

1 **Full Title:**

2
3 Extremely Preterm Children Demonstrate Interhemispheric Hyperconnectivity During
4 Verb Generation: a Multimodal Approach
5
6
7

8 **Authors and Affiliations:**

9
10 Maria E. Barnes-Davis, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2,3*}
11 Stephanie L. Merhar, M.D., M.S.^{1,2}
12 Scott K. Holland, Ph.D.^{4, 5}
13 Nehal A. Parikh, D.O., M.S.^{1,2}
14 Darren S. Kadis, Ph.D.^{6, 7}
15
16
17

18 ¹ Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Perinatal Institute
19 ² University of Cincinnati, Department of Pediatrics
20 ³ University of Cincinnati, Department of Neuroscience
21 ⁴ Medpace Imaging Core Laboratory, Medpace Inc.
22 ⁵ University of Cincinnati, Department of Physics
23 ⁶ Hospital for Sick Children, Neurosciences and Mental Health
24 ⁷ University of Toronto, Department of Physiology
25
26
27
28

29 ***Corresponding Author:**

30
31 Maria E. Barnes-Davis, MD/PhD
32 Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics
33 University of Cincinnati College of Medicine
34 Attending Neonatologist, Perinatal Institute
35 Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
36 E-mail: maria.barnes@cchmc.org
37
38
39

40 **Running Title:** Preterm hyperconnectivity during verb generation
41

42 **Declaration of Interest:** None relevant.
43

44 **ABSTRACT**

45 Children born extremely preterm (EPT, <28 weeks gestation) are at risk for delays in
46 development, including language. We use fMRI-constrained magnetoencephalography
47 (MEG) during a verb generation task to assess the extent and functional connectivity
48 (phase locking value, or PLV) of language networks in a large cohort of EPT children and
49 their term comparisons (TC). 73 participants, aged 4 to 6 years, were enrolled (42 TC, 31
50 EPT). There were no significant group differences in age, sex, race, ethnicity, parental
51 education, or family income. There were significant group differences in expressive
52 language scores ($p < 0.05$). Language representation was not significantly different
53 between groups on fMRI, with task-specific activation involving bilateral temporal and left
54 inferior frontal cortex. There were group differences in functional connectivity seen in
55 MEG. To identify a possible subnetwork contributing to focal spectral differences in
56 connectivity, we ran Network Based Statistics analyses. For both beta (20-25 Hz) and
57 gamma (61-70 Hz) bands, we observed a subnetwork showing hyperconnectivity in the
58 EPT group ($p < 0.05$). Network strength was computed for the beta and gamma
59 subnetworks and assessed for correlation with language performance. For the EPT
60 group, exclusively, strength of the subnetwork identified in the gamma frequency band
61 was positively correlated with expressive language scores ($r = 0.318$, $p < 0.05$). Thus,
62 interhemispheric hyperconnectivity is positively related to language for EPT children and
63 might represent a marker for resiliency in this population.

64 **KEYWORDS**

65 Prematurity, Language, Functional MRI, Magnetoencephalography, Connectivity

66

67 1. INTRODUCTION

68 The development of noninvasive neuroimaging has enabled assessment of language
69 representation across the lifespan, with task-based functional magnetic resonance
70 imaging (fMRI, the gold standard for language mapping) demonstrating bilateral patterns
71 of language activation which become increasingly left lateralized for most children as they
72 mature into adulthood (Holland et al., 2001; Holland et al., 2007; Wood et al., 2004).
73 Language relies on fast neuronal processes which can be transient and brief, occurring
74 in a matter of milliseconds, and—by characterizing fast oscillatory activity—we can map
75 language (Benasich, Gou, Choudhury, & Harris, 2008; Doesburg, Tingling, MacDonald,
76 & Pang, 2016; Kadis, Dimitrijevic, Toro-Serey, Smith, & Holland, 2016; Kadis et al., 2007;
77 Kadis et al., 2011). Magnetoencephalography (MEG) though less widely used than fMRI,
78 affords sub-millisecond temporal resolution and can localize sources in the cortex,
79 especially when combined with MRI (Kadis et al., 2016). This makes MEG especially
80 suited to lifespan assessment of developing language networks in typically developing
81 children and in clinical populations at risk for atypical language development (Barnes-
82 Davis, Merhar, Holland, & Kadis, 2018; Gaudet, Hüsser, Vannasing, & Gallagher, 2020;
83 Taylor, Donner, & Pang, 2012).

84 Prematurely born children represent one such clinical population. Preterm birth is
85 a public health crisis, impacting 10% of children born in the United States every year and
86 up to 15 million children globally every year (Blencowe et al., 2013; Martin, Hamilton,
87 Osterman, & Driscoll, 2019). With advances in perinatal and neonatal care, children born
88 as preterm as 22 weeks are now surviving. However, they still have significant risk for
89 sensory and neurodevelopmental impairment (Adams-Chapman et al., 2018; Barre,

90 Morgan, Doyle, & Anderson, 2011; Hutchinson, De Luca, Doyle, Roberts, & Anderson,
91 2013; Mikkola et al., 2005; Moore, Lemyre, Barrowman, & Daboval, 2013; van Noort-van
92 der Spek, Franken, & Weisglas-Kuperus, 2012; Vohr, 2014). Children born preterm are
93 at an increased risk for language impairment versus their term peers, with up to 40% of
94 preterm children experiencing language delays and approximately 20% receiving a
95 diagnosis of specific language impairment (Barre et al., 2011; Foster-Cohen, Edgin,
96 Champion, & Woodward, 2007; Foster-Cohen, Friesen, Champion, & Woodward, 2010;
97 van Noort-van der Spek et al., 2012; Woods, Rieger, Wocadlo, & Gordon, 2014). Term
98 equivalent age neuroimaging with cranial ultrasound or structural MRI and early language
99 testing such as the Bayley Scales of Infant Development at 18-24 months corrected
100 gestational age leave a large proportion of the variance in later language performance
101 unaccounted for and do not accurately predict delays (Luttikhuisen dos Santos, de
102 Kieviet, Konigs, van Elburg, & Oosterlaan, 2013; Woods et al., 2014). This is important
103 because early intervention programs are one of the few therapies that can improve
104 neurodevelopmental outcomes, including language performance. Addressing language
105 impairment early on might mitigate later impact on scholastic attainment and quality of life
106 (Barre et al., 2011; Vieira & Linhares, 2011; Vohr, 2014).

