

Prevalence and outcomes of co-infection and super-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and other pathogens: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Jackson Musuuza^{1,2}, Lauren Watson¹, Vishala Parmasad¹, Nathan Putman-Buehler¹, Leslie Christensen³, Nasia Safdar.^{1,2*}

1. Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA
2. William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital, Madison, WI, USA
3. Ebling Library for the Health Sciences, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA

*Corresponding Author:

Email: ns2@medicine.wisc.edu (NS)

Abstract

Introduction

The recovery of other respiratory viruses in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection has been reported, either at the time of a SARS-CoV-2 infection diagnosis (co-infection) or subsequently (superinfection). However, data on the prevalence, microbiology and outcomes of co-infection and super infection are limited. The purpose of this study was to examine occurrence of respiratory co-infections and superinfections and their outcomes among patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Patients and Methods

We searched literature databases for studies published from October 1, 2019, through June 11, 2020. We included studies that reported clinical features and outcomes of co-infection or super-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and other pathogens in hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients. We followed PRISMA guidelines and we registered the protocol with PROSPERO as: CRD42020189763.

Results

Of 1310 articles screened, 48 were included in the random effects meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of co-infection was 12% (95% confidence interval (CI): 6%-18%, $n=29$, $I^2=98\%$) and that of super-infection was 14% (95% CI: 9%-21%, $n=18$, $I^2=97\%$). Pooled prevalence of pathogen type stratified by co- or super-infection: viral co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 2%-7%); viral super-infections, 2% (95% CI: 0%-7%); bacterial co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 1%-8%); bacterial super-infections, 6% (95% CI: 2%-11%); fungal co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 1%-8%); and fungal super-infections, 4% (95% CI: 0%-11%). Compared to those with co-infections, patients with super-infections had a higher prevalence of mechanical ventilation [21% (95% CI: 13%-31%) vs. 7% (95% CI: 2%-15%)] and greater average length of hospital stay [mean=12.5 days, standard deviation (SD) =5.3 vs. mean=10.2 days, SD= 6.7].

Conclusions

Our study showed that as many as 14% of patients with COVID-19 have super-infections and 12% have co-infections. Poor outcomes were associated with super-infections. Our findings have implications for diagnostic testing and therapeutics, particularly in the upcoming respiratory virus season in the Northern Hemisphere.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is associated with high morbidity and mortality.(1, 2) Current evidence shows that transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of COVID-19, happens primarily through respiratory droplets (3, 4) from symptomatic, asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic individuals.(4, 5) Similar to other respiratory pathogens such as influenza, where approximately 25% of older patients get secondary bacterial infections,(6, 7) both super-infections and co-infections with SARS Cov-2 have been reported.(8-10) However, little data is available on the magnitude of co-infection and super-infections by viral, bacterial and fungal infections and associated clinical outcomes among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2.(8-10)

We define co-infection as the recovery of other respiratory pathogens in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of a SARS-CoV-2 infection diagnosis and super-infection as the subsequent recovery of other respiratory pathogens during care for patients infected with SARS-CoV-2.

Two previous reviews have focused on this topic and examined the prevalence of bacterial and fungal co-infection or super-infection in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients.(11, 12) We extended this work by distinguishing between super- and co-infection because of the different implications of co-infections vs super-infections. For example, Garcia-Vidal et. al., showed that SARS-CoV-2 infected patients with superinfections had longer LOS and higher mortality while those with co-infections were had a higher frequency of admission to the ICU.(13) In addition, we examined the impact of co-infections vs. super-infections on clinical outcomes such as average length of hospital stay (LOS).

Diagnostic testing and therapeutic decision making may be affected by the presence of co-infection or super-infection with SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens.

Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to examine occurrence of and outcomes of respiratory co-infections and super-infections among SARS-CoV-2.

Materials and methods

We conducted this systematic review in accordance with the Preferred Reporting in Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.(14) We registered this review with PROSPERO: CRD42020189763.(15) The protocol is available as a support document (S1. File).

Data Sources and Searches

With the help of a health sciences librarian (LC), we searched PubMed, Scopus, Wiley, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Web of Science Core Collection, and CINAHL Plus databases to identify English-language studies published from October 1, 2019 through June 11, 2020. We executed the search in PubMed and translated the keywords and controlled vocabulary for the other databases and additional articles were added from reference lists of pertinent articles. The following key words were used for the search: “coronavirus”, “coronavirus infections”, “HCoV”, “nCoV”, “Covid” “SARS”, “COVID-19”, “2019 nCoV”, “nCoV 19”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “SARS coronavirus2”, “2019 novel corona virus”, “Human”, “pneumonia”, “influenza”, “severe acute respiratory syndrome”, “co-infection”, “Super-infection”, “bacteria”, “fungus”, “concomitant”, “pneumovirinae”, “pneumovirus infections”, “respiratory syncytial viruses”, “metapneumovirus”, “influenza”, “human”, “respiratory virus”, “bacterial Infections”, “viral infection”, “fungal infection”, “upper respiratory”, “oxygen inhalation therapy”, “intensive

care units”, “nursing homes”, “subacute care”, “skilled nursing”, “intermediate care”, “patient discharge”, “mortality”, “morbidity” and English filter. A complete description of our search strategy is available as supplementary material (S2. File).

