medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Management of Acute Appendicitis in Children: Takeaway from Coronavirus Disease-2019, a Perspective of Pediatric Surgeons from South Asia

Keywords: appendicitis, pediatrics, surgery, COVID, non-operative treatment

Md Jafrul Hannan MS[1*],Mosammat Kohinoor Parveen M.Phil[2], Md Mozammel HoqueMS[3], Tanvir Kabir Chowdhury MS[4], Md Samiul Hasan MS[5]

[1]Department of Pediatric Surgery
South Point Hospital
Chittagong, Bangladesh

[2]Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics
Rangamati Medical College
Rangamati, Bangladesh

[3]Department of Pediatric Surgery
ChattagramMaa-O-Shishu Hospital Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh

[4]Department of Pediatric Surgery
Chittagong Medical College
Chittagong, Bangladesh

[5]Department of Pediatric Surgery
Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital
Dhaka, Bangladesh

Word Count: 2413

Corresponding author:
Md. Jafrul Hannan
Mobile: +8801819345305
Apt – B3, House – 72/A, Road – 1, Panchlaish, Chittagong, Bangladesh 4203
jafrulhannan@gmail.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Background: Non-operative treatment (NOT) of pediatric appendicitis as opposed to surgery elicits great debate and potentially influenced by the preferences of the physician. Owing to the effects of the COVID pandemic on healthcare, practice of NOT has generally increased by necessity and may, in a post-COVID world, change surgeons' perceptions of NOT.

Objective: The objective was to determine if the practice of NOT has increased in usage in South Asia and whether these levels of practice would be sustained after the pandemic subside.

Methods: A survey was addressed to pediatric surgeons regarding their position, institute, country, number of appendicitis cases managed and their mode of treatment between identical time periods in 2019 and 2020 (April 1 to August 31). It also directly posed the question as to whether they would continue with the COVID imposed level of NOT after the effect of the pandemic diminishes.

Results: A total of 134 responses were collected. A significant increase in the practice of NOT was observed for the entire cohort, though no effect was observed when grouped by country or institute. When grouped by position, seniors increased the practice of NOT the most, while juniors reported the least change. The data suggests that only Professors would be inclined to maintaining the COVID level of NOT practice after the pandemic.

Conclusions: Increased practice of NOT during the COVID pandemic was observed in South Asia, particularly by senior surgeons. Only Professors appear inclined to consider maintaining this increased level of practice in the post-COVID world.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

As early as the 1950's, non-operative management of acute appendicitis in children has been practiced.[1]Yet treating pediatric acute appendicitis non-operatively rather than by open or laparoscopic appendectomy still elicits great debate,[2–4]spawned by the results of control trials and observational studies[5–9], reviews[10–13] and meta-analyses.[14–16]The meta-analyses are not in agreement. Kessler et al.[15] determined that the operative treatment group yielded a 98% effectiveness, while the non-operative treatment (NOT) group resulted in a significantly lower 74% effectiveness rate. This contrasts the rather positive findings of Georgios et al.[14] who found a 97% success rate with NOT, and Maita et al.[16], who found a 92% effectiveness with NOT in their meta-analyses.Not surprisingly, results of individual control trials and studies are similar in their disagreement. Knappen et al.[5] used a prospective cohort study of 45 children aged 7-17 years from multiple institutions to study the success rate of NOT of acute uncomplicated appendicitis (AUA). They found that NOT was effective in 93% of the cases, with only 3 of the 45 participants requiring readmission for an appendectomy within the eight weeks follow up period. Similarly, Svensson et al.[7] also reported very positive findings in their randomized control trial of 50 children between the ages of 5 and 15 years. They demonstrated a 92% success rate with NOT with only a 5% (1 patient) recurrence within the oneyear followup period and concluded that NOT was a safe and feasible approach for the treatment of AUA in children. Contrasting these studies are the results of Tanaka et al.[8] and Minneci et al.[6]. Though the former found a 98.7% success rate using NOT, 20.8% of the participants required readmission for ACU within the oneyear follow up period. The latter found, in a non-randomized control trial comprising 1068 children between the ages of 7 and 17 years, that NOT was effective in 85.7% of the cases at hospitalization. However, this number decreased rather substantially to 62.0% by the one year follow up period. These figures are in fact, slightly worse compared to their results from an earlier study[9] where they found NOM to be effective in 89.2% of cases at the 30days follow up, decreasing to 75.5% effectiveness after one year. So how does a physician interpret this somewhat disparate data? The different approaches certainly need to be discussed with the patient and parents[4], though appendectomy is not without its own set of potential complications.[17] Ultimately, it will likely come down to a discussion with the patients and their families, and probably skewed in a certain direction by the personal opinion of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the practicing physician. As Werner et al. succinctly writes: “Should we send our patients to the operating room or to the pharmacy?”.[3]

