medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The French Covid-19 contact tracing app: usage and opinions by students in the health domain

Authors: Ilaria MONTAGNI[1], Nicolas ROUSSEL[2], Rodolphe THIÉBAUT[3,4,5]*, Christophe TZOURIO[1]*

[1] Univ.Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, U1219, F-33000, Bordeaux, France

[2] Univ. Bordeaux, Inria, F-33000, Bordeaux, France

[3] Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, CHU Bordeaux, CIC 1401, EUCLID/F-CRIN Clinical Trials Platform, F-33000, Bordeaux, France

[4] Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm Bordeaux Population Health Research Centre, U1219, Inria SISTM, F-33000, Bordeaux, France

[5] CHU Bordeaux, Pôle de Santé Publique, F-33000, Bordeaux, France

*These authors equally contributed

ABSTRACT

Background: Many countries around the world have developed mobile phone apps capable of supporting instantaneous contact tracing to control the Covid-19 pandemic. In France, a few people have downloaded and are using the StopCovid contact tracing app. Reasons for this low uptake are unexplored. Students in the health domain are especially concerned and their usage and opinions about the app can inform improvements and diffusion of StopCovid among young people.

Objective: To investigate health-related students' usage and opinions about the StopCovid app.

Methods: A field survey was conducted among 318 students at the health sciences campus of the University of Bordeaux, France. Quota sampling method was used and descriptive statistics were performed.

Results: A total of 77.3% (246/318) students had heard about the app, but only 11.3% (36/318) had downloaded it and 4.7% (15/318) were still using it. Main reasons for not using the app were: belief that it was not effective given its limited diffusion (17.6%, 37/210), lack of interest (17.6%, 37/210) and distrust in data security and fear to be geo-located (15.7%, 33/210). Among those who had not heard about the app, after a brief description of its functioning and confidentiality policy, 52.7% (38/72) would use it. Participants reported that the main solution for increasing the use of the app would be a better communication strategy (71.4%, 227/318).

Conclusion: Findings suggest that University-based communication interventions are needed to increase knowledge and uptake of the StopCovid app among students.

Keywords: contact tracing; Covid-19; mobile app; students; field survey.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

INTRODUCTION

The Covid-19 pandemic has troubled the life of millions of people around the world. While waiting for effective treatments and vaccines, efforts have been made to contain the spread of the virus. Besides generalized lockdown, one of the solutions to limit contagion, locate clusters and isolate them is the tracing of infected people. In contact tracing, an index case with confirmed infection is asked to provide information about contact people who were at risk of acquiring infection from the index case within a given time period (between a week and fourteen days) before the positive test result. These contacts are then tracked and advised about their risk, quarantined, and tested (Kretzschmar et al., 2020). Conventional or manual contact tracing is a long process demanding several human resources to contact and follow-up people one by one. It can engender several delays and is potentially biased by imperfect recall of contacts (Braithwaite, Callender, Bullock, & Aldridge, 2020). These limitations can be compensated by digital contact tracing (Kretzschmar et al., 2020).

Following the spread of the Covid-19 disease, several apps have been developed across the world to automatically and rapidly trace contacts in real time. In all continents of the world more than 45 apps are currently used, from Algeria to Vietnam (LI & Guo, 2020). The general functioning of these apps is that each instance running on a mobile device keeps track of the other instances it comes in close contact with. When users inform their app instance they were tested positive, this contact log is used to determine the other instances of the app - and the users, indirectly - that should be notified. Existing apps use different technologies and algorithmic methods to detect contacts between mobile devices (e.g. using short range Bluetooth-Low-Energy information exchange, or GPS-, WiFi-, or Bluetooth-based geo-location), to keep track of these contacts (e.g. using temporary unique identifiers), to evaluate the infection risk (e.g. based on a predicted distance and the duration of the contact), and to notify potentially exposed people using a centralized or decentralized network approach (John Leon Singh, Couch, & Yap, 2020). Efficacy of digital contact tracing systems has been assessed in previous studies thus reinforcing the idea that these tools can help limit the spread of the virus (Kretzschmar et al., 2020; Wilmink et al., 2020).

