A Digital CRISPR-based Method for the Rapid Detection and Absolute Quantification of Viral Nucleic Acids

Xiaolin Wu[1], Cheryl Chan[1], Yie Hou Lee[1], Stacy L. Springs[1,2], Timothy K. Lu[1,3,4,5,6,7,8*], Hanry Yu [1,9,10,11*]

[1]Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, Critical Analytics for Manufacturing of Personalized Medicine Interdisciplinary Research Group, Singapore 138602, Singapore

[2]Center for Biomedical Innovation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.

[3]Synthetic Biology Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[4]Synthetic Biology Group, Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[5]Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

[6]Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

[7]Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[8]Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

[9]Institute of Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, A*STAR, The Nanos, #04-01, 31 Biopolis Way, Singapore 138669, Singapore

[10]Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, T-Lab, 5A Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117411, Singapore

[11]Department of Physiology, National University of Singapore, MD9 #03-03, 2 Medical Drive, Singapore 117597, Singapore

* Correspondence should be addressed to Timothy K. Lu (timlu@mit.edu) and Hanry Yu (phsyuh@nus.edu.sg).

Abstract

Quantitative real-time PCR and CRISPR-based methods detect SARS-CoV-2 in 1 hour but do not allow for the absolute quantification of virus particles, which could reduce inter-lab variability and accelerate research. The 4-hour reaction time of the existing digital PCR-based method for absolute virus quantification is too long for widespread application. We report a RApid DIgital Crispr Approach (RADICA) for the absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2 DNA and Epstein–Barr virus DNA in human samples that yields results within 1 hour. For validation, we compared RADICA to digital PCR for quantifying synthetic SARS-CoV-2 DNA and Epstein–Barr viral DNA. RADICA allows absolute quantification of DNA with a dynamic range from 0.6 to 2027 copies/µL (R^2 value > 0.98), without cross-reactivity on similar virus or human background DNA. Thus, RADICA can accurately detect and quantify nucleic acid in 1h without thermal cycling, providing a 4-fold faster alternative to digital PCR-based virus detection.

Keywords:

CRISPR, Molecular diagnosis, Absolute Quantification, SARS-CoV-2, virus detection

Introduction

Methods to detect virus, as well as to quantify viral load, are needed for diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccines to combat the worldwide spread of infectious disease, such as COVID-19. The reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is considered a gold standard for viral infection

diagnosis. However, quantification via RT-qPCR relies on the use of external

standards or references, and the results can be variable, with a 20–30%

variability reported even within trained laboratories[1-3]. Thus, an absolute

quantification method with improved precision and accuracy is vital for virus

research[4-6].

Digital PCR is increasingly being used as a highly accurate and sensitive

method for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids[1, 7, 8]. In a digital PCR

reaction, the PCR mixture is separated into thousands of individual reactions,

resulting in the amplification of either zero or one of the nucleic acid target

molecules present in each partition. Since the PCR reaction in each partition

proceeds independently, absolute quantification by digital PCR is more

precise than RT-qPCR and more tolerant of inhibitors; furthermore, digital

PCR overcomes poor amplification efficiency[1]. The sensitivity and precision of

digital PCR-based viral detection have been demonstrated in quantitative

detection and viral load analysis of SARS-CoV-2-infected patient samples

with reduced inter-lab variability and fewer false negatives and fewer false

positives compared with RT-PCR[6, 9, 10]. In addition to its application in viral

diagnostics, digital PCR has also been applied to other areas of virus

research, including the study of the aerodynamic transmission of SARS-CoV-

2[5]. The main drawback of digital PCR, however, is the relatively long reaction

time (~4 hours) needed as a result of the 1-2°C/s ramp up/down rate for

efficient inter-partition heat transfer during thermal cycling, compared to that

of qPCR, which requires 1 hour. Reducing the reaction time of digital PCR is

therefore crucial in enabling the adoption of the technology for rapid virus

detection in hospitals and clinics[11].

Isothermal amplification methods, which amplify the nucleic acid target molecule at a constant temperature and thereby reduce the reaction time, have also been used for viral detection. These include methods that employ recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) or loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)[12, 13]. More recently, innovative diagnostic methods using RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas system have been developed to detect nucleic acids. In the RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas system, Cas effectors such as Cas12a and Cas13a are exploited for their collateral cleavage activity, which refers to the degradation of other nonspecific DNA/RNA oligos such as fluorescently-tagged reporter oligos, once the Cas protein finds and cleaves a specific DNA/RNA target[14, 15]. By combining RPA- or LAMP-mediated isothermal amplification of the target molecule with the CRISPR/Cas biosensing system, methods such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR have detected dengue virus and human papillomavirus, as well as SARS-CoV-2, in clinical samples[16-22]. However, as CRISPR-based methods are not quantitative and require multiple manipulations between the amplification and detection steps, there remains a need for a quantitative, rapid, and robust viral detection method.

Here, we report the development of a digital CRISPR method for the rapid, sensitive, and specific detection of viral nucleic acids at a constant temperature. This method combines the advantages of quantitative digital PCR, rapid isothermal amplification, and specific CRISPR detection into a one-pot reaction system that partitions the individual reactions into 10,000 compartments on a commercial high-density chip. In this study, we demonstrate an optimized RApid DIgital Crispr Approach (RADICA) that allows for absolute quantification of viral nucleic acids at a constant

temperature in one hour. We validated this method using DNA containing the

N (nucleoprotein) gene of SARS-CoV-2 and showed a linear signal-to-input

response of R^2 value > 0.99. We compared our RADICA detection system

against the traditional digital PCR method and show that the RADICA system

(1h vs 4h) was faster and had sensitivity and accuracy comparable to that of

traditional digital PCR. Also, this method is highly specific and do not have

cross activity on other similar virus or human background DNA. We also used

RADICA in the absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus from human B

cells (R^2 value > 0.98). Our rapid and sensitive RADICA allows for the

accurate detection and absolute quantification of viral nucleic acids in one

hour.