However, the year 2020 has provided a unique opportunity in which some of this opinion bias, particularly against NOT, can be partially removed. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged from Wuhan, China in December 2019. As of September 2020, the infection has spread globally, with over 43 million confirmed cases resulting in over 1.1 million deaths worldwide.[18]The response to the pandemic has affected all social and economic aspects of life. But it has also significantly impacted health care[19] in various ways, such as the re-purposing of hospital facilities to accommodate the large influx of COVID patients, reducing the number of procedures that would normally be carried out as a result of this re-purposing of rooms and also to maintain social distancing protocols to mitigate spread of the virus.Nearly allcountries (90%) have experienced such disruptions to their health services,with low and middle income countries reporting the greatest difficulties.[19] This effect has been particularly strained surgeries in general,[19,20] and thus would have a direct effect on treatment strategies for pediatric ACU. It is the objective of this paper to determine the effect of the present COVID pandemic has had on the approaches of care for ACU in Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Pakistan, and to determine if any permanent changes in opinions of care once the effects of the pandemic have diminished will occur as a result.

METHODS

The instrument used in this study was a survey (administered via SurveyMonkey) addressed to pediatric surgeons in South Asia, particularly Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Nepal consisting of ten questions pertaining to the position, type of institute, country, the number of appendicitis cases managed between April 1 – August 31 in 2019 and 2020, and the number and percentage of those that were treated non-operatively (with responses binned within 10% increments). The final question posed to each pediatric surgeon was whether they would maintain their current COVID imposed level of NOT once the effect of pandemic on the ability to perform surgeries subsides. The study was approved by the South Point Hospital, Chittagong, Bangladesh (No. Admn/SPH/190/2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The survey response data was analyzed using JMP Software V15.2 (JMP, Cary, South Carolina USA). Matched pair tests for each respondent were the main tool used and the analysis of these results performed using a Wilcoxan Signed Rank Test. The analyses were performed ungrouped and grouped by country, type of institute and position.

RESULTS

A total of 134 physicians responded to the survey. There was no missing data. Their positions, types of institutes and country of practice are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Descriptive statistic of respondents to survey summarizing distribution of position, hospital types and country of practice.

Position	N (%)	Institute	N (%)	Country	N (%)
Resident	14 (10)	Private Practice	11 (8)	Pakistan	28 (21)
Registrar/Asst. Registrar	21 (16)	Private Medical College	35 (26)	Nepal	6 (4)
Assistant Professor	26 (19)	Medical University	12 (9)	India	27 (20)
Associate Professor	29 (22)	Government Medical College	44 (33)	Bangladesh	73 (55)
Professor	20 (15)	Corporate Hospital	9 (7)		
Consultant	24 (18)	Children's Hospital	23 (17)		

The results from the Wilcoxan Signed Rank Tests are given in Table 2 for the entire cohort as well as grouped by position, institute and country. Not surprisingly, there was a significant increase in the percentage of appendicitis treated non-operatively from April 1 – August 31, 2020 compared to the same time period in 2019 ($P < .0001$). No significant differences were found in the group analysis by institute ($P = .645$ within pairs; $P = .516$ among pairs) or country ($P = .652$ within pairs; $P = .516$ among pairs). When grouping by position, a weak significance within pairs (comparing the differences between pairs in a group) was found ($P = .059$) but not among pairs ($P = .123$), which compares the averages of the pairs between groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Summary of statistics from the matched pair analysis for the entire cohort and the grouped analyses by position, institute and country.