107 Despite the numerous prenatal and neonatal risk factors for impairment that
108 preterm children experience, there is great variability in outcome, with some children born
109 extremely preterm (less than 28 weeks completed gestation) doing well (Garfield,
110 Karbownik, Murthy, & et al., 2017). Furthermore, adults who were born preterm and their
111 families express frustration that they were given overwhelming lists of risk factors in the
112 neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) but little to no information on how to counter these

113 risks (Leavy, Prina, Martinez Caceres, & Bauer, 2015; Saigal, 2014). Investigations of
114 resiliency factors—those that confer a positive outcome despite risk—should be
115 prioritized along with risk factors and factors that are universally protective. The search
116 for functional neuroimaging markers of risk and resiliency in the context of preterm birth
117 is important in that it could provide metrics for risk stratification and predictive markers for
118 later language functioning, perhaps before children exhibit verbal or language skills.
119 Additionally, through the investigation of aberrant developmental trajectories (including
120 those of children who spend the third trimester of gestation ex utero) we might gain new
121 insights regarding the neurobiology of language that could be of utility for other clinical
122 groups and for typically developing children. Existing literature evaluating connectivity
123 supporting language in preterm children to date has relied primarily on resting state and
124 task-based functional MRI. Taken as a whole, these functional MRI studies report
125 increased interhemispheric connectivity and increased involvement of right-sided
126 language homologue areas (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018; Choi, Vandewouw, Young, &
127 Taylor, 2018; Gozzo et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2015; Kwon et al., 2016; Myers et al., 2010;
128 Schafer et al., 2009; Scheinost et al., 2015; Wilke, Hauser, Krageloh-Mann, & Lidzba,
129 2014). However, relationships between connectivity and performance are inconsistent.

130 MEG has only been used in a handful of studies investigating neural networks in
131 children born preterm (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018; Doesburg, Moiseev, Herdman, Ribary,
132 & Grunau, 2013; Doesburg, Ribary, Herdman, Miller, et al., 2011; Doesburg, Ribary,
133 Herdman, Moiseev, et al., 2011; Mossad, Smith, Pang, & Taylor, 2017; Sato et al., 2019;
134 Ye, AuCoin-Power, Taylor, & Doesburg, 2016). Our prior pilot study of 15 children born
135 EPT and 15 term comparison children (TC) was the first to use MEG to investigate the

136 connectivity of language networks in prematurity. We assessed representation and
137 connectivity of language networks at 4-6 years of age using multimodal imaging during a
138 receptive language paradigm (passive stories listening task). Language representation
139 (fMRI) was not significantly different between groups (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018).
140 However, functional connectivity (MEG) was significantly increased in EPT, with
141 significantly greater network strength than term controls TC. Connectivity was increased
142 in bilateral temporal regions, in accordance with fMRI connectivity studies in older preterm
143 participants (Gozzo et al., 2009; Scheinost et al., 2015). Prior studies could not conclude
144 if hyperconnectivity in EPT reflects an alternate developmental trajectory or immaturity of
145 normal language lateralization (Gozzo et al., 2009). We were able to leverage the
146 temporal resolution of MEG to assess information flux and concluded that
147 hyperconnectivity was due to increased drivers in right perisylvian cortex, supporting our
148 theory of an alternate developmental trajectory and not merely a delay in normal language
149 lateralization (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018). Additionally, through investigation of diffusion
150 imaging obtained in the same study visit, we were able to show this increased connectivity
151 was due to an atypically robust extracallosal pathway connecting bilateral perisylvian
152 cortices (Barnes-Davis, Williamson, Merhar, Holland, & Kadis, 2020b). Performance on
153 language tasks was positively correlated with extracallosal structural connectivity for the
154 preterm group exclusively.

155 Prior MEG studies suggest that activation in different frequency bands or
156 involvement of different language regions in typically developing children could be due to
157 differences in language processing based on participant characteristics or differences in
158 task characteristics (expressive versus receptive, etc.) (Gaudet et al., 2020). Thus, it is

159 important to consider clinical groups, task demands, and language modalities when
160 interpreting electrophysiological studies of language networks in the brain. MEG studies
161 including preterm children have reported decreased task-based and resting state
162 functional connectivity on the whole brain level (Doesburg et al., 2013; Doesburg, Ribary,
163 Herdman, Miller, et al., 2011; Doesburg, Ribary, Herdman, Moiseev, et al., 2011; Ye et
164 al., 2016). However, none have focused on language and some did not significantly
165 correlate connectivity metrics with performance. The aim of this current paper is to
166 investigate functional connectivity during an expressive language paradigm (a widely
167 used verb generation task) to assess the extent and connectivity of language networks in
168 the largest cohort of children born extremely preterm followed by MEG (known to us) to
169 date. Furthermore, we will compare connectivity of EPT children to that of their term
170 counterparts and assess relationships with performance. We hypothesize that EPT
171 children will have increased bitemporal functional connectivity versus TC and that this
172 hyperconnectivity will be positively related to performance on standardized language
173 assessments (particularly expressive language tasks) for EPT but not for TC.

174

175 **2. METHODS**

176 **2.1. Participants**

177 This is a cohort study with 73 participants aged 4 to 6 years recruited from the
178 greater Cincinnati area. TC (n=42) were recruited from local pediatric clinics and
179 community announcements. EPT children (n=31) were recruited from Cincinnati-area
180 neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) if they were born at less than 28 weeks gestation
181 and were without parenchymal lesions, hemorrhage, or IVH >grade 2 on neonatal cranial

182 ultrasound. Children with cerebral palsy, seizures, migraines, and formally diagnosed
183 psychiatric disorders such as autism or ADHD were excluded from both groups. Children
184 with contraindications for MRI or MEG scanning (metal implants, insulin pumps, etc.) were
185 excluded from both groups. Imaging and behavioral data were included from 3 studies
186 investigating the language development of term born and preterm children using
187 multimodal neuroimaging. All 3 studies are approved by the Cincinnati Children's Hospital
188 Medical Center IRB and the IRBs of Cincinnati-area delivery hospitals. All study
189 procedures conformed to the US Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects.
190 Written informed consent was obtained from parents and verbal assent from all children.

191 **2.2. Demographic and Neuropsychological Assessments**

192 For all participants, demographic data were recorded, including age, sex, race, and
193 ethnicity. Socioeconomic variables such as parental education and family income were
194 also recorded by the parents. Participants completed a neuropsychological testing battery
195 including the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test 4 (PPVT); the Expressive Vocabulary Test
196 2 (EVT); and the Weschler Nonverbal Test of Abilities (WNV). The PPVT (Dunn, Dunn, &
197 Lenhard, 2015) is a well-normed and well-validated test of receptive language/vocabulary
198 that has been widely used in studies of outcomes in prematurity (Luu et al., 2009; Ment
199 et al., 2006; Mullen et al., 2011; Myers et al., 2010). The EVT (Williams, 2007) is co-
200 normed with the PPVT and is a well validated measure of expressive language. The WNV
201 (Wechsler & Naglieri, 2006) is a nonverbal test of general abilities especially developed
202 for populations where there is a high risk of language impairment or where English might
203 not be the primary spoken language.

