Gender-affirming care, mental health, and economic stability in the time of COVID-19: a global cross-sectional study of transgender and non-binary people

Brooke A. Jarrett[1], Sarah M. Peitzmeier[2], Arjee Restar[1], Tyler Adamson[3], Sean Howell[4], Stefan Baral[1], S. Wilson Beckham[5]

[1] Department of Epidemiology; Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University

[2] Department of Health Behavior and Biological Sciences; School of Nursing; University of Michigan

[3] Department of Health, Policy, and Management; Bloomberg School of Public Health; Johns Hopkins University

[4] Hornet; San Francisco, CA, USA

[5] Department of Health, Behavior, and Society; Department of International Health; Bloomberg School of Public Health; Johns Hopkins University

CORRESPONDENCE

Brooke A. Jarrett: brooke [at] jhmi [dot] edu

FUNDING

BAJ was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (grant F31MH121128). AJR was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant T32AI102623). SWB was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (grant K01MH114715) and also receives funding from Viiv Healthcare. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

DISCLOSURES

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

KEYWORDS

Transgender persons; health services for transgender persons; mental health services; social determinants of health; pandemics; COVID-19

WORD COUNTS

Abstract: 300

Text: 4,517

Tables: 5

ABSTRACT

Background

Transgender and non-binary people are disproportionately burdened by barriers to quality healthcare, mental health challenges, and economic hardship. This study examined the impact of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and subsequent control measures on gender-affirming care, mental health, and economic stability among transgender and non-binary people globally.

Methods

We collected global cross-sectional data from 964 transgender and non-binary adult users of the Hornet and Her apps from April to August 2020 to characterize changes in gender-affirming care, mental health, and economic stability as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. We conducted Poisson regression models to assess if access to gender-affirming care and ability to live according to one's gender were related to depressive symptoms, anxiety, and changes in suicidal ideation.

Results

Individuals resided in 76 countries, including Turkey (27.4%,n=264/964) and Thailand (20.6%,n=205). A majority were non-binary (66.8%,n=644) or transfeminine (29.4%,n=283). Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, 55.0% (n=320/582) reported reduced access to gender-affirming resources, and 38.0% (n=327/860) reported reduced time lived according to their gender. About half screened positive for depression (50.4%,442/877) and anxiety (45.8%,n=392/856). One in six (17.0%,n=112/659) expected losses of health insurance, and 77.0% (n=724/940) expected income reductions. The prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and increased suicidal ideation were 1.63 (95% CI: 1.36-1.97), 1.61 (95% CI: 1.31-1.97), and 1.74 (95% CI: 1.07-2.82) times higher for individuals whose access to gender-affirming resources was reduced versus not.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has reduced access to gender-affirming resources and the ability of transgender and non-binary people to live according to their gender worldwide. These reductions may drive the increased depressive symptoms, anxiety, and suicidal ideation reported in this sample. To improve transgender and non-binary health globally, increased access to gender-affirming resources should be achieved through policies (e.g., digital prescriptions), flexible interventions (e.g., telehealth), and support for existing transgender health initiatives.

INTRODUCTION

The global pandemic caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus has resulted in more than 37 million cases of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and over one million deaths.[1] In response, countries have implemented a wide range of measures to quell its spread — shelter-in-place orders, closures of business and schools, and the cancellation of surgeries perceived to be elective.[2] While these interventions are focused on reducing COVID-19 cases and increasing healthcare capacity, they have also negatively affected healthcare access, mental health, and economic stability worldwide.[3–6]

A growing body of literature describes global interruptions to prescriptions for diseases like HIV, increases in depression, and significant losses of job, insurance, and food security as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.[7–11] However, recent work has demonstrated that these effects disproportionately burden groups that have been historically marginalized. Specifically, pandemic control measures have exacerbated existing health disparities and social inequities along lines of poverty and occupation, race and ethnicity, and sexual orientation.[12–16] The COVID-19 pandemic may also uniquely affect transgender and non-binary people.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, transgender and non-binary individuals experienced barriers to care, greater mental health challenges, and economic vulnerabilities caused by stigma, discrimination, and minority stress.[17–19] Transgender and non-binary populations face a scarcity of clinicians trained in gender-affirming practices and widespread transphobia among healthcare staff, both of which make healthcare less accessible.[20–22] Yet access to gender-affirming healthcare (e.g., chest/breast surgery), services (e.g., hair removal), and goods (e.g., binders and packers) can substantially improve the quality of life and mental health of transgender and non-binary populations, who frequently have elevated levels of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation.[23–26] A disproportionate number of transgender and non-binary individuals also experience structural vulnerabilities, such as economic, food, and housing insecurity, that can reinforce or worsen barriers to gender-affirming resources and mental health counseling.[27,28]

The COVID-19 pandemic is exacerbating these risks among transgender and non-binary individuals. For example, there have been documented cancellations and delays in gender-affirming surgeries;[29] such delays and cancellations have previously been connected to negative mental health consequences.[23,30] Furthermore, many transgender and non-binary individuals who were living according to their gender prior to the COVID-19 pandemic have had to return to living according to their sex assigned at birth upon moving in with relatives.[31] Researchers have also reported on gendered policies from Panama, Peru, and Columbia that attempted to reduce the density of crowds in public places by requiring women and men to access essential services on alternating days — a policy that, like other gender-based laws, would likely result in violence against transgender communities.[32] In these situations, transgender and non-binary individuals may be limited in their ability to live safely and comfortably as themselves. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is likely to be especially adverse for those who are already economically marginalized, occupying other marginalized

identities (e.g., people who are racial or ethnic minorities, living with HIV, or living with disabilities), or both.

Of the studies to date about the impact of COVID-19 on transgender and non-binary individuals, a majority have been conducted in a single country like the United States and focused on measuring a narrow spectrum of indicators. The objective of this study was to describe the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent control measures on gender-affirming care, mental health, and economic stability among transgender and non-binary individuals. We also examined the association between reduced access to gender-affirming care and ability to live according to one's gender with multiple mental health indicators.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

For this cross-sectional study, we used data from the global COVID-19 Disparities Survey distributed between April 16 and August 3, 2020 via Hornet and Her — social networking apps marketed to sexual minorities, both cisgender and transgender. We sent survey invitations to the app-specific inboxes of all users who had been active on their app for at least one year. To take the survey, individuals had to report being 18 years or older, view a consent form, and indicate their informed consent by clicking a button to begin.

A total of 24,618 individuals began the survey. For these analyses, we included 1,285 transgender and non-binary adults, which we defined as people 18 years or older who self-reported being transgender, non-binary, or a gender different than their sex assigned at birth. We excluded all women assigned female or intersex at birth (n=161), all men assigned male or intersex at birth (n=12,740), individuals who did not report a gender (n=9,751), individuals who only reported not knowing, not wishing to disclose, or being unable to disclose their gender (n=654), and non-transgender identifying men and women who did not report an assigned sex at birth (n=27).

To ensure the quality of our study population, we screened for duplicate survey responses based on IP address, and again by searching for identical responses to twenty randomly selected variables but found none. We also excluded individuals who completed 89% or less of the survey (n=271), who finished in less than the minimum piloted time of seven minutes (n=47), or provided conflicting responses for multiple questions (n=3) for a final sample size of 964. The Johns Hopkins School of Public Health Institutional Review Board provided a Category 4 exemption to the larger survey prior to distribution.