Study Selection

Citations were uploaded into Covidence®, an online systematic review software for the study selection process. Two authors (JSM and LW) independently screened titles and abstracts and read the full texts to assess if they met the inclusion criteria. The authors met and discussed any articles where there was conflict and decided to either include or exclude such articles. Inclusion criteria were randomized clinical trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental and observational human studies that reported clinical features and outcomes of co-infection or super-infection of SARS-CoV-2 (laboratory confirmed) and other pathogens—fungal, bacterial or other viruses in hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients. We excluded studies that did not report co-infection or super-infection, editorials, reviews, qualitative studies, those published in non- English language, articles where full texts were not available, and non-peer reviewed preprints.

Data Extraction and risk of bias assessment

Three reviewers (JSM, LW, and VP) independently abstracted data from individual studies using a standardized template. We abstracted data on: study design/methodology, location and setting (intensive care unit (ICU), inpatient non-ICU, or outpatient, where applicable), study population, proportion of patients with co-infections, implicated pathogens, method of detection of co-infections and super-infections (laboratory verified or clinical features only), type of infection— bacterial, viral or fungal, outcomes of co-infected patients— death, ICU admission, mechanical

ventilation, discharge disposition, length of hospital stay, or mild illness. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion between the three abstractors.

Risk of bias assessment was conducted by two authors (JSM and LW) independently. We used a tool developed in 2018 by Murad et al.(16) This tool examines four domains: selection, ascertainment, causality and reporting. The selection domain helps to assess whether participants included in a study are representative of the entire population from which they arise. Ascertainment assesses whether the exposure and outcome were adequately ascertained. Causality assesses the potential for alternative explanations and specifically for our study whether the follow-up was long enough for outcomes to occur. Reporting evaluates if a study described participants in sufficient detail to allow for replication of the findings. This tool consists of 8 items, but only five were applicable to our study.(16) When an item was present in a study, a score of 1 was assigned and 0 if the item was missing. We added the scores (minimum of 0 and a maximum of 5) and assigned the risk of bias as follows: low risk (5), medium risk (3-4), high risk (0-2).

Details of the risk of bias assessment are provided as supporting information (S1 Table)

Data Synthesis and Analysis

The primary outcome was the prevalence of co-infections or super-infections by viral, bacterial and fungal respiratory infections and SARS-CoV-2. We examined whether co-infection or super-infection was associated with an increased risk for the following patient outcomes: 1) mechanical ventilation, 2) admission to the ICU, and 3) mortality. We estimated the proportion of patients with co-infection or super-infection of viral, bacterial and fungal respiratory infections and SARS-CoV-2. We anticipated a high-level of heterogeneity given the novelty of COVID-19 and potential differences in testing and

management of COVID-19 in the healthcare systems of the countries where the studies were conducted. We conducted all statistical analyses using Stata software, version 16.0 (Stata Corp. College Station, Texas). We used the “metan” and “metaprop” commands in Stata to estimate the pooled proportion of co-infection and super-infection and COVID-19 using a random effects model (DerSimonian Laird).(17, 18) We stabilized the variance using the Freeman-Tukey arcsine transformation methodology in order to correctly estimate extreme proportions (i.e., those close to 0% or 100%).(17) We assessed heterogeneity using the I^2 statistic. Frequencies of outcome variables and study characteristics were estimated using descriptive statistics. For example, in studies where data on co-infecting or super-infecting pathogens was reported, we extracted and tallied the number of different pathogens reported. We calculated the proportion of pathogens using the number of pathogens as the numerator and the total number of pathogen type (bacteria, viruses and fungi) from all the studies as the denominator. We did not assess for publication bias because standard methods such as funnel plots and associated tests were developed for comparative studies and therefore do not produce reliable results for meta-analysis of proportions.(19, 20)

RESULTS

Our search yielded 1840 records; we excluded 530 duplicates and screened 1310 articles. At the abstract and title review, we excluded 1231 articles leaving 79 articles for full text review. Of these, 48 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in this meta-analysis. The most frequent reason for exclusion of studies at the full text review was the absence of super-infection or co-infection data (Figure 1).