204 **2.3. Verb Generation Task**

205 The same verb generation stimuli were used in both MEG and fMRI. For fMRI, they
206 were presented in a block design; for MEG, they were presented in an event-related,
207 random presentation. This auditory covert verb generation task has been reported
208 previously by our group (Holland et al., 2001; Kadis et al., 2016; Youssofzadeh,
209 Williamson, & Kadis, 2017). In brief, the task consisted of nouns spoken by a female voice
210 (verb generation condition) or speech-shaped noise, matched to the noun stimuli for
211 spectral content and amplitude envelope (noise condition). Children were asked to
212 covertly generate a verb or “think of an action word” upon hearing nouns; in contrast, they
213 were asked to quietly attend to the noise stimuli, without responding. Prior to MEG
214 recording, participants were trained on an overt version of the task to assess
215 understanding and promote compliance during acquisition. During MEG acquisition, 71
216 distinct nouns and 71 noise trials were randomly presented. During fMRI acquisition, the
217 noun stimuli were presented at a rate of once every 5 sec, in five 30-sec blocks. During
218 the control block, children were asked to simply listen to the noise stimuli, presented every
219 5 seconds, in six 30-sec blocks. Participants listened to a total of 30 nouns and 36 noise
220 tones during the fMRI recordings, lasting less than 6 minutes.

221 **2.4. Magnetoencephalography Acquisition**

222 To avoid potential magnetization from the MRI, MEG was always acquired before
223 MRI imaging on a 275-channel whole-head CTF system (MEG International Services Ltd.,
224 Coquitlam, BC). Neuromagnetic activity was recorded at 1200Hz. Subjects were tested
225 while awake and in the supine position. Auditory stimuli were presented via a calibrated
226 audio system comprised of distal transducer, tubing, and ear inserts (Etymotic Research,
227 IL, USA). Head localization coils were placed at nasion and preauricular locations to

228 monitor movement throughout the recording. Following acquisition, the coils were
229 replaced with radio-opaque markers, to facilitate offline co-registration of MRI and MEG
230 data.

231 **2.5. Magnetic Resonance Acquisition**

232 All subjects were studied awake without sedation in the presence of an
233 experienced pediatric radiology technician, a pediatric clinical research coordinator, and
234 a parent or legal guardian. This sample consists of children from 2 distinct studies on
235 multimodal imaging of language in prematurity. The first study used a single echo fMRI
236 acquisition. The second study used a multi-echo fMRI acquisition. For both studies,
237 extremely preterm and term children were enrolled and the task, imaging site, and
238 scanner remained the same. We conducted fMRI scanning at 3T (Philips Achieva
239 scanner). The single echo acquisition (used for 12 EPT children and 13 TC children) had
240 TR / TE = 2000 / 30 ms, flip=75°, 2.8 x 2.8 x 3.0 mm voxels. The multi echo acquisition
241 (used for 17 EPT children and 29 TC) had TR 1381.14 ms; TE 14, 32, and 50 ms; 3.0 x
242 3.0 x 3.0 mm voxels; 308 dynamics; multiband factor 3; and in-plane SENSE factor of 3).
243 For this analysis, we used only the middle echo (32 ms) for fMRI preprocessing and first
244 and second level contrasts. Scan duration for both acquisitions was about 5 minutes. We
245 obtained 3D T1-weighted volumes at 1.0 x 1.0 x 1.0 mm.

246 **2.6. Demographic and Neuropsychological Data Analyses**

247 Between group comparisons of continuous variables (age, assessment scores) were
248 performed using independent samples t-tests in SPSS Statistics 26 running in Mac OS
249 10.15.6. Categorical variables (sex, race, ethnicity, parental education, household
250 income) were compared between groups using Fisher's exact test.

251 **2.7. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Analyses**

252 Task-based fMRI data were analyzed using a conventional general linear model
253 preprocessing pipeline in SPM12 (<http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/>)
254 running in MatLab R2020a (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA). This has been detailed in
255 previous publications (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018; Kadis et al., 2016). The preprocessing
256 pipeline included realignment, co-registration to the individual subject's T1-weighted
257 images, normalization to template space (MNI 152), and smoothing with a full width half
258 maximum (FWHM) of 5 mm. Task-based fMRI data were subjected to first- and second-
259 level analyses as detailed in previous publications (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018; Kadis et
260 al., 2016). At the first level, a Verb minus Noise contrast, with size motion parameters
261 included as nuisance regressors, was evaluated to assess activation that was measured
262 in response to the language stimulus versus the noise condition. At the second level, first
263 level condition contrasts were collected and subjected to a between groups independent
264 samples t test evaluating the spatial distribution of activation in response to the language
265 task. For this contrast, $p < 0.001$ and $k = 8$. This was assessed with and without a family-
266 wise error correction of $p < 0.05$ and with and without accounting for imaging acquisition
267 (single echo versus multi echo). Groupwise activation, including all preterm and term
268 participants, were included in the joint activation map. This was parcellated into 200
269 random units (Craddock, James, Holtzheimer, Hu, & Mayberg, 2012). Parcels having
270 greater than 10% active voxels were retained, and the center of gravity was calculated
271 for each parcel. These coordinates were determined and served as coordinate for virtual
272 sensors in MEG connectivity analyses and subsequent graph theoretical analyses.

273 **2.8. Magnetoencephalography Analyses**

274 **2.8.1. Virtual Sensor Extraction and Preprocessing.** Data were analyzed using
275 FieldTrip, an open-source MatLab toolbox for analyses of electrophysiological data,
276 including MEG (Oostenveld, Fries, Maris, & Schoffelen, 2011). Continuous
277 neuromagnetic data were bandpass filtered from 0.1Hz to 100Hz, and 60Hz power-line
278 noise attenuated using a sharp discrete Fourier transform filter. The data were then
279 segmented into epochs of interest (700-1200ms from onset of language stimuli) to remain
280 consistent with previous work in term children (Kadis et al., 2011; Youssofzadeh et al.,
281 2017). Scanner jump artifacts were automatically detected, and the affected trials were
282 rejected. We required at least 90% trial retention for inclusion in subsequent analyses.
283 Realistic single-shell head models were constructed from the participants' 3D T1
284 weighted images (Nolte, 2003). A 3-D grid was constructed with dipole resolution of 5 mm
285 on a template (MNI151) brain volume. The template grid was warped to individual
286 anatomical space, and used to develop individual source models. Using a linearly
287 constrained minimum-variance beamformer (LCMV) with 0.1% regularization, we
288 estimated the time series of activity at each network node (virtual sensor analysis) similar
289 to our previously reported work (Barnes-Davis et al., 2018).