Demographic Measures

Individuals self-reported gender by choosing any number of the following options: woman, man, transgender man, transgender woman, or non-binary (including gender-diverse, genderqueer, gender nonconforming, gender expansive, and agender). They also self-reported their country of residence, age, socioeconomic status, years of education, ethnic minority and immigration status, access to masks during the COVID-19 pandemic, and whether the government in their area had ever imposed confinement orders (e.g., mobility restrictions to stay-at-home). We categorized countries according to regions defined by the World Health Organization.

We used eight mutually exclusive categories to describe reported genders. To increase the power for our analyses, we then collapsed individuals into three groups, building on recommendations from Reisner et al: (1) transmasculine, i.e., people who were assigned female at birth (AFAB) or intersex who self-reported being transgender or being a man; (2) transfeminine , i.e., people who were assigned male at birth (AMAB) or intersex who self-reported being transgender or being a woman; and (3) non-binary, i.e., individuals who reported

being either solely non-binary, both a man and a woman, or a transgender man and transgender woman.

We chose to operationalize three gender categories for multiple reasons. First, while some non-binary individuals explicitly reported also being transgender, the majority did not. We wanted to honor this distinction while also allowing for individuals who reported being both men and women to transcend the transfeminine versus transmasculine binary. Second, our survey presented a limited number of gender options to a global audience in which being a third gender (e.g., two-spirit, bissu, fa'afafine, qariwarmi) is distinct from many Western concepts of being transgender [33]. Lastly, in line with recommendations from Restar et al., we saw statistically significant differences when comparing non-binary individuals with transmasculine and transfeminine individuals [34]. Therefore, presenting results stratified by gender (i.e., a "gender-specific" approach) was more appropriate than conducting analyses on all individuals together and presenting them as a single population (i.e., a "gender-inclusive" approach).

COVID-19 Impact Measures

Individuals answered questions about the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting response on their access to gender-affirming resources, their mental health, and their economic stability. For indicators related to gender-affirming resources, we asked those who self-identified as transgender or non-binary (n=865) whether the COVID-19 pandemic limited their access to the following: hormone therapy and/or medications; surgical aftercare materials (e.g., vaginal dilators); cosmetic supplies and services (e.g. makeup, wigs, and hair removal); mental health counseling and therapy services; and body modifiers (e.g., binders and packing materials); to which they could respond, "Yes," "No," or "Not Applicable." We characterized the severity of interruptions to gender-affirming care by whether individuals reported that more than one resource had been impacted. We also asked whether the COVID-19 pandemic had changed the amount of time that the individual could live according to their gender ("Compared to before the COVID-19 crisis, how often are you able to live according to your gender identity?"). We categorized the five-point Likert scale into three categories: More than before (i.e., more or a lot more as compared to before COVID-19), about the same as before COVID-19, and less than before (i.e., less than or not at all as compared to before COVID-19).

For mental health indicators, we used the 4-item patient health questionnaire (PHQ-4) to screen for common symptoms of depression and anxiety, which we dichotomized with a score of three or more being considered positive [35]. We assessed the impact of COVID-19 on loneliness ("Have you been feeling lonely since the COVID crisis began?") using a four-point Likert Scale, which we dichotomized into a positive sentiment ("not lonely" or "not much lonely") and negative sentiment ("very much lonely" or "a little lonely"). We also asked how often they had thought about taking their own life presently and in the six months prior to the COVID-19 pandemic with the following answer options: "never," "seldom," "quite often," "very often," and "all the time." We created four categories to describe changes from pre- to mid-pandemic: was and remains rare (i.e., "never" or "seldom"), was and remains frequent (i.e., "quite often," "very often," or "all the

188 time”), decreased, or increased. To characterize resiliency, we asked about the following in the
189 face of the COVID-19 pandemic: if they had “sources of hope, strength, comfort, and peace”; if
190 they were “intent on finding emotional support and therapy for themselves”; and if they believed
191 that they were able to “live a happy, full life despite the crisis.”
192
193 **Statistical Analyses**
194 We conducted descriptive analyses of demographic measures and presented descriptions of
195 the COVID-19 impact measures stratified by gender, using chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests
196 as appropriate. We also stratified results by country in the supplementary materials. We used
197 Poisson regression models with complete case analyses to assess for differences in the
198 prevalence of screening positive for depression and anxiety among individuals with reduced
199 (versus continued) access to gender-affirming resources and individuals who reported being
200 able to live according to their gender less (versus more) since the COVID-19 pandemic started.
201 We reported these comparisons as prevalence ratios. We used the same approach to assess
202 the impact of reductions in access to care and changes in ability to live according to one’s
203 gender on changes in suicidal ideation, stratified on baseline levels of suicidal ideation. We
204 conducted all analyses in Stata version 14.[36]

RESULTS

These analyses primarily consisted of non-binary (66.8%, n=644/964) and transfeminine (29.4%, n=283) individuals (**Table 1**). About 47% (n=451) were from the European region and 25.1% (n=242) were from the South-East Asia region. There were 76 countries represented in the sample; a majority of individuals were residents of Turkey (27.4%, n=264), Thailand (20.6%, n=199), and Russia (11.5%, n=117). No other single country accounted for more than 5% of the sample. Individuals were young and highly educated, with 50.5% (n=487) being between 18 and 29 years old and 42.6% (n=410) having at least a university degree. Few (12.7%, n=122) had ever lacked access to a mask during the COVID-19 pandemic and 75.6% (n=729) lived in a country that had issued COVID-related confinement or "stay-at-home" orders.

More than half (55%, n=320/582) of the sample reported that the COVID-19 pandemic had limited their access to one or more gender-affirming resource (**Table 2**). Mental health counseling and therapy was the most commonly cited resource to be affected (42.9%, n=192/448), with a somewhat greater proportion of transmasculine individuals reporting reduced access to counseling (61.9%, n=13/21) than non-binary (43.0%, n=122/284) and transfeminine (39.9%, n=57/143) individuals (p-value=0.16). Transmasculine and transfeminine individuals were more likely than non-binary individuals to report that the COVID-19 pandemic limited their access to gender-affirming hormones and medications (55.0% [n=11/20] and 42.1% [n=61/145] vs. 30.1% [n=71/236], p-value=0.01) as well as surgical aftercare materials (42.9% [n=6/14] and 40.2% [n=51/127] vs. 28.8% [n=62/215], p-value=0.08). All geographic regions reported reductions in access to gender-affirming resources; at least half of the individuals in each region, beside the Western Pacific, reported reduced access to one or more resource (**S1 Table**). More than a third (38.0%, n=327/860) of individuals reported that the COVID-19 pandemic had reduced or completely eliminated their ability to live according to their gender, with more transfeminine individuals (43.1%, n=100/232) being unable to living according to their gender as compared to transmasculine (28.6%, n=8/28) and non-binary (36.5%, n=219/600) individuals (p-value <0.001).

About half of the sample screened positive for depression (50.4%, n=442/877) and anxiety (45.8%, n=392/856), with a larger proportion of transfeminine individuals reporting these outcomes than transmasculine and non-binary individuals (**Table 3**). Overall, 10.0% (n=93/928) reported that suicidal ideation had increased during the COVID-19 pandemic, and 12.5% (n=116) reported that it had decreased. Transfeminine individuals were more likely to report increases in suicidal ideation (11.6%, n=31/263) while being less likely to agree with statements of resiliency, such as having sources of hope, strength, comfort, and peace (47.9%, n=100/209) when contrasted with transmasculine (8.3%, n=3/36; 71.0%, n=22/31) and non-binary (9.5%, n=59/624; 69.5%, n=367/528) individuals (p-value=0.98; p-value < 0.001). Sixteen percent (n=136/851) of individuals reported that they were not intent on finding emotional support and therapy for themselves during the COVID-19 pandemic. Seventy-seven percent (n=724/940) of the sample expected a reduction in their income, 17% (n=112/659) expected to lose health

insurance, and 53.4% (n=428/801) reported not having received financial aid, despite need (**Table 4**). Though 40% (n=361/900) of individuals overall reported cutting or reducing their meals, fewer non-binary individuals had done so (34.9% [n=212/607] versus 51.4% [n=18/35] of transmasculine individuals and 50.8% [n=131/258] of transfeminine individuals).