Nonetheless, national and international statistics show a limited use of these apps (Council of Europe, 2020). Reasons are varied: lack of clear communication, fear of confidentiality breach, little testing access, etc. (Dar, Lone, Zahoor, Khan, & Naaz, 2020). In France, the governmental tracing app StopCovid (Government of France, 2020) has been installed more than 2,7 millions of time since the beginning of June (about 6% of the French population, 42 millions), less than the apps of Germany (18 millions), England and Wales (16 millions), and Italy (9 millions). According to national numbers, only 7969 users have declared being Covid-19 positive in the app and only 472 notifications have been sent to potential at-risk contacts. The low uptake of StopCovid explains its lack of effectiveness: the success of app-based contact tracing critically depends on people's willingness to use the app so as to interrupt the chains of infection transmission. Understanding in depth the levers and barriers for using contact tracing apps can inform on potential improvements as well as public-oriented communication strategies and appropriate political decisions to increase their diffusion.

The recrudescence of the virus after the general lockdown in March-May 2020 has especially concerned young people across France and in the Bordeaux region in particular, where incidence of Covid-19 positive cases among young adults aged 20-30 years has increased, about 248/100,000 per week (Santé publique France, 2020). Since the 1st September 2020, several hundreds of students of the University of Bordeaux have been tested and 26 of them returned positive. Students in the health domain are on the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

front line in terms of contagion. First, as many students across France, they are at risk because of their large contact with their peers. They often meet at the University (before, during and after classes), in downtown or for private events. During these encounters, barrier gestures and preventive measures are not always respected. Second, their role as future health-related workers might suppose that they should give the example as users of the app, since they are sensitized to adopt behaviors in favor of health promotion and prevention. Third, most of students in the health domain are in contact with patients directly or indirectly through their interaction with healthcare workers. These different situations, informal, unprotected and in relation with potentially unknown people, are typically those in which contact tracing apps make the most sense. Furthermore, students are digitally literate and are supposed to be more at ease with download and use of apps. French students in the health domain are thus a priority target for the uptake of the StopCovid app.

Against this background, the aim of this study was to describe usage and opinions about the StopCovid app among students in the Bordeaux region, France. Reasons for downloading or not the app and fake news concerning its functioning were also explored.

METHODS

The StopCovid app

StopCovid was launched by the French Government in June 2020. It was developed by a team of public and private partners lead by the French National Institute for Research in Digital Science and Technology (Inria), and is available in both Apple and Google Play stores as it works on iOS and Android phones. The app is based on Bluetooth signals, running in the background of the phone with low-energy wireless transmission (Cunche, Boutet, Castelluccia, & Lauradoux, 2020). Once the app is activated, the phone logs other phones it comes in contact with, assuming concerned devices are running StopCovid. These logs do not include any identifying information about the user: they used random ID codes that change every 15 minutes and get trashed completely once they are older than 14 days (the incubation period for Covid-19). The app does not locate the user (no GPS-, WiFi- or Bluetooth-based geolocation); it only knows which random IDs the phone has come into contact with. Transparent and anonymous, the app does not collect any personal data nor contact details. If a user declares being positive for Covid-19 using a code delivered with the test results, the app will send that record of the rotating IDs to a server, which in turn will send them out to other devices using the system (Gorce, Egan, & Gribonval, 2020). Anyone who has been nearby in the last two weeks will be pinged with an alert. More precisely, this notification is sent if the person has spent more than 15 minutes within 1 meter of an index case. Users are then recommended to inform their general practitioner, get tested and self-isolate, thus potentially stopping another line of transmission.

The field survey

This study was conducted within the framework of the larger ongoing i-Share cohort study (Internet-Based Students Health Research Enterprise, www.i-share.fr), a French nationwide Web-based survey on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

the health and well-being of university students, whose principal investigators and operational staff are based at the University of Bordeaux.

The field survey consisted in a paper questionnaire administered face-to-face by 5 undergraduate students (interviewers) who had been trained to take notes, fill in the questionnaire and describe the app to respondents. Interviewers approached their peers in the halls, canteen, courtyards, library and study rooms at the health sciences campus of the University of Bordeaux. The collection of the data started on September 25th 2020 and ended on October 16th 2020. A sample size of 300 respondents was targeted with quotas set for the sample to be representative of the overall population of students in the health domain at the University of Bordeaux (n=18,000) in terms of sex, age (18-30), specific field of health-related study (medicine, dentistry, nursing, pharmacy, public health, etc.) and year of study (1 to >6 years). Inclusion criteria were: being aged >18 years, being a student in the health domain enrolled at the University of Bordeaux, and providing oral informed consent.