290 **2.8.2. Functional Connectivity.** Phase locking value (PLV) was used to assess
291 functional connectivity in MEG. PLV reflects the consistency of a phase relationship
292 between two signals, with values ranging from 0 (no phase synchrony) to 1 (perfect phase
293 synchrony) (Bastos & Schoffelen, 2016; Lachaux, Rodriguez, Martinerie, & Varela, 1999;
294 Youssofzadeh et al., 2017). Using FieldTrip, connectivity analyses were performed on the
295 time courses at each virtual sensor. The trials were zero padded to 2 seconds total to
296 minimize edge effects. A multi-taper method FFT (+/- 5Hz smoothing) decomposition,

297 over a frequency range of 2-70 Hz and a step of 0.5 Hz, was used. The connectivity
298 spectra were then plotted by group and visually inspected. Frequency bands in which
299 there were apparent group differences were identified; connectivity within those bands
300 was averaged, and the resulting adjacency matrices were subjected to analysis using
301 Network Based Statistics (NBS). The approach is useful for identifying potential
302 subnetworks contributing to observed network-wide group differences in connectivity.
303 Here, we studied network extent as a function of group across a range of initial thresholds,
304 using an overall p value of 0.05 and for 5000 permutations (Zalesky, Fornito, & Bullmore,
305 2010). For the purpose of visualization, the median t-value yielding significant NBS results
306 was used to characterize the subnetworks showing group differences. Surviving
307 connections were summed for each subject to assess network strength. Network strength
308 was then assessed for correlation with expressive language scores across and within
309 groups.

310

311 **3. RESULTS**

312 **3.1. Demographic and Neuropsychological Assessments**

313 There were no significant group differences in age, sex, race, ethnicity, highest
314 parental education, combined family income, PPVT scores, or WNV scores (see Table
315 1). There were significant group differences in expressive language scores (mean
316 standardized EVT scores were 102.6 +/- standard deviation of 9.4 for the EPT group and
317 111.7 +/- standard deviation of 15.9 for the TC group). An outlier was identified with
318 significantly decreased scores (greater than 2 standard deviations below the mean) on
319 standardized assessments (population mean for each of these instruments is 100, with a

320 standard deviation of 15). This individual was removed from subsequent analyses,
321 leaving 30 EPT children and 42 TC children in the final connectivity analysis. For the
322 preterm group, gestational age at birth ranged from 24 weeks to 27 weeks and 5 days.
323 For the term group, gestational age ranged from 37 to 41 weeks.

324 **3.2. Activation in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

325 Of the 73 children included in the study, 7 TC children and 4 EPT children did not
326 complete neuroimaging due to scheduling issues (e.g. arriving late to the study visit and
327 needing to stop visit before all tasks were completed) or noncompliance with task
328 (movement or wanting to stop imaging). Thus, 62 children were included in the fMRI
329 analyses (35 TC and 27 EPT).

330 There were no significant differences in language representation in fMRI, between
331 groups. Activation in response to verb generation versus the noise condition involved the
332 expected regions, including bilateral temporal and left inferior frontal cortex (Figure 1A).
333 To create a balanced joint activation map for subsequent MEG analyses, a subset (n =
334 53) of participants were randomly selected for participation in the mask, with equitable
335 representation between groups (EPT versus TC) and study acquisitions (single echo
336 versus multi-echo fMRI). The joint activation map was generated from the first level
337 contrast maps (verbs versus noise) from 14 TC from the multi-echo acquisition, 14 EPT
338 from the multi-echo acquisition, 13 TC from the single echo acquisition, and 12 EPT from
339 the single echo acquisition.

340 **3.3. Connectivity in Magnetoencephalography**

341 Virtual sensors were obtained from multiplying the fMRI verb generation joint
342 activation map with a 200 unit random parcellation map and extracting center of gravity

343 coordinates from parcels with over 10% active voxels. These virtual sensors are shown
344 in in Figure 1B and served as nodes for subsequent connectivity and network analyses.
345 Phase locking value (PLV) was computed for all node pairs for each participant as
346 described above, and plotted as a function of frequency, for each group (Figure 2). We
347 visually inspected the connectivity spectra and identified 2 bands in which we suspected
348 EPT and TC children would significantly differ. We noted apparent increased functional
349 connectivity in the EPT group in a contiguous band within the canonical beta frequency
350 range (20-25 Hz) and in the canonical gamma frequency range (61-70 Hz). This
351 hyperconnectivity was assessed and non-parametrically tested for group differences in
352 network extent using NBS with 5000 permutations and familywise error correction set at
353 $p < 0.05$. A subnetwork was identified in the beta range (Figure 3) which was significant at
354 a range of t statistic thresholds from 1 to 2. An addition subnetwork was identified in NBS
355 within the low gamma range which was significant at a range of t statistic thresholds from
356 1 to 4 (Figure 4). Network strength was computed for both the beta and the gamma
357 subnetworks and related to performance on standardized assessments of language and
358 general abilities across and within groups.

359 Strength in the beta and gamma subnetworks was not significantly related to
360 performance across groups or within the TC group. However, for the EPT group
361 exclusively, strength of the subnetwork identified in the gamma frequency band was
362 positively correlated with standardized expressive language scores on the EVT (Figure
363 5, $r = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$).

364 As an exploratory analysis, we assessed for significant differences in language
365 scores and brain connectivity by sex (female versus male) due to the known differential

366 effect of sex on prematurity and language (Benavides et al., 2019; O'Driscoll, McGovern,
367 Greene, & Molloy, 2018). Within the EPT group, there were no significant differences in
368 neurobehavioral scores, but females did have significantly higher network strength in both
369 the beta band (mean strength 65.39 for males and 70.89 for females, $p < 0.05$) and in the
370 gamma band (mean strength 24.8 for males and 26.88 for females, $p < 0.05$). Across
371 groups, there were no significant differences by sex in neurobehavioral scores or gamma
372 connectivity, but there was significantly higher network strength in the beta band for
373 females (mean strength 59.0 for males and 64.66 for females, $p < 0.05$). Within the control
374 group, there were no significant differences between males and females.

375

376 **4. DISCUSSION**

377 In this contemporary cohort study of extremely preterm children with no known brain
378 injury or neurological disorder and their term comparison children, we demonstrate
379 significantly increased functional connectivity as indexed by MEG in preterm children
380 versus term controls. This functional hyperconnectivity is significantly related to
381 performance on standardized assessments of expressive language for the EPT group
382 exclusively, despite no significant differences on language representation using
383 conventionally analyzed task-based functional MRI. There were no significant differences
384 between groups in WNV scores, used as a marker of general abilities. Functional
385 connectivity was not significantly related to WNV scores, so our observed findings should
386 not be attributed to global differences in performance or intelligence. As such, functional
387 hyperconnectivity of areas known to support language in preterm children might represent
388 an alternate developmental trajectory or compensatory network which enables EPT

389 children to perform within normal limits on language assessments and comparably to term
390 controls. This work is congruent with our earlier MEG and fMRI work on a smaller sample
391 of EPT children and their term controls reporting functional and effective
392 hyperconnectivity in the beta band for preterm children during a receptive stories listing
393 task.