A positive screen for depression was 1.63 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.36-1.97) times more common among those who had lost access to one or more gender-affirming resource during the COVID-19 pandemic as compared to those without reductions in access (**Table 5**). Similarly, a positive screen for anxiety was 1.61 (95% CI: 1.31-1.97) times more common among those who had lost access to one or more gender-affirming resource compared to those without reductions in access. Trends were similar for suicidal ideation. For example, among those with rare or no suicidal ideation at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals who had reduced access to gender-affirming resources were 1.74 (95% CI: 1.07, 2.82) times more likely to report increased suicidal ideation. Screening positive for depression and anxiety were also 1.21 (95% CI: 0.92, 1.58) and 1.48 (95% CI: 1.04, 2.10) times more prevalent among those reporting that the COVID-19 pandemic had decreased the amount of time they could live according to their gender, versus those who had increased that time. However, among individuals who had no or rare suicidal ideation prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, a smaller proportion of those living less according to their gender during the COVID-19 pandemic had increased suicidal ideation compared to those who lived more as their gender (prevalence ratio = 0.57 [95% CI: 0.33-0.98]).

DISCUSSION

This survey provides early insights into the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on access to gender-affirming resources, mental health, and economic stability among transgender and non-binary communities globally. Roughly half of individuals reported that the COVID-19 pandemic had restricted their access to gender-affirming resources, and nearly two in five reported the COVID-19 pandemic had negatively impacted their ability to live according to their gender. Screening positive for depression and/or anxiety and increases in suicidal ideation were common, but more so for those who experienced reduced access to gender-affirming resources. Of these resources, counseling and therapy were the most affected by COVID-19, but most people who responded to the survey also reported resiliencies, such as having sources of hope and being intent on finding emotional support. This intent to seek support, however, may be dampened by the fact that many individuals expected reductions in income and loss of health insurance. This is the first empirical study to examine the effect of COVID-19 and its impact on gender-affirming resources, mental health, and economic stability among a global, multi-region sample of transgender and non-binary individuals.

Half of the individuals in our survey reported reduced access to one or more gender-affirming resource. This was higher in our sample than in an online survey of 1,240 transgender and non-binary individuals in Germany, Switzerland, and Austria.[29] A third or more of individuals from the European region in our study reported reductions in access to hormone therapy and surgical aftercare materials, which was higher than the 18% and 3% of individuals from the European-specific survey respectively. That survey also found about a quarter of individuals had delayed or cancelled aftercare for a recent surgery. Differences may be due to the populations sampled, as our survey primarily drew from countries in Eastern Europe where there is substantial stigma against and policing of transgender individuals. Together, though, these results signify that the COVID-19 pandemic is causing decreases in access to gender-affirming resources even in high-income settings.

Our study demonstrated that reduced access to gender-affirming resources due to the COVID-19 pandemic were associated with poorer mental health. Screening positive for depression, screening positive for anxiety, and increased suicidal ideation were more common for those whose access to one or more gender affirming resource had been reduced due to the COVID-19 pandemic. These results mirror anecdotal data from clinics serving transgender patients in China.[37] Gender-affirming resources are crucial to transgender and non-binary individuals, as these resources often activate and enhance the interactive process of receiving recognition for their gender, sense of self, and sense of humanity.[38] Given the abundant literature that gender affirmation results in better mental health and quality of life, these data underscore the importance of ensuring that transgender and non-binary individuals have access to these essential services and products.[23,24,30,39–41] Access to these resources should also be fortified to avoid negative physical health outcomes. For example, about a third of this sample reported reductions in access to hormones and 17% reported that they expected to lose their health insurance, which may support hormone therapy procurement. These disruptions may force

310 some transgender and non-binary individuals to discontinue hormone therapy or mete out their
311 limited doses to last longer. Sustained interruptions or sub-optimal dosing of hormone therapy
312 have been connected with symptoms of hypogonadism, such as osteoporosis and
313 cardiovascular disease.[42] Similarly, aftercare for gender-affirming surgeries is critical for
314 avoiding negative physical outcomes like urinary tract hesitancy and needing re-operation, yet a
315 third of individuals in this study reported that they had reduced access to the surgical aftercare
316 that they needed.[43]
317
318 A third of individuals reported decreased time lived according to their gender. In comparison, a
319 poll conducted by the Trevor Project in the United States of 600 lesbian, gay, bisexual,
320 transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) youth (13-19 years) reported that 56% of the
321 transgender and non-binary individuals had reduced their ability to express their LGBTQ identity
322 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.[44] Youth often attributed needing to move back in with
323 unsupportive caretakers as a reason for not being able to live according to their gender,[31,44]
324 which may explain the elevated proportion compared to adults in our study. Living less
325 according to one's gender during the COVID-19 pandemic was associated with screening
326 positive for depression and anxiety. However, a counterintuitive result was found among
327 individuals in our study who had rare suicidal ideation prior to the COVID-19 pandemic and lived
328 more according to their gender during the COVID-19 pandemic. This subset of the sample was
329 more likely to have increased suicidal ideation as compared to individuals who were living
330 according to their gender less. These results, however, may reflect a limitation of our
331 measurement tool. For example, the former group likely had a low baseline ability to live
332 according to their gender pre-pandemic and hence could only increase the amount of time lived
333 according to their gender. The worse mental health in this group may be linked to low pre-
334 pandemic levels of living according to their gender, rather than the recent increase in their ability
335 to do so. Because we did not measure pre-pandemic and current ability to live according to
336 one's gender separately, we could not control for baseline levels in the model. It is also possible
337 that people who recently began living in their gender more may be subjected to elevated levels
338 of anticipated and experienced stigma that could be driving increased suicidality; prior research
339 has shown discrimination due to gender expression, rather than gender identity itself, to be
340 associated with mental distress.[45–47]
341
342 Positive screens for depression and anxiety were correlated with decreases in access to
343 gender-affirming care and decreased time lived according to one's gender, and were present in
344 nearly half the sample. These data align with results from transgender and non-binary youth
345 from the Trevor Project poll and another sample of 201 young adults (19-25 years) attending
346 college, both from the U.S.[44,48] Findings in a small study of 15 Latinx trans women in the United
347 States suggest that these poor mental health indicators represent declines as a result of the
348 COVID-19 pandemic rather than just a high baseline prevalence.[49] These findings are
349 particularly concerning when contrasted against the large proportion of individuals in this and
350 other studies who reported that COVID-19 had decreased their access to mental health therapy
351 and counseling.[44,48] To mitigate the immediate trauma of the COVID-19 pandemic and potential