The questionnaire was co-designed and tested by a team of 14 public health researchers and operational staff following a structured survey construction method in 5 steps (Pazzaglia, Stafford, & Rodriguez, 2016). The final questionnaire was composed of 36 items, 14 of which were common to all students (socio-demographic characteristics, suggestions for increasing the diffusion of the app, will to recommend the app to family and friends, and fake news about data collection and sharing within the app). The other items were administered based on four different scenarios: (1) the student has already heard about the app and has downloaded it; (2) the student has already heard about the app and has not downloaded it; (3) the student has never heard about the app but would download it; and (4) the student has never heard about the app and would not download it. Specific questions were then asked depending on the scenario. Before answering further questions, students who had not heard about the app were provided a brief description of it. After having responded to fake news about data collection and sharing within the app, all students were given the correct answers. The English version of the questionnaire is available as *Supplemental File*. The time of administration and completion of the questionnaire was about 10 minutes. The field survey was approved by the University of Bordeaux. The oral informed consent reassured students of the anonymous format of the survey and use of collected data for research purposes only.

All data from the paper questionnaires were entered by the student interviewers in a digital database through the EpiData® software version 3.1. A descriptive analysis was performed, presenting all variables and measures in the form of numbers and percentages for qualitative variables and mean and standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables. The data were analyzed with SAS® version 9.3.

RESULTS

A total of 590 students were approached to complete the survey after a brief explanation of its objective: 318 completed the survey while 272 refused to participate for a final participation rate of 53.9%. Reasons for not participating were lack of time or no interest for the topic of the study. The final study sample was composed of 65.7% (209/318) female students and was aged 20.4 years (2.39 SD). All fields and years of study were represented. Medical students were the most numerous, 60.7% (193/318), in line with the total number of medical students at the University of Bordeaux. In accordance with University-related

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

statistics, first year students were also the most represented (40.6%, 129/318). Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the study population.

<Insert Figure 1 about here>

The majority of participants had already heard about the app (77.3%, 246/318), mostly through the media (87.8%, 216/246) and secondly through family and friends (15.9%, 39/246). Most of the students correctly knew that the app was promoted by the government (72.8%, 179/246), but 25.2% (62/246) answered that they did not know who the promoter was. Among those who had heard about the app, 14.6% (36/246) had actually downloaded it when it was first released in June (61.1%, 22/36) or with the new cases of Covid-19 at the beginning of the University year (16.7%, 6/36). Of them, 41.6% (15/36) were still using the app. Of the total sample, students using the app at the moment of the survey were 4.7% (15/318), while those having uninstalled the app had used it from one day (16.7%, 6/36) to several weeks (16.7%, 6/36). Main reasons for uninstalling the app were that it was not so useful (66.7%, 14/30), the respondent forgot to activate the Bluetooth (23.8%, 5/30), the app drained the phone battery (19.0%, 4/30) and too few people were using it thus making the app ineffective (19.0%, 4/30). Accordingly, students reported that the main default of the app was that it seemed inefficient given its limited uptake (48.6%, 17/30). For 25.7% (9/30) the app presented technical problems like draining the battery, depending on Bluetooth or occupying too much space on the phone. These students also reported that its qualities were that it was easy to use (51.4%, 18/30) and that it was reassuring (25.7%, 9/30).

Reasons for downloading or not the app are showed in Table 1 (multiple answers possible for each individual).

<Insert Table 1 about here>

Among those having heard about the app, but who had not downloaded it, main reasons for not using the app were: lack of interest (42.9%, 90/210), belief that it was neither effective nor useful given its limited diffusion (17.6%, 37/210), and distrust in data security and fear to be geo-located (15.7%, 33/210). The majority of students having heard about the app but who had not downloaded it might change their mind and use the app if they had more information about it through better communication strategies (29.0%, 61/210) and if more people would use it (25.7%, 54/210). Nonetheless, 26.2% (55/210) would not change their mind and still not download the app. On the other hand, main reasons for downloading the app were out of curiosity (36.1%, 13/36) and to protect one's family, others and oneself from possible infection (36.1%, 13/36).