394 This work is significant in that it reports neuromagnetic findings in the largest cohort
395 of extremely preterm children with magnetoencephalography and structural and
396 functional magnetic resonance imaging that we know of to date. It is also important to
397 note that these children are without known brain injury, so the findings likely represent a
398 relatively “pure” effect of the dysmaturation that occurs due to preterm birth and not due
399 to other common comorbid conditions such as periventricular leukomalacia or
400 interventricular hemorrhage. There were no significant differences between groups in
401 terms of family income or parental education. These variables are often used in indices
402 of socioeconomic status, or SES, which are known to have differential impacts on both
403 language and outcomes in prematurity. Furthermore, although there were no significant
404 effects of sex on the connectivity results or on neuropsychological assessments when
405 explored through bivariate correlations or independent samples t tests across groups
406 (EPT + TC) or within the TC group, within the EPT group there were significant sex
407 differences, with females exhibiting significantly increased network strength in both the
408 beta and gamma frequency bands. There were no performance differences between
409 preterm males and females. This finding warrants further investigation.

410 When viewed in the context of reported research from our group and from other labs,
411 it seems that differential neuronal activation frequencies (beta versus gamma, etc.) and

412 relationship to performance could be due to different task demands. For example, our
413 prior work reported functional hyperconnectivity in the beta frequency range during a
414 stories listening task. This verb generation tasks requires not only auditory processing
415 and comprehension but also semantic retrieval and language production. The gamma
416 hyperconnectivity we report as being significantly related to expressive language scores
417 is known to be important in the typical development of language skills in children.
418 Benasich et al found that children less than 3 years of age with a family history of
419 language impairment had lower resting gamma connectivity on electroencephalography
420 than term born children without a history of language impairment (Benasich et al., 2008).
421 In prior EEG work investigating the ontogeny of EEG activity and synchronization before
422 and after term equivalent age, gamma activation is of particular interest (Vanhatalo &
423 Kaila, 2006). Higher frequency activation and synchrony becomes more prominent as
424 interneuronal signaling matures during the same gestational period in which our preterm
425 participants were born (Tokariev, Palmu, Lano, Metsäranta, & Vanhatalo, 2012;
426 Vanhatalo & Kaila, 2006).

427 Our study has some relative limitations. The verb generation task was covert.
428 Therefore, it might be difficult to gauge engagement and performance during the task.
429 However, all participants demonstrated they could perform the task well during the
430 practice items. Additionally, 11 out of 73 children had structural MRI and task-based MEG
431 but did not complete the verb generation task in functional MRI. The verb generation task
432 in fMRI was the last task of our study visit, and was sometimes excluded from the visit if
433 the family was late for their appointment due to time constraints or stopped early if the
434 child stated they were finished with imaging for the day. We will attempt to rectify this in

435 future studies. We combined task-based fMRI data from 2 slightly different acquisitions
436 on the same scanner using the same stimuli. We do not run connectivity analyses on the
437 fMRI data and use it only to determine if differences in representation differ between
438 groups before binarizing the first level contrast maps of activation to extract virtual
439 sensors for MEG analyses. There were no differences in fMRI representation by group
440 (both with and without regressing out activation differences due to acquisition). Therefore,
441 differences in fMRI acquisition (if any exist) should not impact our MEG connectivity
442 analyses. Finally, our preterm group and control groups both perform very well on
443 standardized assessments of language (although the EPT group has significantly lower
444 scores than the TC group). It is important to note that this is a community acquired self-
445 selected sample and, therefore, might be higher performing than the general population.
446 However, both groups perform within normal limits (standardized mean of 100 +/- 1
447 standard deviation) and our research question specifically pertains to factors that might
448 help optimize performance in our clinical population.

449 Our study has a number of unique strengths. This is a contemporary cohort of
450 extremely preterm children from a relatively narrow band of gestational ages (24-27
451 weeks), including some periviable children for whom resuscitation was entirely elective at
452 time of birth. We excluded known comorbidities of prematurity, such as periventricular
453 leukomalacia and neurological disorders. Due to differential rates of--and impact from--
454 prematurity, we assessed any differences in sex, race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic
455 status and accounted for these factors in analysis, finding no significant differences.
456 Finally, we used a multimodal neuroimaging pipeline that enables investigation into the
457 cortical morphometry (Barnes-Davis, Williamson, Merhar, Holland, & Kadis, 2020a);

458 structural connectivity (Barnes-Davis et al., 2020b); functional connectivity (Barnes-Davis
459 et al., 2018); and neurobehavioral outcomes for our preterm and term participants at a
460 single session. Multimodal investigations such as this have received attention recently in
461 the scientific community as a way to increase reproducibility in functional imaging
462 (Botvinik-Nezer et al., 2020).

463 In conclusion, we have demonstrated functional hyperconnectivity as indexed by
464 fMRI-constrained MEG during a covert auditory verb generation task in the gamma
465 frequency band for well-performing children born extremely preterm. Strength in this
466 significant subnetwork (consisting of bilateral temporal and left frontal nodes) positively
467 correlated with expressive language performance for the preterm group exclusively. This
468 might serve as a marker of resiliency in preterm children with no known brain injury or
469 neurological deficit. Future work will expand this cohort and follow them longitudinally to
470 investigate the predictive power of our reported functional hyperconnectivity.

471

472 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

473 We thank the participants and their families. We acknowledge the study coordination
474 of Adrienne King, Cameron Laue, Claudio Toro-Serey, Sara Stacey, and Sandra Wuertz.
475 This work was funded by an award from the National Institute of Child Health and Human
476 Development (K12 HD028827 for MEB-D); an award from the National Center for
477 Advancing Translational Sciences (KL2 TR001426 for SLM); awards from the National
478 Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01-NS096037 and R01-NS094200 for
479 NAP); and by a Trustee Award Grant (DSK), a Shared Facilities Discovery Award (SLM),

480 and an Arnold W. Strauss Fellowship Award for Excellence in Research (MEB-D) from
481 the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center.

