

1 **Trends in suicide rates during the COVID-19**
2 **pandemic restrictions in a major German city**

3

4

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

5

6 Daniel Radeloff^{1*}, Rainer Papsdorf¹, Kirsten Uhlig², Andreas Vasilache³, Karen

7

Putnam⁴, Kai von Klitzing¹

8

9 ¹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and

10 Psychosomatics, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

11 ² Leipzig Health Authority, Leipzig, Germany

12 ³ Center for German and European Studies (CGES), Faculty of Sociology Bielefeld

13 University, Bielefeld, Germany

14 ⁴ Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill,

15 United States

16

17 *Corresponding author: Daniel Radeloff, Department of Child and Adolescent

18 Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, University Hospital Leipzig,

19 Liebigstrasse 20a, 04103 Leipzig, Germany e-mail: [daniel.radeloff@medizin.uni-](mailto:daniel.radeloff@medizin.uni-leipzig.de)

20 [leipzig.de](mailto:daniel.radeloff@medizin.uni-leipzig.de), +49 - 34 - 97 2 40 11.

21 All authors declare no conflicts of interest. This study was conducted without external

22 funding.

23 wordcount manuscript: 2036, wordcount abstract: 249 / 250, references: 46, figures:

24 1, tables: 0

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background**

27 It remains unclear whether the COVID-19 pandemic is having an impact on suicide
28 rates. Social distancing, economic insecurity and increasing prevalence rates of
29 mental disorders may cause an increase in risk factors for suicide.

30

31 **Methods**

32 Data on suicide events in a major city in Germany, and the corresponding life years
33 were provided by the local authorities. For the year 2020, periods without restrictions
34 on freedom of movement and social contact were compared with periods of
35 moderate and severe COVID-19 restrictions. To avoid distortions due to seasonal
36 fluctuations in suicide risk, data from 2020 were compared to data from 2010 to
37 2019.

38

39 **Outcomes**

40 A total of 333 suicides were registered and 2,791,131 life years (LY) were spent
41 between 2010 and 2020. Of these, 42 suicides and 300,834 LY accounted for the
42 year 2020.

43 In 2020, suicide rates (SR, suicides per 100,000 LY) were lower in periods with
44 moderate (SR = 8.5, $\chi^2 = 4.374$, $p = 0.037$) or severe COVID-19 restrictions (SR =
45 7.0, $\chi^2 = 3.999$, $p = 0.046$) compared with periods without restrictions (SR = 18.0).

46 A comparison with preceding years showed that differences cannot be attributed to
47 seasonal variations. No age- or gender differences were found.

48

49 **Interpretation**

50 SR decreased during the COVID-19 restrictions; we expect SR to rise in the medium
51 term. Careful monitoring of SR in the further course of the COVID-19 crisis is
52 therefore urgently needed. The findings have regional reference and should not be
53 over-generalized.

54

55 **Funding**

56 This study was conducted without external funding.

57

58 **Keywords**

59 Suicide, COVID-19, pandemic, restrictions, quarantine, Germany

60

61

62 **Introduction**

63 It remains unclear whether the COVID-19 pandemic is having an impact on suicide
64 rates. Some predict that suicide rates will rise, since actions to contain COVID-19,
65 such as social distancing, economic lockdown, or the temporary restructuring of the
66 health system, could cause risk factors for suicide to increase ¹⁻⁵. Indeed, analyses
67 of previous economic crises have shown that an increase in unemployment was
68 associated with an increase in suicide rates ⁶⁻¹⁰; and according to leading theories of
69 suicide prevention, the loss of social inclusion is a major risk factor for suicide ¹¹.

70

71 In Germany, a significant restriction of the free movement of persons was agreed
72 upon in March 2020, with the strongest restrictions coming into force in April and
73 May. German borders were virtually closed for travel from 16 March onwards ¹²⁻¹⁴.

74 On 22 March 2020, the German Federal Government and the Länder agreed on a
75 comprehensive restriction of social contacts, which required people to reduce
76 contacts with others (except for members of one's own household) to an absolute
77 minimum ¹⁵. In the Free State of Saxony, further restrictions on going out, and a ban
78 on visiting care homes, were adopted on 1 April 2020 ¹⁶. While the restrictions on
79 going out were eased on 4 May, the restrictions on visiting care homes remained ¹⁷.