Results

Design of RADICA

Commercial chips for sample partitioning and matched fluorescence reader

for endpoint detection were used in RADICA[23]. In this system, each CRISPR-

based reaction mix is sub-divided into 10,000 partitions on the chip, resulting

in an average partition volume of 1.336 nL. We first optimized the bulk

CRISPR reaction to achieve a one-copy-per-1.336 nL partition detection

sensitivity on the chip. This is equivalent to femtomolar detection sensitivity in

a bulk reaction. We selected the Cas12a homolog from *Lachnospiraceae*

bacterium ND2006 (LbCas12a) as it showed the highest signal-to-noise ratio

relative to other Cas12a homologs from a previous study[17]. To test if the

RADICA could detect viral DNA with femtomolar sensitivity without pre-

amplification, serially-diluted dsDNA (double stranded DNA) was incubated

with LbCas12a together with its CRISPR RNA (crRNA) and a reporter

(quenched fluorescent DNA). The sensitivity of detection using the CRISPR-

based method without pre-amplification in a bulk reaction was found to be 10

pM (Supplementary Fig. 1), which did not meet the femtomolar sensitivity

requirement of RADICA.

To increase the sensitivity of detection of the CRISPR-based method, an

isothermal amplification step was used. RPA was chosen for the isothermal

amplification step because its reaction temperature (25°C to 42°C) is

compatible with that of Cas12a (25°C to 48°C). This allowed for a one-step

digital RPA-CRISPR absolute quantification method that eliminates multiple

manipulations inherent in two-step CRISPR-based detection methods such as

SHERLOCK and DETECTR[14, 15]. To avoid Cas12a-mediated cleavage of the

target molecule before amplification, we designed the crRNA to target single-

stranded DNA (ssDNA) that is generated only after amplification of the target

molecule (Fig. 1b)[22]. Another advantage of this method is the ease of

designing ssDNA-targeting crRNA over traditional dsDNA-targeting crRNA,

because the nuclease activity of Cas12a on ssDNA has been reported to be

independent of the presence of protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)[24].

The RADICA developed in this study is illustrated in Fig. 1a. Extracted DNA

samples are loaded onto on the chip by capillary action, and the reaction is

partitioned into 10,000 compartments, resulting in zero or one target molecule

in each compartment. To prevent spontaneous target amplification by RPA at

room temperature[25], the RPA-CRISPR reaction was prepared without the

addition of Mg^{2+}, which is required for the polymerase activity. All reactions

were prepared on ice and samples were loaded within one minute to prevent

premature target amplification. The partitioned reactions were incubated in

isothermal water baths, heat blocks, or warm rooms. In each compartment

containing the target molecule (Fig. 1b), RPA initiates from one DNA strand

and subsequently exposes the crRNA-targeted ssDNA region on the other

strand. As the amplification proceeds, Cas12a cleaves the positive ssDNA

strand, triggering its collateral cleavage activity, which in turn cleaves the

proximal quenched fluorescent probe (ssDNA-FQ reporter) to generate a

fluorescence signal. At the same time, ongoing amplification of the other DNA

strand exponentially amplifies the target DNA, triggering more Cas12a

activation and increasing the fluorescence readout. The proportion of positive-

to-negative compartments is analyzed based on the endpoint fluorescence

measurement, and the copy number of the target nucleic acid is calculated

based on the Poisson distribution, allowing for absolute quantification of the

sample (Fig. 1a). Concurrent detection of compartments in each tube by the

Clarity™ Reader shortens detection time to within minutes.

RADICA optimization

To validate and optimize the RADICA, G-block DNA or plasmids containing

the SARS-CoV-2 N (nucleoprotein) gene region were used and primers and

crRNAs specific for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene were designed accordingly

based on previous studies[22]. The target regions overlap with those of the

China CDC assay (N gene region) with some modification to meet the primer

and crRNA design (Supplementary Table 1). To optimize the Cas12a-

mediated reaction, a bulk reaction using 0.1 nM and 1 nM dsDNA as a target

was performed with a range of Cas12a/crRNA concentrations. We found that

in the presence of a constant amount of target DNA and probe, comparable

fluorescence signal intensities were detected between 50 nM to 250 nM

Cas12a-crRNA concentrations, suggesting that changing the Cas12a/crRNA

concentration did not influence the reaction (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Since the quenched fluorescent probe is another key component that

influences the reaction, we optimized the FQ assay by incubating increasing

amounts of FQ probes with constant concentrations of Cas12a-crRNA and

target DNA. As expected, CRISPR-mediated fluorescence signal intensities

increased with increasing amounts of FQ probes (from 250 nM to 5 µM),

although higher probe concentrations also resulted in higher background

noise (Fig. 2a,b). At FQ probe concentrations above 5 µM, the signal-to-noise

ratio could not be further enhanced (Fig. 2b). To ensure that the fluorescence

signal generated on the partitioned chip was within the reader's detection

range, different FQ probe concentrations were tested in independent digital

CRISPR reactions in the presence of the target DNA and the fluorescence

measured on the digital PCR fluorescence reader. We found that in the

presence of the same target DNA, the proportions of positive partitions were

comparable regardless of the FQ probe concentration used (Fig. 2d).

However, only the background noise and positive signals generated in the

reaction with 500 nM FQ probe concentration were within the reader's

detection range, while the reactions containing 1000 nM FQ probe

concentration yielded higher background noises, which are difficult to

separate from positive signals (Fig. 2c). We therefore used 500 nM FQ probe

concentrations to achieve high signal-to-noise ratios for subsequent

experiments.

An additional optimization step involved developing a one-pot reaction that

combines the RPA and Cas12a reactions. We performed the bulk reaction at

25°C, 37°C and 42°C, which are temperatures within the reaction temperature

ranges of RPA (25°C to 42°C) and Cas12a (25°C to 48°C). First, we tested

the reaction using serial dilutions of plasmid DNA at 25°C and 42°C. The

reaction proceeded at both of these reaction temperatures, with a limit of

detection of about 9.4 copies/µL. However, at 25°C, the reaction proceeded

significantly more slowly with lower positive signals and a higher background

than the reaction performed at a 42°C (Supplementary Fig. 3). Next, we

assessed the effect of different temperatures (25°C, 37°C and 42°C) on

reactions containing a constant amount of plasmid DNA (37.5 copies/µL). We

found that higher temperatures accelerated the reaction (Supplementary Fig.