Students who had never heard about the app (n=72) were asked to imagine its content and objective: 41.7% (30/72) reported that it was an app providing advice and information about Covid-19; 29.2% (21/72) that it was an app to limit the spread of the virus; 29.2% (21/72) did not know; and 15.3% (11/72) answered "other". After a short description of the app, 52.7% (38/72) said they would download it. Reasons for downloading or not the app are reported in Table 1 and are similar to those provided by the sample having heard about the app. Among these students who had never heard about the app and who were still not willing to download it after a brief description (n=34), 32.4% (11/34) would not change their mind, 17.6% (6/34) would download it if more people used it, and 11.8% (4/34) would download it if they had a more performing telephone.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Concerning the functioning of the app, 83.3% (30/36) of the respondents said that they were able to explain it. However, when further asked about geo-location, access to contact information and how data were transmitted and stocked, their answers were mostly incorrect. As expected, students not having heard about the app before, but who were presented a quick description of it during the survey, provided correct answers more than their peers. Detailed results are shown in Table 2.

<Insert Table 2 about here>

Finally, the whole sample (n=318) was asked about the factors increasing the use of the app. For the majority of them (71.4%, 227/318) the solution was a better communication strategy. Other factors were: making the app compulsory (14.2%, 45/318); registering more Covid-19 cases (9.4%, 30/318); more information and explanations about the app (6.6%, 21/318); better technical features (3.1%, 10/318); and "other" (20.1%, 64/318).

DISCUSSION

Principal findings and interpretation

One student out of five had never heard about the StopCovid app: the rate is low considering that students in the health domain should be informed of existing tools to limit the spread of coronavirus. Of the students having heard about the app, mostly through the media, 15% had actually downloaded the app and, in the whole sample, only about 5% were still using it. These percentages confirm the low uptake of the StopCovid app as reported by national statistics. Main reasons for not downloading the app were that it was uninteresting and ineffective since very few people were using it. A larger diffusion of the app would increase its efficacy and, in turn, motivate adoption by young people. One student out of six had not downloaded the app for fear of being geo-located and that personal data could be collected and shared. In fact, half of the whole sample, mostly including those having already heard about the app, falsely believed that the app was intrusive and had access to phone contacts. Among those who had received a clear explanation of the functioning of the app and its confidentiality policy (no geo-location, no access to personal data), one student out of two felt reassured and would finally download the app. This result suggests that simple, clear and straightforward information might increase app-related knowledge and acceptability. Accordingly, 71% of students suggested deploying better communication strategies to increase the uptake of the app especially rising its interest. This finding shows the current lack of appropriate information and communication about the app especially when addressing students. Better promotion of the app might convince the 25% of the whole sample who would not change their mind thus not downloading the app. Technical problems were also mentioned: the constant use of Bluetooth, the fact that the phone battery was drained and insufficient space on the phone. Finally, for 14% the app should be mandatory as a fundamental prevention tool in a pandemic context so as to increase the uptake of the app. In this sense, automatically installing the app on phones could be an option to be considered by phone providers, while being an intrusive solution.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Comparison with prior work

A multicountry study, including 996 adults from France, was conducted to explore acceptability of and intention to use a contact-tracing app concerning Covid-19 (Altmann et al., 2020). Differently from our survey, questions about future behavior related to the app were hypothetical and not based on a concrete existing contact tracing digital tool: participants could not visualize how such a system works and had not had any experience of it. This study found strong support for the general idea of this type of app: almost 75% of respondents said they would probably or definitely download the app. Thus, these results are more optimistic than the findings of our study where the real uptake of the app was very limited. However, when provided with clear explanation of the app, one student out of two was convinced to download the app within our study. Differences between the results of our study and those of the multicountry study might be explained by the study population (general population vs young people) and the fact that the app presented in the multicountry study was hypothetical vs a real app. Furthermore, in the multicountry study, concerns about cybersecurity and privacy, together with a lack of trust in the government, were mentioned as the main barriers to adoption. Distrust in data security and fear of being geo-located were also reported by our sample, even if not as the principle reason not to use the app. The main reason for not using the app was its potential lack of effectiveness. Controlling Covid-19 requires a high population uptake of automated contact-tracing apps (estimates from 56% to 95%) (Braithwaite et al., 2020). Participants to this study underlined the need for sufficient population uptake to make the app useful and successful. Up to the present, the diffusion of StopCovid is limited thus representing an obstacle to its actual efficacy.

