

# PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE, COPING BEHAVIOURS, AND SOCIAL SUPPORT AMONG HEALTHCARE WORKERS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF QUANTITATIVE STUDIES

## Author

LEODORO J. LABRAGUE, RN, DM, PhD, CHSE

*Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman*

## **Abstract**

**Background:** A wide range of evidence has shown that healthcare workers, currently on the frontlines in the fight against COVID-19, are not spared from the psychological and mental health-related consequences of the pandemic. Studies synthesizing the role of coping behaviours, psychological resilience, and social support in safeguarding the mental health of HCWs during the pandemic is largely unknown.

**Aim (s):** To appraise and synthesize studies examining psychological resilience, coping behaviours, and social support among healthcare workers (HWCs) during the coronavirus pandemic.

**Design:** This is a systematic review with a narrative synthesis.

**Method:** Using articles from PubMed, CINAHL, SCOPUS, MEDLINE and PsychINFO, a total of 31 articles were included in the review. Reporting followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement guidelines.

**Results:** Healthcare workers were found to have moderate to high levels of psychological resilience and utilized both problem-centred coping (e.g., use of social support, praying) and

emotion-centred coping (e.g., use of diversionary activities) to manage the stress-associated with the coronavirus pandemic. Coping behaviours, psychological resilience, and social support were associated with positive mental and psychological health outcomes.

**Conclusion:** Substantial evidence supports the effectiveness of coping behaviours, psychological resilience, and social support to preserve psychological and mental health among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Relevance to Practice:** In order to safeguard the mental health and well-being of HCWs during the pandemic, hospital and nursing administrators should focus on building coping skills, psychological resilience, and social support in HWCs through innovative and theory-based interventions, supportive leadership, and fostering a resilient work environment.

**Keywords:** *psychological resilience, coping, mental health, healthcare workers, social support, COVID-19*

## **Introduction**

The COVID-19 pandemic is an urgent health concern worldwide that greatly affects the mental health, well-being, and possibly work effectiveness of healthcare workers (HCWs). The pandemic has placed increased demands on healthcare workers due to an influx of COVID-19 patients, a shortage of trained healthcare professionals, and limited hospital resources (McMahon *et al.*, 2020). These factors could potentially affect their mental, emotional, and psychological health and well-being (Alharbi *et al.*, 2020). Hospital administrators should pay attention to the mental well-being of HCWs as poorer mental health may put them at greater risk for PTSD and even suicide (Reger *et al.*, 2020).

Mounting evidence indicates that HCWs have suffered a deterioration in their mental and psychological health during the coronavirus pandemic, with reports from individual and review studies showing higher prevalence rates of anxiety, burnout, depression, PTSD, and psychological distress among HCWs compared to the general public (Chew *et al.*, 2020; Shechter *et al.*, 2020). In a systematic meta-analysis by Serrano-Ripoll *et al.*, (2020) the pooled prevalence rate of stress among HCWs during the pandemic was 40%; furthermore, 30% of HCWs in the pooled analysis had anxiety, 28% experienced burnout, 24% had depression, and 13% had post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Factors known to contribute to poorer mental health were categorized into sociodemographic factors and organizational factors. Sociodemographic factors included younger age (Serrano-Ripoll *et al.*, 2020), female sex (Sahin *et al.*, 2020; Serrano-Ripoll *et al.*, 2020), being in the nursing profession (Vizhen *et al.*, 2020; Shaukat *et al.*, 2020), a history of psychiatric illness, and a history of requiring psychiatric support (Sahin *et al.*, 2020). Organizational factors that contributed to poorer mental health included assignment in high-risk units or wards, absence of structured trainings or updates related to coronavirus, and inadequate supplies and equipment needed to protect against the infection (Vizhen *et al.*, 2020; Alshekaili *et al.*, 2020).

Coping behaviours and psychological resilience have been identified as important strategies to facilitate an individual's ability to positively rebound and adapt to stressful situations, hardship, traumatic events, and adversity in order to maintain optimal mental and psychological health (Hart, Brannan, & De Chesnay, 2014). Evidence suggests that during stressful events (including disasters, calamities, and disease outbreak), individuals are more likely to suffer adverse mental and psychological consequences when they are not equipped with sufficient levels of resilience and coping abilities (Labrague *et al.*, 2018; Duncan, 2020). Support

from peers, colleagues, family, and friends has also been shown to help individuals sustain emotional balance in the face of threats and stress-inducing events (Langford *et al.*, 1997). A wide range of studies have reported an association between higher social support and improved health and well-being among nurses and other healthcare workers during emergency situations and other disaster events (Labrague *et al.*, 2018). Earlier studies conducted during other infectious disease outbreaks such as SARS, Ebola, and MERS-CoV identified a protective role for psychological resilience, coping behaviours, and social support in HCWs against the psychological and mental health burden of caring for infected patients (Bloom *et al.*, Baduge *et al.*, 2018). Meanwhile, studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic have shown a similar pattern: psychological resilience, coping behaviours, and social support safeguard mental health and well-being among healthcare workers who are on the frontlines of the fights against this deadly virus (Labrague & De los Santos, 2020; Blanco-Donoso *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020).

Despite the abundance of empirical studies on the topic, no studies have systematically synthesized and integrated the results. A broader perspective on the topic of protective factors for psychological and mental health among HCWs is vital for the formulation of effective organizational strategies to better support the mental health of HCWs on the frontlines of the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, this systematic review was conducted to synthesize and integrate evidence pertaining to healthcare workers' psychological resilience, coping behaviours, and social support during the coronavirus pandemic.

## **Methods**

### **Design**

This is a systematic review with a narrative synthesis with results reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol.

### **Data Sources and Search Strategies**

Relevant studies were identified through electronic database searches using PubMed, CINAHL, SCOPUS, MEDLINE, and PsychINFO from August 2020 to October 2020. The following MeSH and search terms ('psychological resilience', 'psychological adaptation' OR 'coping', 'mental health', 'health personnel' OR 'healthcare workers', 'social support', and '2019-nCoV' OR 'COVID-19' OR 'SARS-CoV-2' OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2') were used individually and in combination using Boolean operators (AND, OR and NOT). In addition, cited literature in the articles reviewed were also checked for potentially relevant studies (Figure 1).