Statistic	Entire Cohort	Grouped by Position	Grouped by Institute	Grouped by Country
Prob < S	<.0001	n/a	n/a	n/a
Within Pairs; Prob > F	n/a	.059	.645	.652
Among Pairs; Prob > F	n/a	.123	.516	.253

This potentially interesting effect of the position grouping is shown in more detail in Figure 1, which is the matched pair plot where the difference between pairs is plotted on the y-axis and the average between pairs is plotted on the x-axis. As this is grouped data, the y-axis is reporting the mean of the differences of the groups, and the y-axis the mean on the means. For clarity the data points have been removed and just the group labels are plotted. The dotted red lines indicate the 95% confidence levels and the solid line the mean for the entire cohort. Note that that the upper 95% confidence interval falls below zero. This clearly shows that the percentage of appendicitis cases treated non-operatively has significantly increased in 2020. The grouping data suggests that the change has not been as significant for those occupying the registrar/assistant registrar position, while professors, associate professors and consultants have treated more cases non-operatively relative to the entire cohort compared to the same time period in 2019.

Also interesting are the results from the final question as to whether or not the physicians would consider maintaining the COVID imposed level of NOT of appendicitis after the effects of the pandemic on surgery capability subside. An interesting result from this points to the level and spirit of opinion on the topic of NOT in general. Though the respondents were given the option of replying “Don’t know” or “No comment”, the great majority (94%; 126/134) responded with a definitive “Yes” or “No”. The fraction of those grouped by position, institute and country are shown in the mosaic plots in Figure 2. As in the matched pair analysis, no association was found using Fisher’s Exact test between institute (P = .987) or country (P = .367) and a planned change of level of NOT practice after the effects of the pandemic subside. However, a significant association was found for position (P = .037). The results suggest that professors are the most likely to adapt to using NOT more regularly in the post-COVID world.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

DISCUSSION

The results indicate that despite being required to be more reliant on usage of NOT for pediatric appendicitis necessitated by the effects of the COVID pandemic to health care, it has done little to sway the opinion of most physicians in Bangladesh, Nepal, India and Pakistan in its place in treatment of AUA. Only those practicing with appointed professorships have appeared to give the idea of making the increased usage of NOT a permanent practice after the effects of the pandemic wanes. The results of this study indicated an increased usage of NOT, particularly by professors, associate professors and consultants. This is in good agreement with a recent study of Irish surgeons, where 76% of the 161 participants disclosed that they had modified their practice to a predominantly NOT approach.[21] Similarly, in a New York hospital which traditionally favors operative management,NOT usage was increased to roughly 50% of all cases with favorable results as a result of the pandemic.[22]An Indian study by Verma et al.[23] documented how their institute increased their percentage of NOT to 69% of patients, a significant increase from 22% recorded during the same time period in 2019. The recent work of Ielpo et al.[24] also showed an increased usage of NOT of pediatric appendicitis during the pandemic.However, a global study on the effect of COVID on pediatric surgery in general shows less of an effect, where only 4 out of 20 institutes were found to begin using NOT of appendicitis and 14 out of 20 reported that they had not changed their extent of NOT's.[25]