482

483

484 **REFERENCES**

- 485
- 486 Adams-Chapman, I., Heyne, R. J., DeMauro, S. B., Duncan, A. F., Hintz, S. R., Pappas,
487 A., . . . Higgins, R. D. (2018). Neurodevelopmental Impairment Among Extremely
488 Preterm Infants in the Neonatal Research Network. *Pediatrics*.
- 489 Barnes-Davis, M. E., Merhar, S. L., Holland, S. K., & Kadis, D. S. (2018). Extremely
490 preterm children exhibit increased interhemispheric connectivity for language:
491 findings from fMRI-constrained MEG analysis. *Dev Sci*, e12669.
- 492 Barnes-Davis, M. E., Williamson, B. J., Merhar, S. L., Holland, S. K., & Kadis, D. S.
493 (2020a). Extremely preterm children exhibit altered cortical thickness in language
494 areas. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 10824.
- 495 Barnes-Davis, M. E., Williamson, B. J., Merhar, S. L., Holland, S. K., & Kadis, D. S.
496 (2020b). Rewiring the Extremely Preterm Brain: Altered Structural Connectivity
497 Relates to Language Function. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 102194.
- 498 Barre, N., Morgan, A., Doyle, L. W., & Anderson, P. J. (2011). Language abilities in
499 children who were very preterm and/or very low birth weight: a meta-analysis. *J*
500 *Pediatr*, 158(5), 766-774 e761.
- 501 Bastos, A. M., & Schoffelen, J.-M. (2016). A Tutorial Review of Functional Connectivity
502 Analysis Methods and Their Interpretational Pitfalls. *Frontiers in Systems*
503 *Neuroscience*, 9(175).
- 504 Benasich, A., Gou, Z., Choudhury, N., & Harris, K. (2008). Early cognitive and language
505 skills are linked to resting frontal gamma power across the first 3 years.
506 *Behavioural brain research*, 195(2), 215-222.

- 507 Benavides, A., Metzger, A., Tereshchenko, A., Conrad, A., Bell, E. F., Spencer, J., . . .
508 Nopoulos, P. (2019). Sex-specific alterations in preterm brain. *Pediatr Res*, *85*(1),
509 55-62.
- 510 Blencowe, H., Cousens, S., Chou, D., Oestergaard, M., Say, L., Moller, A.-B., . . . Born
511 Too Soon Preterm Birth Action, G. (2013). Born too soon: the global epidemiology
512 of 15 million preterm births. *Reproductive health*, *10 Suppl 1*(Suppl 1), S2-S2.
- 513 Botvinik-Nezer, R., Holzmeister, F., Camerer, C. F., Dreber, A., Huber, J., Johannesson,
514 M., . . . Schonberg, T. (2020). Variability in the analysis of a single neuroimaging
515 dataset by many teams. *Nature*, *582*(7810), 84-88.
- 516 Choi, E. J., Vandewouw, M. M., Young, J. M., & Taylor, M. J. (2018). Language Network
517 Function in Young Children Born Very Preterm. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *12*, 512.
- 518 Craddock, R., James, G., Holtzheimer, P., Hu, X., & Mayberg, H. (2012). A whole brain
519 fMRI atlas generated via spatially constrained spectral clustering. *Hum Brain*
520 *Mapp*, *33*.
- 521 Doesburg, S. M., Moiseev, A., Herdman, A. T., Ribary, U., & Grunau, R. E. (2013).
522 Region-Specific Slowing of Alpha Oscillations is Associated with Visual-Perceptual
523 Abilities in Children Born Very Preterm. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *7*, 791.
- 524 Doesburg, S. M., Ribary, U., Herdman, A. T., Miller, S. P., Poskitt, K. J., Moiseev, A., . . .
525 Grunau, R. E. (2011). Altered long-range alpha-band synchronization during visual
526 short-term memory retention in children born very preterm. *NeuroImage*, *54*(3),
527 2330-2339.

- 528 Doesburg, S. M., Ribary, U., Herdman, A. T., Moiseev, A., Cheung, T., Miller, S. P., . . .
529 Grunau, R. E. (2011). Magnetoencephalography reveals slowing of resting peak
530 oscillatory frequency in children born very preterm. *Pediatr Res*, *70*(2), 171-175.
- 531 Doesburg, S. M., Tingling, K., MacDonald, M. J., & Pang, E. W. (2016). Development of
532 Network Synchronization Predicts Language Abilities. *J Cogn Neurosci*, *28*(1), 55-
533 68.
- 534 Dunn, L. M., Dunn, D. M., & Lenhard, A. (2015). *Peabody picture vocabulary test: PPVT*
535 *4*: Pearson.
- 536 Foster-Cohen, S., Edgin, J. O., Champion, P. R., & Woodward, L. J. (2007). Early delayed
537 language development in very preterm infants: evidence from the MacArthur-Bates
538 CDI. *J Child Lang*, *34*(3), 655-675.
- 539 Foster-Cohen, S., Friesen, M. D., Champion, P. R., & Woodward, L. J. (2010). High
540 prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born very preterm. *J*
541 *Dev Behav Pediatr*, *31*(8), 658-667.
- 542 Garfield, C. F., Karbownik, K., Murthy, K., & et al. (2017). Educational performance of
543 children born prematurely. *JAMA Pediatr*.
- 544 Gaudet, I., Hüsser, A., Vannasing, P., & Gallagher, A. (2020). Functional Brain
545 Connectivity of Language Functions in Children Revealed by EEG and MEG: A
546 Systematic Review. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *14*(62).
- 547 Gozzo, Y., Vohr, B., Lacadie, C., Hampson, M., Katz, K. H., Maller-Kesselman, J., . . .
548 Ment, L. R. (2009). Alterations in neural connectivity in preterm children at school
549 age. *NeuroImage*, *48*(2), 458-463.

- 550 Holland, S. K., Plante, E., Weber Byars, A., Strawsburg, R. H., Schmithorst, V. J., & Ball,
551 W. S. (2001). Normal fMRI brain activation patterns in children performing a verb
552 generation task. *NeuroImage*, 14(4).
- 553 Holland, S. K., Vannest, J., Mecoli, M., Jacola, L. M., Tillema, J. M., Karunanayaka, P.
554 R., . . . Byars, A. W. (2007). Functional MRI of language lateralization during
555 development in children. *Int J Audiol*, 46(9), 533-551.
- 556 Hutchinson, E. A., De Luca, C. R., Doyle, L. W., Roberts, G., & Anderson, P. J. (2013).
557 School-age Outcomes of Extremely Preterm or Extremely Low Birth Weight
558 Children. *Pediatrics*.
- 559 Kadis, D. S., Dimitrijevic, A., Toro-Serey, C. A., Smith, M. L., & Holland, S. K. (2016).
560 Characterizing Information Flux Within the Distributed Pediatric Expressive
561 Language Network: A Core Region Mapped Through fMRI-Constrained MEG
562 Effective Connectivity Analyses. *Brain Connect*, 6(1), 76-83.
- 563 Kadis, D. S., Iida, K., Kerr, E. N., Logan, W. J., McAndrews, M. P., Ochi, A., . . . Smith,
564 M. L. (2007). Intrahemispheric reorganization of language in children with
565 medically intractable epilepsy of the left hemisphere. *Journal of the International
566 Neuropsychological Society*, 13(3), 505-516.
- 567 Kadis, D. S., Pang, E. W., Mills, T., Taylor, M. J., McAndrews, M. P., & Smith, M. L. (2011).
568 Characterizing the normal developmental trajectory of expressive language
569 lateralization using magnetoencephalography. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 17(5), 896-
570 904.

