

1 **Anxiety and depression among people living in quarantine**  
2 **centers during COVID-19 pandemic: A mixed method study**  
3 **from western Nepal**

4 Udaya Bahadur BC<sup>1,2¶</sup>, Sunil Pokharel<sup>3¶</sup>, Sabika Munikar<sup>4</sup>, Chetan Nidhi Wagle<sup>1</sup>, Pratik  
5 Adhikary<sup>5</sup>, Brish Bahadur Shahi<sup>6</sup>, Chandra Thapa<sup>7</sup>, Ram Prasad Bhandari<sup>8</sup>, Bipin Adhikari<sup>3,9</sup>  
6 Kanchan Thapa<sup>10\*</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> Public Health Service Office Surkhet, Ministry of Social Development, Karnali Province, Nepal

8 <sup>2</sup> Department of Society and Health, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

9 <sup>3</sup> Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University  
10 of Oxford, Oxford, UK

11 <sup>4</sup> Post Basic Nursing Science Faculty, Om Health Campus, Purbanchal University, Kathmandu,  
12 Nepal

13 <sup>5</sup> School of Public Health, UC Berkeley, USA

14 <sup>6</sup> Health Division, Ministry of Social Development, Karnali Province, Nepal

15 <sup>7</sup> Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

16 <sup>8</sup> Province Hospital, Ministry of Social Development, Karnali Province, Nepal

17 <sup>9</sup> Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol  
18 University, Bangkok, Thailand

19 <sup>10</sup> Central Department of Population Studies, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal.

20

21 **\*Corresponding author:**

22 Email: [kanchanraj3@gmail.com](mailto:kanchanraj3@gmail.com) (KT)

23

24 <sup>¶</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

## 25 **Abstract**

### 26 **Background**

27 In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, incoming travelers were quarantined at specific centers  
28 in Nepal and major checkpoints in Nepal-India border. Nepal adopted a generic public health  
29 approaches to control and quarantine returnee migrants, with little attention towards the quality  
30 of quarantine facilities and its aftermath, such as the poor mental health of the returnee migrants.  
31 The main objective of this study was to explore the status of anxiety and depression, and factors  
32 affecting them among returnee migrants living in institutional quarantine centers of western  
33 Nepal.

### 34 **Methods**

35 A mixed method approach was used which included a quantitative survey and in-depth  
36 interviews (IDIs). Survey questionnaire utilized Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and Beck  
37 Anxiety Inventory (BAI) tools, which were administered among 441 quarantined returnee  
38 migrants and IDIs were conducted among 12 participants which included a mix of quarantined  
39 migrants and healthcare workers from the quarantine centres. Descriptive and inferential  
40 analyses were conducted on quantitative data; and thematic analysis was utilized for qualitative  
41 data.

### 42 **Results**

43 Mild depression (9.1%; 40/441) and anxiety (16.1%, 71/441) was common among respondents  
44 followed by moderate depression and anxiety {depression (3.4%; 15/441), anxiety (4.1%,  
45 18/441)} and severe depression and anxiety {depression (1.1%; 5/441), anxiety (0.7%, 3/441)}.  
46 Anxiety and depression were independent of their socio-demographic characteristics. Perceived  
47 fear of contracting COVID-19, severity and death were prominent among the respondents.  
48 Respondents experienced stigma and discrimination in addition to being at the risk of disease and  
49 possible loss of employment and financial responsibilities. In addition, poor (quality and access  
50 to) health services, and poor living condition at the quarantine centres adversely affected  
51 respondents' mental health.

52 **Conclusion**

53 Depression and anxiety among quarantined population warrants more research. Institutional  
54 quarantine centers of Karnali province of Nepal were in poor conditions which adversely  
55 impacted mental health of the respondents. Poor resources allocation for health, hygiene and  
56 living conditions can be counterproductive to the population quarantined.

57 **Key words:** Anxiety, depression, COVID-19, quarantine centers, mental health

## 58 **Introduction**

59 Over the past few decades, there have been outbreaks of several zoonotic infections [1]. The  
60 recent pandemic of COVID-19 caused by a novel coronavirus emerged from China in December  
61 2019. As of 5<sup>th</sup> October 2020, there were 35,493,222 cases and 1,043,171 deaths reported,  
62 affecting 216 countries globally [2]. In the absence of effective pharmaceutical interventions for  
63 the prevention and control, non-pharmaceutical interventions such as physical isolation of cases  
64 and quarantine of contacts, in addition to the measures for social distancing, travel restrictions,  
65 wearing masks and improved hand hygiene, have been imposed globally in an attempt to curtail  
66 the spread of the disease [3].

67 As of 5<sup>th</sup> October 2020, there were 89,263 positive cases and 554 deaths reported from Nepal  
68 [4]. In the Karnali province located in western Nepal, there were 3889 positive cases and 10  
69 deaths reported due to COVID-19 [5]. As COVID-19 cases were rapidly increasing in the South  
70 Asian countries, institutional quarantine centers were established across Nepal to quarantine the  
71 travelers returning the country, especially across the southern border, amid the pandemic [6, 7].  
72 In Karnali province alone, a total of 58,267 returning travelers were quarantined in various  
73 quarantine centers by the 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2020 [5].

74 With an increasing COVID-19 cases in India, Nepalese labor migrants started to return Nepal in  
75 high numbers [8]. A blanket public health approach to quarantine all the returning labor  
76 migrants before allowing them to go home was adopted to reduce the transmission. Institutional  
77 quarantine centers in Nepal were rapidly established as temporary quarantine facilities in pre-  
78 existing infrastructure such as schools, campuses, hostels, hotels, and other accommodating  
79 facilities [9]. However, their effectiveness was questionable from the beginning because of the  
80 compromise in quality over quantity of services. People were living in close proximity inside  
81 these quarantine rooms often sharing beds and other utensils, risking these facilities for outbreak  
82 hotspots [10]. Poor quarantine sites management leading to poor living conditions in relation to  
83 basic needs, water, hygiene and safety were prominently identified [6, 11, 12].

84 Previous reports have found negative psychological impacts among quarantined people with  
85 longer duration of quarantine, fear of infection, frustration and boredom, inadequate supplies,  
86 and inadequate information as common stressors [13]. The trade-off between compromise in

87 psychosocial health and complacency that these quarantine centres (as a symbol of public health  
88 measures against COVID-19) offer is an important paradox that needs urgent attention. The  
89 impacts of these control measure can have equal if not more adversities than the COVID-19  
90 itself [14].