3c). Taken together, our results suggest that 42°C is the optimal temperature

for the RPA-Cas12a reaction.

We next investigated whether the reaction time affected the precision of

RADICA on plasmid DNA detection at 42°C. As shown in Fig. 3a, the reaction

proceeded quickly with some fluorescence signal detected in several

compartments at 20 min, but with a low signal-to-noise ratio at this time point.

As the reaction proceeded, two distinct peaks indicating the negative (left) and

positive (right) partitions were detected at 40 min (Fig. 3a). Analysis of the

ratio of positive partitions on the chip at the different time points revealed that

the number of positive partitions reached a plateau after 60 min in all four

replicates, suggesting that 60 min was the earliest end-point measurement

(Fig. 3b). All subsequent experiments were therefore performed for 60

minutes.

Absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2 DNA using RADICA

We next characterized the assay performance of RADICA in detecting and

quantifying SARS-CoV-2 and compared it to that of digital PCR. In this assay,

linearized plasmid containing the SARS-CoV-2 N gene was serially diluted

and used as the target DNA in the aforementioned optimized RADICA or

digital PCR reactions. Using RADICA-based detection, a proportional

increase in the number of positive partitions was observed with increasing

concentrations of the target DNA (Fig. 4a), indicating the good quantitative

performance of RADICA. Although few partitions in the negative control were

classified as having a positive signal due to non-specific amplification, the

average of 10 negative control replicates give us an average of 0.165

copies/µL readout and the limit of blank (LoB) is 0.413 copies/µL, which is

about half of our limit of detection (LoD), 0.897 copies/µL (Fig. 4a,

Supplementary Table 2).

To test the robustness and reproducibility of RADICA, at least ten

independent RADICA reactions using the SARS-CoV-2 N gene as the target

DNA were performed on different days. The coefficient of variation (CV)

observed for most samples was ≤15% except for the lowest dilution (0.6

copies/µL), indicating the limit of quantification (LoQ) of this method is around

2.2 copies/µL of the viral genome (Supplementary Table 2). To assess the

accuracy of RADICA-based nucleic acid detection against that of digital PCR,

DNA concentrations measured by RADICA were plotted against the

corresponding DNA concentrations obtained by digital PCR. Linear regression

analysis revealed an R^2 value of above 0.99 across a dynamic range from 0.6

to 2027 copies/μL, suggesting that RADICA was reliable for the absolute

quantification of nucleic acids (Fig. 4b). These data highlight the sensitivity,

accuracy, and speed of the digital CRISPR-based detection method

developed in this study for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids in

samples.

Accuracy analysis of RADICA-based quantification on circular plasmid

Plasmids are routinely used as reference DNA or standards in many analytical

DNA measurements. However, conformational changes in supercoiled DNA

have been reported to have a profound effect on PCR-based quantification[26-

28]. Unsuccessful single-molecule amplification of non-linearized plasmids was

reported in a PCR-based study, which resulted in an underestimation for

circular plasmid quantification on some dPCR machines[29, 30]. To test whether

plasmid conformation also affects the accuracy of RADICA, undigested

plasmid containing the SARS-CoV-2 N gene was serially diluted and used for

digital PCR or RADICA reactions. Concentrations of non-linearized plasmids

measured by digital PCR were about half of those detected for linearized

plasmids (regression coefficients at 0.5261) (Fig. 5d), which is in accordance

with previous studies and indicates that the accuracy of digital PCR is

influenced by plasmid conformation. Compared to digital PCR, RADICA

showed higher amplification efficiency of the supercoiled plasmid DNA, as

evidenced by the higher positive compartments ratio detected (Fig. 5a,b).

RADICA concentrations of non-linearized plasmids were highly concordant

with those of linearized plasmids (regression coefficients at 1.0673) (Fig. 5c),

suggesting that the accuracy of RADICA is not affected by plasmid

conformation.

Specificity analysis of RADICA-based detection

Primer and crRNA designs are key in determining the specificity of CRISPR-

based nucleic acid detection assays. Previous studies have shown the ability

of RPA to tolerate up to nine nucleotide base-pair mismatches across primer

and probe binding sites[25]. To specifically detect SARS-CoV-2 using RADICA,

primers and crRNAs must be designed to specifically bind the SARS-CoV-2

target DNA and not its closely-related coronaviruses, such as MERS-CoV and

other related human coronaviruses. We first analysed the binding sites of the

primers and crRNAs that were originally designed based on the consensus

sequence of the genome of 264 SARS-CoV-2 strains, available on the

GISAID database[22, 31, 32]. The consensus sequence of these SARS-CoV-2

target regions was aligned with corresponding regions of SARS-CoV-2-related

beta coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and human

coronaviruses Human-CoV 229E/HKU1/NL63/OC43. No cross-binding

regions were observed with the other SARS-CoV-2-related coronavirus

analyzed (Supplementary Fig. 4a). A comparison between the binding site

sequences of SARS-CoV-2 and its most similar relative, SARS-CoV, showed

that there were 13 sequence variations across the primer and crRNA binding

sites (three, two, and seven sequence variations at the forward primer,

reverse primer, and crRNA binding site, respectively). This is more than the

nine nucleotide base-pair mismatch tolerance threshold for RPA, which

therefore predicts the specificity of the designed primers and crRNA for

SARS-CoV-2-specific CRISPR-based detection. To test the specificity of the

reaction, we assayed the bulk RPA-Cas12a reaction using target plasmids

containing the complete N gene from SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-

CoV (Supplementary Fig. 4b,c). Positive fluorescence signals were observed

only in the reaction containing the SARS-CoV-2 plasmid, not in reactions

containing SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV plasmids (Supplementary Fig. 4b,c).