352 long-lasting effects, innovative mental health interventions — from remote video and phone
353 therapy to self-help apps — have been emerging, but additional investments are needed,
354 especially to reach those with limited to no access to Internet.[50,51] Furthermore, our findings
355 support the notion that transmasculine, transfeminine, and non-binary populations are having
356 distinct experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic and should receive gender-specific
357 support.[37] For example, transmasculine individuals were more likely to report having reduced
358 access to gender-affirming resources but generally reported better mental health outcomes, as
359 well as having sources of strength and comfort. Combined with the fact that transgender and
360 non-binary youth have been more likely to reach out to friends and family than cisgender
361 lesbian, gay, and pansexual youth according to the Trevor Project data, it may be possible to
362 enhance these resiliencies by strengthening and expanding trauma-informed, online peer-to-
363 peer support efforts such as Q Chat Space [31,44,52]. However, different approaches to
364 transfeminine, transmasculine, and non-binary individuals may be needed.
365
366 Lastly, we found that transgender and non-binary communities worldwide are experiencing
367 strains across basic needs such as finances, food, and health insurance, all as a result of
368 COVID-19 pandemic. These strains, in addition to pre-existing economic vulnerabilities, will
369 contribute to even greater barriers to gender-affirming care, mental health counseling, services,
370 and products.[27] For example, approximately 10% of transgender and non-binary individuals in
371 the U.S. lacked health insurance before the COVID-19 pandemic.[27] Though some may have
372 health insurance through their employers, transgender and non-binary people are also more
373 likely to be employed in the industries most impacted by business shutdowns.[12] We found that
374 more than three quarters of the sample expected a reduction in their income, one in six
375 expected to lose their health insurance, and more than half reported needing and not receiving
376 financial aid. These results display the pronounced structural vulnerabilities that shape
377 experiences of transgender and non-binary communities in the current context of the COVID-19
378 pandemic, and likely contribute further as stressors to mental health. These stressors may climb
379 as transgender and non-binary communities continue to experience worsening economic
380 instability due to the COVID-19 pandemic and associated control measures. Further research is
381 necessary to examine the syndemic impact of COVID-19-related stressors on transgender and
382 non-binary communities, particularly those who are experiencing multiple, intersectional
383 stressors at the individual, interpersonal, and structural levels.
384
385 This study had some limitations. While the survey reached individuals from six continents and
386 was available in 13 languages, these data are not a representative sample of transgender and
387 non-binary individuals worldwide. As a survey distributed through a mobile app, participation
388 was limited to individuals with Internet and a smartphone. Individuals in the survey were also
389 generally highly educated. Our survey likely missed highly disadvantaged individuals, and
390 consequently, likely underestimates the true magnitude of impact of COVID-19 on this
391 community. Our study also had a methodological limitation in its categorization of genders
392 — while we aimed to offer an inclusive set of options, we did not capture the full spectrum nor
393 fluidity of genders across the cultural diversity of the countries represented. Yet, our sample

394 does present results from a large number of non-binary individuals, which is a population that
395 has generally been understudied but increasingly recognized as distinct from binary transgender
396 individuals.[53] Furthermore, the survey only presented questions about access to gender-
397 affirming resources to individuals who self-identified as transgender (e.g., versus those who
398 selected being a man and were AFAB but did self-report as transgender). The next wave of data
399 collection will present the module to all persons whose sex assigned at birth does not match
400 their current gender.
401
402 The findings of the current study provide insights for future directions. Namely, future research
403 should expand on this work by identifying protective factors that can be potentially leveraged to
404 buffer the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on gender-affirming resources, mental health, and
405 economic stability. There is also a need to contextualize and understand how transgender and
406 non-binary communities are currently responding to the economic instabilities due to the
407 epidemic, particularly in regions where mandatory stay-at-home orders remain. These
408 restrictions may have led or will lead some transgender and non-binary individuals to turn to
409 more dangerous work for income, unregulated and unmonitored markets for gender-affirming
410 services or goods, or the use of alcohol and other substances to cope. Lastly, given the mobile
411 and online nature of recruitment for this study, researchers should look for feasibility and
412 opportunity with Hornet and other mobile apps as a platform for outreach, programming, and
413 interventions for transgender and non-binary communities in regions where the apps are
414 utilized.
415
416 **CONCLUSION**
417 Along with these research implications, our findings suggest the need for multiple programmatic
418 interventions specific to transgender and non-binary populations. Maintaining and increasing
419 secure access to lifesaving gender-affirming resources, mental health services, and economic
420 stability will require backing from both typical and atypical sources — from nonprofit
421 organizations to for-profit companies to academic researchers — both during and after the
422 COVID-19 pandemic. This could include, for example, instrumental support according to
423 community needs (e.g., coordinating food bank deliveries or monetary support for bills) or
424 resource mapping to help transgender and non-binary individuals identify where they can seek
425 pandemic-related relief and aid without stigma or discrimination [52]. Health insurers and
426 healthcare facilities could also transparently communicate changes in policies and logistics for
427 gender-affirming services to alleviate anxieties around loss of access due to pandemic control
428 measures. To prevent detrimental mental health consequences due to inaccessibility of gender-
429 affirming resources and economic hardships, rapid policies (e.g., digital prescription refills) and
430 flexible interventions (e.g., telehealth) are needed to maintain continuity of gender-affirming
431 hormones as well as therapy and counseling. To achieve these interventions, innovative
432 partnerships will be needed to reach the most marginalized— both by supporting trans-led,
433 community-based organizations to maintain and expand their transgender health services as
434 well as by increasing the capabilities of nation- and/or state-sponsored programs and private
435 sector companies to better serve transgender and non-binary communities.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the individuals who volunteered their valuable time to participate in our survey. The authors also thank the people who translated the survey from English into 13 different languages: Ana Cara-Linda, Anna Yakusik, Carol Strong, Damiano Cerasuolo, Edward Sutanto, Ezgi Ayeser, Henrique Vicentim. Howie Lim, Ibu Ketty, Jose Garcia, Junming Wu, Ketty Rosenfeld, Luana Araujo, Mariano Ruiz, Maryam Motaghi, Maso, Omar Cherkaoui, Panyaphon Phiphatkunarnon, Pedro Moreno, Poyao Huang, Souad Orhan, Stephane Ku, Tanat Chinbunchorn, Teak Sowaprux, Top Medping, and Yalda Toofan.

444 **REFERENCES**

445 1. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in
446 real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).

447 2. Hale, T. *et al.* Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker. *Blavatnik School of*
448 *Government* (2020).

449 3. Czeisler, M. É. *et al.* Mental Health, Substance Use, and Suicidal Ideation During the
450 COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, June 24–30, 2020. *MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly.*
451 *Rep.* **69**, 1049–1057 (2020).

452 4. Salari, N. *et al.* Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population
453 during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Global. Health*
454 **16**, 57 (2020).

455 5. Ahmed, S. A. K. S. *et al.* Impact of the societal response to COVID-19 on access to
456 healthcare for non-COVID-19 health issues in slum communities of Bangladesh, Kenya,
457 Nigeria and Pakistan: results of pre-COVID and COVID-19 lockdown stakeholder
458 engagements. *BMJ Glob. Heal.* **5**, e003042 (2020).

459 6. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Reduced Access to Care: Household
460 Pulse Survey. *National Center for Health Statistics* (2020). Available at:
461 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/covid19/pulse/reduced-access-to-care.htm. (Accessed: 1st
462 October 2020)

463 7. Rao, D., Kekwaletswe, T. C., Hosek, S., Martinez, J. & Rodriguez, F. Stigma and social
464 barriers to medication adherence with urban youth living with HIV. *AIDS Care - Psychol.*
465 *Socio-Medical Asp. AIDS/HIV* **19**, 28–33 (2007).

466 8. Ettman, C. K. *et al.* Prevalence of Depression Symptoms in US Adults Before and During
467 the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw. Open* **3**, e2019686 (2020).