91 Although few studies in the past have addressed the psychological impact of quarantine  
92 (facilities) among population, they were mostly conducted in the high income settings, focused  
93 on health professional and non-migrant residents, and explored the impact of quarantine in pre-  
94 COVID-19 scenarios [13, 15–17]. Little is known about mental health outcomes among  
95 vulnerable population such as returnee migrants who were obliged to stay in quarantine centres  
96 before going home. This study aims to explore the status of anxiety, depression in the returnee  
97 migrants in institutional quarantine centers of western Nepal and factors that influence their  
98 mental health conditions.

## 99 **Methods**

### 100 **Study Design**

101 This study utilized a mix of quantitative and qualitative approaches among returnee migrants and  
102 health workers in quarantine institutions of Karnali Province in western Nepal to explore the  
103 status and drivers of anxiety and depression. The study followed a standard cross-sectional  
104 design using STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)  
105 Checklist (Appendix 1) for quantitative part and COnsolidated Criteria for REporting Qualitative  
106 research (COREQ) guideline for qualitative aspect of the study (Appendix 2).

### 107 **Study population and study area**

108 Nepal is divided into seven provinces where the administrative structure consists of federal,  
109 provincial, district and local level facilities [18]. This study was conducted in nine selected  
110 quarantine centers of Surkhet district, the capital of Karnali province in the western Nepal (Fig  
111 1). After the outbreak of COVID-19 worldwide, the quarantine centers in Nepal were set up and  
112 managed by the provincial and local governments. People entering to Karnali province were  
113 quarantined for a minimum of 14 days upon their arrival before they could go home. Most of the  
114 people in these quarantine centers were labor migrants returning from India [19], who crossed

115 the border by road as the cases of COVID-19 was rising and India imposed nationwide lockdown  
116 [7]. Health workers were mobilized to provide health-related support in these quarantine centers.  
117 Returnee migrants residing in various quarantine centers of Surkhet were approached for  
118 participation in the study. The data collection team purposively recruited the participants for  
119 quantitative information and IDI. A verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant  
120 before enrollment into the study.

121 The population sample for data collection was chosen from the available quarantined people who  
122 were staying in quarantine centres between 21<sup>st</sup> April and 15<sup>th</sup> May 2020. A total of 441 people  
123 participated in the questionnaire survey. IDI was conducted among 12 participants, which  
124 included six quarantined migrants and six health workers. Number of respondents (sample size)  
125 for IDI was based on the principles of 'data saturation', that is data were collected until no new  
126 data/themes emerged from further interviews [20].

## 127 **Data collection**

128 Health workers working in the quarantine sites were trained for data collection using survey  
129 questionnaire. Face-to-face interviews were conducted with the quarantined people that lasted  
130 approximately 20 to 30 minutes. Survey questionnaire was used to obtain socio-demographic  
131 information of participants, and their status of anxiety and depression using Beck Depression  
132 Inventory (BDI) and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) tools [22, 23]. The BDI and BAI  
133 questionnaires are validated and reliable tools in the context of Nepal [24, 25]. Both the BDI and  
134 BAI tools consist of 21 items with scale ranging from 0 to 3 in likert scale for each item and total  
135 score for both the tools ranges from 0-63. We used the scores of 0-13 to denote minimal  
136 depression, 14-19 for mild, 20-28 for moderate and 29-63 for severe depression in BDI scale, as  
137 implemented previously [26]. Similarly, the scores of 0-7 reflected a minimal anxiety, 8-15  
138 reflected mild anxiety, 16-25 reflected moderate anxiety and 26-63 reflected severe anxiety [27].

139 The IDIs allowed to explore the issues and topics identified through quantitative questionnaire.  
140 Face-to-face IDIs were conducted among quarantined people and health workers by UBB (MA)  
141 and KT (MPH, MPhil) using thematic guide. Both UBB and KT are male public health experts in  
142 Nepal with over 10 years of experience in qualitative social science research. A brief informal  
143 discussion was conducted with each participant before interviews to establish relationship and

144 facilitate participation. Participants were informed about the aims and objectives of the study. All  
145 the participants approached for study agreed to participate and there was no drop-out. Each of the  
146 IDIs lasted for approximately an hour and was audio-recorded. No repeat interviews were  
147 conducted with any participants. Field notes were made by the interviewers during the study.  
148 All the quantitative and qualitative interviews were conducted directly with participants at  
149 convenient locations in the quarantine centers, taking necessary precautions against COVID-19  
150 transmission. The survey questionnaire and IDI guide were designed in English language and  
151 translated into Nepali language. The tool was translated back to English for validation before  
152 administration. The survey questionnaire was pretested among 10% of the total sample size  
153 which were excluded from final analysis. Similarly, the IDI guide was pretested among 2  
154 participants prior to its administration for final data collection.

## 155 **Ethical consideration**

156 This study obtained ethical approval from Nepal Health Research Council dated 20<sup>th</sup> April 2020  
157 (Reference #2194). The interviews were conducted in Nepali language. A verbal informed  
158 consent was obtained from each participant and the consent was audio-recorded for IDIs.  
159 Approval from their immediate care takers were taken in case of those with age < 18 years for  
160 recruitment in this study. No financial incentive was given to the participants for their  
161 participation.

## 162 **Data analysis**

163 The quantitative data were entered in MS Excel 2019 and then transferred into SPSS Version 23  
164 for analysis. Descriptive and inferential analysis were made against the scores for depression  
165 (BDI) and anxiety (BAI). Chi-square statistic was used to test for the association of depression  
166 and anxiety with socio-demographic characteristics of the population. For qualitative data,  
167 content analysis was conducted to derive and synthesize themes. The IDIs were transcribed into  
168 Nepali and translated into English. The transcripts were collated in MS word. Thorough desk  
169 review and coding of the data was done by two investigators SP and KT adhering to the research  
170 question. Data were first categorized into themes using a deductive approach based on the  
171 thematic guide and were developed based on the initial coding of the transcripts. Emerging  
172 themes were added after reading each new transcript using inductive approach. Excerpts were

173 chosen based on their relevance to the research questions, and thematic prominence. No  
174 participant feedback was sought on the findings. Triangulation of data from quantitative and  
175 qualitative methods and among the two types of respondents (quarantined migrants and health  
176 workers) was done for the analysis.