The absence of cross-reactivity with the other related coronaviruses tested in

this study validates the specificity of the CRISPR assay for SARS-CoV-2.

Background human DNA tolerance analysis of RADICA

Previous studies have reported that RPA reactions could be inhibited by high

concentrations of background human DNA[33, 34]. We therefore first tested the

RPA-Cas12a bulk reaction in the presence of various concentrations of

background human DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5). In an RPA-Cas12a reaction

with 37.5 copies/µL of target DNA, background human DNA concentrations

below 2 ng/µL did not affect the reaction (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

Concentrations of background human DNA above 5 ng/µL in a bulk RPA-

Cas12a reaction showed reduced fluorescent signal intensities, which is in

agreement with the inhibitory concentrations of background DNA reported in

previous studies using bulk RPA reactions[34] (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

We also tested for possible inhibitory effects of background DNA on reactions

carried out in small partitions. In an RPA-Cas12a reaction with 400 copies/µL

of target DNA, 1 ng/µL of background human DNA (equivalent to about 4350

human cells per reaction) did not affect the RADICA reaction (Supplementary

Fig. 5b). We also observed inhibition of the reaction containing 2 ng/µL of

background human DNA, and complete inhibition of the reaction containing >5

ng/µL of background human DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Nevertheless,

since input DNA concentrations used for RADICA-based detection are

typically below 1 ng/µL, our findings suggest that background DNA will not

inhibit the RADICA reaction of samples within the dynamic range to be used

for testing.

Previous studies have also reported that the tolerance of RPA for background

DNA is dependent on target DNA concentrations present in the reaction[33, 34].

We therefore tested the effect of 1 ng/µL of background human DNA

(equivalent to about 4350 human cells per reaction) on RADICA reactions

with various concentrations of target DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Our

results show that 1 ng/µL of background DNA did not affect reactions that

contained target DNA concentrations within the dynamic range of digital PCR

detection, i.e., 0.6 to 2027 copies/µL (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Our findings

confirm that the presence of background human DNA in the sample is not

likely to affect the absolute quantification of RADICA.

RADICA detection and absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA

As SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, we next tested whether RADICA could be

combined with reverse transcription (RT) in a one-pot reaction for the absolute

quantification of RNA. RNA corresponding to the SARS-CoV-2 gene N target

region was synthesized using a T7 promoter-tagged PCR product and T7

RNA polymerase, and different concentrations of RNA were tested in bulk RT-

RPA-Cas12a reactions. Our results show a lower-than-expected sensitivity of

the one-pot RT-RPA-Cas12a bulk reaction, with a detection limit at 244

copies/μL (Supplementary Fig. 6b). To assess if this decrease in sensitivity

was in part due to an inefficient reverse transcription process in the one-pot

reaction, we employed two reverse primers to facilitate the reverse

transcription reaction and increase the sensitivity of the one-pot reaction. We

detected an increase in sensitivity of 61 copies/μL when two reverse primers

were used (Supplementary Fig. 6c).

We tested the sensitivity of the one-pot RT-RPA-Cas12a reaction in small

partitions on RADICA using varying concentrations of RNA. Notably, although

the positive partition proportion increased with an increase in the

concentration of input RNA, 1 copy of input RNA resulted in an increase of

only 0.0177 copy as calculated by RADICA, suggesting that the RADICA does

not accurately quantify RNA (Supplementary Fig. 6d). Although the addition of

two reverse primers increased the signal, it was insufficient to achieve a one-

copy-per-partition sensitivity (Supplementary Fig. 6e). Our findings suggest

that absolute quantification of RNA by RADICA may require prior conversion

to cDNA before the sample is analysed on a digital chip.

Absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus via RADICA

Having demonstrated the accuracy of RADICA on SARS-CoV-2 DNA

samples, we tested the ability of our RADICA method to perform absolute

quantification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) DNA samples. To design primers

and crRNA that were universal to both type Ⅰ and type Ⅱ EBV, the genomes

of 16 EBV strains were analysed to identify conserved regions across all 16

EBV strains. A conserved DNA region within the Epstein-Barr nuclear antigen

1 (EBNA1) was used as the target sequence (Fig. 6a). Viral DNA extracted

from chemically-induced EBV-harboring human B cells was diluted to

concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 2100 copies/µL, and used as the target

DNA in both RADICA and digital PCR reactions. In the RADICA-based

detection, samples loaded in the partition chip were incubated for 1 h at

42 °C, followed by endpoint fluorescence detection and copy number

determination. Notably, the positive partition signal increased with an increase

in the concentration of input EBV DNA (Fig. 6b). The copy numbers measured

by RADICA were in full agreement (R^2 value > 0.98) with those measured by

digital PCR (Fig. 6c). Our findings validate the accuracy and sensitivity of our

RADICA for the absolute quantification of viral DNA rapidly within an hour,

which is a 4-fold reduction in reaction time compared to digital PCR-based

detection.

Discussion

In our study, we have developed a rapid and accurate digital CRISPR method

for the absolute quantification of viral DNA. The performance characteristics

of this method were validated using SARS-CoV-2 synthetic DNA and EBV

DNA, and compared to those of absolute quantification digital PCR method,

the current gold standard. Our RADICA achieved sensitivity and detection

limits (LoD 0.897 copy/µL) comparable with those of qPCR and other

isothermal methods, such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR, with the ability of

absolute quantification (Supplementary Table 3). The significant advantage of

RADICA over dPCR is its speed: RADICA can perform absolute quantification

rapidly within an hour, which is four times faster than current dPCR-based

detection.

Absolute quantification for viral detection could not only facilitate clinical

processing but also benefit research. Viral loads closely parallel transmission

risk and disease severity. High SARS-CoV-2 viral loads have been reported to

correlate with the course of infection and mortality[6, 35-38]. These reports

underscore the urgent need for rapid and sensitive virus detection and

quantification methods to monitor viral load as the basis for clinical decision

making. Such methods are also needed for mechanistic studies, transmission

studies, vaccine development, and therapeutics for COVID-19. Although there

currently exist many diagnostic methods available for virus detection, these

methods usually do not allow for a rapid and precise quantification of the viral

load (Supplementary Table 3).

