“lack of confidence in the data we are given”*.

Schools being given advance notice of guidance and decision making was valued as important, suggesting for *“clearer information from government. Consult teachers before making decisions and let schools know their plans before announcements to the public”* (year 3 teacher) and *“knowing earlier so we can prepare”* (support staff). In particular, clear guidance and communication was highlighted consistently in relation to providing schools with adequate preparation time *“to have time to implement and understand it. Ask questions if needed”*.

Schools required regular government communication to include information on expectations; *“Regular updates for parents as well as schools on expectations of pupils with regards to Government guidelines”* (reception teacher). School staff also commented that improved governmental decision-making and communication would facilitate school-to-parent dialogue, allowing schools to share information regarding school practice with parents in a timely manner and aid school preparation and planning; *“Better communication with schools from the Government so that we could pass on information to parents more effectively”* (deputy headteacher). It was also suggested that this would help to manage parental expectations regarding school operation and children’s learning; *“Clear guidance and expectations from the government in order to make plans and inform parents of what*

*school will look like...We cannot plan unless we have been told what it needs to look like”* (year 1 teacher).

School staff leadership advocated for a *“flexible curriculum. Trust teachers’ professional judgement of their children”* (deputy headteacher) and *“accepting our school autonomy, trust us, let us innovate”* (headteacher). Strong leadership by senior management was highlighted as important by school staff, with benefits to teacher confidence and trust associated with a supportive headteacher; *“I’m also certain that my headteacher will be very supportive in the process as she always is and things will be made easier with her guidance”* (higher level teaching assistant).

Regarding a blended learning approach, teachers advocated for more *“consistent expectations of how teachers plan for home learning by their school”* (year 3 teacher). Generally, references to consistency encompassed a school’s approach, expectations and organisation to ensure that there is *“a plan in place if this happens again to make sure all pupils are involved in keeping up with their learning”* (reception teacher).

## Discussion

Schools are now having to operate under a ‘new normal’, ensuring a return to education also incorporates strict hygiene measures, the operation of class ‘bubbles’ and social distancing. This requires rapid adaptation and widespread changes in education practice and operational management. In addition, schools are tasked with ensuring children catch up on missed learning as a result of school closures, whilst also protecting and promoting their health and wellbeing.

This study proposes five recommendations based on the perspectives of primary school staff during the period of school closures and the phased reopening of schools. These recommendations aim to identify the priorities for primary schools in the effective delivery of education encompassing a blended learning approach. Specifically, findings from this study recommend to; (i) prioritise the health and wellbeing of pupils and staff; (ii) focus on enabling parental support and engagement; (iii) enhance (pupil, parent and staff) digital competence through increased access and support; (iv) adapt the learning environment and teaching practice; and (v) ensure clear communication of guidance and expectations.

Of great importance to primary school staff during lockdown and in considering potential future school closures was the health and wellbeing of the school population. Perceptions of school staff within this study highlighted the negative impact of school closures on children's health and wellbeing. During lockdown, some staff felt that children were engaging in less physical activity, increased sedentary time and screen time, were socially isolated and lacked a routine. Upon the phased reopening of schools in the summer term of 2020, school staff observed some pupils' weight gain, lethargy, anxiety, low mood and social disconnection. Initial findings from the Co-SPACE study demonstrate similar findings in which parents/carers of primary school-aged children report a significant increase in their child's emotional, behavioural and attentional difficulties during a one month period of lockdown [22]. A recent rapid systematic review has also highlighted the longer term risks to children's mental health, with loneliness being associated with episodes of depression, and worse outcomes observed in scenarios of forced isolation such as school closures and quarantine measures [23]. In addition, parent-reported information collected through the UK's Office for National Statistics (ONS) suggests that worries about missing school was the most common concern of pandemic-related anxiety for children [24]. Much of this research however, is

based on parents or staff perceptions of how children’s health has been affected and it will also be important to consider research regarding objective measures and accounts from children themselves [25,26].

