Life Expectancy and Voting Patterns in 2018 US House of Representative Elections

Lesley H. Curtis, PhD[1,2], Molly N Hoffman, MPH[1], Robert M Califf, MD[2], Bradley G. Hammill, DrPH[1,2]

Author Affiliations: From the [1]Department of Population Health Sciences, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA; [2]Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina, USA;

Abstract

Introduction: In the 2016 U.S. Presidential election, voters in communities with recent stagnation or decline in life expectancy were more likely to vote for the Republican candidate than in prior Presidential elections. We aimed to assess the association between change in life expectancy and voting patterns in 2018 U.S. House of Representative elections.

Methods: With data on county-level life expectancy from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation and voting data from Harvard Dataverse, we used weighted multivariable linear regression to estimate the association between the change in life expectancy from 1980 to 2014 and the proportion of votes for the Republican candidate in the 2018 House election.

Results: Among 3,107 U.S counties, change in life expectancy at the county level was negatively associated with Republican share of the vote in 2018 House of Representative elections (parameter estimate -7.3, 95% confidence interval, -8.1 to -6.5). With the inclusion of state, sociodemographic, and economic variables in the model, the association was attenuated and no longer statistically significant (parameter estimate -0.9; 95% CI, -2.2 to 0.4).

Conclusion: Counties with a less positive trajectory in life expectancy were more likely to vote for Republican candidates in 2018 U.S. House of Representatives elections, but the association was mediated by demographic, social and economic factors.

Introduction

Although life expectancy in the U.S. increased by nearly 10 years over a nearly 60-year period from 1959 to 2016, the rate of increase slowed over time and life expectancy has declined after 2014.[1] Large disparities in life expectancy among counties in the U.S. have increased as well. In 2014, a 20-year gap existed between counties with the lowest and highest life expectancy. A gap of more than 10 years in life expectancy existed between counties in the 1st and 99th percentile, an increase of 2.4 years from 1980.[2]

Recent reports have described the association between changes in life expectancy and voting behavior, noting a shift toward the Republican presidential candidate in counties where life expectancy has declined or increased the least.[3-5] One analysis found a 9.1 percentage point increase in the Republican vote share from 2008 to 2016 in counties in which life expectancy stagnated or declined from 1980 to 2014.[4] Voters in those counties were more likely to vote for Republican candidates in 2016, irrespective of prior voting patterns.

With the 2020 election well underway, we examined the interim election for the U.S. House of Representatives to determine whether the pattern observed in 2016 persisted. In this brief report, we examine the association between change in county-level life expectancy from 1980 to 2014 and the proportion of votes cast for the Republican party in 2018 US House of Representative elections.

Methods

We obtained county-level data on life expectancy from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation[6] and calculated the absolute difference in life expectancy from 1980 to 2014. We obtained county-level voting results from the 2018 U.S. House of Representatives elections from Harvard Dataverse[7] and calculated the Republican candidates' share of votes as the proportion of total votes cast for Democratic and Republican candidates in each county. Across 2026 counties with any votes for a third-party candidate, the mean percentage of votes for the third-party candidate was 3%, so we excluded votes for third-party and other candidates from the denominator. We also excluded data from counties in Alaska due to a discrepancy in county classifications between the data sources, so 3,107 counties were included in the final analysis.

We used multivariable linear regression weighted by the number of two-party votes to assess the association between the absolute change in life expectancy from 1980 to 2014 and the proportion of votes for the Republican candidate in the 2018 House election. The multivariable model included adjustments for state, urban-rural classification using the 2013 NCHS Urban-Rural Classification Scheme for Counties[8], and the following sociodemographic and economic characteristics from the 2014-2018 5-year American Community Survey: percent college educated, Gini coefficient, unemployment rate, median house value, poverty rate, percent Black, and percent Hispanic.[9] We used heteroskedasticity-consistent standard errors to calculate 95% confidence intervals. We generated maps of the national distribution of voting patterns and life expectancy and scatter plots displaying the relationship between change in life expectancy and voting patterns. This study was determined exempt by the Duke Health Institutional Review Board.

Results

From 1980 to 2014, the change in county-level life expectancy ranged from -2.2 years to 10.8 years with a median change of 3.9 years (inner quartile range [IQR] 3.0 to 4.8). (Figure 1) The county-level proportion of the two-party vote for Republican candidates in the 2018 House of Representatives elections ranged from less than 1% to 100% with a median of 66%. (Figure 2). After weighting for the total number of two-party votes, the weighted median percent of the two-party vote for Republicans was reduced to 46% (IQR 32 to 60%). No candidate represented the Republican party in 56 counties and no candidate represented the Democratic party in 40 counties.