- 571 Kwon, S. H., Scheinost, D., Lacadie, C., Sze, G., Schneider, K. C., Dai, F., . . . Ment, L.
572 R. (2015). Adaptive mechanisms of developing brain: cerebral lateralization in the
573 prematurely-born. *NeuroImage*, *108*, 144-150.
- 574 Kwon, S. H., Scheinost, D., Vohr, B., Lacadie, C., Schneider, K., Dai, F., . . . Ment, L. R.
575 (2016). Functional magnetic resonance connectivity studies in infants born
576 preterm: suggestions of proximate and long-lasting changes in language
577 organization. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, *58 Suppl 4*, 28-34.
- 578 Lachaux, J. P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J., & Varela, F. J. (1999). Measuring phase
579 synchrony in brain signals. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *8(4)*, 194-208.
- 580 Leavy, M. P., Prina, M. V., Martinez Caceres, M. J., & Bauer, G. (2015). Social
581 representations of premature birth from the perspective of individuals born preterm
582 in the 1990s.
- 583 Luttikhuisen dos Santos, E. S., de Kieviet, J. F., Konigs, M., van Elburg, R. M., &
584 Oosterlaan, J. (2013). Predictive value of the Bayley scales of infant development
585 on development of very preterm/very low birth weight children: a meta-analysis.
586 *Early Hum Dev*, *89(7)*, 487-496.
- 587 Luu, T. M., Vohr, B. R., Schneider, K. C., Katz, K. H., Tucker, R., Allan, W. C., & Ment, L.
588 R. (2009). Trajectories of receptive language development from 3 to 12 years of
589 age for very preterm children. *Pediatrics*, *124(1)*, 333-341.
- 590 Martin, J., Hamilton, B., Osterman, M., & Driscoll, A. (2019). Births:Final Data for 2018.
591 *National Vital Statistics Reports*, *68(13)*.
- 592 Ment, L. R., Peterson, B. S., Meltzer, J. A., Vohr, B., Allan, W., Katz, K. H., . . . Constable,
593 R. T. (2006). A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of the long-term

594 influences of early indomethacin exposure on language processing in the brains
595 of prematurely born children. *Pediatrics*, 118(3), 961-970.

596 Mikkola, K., Ritari, N., Tommiska, V., Salokorpi, T., Lehtonen, L., Tammela, O., . . .
597 Fellman, V. (2005). Neurodevelopmental outcome at 5 years of age of a national
598 cohort of extremely low birth weight infants who were born in 1996-1997.
599 *Pediatrics*, 116(6), 1391-1400.

600 Moore, G. P., Lemyre, B., Barrowman, N., & Daboval, T. (2013). Neurodevelopmental
601 outcomes at 4 to 8 years of children born at 22 to 25 weeks' gestational age: a
602 meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr*, 167(10), 967-974.

603 Mossad, S. I., Smith, M. L., Pang, E. W., & Taylor, M. J. (2017). Neural correlates of
604 "Theory of Mind" in very preterm born children. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 38(11), 5577-
605 5589.

606 Mullen, K. M., Vohr, B. R., Katz, K. H., Schneider, K. C., Lacadie, C., Hampson, M., . . .
607 Ment, L. R. (2011). Preterm birth results in alterations in neural connectivity at age
608 16 years. *NeuroImage*, 54(4), 2563-2570.

609 Myers, E. H., Hampson, M., Vohr, B., Lacadie, C., Frost, S. J., Pugh, K. R., . . . Ment, L.
610 R. (2010). Functional connectivity to a right hemisphere language center in
611 prematurely born adolescents. *NeuroImage*, 51(4), 1445-1452.

612 Nolte, G. (2003). The magnetic lead field theorem in the quasi-static approximation and
613 its use for magnetoencephalography forward calculation in realistic volume
614 conductors. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 48(22), 3637-3652.

615 O'Driscoll, D. N., McGovern, M., Greene, C. M., & Molloy, E. J. (2018). Gender disparities
616 in preterm neonatal outcomes. *Acta Paediatrica*, 107(9), 1494-1499.

- 617 Oostenveld, R., Fries, P., Maris, E., & Schoffelen, J.-M. (2011). FieldTrip: Open Source
618 Software for Advanced Analysis of MEG, EEG, and Invasive Electrophysiological
619 Data. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2011, 9.
- 620 Saigal, S. (2014). *Preemie voices: young men and women born very prematurely*
621 *describe their lives, challenges and achievements*: FriesenPress.
- 622 Sato, J., Mossad, S. I., Wong, S. M., Hunt, B. A. E., Dunkley, B. T., Urbain, C., & Taylor,
623 M. J. (2019). Spectral slowing is associated with working memory performance in
624 children born very preterm. *Sci Rep*, 9(1), 15757.
- 625 Schafer, R. J., Lacadie, C., Vohr, B., Kesler, S. R., Katz, K. H., Schneider, K. C., . . . Ment,
626 L. R. (2009). Alterations in functional connectivity for language in prematurely born
627 adolescents. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, 132(Pt 3), 661-670.
- 628 Scheinost, D., Lacadie, C., Vohr, B. R., Schneider, K. C., Papademetris, X., Constable,
629 R. T., & Ment, L. R. (2015). Cerebral Lateralization is Protective in the Very
630 Prematurely Born. *Cereb Cortex*, 25(7), 1858-1866.
- 631 Taylor, M. J., Donner, E. J., & Pang, E. W. (2012). fMRI and MEG in the study of typical
632 and atypical cognitive development. *Neurophysiol Clin*, 42(1-2), 19-25.
- 633 Tokariev, A., Palmu, K., Lano, A., Metsäranta, M., & Vanhatalo, S. (2012). Phase
634 synchrony in the early preterm EEG: development of methods for estimating
635 synchrony in both oscillations and events. *NeuroImage*, 60(2), 1562-1573.
- 636 van Noort-van der Spek, I. L., Franken, M. C., & Weisglas-Kuperus, N. (2012). Language
637 functions in preterm-born children: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
638 *Pediatrics*, 129(4), 745-754.