80 Following a meeting by the EU interior ministers on 15 June, extensive freedom of
81 movement within the EU's Schengen Area was gradually restored, but differentiated
82 travel warnings and quarantine regulations following travel remained in place for
83 parts of it. The German Federal Foreign Office also maintained the existing travel
84 warnings for 160 countries until 31 August 2020 ¹⁸.

85

86 Elderly people, particularly those with severe or multiple underlying health conditions,
87 are threatened by high fatality rates of COVID-19 ¹⁹, and are therefore particularly
88 affected by the pandemic. Social distancing was practised more consistently by this
89 age group, and older people are more often dependent on help from third parties.
90 Thwarted belongingness and perceived burdensomeness are in turn central risk
91 factors for suicide, according to the interpersonal theory of suicide ¹¹. In the case of
92 senior citizens, these risk factors affect an already vulnerable age group with the
93 highest age-related suicide rates ²⁰.

94

95 This study investigated the influence of social distancing during the COVID-19
96 restrictions period on suicide rates (SR). We addressed the following hypotheses:

97 A. SR increased in the total population under conditions compared to periods without
98 restrictions. This applies to both the period of travel restrictions and that of restricting
99 social contact.

100 B. Within the 70+ age group, the risk of suicide increased more strongly than in other
101 age groups during COVID-19 restrictions.

102 C. A comparison with previous years shows that differences in SR within 2020 are
103 not due to seasonal differences.

104

105 **Methods**

106 Sample and data acquisition

107 The data on suicides are based on the City of Leipzig's cause of death statistics, and
108 were provided by the responsible health authority for the years 2010 to 2020. Data
109 were obtained for age ranges 0–4, 5–9, 10–14, ..., 75–79, 80–84, 85+ and for both

110 sexes. Annual population statistics were provided for the corresponding age groups
111 by the residents' registration office of Leipzig (<https://statistik.leipzig.de/statcity/>).

112

113 Analytical strategy

114 Data were analysed using the R software version 3.3.1¹⁷, IBM SPSS 25.0¹⁸, and
115 Microsoft Excel.

116

117 The analysis included suicides from the first six months of each of the years studied.
118 For the year 2020, months without restrictions on freedom of movement or social
119 contact were aggregated as period nR_2020 (January, February), those with
120 moderate restriction as period R1_2020 (travel restrictions; March to June), and
121 those with severe restrictions as period R2_2020 (restrictions on travel, going out
122 and social contact; April, May). To compare suicide mortality in 2020 before and
123 during the COVID-19 restrictions, suicide cases were assigned to group nR_2020,
124 R1_2020 and R2_2020. Corresponding life-years were calculated, according to the
125 length of the periods examined.

126

127 Life years (LY) and events were used to calculate the Risk Ratios (RR) with
128 Incidence Rate Ratios (IRR). Differences in suicide risk between nR_2020 and the
129 risk groups R1_2020 and R2_2020 were conducted using Chi-Square tests. In order
130 to exclude biases due to seasonal fluctuations in the suicide risk, Mantel-Haenszel
131 statistics (MH, Test for Heterogeneity) were performed to examine the risk of suicide
132 within the 2020 restriction periods and with the paired periods for years 2010-2019.
133 The Test for Heterogeneity examines whether the IRR of n 2x2 tables differ. Post-
134 hoc, Chi-Square tests were used to examine differences for each pair (nR_2020 vs
135 nR_2010/19; R1_2020 vs R1_2010/19; R2_2020 vs R2_2010/19).

136

137 In order to examine age-related and gender-related differences within the 2020
138 restriction periods, suicide risk in nR_2020, R1_2020 and R2_2020 were compared
139 between senior age (70+) vs age group 0–69 and between genders using the MH.

140

141 *Ethical considerations*

142 The study was approved by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the
143 University Hospital Leipzig, Germany (study ID: 272/20-ek) and conducted according
144 to the Declaration of Helsinki. This epidemiological cohort study is based on the
145 death statistics. For methodological reasons, no informed consent can be obtained.