177

## 178 **Results**

### 179 **Study participants and background characteristics**

180 Among 441 participants, 282 (63.9%) were aged 18-36 years and majority (90%; 395/441) of the  
181 respondents were labor workers. Only a minority (2%; 8/441) of the participants had higher  
182 education (>12 grades) and majority (93%; 410/441) of the participants earned less than 200  
183 USD per month (Table 1).

### 184 **Status of depression and anxiety**

185 Around 13.6% (60/441) of individuals kept in quarantine centres were suffering from depression  
186 (Mild: 9.1%; 40/441, Moderate: 3.4%; 15/441, and Severe: 1.1%; 5/441). Slightly higher  
187 proportion (20.9%, 92/441) of respondents were suffering from anxiety (Mild: 16.1%; 71/441,  
188 Moderate: 4.1%; 18/441) and Severe: 0.7%; 3/441) compared to depression (Table 2). There was  
189 no significant association of depression and anxiety with socio-demographic characteristics of  
190 the population (Table 3 and Table 4).

### 191 **Characteristics of study participants in IDIs**

192 A total of 12 respondents, six each quarantined migrants and health workers from various  
193 quarantine centres participated in the IDI. Age of participants ranged from 22 years to 46 years.  
194 Immigrants were quarantined in different centres both in India and Nepal, the total duration  
195 ranging from 9 to 45 days. Health workers with various educational backgrounds were recruited  
196 in this study.

197

## 198 **Factors influencing mental health**

### 199 **Overall findings**

200 Mental health of people living in quarantine centers were influenced by multiple factors (Fig 2).  
201 People living in quarantine mostly feared about disease and death, thought about health of  
202 themselves and family members, and their financial responsibilities. Discrimination and  
203 stigmatization by the community for being migrant and at higher risk of COVID-19 and poor  
204 quality of quarantine centers worsened their physical as well as mental health.

### 205 **Fear and discrimination**

206 Almost all the participants were aware of the mode of disease transmission, common clinical  
207 presentation of the disease, and the role of social distancing, the use of masks and sanitization in  
208 reducing transmission. They also expressed their strong commitment to stay in quarantine to save  
209 their family and the community from acquiring disease from them.

210 *“...This disease is highly contagious. Even my friends who travelled along with me are*  
211 *infected however it can be prevented using masks and frequent handwashing. This is an*  
212 *emergency condition and we [family and community] are at risk. So, we need to be safe.”*

213 [IDI-6]

214 Almost all participants were also aware of the rising case and death tolls due to COVID-19  
215 globally. The disease was perceived to be extremely contagious and the fear of dying from the  
216 disease was widely prevalent. Although, all of them were asymptomatic for COVID-19, they  
217 expressed their worry of contracting the disease from the fellow quarantined people they had to  
218 live in close proximity with. Some of the respondents were also aware of their fellow travelers  
219 testing positive for the disease and the high rate of disease transmission in Indian cities where  
220 they worked before travelling to Nepal which escalated their fear of being infected although were  
221 currently symptomless.

222 *“One of our friends returning to Nepal from India has been diagnosed positive in Dailekh*  
223 *So I feel worried whether my reports also come positive.”*

224 [IDI-3]

225 Respondents also expressed their dissatisfaction towards the authorities mixing them with more  
226 in-coming people which they thought could increase their risk of infection. This was often the  
227 reason for conflict between quarantined people and the authorities, and newcomers and people  
228 residing earlier in quarantine.

229 *"...we did protest to not let 25 newcomers stay here. The local people also protested and*  
230 *threw some stone towards them at midnight [but it didn't work]."*

231 [IDI-5]

232 The quarantine centers in Nepal were rapidly set up in response to a large number of migrants  
233 coming from India and operated without adequate measures to prevent transmission within the  
234 centers. Travelers were provided space based on the availability and people coming from  
235 different places and at different times were often sheltered in the same rooms.

236 The fear of contracting the disease, suffering and dying from the disease stirred the mental well-  
237 being of the participants which was often expressed in the form of restlessness and insomnia.

238 *I sleep only at around 2-3 am and get up early in the morning. Sleep is disturbed and I*  
239 *am worried if I will go ill. I also get irritated when someone speak to me while I am busy*  
240 *doing other things.*

241 [IDI-3]

242 Some participants associated their fear to the hyped-up uncensored news in the media and  
243 rumors about the disease which also enabled them to ruminate about the disease and potential  
244 suffering. Participants could see the impacts of hyped-up news and misinformation related to  
245 immigrants that may have led to high discrimination towards them. Despite that most were  
246 asymptomatic, they still faced the acts of discrimination. The discrimination was based on, in  
247 addition to the fear of them bringing the disease as they were returning from high transmission  
248 areas in India, the political and socio-economic factors. They were often denied food and water  
249 during their journey.

250 *"The people from province 5 did not even give us water [for being from another*  
251 *province]."*

252 [IDI-6]

253 Participants were vocal about the discrimination faced by them and often expressed their  
254 annoyance towards these acts that hurt their esteem. They compared their quarantine experience  
255 in Nepal with their travel in India while returning home and expressed their dissatisfaction with  
256 the management of quarantine centers and the behavior of authorities towards them.

257 *"How can you just undervalue us and provide just a packet of noodles and some water for*  
258 *a meal?"*

259 [IDI-12]

260 *"Whenever we ask for something, the thing is thrown towards us rather than passing it*  
261 *on hands. In India, we used to stay in queue and get required articles respectfully.*

262 [IDI-5]

263

## 264 **Socio-economic factors**

265 Participants were mostly labor migrants to India, most of them with a monthly income of less  
266 than \$200. They had lost their job due to the pandemic and were returning home without a  
267 saving. Most of them reflected on their expectations of going to India and how it was  
268 troublesome for them to return without an earning.

269 *"In my family, I was supposed to earn some money. I went to India thinking so. Now, I am*  
270 *returning without a penny in the pocket."*

271 [IDI-3]

272 Some participants further related their worsening economic condition with their family  
273 responsibility and expressed their concern over earning for living and providing education to  
274 their children in Nepal.

275 *"I have a young child and another child is about to go to school. Now I don't even have*  
276 *a single cent of money. Because of this, I think about my family. What they have been*  
277 *doing? I have been staying for such a long period. What children might have eaten at*  
278 *home? I am now unable to afford my children's education."*

279 [IDI-3]

280 India is a popular destination for the labour migrants, especially from hilly regions in western  
281 Nepal. Among all the labor migrants, most are seasonal travelers who work for few months in  
282 Indian labor market each year to compensate the insufficient agriculture-based economy while  
283 some stay for longer duration to support their families in Nepal. Participants expressed that their  
284 land in the village were infertile to alone sustain their living for the whole year and voiced their  
285 insecurities for finding an employment in Nepal.