Change in life expectancy at the county level was negatively associated with Republican share of the county-level vote in 2018 House of Representative elections. For each year of increase in life expectancy, the Republican vote share at the county level decreased by 7.3 percentage points (95% CI, -8.1 to -6.5). (Figure 3) With the inclusion of state, sociodemographic, and economic variables in the model, the association was attenuated and no longer statistically significant (parameter estimate -0.9; 95% CI, -2.2 to 0.4) (Figure 4 and Table 1)

Discussion

Compared to prior elections, the 2016 U.S. Presidential election witnessed a shift of votes toward the Republican candidate in communities that have experienced recent stagnation or decline in life expectancy.[4,5] A recent analysis examined the association between community health and the shift from 2016 to 2018 in Republican share of the vote in U.S. House of Representatives elections.[10] Although there was a general shift toward Democratic candidates in the 2018 election, we found that voting in less healthy communities continued to favor Republican candidates. When we adjusted for demographic, social and economic factors, the difference was no longer statistically significant, suggesting that these factors mediated the observed association between change in life expectancy and voting status.

Compared with other high-income countries, the U.S. has the lowest life expectancy with a trajectory that is diverging from other high-income countries.[11] In addition, substantial disparities in life expectancy as a function of geographic location are well documented within the U.S. Figures 1 and 2 offer graphic visual representation of the congruence of life expectancy and voting patterns. While this epiphenomenon is interesting, our analysis suggests that community voting patterns are likely to represent manifestations of differences in demographics, economic well-being, and educational status. Regardless of which political party is in power, effective policies must be implemented to improve health outcomes in counties and regions where life expectancy has stagnated.

This study is limited by its ecological nature and the inability to associate individual voting behaviors with health outcomes. Additionally, the study is limited by available data sources and would benefit from more detailed social and economic data. Updated estimates of life expectancy and data from the 2020 election will be critical to guide policies to improve the well-being of negatively affected counties, but these data are not yet available.

In conclusion, counties with a less positive trajectory in life expectancy were more likely to vote for Republican candidates in 2018 U.S. House of Representatives elections. The association was mediated

by demographic, social and economic factors that should be the focus of health policies regardless of which political party is in power in the upcoming years.

References

1. Woolf SH, Schoomaker H. Life expectancy and mortality rates in the United States, 1959-2017. JAMA 2019;322(20):1996-2016.
2. Dwyer-Lindgren L, Bertozzi-Villa A, Stubbs RW, Morozoff C, Mackenbach JP, van Lenthe FJ, Mokdad AH, Murray CJL. Inequalities in life expectancy among US counties, 1980-2014. Temporal trends and key drivers. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177(7):1003-1011.
3. Guo J. Death predicts whether people vote for Donald Trump. The Washington Post. March 4, 2016.
4. Bor J. Diverging life expectancies and voting patterns in the 2016 US Presidential election. Am J Public Health. 2017;107:1560-1562.
5. Bilal U, Knapp EA, Cooper RS. Swing voting in the 2016 presidential election in counties where midlife mortality has been rising in white non-Hispanic Americans. Soc Sci Med 2018; 197:33-38.
6. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2017). United States Life Expectancy and Age-specific Mortality Risk by County 1980-2014. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME).
7. Pettigrew, Stephen (2018). November 2018 general election results (county-level) (V4). https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/UYSHST: Harvard Dataverse.
8. National Center for Health Statistics. (2013). 2013 Urban-Rural Classification Scheme for Counties. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/urban_rural.htm#Data_Files_and_Documentation
9. U.S. Census Bureau (2020). Selected sociodemographic and economic characteristics, 2014-2018 American Community Survey 5-year estimates. Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data.html.
10. Wasfy JH, Healy EW, Cui J, Stewart C. Relationship of public health with continued shifting of party voting in the United States. Soc Sci Med 2020 May;252:112921.
11. Ho JY, Hendi AS. Recent trends in life expectancy across high income countries: retrospective observational study. BMJ 2018;362:k2562.

Figure 1: Change in life expectancy in U.S. counties from 1980 to 2014

Change in Life Expectancy in the United States, 1980-2014

Quintile of Change in Life Expectancy (years)   ■ <2.74   ■ 2.74-3.60   □ 3.61-4.26   ■ 4.27-5.06   ■ >5.06

Figure 2: Republican candidates' share of votes in the 2018 U.S. House of Representatives election.