### **Inclusion Criteria**

This review included primary studies assessing psychological resilience, coping, and social support among healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. The inclusion criteria were as follows: study participants were healthcare workers, study was peer-reviewed, published since the onset of the pandemic, and published in the English language. In this review, healthcare workers are defined as people who work in healthcare settings to provide healthcare services to patients – including doctors, nurses, midwives, nursing assistants, radiologists, physiotherapists, pharmacists, healthcare assistants, and psychologists. Only studies with

quantitative designs were included in this review to facilitate homogeneity of the included papers.

## **Search Outcomes**

The initial search yielded 478 articles. One hundred eighteen articles remained after screening titles and abstracts based on the inclusion criteria. After full text reading of the articles, a total of 31 articles were deemed relevant to the review (**Figure 1**).

## **Appraisal of Methodological Quality**

Two independent researchers appraised the quality of the articles using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies in order to avoid selection bias. The JBI appraisal checklist consisted of eight items examining inclusion criteria, subjects and settings, measurement exposure, use of objective and standard criteria for measurement conditions, confounding variables, management of confounding variables, outcomes measurement, and data analysis. Studies that fulfilled at least five assessment criteria were included in the review. After quality appraisal, thirty-one studies were included in this review.

## **Data Extraction and Synthesis**

Extraction and appraisal of data was completed by two independent researchers. Using a data matrix template, the following data were extracted from the studies: authors, year of publication, country, research approach, samples, measures, key findings, and quality score (**Table 1**). Due to heterogeneity in the scales used and in the findings of the included studies, statistical pooling was not possible. As such, we used a narrative synthesis to describe the

findings. In particular, constant comparison analysis (Miles & Huberman, 1994) was performed to compare findings across studies and to identify patterns and commonalities between studies.

### **Study Characteristics**

Thirty-one articles were included in the review. A majority of studies were conducted in China (14), with the remaining studies conducted in Italy (5), Philippines (2), the United States (2), Turkey (2), Spain (2), Israel (1), Palestine (1), Pakistan (1), and Egypt (1). Sample sizes ranged from 10 to 4,618 participants. Most of the HCWs included in the studies were nurses, doctors, nursing assistants, midwives, radiologists, physiotherapists, pharmacists, healthcare assistants, or psychologists.

Most studies had a cross-sectional research design ( $n = 30$ ), mostly using online surveys, and one study had longitudinal research design. Most studies utilized standardized scales to measure psychological resilience, coping skills, and social support in HCWs. Six studies utilized research-designed questionnaires/items to identify coping skills in HCWs. The Cronbach's alphas ranged from 0.81 to 0.96 in studies that reported internal consistency.

### **Methodological Quality Score**

Using the JBI critical appraisal checklist, the majority of the studies were rated as moderate in quality (26/31) and five were rated high. Issues related to identification of potential confounding variables and how these confounders were managed and controlled were common in the included articles.

## Major Findings

Study results were classified into the following categories: (1) levels of resilience and coping, (2) specific coping skills, (3) coping in relation to mental health, (4) resilience in relation to mental health, and (5) social support in relation to mental health.

### Levels of Psychological Resilience and Coping

Six studies reported data on level of psychological resilience (Bozdag & Ergun, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2020a; Huang *et al.*, 2020b; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020; Lin *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020a) and three studies described level of coping behaviours in HCWs (Lin *et al.*, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020; Mi *et al.*, 2020). High levels of psychological resilience were reported in three studies (Bozdag & Ergun, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2020a; Lin *et al.*, 2020) and moderate levels of psychological resilience were reported in four studies (Luceno-Moreno *et al.*, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2020b; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020a). In one cross-sectional study of HCWs assigned to radiology units in China, online survey data indicated that 83.8% of participants reported higher psychological resilience (Huang *et al.*, 2020a). Similarly, a study by Lin *et al.* (2020) reported high levels of resilience in Chinese HCWs; however, among them, nurses were found to have lower resilience when compared to doctors and other medical staff. In a study from Turkey, HCWs scored 18.43 points out of a possible 30 points on the brief resilience scale (BRS), indicating a greater capability to rebound from the adversity associated with the coronavirus pandemic. On the other hand, four cross-sectional studies reported moderate levels of psychological resilience among physicians (Khalaf *et al.*, 2020), medical staff (Huang *et al.*, 2020b), and hospital nurses (Labrague & De los Santos, 2020a) who were on the frontlines during the pandemic. In another study, HCWs in Spain obtained a mean score of 3.02 on the

Brief Resilience Scale (BRS), indicating a moderate capacity to bounce back to a healthy state in the face of adversity (Luceno-Moreno *et al.*, 2020).

With regards to coping mechanisms, three studies measured ways of coping among HCWs using the Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire (Lin *et al.*, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020) and a researcher-designed coping behaviour scale (Mi *et al.*, 2020). The three studies reported higher scores for positive vs. negative coping mechanisms, suggesting that when confronted with stress-inducing events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, HCWs are able to utilize positive coping mechanisms.

### **Specific Coping Skills**

Fourteen studies identified specific coping mechanisms employed by HCWs during the pandemic (Blanco-Donoso *et al.*, 2020; Cai, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2020; Giusti *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020; Maraqa *et al.*, 2020; Mi *et al.*, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020; Salman *et al.*, 2020; Shechter *et al.*, 2020; Vagni *et al.*, 2020; Xiao *et al.*, 2020). During the pandemic, HCWs utilized both negative and positive coping styles to manage stress.

Among these ten studies reporting specific coping styles among HCWs during the pandemic, eleven quantitative studies (Cai, 2020; Blanco-Donoso *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2020; Giusti *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020; Xiao *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2020; Maraqa *et al.*, 2020; Vagni *et al.*, 2020) indicated that HCWs use support from and communication with family, friends, and colleagues as their primary coping mechanisms to manage the adverse mental health consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. Religious coping mechanisms such as praying were reported as an important coping mechanism

in three cross-sectional studies. For instance, in two separate studies involving HCWs in Pakistan (Salman *et al.*, 2020) and Palestine (Maraqa *et al.*, 2020), praying and other religious activities were the highest-ranked coping mechanisms. In the United States, where prevalence of COVID-19 is highest, frontline emergency healthcare workers identified religious coping mechanisms such as praying as one of the most important ways to combat the mental and psychological burden of the pandemic (Shechter *et al.*, 2020).