Most studies were case series (31/48). There were 14 retrospective cohort studies, 2 prospective cohorts and 1 RCT.(21) This RCT was a drug trial but also reported co-infection or super-infection data in patients with SARS-CoV-2. Sixty percent of the studies were conducted in China while 15% (7/48) were from the USA. Most of the studies were conducted in a mixed setting i.e., ICU and non-ICU setting (75% or 36/48), 92% (44/48) were conducted exclusively in hospitalized patients, and the majority were conducted among adults (71% or 34/48). Nineteen studies (48%) of the included studies in this review reported that patients included had super-infections, while co-infections were reported in 70% (30/43) of the studies. Viral co-infections in patients were reported in 74% (31/42) of the included studies, bacterial in 54% (26/48), fungal in 22% (8/37) of the studies. Seventy-three percent (27/37) of the studies reported at least one causative organism of the co-infection or super-infection (Table 1).

The pooled prevalence of co-infection was 12% (95% confidence interval (CI): 6%-18%, n=29, I²=98%). The highest prevalence of co-infection was observed among non-ICU patients as 28% (95% CI: 6%-56%), while it was 10% (95% CI: 5%-16%) among combined ICU and non-ICU patients, and 3% (95% CI: 0%- 1%) among only ICU co-infected patients (Figure 2). The pooled prevalence of super-infection was 14% (95% CI: 9%-21%), with the highest prevalence of 17% (95% CI: 1%-43%) among ICU patients (Figure 3).

Pooled prevalence of pathogen type stratified by co- or super-infection was: viral co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 2%-7%); viral super-infections, 2% (95% CI: 0%-7%); bacterial co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 1%-8%); bacterial super-infections, 6% (95% CI: 2%-11%); fungal co-infections, 4% (95% CI: 1%-8%); and fungal super-infections, 4% (95% CI: 0%-11%) (S1 Fig, S2 Fig, S3 Fig).

Twenty-nine studies reported data on specific organisms associated with co-infection or super-infection in COVID-19 patients (Table 2). Among those with co-infections, the three most frequently identified bacteria were *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (17.9%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (16.7%) and *Haemophilus influenza* (12.4%). The three most frequently identified viruses among co-infected patients were *influenza* type A (8.1%), *Rhinovirus* (6.3%) and non-SARS-CoV-2 coronaviruses (3.7%). For fungi, only *Candida* sp. (0.7%) and *Mucor* sp. (0.7%) were identified among those co-infected.

Among those with super-infections, the three most frequently identified bacteria were *Acinetobacter* spp. (22%), *Escherichia coli* (18%) and *Pseudomonas* (16%). For

viruses, only Human *metapneumovirus* (4%) was identified among those super-infections and for fungi only *Candida sp.* (14%) was identified.

Two studies reported both super-infections and co-infections with SARS-CoV-2 for the same population.(22, 23) These warrant further mention. In Zhang G et. al., super-infections were due to bacterial respiratory infections and 25% (14/55) of the patients had severe disease. Stratification by disease severity for mortality was not reported for these super-infected patients. Co-infections were due to non-specified viruses and of those patients, 29% (16/55) had severe COVID-19. In Dong et. al., one (1/11) patient had both a bacterial super-infection and viral co-infection with severe COVID-19.

The overall prevalence of comorbidities was 45% (95% CI: 33%-56%). Among those with co-infections the prevalence of comorbidities was 38% (95% CI: 24%-53%), while it was 54% (95% CI: 35%-72%) among those who were super-infected.

COVID-19 patients with a co-infection or super-infection had higher odds of dying than those who only had COVID-19 infection, but this was not statistically significant (odds ratio [OR] 2.59, 95% CI: 0.83-8.09). Subgroup analysis of mortality showed similar results where the odds of death were higher among patients who were co-infected and those who were super-infected, but the findings were not statistically significant, OR 1.96: (95% CI: 0.62-6.2) and OR 3.2: (95% CI: 0.54-18.3), respectively. There was a higher prevalence of mechanical ventilation among patients with super-infections, 21% (95% CI: 13%-31%) compared to those with co-infections, 7% (95% CI: 2%-15%).

Twenty-five studies reported data on average LOS. The average LOS for co-infected patients was 10.2 days, standard deviation (SD) = 6.7, while the average LOS for super-infected patients was 12.5 days, SD=5.3. None of the studies included in this meta-

analysis reported data on discharge disposition and readmissions. There was asymmetry of the funnel plot which suggests publication bias (S3 Fig).

Risk of bias assessment

Forty-seven percent (23/48) of studies were rated as having low risk of bias, 50% (24/48) as having medium risk of bias and 1 study was rated as having a high risk of bias (24) (S1 Table).

DISCUSSION

We found that 12% of SARS-CoV-2 patients were co-infected with other pathogens and the prevalence of co-infection was higher among patients who were not in the ICU (28%). We also found a higher prevalence of super-infection compared to co-infection (14%), particularly among ICU patients (17%). Further, we found that super-infected patients had a higher prevalence of mechanical ventilation, ICU admission and comorbidities. Super-infected patients had higher odds of death, although this was not statistically significant.