468 9. Niles, M. T. *et al.* The Early Food Insecurity Impacts of COVID-19. *Nutrients* **12**, 2096
469 (2020).

470 10. Nicola, M. *et al.* The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-
471 19): A review. *Int. J. Surg.* **78**, 185–193 (2020).

472 11. Banthin, J., Simpson, M., Buettgens, M., Blumberg, L. J. & Wang, R. *Changes in Health*
473 *Insurance Coverage Due to the COVID-19 Recession: Preliminary Estimates Using*
474 *Microsimulation*. (2020).

475 12. Whittington, C., Hadfield, K. & Calderón, C. *The Lives & Livelihoods of Many in the*
476 *LGBTQ Community are at Risk amidst the COVID-19 Crisis*. (2020).

477 13. Aldridge, R. W. *et al.* Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic groups in England are at increased
478 risk of death from COVID-19: indirect standardisation of NHS mortality data. *Wellcome*
479 *Open Res.* **5**, 88 (2020).

480 14. Bui, D. P. *et al.* Racial and Ethnic Disparities Among COVID-19 Cases in Workplace
481 Outbreaks by Industry Sector — Utah, March 6–June 5, 2020. *MMWR. Morb. Mortal.*
482 *Wkly. Rep.* **69**, 1133–1138 (2020).

483 15. Millett, G. A. *et al.* Assessing differential impacts of COVID-19 on black communities.
484 *Ann. Epidemiol.* **47**, 37–44 (2020).

485 16. Santos, G.-M. *et al.* Economic, Mental Health, HIV Prevention and HIV Treatment
486 Impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 Response on a Global Sample of Cisgender
487 Gay Men and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS Behav.* (2020).
488 doi:10.1007/s10461-020-02969-0

489 17. Poteat, T. C., Reisner, S. L., Miller, M. & Wirtz, A. L. Letter to the Editor: Vulnerability to
490 COVID-19-related Harms Among Transgender Women With and Without HIV Infection in
491 the Eastern and Southern U.S. *JAIDS J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **Publish Ah**,
492 (2020).

493 18. Reisner, S. L. *et al.* Global health burden and needs of transgender populations: a
494 review. *Lancet* **388**, 412–436 (2016).

495 19. Newcomb, M. E. *et al.* High Burden of Mental Health Problems, Substance Use,
496 Violence, and Related Psychosocial Factors in Transgender, Non-Binary, and Gender
497 Diverse Youth and Young Adults. *Arch. Sex. Behav.* **49**, 645–659 (2020).

498 20. Casey, L. S. *et al.* Discrimination in the United States: Experiences of lesbian, gay,
499 bisexual, transgender, and queer Americans. *Health Serv. Res.* **54**, 1454–1466 (2019).

500 21. Jarrett, B. A., Corbet, A. L., Gardner, I. H., Weinand, J. D. & Peitzmeier, S. M. Chest
501 Binding and Care Seeking Among Transmasculine Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study.
502 *Transgender Heal.* **3**, 170–178 (2018).

503 22. Peitzmeier, S. M. *et al.* “It Can Promote an Existential Crisis”: Factors Influencing Pap
504 Test Acceptability and Utilization Among Transmasculine Individuals. *Qual. Health Res.*
505 **27**, 2138–2149 (2017).

506 23. Wernick, J. A., Busa, S., Matouk, K., Nicholson, J. & Janssen, A. A Systematic Review of
507 the Psychological Benefits of Gender-Affirming Surgery. *Urol. Clin. North Am.* **46**, 475–
508 486 (2019).

509 24. Murad, M. H. *et al.* Hormonal therapy and sex reassignment: a systematic review and
510 meta-analysis of quality of life and psychosocial outcomes. *Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf).* **72**,
511 214–231 (2010).

512 25. Peitzmeier, S., Gardner, I., Weinand, J., Corbet, A. & Acevedo, K. Health impact of chest
513 binding among transgender adults: a community-engaged, cross-sectional study. *Cult.*
514 *Health Sex.* **19**, 64–75 (2017).

515 26. Valentine, S. E. & Shipherd, J. C. A systematic review of social stress and mental health
516 among transgender and gender non-conforming people in the United States. *Clin.*
517 *Psychol. Rev.* **66**, 24–38 (2018).

518 27. Herman, J. L. & O’Neill, K. *Vulnerabilities to COVID-19 among Transgender Adults in the*
519 *U.S.* (2020).

520 28. Snow, A., Cerel, J., Loeffler, D. N. & Flaherty, C. Barriers to Mental Health Care for
521 Transgender and Gender-Nonconforming Adults: A Systematic Literature Review. *Health*
522 *Soc. Work* **44**, 149–155 (2019).

523 29. Koehler, A., Motmans, J., te Gueldenning, A. & Nieder, T. O. *The Impact of COVID-19 on*
524 *Transgender Health in German Speaking Countries*.

525 30. Bränström, R. & Pachankis, J. E. Reduction in Mental Health Treatment Utilization
526 Among Transgender Individuals After Gender-Affirming Surgeries: A Total Population
527 Study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **177**, 727–734 (2020).

528 31. Fish, J. N. *et al.* "I'm Kinda Stuck at Home With Unsupportive Parents Right Now":
529 LGBTQ Youths' Experiences With COVID-19 and the Importance of Online Support. *J.*
530 *Adolesc. Heal.* **67**, 450–452 (2020).

531 32. Perez-Brumer, A. & Silva-Santisteban, A. COVID-19 Policies can Perpetuate Violence
532 Against Transgender Communities: Insights from Peru. *AIDS Behav.* **24**, 2477–2479
533 (2020).

534 33. Cicero, E. C., Reisner, S. L., Merwin, E. I., Humphreys, J. C. & Silva, S. G. The health
535 status of transgender and gender nonbinary adults in the United States. *PLoS One* **15**,
536 e0228765 (2020).

537 34. Restar, A., Jin, H. & Operario, D. Gender-inclusive and gender-specific approaches in
538 trans health research. *Transgender Heal.* (2020).

539 35. Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B. W. & Löwe, B. An Ultra-Brief Screening Scale
540 for Anxiety and Depression: The PHQ–4. *Psychosomatics* **50**, 613–621 (2009).

541 36. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. (2015).

542 37. Wang, Y. *et al.* Health care and mental health challenges for transgender individuals
543 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **8**, 564–565 (2020).

544 38. Sevelius, J. M. Gender Affirmation: A Framework for Conceptualizing Risk Behavior
545 Among Transgender Women of Color. *Sex Roles* **68**, 675–689 (2013).

546 39. Bouman, W. P., Davey, A., Meyer, C., Witcomb, G. L. & Arcelus, J. Predictors of
547 psychological well-being among treatment seeking transgender individuals. *Sex. Relatsh.*
548 *Ther.* 1–17 (2016). doi:10.1080/14681994.2016.1184754

549 40. Colizzi, M. & Costa, R. The effect of cross-sex hormonal treatment on gender dysphoria
550 individuals' mental health: a systematic review. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* **12**, 1953–
551 1966 (2016).

552 41. Nguyen, H. B. *et al.* Gender-Affirming Hormone Use in Transgender Individuals: Impact
553 on Behavioral Health and Cognition. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **20**, 110 (2018).

554 42. Defreyne, J., Van de Bruaene, L. D. L., Rietzschel, E., Van Schuylenbergh, J. & T'Sjoen,
555 G. G. R. Effects of Gender-Affirming Hormones on Lipid, Metabolic, and Cardiac
556 Surrogate Blood Markers in Transgender Persons. *Clin. Chem.* **65**, 119–134 (2019).