- 639 Vanhatalo, S., & Kaila, K. (2006). Development of neonatal EEG activity: from
640 phenomenology to physiology. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*, 11(6), 471-478.
- 641 Vieira, M. E., & Linhares, M. B. (2011). Developmental outcomes and quality of life in
642 children born preterm at preschool- and school-age. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*, 87(4), 281-
643 291.
- 644 Vohr, B. (2014). Speech and language outcomes of very preterm infants. *Semin Fetal*
645 *Neonatal Med*, 19(2), 78-83.
- 646 Wechsler, D., & Naglieri, J. (2006). *Wechsler Nonverbal Scale of Ability*. San Antonio, TX:
647 Harcourt Assessments.
- 648 Wilke, M., Hauser, T. K., Krageloh-Mann, I., & Lidzba, K. (2014). Specific impairment of
649 functional connectivity between language regions in former early preterms. *Hum*
650 *Brain Mapp*, 35(7), 3372-3384.
- 651 Williams, K. T. (2007). *EVT2: Expressive Vocabulary Test, second edition*. San Antonio,
652 TX: Pearson.
- 653 Wood, A. G., Harvey, A. S., Wellard, R. M., Abbott, D. F., Anderson, V., Kean, M., . . .
654 Jackson, G. D. (2004). Language cortex activation in normal children. *Neurology*,
655 63(6), 1035-1044.
- 656 Woods, P. L., Rieger, I., Wocadlo, C., & Gordon, A. (2014). Predicting the outcome of
657 specific language impairment at five years of age through early developmental
658 assessment in preterm infants. *Early Hum Dev*, 90(10), 613-619.
- 659 Ye, A. X., AuCoin-Power, M., Taylor, M. J., & Doesburg, S. M. (2016). Disconnected
660 neuromagnetic networks in children born very preterm: Disconnected MEG
661 networks in preterm children. *Neuroimage Clin*, 11, 376-384.

662 Youssofzadeh, V., Williamson, B. J., & Kadis, D. S. (2017). Mapping Critical Language
663 Sites in Children Performing Verb Generation: Whole-Brain Connectivity and
664 Graph Theoretical Analysis in MEG. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *11*, 173-173.

665 Zalesky, A., Fornito, A., & Bullmore, E. T. (2010). Network-based statistic: Identifying
666 differences in brain networks. *NeuroImage*, *53*(4), 1197-1207.

667

668

669

Table 1: Demographics and Neuropsychological Data for Entire Sample

		Preterm (n=31)	Term (n=42)	p Value
Age (Years, Mean ± SD)		5.68 ± 0.84	5.62 ± 0.95	0.79
Gestational Age (Weeks + Days)		26+0	39+2	<0.01
Sex	Females	17	22	1
	Males	14	20	
Race	White/Caucasian	19	29	0.79
	Black/African American	9	8	
	Other/Multiple	1	1	
	No Response	2	4	
Ethnicity	Hispanic/Latino/Latina	3	1	0.39
	Not Hispanic/Latino/Latina	27	38	
	No Response	1	3	
Family Income	< \$25000	5	4	0.69
	\$25000-\$50000	3	7	
	\$50000-\$75000	10	8	
	\$75000-\$100000	4	5	
	\$100000-\$150000	4	10	
	>\$150000	4	5	
	No Response	1	3	
Parental Education	High School Diploma	3	4	0.35
	Some College	11	9	
	College Diploma	4	2	
	Post Graduate	12	24	
	No Response	1	3	
Receptive Language	PPVT-4 (Mean ± SD)	113 ± 11.0	119 ± 18	0.08
Expressive Language	EVT-2 (Mean ± SD)	102 ± 9	112 ± 16	<0.01
General Abilities	WNV (Mean ± SD)	103 ± 15	109 ± 16	0.13

Note: Categorical variables were tested using Fisher's Exact Test and p values are reported. Continuous variables were tested using t tests and p values are reported with 95% confidence intervals.

CI = Confidence Interval. SD = Standard Deviation. PPVT-4 = Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test. EVT-2 = Expressive Vocabulary Test. WNV = Wechsler Non-Verbal Scale of Ability.

670

671

672 **FIGURE CAPTIONS:**

673 **Figure 1: Functional MRI activation maps and resultant network nodes.**

674 A) Three slices through temporal, parietal, and left frontal language areas showing
675 general linear model (GLM) analysis across all participants (EPT + TC) with typical
676 bilateral activation in response to language stimuli (auditorily presented nouns for verb
677 generation) versus noise condition ($p < 0.001$, $k = 8$) and 3D surface rendering of the
678 normalized brain averaged across all participants to show bilateral activation from a
679 sagittal perspective. B) The initial activation map across the entire sample is subjected
680 to a 200-unit parcellation scheme to produce the “nodes” for network analysis, shown in
681 blue.

682 **Figure 2: Functional connectivity indexed by phase locking value (PLV) for each**
683 **group**

684 Phase locking value (PLV) throughout the language network identified in Figure 1 for
685 extremely preterm children (EPT, $n = 31$, shown in pink) and term controls (TC, $n = 42$,
686 in black) with standard error shaded around the mean (SEM). Areas of group
687 differences on visual inspection include segments of the beta band (20-25 Hz) and the
688 gamma band (61-70 Hz).

689 **Figure 3: Extremely preterm children show increased beta functional connectivity**
690 **in language network.**

691 Network “edges” showing significantly increased functional connectivity in EPT versus
692 TC between 20 and 25 Hz during verb generation (observed at various initial thresholds
693 ranging from $t = 1$ to 2, 5000 iterations, $p < 0.05$, corrected for multiple comparisons).

694 **Figure 4: Extremely preterm children show increased gamma functional**
695 **connectivity in language network.**

696 Network “edges” showing significantly increased functional connectivity in EPT versus
697 TC between 61 and 70 Hz during verb generation (observed at various initial thresholds
698 ranging from $t = 1$ to 4, 5000 iterations, $p < 0.05$, corrected for multiple comparisons).

699 **Figure 5: Relationship between gamma band-limited connectivity (network**
700 **strength) in the identified subnetwork supporting language and expressive**
701 **language scores for the preterm group.**

702 Scores on the Expressive Vocabulary Test (EVT2) versus network strength within the
703 significant subnetwork identified in gamma band-limited connectivity for the EPT group
704 exclusively. Results indicate a positive bivariate correlation (Pearson’s $r = 0.32$, $p <$
705 0.05). Correlations between EVT scores and network strength were not significant
706 across groups or within the term control group.

707

708

709 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT**

710 **Maria Barnes-Davis, MD/PhD:** Conceptualization; Resources; Data curation; Formal
711 analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration;
712 Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

713

714 **Stephanie L. Merhar, MD/MS:** Conceptualization; Resources; Funding acquisition;
715 Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing - review & editing.

716

717 **Scott K. Holland, PhD:** Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing - review & editing.

718

719 **Nehal A. Parikh, DO/MS:** Conceptualization; Resources; Funding acquisition;
720 Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing - review & editing.

721

722 **Darren S. Kadis, PhD:** Conceptualization; Resources; Data curation; Formal analysis;
723 Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision;
724 Writing - review & editing.

725

L**R**