Percent of Votes for the Republican Party, 2018 U.S. House Election

Percent of 2018 House Election Votes for the Republican Party   ■ <48.75% ■ 48.75-61.41% □ 61.42-70.37% ■ 70.38-78.41% ■ >78.41%

Figure 3. Relationship Between Change in County-Level Life Expectancy and Republican Share of the County-level Vote in 2018 House of Representative Elections

Figure 4. Residuals of Change in Life Expectancy vs. Control Covariates and Residuals of Proportion of Republican Votes vs. Control Covariates

Table 1: Association Between Change in County-Level Life Expectancy from 1980 to 2014 and Republican share of the county-level vote in 2018 U.S. House of Representative Elections

Covariate	Unadjusted Model		Adjusted Model	
	Parameter estimate (95% CI)	P-value	Parameter estimate (95% CI)	P-value
Change in life expectancy from 1980 to 2014 (years)	-7.3 (-8.1, -6.5)	<0.01	-0.9 (-2.2, 0.4)	0.16
Urban-Rural Classification				
URCS 1: Large central metro			-8.2 (-12.1, -4.4)	<0.01
URCS 2: Large fringe metro			-5.4 (-8.5, -2.2)	<0.01
URCS 3: Medium metro			-6.2 (-8.8, -3.5)	<0.01
URCS 4: Small metro			-1.3 (-3.5, 0.8)	0.22
URCS 5: Micropolitan			-1.9 (-3.4, -0.5)	<0.01
URCS 6: Non-core			[Reference]	[Reference]
% of population with college degree			-0.5 (-0.7, -0.2)	<0.01
Gini coefficient			-15.0 (-65.9, 35.9)	0.56
Unemployment rate			0.1 (-0.6, 0.7)	0.84
Median home value			0.0 (0.0, 0.0)*	0.01
Poverty rate			-0.7 (-1.0, -0.4)	<0.01
% of population that is Black			-0.7 (-0.8, -0.6)	<0.01
% of population that is Hispanic			-0.3 (-0.5, -0.1)	<0.01
State				
Alabama			10.9 (6.4, 15.4)	<0.01
Arizona			-0.8 (-6.8, 5.2)	0.80
Arkansas			6.9 (2.9, 10.9)	<0.01
California			-7.4 (-14.7, -0.1)	<0.05
Colorado			-6.1 (-12.3, 0.0)	0.05
Connecticut			-10.0 (-16.6, -3.4)	<0.01
Delaware			-10.1 (-16.8, -3.4)	<0.01
Florida			5.8 (-0.1, 11.7)	0.05
Georgia			13.9 (9.0, 18.8)	<0.01
Hawaii			-30.8 (-38.9, -22.8)	<0.01
Idaho			-0.7 (-5.7, 4.3)	0.78
Illinois			-8.8 (-12.8, -4.7)	<0.01
Indiana			-5.5 (-10.2, -0.8)	0.02
Iowa			-18.9 (-22.8, -14.9)	<0.01
Kansas			-6.0 (-11.2, -0.9)	0.02
Kentucky			-1.5 (-5.8, 2.7)	0.48
Louisiana			18.9 (13.3, 24.6)	<0.01
Maine			-23.6 (-27.8, -19.4)	<0.01
Maryland			-3.9 (-8.7, 0.9)	0.11
Massachusetts			-24.8 (-32.0, -17.7)	<0.01
Michigan			-10.5 (-15.0, -6.0)	<0.01
Minnesota			-14.2 (-18.6, -9.8)	<0.01
Mississippi			12.2 (6.3, 18.1)	<0.01
Missouri			-0.7 (-5.1, 3.7)	0.76
Montana			-14.4 (-19.4, -9.5)	<0.01

Nebraska	-0.2 (-4.6, 4.3)	0.94
Nevada	-3.6 (-8.4, 1.3)	0.15
New Hampshire	-19.2 (-24.9, -13.5)	<0.01
New Jersey	-4.7 (-9.5, 0.0)	<0.05
New Mexico	-9.4 (-17.7, -1.1)	0.03
New York	-8.4 (-14.3, -2.6)	<0.01
North Carolina	5.5 (1.1, 10.0)	0.02
North Dakota	-6.4 (-12.6, -0.2)	0.04
Ohio	-3.9 (-8.5, 0.7)	0.10
Oklahoma	1.5 (-2.5, 5.6)	0.46
Oregon	-17.7 (-23.0, -12.4)	<0.01
Pennsylvania	-9.8 (-14.9, -4.8)	<0.01
Rhode Island	-17.6 (-23.7, -11.5)	<0.01
South Carolina	10.7 (6.1, 15.3)	<0.01
South Dakota	-6.7 (-11.0, -2.3)	<0.01
Tennessee	7.2 (1.7, 12.7)	<0.01
Texas	6.9 (1.2, 12.6)	0.02
Utah	2.1 (-11.2, 15.5)	0.75
Vermont	-36.7 (-41.2, -32.1)	<0.01
Virginia	-1.3 (-5.3, 2.7)	0.53
Washington	-19.4 (-24.8, -14.0)	<0.01
West Virginia	-11.0 (-15.9, -6.1)	<0.01
Wisconsin	-16.3 (-25.2, -7.4)	<0.01
Wyoming	[Reference]	[Reference]

Parameter estimates for the "% of population" covariates reflect the change per +1% change.

State covariates are excluded from the table.

*Rounded from -0.00001899 (-0.00003343, -0.00000455)