RADICA reported in the study is four times faster than the traditional digital

PCR-based methods used for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids.

Additionally, the isothermal feature of RADICA-based detection assay confers

faster amplification of the viral target using a simple constant-temperature

heat bath, enabling rapid viral detection that can be deployed even in low-

resource areas. In recent years, other digital isothermal methods, such as

RPA- or LAMP-based digital PCR methods, have been developed for

detecting a variety of DNA targets[39-41]. However, these methods are limited

by their low specificity, due to the inherent tolerance of RPA/LAMP-based

methods for base-pair mismatches as compared to traditional PCR methods[42-

44]. Our RADICA overcomes this by exploiting the specificity conferred by the

Cas12a-crRNA-based targeting system. The collateral cleavage activity of

Cas12a amplifies the signal and thus increases the sensitivity.

Another advantage of RADICA over other CRISPR-based methods[16-21] is its

one-pot reaction design, which reduces manual manipulation and increases

reproducibility. In this streamlined one-pot reaction, both nucleic acid

amplification and CRISPR-based detection are combined into a single step in

a closed tube, significantly reducing the risk of cross-contamination between

samples during batch processing. A major drawback of current CRISPR-

based methods is the complexity of designing appropriate crRNAs that are

limited to target regions in proximity to a PAM. This limitation may potentially

complicate CRISPR-based virus detection since mutations in the viral PAM

sequence may disable recognition by the Cas protein as the virus evolves. In

contrast, our simpler digital CRISPR crRNA design is independent of the PAM

sequence because it targets single-stranded DNA generated after

amplification[24].

RADICA reported here uses commercially available chips and devices that

can potentially be adapted to other devices already in use at some hospitals

and service laboratories. These are QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR System

(Thermo Fisher), QIAcuity Digital PCR System (QIAGEN), and Droplet Digital

PCR System (Bio-Rad). We therefore envisage greater ease of adoption of

our technology at these facilities. Furthermore, RADICA offers a potentially

customizable solution that is amenable to other DNA isothermal amplification

platforms such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification, rolling circle

amplification, and strand displacement amplification technologies, as well as

the use of other Cas proteins, such as Cas13a, Cas12b, Cas14 for multiplex

detection.

We have established and characterized RADICA, which combines the speed

and sensitivity of isothermal amplification, the specificity of CRISPR-based

detection, and the ability to obtain absolute quantification by sample

partitioning. Our RADICA detects a concentration of viral DNA as low as

0.897 copy/µL and enables rapid, absolute quantification with a dynamic

range of 0.6 to 2027 copies/µL within one hour at a constant temperature, with

no cross-reactivity to other similar viruses. Future work will focus on

expanding the applications of RADICA to areas such as gene expression

analysis, rare mutant detection, copy number variation, and sequencing

library quantification. Applications of such rapid analytics will also benefit cell

therapy, pharmaceutical, environmental, public health, security and food

industry to potentially determine the replication competency of adventitious

agents.

Materials and methods

Materials

Preparation of primers and DNA targets: Oligonucleotides (primers), ssDNA-

FQ reporters, SARS-CoV-2 N gene-containing G-Block, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-

CoV, and MERS N gene-containing plasmids were synthesized by or

purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene-

containing plasmid was linearized using FastDigest ScaI (Thermo Scientific)

and then used as DNA target. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene-containing plasmid

was used as a template to amplify the N gene using primer N-RNA-F/ N-RNA-

R by Platinum™ SuperFi II PCR Master Mix (Invitrogen). The PCR product

was purified by QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) and used as RNA

synthesis template.

Synthetic RNA target preparation: Since N-RNA-F has a T7 promoter

sequence, the amplified DNA using N-RNA-F/R primer will contain a T7

promoter upstream of gene N. The T7 tagged N gene dsDNA was transcribed

into SARS-CoV-2 RNA using HiScribe™ T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit

(New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The

synthesized RNA was purified using Monarch® RNA Cleanup Kit (New

England Biolabs) after treatment with DNase I (RNase-free, New England

Biolabs).

crRNA preparation: Constructs were ordered as DNA from Integrated ssDNA

Technologies with an appended T7 promoter sequence. crRNA ssDNA was

annealed to a short T7 primer (T7-3G IVT primer[45] or T7-Cas12scaffold-F[46])

and treated with fill-in PCR (Platinum™ SuperFi II PCR Master Mix) to

generate the DNA templates. These DNA were used as templates to

synthesize crRNA using the HiScribe™ T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit

(New England Biolabs) according to published protocols[45, 46]. The synthesized

crRNA was purified using Monarch® RNA Cleanup Kit (New England Biolabs)

after treatment with DNase I (RNase-free, New England Biolabs),

Thermolabile Exonuclease I (New England Biolabs), and T5 Exonuclease

(New England Biolabs).

Primer and crRNA design

SARS-CoV-2 primers and crRNA were designed based on previously

published papers[22] or 264 SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences from GISAID[31,]

[32]. Other human-related coronavirus sequences were downloaded from NCBI.

UGENE software was used to analyze and align viral genomes (MUSCLE or

Kalign). Consensus sequences (Threshold: 90%) of 264 SARS-CoV-2

genomes, 328 SARS-CoV, 572 MERS-CoV, 70 Human-CoV-229E genomes,

48 Human-CoV-HKU1 genomes, 71 Human-CoV-NL63, and 178 Human-

CoV-OC43 were exported separately from UGENE and used for specificity

analysis.

Epstein–Barr virus primers and crRNA were designed based on consensus

sequences of 16 virus genomes including both type Ⅰ and type Ⅱ EBV

(NCBI: AP015016.1, AY961628.3, HQ020558.1, JQ009376.2, KC207813.1,

KC207814.1, KC440851.1, KC440852.1, KC617875.1, KF373730.1,

KF717093.1, KP735248.1, LN827800.1, NC_007605.1, NC_009334.1,

V01555.2).