Another study on motivations for adopting a contact tracing app use was conducted with 406 German-speaking participants (Kaspar, 2020). Results showed that younger respondents were more willing to use the app, which strengthens the idea that students are a suitable target for this type of digital interventions. Young people are usually very likely to carry their phone with them, which might explain their easiness to download and use apps in general. Frequent usage of mobile phones might be related to a stronger perception of the potential benefits of the app. Similarly to the previous multicountry study, the perceived severity of and vulnerability to data misuse were negatively related to the participants' motivation for using a contact tracing app. The participants' general trust in official app providers was the most important independent variable with respect to app use motivation. This result confirms once again the significant role of data security issues and trust in the context of app-based measures to combat the current pandemic. Internationally, the issue of confidentiality of data transmitted by contact tracing apps has been raised as the most important barrier to download and use the app (Parker, Fraser, Abeler-Dörner, & Bonsall, 2020).

A study conducted among 1500 Belgians (Walrave, Waeterloos, & Ponnet, 2020) on use of a hypothetical contact tracing app also reported that the clarity of the functioning of the app was correlated to the will to use it. This result is in line with our findings. Uptake of the app is strictly related to the understanding of its contents and objectives. When students understand that the app does not follow them nor access their personal information, they are more willing to download it. In fact, in our sample, intention to use the app increased with the access to more and clearer information: participants wished the app to be better promoted and explained.

Finally, 900 Dutch adults (Jonker et al., 2020) were questioned on their will to adopt a hypothetical contact tracing app and the predicted adoption was of 64.1%. This rate strongly varied by age group: the adoption rates of the app ranged from 45.6% to 79.4% for people in the oldest and youngest age groups

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(i.e., ≥75 years vs 15-34 years), respectively. Educational attainment, the presence of serious underlying health conditions, and the respondents' stance on Covid-19 infection risks were also correlated with the predicted adoption rate. Once again, younger adults proved to be more prone to download this type of app.

Strengths and Limitations

This was one of the first studies reporting data on students' representations and opinions about a contact tracing app in a pandemic context. Previous studies have explored the intention of downloading this type of apps as a general idea, but were not based on a developed and currently diffused app (Altmann et al., 2020; Jonker et al., 2020; Kaspar, 2020; Walrave et al., 2020). Reasons for downloading and using the app were explored in depth to inform future steps to increase its diffusion. The specific focus on students was another strong point of this study: young people are especially concerned by the transmission of the virus in subsequent coronavirus waves. Mobilizing this population to adopt the app is key in this particular epidemiological context.

Limitations of the study include the relative small sample. More than 300 students in the health domain were interviewed among a total population of 18,000 students. Findings cannot be generalized, but the sample was recruited according to quota sampling in order to be representative of sex, age, specific field of study (from medicine to pharmacy) and year of study. This might increase the representativeness of the interviewed population group. Descriptive statistics are appropriate for this small sample where statistical power might not be enough for data modeling.

The new version of the StopCovid app

StopCovid has recently received several criticisms and even the French Prime Minister Jean Castex has officially declared not having downloaded the app. The government has considered the low uptake of the app as the main issue of StopCovid. Some weeks after the implementation of this study, the French president Emmanuel Macron has announced the launch of a new contact tracing app, TousAntiCovid with new features: provision of information on new cases, effective R, incidence rate, positivity rate, occupancy rate; app registrations, reported cases, app alerts; and testing locations. Thus, this study concerned the first version of this app with relatively small changes and same contact trading functioning compared to the new version. Obtained results might be easily transposed to the new TousAntiCovid app.

Implications

This survey was conducted as the preliminary phase of a complex intervention aimed at promoting the uptake of the StopCovid app among students in the health domain at the University of Bordeaux. After this first appraisal of usage and opinions about StopCovid, the next steps are to implement a series of actions on the premises of the University. Professors and lecturers have been mobilized and trained to present StopCovid to their students during classes. Furthermore, students will be informed also by other communication supports like short videos on the University website and intranet, flyers, posts on social

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

networks, posters, etc. Student ambassadors and associations will also be involved in the diffusion of the app. This complex intervention will be evaluated through a second series of random field surveys aimed at observing an increase in the number of downloads of the app. Depending on the results of the evaluation, the intervention will be extended to students of other fields of study at the University of Bordeaux and in other Universities across France.