Recommendations by school staff in this study were made to remove the pressure placed on assessments and attainment and rather, focus on children’s wellbeing. This finding has been brought up in previous research [27] but has again been brought to the forefront of attention in the context of the current situation. This is of particular relevance in Wales, as the Welsh Government published the major reforms to the national curriculum in January 2020, to phase in for learners from September 2022 [21]. Linking back to a number of themes identified in this study, one of the six “areas of learning and experience” in the new Curriculum for Wales is “Health & Wellbeing”, which encompasses “*physical health and development, mental health, and emotional and social well-being*”, increasing the prominence and profile of this topic within schools [28].

Staff in this study also advocated for teacher wellbeing to be considered and prioritised, conveying the difficulty in maintaining a work/life balance during lockdown due to increased pressure, higher workloads and personal challenges such as childcare. These challenges and wider impacts have been recognised across all educational settings, including higher education [29]. A survey examining teacher wellbeing during lockdown highlighted that primary school teachers reported lower wellbeing than secondary teachers, and lower levels further displayed by those in a senior leadership positions [30]. These findings, and those reported in this study highlight the importance of prioritising not just pupil wellbeing, but also that of school staff during future scenarios of blended learning and within the return to full-time education. Within this study, staff isolation due to social distancing requirements and a lack of face-to-face interaction with colleagues was highlighted, and a recommendation

by school staff was protecting their staff breaks to promote wellbeing. Indeed, Roffey highlights that research regarding teacher wellbeing is often focused on factors that lead to stress rather than those factors promoting positive wellbeing [31]. Finding out more depth qualitatively from teachers, what can help to promote their wellbeing during these uncertain times and making these changes where feasible is likely to have numerous positive outcomes. Whilst performance management for teachers remains a statutory requirement, perhaps incorporating measures and targets that foster positive wellbeing for staff would be welcomed by school. This is of particular importance for schools as teacher wellbeing is considered a critical factor in creating stable environments for children to thrive [31], and has also been associated with academic achievement [32].

Regarding physical health behaviours, the lockdown restrictions and ‘Stay at Home’ messages are likely to have disrupted children’s physically active behaviours. Similar findings regarding lower physical activity levels and increased sedentary time reported in this study have also been reported in the UK [33] and globally [34] during the lockdown period. Experts have highlighted the risk of COVID-19 and impaired sleep in children through a number of potential mechanisms including stress, increased screen time and sedentary behaviours, and disturbed routines such as bedtimes and wake times [24,35], providing possible explanations for school staff perceptions within this study. However, concerns reported in this study regarding increased screen time and social media use must also be balanced against the need for children to feel socially connected to friends [36], particularly given that school staff reported that pupils were socially isolated and observed social disconnection upon the phased return to school.

In a UNICEF return to school survey conducted across the UK, the most common priority for schools reopening was prioritising children’s mental health and wellbeing [37].

Within this current study, the majority of responses (62%) regarding the professional development or training school staff required upon the return to school were of '*Supporting learner health and wellbeing*'. This suggests that school staff require additional support in prioritising the health and wellbeing of pupils. Indeed, experts have advocated for opportunities of increased play provision without social distancing within primary schools in order to address concerns over social isolation and loneliness [38]. Within this study, school staff also suggested increasing opportunities for children to be outside, and outdoor learning and increased play provision could provide possible avenues in prioritising pupil health and wellbeing [39].

The positive examples of increased time spent with family and strengthened family relationships during the lockdown period, as found in this study, have also been reported elsewhere [40]. Another key recommendation by primary school staff was to focus on enabling parental engagement. School staff in this study reported wide variations in home learning provision during lockdown and raised significant concerns about the increasing gap between children's learning progression. The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed the persisting inequalities that exist within society and span across multiple domains including socio-economic indices, health and education [41]. In the case of education, this is demonstrated by the estimated 46% increase in learning gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers [14]. Research suggests that markers of home learning are socially patterned, with the most favourable profiles of home learning observed in the highest family income group [42]. In the case of the COVID-19 lockdown, evidence from the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) highlighted that children from better-off families were spending 30% more time on home learning activities than those from poorer families [43]. Although not measured in this study, it is possible the same socio-economic influences are operating, however it is also clear that the

COVID-19 pandemic has generated challenges for all families regardless of socio-economic background.