146 **Results**

147 A total of 2,791,131 LY were spent and 333 suicides were registered during the
148 periods studied. In 2020, 18 suicides (LY: 100,278) were attributed to nR_2020, 17
149 (LY: 200,556) to R1_2020, and 7 (LY: 100,278) to R2_2020. In the previous years
150 2010 to 2019, 90 suicides (LY: 930,377) were attributed to nR_2010/19, 208 (LY:
151 1,860,754) to R1_2010/19, and 91 (LY: 930,377) to R2_2010/19. The suicide rates
152 within the individual periods were 18.0, 8.5, 7.0 in nR_2020, R1_2020, and R2_2020,
153 respectively.

154

155 The suicide risk in 2020 was found to be different between nR_2020 and the periods
156 studied of R1_2020 χ^2 [1; N = 300,869] = 4.374, p = 0.037), and R2_2020 R2 (χ^2 [1;
157 N = 200,581] = 3.999, p = 0.046).

158 The difference for nR compared to R1 and R2 also remained in a comparison with
159 the previous years 2010 to 2019 (Test for Heterogeneity; periods nR vs R1: Q [df = 1]

160 = 12.233, $p < 0.001$; periods nR vs R2: $Q [df = 1] = 13.974$, $p < 0.001$). The post hoc
161 analysis showed that the described difference was due to high suicide rates in
162 nR_2020 compared to nR_2010/19. The periods R1_2020 and R2_2020 were not
163 different compared to the previous years.

164

165 For details, see Figure 1.

166 < insert Figure 1 about here >

167

168 No gender- or age-related differences were demonstrated between R_2020,
169 R1_2020, and R2_2020.

170

171 **Discussion**

172 Our hypotheses regarding suicide rates increasing during corona restrictions could
173 not be confirmed. On the contrary, we found lower suicide rates during the pandemic
174 restrictions in 2020 compared to previous months. However, a comparison with
175 preceding years showed that this difference in 2020 was mainly caused by high
176 suicide rates in the period without restrictions.

177

178 Results represent local suicide trends during the COVID-19 pandemic in a major city
179 in Saxony. In Saxony, as in most regions of Germany, the prevalence and mortality
180 rates of COVID-19 were comparatively low, with 135.8 and 251.0 cases per 100,000
181 inhabitants, respectively²⁴. The regional shut-down was much less restrictive than in
182 other European countries, i.e. United Kingdom, France, Spain or Italy. At an early

183 stage of the pandemic, the German government committed to support measures to
184 prevent insolvencies and unemployment. Accordingly, results should be evaluated
185 under these external conditions. Regional differences in the COVID-19 pandemic
186 may produce regional differences in the mental health situation, economic crises and
187 suicide rates. The findings of this study should not, therefore, be extrapolated
188 uncritically to other regions or countries. It should not be assumed either that the
189 trend described will remain stable. This study only provides a first regional snapshot.

190

191 The COVID-19 pandemic is a new phenomenon. Thus, it is not surprising, that little
192 scientific evidence of suicide trends during the COVID-19 pandemic exist. A number
193 of case reports describes a co-occurrence of suicidal behaviour and COVID-19, with
194 the underlying factors being fear of infection ²⁵⁻²⁷ or severe mental disorders such as
195 hallucinations or delusions ²⁸⁻³⁰. However, trends in suicide risks cannot be
196 concluded from case reports or case series.

197

198 Projections based on underlying risk factors for suicide, such as unemployment,
199 indicate rising suicide rates during the COVID-19 pandemic ^{1,2}. This does not
200 contradict the reduced suicide risk found in our study, since unemployment has not
201 yet risen in the region investigated. It illustrates, however, that suicide rates will not
202 increase immediately, but possibly only with a time lag.

203

204 This is consistent with studies reporting no increase in suicidal behaviour, used as an
205 indirect measure for suicide risk, in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic ³¹⁻³⁷.
206 For instance, online surveys showed a decrease in suicidal thoughts and intention
207 during the pandemic; presentation at emergency departments due to suicidal ideation

208 decreased ³⁶, suicides in selective autopsy samples remained low ³⁷, and search
209 engine users entered suicide-related terms less frequently ^{31-33,35}. However, the
210 overall results are inhomogeneous, since other surveys indicate a high prevalence of
211 suicidal thoughts during the pandemic, in particular under quarantine conditions ^{3,38-}
212 ⁴¹.

213

214 The findings have led us to change our initial hypothesis. We predict the pandemic
215 will see the following course of suicide rates; this will need to be verified in future
216 studies: In an initial phase, the pandemic was perceived as an incalculable new
217 threat without any social countermeasures being taken. In this phase of individual
218 disorientation, suicide rates were unusually high.