Involvement in distraction activities (such as engaging in sports, exercise, music, yoga, or meditation) were also identified as an important coping mechanisms utilized by HCWs during the height of the pandemic (Shechter *et al.*, 2020; Maraqa *et al.*, 2020; Mi *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2020). Other coping mechanisms identified by HCWs included learning about COVID-19 and its prevention (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Cai, 2020) and adherence to infection control guidelines (Dong *et al.*, 2020; Cai 2020; Maraqa *et al.*, 2020).

### **Coping in Relation to Mental Health**

Nine studies described the interaction between coping skills and mental health in HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic (Baboe *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020; Di Monte *et al.*, 2020; Hou *et al.*, 2020; Maiorano *et al.*, 2020; Mi *et al.*, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020; Vagni *et al.*, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2020;). The use of positive coping mechanisms such as seeking social support, positive thinking, and problem solving were associated with lower levels of traumatic stress, stigma (Chew *et al.*, 2020), psychological distress (Baboe *et al.*, 2020), stress symptoms (Nie *et al.*, 2020), anxiety, and depression (Zhu *et al.*, 2020; Mi *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, utilization of negative coping skills, such as avoidance, were strongly linked with increased levels of emotional stress (Chew *et al.*, 2020), PTSD symptoms (Hou *et al.*, 2020), psychological distress (Nei *et al.*, 2020; Baboe *et al.*, 2020), and fatigue (Hou *et al.*, 2020). In one study, the use of

emotion-centred and avoidant coping styles were associated with increased levels of emotional exhaustion, while problem-centred coping styles were strongly associated with decreased scores on the depersonalization subscale and increased scores on the personal accomplishment subscale of the MBI (Di Monte *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, unlike previous studies, a two separate studies in Italy found that the use of a negative coping style – specifically, the blocking of unpleasant emotions and thoughts – was found to effectively reduce psychological distress (Vagni *et al.*, 2020) and PTSD (Maiorano *et al.*, 2020). Vagni *et al.* (2020) and Maiorano *et al.*, (2020) both argued that by blocking negative emotions, HCWs are able to continue their work and experience lower perceived levels of stress.

### **Resilience in Relation to Mental Health**

A number of papers examined the effects of psychological resilience on the mental health of HCWs (12/31) (Di Monte *et al.*, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2020a; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020a; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020b; Li *et al.*, 2020; Lin *et al.*, 2020; Lucero-Moreno *et al.*, 2020; Maiorano *et al.*, 2020; Mohave *et al.*, 2020; Tam *et al.*, 2020; Yoruk & Guler, 2020). Of these, eight studies reported a protective role of psychological resilience against coronavirus-related anxiety. Increased psychological resilience in HCWs was associated with lower incidence of pandemic-related anxiety among nurses working in hospitals (Labrague & De los Santos, 2020a) and public health centres (Labrague & De los Santos, 2020b). Results obtained from Israel and Egypt showed a similar pattern in which lower levels of coronavirus-related anxiety were associated with higher levels of resilience (Mosheva *et al.*, 2020; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020). In two separate studies from China, HCWs with higher scores on psychological resilience measures reported significantly lower levels of anxiety than those who obtained lower scores on psychological resilience measures (Huang *et al.*, 2020a; Lin *et al.*, 2020). In a study

involving 720 healthcare workers in the United States, resilient participants were more likely than non-resilient participants to report reduced levels of anxiety, stress, fatigue, and insomnia (Huffman *et al.*, 2020).

Four studies reported a strong link between personal resilience and depression (Luceno-Moreno *et al.*, 2020; Lin *et al.*, 2020; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020; Yoruk & Guler, 2020), suggesting that interventions to enhance resilience among HCWs may help prevent or reduce the occurrence of depression in this population during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to depression and anxiety, a few more studies confirmed the protective role of psychological resilience against psychological stress (Tam *et al.*, 2020; Luceno-Moreno *et al.*, 2020; Khalaf *et al.*, 2020), emotional exhaustion (Di Monte *et al.*, 2020), and PTSD symptoms (Maiorano *et al.*, 2020; Lucero-Moreno *et al.*, 2020; Li *et al.*, 2020). In one study, resilience partially mediated the association between institutional support and coronavirus-related distress (Tam *et al.*, 2020).

### **Social Support in Relation to Mental Health**

Seven studies explored the causal relationship between social support and mental health outcomes in HCWs during the pandemic (Blanco-Donoso *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2020; Giusti *et al.*, 2020; Labrague & De los Santos, 2020; Nie *et al.*, 2020; Xiao *et al.*, 2020). Mental health outcomes examined in relation to social support included traumatic stress, emotional distress, psychological distress, burnout, anxiety, and stress. Adequate managerial and supervisorial support and support extended by colleagues, peers, friends, and family were associated with reduced levels of traumatic stress (Blanco-Donoso *et al.*, 2020; Chew *et al.*, 2020) and emotional distress (Dong *et al.*, 2020).

In an online cross-sectional study involving nurses in China, higher perceptions of social support explained significant variance in the psychological distress measure (Nie *et al.*, 2020), while in Italy, HCWs who perceived greater support from family and friends reported a significant reduction in burnout symptoms (Giusti *et al.*, 2020). A study involving Filipino nurses showed a similar pattern: Frontline nurses who perceived higher social support were less likely to demonstrate dysfunctional anxiety related to the coronavirus (Labrague & De los Santos, 2020). In addition, adequate social support for HCWs was associated with a significant reduction in stress and an improvement in self-efficacy during the pandemic (Xiao *et al.*, 2020).