Caution is required in interpreting the findings relating to parental engagement. Lower levels of parental engagement are not necessarily reflective of disengaged parents but rather, of the wider barriers that exist in supporting their child with home learning activities. Additional challenges for all families will influence a parent's ability to support their child's learning, including working commitments or parental health issues. School staff in this study also recognised that there were a number of other barriers to parents engaging with home learning activities with their child, including parents finding the work difficult to teach, having a number of children within the household, parental stress, English as a second language, challenges for Welsh-medium education and children with additional learning needs. Although parents were not surveyed in the current study, research conducted in Ireland with parents of primary school-aged children highlighted that a lack of familiarity with the curriculum, a lack of time and high levels of stress impacted parental confidence in home learning support [44]. A lack of familiarity with the curriculum may be of particular importance during the Foundation Phase (ages 3-7) where curriculum emphasis is on learning through play, rather than a more formal learning context parents may think they are required to provide. School staff in the current study recommended the use of helplines for parents, live feeds with teachers where parents could access support and weekly check ins with parents to ensure parents felt confident in helping their child with home learning. Regular communication and building rapport between class teachers and parents was suggested as important, and helps to build trust and understanding at a time of great uncertainty. Indeed, the return to school requires a high level of communication between schools and parents in relation to school practice and wider school operational factors. Preliminary findings from the

UNESCO school reopening survey highlighted that good communication between schools, parents, local authorities and wider stakeholders is key to the effective reopening of schools and a return to education [45].

A key recommendation highlighted by school staff in this study was the importance of providing digital support and training to pupils, teachers and parents. Again, this has particular relevance with the emerging Curriculum for Wales reforms, which enshrines digital competence as a statutory cross-curricular skill alongside literacy and numeracy for all learners from ages 3-16 [46]. Remote learning during school closures brought with it a number of barriers for children and is likely to contribute to the widening gaps in learning reported by primary school staff [47], alongside increased awareness of digital/data poverty and the resulting longer-term impact of digital exclusion[9]. Reasons for digital exclusion cited in this study included competing demands for the use of equipment within the household, lack of access to digital equipment or internet, and low parental digital competence. A lack of digital resources at home and online has previously been highlighted as a barrier to parents supporting their children with home learning [44]. Therefore, it is unsurprising that a consistent recommendation by school staff in this study was the provision of equipment such as laptops. Staff suggested using surveys to gather information on pupils requiring equipment. In addition, others recommended that work set for periods of home learning must include paper-based options for those without access to learning online. The government ensured provision of digital devices to children without access during the lockdown period. However, school staff also suggested that offering digital training and support to parents was important, such as information on how to use the various resources provided by schools in order to support parents with online learning activities with their child.

Therefore, equipment provision alone may be unlikely to provide solutions to these barriers without the inclusion of appropriate training and support for increasing digital competence.

Low teacher confidence in delivering lessons virtually was also highlighted in this study, with recommendations to address this including providing staff training for remote teaching, supporting recommendations in a recent evidence assessment by the Education Endowment Foundation [47]. Over half of teachers surveyed in England during lockdown reported to find online teaching stressful. Primary teachers were the least likely to have experience in delivering online lessons and thus were the least prepared for digital teaching [30]. Notably, a report by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) demonstrated inequalities in digital competence among staff teaching in deprived schools when compared with more affluent schools, with children from more affluent schools having greater access to teachers with greater digital competence than those at more deprived schools. This may serve to further increase inequalities in learning outcomes between the most deprived and affluent children. Looking to the future, a blended learning approach through a combination of in-school and home learning appears the most likely approach to education, dependent on COVID-19 school outbreaks and self-isolation periods. Therefore, it is essential that the current teaching workforce are equipped with the necessary skills and training and feel confident and supported in delivering teaching online.