557 43. van der Miesen, A. I. R., Raaijmakers, D. & van de Grift, T. C. "You Have to Wait a Little
558 Longer": Transgender (Mental) Health at Risk as a Consequence of Deferring Gender-
559 Affirming Treatments During COVID-19. *Arch. Sex. Behav.* **49**, 1395–1399 (2020).

560 44. Morning Consult. *How COVID-19 is Impacting LGBTQ Youth*. (2020).

561 45. Cook, S. H., Sandfort, T. G. M., Nel, J. A. & Rich, E. P. Exploring the Relationship
562 Between Gender Nonconformity and Mental Health Among Black South African Gay and
563 Bisexual Men. *Arch. Sex. Behav.* **42**, 327–330 (2013).

564 46. Martin-Storey, A. & August, E. G. Harassment Due to Gender Nonconformity Mediates
565 the Association Between Sexual Minority Identity and Depressive Symptoms. *J. Sex Res.*
566 **53**, 85–97 (2016).

567 47. White Hughto, J. M., Reisner, S. L. & Pachankis, J. E. Transgender stigma and health: A
568 critical review of stigma determinants, mechanisms, and interventions. *Soc. Sci. Med.*
569 **147**, 222–231 (2015).

570 48. Gonzales, G., Loret de Mola, E., Gavulic, K. A., McKay, T. & Purcell, C. Mental Health
571 Needs Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender College Students During the
572 COVID-19 Pandemic. *J. Adolesc. Heal.* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.08.006

573 49. Linnemayr, S. *et al.* Longitudinal Assessment of Changes in Mental and Sexual Health
574 Outcomes Due to COVID-19 among Latinx Sexual Minority Men and Transgender
575 Women. *JAIDS J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* (2020).
576 doi:10.1097/QAI.0000000000002507

577 50. United Nations. *United Nations Policy Brief: COVID-19 and the need for action on mental*
578 *health*. (2020).

579 51. Moreno, C. *et al.* How mental health care should change as a consequence of the
580 COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **7**, 813–824 (2020).

581 52. Sevelius, J. M. *et al.* Research with Marginalized Communities: Challenges to Continuity
582 During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *AIDS Behav.* **24**, 2009–2012 (2020).

583 53. Motmans, J., Nieder, T. O. & Bouman, W. P. Transforming the paradigm of nonbinary
584 transgender health: A field in transition. *Int. J. Transgenderism* **20**, 119–125 (2019).

585

586 **Table 1: Demographics for transgender and non-binary individuals from the COVID-19 Disparities Survey**
587 **distributed globally by the Hornet and Her apps between April 16 and August 3, 2020 (N=964)**
588

	Overall (%)[a]
Self-Reported Transgender Identity	
Transgender man	95 (9.9%)
Transgender woman	201 (20.9%)
Non-binary (NB) only	594 (61.6%)
NB transgender woman	17 (1.8%)
NB transgender man	7 (0.7%)
NB, transgender woman, and transgender man	2 (0.2%)
Transgender man and transgender woman	7 (0.7%)
Man and woman	41 (4.3%)
Researcher-Generated Gender Categories	
Transmasculine (assigned female sex or intersex at birth)	37 (3.8%)
Transfeminine (assigned male sex or intersex at birth)	283 (29.4%)
Non-binary[b]	644 (66.8%)
World Health Organization Region	
Europe	451 (46.8%)
South-East Asia	242 (25.1%)
Americas	86 (8.9%)
Eastern Mediterranean	85 (8.8%)
Western Pacific	40 (4.2%)
Africa	35 (3.6%)
Age	
18-29 years	487 (50.5%)
30 - 39 years	287 (29.8%)
40 - 49 years	132 (13.7%)
50+ years	58 (6.0%)
Socioeconomic Status	
Lower	151 (15.7%)
Lower Middle	472 (49.0%)
Upper middle	290 (30.1%)
Upper	46 (4.8%)
Years of Education	
Less than 6 years	54 (5.6%)
6-12 years	176 (18.3%)
Some university, no degree	193 (20.0%)
Trade school	120 (12.5%)
University degree or more	410 (42.6%)
Ethnic Minority	
Yes	250 (26.0%)
No	493 (51.2%)
Don't know / can't answer	211 (21.9%)
Immigrant	
Yes	143 (14.8%)
No	719 (74.6%)
Not sure	85 (8.8%)
COVID-19 Pandemic Environment	
Ever lacked access to a mask	122 (12.7%)
In a location that ever issued "stay-at-home" confinement orders	729 (75.6%)

[a] Denominators include those for whom data were missing: 25 did not report a country, 5 did not report a socioeconomic status, 11 did not report an education level, 10 did not report if they were an ethnic minority, 17 did not report if they were an immigrant, 6 did not report their access to masks, and 7 did not report whether their country of residence had implemented "stay-at-home" confinement orders

[b] Of those whose gender was non-binary, 28 reported being assigned female sex at birth, 578 reported being assigned male sex at birth, 37 reported being assigned intersex at birth, and one did not report their sex assigned at birth.

589

590 **Table 2: Access to and actualization of gender-affirming resources among self-identified transgender and non-binary**
591 **individuals from the COVID-19 Disparities Survey between April 16, 2020 and August 3, 2020 (N=964)**
592

	Overall (%)	Transmasculine	Transfeminine	Non-Binary	p-value[b]
Experienced reduced access to one or more gender-affirming resource below[a]	320 / 582 (55.0%)	17 / 26 (65.4%)	110 / 189 (58.2%)	193 / 367 (52.6%)	0.25
Hormone therapy and/or gender-affirming medication	143 / 401 (35.7%)	11 / 20 (55.0%)	61 / 145 (42.1%)	71 / 236 (30.1%)	0.01
Surgical aftercare	119 / 356 (33.4%)	6 / 14 (42.9%)	51 / 127 (40.2%)	62 / 215 (28.8%)	0.08
Cosmetic supplies and services, e.g., makeup, wigs, and hair removal	189 / 500 (37.8%)	9 / 21 (42.9%)	65 / 162 (40.1%)	115 / 317 (36.3%)	0.63
Mental health counseling and therapy*	192 / 448 (42.9%)	13 / 21 (61.9%)	57 / 143 (39.9%)	122 / 284 (43.0%)	0.16
Body modifiers, e.g., binders and packing material	160 / 443 (36.1%)	8 / 18 (44.4%)	57 / 148 (38.5%)	95 / 277 (34.3%)	0.52
Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, how often able to live according to their gender					
More or a lot more	67 / 860 (7.8%)	7 / 28 (25.0%)	22 / 232 (9.5%)	38 / 600 (7.1%)	< 0.001
About the same	466 (54.2%)	13 (46.4%)	110 (47.4%)	343 (57.2%)	
Less or not at all	327 (38.0%)	8 (28.6%)	100 (43.1%)	219 (36.5%)	

[a] Denominators excluded participants who were not presented with these questions, did not respond, or said that the resource was not applicable to them
[b] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate

593
594

595 **Table 3: Mental health and resiliency indicators among transgender and non-binary individuals from the**
596 **COVID-19 Disparities Survey between April 16, 2020 and August 3, 2020 (N=964)** [a]
597