In all of these studies, little, if anything is discussed about how the physicians would modify their practice of NOT once the crisis is over. This study directly addresses this question and the results suggest that it is mainly professors who would consider adapting to change. This is an interesting result as one may naively think they sit within an older age bracket and their opinions on NOT of appendicitis may already be firmly set. With time, their students and others they mentor may adopt a similar open mindedness. However, a limitation of this study that is independent of a physicians'personal opinion or preference is that the resources and capacities of hospitals will be different, a factor that was not measured in the survey. This could also sway the usage of NOT towards those facilities with the scarcest of capacities and resources. Ultimately, all of these factors can and should contribute to treatment decisions.[26]Even when the effects of the pandemic subside, the economic effects will lag considerably and recovery will be slow. This will in turn continue to place economic pressures on institutions that may necessitate longer than anticipated usage of NOT of AUA.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CONCLUSIONS

The global COVID pandemic has resulted in severe disruption of surgeries worldwide, necessitating new approaches to maintain care for those in need when so many resources are being repurposed to address the massive influx of patients stricken by the virus. In the specific area of pediatric appendicitis, there is ample evidence that more hospitals and institutes are increasing their implementation of NOT of AUA in Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Nepal. In some respects the pediatric surgeons could think of this as their participation in an involuntary clinical trial. The results suggest that only professors in these countries would consider consider maintaining this increased level of practice in the post-COVID world and that the effect of hospital type or country was insignificant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All five authors have designed the study, performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of South Point Hospital (No. Admn/SPH/190/2020).

FUNDING STATEMENT

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available at **https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13049630.v3**

REFERENCES

1 Coldrey E. Treatment of Acute Appendicitis. *Br Med J* 1956;**2**:1458–61.

2 Rollins KE, Lobo DN. Non-operative management of uncomplicated acute appendicitis in children: where is the evidence? *Arch Dis Child* 2017;**102**:1099–100. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2017-313599

3 Werner S, Grock A, Mason J. Antibiotics Only for Appendicitis? *Ann Emerg Med* 2017;**70**:12–4. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2017.05.003

4 Telem DA. Shared Decision Making in Uncomplicated Appendicitis. *JAMA* 2016;**315**:811. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0168

5 Knaapen M, van der Lee JH, Heij HA, *et al.* Clinical recovery in children with uncomplicated appendicitis undergoing non-operative treatment: secondary analysis of a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Pediatr* 2019;**178**:235–42. doi:10.1007/s00431-018-3277-9

6 Minneci PC, Hade EM, Lawrence AE, *et al.* Association of Nonoperative Management Using Antibiotic Therapy vs Laparoscopic Appendectomy With Treatment Success and Disability Days in Children With Uncomplicated Appendicitis. *JAMA* 2020;**324**:581. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.10888

7 Svensson JF, Patkova B, Almström M, *et al.* Nonoperative Treatment With Antibiotics Versus Surgery for Acute Nonperforated Appendicitis in Children. *Ann Surg* 2015;**261**:67–71. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000000835

8 Tanaka Y, Uchida H, Kawashima H, *et al.* Long-term outcomes of operative versus nonoperative treatment for uncomplicated appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg* 2015;**50**:1893–7. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.07.008

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

9 Minneci PC, Mahida JB, Lodwick DL, *et al.* Effectiveness of Patient Choice in Nonoperative vs Surgical Management of Pediatric Uncomplicated Acute Appendicitis. *JAMA Surg* 2016;**151**:408. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2015.4534

10 Gonzalez DO, Deans KJ, Minneci PC. Role of non-operative management in pediatric appendicitis. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2016;**25**:204–7. doi:10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2016.05.002

11 Hall NJ, Eaton S, Abbo O, *et al.* Appendectomy versus non-operative treatment for acute uncomplicated appendicitis in children: study protocol for a multicentre, open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2017;**1**:bmjpo-2017. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2017-000028

12 Rentea RM, Peter SD St., Snyder CL. Pediatric appendicitis: state of the art review. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2017;**33**:269–83. doi:10.1007/s00383-016-3990-2

13 Rentea RM, St. Peter SD. Pediatric Appendicitis. *Surg Clin North Am* 2017;**97**:93–112. doi:10.1016/j.suc.2016.08.009