## 286 **Quality of quarantine services and healthcare access**

287 Almost all the participants reported an unpleasant quarantine experience in terms of their living  
288 condition, foods provided, care and treatment they received from the quarantine sites. The poor  
289 living condition at the quarantine centers were reported to be one of the stressors by many  
290 participants.

291 *"...packaged meals used to be delivered in quarantine centre for us, but the food used to*  
292 *be left unattended in the open ground. We felt being treated like dogs."*

293 [IDI-5]

294 Poor facilities for water and sanitation deprived them from the maintenance of their personal and  
295 environmental hygiene. In addition to these, being a factor for their personal discomfort and  
296 dissatisfaction, this was disturbing the residents living around the quarantine centres and was  
297 often a reason for complains against quarantined population.

298 *"...an auntie here [community person housed next to quarantine centre] complains that*  
299 *we are being unhygienic and dirty. But we have problem with water here, we are not*  
300 *given enough water. We haven't been able to take bath for several days."*

301 [IDI-3]

302 People were often quarantined at more than one place in Nepal and India during their travel. For  
303 many participants, this led to very long cumulative quarantine duration, which was reported to be  
304 up to 45 days by a participant. Their physical and mental suffering while spending time in these  
305 various centres, with different group of fellow travelers and under management of various  
306 provincial and local authorities were reported to be very painful and annoying. A participant  
307 expressed his frustration over the physical torture from the authorities during the travel and at the  
308 quarantine sites.

309        *“While staying in [quarantine sites] India, I was beaten by the police for requesting them to*  
310        *send me to Nepal. Later, after spending 45 days [quarantined in various centers in India], we*  
311        *were taken to Nepal at the mid-night.”*

312            [IDI-3]

313        There were no rooms for any re-creational activities. The fellow quarantined people were just the  
314        ‘strangers’ for most of the participants. When asked if they got chance to share their problem and  
315        experiences with other people in the quarantine, they expressed their difficulty to open up with  
316        anyone who were strangers to them. Although quarantined people were aware of the need to  
317        quarantine them; and complied with the government regulation to stay in the quarantine center  
318        for at least 2 weeks after arrival in Nepal, they thought they were living a miserable life there.

319            *"Thinking about the family and home, I wish I could escape and run away from here*  
320            *now.”*

321            [IDI-6]

322        Although some quarantine centers had started some forms of mental health services such as  
323        yoga, the participants explained that counselling services in quarantine centers were poor (worse  
324        than the expected).

325            *"All quarantined people are worried and stressed. No one is there to counsel. We should*  
326            *cope with ourselves and decide what should be done to make the situation better.”*

327            [IDI-3]

328        Participants also expressed lack of confidence in the health system of the country when COVID-  
329        19 was spreading. Many of them compared with the health services in India where they went  
330        through rapid test for COVID-19 screening and expressed their displeasure as the quarantine  
331        centres in Nepal merely tested for their body temperature. They thought there were no facilities  
332        for optimal routine health services at the quarantine centres and were worried of encountering  
333        health crisis during their stay.

334        Many participants had chronic problems such as gastritis, diabetes and hypertension and they felt  
335        the need to follow-up with health professionals for their medications. Some participants felt that  
336        their pre-existing health conditions were worsening due to the lack of proper consultation and  
337        treatment. Although some health workers thought that they were providing the essential services

338 they needed, other accepted that the available health services in the quarantine centres were  
339 limited.

340 *"One day, an aunty [a quarantined female] came crying to our tent [health support unit]*  
341 *and told me about her problems. She was also a diabetic patient and had developed*  
342 *rashes over her body. She showed her rashes and was also worried about her sugar*  
343 *control. Then, we gave her medicine for rashes, but could not test for her blood sugar.*  
344 *We had to counsel her to stay in quarantine and defer her sugar testing until she*  
345 *completed her quarantine duration."*

346 [IDI-12]

347

## 348 **Discussion**

349 The main objective of this study was to explore the status of mental health and factors affecting  
350 it among returning labor migrants staying in institutional quarantine centres in western Nepal  
351 during COVID-19 pandemic. While blanket public health approach in Nepal was directed  
352 towards the isolation and quarantine of people coming across the border for the prevention of  
353 COVID-19 transmission, much less attention has been paid towards the mental health status of  
354 the people who stayed in the institutional quarantine centres.

### 355 **Prevalence of anxiety and depression**

356 This study reported prevalence of anxiety to be 20.9% and depression to be 13.6% which aligns  
357 with a previous reports that highlights high prevalence of depression and anxiety in population  
358 affected by COVID-19 ranging from 16- 28% [28]. A significantly higher prevalence of anxiety  
359 and depression among those affected by quarantine (12.9% and 22.4%) compared to those  
360 unaffected (6.7% and 11.9%) has been reported from China [29]. Some studies have reported  
361 alarmingly high occurrence of mental disorders among those being quarantined. For instance,  
362 among Filipino quarantined respondents, 60.3% had moderate to severe anxiety and 53.1% had  
363 moderate to severe depression [30]. Although large heterogeneity in the tools used in the  
364 evaluation of mental illness exists across various studies and contextual factors are in effect,  
365 isolation and quarantine increases the vulnerability of population to mental health problems  
366 needing a serious attention.

367 While emerging evidence indicate increased anxiety and psychological distress due to COVID-  
368 19, factors other than disease itself significantly affects the mental wellbeing of the population.  
369 For example, in a study from Germany where over 50% of participants expressed anxiety and  
370 psychological distress associated with COVID-19 pandemic; psychological and social  
371 determinants showed stronger associations with anxiety and distress rather than experiences with  
372 the disease [31].

### 373 **Factors affecting mental health**

374 Perceived COVID-19 threat itself is a recognized risk factor for depression and anxiety; and has  
375 been reported to trigger mental health problems in general population [32]. Increasing number of  
376 reported deaths worldwide triggers increased psychological distress among population further  
377 progressing to mood disorders [33]. The fear of suffering and dying from COVID-19 was highly  
378 prevalent among the quarantined population. The fear was further aggravated by the news of  
379 increasing cases and death tolls. The uncensored and often exaggerated news in media were  
380 other enabling factors for the participants to ruminate about the disease and potential suffering  
381 and have prompted fear and discrimination among general population [34]. Participants reported  
382 discrimination either from peers, health workers and/or community people. Perceived  
383 discrimination among quarantined people is conducive to higher mental health distress [35].