## **Discussion**

This systematic review is the first to examine psychological resilience, social support, and coping behaviours among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic and their effects on mental and psychological health. Thirty-one quantitative studies were included in this review. It is worth noting that most of the studies included in this review were conducted in China ( $n = 14$ ), where the coronavirus originated, while very few studies involving HCWs from other countries were identified. With COVID-19 affecting all healthcare systems around the world, it is vital that more research be conducted to understand how HCWs from other parts of the world are able to cope with and adapt to the stress and demands of the pandemic. While cross-sectional research designs using online surveys may be the most practical way to collect data during the pandemic (given restrictions and social distancing protocols), future longitudinal studies will be vital to determining how mental health in HCWs changes over time. Coping and psychological resilience are both dynamic constructs which change, develop, and deteriorate with time (Hart, Brannan, & De Chesnay, 2014; Lazarus & Folkman 1987).

Despite the gap identified in the articles reviewed, this review found moderate to high levels of resilience among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychological resilience – that is, an individual’s ability to rebound from stressful situations like an emergency, disaster, or infectious disease outbreak – is critically important because it helps individuals effectively endure the adverse mental health consequences of stress-provoking events (Hart, Brannan, & De Chesnay, 2014). The protective role of psychological resilience in HCWs against various disaster/emergency-associated adverse mental health consequences has been well established in previous studies (Labrague *et al.*, 2018; Duncan, 2020).

It was evident in this review that HCWs utilized both positive (e.g., use of social support and praying) and negative (e.g., use of distraction activities) coping strategies to effectively manage the stress associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. Seeking social support as a means of coping with adversity has been categorized as a problem-focused coping strategy (Lazarus & Folkman, 1987) and has been found to effectively reduce stress. Mounting evidence suggests that social support is an effective way to promote long-term reductions in the stress and anxiety caused by stressful events (Folkman & Lazarus, 1988). The use of religious coping mechanisms – such as reading the Bible for Christians or reciting the Quran for Muslims – has been identified as an effective strategy to reduce stress, anxiety, and their adverse effects. As a coping strategy, prayer provides context, social connection, and inner strength, making an individual capable of managing stress more effectively. A substantial amount of studies have established a positive link between religious coping mechanisms and reduced anxiety, aggression, psychological distress, and depressive symptoms as well as enhanced optimism, hope, quality of life, and psychological health (Cain, 2019; Ramlee *et al.*, 2016; Connor 2016; Achour *et al.*, 2019). The use of distraction activities such as engaging in sports, exercise, music, yoga, and meditation (all

of which are categorized as emotion-focused coping strategies) only provides short-term stress-relief because doesn't address the main source of stress but rather reduces stress-associated symptoms and behavioural manifestations (Folkman & Lazarus, 1988).

In this review, psychological resilience, coping behaviours, and social support were demonstrated to protect HCWs against the adverse mental health consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. This result provided additional support for the value of positive coping mechanisms and the need for adequate resilience and social support to protect HCWs against the adverse impacts of threatening and demanding situations like the COVID-19 pandemic.

Support from peers, colleagues, managers, families, and friends is regarded as a vital resource for HCWs to adequately cope with various stressors in the workplace. During the pandemic, when stress and anxiety is elevated, the provision of adequate social support may help HCWs maintain healthy emotional states. Mounting evidence has strongly linked adequate support from managers, co-workers, family, and friends with positive mental health outcomes for both healthcare and non-healthcare professionals during stressful and traumatic events such as calamities, accidents, disasters, and disease outbreaks (Baduge *et al.*, 2018; Labrague *et al.*, 2018). This result confirms results from earlier studies in which lower levels of depression, anxiety, and stress were reported in healthcare workers who had greater perceived social support, suggesting that measures to foster increased social support may reduce negative psychological symptoms in HCWs (Hou *et al.*, 2020).

Psychological resilience, like social support, has long been considered a protective factor against the adverse psychological effects of stressful or traumatic situations (Hart, Brannan, & De Chesnay, 2014). In the context of pandemic, a wide range of evidence has demonstrated that resilient HCWs are more likely to rebound effectively and endure the pandemic-associated

psychological burden than non-resilient HCWs (Foster *et al.*, 2020). The role of psychological resilience in protecting individuals against the mental health consequences of an emergency or disaster situation has also been confirmed in previous studies (Labrague *et al.*, 2018; Duncan, 2020). Our finding also add support to earlier research conducted prior to the pandemic in which higher resilience in HCWs was strongly linked to reduced burnout, compassion fatigue, anxiety, depression, and psychological distress (Mealer, Jones, & Meek, 2017).

Coping strategies – that is, mechanisms that an individual can employ to manage the impacts of potential threats– has been long considered an important personal resource to effectively reduce the impact of stress and its accompanying adverse consequences (Lazarus & Folkman 1987). During the pandemic, in which stress levels among HCWs are expected to rise, employing positive coping behaviours could relieve stress and safeguard mental health and well-being. Reports prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic have demonstrated the benefit of positive coping skills to combat stress and prevent psychological issues (e.g., loneliness, anxiety, depression and stress) (Ye *et al.*, 2020; Tull *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, positive coping strategies were associated with increased self-confidence, reduced sleep disturbances, and decreased psychological and traumatic stress in nurses who were on the frontlines during the coronavirus pandemic (Xiao *et al.*, Yu *et al.*, 2020).

### **Implications for Practice**

This review suggests that building resilience and increasing coping skills and social support among HCWs may protect them against the adverse mental and psychological health consequences of the coronavirus pandemic. As such, hospital administrators should foster psychological resilience and reinforce positive coping strategies among HCWs by implementing theory-tested interventions or programs. Due to restrictions including social distancing and

lockdown measures, these interventions could be delivered in innovative ways, such as webinars, online workshops, and on-demand videos. Interprofessional, web-based nightly debriefing programs (Azizoddin *et al.*, 2020) and online cognitive behavioural therapy (Weiner *et al.*, 2020) have been demonstrated to enhance resilience and morale in HCWs and improve clinical processes for quality patient care. Furthermore, increasing social support may provide a sense of greater emotional security among HCWs, thereby reducing their apprehensions and anxiety so they can function effectively during the pandemic. If HCWs are encouraged to express their feelings and concerns and openly discuss their experiences and challenges in the care and management of COVID-19 patients, their morale will improve and their mental health will be sustained.