Two previous reviews that have focused on this question found similar prevalence of bacterial co-infection in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients of 7% - 8% and viral co-infection of 3%.(11, 12) We extended this work by distinguishing between super- and co-infection because of the different implications of co-infections vs super-infections. In particular, bacterial and other pathogens have been shown to complicate viral pneumonia and lead to poor outcomes.(25)

The three most frequently identified bacteria among co-infected patients in our study were *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is a frequent cause of super-infection in other respiratory infections like influenza.(26) A study by Zhu et al. showed similar results,(27) and Lansbury et al. showed that *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* were some of the most frequent bacterial co-infecting pathogens identified in their review.(11) As expected, *Staphylococcus aureus* also was present in a sizeable number of cases. The most frequent bacteria identified in super-infected patients was *Acinetobacter spp.*, which is a common infection especially in ventilated patients.(28)

In our study, the three most frequently identified viruses among co-infected patients were *Influenza type A*, *Rhinovirus* and non-SARS-CoV-2 coronaviruses. These findings are important particularly for influenza because testing constraints continue to exist, yet clinical presentation of influenza and SARS-CoV-2 is similar. There are major infection control and clinical implications of missing SARS-CoV-2 or influenza if co-infection is not considered, and diagnostic testing for both pathogens is not undertaken. The only identified virus among patients with super-infections was Human *metapneumovirus* (*hMPV*). This may have clinical implications as both viruses— *hMPV* and SARS-CoV-2—share poor prognosis-associated factors such as advanced age and being immunocompromised.(29, 30)

Our findings have implications for infection preventionists, clinicians and laboratory leaders. While it is not possible to predict with certainty how the upcoming respiratory virus season will unfold, it is likely that we will be dealing with a multitude of viruses

circulating simultaneously. Respiratory virus diagnostic testing protocols should take into account that co-infection with SARS-CoV-2 is not infrequent and therefore viral panel testing may be advisable in patients with compatible symptoms. Treatment protocols should also include assessing for co-infections, particularly influenza, so that appropriate treatment for both SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza can be administered. Our study has limitations. We were not able to assess important outcomes such as discharge disposition and hospital readmissions due to lack of availability of this data in the included studies. We were not able to document time to super-infection as included studies did not report this information. Studies provided the number of patients with super-infections without stating the exact time when this determination was made after SARS-COV-2 diagnosis. Most of the studies included in the meta-analysis were case series with their inherent limitations.(31) It is possible that some of the pathogens that were reported as super-infections or secondary infections were present but not tested for at admission and hence were co-infections. It was not possible to assess this from the studies. There was significant heterogeneity in the studies, as was anticipated given the variation in settings, patient populations and diagnostic testing platforms across the studies.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our study shows that as many as 14% patients with COVID-19 have super-infections and 12% have co-infections. Poor outcomes were associated with super-infections. Our results have implications for diagnostic testing, laboratory and antibiotic stewardship, particularly with the upcoming respiratory virus season in the fall.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): cases in US 2020 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html>].
2. The World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic 2020 [Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>].
3. The World Health Organization. Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations 2019 [Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations>].
4. Wang Y, Chen Y, Qin Q. Unique epidemiological and clinical features of the emerging 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) implicate special control measures. *Journal of medical virology*. 2020.
5. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James A, Jacobs JR, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2020.
6. Chertow DS, Memoli MJ. Bacterial coinfection in influenza: a grand rounds review. *Jama*. 2013;309(3):275-82.
7. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Predominant role of bacterial pneumonia as a cause of death in pandemic influenza: implications for pandemic influenza preparedness. *The Journal of infectious diseases*. 2008;198(7):962-70.
8. Lin D, Liu L, Zhang M, Hu Y, Yang Q, Guo J, et al. Co-infections of SARS-CoV-2 with multiple common respiratory pathogens in infected patients. *Sci China Life Sci*. 2020;63(4):606-9.
9. Nowak MD, Sordillo EM, Gitman MR, Paniz Mondolfi AE. Co-infection in SARS-CoV-2 infected Patients: Where Are Influenza Virus and Rhinovirus/Enterovirus? *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2020.
10. Wang M, Wu Q, Xu W, Qiao B, Wang J, Zheng H, et al. Clinical diagnosis of 8274 samples with 2019-novel coronavirus in Wuhan. *medRxiv*. 2020:2020.02.12.20022327.
11. Lansbury L, Lim B, Baskaran V, Lim WS. Co-infections in people with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of infection*. 2020.
12. Rawson TM, Moore LSP, Zhu N, Ranganathan N, Skolimowska K, Gilchrist M, et al. Bacterial and Fungal Coinfection in Individuals With Coronavirus: A Rapid Review To Support COVID-19 Antimicrobial Prescribing. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020.
13. Garcia-Vidal C, Sanjuan G, Moreno-García E, Puerta-Alcalde P, Garcia-Pouton N, Chumbita M, et al. Incidence of co-infections and superinfections in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*.
14. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic reviews*. 2015;4:1.
15. Musuuza J, Watson L, Parmasad V, Putman-Buehler N, Christensen L, Safdar N. The prevalence and outcomes of co-infection with COVID-19 and other pathogens: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020189763 [Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020189763].
16. Murad MH, Sultan S, Haffar S, Bazerbachi F. Methodological quality and synthesis of case series and case reports. *BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine*. 2018;23(2):60.
17. Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. *Arch Public Health*. 2014;72(1):39.
18. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials*. 1986;7(3):177-88.
19. Hunter JP, Saratzis A, Sutton AJ, Boucher RH, Sayers RD, Bown MJ. In meta-analyses of proportion studies, funnel plots were found to be an inaccurate method of assessing publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;67(8):897-903.