219 In a second phase, with the COVID-19 restrictions, a social response to the threat
220 was given. Rules were established to protect the most vulnerable individuals and to
221 slow down the spread of the virus. The omnipresent concern for the well-being of
222 fellow human beings led to an increased sense of social belonging despite physical
223 distance. According to Durkheim's theory, a temporary increase of social integration
224 and cohesion results in a reduction in suicide rates ⁴². Some findings observed during
225 the Second World War and the 9/11 terror attacks support this hypothesis, but it
226 remains controversial ⁴³⁻⁴⁵.

227 In a third phase, the pandemic could be perceived as more predictable and less
228 threatening. The feeling of belonging may once again be increasingly determined by
229 the quality and quantity of everyday contacts, which could continue to be reduced
230 depending on the regulations. A rise in loneliness, unemployment and mental
231 disorders may lead to a delayed increase in the suicide rates in the medium term. As
232 other authors have stressed, it is important to distinguish between physical distance

233 and social belonging⁴⁶. A major challenge for suicide prevention during the COVID-
234 19 pandemic is to find an answer to the following question: How can we succeed in
235 maintaining a sense of social belonging in our society if physical distance persists in
236 the medium term?

237

238 **Conclusion**

239 In the population studied, suicide rates decreased during the COVID-19 restrictions,
240 but we expect suicide rates to increase with a time delay. Careful monitoring of
241 suicide rates in the further course of the COVID-19 crisis is therefore essential.
242 Accordingly, further investigations and meta-analytical approaches are urgently
243 required to monitor suicide rates. In this sense, the available results represent a first
244 step in this direction.

245

246 **Limitations and strengths**

247 This study reports first data on suicide trends during the COVID-19 pandemic. The
248 investigated quarantine period covers only six months and the population studied is
249 relatively small with 0.5 M persons. These findings allow conclusions to be drawn for
250 the region and time period investigated. The results do not allow a supra-regional
251 evaluation or assessment of medium-term trends in suicide rates.

252


253 **Acknowledgements**

254 We would like to thank the Leipzig Health Authority for their support and fast
255 provision of relevant data sets. We would also like to thank Monica Buckland for her

256 valuable support in proofreading.

257

258 **Figures and Tables**



	nR		R1		R2	
	2020	2010/19	2020	2010/19	2020	2010/19
S	18	90	17	208	7	91
Pop	100,278	930,377	200,556	1,860,754	100,278	930,377

259

260

261 **Figure 1: Suicide rates before and during COVID-19 restrictions.**

262 This displays the suicide rates (SR, suicides per 100,000 life years) in 2020 (black columns) and the average SR
 263 of the years 2010–2019 (white columns). nR = period in 2020 without COVID-19 restrictions (and corresponding
 264 periods in previous years), R1 = period of moderate restrictions, R2 = period of severe restrictions. Asterisks
 265 indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in the underlying suicide numbers and population sizes based on Chi-
 266 Square statistics. S = suicide numbers, Pop = population sizes (life years).