As positive coping strategies were seen to improve mental health in HCWs, providing training in the development of self-efficacy and effective coping skills may help HCWs better manage the increased work pressures that have accompanied the COVID-19 pandemic. Hospital administrators should consider increasing HCWs' access to mental health professionals during the pandemic in to support their mental health needs. Furthermore, providing psychosocial support and clear information about COVID-19 may further reduce anxiety and other adverse pandemic-associated emotional consequences among HCWs.

## **Conclusions**

The review findings suggest that HCWs manage their stress during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic by utilizing both problem-focused (e.g., use of social support and religious practice) and emotion-focused (e.g., use of diversionary activities) coping strategies. Furthermore, this review found substantial evidence on the value and effectiveness of coping mechanisms, psychological resilience, and social support in preserving the mental health and

psychological well-being of HCWs during disease outbreaks such as the coronavirus pandemic. Considering the global extent of the pandemic, this review is of interest to international readers – particularly hospital administrators. Organizational measures to sustain the healthcare workforce in the midst of the pandemic must include interventions to improve coping skills, psychological resilience, and social support.

## References

- Achour, M., Azmi, I. B. A. G., Isahak, M. B., Nor, M. R. M., & Yusoff, M. Y. Z. M. (2019). Job stress and nurses well-being: Prayer and age as moderators. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 55(7), 1226-1235.
- Alharbi, J., Jackson, D., & Usher, K. (2020). The potential for COVID-19 to contribute to compassion fatigue in critical care nurses. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 29(15-16), 2762-2764.
- Alshekaili, M., Hassan, W., Al Said, N., Al Sulaimani, F., Jayapal, S. K., Al-Mawali, A., ... & Al-Adawi, S. (2020). Factors associated with mental health outcomes across healthcare settings in Oman during COVID-19: frontline versus non-frontline healthcare workers. *BMJ open*, 10(10), e042030.
- Azizoddin, D. R., Vella Gray, K., Dundin, A., & Szyld, D. (2020). Bolstering clinician resilience through an interprofessional, web-based nightly debriefing program for emergency departments during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 1-5.
- Babore, A., Lombardi, L., Viceconti, M. L., Pignataro, S., Marino, V., Crudele, M., ... & Trumello, C. (2020). Psychological effects of the COVID-2019 pandemic: Perceived stress and coping strategies among healthcare professionals. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 113366.
- Baduge, M. S. P., Morphet, J., & Moss, C. (2018). Emergency nurses' and department preparedness for an ebola outbreak: A (narrative) literature review. *International Emergency Nursing*, 38, 41– 49.
- Blanco-Donoso, L. M., Moreno-Jiménez, J., Amutio, A., Gallego-Alberto, L., Moreno-Jiménez, B., & Garrosa, E. (2020). Stressors, Job Resources, Fear of Contagion, and Secondary Traumatic Stress Among Nursing Home Workers in Face of the COVID-19: The Case of Spain. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, Ahead of Print.
- Bloom, D. E., Black, S., & Rappuoli, R. (2017). Emerging infectious diseases: A proactive approach. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(16), 4055– 4059.
- Bozdağ, F., & Ergün, N. (2020). Psychological Resilience of Healthcare Professionals During COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psychological Reports*, Ahead of Print.
- Cai, Y. (2020). Psychological Impact and Coping Strategies of Frontline Medical Staff in Hunan Between January and March 2020 During the Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID 19) in Hubei, China. *Med Sci Monit*, 26, e924171.
- Chew, Q. H., Chia, F. L. A., Ng, W. K., Lee, W. C. I., Tan, P. L. L., Wong, C. S., ... & Phua, E. J. (2020). Perceived Stress, Stigma, Traumatic Stress Levels and Coping Responses

- amongst Residents in Training across Multiple Specialties during COVID-19 Pandemic—A Longitudinal Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6572.
- Chen, H., Sun, L., Du, Z., Zhao, L., & Wang, L. (2020). A cross-sectional study of mental health status and self-psychological adjustment in nurses who supported Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, Ahead of Print.
- Di Monte, C., Monaco, S., Mariani, R., & Di Trani, M. (2020). From Resilience to Burnout: psychological features of Italian General Practitioners during COVID-19 emergency. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 2476.
- Dong, Z. Q., Ma, J., Hao, Y. N., Shen, X. L., Liu, F., Gao, Y., & Zhang, L. (2020). The social psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical staff in China: A cross-sectional study. *European Psychiatry*, 63(1), E65.
- Duncan, D. L. (2020). What the COVID-19 pandemic tells us about the need to develop resilience in the nursing workforce. *Nursing Management*, 27(3), 22–27.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 219-239.
- Foster, K., Roche, M., Giandinoto, J., & Furness, T. (2020). Workplace stressors, psychological well-being, resilience, and caring behaviours of mental health nurses: A descriptive correlational study. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 29(1), 56–68.
- Giusti, E. M., Pedroli, E., D'Aniello, G. E., Badiale, C. S., Pietrabissa, G., Manna, C., ... & Molinari, E. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on health professionals: a cross-sectional study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11.
- Hart, P. L., Brannan, J. D., & De Chesnay, M. (2014). Resilience in nurses: An integrative review. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 22(6), 720-734.
- Hou, T., Dong, W., Zhang, R., Song, X., Zhang, F., Cai, W., ... & Deng, G. (2020). Self-efficacy and fatigue among health care workers during COVID-19 outbreak: A moderated mediation model of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and negative coping. Pre-print
- Huang, L., Wang, Y., Liu, J., Ye, P., Chen, X., Xu, H., ... & Ning, G. (2020). Factors influencing anxiety of health care workers in the radiology department with high exposure risk to COVID-19. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*, 26, e926008-1.
- Huang, L., Wang, Y., Liu, J., Ye, P., Cheng, B., Xu, H., ... & Ning, G. (2020). Factors Associated with Resilience Among Medical Staff in Radiology Departments During the Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): A Cross-Sectional Study. *Medical Science Monitor*, 26.
- Kackin, O., Ciydem, E., Aci, O. S., & Kutlu, F. Y. (2020). Experiences and psychosocial problems of nurses caring for patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Turkey: a qualitative study. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, Ahead of Print
- Khalaf, O. O., Khalil, M. A., & Abdelmaksoud, R. (2020). Coping with Depression and Anxiety in Egyptian Physicians during COVID-19 . Pandemic Pre-print
- Labrague, L. J., & De los Santos, J. A. A. (2020). COVID-19 anxiety among front-line nurses: Predictive role of organisational support, personal resilience and social support. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(7), 1653-1661.
- Labrague, L. J., & De los Santos, J. A. A. (2020b). Prevalence and predictors of coronaphobia among frontline hospital and public health nurses. *Public Health Nursing*, Ahead of Print