20. Lin L. Graphical augmentations to sample-size-based funnel plot in meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods*. 2019;10(3):376-88.
21. Wang Y, Zhang D, Du R, Zhao J, Jin Y, et al. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10236):1569-78.
22. Dong X, Cao YY, Lu XX, Zhang JJ, Du H, Yan YQ, et al. Eleven faces of coronavirus disease 2019. *Allergy*. 2020.
23. Zhang G, Hu C, Luo L, Fang F, Chen Y, Li J, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 221 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology*. 2020;127:104364.
24. Cuadrado-Payán E, Montagud-Marrahi E, Torres-Elorza M, Bodro M, Blasco M, Poch E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and influenza virus co-infection. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10236):e84.
25. Joseph C, Togawa Y, Shindo N. Bacterial and viral infections associated with influenza. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2013;7 Suppl 2:105-13.
26. Klein EY, Monteforte B, Gupta A, Jiang W, May L, Hsieh YH, et al. The frequency of influenza and bacterial coinfection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2016;10(5):394-403.
27. Zhu X, Ge Y, Wu T, Zhao K, Chen Y, Wu B, et al. Co-infection with respiratory pathogens among COVID-2019 cases. *Virus Research*. 2020;285.
28. Wongsurakiat P, Tulatamakit S. Clinical pulmonary infection score and a spot serum procalcitonin level to guide discontinuation of antibiotics in ventilator-associated pneumonia: a study in a single institution with high prevalence of nonfermentative gram-negative bacilli infection. *Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease*. 2018;12:1753466618760134.
29. Bao X, Liu T, Shan Y, Li K, Garofalo RP, Casola A. Human metapneumovirus glycoprotein G inhibits innate immune responses. *PLoS Pathog*. 2008;4(5):e1000077.
30. Céspedes PF, Palavecino CE, Kalergis AM, Bueno SM. Modulation of Host Immunity by the Human Metapneumovirus. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2016;29(4):795-818.
31. Gagnier JJ, Kienle G, Altman DG, Moher D, Sox H, Riley D, et al. The CARE Guidelines: Consensus-based Clinical Case Reporting Guideline Development. *Glob Adv Health Med*. 2013;2(5):38-43.
32. Arentz M, Yim E, Klaff L, Lokhandwala S, Riedo FX, Chong M, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of 21 Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 in Washington State. *JAMA*. 2020;323(16):1612-4.
33. Barrasa H, Rello J, Tejada S, Martín A, Balziskueta G, Vinuesa C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 in Spanish Intensive Care Units: Early experience with 15-day survival in Vitoria. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med*. 2020.
34. Campochiaro C, Della-Torre E, Cavalli G, De Luca G, Ripa M, Boffini N, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in severe COVID-19 patients: a single-centre retrospective cohort study. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2020;76:43-9.
35. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):507-13.
36. Ding Q, Lu P, Fan Y, Xia Y, Liu M. The clinical characteristics of pneumonia patients coinfecting with 2019 novel coronavirus and influenza virus in Wuhan, China. *Journal of medical virology*. 2020.
37. Du RH, Liu LM, Yin W, Wang W, Guan LL, Yuan ML, et al. Hospitalization and Critical Care of 109 Decedents with COVID-19 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2020.
38. Fan W, Yumin Z, Zhongfang W, Min X, Zhe S, Zhiqiang T, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 infection in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multicenter, retrospective, observational study. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2020;12(5):1811-23.
39. Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, Xie Y, Huang J, Li J, et al. COVID-19 with Different Severities: A Multicenter Study of Clinical Features. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2020;201(11):1380-8.