Digital PCR quantification

SARS-CoV-2 N gene quantification: The G-block, plasmid, dsDNA and RNA

concentrations were quantified by digital PCR. Serial dilutions of targets were

mixed together with 500 nM CHNCDC-geneN-F, 500 nM CHNCDC-geneN-R,

250 nM CHNCDC-geneN-P, 1x TaqMan™ Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (for

RNA, Applied Biosystems) or TaqMan™ Fast Advanced Master Mix (for DNA,

Applied Biosystems), 1x Clarity™ JN solution (JN Medsys). For RNA

samples, the reaction mixture was incubated at 55°C 10 min before

partitioning the reaction mix on Clarity™ autoloader. Then the reaction

partitions were sealed with the Clarity™ Sealing Enhancer and 230 µL

Clarity™ Sealing Fluid, followed by thermal cycling using the following

parameters: 95 °C for 15 min (one cycle), 95 °C 50 s and 56 °C 90 s (40

cycles, ramp rate = 1 °C/s), 70 °C 5 min. The endpoint fluorescence of the

partitions was detected using Clarity™ Reader and the final DNA copy

numbers were analyzed by Clarity™ software.

EBV quantification: Serial dilutions of EBV DNA was used for dPCR

quantification by Clarity™ Epstein-Barr Virus Quantification Kit (JN Medsys)

according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cas12a bulk assay without preamplification

Unless otherwise indicated, 50 nM EnGen® Lba Cas12a (New England

Biolabs), 50 nM crRNA, and 250 nM FQ ssDNA probe were incubated with

dsDNA dilution series in NEB buffer 2.1 at 37°C, and fluorescence signals

were measured every 5 min.

RPA-Cas12a bulk assay

The one-pot reaction combining RPA-DNA amplification and Cas12a

detection was performed as follows: 300 nM forward primer, 300 nM reverse

primer, 500 nM FQ probe, 1x RPA rehydration buffer containing 1 x RPA

Pellet (TwistDx), 200 nM EnGen® Lba Cas12a (New England Biolabs), 200

nM crRNA, were prepared followed by adding various amounts of DNA input,

and 14 mM magnesium acetate. When RNA was used as a target, 300 nM

reverse primer 2 was used with 10 U/µL PhotoScript Reverse transcriptase

(New England Biolabs) or 10 U/µL SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase

(Invitrogen) and 0.5 U/µL RNase H (Invitrogen or New England Biolabs), as

indicated. The reaction mixture was incubated at 42°C unless otherwise

indicated and fluorescence kinetics were monitored every 1 min.

RADICA quantification

The RADICA reaction was prepared by adding 1x Clarity™ JN solution (JN

Medsys) to the RPA-Cas12a bulk reactions stated above. 15 µL of the mixture

was loaded on the chip by a Clarity™ autoloader for sample partitioning. The

reaction partitions were sealed with the Clarity™ Sealing Enhancer and 230

µL Clarity™ Sealing Fluid, followed by incubation at 42°C for 1 hour, unless

otherwise indicated. After incubation, a Clarity™ Reader was used to read the

fluorescent signal in the partitions, and Clarity™ software was used to

calculate input DNA copy numbers.

Limit of Blank (LoB), Limit of Detection (LoD), and Limit of Quantitation

(LoQ) calculation

LoB, LoD, and LoQ were calculated based on the following equation[47] using

the statistics of RADICA quantification on linearized plasmid in 10 replications

(Supplementary Table 2):

$\text{LoB} = \text{mean}_{\text{blank}} + 1.645 \, (\text{SD}_{\text{blank}})$

$\text{LoD} = \text{LoB} + 1.645 \, (\text{SD}_{\text{low concentration sample}})$

$\text{LoQ} = \text{the lowest concentration of CV} \leq 20\%$

Growing EBV-2 from Jijoye cells

Jijoye cells were treated with 4 mM sodium butyrate and 24 ng/ml

tetradecanoyl phorbol acetate (TPA). Supernatants were harvested 4-5 days

post-treatment by centrifugation at 4,000g for 20 min and passing over a 0.45

µm filter to remove cellular debris. Viral particles were pelleted by

ultracentrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 90 min and resuspended in 1/100 the

initial volume using complete RPMI or PBS if viruses were to be further

purified. Concentrated viruses were further purified using OptiPrep gradient

density ultracentrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 120 min, and the virus interface

band collected and stored at -80°C for downstream analysis.

Epstein–Barr virus DNA extraction

EBV DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to

the manufacturer's protocol.

Acknowledgments

We thank Karen Pepper (MIT) and Scott A. Rice(NTU) for careful editing and

helpful comments on the manuscript. This research is supported by the

National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office, Singapore under its

Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE)

programme, through Singapore MIT Alliance for Research and Technology

(SMART): Critical Analytics for Manufacturing Personalised-Medicine (CAMP)

Inter-Disciplinary Research Group.

Author Contributions

X.W., T.K.L., and H.Y. designed the research. X.W. developed the RADICA,

performed the experiment, and analyzed the data. C.C performed the

Epstein–Barr virus culture and DNA extraction. Y.H.L., S.S., T.K.L., and H.Y.

provided mentorship and feedback. X.W. wrote the original draft and all

authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Competing Interests statement

X.W., T.K.L., and H.Y. are co-inventors on patent filings related to the

published work. T.K.L. is a co-founder of Senti Biosciences, Synlogic, Engine

Biosciences, Tango Therapeutics, Corvium, BiomX, Eligo Biosciences,

Bota.Bio, and Avendesora. T.K.L. also holds financial interests in nest.bio,

Ampliphi, IndieBio, MedicusTek, Quark Biosciences, Personal602Genomics,

Thryve, Lexent Bio, MitoLab, Vulcan, Serotiny, and Avendesora.