384 Economic challenges are emerging worldwide manifesting in the forms of loss of job, increased  
385 responsibilities and financial loss leading to increased stress level [8]. India is a popular  
386 destination for the labor migrants, especially from hilly regions in western Nepal [36–38]. These  
387 labor migrants are either seasonal travelers who work for few months in Indian labor market  
388 each year to compensate the insufficient agriculture-based economy or employee for a longer  
389 duration to support their families in Nepal. Loss of jobs in Indian labor market and decreasing  
390 job opportunities in Nepal [39–41] with the evolving pandemic relates to the impending  
391 economic crisis in the lives of population with minimal education background and very low  
392 economic status as reported in this study. World Bank emphasizes on a significant impact of  
393 COVID-19 on labor market [42] which has increased risk of depression associated with greater  
394 financial difficulties [43]. In china, higher levels of anxiety and depression is reported among  
395 people with severe economic loss [29].

396 Poor access to health care services is reported from the quarantine centers in Nepal [6, 10]. As  
397 the health system in Nepal was already strained due to the impact of ‘covidization’, people  
398 lacked access to essential health services [34, 44]. Many of the participants already had medical  
399 conditions which needed urgent care. People with self-perceived illnesses supposedly have  
400 higher depression and anxiety level in the absence of proper consultation and care. The  
401 insufficient health infrastructure and health manpower in the country to handle the COVID-19  
402 cases and other essential health services were questioned, but no adequate efforts were made to  
403 strengthen the health system capacity [21]. One-third of the available inpatient beds and  
404 ventilators were allocated for the treatment of covid-19 cases [9] however, with existing limited  
405 functional capacity in the country, this could jeopardize both COVID-19 management and  
406 essential health services.

407 Poor infrastructure of quarantine centers and lack of proper supplies including food, water  
408 sanitation and hygiene contributed for poor living condition of people in the quarantine centers  
409 [6, 10]. These factors not only increases risk of COVID-19 outbreaks within quarantine centers  
410 [10], and acquiring various other infections [45], they largely contribute to the poor mental  
411 wellbeing of the people. Some participants reported increased duration of quarantine stay making  
412 them more vulnerable to stress and mental problems. Adequate psychological supports and  
413 mental health services to quarantined people are required to deal with their mental health  
414 difficulties [46]. The provision of recreational facilities, counseling services and peer support  
415 were recognized as the key factors to improve the living conditions of people in the quarantine  
416 centers and enhance their mental wellbeing[47, 48].

417 Imposed strict quarantine may have debilitating effects in mental health. Psychological impact of  
418 quarantine is pervasive, substantial and can be long lasting [13]. In parallel to instituting essential  
419 quarantine measure to curb the spread of COVID-19, it is urgent to think about psychological  
420 needs of those people being quarantined and health workers responding to the situation [21, 49].  
421 The psychological consequences during disasters are often the neglected aspects in public health  
422 preparedness [50] and was reflected in Nepal’s current COVID-19 preparedness too [51]. The  
423 psychological aspects in a disaster should be of paramount importance with preparedness in  
424 place to mitigate the long term aftermath: anxiety and depression [52].

## 425 **Strengths and limitations**

426 This is to our knowledge the first study conducted in Nepal to explore anxiety and depression  
427 among people who had to stay in the quarantine centres during COVID-19 pandemic. One of the  
428 main strengths of this study is that it uses a mixed method design in an adequate sample.  
429 Nonetheless, the study may have incurred recall and social desirability bias. In addition, anxiety  
430 and depression in this study may have accumulated from the contribution of various previous  
431 circumstances apart from quarantine experience. Other quarantine centres in Nepal may have  
432 different characteristics in terms of services and ambience possibly impacting the level of mental  
433 wellbeing. However, poor services, and crowded living conditions have been reported through  
434 from across Nepal through media. Future research can explore into the nationwide situation of  
435 quarantine centres and their impacts on the mental wellbeing population quarantined in these  
436 centers.

## 437 **Conclusion**

438 High prevalence of anxiety and depression was reported among returnee labor migrants living in  
439 institutional quarantine centers of Karnali province of Nepal amid COVID-19 pandemic.  
440 Although no statistically significant association of anxiety and depression with socio-  
441 demographic variables was observed, the qualitative approach identified various factors to  
442 contribute for their poor mental health. Institutional quarantine centers were in poor conditions in  
443 relation to basic supplies, health, hygiene and recreational support which adversely impacted  
444 mental health of the respondents. Measures towards alleviating fear and stigma and ensuring  
445 financial securities of the population during the time of health crisis are important for  
446 preparedness against epidemics such as COVID-19.

447

## 448 **Recommendations**

- 449 • Programmatic response to COVID-19 with efforts towards the containment of disease  
450 using measures for the quarantine of high-risk population should in parallel prioritize the  
451 mental wellbeing of the quarantined population.

- 452 • Steps towards alleviating fear and stigma through education and awareness about the  
453 disease should be implemented.
- 454 • Censoring exaggerated and unverified news and ensuring that the information being  
455 disseminated through media is factual and reliable is necessary to mitigate the damage  
456 made by fear on the mental wellbeing of the population.
- 457 • Strategies for promoting societal acceptance and psychosocial support are very crucial to  
458 mitigate the impact of stigma and discrimination.
- 459 • Healthcare preparedness should incorporate mental health services including proper  
460 psychological counselling services and adequate essential health services for the  
461 vulnerable quarantined population.
- 462 • Attention needs to be paid to the quality of life in quarantine centers with sufficient basic  
463 and recreational support.
- 464 • Healthcare budgeting should address the financial needs of population with low  
465 economic status at the time of healthcare crisis and make pre-emptive preparation for  
466 providing financial support and ensuring financial security of the vulnerable population  
467 in need.

## 468 **Abbreviations**

- 469 ANM, Auxiliary Nurse Midwife;
- 470 BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory;
- 471 BBS, Bachelor in Business Studies;
- 472 BDI, Beck Depression Inventory;
- 473 BPH, Bachelor in Public Health;
- 474 HA, Health assistant;
- 475 HQ, Home quarantine;
- 476 HW, Health worker;
- 477 ML, Migrant labour;
- 478 MoSD, Ministry of Social Development;

479 SB, Street Business.

480

## 481 **Declarations**

### 482 **Authors' contributions**

483 UBB and KT conceived the idea and designed the study; UBB, CNW, CKT, RPB and KT  
484 conducted field studies and acquired the data; SP, SM, BA and KT analyzed the data; SP, SM  
485 and KT wrote the first draft; all the authors critically revised the manuscript and agreed on the  
486 final version of the manuscript.