40. Garazzino S, Montagnani C, Donà D, Meini A, Felici E, Vergine G, et al. Multicentre Italian study of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children and adolescents, preliminary data as at 10 April 2020. *Euro surveillance : bulletin European sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin*. 2020;25(18).
41. Gayam V, Konala VM, Naramala S, Garlapati PR, Merghani MA, Regmi N, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes of patients coinfecting with COVID-19 and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in the USA. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2020.
42. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506.
43. Kakuya F, Okubo H, Fujiyasu H, Wakabayashi I, Syouji M, Kinebuchi T. The first pediatric patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Japan; The risk of co-infection with other respiratory viruses. *Japanese journal of infectious diseases*. 2020.
44. Khodamoradi Z, Moghadami M, Lotfi M. Co-infection of coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza a: A report from Iran. *Archives of Iranian Medicine*. 2020;23(4):239-43.
45. Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, Shah NH, Brown I. Rates of Co-infection Between SARS-CoV-2 and Other Respiratory Pathogens. *JAMA*. 2020;323(20):2085-6.
46. Koehler P, Cornely OA, Böttiger BW, Dusse F, Eichenauer DA, Fuchs F, et al. COVID-19 associated pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2020;63(6):528-34.
47. Lian J, Jin X, Hao S, Cai H, Zhang S, Zheng L, et al. Analysis of Epidemiological and Clinical features in older patients with Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) out of Wuhan. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020.
48. Liu Y, Yang Y, Zhang C, Huang F, Wang F, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and biochemical indexes from 2019-nCoV infected patients linked to viral loads and lung injury. *Sci China Life Sci*. 2020;63(3):364-74.
49. Lv Z, Cheng S, Le J, Huang J, Feng L, Zhang B, et al. Clinical characteristics and co-infections of 354 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Microbes Infect*. 2020.
50. Ma S, Lai X, Chen Z, Tu S, Qin K. Clinical Characteristics of Critically Ill Patients Co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and the Influenza Virus in Wuhan, China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2020.
51. Mannheim J, Gretsch S, Layden JE, Fricchione MJ. Characteristics of Hospitalized Pediatric COVID-19 Cases - Chicago, Illinois, March - April 2020. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2020.
52. Mo P, Xing Y, Xiao Y, Deng L, Zhao Q, Wang H, et al. Clinical characteristics of refractory COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020.
53. Ozaras R, Cirpin R, Duran A, Duman H, Arslan O, Bakcan Y, et al. Influenza and COVID-19 Co-infection: Report of 6 cases and review of the Literature. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2020.
54. Palmieri L, Vanacore N, Donfrancesco C, Lo Noce C, Canevelli M, Punzo O, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Hospitalized Individuals Dying with COVID-19 by Age Group in Italy. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2020.
55. Peng H, Gao P, Xu Q, Liu M, Peng J, Wang Y, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in children: Characteristics, antimicrobial treatment, and outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Virology*. 2020;128.
56. Pongpirul WA, Mott JA, Woodring JV, Uyeki TM, MacArthur JR, Vachiraphan A, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Patients Hospitalized with Coronavirus Disease, Thailand. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2020;26(7).
57. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *Jama*. 2020;323(20):2052-9.
58. Sun D, Chen X, Li H, Lu XX, Xiao H, Zhang FR, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in infants under 1 year of age in Wuhan City, China. *World Journal of Pediatrics*. 2020:1-7.

59. Tagarro A, Epalza C, Santos M, Sanz-Santaefemia FJ, Otheo E, Moraleda C, et al. Screening and Severity of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Children in Madrid, Spain. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020.
60. Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, Zheng Y, Li B, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing. *Journal of Medical Virology.* 2020;92(7):797-806.
61. Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu L, Wang X, Luo N, Li L. Clinical Outcomes in 55 Patients With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Who Were Asymptomatic at Hospital Admission in Shenzhen, China. *Journal of Infectious Diseases.* 2020;221(11):1770-4.
62. Wang L, He W, Yu X, Hu D, Bao M, Liu H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients: Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. *J Infect.* 2020;80(6):639-45.
63. Wang R, Pan M, Zhang X, Han M, Fan X, Zhao F, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 125 Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 in Fuyang, Anhui, China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases.* 2020;95:421-8.
64. Wee LE, Ko KKK, Ho WQ, Kwek GTC, Tan TT, Wijaya L. Community-acquired viral respiratory infections amongst hospitalized inpatients during a COVID-19 outbreak in Singapore: co-infection and clinical outcomes. *Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology.* 2020;128:104436.
65. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020.
66. Xia W, Shao J, Guo Y, Peng X, Li Z, Hu D. Clinical and CT features in pediatric patients with COVID-19 infection: Different points from adults. *Pediatric Pulmonology.* 2020;55(5):1169-74.
67. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(5):475-81.
68. Yi SG, Rogers AW, Saharia A, Aoun M, Faour R, Abdelrahim M, et al. Early Experience With COVID-19 and Solid Organ Transplantation at a US High-volume Transplant Center. *Transplantation.* 2020.
69. Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy.* 2020.
70. Zhao D, Yao F, Wang L, Zheng L, Gao Y, Ye J, et al. A comparative study on the clinical features of COVID-19 pneumonia to other pneumonias. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2020.
71. Zheng X, Wang H, Su Z, Li W, Yang D, Deng F, et al. Co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza virus in Early Stage of the COVID-19 Epidemic in Wuhan, China. *Journal of Infection.* 2020.
72. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10229):1054-62.