A longer-term commitment by government was recommended to create a fundamental shift in education practice relating to class sizes, staffing and funding in supporting children impacted by school closures. This would enable teachers and teaching assistants to provide direct educational and pastoral support to children identified as requiring additional help in relation to the widening gap in children's learning progression during school closures. During the phased reopening of schools in which attendance was

capped, the smaller classes and more direct support provided to pupils was recognised as a benefit by school staff. However, school staff in this study recognised that providing this in full-time education would require additional staffing, in addition to significant funding and investment from government. We have seen recent strategic education investments by the Welsh and Scottish governments alongside their curriculum reform initiatives, however it remains unclear whether these investments will support the possibility of sustainable smaller class sizes [48,49]. A regular, longer-term blended learning approach through, for example, a rota of “week on, week off” attendance in school is another possibility that would facilitate smaller class sizes and providing increased 1:1 support to pupils. However further research is warranted to examine the impact of this approach on children’s learning. Another benefit to smaller class sizes was that it would help schools in maintaining social distancing and good hygiene practice. School staff in this study were concerned that maintaining good hygiene would be a challenge within full classes, in addition to taking time away from learning or other activities. However, it was acknowledged that this practice was paramount for the safety of staff, pupils and families and is an integral component of this ‘new normal’.

Communication, clear guidance and managing wider expectations from government were also endorsed within this study. The challenges of devolved government responsibilities and associated media coverage could account for these findings, in addition to the variation in guidelines and regulations across the four home nations. School staff advocated for advance notice of changes in guidance, allowing them preparation time and a chance to communicate with the wider school community. A lack of trust in government guidance by schools was identified through interviews with school staff presented in the ‘Back to School’ report, with staff feeling responsible for leading on decision making [50]. School staff in this study identified strong leadership as important and, associated positive examples of a

supportive headteacher with improved teacher confidence and trust. It is clear that the COVID-19 pandemic has caused great disruption to the education system, requiring school leaders to be responsive and adaptive to rapidly changing guidance and scenarios. Whilst there is a lack of research examining how school leaders are responding to the pandemic, a recent paper by Harris and Jones offer several insights that have emerged, and state that trust within the leadership system is essential for collective action during these unprecedented times [51].

Primary school staff in this study also emphasised the importance of receiving regular and clear guidance, suggesting that this would facilitate communication with parents and enable schools to manage parental expectations more effectively. Findings from the NFER return to school survey mirror this, highlighting that school leaders want detailed and clear information regarding guidance and expectations [52]. Regarding the need for governments to recognise school needs and contexts identified in this study, the NFER suggest tailored guidance should be shared based on school needs and demographics. This would also support schools given their varying approaches during school closures, the phased reopening and a full-time return to education.

## Strengths and limitations

Findings from this study provide detailed experiences of a range of primary school staff about the period of school closures due to COVID-19, their perspectives about the phased reopening of schools and recommendations for the future. This paper contributes to the limited evidence base that currently exists regarding the impact of school closures on pupils and school staff, and the challenges and opportunities posed for schools during a return

to full-time education. Importantly, the recommendations that conclude this paper offer practical insight and learning to support schools in ensuring a safe return to education. In addition, the findings and recommendations from this paper provide knowledge on priority areas for future policy focus in reducing the inequalities that have widened during the pandemic.

There are limitations to review when considering the findings from this study. Although all schools in Wales were contacted with details regarding the staff survey, the findings in this study only represent those that participated. Schools adopted varying approaches to remote teaching and in their return to education and thus, those reflected in this study may not encapsulate all approaches. However, the sample consists of a range of primary school staff including headteachers, teachers and support staff working in schools with a wide range of pupils eligible for free school meals within 16 of 22 local authorities in Wales. The experiences of primary school staff are based on their perceptions of the impact of lockdown on pupils and quantitative assessments of reported concerns were not undertaken in this study.