	Overall (%)	Transmasculine	Transfeminine	Non-Binary	p-value[b]
Screened positive (PHQ-4 ≥3)					
Depression	442 / 877 (50.4%)	17 / 35 (48.6%)	144 / 245 (58.8%)	281 / 597 (47.1%)	0.01
Anxiety	392 / 856 (45.8%)	17 / 33 (51.5%)	125 / 237 (52.7%)	250 / 586 (42.7%)	0.03
Felt lonely since COVID-19 began					
Yes	685 / 957 (71.6%)	28 / 37 (75.7%)	216 / 281 (76.9%)	441 / 639 (69.0%)	0.04
Frequency of suicidal ideation since COVID-19 vs. 6 months prior					
Was and remains rare	648 / 928 (69.8%)	26 / 36 (72.2%)	183 / 268 (68.3%)	439 / 624 (70.4%)	0.98
Decreased from frequent to rare	116 (12.5%)	4 (11.1%)	33 (12.3%)	79 (12.7%)	
Increased from rare to frequent	93 (10.0%)	3 (8.3%)	31 (11.6%)	59 (9.5%)	
Was and remains frequent	71 (7.6%)	3 (8.3%)	21 (7.8%)	47 (7.5%)	
Reported having sources of hope, strength, comfort, and peace					
Yes	489 / 768 (63.7%)	22 / 31 (71.0%)	100 / 209 (47.9%)	367 / 528 (69.5%)	< 0.001
Reported being intent on finding emotional support and therapy[c]					
Agree	467 / 851 (54.9%)	20 / 35 (57.1%)	129 / 237 (54.4%)	318 / 579 (54.9%)	0.85
Disagree	136 (16.0%)	5 (14.3%)	43 (18.1%)	88 (15.2%)	
Reported believing they could live a happy, full life despite the pandemic[c]					
Agree	516 / 847 (60.9%)	26 / 34 (76.5%)	137 / 231 (59.3%)	353 / 582 (60.6%)	0.22
Disagree	120 (14.2%)	2 (5.9%)	40 (17.3%)	78 (13.4%)	

[a] Denominators excluded individuals who did not respond or reported not knowing their answer unless otherwise noted
[b] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate
[c] Denominator includes those who stated "neither agree nor disagree"

598
599

600 **Table 4: Economic indicators among transgender and non-binary individuals from the COVID-19 Disparities Survey**
601 **between April 16, 2020 and August 3, 2020 (N=964)** [a]
602

	Overall (%)	Transmasculine	Transfeminine	Non-Binary	p-value[b]
Lost job due to COVID-19	151 / 953 (15.8%)	5 / 37 (13.5%)	53 / 278 (19.1%)	93 / 638 (14.6%)	0.21
Expected reduction in income					
0%	216 / 940 (23.0%)	13 / 37 (35.1%)	57 / 270 (21.1%)	10 / 633 (23.1%)	0.33
1-39%	265 (28.2%)	11 (29.7%)	70 (25.9%)	184 (29.1%)	
40-99%	337 (35.8%)	9 (24.3%)	101 (37.4%)	227 (35.9%)	
100%	122 (13.0%)	4 (10.8%)	42 (15.6%)	76 (12.0%)	
Expected to lose health insurance[c]					
Yes	112 / 659 (17.0%)	7 / 23 (30.4%)	40 / 179 (22.4%)	65 / 457 (14.2%)	0.05
No	408 (61.9%)	11 (47.8%)	104 (58.1%)	293 (64.1%)	
Financial Aid					
Received and not needed	22 / 801 (2.8%)	3 / 30 (10.0%)	8 / 229 (3.5%)	11 / 542 (2.0%)	0.08
Not received but not needed	159 (19.8%)	9 (30.0%)	37 (16.2%)	113 (20.8%)	
Received and needed	192 (24.0%)	5 (16.7%)	56 (24.4%)	131 (24.2%)	
Not received and needed	428 (53.4%)	13 (43.3%)	128 (55.9%)	287 (53.0%)	
Had cut or reduced meals	361 / 900 (40.1%)	18 / 35 (51.4%)	131 / 258 (50.8%)	212 / 607 (34.9%)	< 0.001
Among those employed, ability to miss work					
Was telecommuting or on paid leave	163 / 473 (34.5%)	8 / 23 (34.8%)	32 / 128 (25.0%)	123 / 322 (38.2%)	0.06
Cannot afford to miss work but was following confinement orders	146 (30.9%)	7 (30.4%)	40 (31.2%)	99 (30.8%)	
Cannot afford to stay home and must work to survive	164 (34.7%)	8 (34.8%)	56 (43.8%)	100 (31.1%)	

[a] Denominators excluded individuals who did not respond or reported not knowing their answer unless otherwise noted
[b] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate
[c] Denominator includes those who reported they "might or might not" lose their health insurance

603
604

605 **Table 5: Bivariate prevalence ratios of screening positive for depression, screening positive for anxiety, and changes in**
606 **suicidal ideation among transgender and non-binary individuals from the COVID-19 Disparities Survey between April 16,**
607 **2020 and August 3, 2020**
608

	Screening positive for depression PrR (95% CI)[a]	Screening positive for anxiety PrR (95% CI)	Increased frequency of suicidal thoughts (vs. remained low)[b]	Retained frequent suicidal thoughts (vs. reduced frequency)[c]
Reported reduction in access to more than one (vs. 0) gender affirming resource	1.63 (1.36, 1.97) n = 532	1.61 (1.31, 1.97) n = 523	1.74 (1.07, 2.82) n = 449	1.37 (0.87, 2.15) n = 112
Reported decreased time (vs. increased) lived according to one's gender	1.21 (0.92, 1.58) n = 346	1.48 (1.04, 2.10) n = 331	0.57 (0.33, 0.98) n = 310	1.12 (0.50, 2.54) n = 68

[a] Prevalence ratio (PrR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI)
[b] Among individuals who reported never or seldom having suicidal ideation in the six months prior to the pandemic beginning
[c] Among individuals who reported having suicidal ideation quite often, very often, and all the time in the sex months prior to the pandemic beginning

609

610 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

611 **S1 Table. Access to and actualization of gender-affirming resources among self-identified transgender and non-binary**
612 **individuals who participated in the COVID-19 Disparities Survey, stratified by country (April 16 – August 3, 2020, N=964)**
613

	European Region	South-East Asia Region	Region of the Americas	Eastern Mediterranean Region	Western Pacific Region	African Region	p-value[a]
Experienced reduced access to one or more gender affirming resource below[b]	116 / 207 (56.0%)	109 / 204 (53.4%)	32 / 53 (60.4%)	30 / 56 (53.6%)	6 / 25 (24.0%)	15 / 23 (65.2%)	0.043
Hormone therapy and/or gender affirming medication	47 / 116 (40.5%)	55 / 186 (29.6%)	10 / 25 (40.0%)	11 / 32 (34.4%)	4 / 19 (21.1%)	8 / 13 (61.5%)	0.081
Surgical aftercare	37 /93 (39.8%)	51 / 175 (29.1%)	7 / 15 (46.7%)	8 / 33 (24.2%)	2 / 18 (11.1%)	8 / 12 (66.7%)	0.008
Cosmetic supplies and services, e.g., makeup, wigs, and hair removal	68 / 176 (38.6%)	62 /181 (34.3%)	21 / 46 (45.7%)	21 / 47 (44.7%)	3 / 23 (13.0%)	9 / 15 (60.0%)	0.025
Mental health counseling and therapy*	62 / 128 (48.4%)	73 / 186 (39.2%)	25 /42 (59.5%)	12 / 42 (28.6%)	3 /19 (15.8%)	9 / 18 (50.0%)	0.004
Body modifiers, e.g., binders and packing material	56 / 134 (41.8%)	58 / 179 (32.4%)	17 / 40 (42.5%)	14 / 46 (30.4%)	3 / 21 (14.3%)	8 / 13 (61.5%)	0.030
Compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic, how often able to live according to their gender							
More or a lot more	25 / 381 (6.6%)	20 / 236 (8.5%)	7 / 84 (8.3%)	6 / 71 (8.5%)	0 / 34 (0.0%)	6 / 33 (18.2%)	0.000
About the same	181 (47.5%)	156 (66.1%)	53 (63.1%)	26 (36.6%)	24 (70.6%)	13 (39.4%)	
Less or not at all	175 (45.9%)	60 (25.4%)	24 (28.6%)	39 (54.9%)	10 (29.4%)	14 (42.4%)	