Conclusion

Overall, broad support for app-based contact tracing was found notwithstanding its low uptake. Results suggest that the functioning and purpose of the StopCovid app are not well known and appraised among students. Communication efforts are to be taken in order to increase knowledge about the app and diffuse its adoption. The way the app traces contacts should be better explained in order to maximize download and consequential usage of the app.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The project is led by the University of Bordeaux, in close collaboration with the University Hospital (CHU) of Bordeaux, the Regional Health Authority (ARS), and the National Institute for Research in Digital Science and Technology (Inria).

The authors wish to thank the i-Share team (www.i-share.fr) for managing and conducting the study and for revising the questionnaire: Julie Arsandaux, Fadi El Khoury, Shérazade Kinouani, Mélissa Macalli, Elena Milesi, Marie Mougin, Garance Perret and Clothilde Pollet. Within the i-Share team, the authors wish to thank especially Edwige Pereira and Aude Pouymayou for their support for statistical analysis. The authors are also indebted to the student ambassadors that carried out the field survey: Idriss Boukili, Justine Char, Jade Giacosa, Oleg Hounkpatin, Jeanne Langlois and Marie Lanneluc.

Author approval: All authors have seen and approved the manuscript

Authors' Contributors

IM was responsible for writing, literature search, data collection and interpretation. CT, RT and NR were responsible for study design and revision of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this manuscript. The full dataset is available on reasonable request.

Funding statement

The i-Share team is currently supported by an unrestricted grant of the Nouvelle-Aquitaine Regional Council (Conseil Régional Nouvelle-Aquitaine) (grant N° 4370420) and by the Bordeaux 'Initiatives d'excellence' (IdEx) program of the University of Bordeaux (ANR-10-IDEX-03-02). The team has also received grants from Public Health France (Santé Publique France, contract N° 19DPPP023-0) and the Nouvelle-Aquitaine Regional Health Agency (Agence Régionale de Santé Nouvelle-Aquitaine). The funding bodies were neither involved in the study design, or in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

REFERENCES

Altmann, S., Milsom, L., Zillessen, H., Blasone, R., Gerdon, F., Bach, R., … Abeler, J. (2020). Acceptability of App-Based Contact Tracing for COVID-19: Cross-Country Survey Study. *JMIR MHealth and UHealth*, *8*(8). https://doi.org/10.2196/19857

Braithwaite, I., Callender, T., Bullock, M., & Aldridge, R. W. (2020). Automated and partly automated contact tracing: a systematic review to inform the control of COVID-19. *The Lancet Digital Health*. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30184-9

Council of Europe. (2020). Contact Tracing Apps. Retrieved October 23, 2020, from https://www.coe.int/en/web/data-protection/contact-tracing-apps

Cunche, M., Boutet, A., Castelluccia, C., & Lauradoux, C. (2020). *On using Bluetooth-Low-Energy for contact tracing*. https://doi.org/ffhal-02878346v5f

Dar, A. B., Lone, A. H., Zahoor, S., Khan, A. A., & Naaz, R. (2020). Applicability of mobile contact tracing in fighting pandemic (COVID-19): Issues, challenges and solutions. *Computer Science Review*, *38*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2020.100307

Gorce, J.-M., Egan, M., & Gribonval, R. (2020). *An efficient algorithm to estimate Covid-19 infectiousness risk from BLE-RSSI measurements*. https://doi.org/ffhal-02641630

Government of France. (2020). StopCovid - TousAntiCovid. Retrieved October 23, 2020, from https://www.economie.gouv.fr/stopcovid

John Leon Singh, H., Couch, D., & Yap, K. (2020). Mobile Health Apps That Help With COVID-19 Management: Scoping Review. *JMIR Nursing*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.2196/20596

Jonker, M., De Bekker-Grob, E., Veldwijk, J., Goossens, L., Bour, S., & Rutten-Van Mölken, M. (2020). COVID-19 contact-tracing apps: predicted uptake in the Netherlands based on a discrete choice experiment (Preprint). *JMIR MHealth and UHealth*. https://doi.org/10.2196/20741

Kaspar, K. (2020). Motivations for social distancing and app use as complementary measures to combat the COVID-19 pandemic: Quantitative survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, Vol. 22. https://doi.org/10.2196/21613

Kretzschmar, M. E., Rozhnova, G., Bootsma, M. C. J., van Boven, M., van de Wijgert, J. H. H. M., & Bonten, M. J. M. (2020). Impact of delays on effectiveness of contact tracing strategies for COVID-19: a modelling study. *The Lancet Public Health*, *5*(8). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30157-2

LI, J., & Guo, X. (2020). Global Deployment Mappings and Challenges of Contact-tracing Apps for COVID-19. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3609516

Parker, M. J., Fraser, C., Abeler-Dörner, L., & Bonsall, D. (2020). Ethics of instantaneous contact tracing using mobile phone apps in the control of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, *46*(7). https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2020-106314

Pazzaglia, A. M., Stafford, E. T., & Rodriguez, S. M. (2016). Survey methods for educators: Selecting samples and administering surveys (part 2 of 3). *Applied Research Methods*, (August).