### 487 **Competing interests**

488 All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 489 **Availability of data and material**

490 All quantitative data pertaining to this study are presented in the study. Full details of qualitative  
491 data presented in this study are restricted for sharing because of the nature of breaching the  
492 anonymity due to quotes and expressions which are identifiable.

### 493 **Consent for publication**

494 Not applicable

### 495 **Funding**

496 This study was funded by Ministry of Social Development (MoSD), Karnali Province of Nepal.

## 497 **Acknowledgements**

498 We would like to thank all the colleagues at the Public Health Service Office, Surkhet for  
499 support throughout the study. We would also like to thank Mr Dev Ram Sunuwar from Armed  
500 Police Force of Nepal for creating the map (Fig 1) for the study.

501

## 502 **References**

- 503 [1] Perlman S, Netland J. Coronaviruses post-SARS: Update on replication and pathogenesis.  
504 *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2009; 7: 439–450.
- 505 [2] Worldometer. COVID-19 Corona Virus Pandemic. *Worldometer*,  
506 <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> (2020, accessed 5 October 2020).
- 507 [3] Usher K, Bhullar N, Jackson D. Life in the pandemic: Social isolation and mental health. *J*  
508 *Clin Nurs*. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI: 10.1111/jocn.15290.
- 509 [4] Ministry of Health and Population of Nepal. *Health Sector Response to COVID 19*. 2020.
- 510 [5] Health Service Directorate, Karnali Province, Ministry of Social Development. *The*  
511 *available information on COVID 19 till the date*. 2020.
- 512 [6] Plan International C. COVID-19 Quarantine centre conditions must improve in nepal,  
513 [https://plan-international.org/blog/2020/06/covid-19-quarantine-centre-conditions-must-](https://plan-international.org/blog/2020/06/covid-19-quarantine-centre-conditions-must-improve-nepal)  
514 [improve-nepal](https://plan-international.org/blog/2020/06/covid-19-quarantine-centre-conditions-must-improve-nepal) (2020, accessed 27 July 2020).
- 515 [7] Aljazeera. The ticking time bomb of Nepal’s returning migrant workers. *Corona Virus*  
516 *Pandemic*, 2020, [https://www.aljazeera.com/economy/2020/6/10/the-ticking-time-bomb-](https://www.aljazeera.com/economy/2020/6/10/the-ticking-time-bomb-of-nepals-returning-migrant-workers)  
517 [of-nepals-returning-migrant-workers](https://www.aljazeera.com/economy/2020/6/10/the-ticking-time-bomb-of-nepals-returning-migrant-workers) (2020).
- 518 [8] Bipin Adhikari, Akihiko Ozaki, Sujan Babu Marahatta, Komal Raj Rijal and SRM.  
519 Earthquake rebuilding and response to COVID-19 in Nepal, a country nestled in multiple  
520 crises. *J Glob Health*; 4 October, [http://www.jogh.org/documents/issue202002/jogh-10-](http://www.jogh.org/documents/issue202002/jogh-10-020367.htm)  
521 [020367.htm](http://www.jogh.org/documents/issue202002/jogh-10-020367.htm) (2020).
- 522 [9] Government of Nepal- Ministry of Health and Population. *Health Sector Emergency*  
523 *Response Plan COVID-19*. Kathmandu, Nepal, [https://www.who.int/docs/default-](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/nepal-documents/novel-coronavirus/health-sector-emergency-response-plan-covid-19-endorsed-may-2020.pdf?sfvrsn=ef831f44_2)  
524 [source/nepal-documents/novel-coronavirus/health-sector-emergency-response-plan-covid-](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/nepal-documents/novel-coronavirus/health-sector-emergency-response-plan-covid-19-endorsed-may-2020.pdf?sfvrsn=ef831f44_2)  
525 [19-endorsed-may-2020.pdf?sfvrsn=ef831f44\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/nepal-documents/novel-coronavirus/health-sector-emergency-response-plan-covid-19-endorsed-may-2020.pdf?sfvrsn=ef831f44_2) (2020).
- 526 [10] Poudel A. Poor quarantine facilities could themselves become outbreak hotspots, doctors  
527 warn. *The Kathmandu Post*, 2020, [https://kathmandupost.com/national/2020/04/06/poor-](https://kathmandupost.com/national/2020/04/06/poor-quarantine-facilities-could-themselves-become-outbreak-hotspots-doctors-warn)  
528 [quarantine-facilities-could-themselves-become-outbreak-hotspots-doctors-warn](https://kathmandupost.com/national/2020/04/06/poor-quarantine-facilities-could-themselves-become-outbreak-hotspots-doctors-warn) (2020).

- 529 [11] RSS. State Minister Blames Shortage For Poor Management Of Quarantines. *The Rising*  
530 *Nepal*, 5 June 2020, [https://risingnepaldaily.com/miscellany/state-minister-blames-](https://risingnepaldaily.com/miscellany/state-minister-blames-shortage-for-poor-management-of-quarantines)  
531 [shortage-for-poor-management-of-quarantines](https://risingnepaldaily.com/miscellany/state-minister-blames-shortage-for-poor-management-of-quarantines) (5 June 2020).
- 532 [12] How is COVID-19 impacting the people of Nepal? *WaterAid*,  
533 <https://www.wateraid.org/global-covid-19-response/nepal> (2020, accessed 9 October  
534 2020).
- 535 [13] Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and  
536 how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 912–920.
- 537 [14] Arya D. Targeted Population-wide Mental Health Support is Essential During COVID-19  
538 Pandemic. *J Ment Heal Clin Psychol* 2020; 4: 34–37.
- 539 [15] Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, et al. SARS control and psychological effects of  
540 quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004; 10: 1206–1212.
- 541 [16] Jeong H, Yim HW, Song YJ, et al. Mental health status of people isolated due to Middle  
542 East Respiratory Syndrome. *Epidemiol Health* 2016; 38: e2016048.
- 543 [17] Reynolds DL, Garay JR, Deamond SL, et al. Understanding, compliance and  
544 psychological impact of the SARS quarantine experience. *Epidemiol Infect* 2008; 136:  
545 997–1007.
- 546 [18] Ghimire U, Shrestha N, Adhikari B, et al. Health system’s readiness to provide  
547 cardiovascular, diabetes and chronic respiratory disease related services in Nepal:  
548 Analysis using 2015 health facility survey. *BMC Public Health* 2020; 20: 1–15.
- 549 [19] Health Service Directorate Karnali Province. *Summary Report of COVID 19*.  
550 Birendranagar, Surkhet, Karnali Province, Nepal, 2020.
- 551 [20] Saunders B, Sim J, Kingstone T, et al. Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its  
552 conceptualization and operationalization. *Qual Quant* 2018; 52: 1893–1907.
- 553 [21] Shrestha N. Health System Preparedness in Tackling the COVID- 19 in Nepal□: a  
554 Qualitative Study Among Frontline Healthcare Workers and Policymakers. *Res Sq*; 1–22.
- 555 [22] Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, et al. An Inventory for Measuring Depression The  
556 difficulties inherent in obtaining. *JAMA Psycharity* 1960; June: 561–571.