614 [a] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate
615 [b] Denominators excluded participants who were not presented with these questions, did not respond, or said that the resource was not applicable to them
616

617 **S2 Table. Mental health and resiliency indicators among transgender and non-binary individuals who participated in the**
618 **COVID-19 Disparities Survey, stratified by country (April 16 – August 3, 2020, N=964[a]**

	European Region	South-East Asia Region	Region of the Americas	Eastern Mediterranean Region	Western Pacific Region	African Region	p-value[b]
Screened positive per PHQ-4 (score ≥3)							
Depression	232 / 400 (58.0%)	66 / 222 (29.7%)	46 / 82 (56.1%)	48 / 76 (63.2%)	21 / 40 (52.5%)	18 / 32 (56.3%)	≤ 0.001
Anxiety	392 / 856 (45.8%)	392 / 856 (45.8%)	392 / 856 (45.8%)	392 / 856 (45.8%)	392 / 856 (45.8%)	392 / 856 (45.8%)	≤ 0.001
Felt lonely since COVID-19 began							
Yes	685 / 957 (71.6%)	685 / 957 (71.6%)	685 / 957 (71.6%)	685 / 957 (71.6%)	685 / 957 (71.6%)	685 / 957 (71.6%)	0.063
Frequency of suicidal ideation since COVID-19 vs. 6 months prior							
Was and remains rare	648 / 928 (69.8%)	648 / 928 (69.8%)	648 / 928 (69.8%)	648 / 928 (69.8%)	648 / 928 (69.8%)	648 / 928 (69.8%)	0.020
Decreased from frequent to rare	116 (12.5%)	116 (12.5%)	116 (12.5%)	116 (12.5%)	116 (12.5%)	116 (12.5%)	
Increased from rare to frequent	93 (10.0%)	93 (10.0%)	93 (10.0%)	93 (10.0%)	93 (10.0%)	93 (10.0%)	
Was and remains frequent	71 (7.6%)	71 (7.6%)	71 (7.6%)	71 (7.6%)	71 (7.6%)	71 (7.6%)	
Reported having sources of hope, strength, comfort, and peace							
Yes	489 / 768 (63.7%)	489 / 768 (63.7%)	489 / 768 (63.7%)	489 / 768 (63.7%)	489 / 768 (63.7%)	489 / 768 (63.7%)	≤ 0.001
Reported being intent on finding emotional support and therapy[c]							
Agree	467 / 851 (54.9%)	467 / 851 (54.9%)	467 / 851 (54.9%)	467 / 851 (54.9%)	467 / 851 (54.9%)	467 / 851 (54.9%)	≤ 0.001
Disagree	136 (16.0%)	136 (16.0%)	136 (16.0%)	136 (16.0%)	136 (16.0%)	136 (16.0%)	
Reported believing they could live a happy, full life despite the pandemic[c]							
Agree	516 / 847 (60.9%)	516 / 847 (60.9%)	516 / 847 (60.9%)	516 / 847 (60.9%)	516 / 847 (60.9%)	516 / 847 (60.9%)	≤ 0.001
Disagree	120 (14.2%)	120 (14.2%)	120 (14.2%)	120 (14.2%)	120 (14.2%)	120 (14.2%)	

619 [a] Denominators excluded individuals who did not respond or reported not knowing their answer unless otherwise noted
620 [b] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate
621 [c] Denominator includes those who stated "neither agree nor disagree"
622

S3 Table: Socioeconomic indicators among transgender and non-binary individuals who participated in the COVID-19 Disparities Survey, stratified by country (April 16 – August 3, 2020, N=964)[a]

	European Region	South-East Asia Region	Region of the Americas	Eastern Mediterranean Region	Western Pacific Region	African Region	p-value[b]
Lost job due to COVID-19	74 / 446 (16.6%)	38 / 238 (16.0%)	13 / 86 (15.1%)	15 / 83 (18.1%)	5 / 40 (12.5%)	2 / 35 (5.7%)	0.614
Expected reduction in income							
0%	113 / 436 (25.9%)	39 / 340 (16.3%)	25 / 86 (29.1%)	19 / 79 (24.1%)	9 / 40 (22.5%)	9 / 35 (25.7%)	0.055
1-39%	123 (28.2%)	66 (27.5%)	20 (23.3%)	20 (25.3%)	14 (35.0%)	11 (31.4%)	
40-99%	140 (32.1%)	110 (45.8%)	34 (39.5%)	25 (31.7%)	13 (32.5%)	10 (28.6%)	
100%	60 (13.8%)	25 (10.4%)	7 (8.1%)	15 (19.0%)	4 (10.0%)	5 (14.3%)	
Expected to lose health insurance[c]							
Yes	32 / 307 (10.4%)	42 / 165 (25.5%)	11 / 67 (16.4%)	16 / 51 (31.4%)	7 / 35 (20.0%)	1 / 21 (4.8%)	< 0.001
No	203 (66.1%)	94 (57.0%)	48 (71.6%)	27 (52.9%)	18 (51.4%)	12 (57.1%)	
Receipt and need of financial aid							
Received and not needed	10 / 375 (2.7%)	6 / 208 (2.9%)	2 / 75 (2.7%)	3 / 64 (4.7%)	0 / 32 (0.0%)	0 / 30 (0.0%)	<0.001
Not received but not needed	86 (22.9%)	29 (13.9%)	19 (25.3%)	13 (20.3%)	6 (18.8%)	5 (16.7%)	
Received and needed	53 (14.1%)	92 (44.2%)	23 (30.7%)	8 (12.5%)	8 (25.0%)	5 (16.7%)	
Not received and needed	226 (60.3%)	81 (38.9%)	31 (41.3%)	40 (62.5%)	18 (56.3%)	20 (66.7%)	
Had cut or reduced meals	167 / 420 (39.8%)	82 / 230 (35.7%)	28 / 82 (34.2%)	45 / 75 (60.0%)	12 / 37 (32.4%)	16 / 34 (47.1%)	0.004
Among those employed, ability to miss work							
Was telecommuting or on paid leave	81 / 214 (37.9%)	40 / 127 (31.5%)	17 / 42 (40.5%)	11 / 34 (32.4%)	6 / 21 (28.6%)	6 / 20 (30.0%)	0.165
Cannot afford to miss work but was following confinement orders	72 (33.6%)	34 (26.8%)	9 (21.4%)	9 (26.5%)	5 (23.8%)	10 (50.0%)	
Cannot afford to stay home and must work to survive	61 (28.5%)	53 (41.7%)	16 (38.1%)	14 (41.2%)	10 (47.6%)	4 (20.0%)	

[a] Denominators excluded individuals who did not respond or reported not knowing their answer unless otherwise noted
[b] p-values were calculated using chi-squared and Fischer's exact tests as appropriate
[c] Denominator includes those who reported they "might or might not" lose their health insurance