Santé publique France. (2020). Coronavirus□: chiffres clés et évolution de la COVID-19 en France et dans le Monde. Retrieved October 23, 2020, from

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/coronavirus-chiffres-cles-et-evolution-de-la-covid-19-en-france-et-dans-le-monde

Walrave, M., Waeterloos, C., & Ponnet, K. (2020). Adoption of a Contact Tracing App for Containing COVID-19: A Health Belief Model Approach. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.2196/20572

Wilmink, G., Summer, I., Marsyla, D., Sukhu, S., Grote, J., Zobel, G., … Movva, S. (2020). Real-Time Digital Contact Tracing: Development of a System to Control COVID-19 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes and Long-Term Care Facilities. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.2196/20828

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

TABLES AND FIGURE

Table 1. Reasons for downloading or not the StopCovid app

	Yes, I have downloaded the app (n=36)		Yes, I would download the app (n=38)	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**
Reasons for downloading the app				
Out of curiosity	13	36.1	14	36.8
To protect my family, others and myself from possible infection	13	36.1	24	63.2
It was the government that advised the downloading of the app	5	13.9	0	0.0
The app could be useful to contain the spread of the virus in general	5	13.9	200	52.6
Am afraid of the virus and all strategies are good to avoid it	1	2.8	1	2.6
Am reassured the app is anonymous	NA	NA	0	0.0
Other	11	30.6	1	2.6
	No, I have not downloaded the app (n=210)		**No, I would not download the app (n=34)**	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**
Reasons for not downloading the app				
Cannot see the interest or need	90	42.9	17	50.0
Do not like the general idea of this app	10	4.8	1	2.9
Do not know how it works, did not get enough information on the app	23	11.0	2	5.9
Am suspicious of this type of app	18	8.6	1	2.9
Do not trust, because do not know who is offering this app: industry etc.	11	5.2	1	2.9
Not sure about the security of the data, fear of being geo-located	33	15.7	1	2.9
My family and friends have discouraged me from downloading it	1	0.5	0	0.0
No space on my phone or it is not powerful enough to have an extra app (battery, Bluetooth)	27	12.9	6	17.6
Do not carry my phone with me at all times	2	1.0	4	11.8
Do not use public transportation and/or do not go out much in public places (do not come into contact with strangers)	11	5.2	4	11.8
It does not seem to be effective (too few people use it)	37	17.6	8	23.5
Heard negative feedback on this app	7	3.3	1	2.9
Do not really have time to think about it	37	17.6	3	8.8
By negligence, not concerned	28	13.3	5	14.7
Not sure what it is all about, the principle and or the functioning	11	5.2	NA	NA
Other	15	7.1	2	5.9

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2. Knowledge about the functioning and data management of the StopCovid app

	Yes, I have heard about the app (n=246)		No, I have not heard about the app (n=72)		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
StopCovid geo-locates you and tracks your movements						
No (correct answer)	78	31.7	44	61.1	122	38.4
Yes	138	56.1	19	26.4	157	49.4
Not sure	30	12.2	9	12.5	39	12.3
StopCovid collects your contacts and knows their names (on the phone, on social networks…)						
No (correct answer)	178	72.4	56	77.8	234	73.6
Yes	37	15.0	5	6.9	42	13.2
Not sure	31	12.6	11	15.3	42	13.2
StopCovid detects people around you and knows their names (physical contacts)						
No (correct answer)	124	50.4	45	62.5	169	53.1
Yes	82	33.3	15	20.8	97	30.5
Not sure	40	16.3	12	16.7	52	16.4
StopCovid has access to your personal data and communicates them						
No (correct answer)	191	77.6	55	76.4	246	77.4
Yes	28	11.4	5	6.9	33	10.4
Not sure	27	11.0	12	16.7	39	12.3

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218214; this version posted October 27, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study population (n=318)