TABLES

Table 1. Main characteristics of included studies

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infections n (%)	Bacterial co-infections n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Arentz, 2020 (32)	Case series	USA	ICU ^a	21	Adults	52	100	15 (71)	11 (52)	3 (14)	1 (50)	0 (0)	Medium
Barrasa, 2020 (33)	Case series	Spain	ICU	48	Adults	56	100	45 (94)	16 (33)	0 (0)	6 (13)	0 (0)	Low
Campochiaro, 2020 (34)	Prospective cohort	Italy	ICU and non-ICU	65	Adults	29	6	25 (38)	16 (25)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)	Low
Chen, 2020 (35)	Case series	China	ICU	99	Adults	68	100	17 (17)	11 (11)	0 (0)	1 (1)	4 (4)	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated (%)	Patients who died (%)	Viral co-infections (%)	Bacterial co-infections (%)	Fungal co-infections (%)	Risk of bias
Cuadrado-Payán, 2020 (24)	Case series	Spain	ICU	4	Adults	75	75	3 (75)	0 (0)	4 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	High
Ding, 2020 (36)	Case series	China	Non-ICU	115	Adults	NR ^b	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Medium
Dong, 2020 (22)	Case series	China	Non-ICU	11	Adults/children	54	0	1 (9)	0 (0)	1 (9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Medium
Du, 2020 (37)	Case series	China	ICU	109	Adults	67.9	48.6	33 (30)	109 (100)	0 (0)	NR	NR	Low
Fan, 2020 (38)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	50	Adults	83	54	23 (46)	12 (24)	0 (0)	5 (10)	5 (10)	Low

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated (%)	Patients who died (%)	Viral co-infection (%)	Bacterial co-infection (%)	Fungal co-infections (%)	Risk of bias
Feng, 2020 (39)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	476	Adults	56.9	26	70 (15)	38 (8)	0 (0)	35 (7)	0 (0)	Medium
Garazzino, 2020 (40)	Retrospective cohort	Italy	ICU and non-ICU	168	Children	55.9	1.1	2 (1)	0 (0)	10 (6)	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	Low
Gayam, 2020 (41)	Case series	USA	ICU and non-ICU	350	Adults	33	NR	NR	NR	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	Medium
Huang, 2020 (42)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	41	Adults	73	32	4 (10)	6 (15)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)	Medium
Kakuya, 2020 (43)	Case series	Japan	Non-ICU	3	Children	100	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (33)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated (%)	Patients who died (%)	Viral co-infection (%)	Bacterial co-infection (%)	Fungal co-infections (%)	Risk of bias
Khodamordi, 2020 (44)	Case series	Iran	Non-ICU	4	Adults	75	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Medium
Kim, 2020 (45)	Retrospective cohort	USA	Non-ICU	115	Adults/children	45	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	25 (22)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low
Koehler, 2020 (46)	Case series	Germany	ICU	19	Adults	NR	100	NR	3 (16)	2 (11)	0 (0)	5 (26)	Medium
Lian, 2020 (47)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	788	Children/Adults	52	3	18 (2)	0 (0)	NR	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low
Lin, 2020 (8)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	92	Adults	NR	NR	NR	NR	6 (7)	NR	NR	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infections n (%)	Bacterial co-infections n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Liu, 2020 (48)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	12	Children/Adults	66	NR	6 (50)	NR	0 (0)	2 (17)	0 (0)	Low
Lv, 2020 (49)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	354	Adults	49	NR	NR	11 (3)	1 (0.3)	32 (9)	6 (2)	Low
Ma, 2020 (50)	Retrospective cohort	China	NR	93	Adults	55	NR	NR	44 (47)	46 (49)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low
Mannheim, 2020 (51)	Case series	USA	ICU and non-ICU	64	Children	56	11	NR	0 (0)	3 (5)	1 (2)	0 (0)	Medium
Mo, 2020 (52)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	155	Adults	55	NR	36 (23)	22 (14)	13 (8)	2 (1)	0 (0)	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated (%)	Patients who died (%)	Viral co-infections (%)	Bacterial co-infections (%)	Fungal co-infections (%)	Risk of bias
Nowak, 2020 (9)	Case series	USA	ICU and non-ICU	1204	Adults	56	NR	NR	NR	36 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Medium
Ozaras, 2020 (53)	Case series	Turkey	ICU and non-ICU	1103	Adults	50	NR	NR	NR	6 (0.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Medium
Palmieri, 2020 (54)	Retrospective cohort	Italy	ICU and non-ICU	3032	Children/Adults	67	NR	NR	3032 (100)	NR	NR	NR	Low
Peng, 2020 (55)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	75	Children	58	NR	NR	0 (0)	8 (11)	31 (41)	0 (0)	Low