14 Georgiou R, Eaton S, Stanton MP, *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Nonoperative Treatment for Acute Appendicitis: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2017;**139**:e20163003. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-3003

15 Kessler U, Mosbahi S, Walker B, *et al.* Conservative treatment versus surgery for uncomplicated appendicitis in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 2017;**102**:1118–24. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2017-313127

16 Maita S, Andersson B, Svensson JF, *et al.* Nonoperative treatment for nonperforated appendicitis in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2020;**36**:261–9. doi:10.1007/s00383-019-04610-1

17 Sallinen V, Akl EA, You JJ, *et al.* Meta-analysis of antibiotics versus appendicectomy for non-perforated acute appendicitis. *Br J Surg* 2016;**103**:656–67. doi:10.1002/bjs.10147

18 COVID-19 situation update worldwide, as of 25 October 2020. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases (accessed 25 Oct 2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

19 Weekly Operational Update on COVID-19.

20 Jafrul Hannan MM, Point Hospital Chittagong S, Kohinoor Parveen MPhil M, *et al.* Title Page Pediatric surgical services in Bangladesh during the COVID 19 pandemic: How they are affected and how to overcome the backlog, keeping healthcare professionals safe. *medRxiv* 2020;:2020.08.16.20169979. doi:10.1101/2020.08.16.20169979

21 Kelly ME, Murphy E, Bolger JC, *et al.* COVID-19 and the treatment of acute appendicitis in Ireland: a new era or short-term pivot? *Color Dis* 2020;**22**:648–9. doi:10.1111/codi.15141

22 Kvasnovsky CL, Shi Y, Rich BS, *et al.* Limiting hospital resources for acute appendicitis in children: Lessons learned from the U.S. epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Pediatr Surg* Published Online First: June 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2020.06.024

23 Verma S, Garg P, Verma A, *et al.* Careful Non-operative Management with Surveillance of Acute Appendicitis During COVID-19 Pandemic. *Indian J Surg* Published Online First: 5 October 2020. doi:10.1007/s12262-020-02620-1

24 Ielpo B, Podda M, Pellino G, *et al.* Global attitudes in the management of acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic□: ACIE Appy Study. *Br J Surg* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1002/bjs.11999

25 Nasher O, Sutcliffe JR, Stewart RJ. Pediatric Surgery during the COVID-19 Pandemic: An International Survey of Current Practice. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* Published Online First: 26 August 2020. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1714714

26 Polites SF, Azarow KS. Perspectives on Pediatric Appendicitis and Appendectomy During the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Pandemic. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 2020;**30**:356–7. doi:10.1089/lap.2020.0197

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.27.20220442; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Matching pair plot showing difference between pairs is plotted on the y-axis and the average between pairs is plotted on the x-axis. For this grouped data, the y-axis is reporting the mean of the differences of the groups, and the y-axis the mean on the means. For clarity, only position of groups and not individual pairs are shown.

Figure 2: Mosaic plots illustrating distribution of responses to the question of adopting NOT of appendicitis used between April 1 – August 31, 2020 at the height of the COVID pandemic.


Difference: Percentage of appendicitis treated non-operatively between 01/04 - 31/08 2019-Percentage of appendicitis treated non-operatively between 01/04 - 31/08 2020
1
0
-1
Registrar/assistant registrar
Assistant professor
Resident
Consultant
Associate professor
Professor
1
2
3
4
Mean: (Percentage of appendicitis treated non-operatively between 01/04 - 31/08 2019+Percentage of appendicitis treated non-operatively between 01/04 - 31/08 2020)/2


Continued NOT practive post COVID?
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0
Yes
No
Assistant professor
Associate professor
Consultant
Professor
Registrar/assistant registrar
Resident
Position


Continued NOT practive post COVID?
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0
Yes
No
Children hospitals
Corporate hospitals
Govt medical college
Medical university
Private medical college
Private Practice
Institute


Continued NOT practive post COVID?
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0
Yes
No
Bangladesh
India
Nepal
Pakistan
Country