- Labrague, L. J., Hammad, K., Gloe, D. S., McEnroe-Petitte, D. M., Fronda, D. C., Obeidat, A. A., ... & Mirafuentes, E. C. (2018). Disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic review of literature. *International Nursing Review*, 65(1), 41-53.
- Langford, C. P. H., Bowsher, J., Maloney, J. P., & Lillis, P. P. (1997). Social support: A conceptual analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 25(1), 95– 100.
- Li, X., Zhou, Y., & Xu, X. (2020). Factors associated with the psychological well-being among front-line nurses exposed to COVID-2019 in China: A predictive study. *Journal of Nursing Management*. Ahead of Print
- Lin, J., Ren, Y., Gan, H., Chen, Y., Huang, Y., & You, X. (2020). Factors Influencing Resilience of Medical Workers from Other Provinces to Wuhan Fighting Against 2019 Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia. Ahead of Print
- Liu, Q., Luo, D., Haase, J. E., Guo, Q., Wang, X. Q., Liu, S., ... & Yang, B. X. (2020). The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *The Lancet Global Health*. Ahead of Print
- Maiorano, T., Vagni, M., Giostra, V., & Pajardi, D. (2020). COVID-19: Risk Factors and Protective Role of Resilience and Coping Strategies for Emergency Stress and Secondary Trauma in Medical Staff and Emergency Workers—An Online-Based Inquiry. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 9004.
- Maraqa, B., Nazzal, Z., & Zink, T. (2020). Palestinian Health Care Workers' Stress and Stressors During COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 11, Ahead of Print
- McMahon, D. E., Peters, G. A., Ivers, L. C., & Freeman, E. E. (2020). Global resource shortages during COVID-19: bad news for low-income countries. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 14(7), e0008412.
- Mealer, M., Jones, J., & Meek, P. (2017). Factors affecting resilience and development of posttraumatic stress disorder in critical care nurses. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 26(3), 184-192.
- Mi, T., Yang, X., Sun, S., Li, X., Tam, C. C., Zhou, Y., & Shen, Z. (2020). Mental Health Problems of HIV Healthcare Providers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Interactive Effects of Stressors and Coping. *AIDS and Behavior*, 1-10.
- Miles, M. B., and M. Huberman. 1994. *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Sourcebook of New Methods*. 2d Edition. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Mosheva, M., Hertz-Palmor, N., Dorman Ilan, S., Matalon, N., Pessach, I. M., Afek, A., ... & Gothelf, D. (2020). Anxiety, pandemic-related stress and resilience among physicians during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Depression and anxiety*, 37(10), 965-971.
- Nie, A., Su, X., Zhang, S., Guan, W., & Li, J. (2020). Psychological impact of COVID-19 outbreak on frontline nurses: A cross-sectional survey study. *Journal of clinical nursing*.
- Reger, M. A., Piccirillo, M. L., & Buchman-Schmitt, J. M. (2020). CoViD-19, mental health, and suicide risk among health care workers: looking beyond the crisis. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, 81(5), 0-0.
- Sahin, A. R., Erdogan, A., Agaoglu, P. M., Dineri, Y., Cakirci, A. Y., Senel, M. E., ... & Tasdogan, A. M. (2020). 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak: a review of the current literature. *EJMO*, 4(1), 1-7.
- Salman, M., Raza, M. H., Mustafa, Z. U., Khan, T. M., Asif, N., Tahir, H., ... & Hussain, K. (2020). The psychological effects of COVID-19 on frontline healthcare workers and how they are coping: a web-based, cross-sectional study from Pakistan. Pre-print

- Shechter, A., Diaz, F., Moise, N., Anstey, D. E., Ye, S., Agarwal, S., ... & Claassen, J. (2020). Psychological distress, coping behaviors, and preferences for support among New York healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *General hospital psychiatry*, 66, 1-8.
- Serrano-Ripoll, M. J., Meneses-Echavez, J. F., Ricci-Cabello, I., Fraile-Navarro, D., Fiol-deRoque, M. A., Pastor-Moreno, G., ... & Gonçalves-Bradley, D. C. (2020). Impact of viral epidemic outbreaks on mental health of healthcare workers: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of affective disorders*, 277, 347-357.
- Tam, C. C., Sun, S., Yang, X., Li, X., Zhou, Y., & Shen, Z. (2020). Psychological Distress Among HIV Healthcare Providers During the COVID-19 Pandemic in China: Mediating Roles of Institutional Support and Resilience. *AIDS and Behavior*, Ahead of Print
- Vagni, M., Maiorano, T., Giostra, V., & Pajardi, D. (2020). Coping with COVID-19: Emergency Stress, Secondary Trauma and Self-Efficacy in Healthcare and Emergency Workers in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, Ahead of Print
- Weiner, L., Berna, F., Nourry, N., Severac, F., Vidailhet, P., & Mengin, A. C. (2020). Efficacy of an online cognitive behavioral therapy program developed for healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: the REduction of STress (REST) study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 21(1), 1-10.
- Xiao, H., Zhang, Y., Kong, D., Li, S., & Yang, N. (2020). The effects of social support on sleep quality of medical staff treating patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in January and February 2020 in China. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*, 26, e923549-1.
- Ye, Z., Yang, X., Zeng, C., Wang, Y., Shen, Z., Li, X., & Lin, D. (2020). Resilience, Social Support, and Coping as Mediators between COVID-19-related Stressful Experiences and Acute Stress Disorder among College Students in China. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*. Ahead of Print
- Yörük, S., & Güler, D. (2020). The relationship between psychological resilience, burnout, stress, and sociodemographic factors with depression in nurses and midwives during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in Turkey. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*. Ahead of Print
- Zhu, J., Sun, L., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Fan, A., Yang, B., ... & Xiao, S. (2020). Prevalence and Influencing Factors of Anxiety and Depression Symptoms in the First-Line Medical Staff Fighting Against COVID-19 in Gansu. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, Ahead of Print