- 557 [23] Beck, Brown, Epstein, et al. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: Psychometric  
558 properties. *J Counseling Clin Psychol* 1988; 56: 893–897.
- 559 [24] B A Kohrt, R D Kunz, N R Koirala VDS rma & MK. Validation of the Nepali version of  
560 beck anxiety inventory. *J Inst Med* 2007; 25: 1–4.
- 561 [25] Brandon A. Kohrt, Richard D. Kunz, Naba R. Koirala, Vidya D. Sharma MKN.  
562 Validation of Nepali Version of Beck Depression Inventory. *Nepal J Psychiatry (2002)*,  
563 2002; 2: 123–130.
- 564 [26] Segal DL, Coolidge FL, Cahill BS, et al. Psychometric properties of the beck depression  
565 inventory-II (BDI-II) among community-dwelling older adults. *Behav Modif* 2008; 32: 3–  
566 20.
- 567 [27] BASARAN A, KARADAVUT KI, UNERI SO, et al. The effect of having a children with  
568 cerebral palsy on quality of life, burn-out, depression and anxiety scores: a comparative  
569 study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2010; 54: 500–509.
- 570 [28] Ravi Philip Rajkumar. COVID-19 and mental health: A review of the existing literature.  
571 *Asian J Psychiatr* 2020; 52: 102066.
- 572 [29] Lei L, Huang X, Zhang S, et al. Comparison of Prevalence and Associated Factors of  
573 Anxiety and Depression among People Affected by versus People Unaffected by  
574 Quarantine during the COVID-19 Epidemic in Southwestern China. *Med Sci Monit* 2020;  
575 26: 1–12.
- 576 [30] Montano RLT, Acebes KML. Covid stress predicts depression, anxiety and stress  
577 symptoms of Filipino respondents. *Int J Res Bus Soc Sci (2147- 4478)* 2020; 9: 78–103.
- 578 [31] Petzold MB, Bendau A, Plag J, et al. Risk, resilience, psychological distress, and anxiety  
579 at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *Brain Behav* 2020; 1–10.
- 580 [32] Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, et al. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among  
581 Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: Implications and policy recommendations.  
582 *Gen Psychiatry* 2020; 33: 19–21.
- 583 [33] Rheenen TE Van, Meyer D, Neill E, et al. Mental health status of individuals with a  
584 mood-disorder during the COVID19 pandemic in Australia: Initial results from the

- 585 COLLATE project. *Asian J Psychiatr*. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI:  
586 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.037>.
- 587 [34] Pokharel S, Raut S, Rijal KR, et al. COVID-19 pandemic, public health preparedness in  
588 Nepal and one health approach. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2020; 2019–2020.
- 589 [35] Xin M, Luo S, She R, et al. Negative cognitive and psychological correlates of mandatory  
590 quarantine during the initial COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Am Psychol* 2020; 75: 607–  
591 617.
- 592 [36] Poudel KC, Jimba M, Okumora J, et al. Migrants’ risky sexual behaviours in India and at  
593 home in far western Nepal. *Trop Med Int Heal* 2004; 9: 897–903.
- 594 [37] Seddon D, Adhikari J, Gurung G. Foreign labor migration and the remittance economy of  
595 Nepal. *Crit Asian Stud* 2002; 34: 19–40.
- 596 [38] Sharma JR. Marginal but Modern: Young Nepali Labour Migrants in India. *Young* 2013;  
597 21: 347–362.
- 598 [39] Gautam TR. Causes and Impact of Migration □: A Sociological Study of Emigration From  
599 Kandebash, Baglung, Nepal. *Dhaulagiri J Sociol Anthropol* 2005; 1: 146–163.
- 600 [40] Thieme S, Simone W. Migration Patterns and Remittance Transfer in Nepal: A Case  
601 Study of Sainik Basti in Western Nepal. *Int Migr*; 43,  
602 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1468-2435.2005.00342.x> (2005).
- 603 [41] Bruslé T. Choosing a destination and work: Migration strategies of Nepalese workers in  
604 Uttarakhand, Northern India. *Mt Res Dev* 2008; 28: 240–247.
- 605 [42] Tazeen fasihharry a. Patrinosm. Najeeb shafiq. The impact of COVID-19 on labor market  
606 outcomes: Lessons from past economic crises. *World Bank Blogs*,  
607 [https://blogs.worldbank.org/education/impact-covid-19-labor-market-outcomes-lessons-](https://blogs.worldbank.org/education/impact-covid-19-labor-market-outcomes-lessons-past-economic-crises)  
608 [past-economic-crises](https://blogs.worldbank.org/education/impact-covid-19-labor-market-outcomes-lessons-past-economic-crises) (accessed 21 May 2020).
- 609 [43] Richardson T, Elliott P, Roberts R, et al. A Longitudinal Study of Financial Difficulties  
610 and Mental Health in a National Sample of British Undergraduate Students. *Community*  
611 *Ment Health J* 2017; 53: 344–352.
- 612 [44] Singh DR, Sunuwar DR, Adhikari B, et al. The perils of COVID-19 in Nepal:

- 613 Implications for population health and nutritional status. *J Glob Health* 2020; 10: 1–4.
- 614 [45] Chatterjee SS, Barikar C M, Mukherjee A. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on pre-  
615 existing mental health problems. *Asian J Psychiatr*; 51. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI:  
616 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102071.
- 617 [46] González-sanguino C, Ausín B, Ángel M, et al. Mental health consequences during the  
618 initial stage of the 2020 Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Spain. *Asian J Psychiatr*.
- 619 [47] Cénat JM, Mukunzi JN, Noorishad PG, et al. A systematic review of mental health  
620 programs among populations affected by the Ebola virus disease. *J Psychosom Res* 2020;  
621 131: 109966.
- 622 [48] Chow KM, Law BMH, Ng MSN, et al. A review of psychological issues among patients  
623 and healthcare staff during two major coronavirus disease outbreaks in China:  
624 Contributory factors and management strategies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;  
625 17: 1–17.
- 626 [49] Liu Q, Luo D, Haase JE, et al. The experiences of health-care providers during the  
627 COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2020; 8: e790–e798.
- 628 [50] Adhikari B, Mishra SR, Babu Marahatta S, et al. Earthquakes, Fuel Crisis, Power Outages,  
629 and Health Care in Nepal: Implications for the Future. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*  
630 2017; 11: 625–632.
- 631 [51] Khanal P, Devkota N, Dahal M, et al. Mental health impacts among health workers during  
632 COVID-19 in a low resource setting: a cross-sectional survey from Nepal. 2020; 1–12.
- 633 [52] Huremovic D. Psychiatry of Pandemics: a Mental Health Response to Infection Outbreak.  
634 *Croat Med J*. Epub ahead of print 2019. DOI:  
635 <https://dx.doi.org/10.3325%2Fcmj.2020.61.306>.

636 **{Bibliography}**

637 **Tables and figures:**

638 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristics of participants in quantitative survey (n= 441)

639 **Table 2.** Status of depression and anxiety level (n= 441)

640 **Table 3.** Relationship between depression and independent variables

641 **Table 4.** Relationship between anxiety and independent variables

642 **Fig 1.** Study sites with number of participants in Surkhet district, Karnali province, Nepal.

643 **Fig 2.** Summary of factors driving poor mental health in quarantine centers

644

645 **Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants in quantitative survey (n= 441).**

Characteristics	Frequency(n)	Percent (%)
<b>Age (Years)</b>		
<18	58	13.2
18-35	282	63.9
36-53	88	20.0
>54	13	2.9
<b>Educational status</b>		
Ill-literate	39	8.8
Incomplete Basic	123	27.9
Secondary	271	61.5
Higher Education (>12 Class)	8	1.8
<b>Employment</b>		
Labor	395	89.6

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](#) .

Self Employed	27	6.1
Hotel Staffs	7	1.6
Service	5	1.1
Driver	4	0.9
Security Guard	2	0.5
Musician	1	0.2
<b>Monthly income</b>		
Less than 10 thousand NPR	21	4.8
10-20 Thousand NPR	389	88.2
More than 20 thousand NPR	31	7.0
<b>Household size</b>		
<4	132	29.9
5 – 9	279	63.3
>10	30	6.8
<b>Use Social media</b>		
Yes	256	58.0
No	185	42.0
<b>Have a Bank Account</b>		
Yes	109	24.7
No	332	75.3

646 Mean Income (NRs):12380.92, Average Household Size =5.76

647

648 **Table 2. Status of depression and anxiety level (n= 441).**

<b>Depression level</b>	<b>Frequency (n)</b>	<b>Percent (%)</b>
Minimal	381	86.4
Mild	40	9.1
Moderate	15	3.4
Severe	5	1.1
<b>Anxiety level</b>		
Minimal	349	79.1
Mild	71	16.1
Moderate	18	4.1
Severe	3	0.7

649

650 **Table 3: Relationship between depression and independent variables.**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Depression</b>		<b>Chi-square value</b>	<b>p- value</b>
		<b>Minimal</b>	<b>Depression</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<30 years	238 (85.3%)	41 (14.7%)	0.76	0.472
	➤ 30 years	143 (88.3%)	19 (11.7%)		
<b>Education</b>	Illiterate	29 (74.4%)	10 (25.6%)	5.79	0.055
	Basic	110 (89.4%)	13 (10.6%)		

	Secondary and above	242 (86.7%)	37 (13.3%)		
<b>Income</b>	<15000	322 (87.0%)	48 (13%)	7.82	0.351
	>15000	59 (83.1%)	12 (16.9%)		
<b>Household size</b>	<4	213 (86.6%)	13 (13.4%)	0.017	0.890
	>4	168 (86.2%)	27 (13.8%)		
<b>Occupation</b>	Labor	343 (86.8%)	52 (13.2%)	0.626	0.493
	Other	38 (82.6%)	8 (17.4%)		
<b>Have social account</b>	Yes	220 (85.9%)	36 (14.1%)	0.108	0.780
	No	161 (87.0%)	24 (13.0%)		
<b>Have bank account</b>	Yes	91 (83.5%)	18 (16.5%)	1.042	0.334
	No	290 (87.3%)	42 (12.7%)		

651

652 **Table 4. Relationship between anxiety and independent variables.**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Anxiety</b>		<b>Chi-square value</b>	<b>p- value</b>
		<b>Minimal</b>	<b>Anxiety</b>		
Age	<30 years	228 (81.7%)	51 (18.35)	3.06	0.089
	> 30 years	121 (74.7%)	41 (25.3%)		
Education	Illiterate	29 (74.4%)	10 (25.6%)	0.851	0.0653

	Basic	96 (78.0%)	27 (22.0%)		
	Secondary and above	224 (80.3%)	55 (19.7%)		
Income	<15000	289 (78.1%)	81 (21.9%)	1.477	2.66
	>15000	60 (84.5%)	11 (15.5%)		
Household size	<4	193 (78.5%)	53 (21.5%)	0.157	0.724
	>4	156 (80.0%)	39 (20.0%)		
Occupation	Labor	38 (78.0%)	87 (22.0%)	3.106	0.86
	Other	41 (89.1%)	5 (10.9%)		
Have social account	Yes	202 (78.9%)	54 (21.1%)	0.020	0.906
	No	147 (79.5%)	38 (20.5%)		
Have bank account	Yes	85 (78.0%)	24 (22.0%)	0.117	0.786
	No	264 (79.5%)	68 (20.5%)		


653

## 654 **Figures**

655 **Fig 1. Study sites with number of participants in Surkhet district, Karnali province, Nepal.**

656 The map was created with ArcMap version 10.8.1 and GPS coordinates were taken from field

657 locations.



658

659

660 **Fig 2.** Summary of factors driving poor mental health in quarantine centers



661