## Conclusion

In summary, teachers perceive that gaps in learning, health and wellbeing have increased and inequalities have widened during school closures. Schools are now tasked with closing this gap, whilst protecting and safeguarding children. It is essential for research to focus on the mechanisms that optimise and improve outcomes for children both during and after the COVID-19 pandemic [16]. Therefore, the recommendations for current and future education delivery from primary school staff in this study are;

- To prioritise the health and wellbeing of both pupils and staff. This includes more focus on wellbeing activities and less focus on attainment and assessments during the return to school and protecting staff breaks to promote workplace wellbeing.
- To focus on enabling effective parental engagement. Explore all avenues to increase parental engagement including introducing support sessions, helplines and regular check ins during periods of home learning.
- To build digital competence amongst pupils, teachers and parents. Ensure both the provision of equipment and training in building digital skills and offer a combination of paper-based and digital home learning activities.
- To consider opportunity for smaller class sizes and additional staffing which will ensure support is directed to need whilst providing pastoral care.
- To improve the mechanism of communication between schools and families, and between government and schools. Ensure schools receive advance notice of changes in guidance.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participating primary schools and school staff that took part in this study. This work was supported by the National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research.

## References

1. UNESCO. School closures caused by Coronavirus (Covid-19) [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020

- Jul 24]. Available from: <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse>
2. Sun K, Chen J, Viboud C. Early epidemiological analysis of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak based on crowdsourced data: a population-level observational study. *Lancet Digit Heal*. 2020;2(4):e201–8.
  3. Cortis D. On Determining the Age Distribution of COVID-19 Pandemic. *Front Public Heal*. 2020;8(202).
  4. Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, Melendez-Torres GJ, Ward J, Hudson L, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection among Children and Adolescents Compared with Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2020.
  5. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Pearson CAB, et al. Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(8):1205–11.
  6. Ludvigsson JF. Children are unlikely to be the main drivers of the COVID-19 pandemic – A systematic review. *Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr*. 2020;109(8):1525–30.
  7. Viner RM, Russell SJ, Croker H, Packer J, Ward J, Stansfield C, et al. School closure and management practices during coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Lancet Child Adolesc Heal*. 2020;4(5):397–404.
  8. Hoffman JA, Miller EA. Addressing the Consequences of School Closure Due to COVID-19 on Children’s Physical and Mental Well-Being. *World Med Heal Policy*. 2020;12(3):300–10.
  9. Van Lancker W, Parolin Z. COVID-19, school closures, and child poverty: a social crisis in the making. *Lancet Public Heal*. 2020;5(5):e243–4.
  10. Armitage R, Nellums LB. Considering inequalities in the school closure response to COVID-19. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2020;8(5):e644.
  11. Sadique MZ, Adams EJ, Edmunds WJ. Estimating the costs of school closure for

- mitigating an influenza pandemic. BMC Public Health. 2008;8(135).
12. Brossard M, Cardoso M, Kamei A, Mishra S, Mizunoya S, Reuge N. Parental Engagement in Children's Learning [Internet]. 2020 Sep [cited 2020 Oct 14]. Available from: [https://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/pdf/IRB\\_2020-09\\_CL.pdf](https://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/pdf/IRB_2020-09_CL.pdf)
  13. The Delve Initiative. Balancing the Risks of Pupils Returning to Schools. DELVE Report No. 4. [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 24]. Available from: <https://rs-delve.github.io/reports/2020/07/24/balancing-the-risk-of-pupils-returning-to-schools.html>
  14. Sharp C, Nelson J, Lucas M, Julius J, Mccrone T, Sims D. The challenges facing schools and pupils in September 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 22]. Available from: <https://www.nfer.ac.uk/>
  15. Viner RM, Bonell C, Drake L, Jourdan D, Davies N, Baltag V, et al. Reopening schools during the COVID-19 pandemic: governments must balance the uncertainty and risks of reopening schools against the clear harms associated with prolonged closure. Arch Dis Child. 2020;
  16. Roland D, Munro A. Covid-19 in children: develop solutions rather than look for problems - The BMJ [Internet]. thebmjopinion. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 27]. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/06/12/covid-19-in-children-develop-solutions-rather-than-look-for-problems/>
  17. Welsh Government. Stay Safe. Stay Learning: Continuity of learning policy statement Stay Safe. Stay Learning [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: [https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2020-04/stay-safe-stay-learning-continuity-of-learning-policy-statement\\_0.pdf](https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2020-04/stay-safe-stay-learning-continuity-of-learning-policy-statement_0.pdf)
  18. Welsh Government. Operational guidance for schools and settings from the autumn