**Table 1. Summary of Included Studies**

Authors	Country	Research Design	Samples	Measures	Key Findings	Quality Score
Blanco-Donoso <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Spain	Cross-sectional	228 HWCs	SSW	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.9% of the variance in secondary traumatic stress measure was explained by lack of staff and supervisor support.</li> <li>Lower levels of support from co-workers amplify the negative effect of social pressure from work on traumatic stress.</li> <li>Social pressure from work, high doses of exposure to suffering, lack of personnel and personal protective equipment, and minimal supervisor support were significant in explaining traumatic stress.</li> </ul>	7/8
Bozdag and Ergun (2020)	Turkey	Cross-sectional	214 HCWs	BRS; MSPSS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean scale score in the BRS was 18.43 out of 30.</li> <li>Higher levels of quality of sleep, positive affective state, age and life satisfaction raised the level of psychological resilience.</li> <li>Higher negative affective state and being a doctor meant lower psychological resilience level.</li> </ul>	7/8
Babore <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Italy	Cross-sectional	595 HCWs	COPE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower positive attitude, higher social support, working with COVID-19 patients and higher avoidance strategies predicted higher levels of distress.</li> </ul>	6/8
Cai (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	534 medical staff	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coping strategies utilized by HCWs used strict protective measures, knowledge of virus prevention and transmission, social isolation measures, and positive self-attitude.</li> <li>The following provided psychological benefit in HCWs: the availability of strict infection control guidelines, specialized equipment, recognition of their efforts by hospital management and the government.</li> </ul>	7/8
Chew <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	274 resident physicians	COPE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stress was positively predicted by the use of avoidance as a coping strategy.</li> <li>Stress was negatively predicted by the use of positive thinking.</li> <li>Traumatic stress was positively predicted by use of</li> </ul>	7/8

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>avoidance as a coping strategy.</li> <li>The use of problem solving and use of social support as coping strategies were negative and positive predictors of traumatic stress.</li> </ul>	
Chen <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	92 nurses	SIQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HCWs utilized the following adaptation approaches: communication with family, learning about the disease, communication with colleagues and teamwork.</li> <li>Least influential coping were as follows: lack of support and understanding from family and relatives; lack of protective supplies; lack of social support and recognition for medical workers and unfamiliar with special work environments, working routine and use of equipment.</li> </ul>	78
Dong <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	4618 (doctors, nurses, technician, health administrators )	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical staff without emotional problems were significantly more likely to cope by “adhering to infection control procedures,” “just accepting the risks,” “keeping a positive mind-set,” “keeping a healthy lifestyle,” “avoiding thinking about the risks,” “avoiding traveling,” and less “taking vitamins, herbs, or other complementary substances”.</li> <li>Family relationships had a direct negative effect on emotional-distress levels.</li> </ul>	7/8
Di Monte <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Italy	Cross-sectional	102 general practitioners	CISS; RS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emotional exhaustion was positively correlated with emotion-oriented coping and negatively with task-oriented coping.</li> <li>Depersonalization correlated positively with emotion-oriented coping and avoidance-oriented coping and negatively with task-oriented coping.</li> <li>Personal Accomplishment scale was correlated negatively with emotion-oriented coping and positively with task-oriented coping.</li> <li>Resilience had a significant positive correlation with the personal accomplishment subscale and a negative correlation with emotional exhaustion and Depersonalization subscales.</li> </ul>	7/8
Giusti <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Italy	Cross-sectional	330 (doctors, nurse, nurse assistant	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Age, occupation, being home, work hours, psychological comorbidities, contact with COVID-19 patients, fear of infection, support from family</li> </ul>	6/8

			physiotherapy)		and support from friends predicted burnout due to COVID-19.	
Hou <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	528 HCWs	CSCQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PTSD symptoms were positively associated with negative coping and fatigue.</li> <li>Negative coping moderated the relationship between self-efficacy and PTSD symptoms.</li> <li>Negative coping also moderated the direct effect of self-efficacy on fatigue.</li> </ul>	6/8
Huffman <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	USA	Cross sectional	720 HCWs	CD-RISC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resilient HCWs reported less fatigue, insomnia, stress, and anxiety than non-resilient HCWs.</li> </ul>	8/8
Huang <i>et al.</i> , (2020a)	China	Cross sectional	377 HCWs	CD-RISC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychological resilience was protective for the development of anxiety</li> <li>83.8% of HCWs had higher psychological resilience</li> <li>16.2% of HCWs had low psychological resilience</li> </ul>	6/8
Huang <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross sectional	600 medical staff	CD-RISC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean scale score of the CD-RISC was 65.76 out of 100.</li> <li>Stress score, female, less knowledge of COVID-19, less knowledge of COVID-19 protective measures, and lack of protective materials in the hospital were important related factors for resilience of the medical staff.</li> </ul>	7/8
Khalaf <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Egypt	Cross sectional	170 physicians	BRCS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The BRCS score was 13.45.</li> <li>50% of physicians were low resilient copers, 30% were medium resilient copers and approximately 20% were high resilient copers.</li> <li>Gender, marital status, academic degree, specialty, years of experience, living with vulnerable family members and chronic diseases did not predict BRCS score.</li> <li>Psychological resilience had significant and negative correlation with depression, anxiety and stress.</li> </ul>	7/8
Labrague & De los Santos (2020a)	Philippines	Cross sectional	325 nurses	BRCS; PSSQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resilience, social support, and organisational support in front-line nurses were moderate.</li> <li>Social support, personal resilience, and organisational support predicted COVID-19 anxiety.</li> </ul>	6/8