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infections n (%)	Bacterial co-infections n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Pongpirul, 2020 (56)	Case series	Thailand	ICU and non-ICU	11	Adults	54	NR	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (18)	5 (45)	0 (0)	Low
Richardson, 2020 (57)	Case series	USA	ICU and non-ICU	5700	Adults	60	14.2	1151 (20)	553 (10)	39 (0.7)	3 (0.1)	0 (0)	Low
Sun, 2020 (58)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	36	Children	61	NR	NR	1 (3)	1 (3)	1 (3)	0 (0)	Medium
Tagarro, 2020 (59)	Retrospective cohort	Spain	ICU and non-ICU	41	Children	44	9.7	4 (10)	0 (0)	2 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infection n (%)	Bacterial co-infection n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Wan, 2020 (60)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	135	Adults	53	NR	28 (21)	1 (0.7)	NR	NR	NR	Medium
Wang Y, 2020 (61)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	55	Adults	40	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (3)	Low
Wang L, 2020 (62)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	339	Adults	49	NR	NR	65 (19)	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	Low
Wang R, 2020 (63)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	125	Adults	56.8	15.2	4	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	9 (7)	9 (7)	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infection n (%)	Bacterial co-infection n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Wang Y, 2020 (21)	Clinical trial	China	ICU and non-ICU	237	Adults	56	NR	21 (9)	14 (6)	NR	NR	NR	Medium
Wee, 2020 (64)	Prospective cohort	Singapore	ICU and non-ICU	3807	Adults	NR	NR	NR	1 (0.02)	3 (0.08)	NR	NR	Medium
Wu C, 2020 (65)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	201	Adults	63.7	26.4	67 (33)	44 (22)	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	Low
Xia, 2020 (66)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	20	pediatric	65	NR	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0.2)	1 (5)	1 (5)	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infections n (%)	Bacterial co-infections n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Yang X, 2020 (67)	Case series	China	ICU	710	Adults	67	100	37 (5)	32 (4)	0 (0)	4 (0.6)	4 (0.6)	Low
Yi, 2020 (68)	Case series	USA	ICU and non-ICU	132	Adult	62	50	5 (4)	1 (0.8)	NR	NR	NR	Medium
Zhang J, 2020 (69)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	140	Adults	50.7	NR	NR	NR	2 (1)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)	Medium
Zhang G, 2020 (23)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	221	Adults	48.9	80	26 (12)	5 (2)	2 (0.9)	6 (3)	6 (3)	Medium

Study	Study design	Country	Setting	Number of patients	Age group of patients	Gender (% male)	ICU (%)	Patients who were ventilated n (%)	Patients who died n (%)	Viral co-infections n (%)	Bacterial co-infections n (%)	Fungal co-infections n (%)	Risk of bias
Zhao, 2020 (70)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	34	Adults	57.9	0	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3)	1 (3)	0 (0)	Medium
Zheng, 2020 (71)	Case series	China	ICU and non-ICU	1001	Adult and pediatric	NR	NR	NR	NR	2 (0.2)	NR	NR	Low
Zhou, 2020 (72)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	191	Adult	62	26	32 (17)	54 (28)	NR	NR	NR	Low
Zhu, 2020 (27)	Retrospective cohort	China	ICU and non-ICU	257	Adult and pediatric	53.7	1.16	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (3)	11 (4)	11 (4)	Low

^aICU: intensive care unit.

^bNR: Not reported.

Table 2. All identified organisms as a proportion of total number of organisms per pathogen type by co-infection and super-infection

Pathogen type	Co-infection (N= 860)	Super- infection (N=50)
Bacteria, No. (%)		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	22 (2.6)	5 (10)
<i>Haemophilus influenza</i>	107 (12.4)	1 (2)
<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>	47 (5.5)	3 (6)
<i>Acinetobacter spp</i>	68 (7.9)	11 (22)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	25 (2.9)	9 (18)
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	0 (0)	2 (4)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	144 (16.7)	2 (4)
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	154 (17.9)	0 (0)
<i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i>	6 (0.7)	0 (0)
<i>Bordetella</i>	3 (0.3)	0 (0)
<i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i>	11 (1.3)	0 (0)
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	12 (1.4)	8 (16)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	3 (0.3)	0 (0)
Viruses, No. (%)		
Non-SARS-CoV-2 ^a coronavirus strains	32 (3.7)	0 (0)
Human influenza A	70 (8.1)	0 (0)
Human influenza B	27 (3.1)	0 (0)
Respiratory syncytial virus	25 (2.9)	0 (0)
Parainfluenza	5 (0.6)	0 (0)
Human metapneumovirus	12 (1.4)	2 (4)
Rhinovirus	54 (6.3)	0 (0)
Adenovirus	21 (2.4)	0 (0)
Fungus, No. (%)		
<i>Mucor</i>	6 (0.7)	0 (0)
<i>Candida spp</i>	6 (0.7)	7 (14)

^aSARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2





Figure 2. Pooled prevalence of co-infection in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220566>; this version posted October 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Figure 3. Pooled prevalence of super-infection in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2