- term [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 5]. Available from: <https://gov.wales/operational-guidance-schools-and-settings-autumn-term-covid-19>
19. Welsh Government. Test Trace Protect [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 5]. Available from: <https://gov.wales/test-trace-protect-html>
  20. Todd, C., Christian, D., Tyler, R., Stratton, G., Brophy S. Developing HAPPEN (Health and Attainment of Pupils involved in a Primary Education Network): working in partnership to improve child health and education. *Perspect Public Health*. 2016;136(3):115–6.
  21. Welsh Government. Curriculum for Wales [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales-2008/>
  22. Pearcey S, Shum A, Waite P, Patalay P, Creswell C. Report 04: Changes in children and young people’s emotional and behavioural difficulties through lockdown [Internet]. 2020 Jun [cited 2020 Sep 23]. Available from: <https://emergingminds.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/CoSPACE-Report-4-June-2020.pdf>
  23. Loades ME, Chatburn E, Higson-Sweeney N, Reynolds S, Shafran R, Brigden A, et al. Rapid Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in the Context of COVID-19. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2020;
  24. Vizard T, Sadler K, Ford T, Newlove-Delgado T, McManus S, Marcheselli F, et al. Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2020: Wave 1 follow up to the 2017 survey [Internet]. 2020 Oct [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: [https://files.digital.nhs.uk/CB/C41981/mhcyp\\_2020\\_rep.pdf](https://files.digital.nhs.uk/CB/C41981/mhcyp_2020_rep.pdf)
  25. HAPPEN Wales. Early findings from the HAPPEN At Home Survey [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 20]. Available from: <https://happen-wales.co.uk/early-findings-from-the-happen-at-home-survey/>

26. Children's Commissioner for Wales. Coronavirus and Me [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 20]. Available from: <https://www.childcomwales.org.uk/coronavirus-our-work/coronavirus-and-me-results/>
27. Christian D, Todd C, Davies H, Rance J, Stratton G, Rapport F, et al. Community led active schools programme (CLASP) exploring the implementation of health interventions in primary schools: headteachers' perspectives. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):238.
28. Welsh Government. Health and Well-being [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales/health-and-well-being/>
29. Watermeyer R, Crick T, Knight C, Goodall J. COVID-19 and digital disruption in UK universities: afflictions and affordances of emergency online migration. High Educ. 2020;
30. See B, Collie P. Teachers' wellbeing and workload during Covid-19 lockdown [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 25]. Available from: <https://www.dur.ac.uk/resources/dece/Teacherswellbeingandworkloadreport.pdf>
31. Roffey S. Pupil wellbeing -Teacher wellbeing: Two sides of the same coin? Educ Child Psychol. 2012;29(4):8–17.
32. Briner R, Dewberry C. Staff Wellbeing is the Key to School Success [Internet]. Worklife Support. 2007. Available from: [www3.lancashire.gov.uk/corporate/web/viewdoc.asp?id=44615](http://www3.lancashire.gov.uk/corporate/web/viewdoc.asp?id=44615)
33. Sport England. Children's experience of physical activity in lockdown [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 23]. Available from: <http://www.thinkactive.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Sport-England-Childrens-experience-of-physical-activity.pdf>

34. Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, Brussoni M, Chulak-Bozzer T, Ferguson LJ, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2020;17(1).
35. Becker SP, Gregory AM. Editorial Perspective: Perils and promise for child and adolescent sleep and associated psychopathology during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry Allied Discip.* 2020;61(7):757–9.
36. Nagata JM, Abdel Magid HS, Pettee Gabriel K. Screen Time for Children and Adolescents During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *Obesity.* 2020;28(9):1582–3.
37. UNICEF UK. Return to School Survey [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 25]. Available from: <https://www.unicef.org.uk/rights-respecting-schools/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2020/05/Return-to-School-Summary-Report-FINAL-200520.pdf>
38. Weale S. Prioritise play when schools reopen, say mental health experts | Education | The Guardian. *The Guardian* [Internet]. 2020 May [cited 2020 Sep 25]; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/education/2020/may/07/prioritise-play-when-schools-reopen-say-mental-health-experts-coronavirus-lockdown>
39. Marchant E, Todd C, Cooksey R, Dredge S, Jones H, Reynolds D, et al. Curriculum-based outdoor learning for children aged 9-11: A qualitative analysis of pupils' and teachers' views. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(5).
40. Benzeval M, Burton J, Crossley TF, Fisher P, Jäckle A, Perelli-Harris B WS. Understanding Society COVID-19 Survey May Briefing Note: Family relationships, [Internet]. 2020 May [cited 2020 Sep 25]. Available from: [38](https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/working-</a></li></ol></div><div data-bbox=)

papers/2020-13.pdf

41. Blundell R, Costa Dias M, Joyce R, Xu X. COVID-19 and inequalities [Internet]. Institute for Fiscal Studies. 2020 Jun [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://www.ifs.org.uk/uploads/Covid-19-and-inequalities-IFS.pdf>
42. Kelly Y, Sacker A, Del Bono E, Francesconi M, Marmot M. What role for the home learning environment and parenting in reducing the socioeconomic gradient in child development? Findings from the Millennium Cohort Study. *Arch Dis Child*. 2011;96(9):832–7.
43. Andrew A, Cattan S, Costa-Dias M, Farquharson C, Kraftman L, Krutikova S, et al. Learning during the lockdown: real-time data on children’s experiences during home learning IFS Briefing Note BN288. 2020.
44. Devitt A, Ross C, Bray A, Banks J. Parent Perspectives on Teaching and Learning During Covid-19 School Closures: Lessons Learned from Irish Primary Schools [Internet]. 2020 Jul [cited 2020 Oct 1]. Available from: <http://www.tara.tcd.ie/handle/2262/92899>
45. UNESCO Chair Global Health and Education. Preview survey school reopening – UNESCO Chair Global Health and Education [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 29]. Available from: <https://unescochair-ghe.org/2020/06/12/preview-survey-school-reopening/>
46. Welsh Government. Cross-curricular skills frameworks [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales/cross-curricular-skills-frameworks/>
47. Education Endowment Foundation. Best evidence on supporting students to learn remotely [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/covid-19-resources/best-evidence->

on-supporting-students-to-learn-remotely/#nav-best-evidence-on-supporting-  
students-to-learn-remotely

48. Welsh Government. Recruit, Recover, Raise standards: the accelerating learning programme [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 1]. Available from: <https://gov.wales/recruit-recover-raise-standards-accelerating-learning-programme>
49. Scottish Government. Coronavirus (COVID-19): statement by the Deputy First Minister on re-opening of schools - gov.scot [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/re-opening-schools/>
50. Lorenc A, Kidger J, Keston J, Langford R, Horwood J. Back to School Study: Final rapid report Key findings [Internet]. 2020 Sep [cited 2020 Sep 28]. Available from: <https://arc-w.nihr.ac.uk/Wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/P520-Back-to-School-Rapid-final-report-FINAL.pdf>
51. Harris A, Jones M. COVID 19–school leadership in disruptive times. *Sch Leadersh Manag.* 2020;40(4):243–7.
52. Sharp C, Sims D, Rutt S. Returning pupils to school Schools’ responses to Covid-19 [Internet]. 2020 Jun [cited 2020 Sep 25]. Available from: [https://www.nfer.ac.uk/media/4060/schools\\_responses\\_to\\_covid\\_19\\_early\\_report\\_final.pdf](https://www.nfer.ac.uk/media/4060/schools_responses_to_covid_19_early_report_final.pdf)