References

1.   Sedlak, R.H. & Jerome, K.R. Viral diagnostics in the era of digital polymerase
chain reaction. *Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease* **75**, 1-4 (2013).
2.   Lai, K.K., Cook, L., Wendt, S., Corey, L. & Jerome, K.R. Evaluation of real-
time PCR versus PCR with liquid-phase hybridization for detection of
enterovirus RNA in cerebrospinal fluid. *Journal of clinical microbiology* **41**,
3133-3141 (2003).
3.   Boeckh, M. et al. Optimization of quantitative detection of cytomegalovirus
DNA in plasma by real-time PCR. *Journal of clinical microbiology* **42**, 1142-
1148 (2004).
4.   Yao, X.-H. et al. Pathological evidence for residual SARS-CoV-2 in pulmonary
tissues of a ready-for-discharge patient. *Cell research* **30**, 541-543 (2020).
5.   Liu, Y. et al. Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan hospitals.
Nature **582**, 557-560 (2020).
6.   Yu, F. et al. Quantitative Detection and Viral Load Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in
Infected Patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* (2020).
7.   Salipante, S.J. & Jerome, K.R. Digital PCR—An Emerging Technology with
Broad Applications in Microbiology. *Clinical chemistry* **66**, 117-123 (2020).
8.   Whale, A.S. et al. Comparison of microfluidic digital PCR and conventional
quantitative PCR for measuring copy number variation. *Nucleic acids*
research **40**, e82-e82 (2012).
9.   Liu, X. et al. Analytical comparisons of SARS-COV-2 detection by qRT-PCR
and ddPCR with multiple primer/probe sets. *Emerging microbes & infections*
9, 1175-1179 (2020).
10.   Alteri, C. et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital
PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected
COVID-19 patients. *PloS one* **15**, e0236311 (2020).

11.   Woloshin, S., Patel, N. & Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS-
614   CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. *New England Journal of*
615   *Medicine* **383**, e38 (2020).
616   12.   Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic*
617   *acids research* **28**, E63-E63 (2000).
618   13.   Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. & Armes, N.A. DNA Detection
619   Using Recombination Proteins. *PLOS Biology* **4**, e204 (2006).
620   14.   Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2.
621   *Science* **356**, 438-442 (2017).
622   15.   Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate
623   single-stranded DNase activity. *Science* **360**, 436-439 (2018).
624   16.   Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection
625   platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. *Science* **360**, 439-444 (2018).
626   17.   Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. *Cell discovery*
627   **4**, 20 (2018).
628   18.   Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13.
629   *Science* **360**, 444-448 (2018).
630   19.   Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR–Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2.
631   *Nature biotechnology* (2020).
632   20.   Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using
633   Cas13. *Nature* (2020).
634   21.   Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based
635   diagnostic for COVID-19. *PLOS Pathogens* **16**, e1008705 (2020).
636   22.   Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in-
637   one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. *Nature communications* **11**, 4711 (2020).
638   23.   Low, H., Chan, S.-J., Soo, G.-H., Ling, B. & Tan, E.-L. Clarity™ digital PCR
639   system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. *Analytical*
640   *and Bioanalytical Chemistry* **409**, 1869-1875 (2017).
641   24.   Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on
642   single-stranded DNA. *Cell research* **28**, 491-493 (2018).
643   25.   Li, J., Macdonald, J. & von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of
644   a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. *The*
645   *Analyst* **144**, 31-67 (2018).
646   26.   Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. & Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time
647   PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and
648   repair. *Nucleic acids research* **35**, 1377-1388 (2007).
649   27.   Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. & Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in
650   Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal
651   pcna as the Model Gene. *PloS one* **5**, e9545 (2010).
652   28.   Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. & Kralik, P. Utilization
653   of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in
654   Quantitative PCR. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* **7** (2020).
655   29.   Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. & Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for
656   characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia
657   oxidizers and denitrifiers. *Anal Bioanal Chem* **406**, 1701-1712 (2014).
658   30.   Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate
659   quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference
660   material. *Scientific reports* **5**, 13174 (2015).
661   31.   Elbe, S. & Buckland-Merrett, G. Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's
662   innovative contribution to global health. *Global Challenges* **1**, 33-46 (2017).
663   32.   Shu, Y. & McCauley, J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data
664   – from vision to reality. *Eurosurveillance* **22**, 30494 (2017).
665   33.   Clancy, E. et al. Development of a rapid recombinase polymerase
666   amplification assay for the detection of Streptococcus pneumoniae in whole
667   blood. *BMC infectious diseases* **15**, 481 (2015).

34.   Rohrman, B. & Richards-Kortum, R. Inhibition of recombinase polymerase
amplification by background DNA: a lateral flow-based method for enriching
target DNA. *Analytical chemistry* **87**, 1963-1967 (2015).
35.   Pujadas, E. et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load predicts COVID-19 mortality. *The*
Lancet. Respiratory medicine (2020).
36.   Zheng, S. et al. Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected
with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020:
retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* **369**, m1443-m1443 (2020).
37.   Zou, L. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of
Infected Patients. *New England Journal of Medicine* **382**, 1177-1179 (2020).
38.   Wölfel, R. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-
2019. *Nature* **581**, 465-469 (2020).
39.   Hu, F. et al. Smartphone-Based Droplet Digital LAMP Device with Rapid
Nucleic Acid Isolation for Highly Sensitive Point-of-Care Detection. *Analytical*
chemistry **92**, 2258-2265 (2020).
40.   Lin, X., Huang, X., Urmann, K., Xie, X. & Hoffmann, M.R. Digital Loop-
Mediated Isothermal Amplification on a Commercial Membrane. *ACS sensors*
4, 242-249 (2019).
41.   Ma, Y.D. et al. Digital quantification of DNA via isothermal amplification on a
self-driven microfluidic chip featuring hydrophilic film-coated
polydimethylsiloxane. *Biosensors & bioelectronics* **99**, 547-554 (2018).
42.   Zhao, Y., Chen, F., Li, Q., Wang, L. & Fan, C. Isothermal Amplification of
Nucleic Acids. *Chemical reviews* **115**, 12491-12545 (2015).
43.   Daher, R.K., Stewart, G., Boissinot, M., Boudreau, D.K. & Bergeron, M.G.
Influence of sequence mismatches on the specificity of recombinase
polymerase amplification technology. *Mol Cell Probes* **29**, 116-121 (2015).
44.   Hardinge, P. & Murray, J.A.H. Reduced False Positives and Improved
Reporting of Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification using Quenched
Fluorescent Primers. *Scientific reports* **9**, 7400 (2019).
45.   Kellner, M.J., Koob, J.G., Gootenberg, J.S., Abudayyeh, O.O. & Zhang, F.
SHERLOCK: nucleic acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. *Nature protocols*
14, 2986-3012 (2019).
46.   Lucia, C., Federico, P.-B. & Alejandra, G.C. An ultrasensitive, rapid, and
portable coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 sequence detection method based on
CRISPR-Cas12. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2002.2029.971127 (2020).
47.   Armbruster, D.A. & Pry, T. Limit of blank, limit of detection and limit of
quantitation. *The Clinical biochemist. Reviews* **29 Suppl 1**, S49-52 (2008).