Labrague & De los Santos (2020b)	Philippines	Cross-sectional	736 nurses	BRCS; PSSQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hospital nurses had higher scores on social support, personal resilience, and perceived general health measures than public health nurses.</li> <li>Personal resilience predicted dysfunctional anxiety related to coronavirus.</li> </ul>	6/8
Lin <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	114 (nurses, doctors, medical staff)	CD-RISC; SCSQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HCWs had a high level of resilience (67.04).</li> <li>Active coping (26.61) score was higher than the score of passive coping (10.32).</li> <li>Nurses obtained a lower resilience score compared to other professions.</li> <li>Moreover, active coping, depression, anxiety and mental health training were significant predictors of resilience.</li> </ul>	7/8
Luceno-Moreno <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Spain	Cross-sectional	1422 HCWs	BRS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resilience is associated in a negative and significant way with post-traumatic stress, anxiety, depression.</li> <li>The mean scale score of the BRS was 3.02 out of a possible score of 6.</li> </ul>	7/8
Li <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Longitudinal	356 nurses	CD-RISC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nurses with PTSD had a significantly lower resilience than those without PTSD.</li> <li>An increase of CD-RISC score was associated with a decrease in PTSD.</li> <li>An increase of CD-RISC score was associated with decreased PTSD symptoms.</li> </ul>	6/8
Mosheva <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Israel	Cross-sectional	1106 (physicians)	CD-RISC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychological resilience was negatively associated with anxiety in HCWs.</li> </ul>	6/8
Maraqa <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Palestine	Cross-sectional	430 (physicians, nurses, and other allied health professionals; lab and radiology technicians)	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following coping approaches were identified by HCWs: prayers, sports, and exercise as the most common (80.5%); having clear guidelines for infection prevention (64.7%); availability of PPE (57.3%); and the support of colleagues.</li> </ul>	8/8
Maiorano <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Italy	Cross-sectional	140 (physicians, nurses)	DRS; CSES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coping strategies, especially stop unpleasant emotions and thoughts, and hardiness are protective factors and reduce the effect of stress on secondary trauma.</li> </ul>	8/8

Mi et al., (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	1029 HCWs	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The mean score of coping measure was 18.48 (range 6–30).</li> <li>• The most common coping strategies were physical exercise, positive attitude, and expression feeling/emotion.</li> <li>• Coping was negatively related to depression and anxiety.</li> </ul>	7/8
Nie et al., (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	263 nurses	SCSQ; PSSS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The mean score of positive coping style and negative coping style among all frontline nurses was 1.68 and 0.97 respectively.</li> <li>• Positive coping style and negative coping style were the risk factors of COVID-19 related stress symptoms.</li> <li>• Seven factors associated with the presence of psychological distress: working in ED, concern for family, being treated differently, the impact of the event, negative coping style, perceived social support, precautionary measures effective.</li> </ul>	6/8
Salman et al., (2020)	Pakistan	Cross-sectional	398 (doctors, nurse, pharmacists)	Brief-COPE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most frequently adopted coping strategy was religious coping followed by acceptance and coping planning.</li> <li>• Females were observed to have significantly higher scores for behavioural disengagement, venting and religious/spiritual coping than male respondents.</li> <li>• Respondents belonging to 26-30 years' age group reported significantly less substance use than those from 31-35 years of age.</li> <li>• Nurses had significantly higher coping style scores on denial, substance use and behavioural disengagement than doctors.</li> </ul>	7/8
Shechter et al., (2020)	USA	Cross-sectional	657 HCWs	RD-CBQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Physical activity/exercise was the most commonly endorsed behaviour (59%), followed by engaging with faith-based religion and/or spirituality (23%), yoga (25%), and/or meditation (23%), engaging with talk therapy (26%) and virtual provider support groups (16%).</li> <li>• HCWs who screened positive for acute stress reported engaging in more coping behaviours than those who screened negative.</li> </ul>	8/8

Tam <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	1280 HCWs	CD-RISD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychological distress and COVID-19 stressors were negatively correlated with resilience.</li> <li>Resilience partially mediated the association between institutional support and psychological distress.</li> </ul>	6/8
Vagni <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	Italy	Cross-sectional	121 (doctors, nurses, psychologists, healthcare assistants)	CSES-SF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HCWs utilized focused problem solving and support as coping strategies.</li> <li>Blocking unpleasant emotions and thoughts strategy had a significant impact on the stress levels and the components of secondary trauma, unlike the problem-focused and social support strategies.</li> </ul>	6/8
Xiao <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	180 medical staff	SSRS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Social support correlated significantly with anxiety and sleep.</li> <li>Social support negatively affected anxiety and stress levels, and positively affected their self-efficacy.</li> </ul>	7/8
Yoruk and Guler (2020)	Turkey	Cross-sectional	377 midwives and nurses	RSA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High psychological resilience was found to be protective against depression risk.</li> </ul>	6/8
Zhu <i>et al.</i> , (2020)	China	Cross-sectional	79 doctors and 86 nurses	SSRS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The total score of positive coping was negatively correlated with the total score of anxiety and depression.</li> </ul>	8/8

*Legend:*

**SSW:** Social Support at Work; **BRS:** Brief Resilience Scale; **MSPSS:** Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; **COPE:** Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced; **SIQ:** Stressor and Incidence Questionnaire; **CISS:** Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations; **RS:** Resilience Scale; **CSCQ:** Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire; **CD-RISC:** Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; **BRCS:** Brief Resilient Coping Scale; **PSSQ:** Perceived Social Support Questionnaire; **PSSQ:** Perceived Social Support Scale; **CSES-SF:** Coping Self-Efficacy Scale – Short Form; **SSRS:** Social Support Rate Scale; **RD-CBQ:** Researcher-designed Coping Behaviours Questionnaire; **DRS:** Dispositional Resilience Scale; **CSES:** Coping Self-Efficacy Scale; **RSA:** Resilience Scale for Adults

**Figure 1. Diagram of the process used to identify references for the review**