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of RADICA. a, The workflow of RADICA

sample partitioning on a chip for absolute quantification of nucleic acid

targets. Generally, after the DNA/RNA extraction step, different kind of clinical

samples can be used for detection and quantification of various targets. The

sample mixture containing DNA/cDNA, RPA reagents, and Cas12a-crRNA-

FQ probes is distributed randomly into thousands of partitions. In each

partition, the DNA is amplified by RPA and detected by Cas12a-crRNA,

resulting in a fluorescent signal in the partition. Based on the proportion of

positive partitions and on Poisson distribution, the absolute copy number of

the nucleic acid target is quantified. **b,** Illustration of RPA-Cas12a reaction in

each positive partition. In each partition containing the target nucleic acid, the

primers bind to the target nucleic acid and initiate amplification with the aid of

recombinase and DNA polymerase. Because of the strand displacement of

DNA polymerase, the exposed crRNA-targeted ssDNA sites are bound by

Cas12a-crRNA complexes. Cas12a is then activated and cleaves the nearby

FQ reporters to produce a fluorescence readout.

500 nM FQ probe   1000 nM FQ probe

Figure 2. Optimization of FQ probe concentration in RADICA. a, b,

Cas12a reaction in bulk reactions with different FQ probe concentrations in

the presence or absence of a constant concentration (0.1 nM) of target DNA.

a, Time course reaction of Cas12a with FQ probes at concentrations ranging

from 50 nM to 10,000 nM. X-axis indicates the reaction time; y-axis indicates

the background-subtracted fluorescence signal. **b,** Fluorescence signal of

DNA and non-template control obtained with FQ probes at concentrations

ranging from 50 nM to 10,000 nM. **c, d,** RADICA reaction with the same

concentrations of target DNA but different probe concentrations. **c,**

Fluorescence intensity of the negative partitions (background noise) and

positive partitions (positive signals) on the chip obtained with FQ probes at

concentrations of 500 or 1000 nM. **d,** Histogram showing ratios of positive

partitions on the chip with FQ probes, at concentrations of 500 or 1000 nM, in

the presence of target DNA (4 replicates for each FQ probe concentration).

Figure 3. Time course reaction of RADICA. a, Fluorescence intensity of the

partitions on the chip at two time points. The x-axis represents fluorescence

intensity while the y-axis represents the frequency of the partitions. The left

peak (low fluorescence level; dark grey) on the fluorescence intensity

histogram represents the negative partitions while the right peak (high

fluorescence level; green) indicates the positive partitions. As the CRISPR

reaction proceeds, the fluorescence levels of the positive partitions increase

and the right peak shifts further to the right. **b,** The proportion of positive

partitions at different time points of RADICA. Each DNA replicate is

represented by a data point with a unique color. Starting at about 60 minutes,

the fluorescence signal plateaus and the ratio of positive partitions reaches a

stable level.

a

b

SARS-CoV-2/gene N-linearized plasmid

$y = 0.8511x$
$R^2 = 0.9926$

Figure 4. RADICA-based detection of different concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 N gene DNA. a, Fluorescence intensity histogram, scatter plot, and position plot of the partitions on the chip for serial dilutions of DNA. Four

dilutions of linearized plasmid DNA encoding the SARS-CoV-2 N gene (0.8,

127, 600, 1997 copies/µL) and one non-template control (without plasmid

DNA) were used as input DNA. The x-axis represents fluorescence intensity

while the y-axis represents the frequency of the partitions. The left peak (low

fluorescence level; dark grey) on the fluorescence intensity histogram

represents the negative partitions while the right peak (high fluorescence

level; green) indicates the positive partitions. In the scatter plot and position

plot, each dot represents one partition on the chip. Green dots represent

positive partitions with a high fluorescence level while grey or blue dots

correspond to negative partitions with a low fluorescence level. **b,** Comparison

of the absolute quantification result of RADICA and digital PCR. Each point

represents one sample. The original linearized plasmid DNA concentration

was measured by using Clarity™ digital PCR and diluted to different

concentrations (x-axis). The diluted DNA was then measured by using the

RADICA. The calculated RADICA DNA concentrations are plotted on the y-

axis.

Figure 5. The effect of plasmid conformation on the accuracy of RADICA

and digital PCR (dPCR). a, b, The positive and negative partitions of

RADICA (**a**) and dPCR (**b**) on detection of 179 copies/µL circular plasmid. **c,**

d, Comparison of the absolute quantification result for linearized plasmid and

circular plasmid of RADICA (**c**) and dPCR (**d**).

Figure 6. Absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) by

RADICA. a, Primer and crRNA design for RADICA assay specific for EBV. **b,**

Fluorescence intensity histogram, scatter plot, and position plot of the

partitions on the chip on serially-diluted EBV DNA. **c,** A comparison of the

absolute quantification values obtained from RADICA and digital PCR

methods using various concentrations of EBV DNA.