267


268 **References**

269

- 270 1 McIntyre RS, Lee Y. Projected increases in suicide in Canada as a consequence of
271 COVID-19. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **290**: 113104.
272 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113104>.
- 273 2 McIntyre RS, Lee Y. Preventing suicide in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *World*
274 *Psychiatry* 2020; **19**: 250–51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20767>.
- 275 3 Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G. How bad is it? Suicidality in the middle of the
276 COVID-19 pandemic. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12655>.
- 277 4 Kawohl W, Nordt C. COVID-19, unemployment, and suicide. *The Lancet Psychiatry*
278 2020; **7**: 389–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30141-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30141-3).
- 279 5 Wand APF, Zhong B-L, Chiu HFK, Draper B, Leo D de. COVID-19: the implications for
280 suicide in older adults. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2020: 1–6.
281 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610220000770>.
- 282 6 Nordt C, Warnke I, Seifritz E, Kawohl W. Modelling suicide and unemployment: a
283 longitudinal analysis covering 63 countries, 2000-11. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2015; **2**: 239–45.
284 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(14\)00118-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(14)00118-7).
- 285 7 Oyesanya M, Lopez-Morinigo J, Dutta R. Systematic review of suicide in economic
286 recession. *World J Psychiatry* 2015; **5**: 243–54. <https://doi.org/10.5498/wjpv.v5.i2.243>.
- 287 8 Alicandro G, Malvezzi M, Gallus S, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Bertuccio P. Worldwide
288 trends in suicide mortality from 1990 to 2015 with a focus on the global recession time
289 frame. *Int J Public Health* 2019; **64**: 785–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-019-01219->
290 [y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-019-01219-y).
- 291 9 Huikari S, Miettunen J, Korhonen M. Economic crises and suicides between 1970 and
292 2011: time trend study in 21 developed countries. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2019;
293 **73**: 311–16. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2018-210781>.
- 294 10 Rachiotis G, Stuckler D, McKee M, Hadjichristodoulou C. What has happened to suicides
295 during the Greek economic crisis? Findings from an ecological study of suicides and their
296 determinants (2003-2012). *BMJ Open* 2015; **5**: e007295. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen->
297 [2014-007295](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007295).
- 298 11 van Orden KA, Witte TK, Cukrowicz KC, Braithwaite SR, Selby EA, Joiner TE. The
299 interpersonal theory of suicide. *Psychol Rev* 2010; **117**: 575–600.
300 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018697>.
- 301 12 Federal Ministry of the Interior. Vorübergehende Grenzkontrollen an den Binnengrenzen
302 zu Österreich, der Schweiz, Frankreich, Luxemburg und Dänemark. Press release of
303 15.03.2020, 2020.
- 304 13 Federal Ministry of the Interior. Bundesinnenminister Seehofer ordnet weitreichende
305 Reisebeschränkungen im internationalen Luft- und Seeverkehr an. Press release of
306 17.03.2020., 2020.
- 307 14 Federal Ministry of the Interior. Bundesinnenminister Horst Seehofer weitet
308 Binnengrenzkontrollen auf den innereuropäischen Luft- und Seeverkehr aus. Press
309 release of 18.03.2020., 2020.
- 310 15 Die Bundesregierung. Besprechung der Bundeskanzlerin mit den Regierungschefinnen
311 und Regierungschefs der Länder. Erweiterung der beschlossenen Leitlinien zur
312 Beschränkung sozialer Kontakte. <https://www.bundesregierung.de/breg->
313 [de/themen/coronavirus/besprechung-der-bundeskanzlerin-mit-den-regierungschefinnen-](https://www.bundesregierung.de/breg-de/themen/coronavirus/besprechung-der-bundeskanzlerin-mit-den-regierungschefinnen-und-regierungschefs-der-laender-1733248)
314 [und-regierungschefs-der-laender-1733248](https://www.bundesregierung.de/breg-de/themen/coronavirus/besprechung-der-bundeskanzlerin-mit-den-regierungschefinnen-und-regierungschefs-der-laender-1733248) (accessed Aug 13, 2020).
- 315 16 German Federal Government. Besprechung der Bundeskanzlerin mit den
316 Regierungschefinnen und Regierungschefs der Länder am 22. März 2020, 2020.
- 317 17 Free State of Saxony. Verordnung des Sächsischen Staatsministeriums für Soziales und
318 Gesellschaftlichen Zusammenhalt zum Schutz vor dem Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 und
319 COVID-19. Sächsische Corona-Schutz-Verordnung – SächsCoronaSchVO. *Sächsisches*
320 *Gesetz- und Verordnungsblatt* 02.05.2020: § 10 (1).

- 321 18 Federal Foreign Office. Außenminister Maas zum Kabinettsbeschluss über die
322 Verlängerung der weltweiten reisewarnung bis zum 31.08. press release.
323 <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/de/newsroom/weltweite-reisewarnung/2348120>.
- 324 19 Kang SJ, Jung SI. Age-Related Morbidity and Mortality among Patients with COVID-19.
325 *Infect Chemother* 2020; **52**: 154–64. <https://doi.org/10.3947/ic.2020.52.2.154>.
- 326 20 Federal Statistical Office (Destatis) Germany. Cause of death statistics (accessed Mar 20,
327 2020).
- 328 21 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
329 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2016.
- 330 22 IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp., 2019.
- 331 23 Tarone RE. On heterogeneity tests based on efficient scores. *Biometrika* 1985; **72**: 91–95.
332 <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/72.1.91>.
- 333 24 Robert Koch Institut. Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Daily situation reports in German and
334 English (31.07.2020).
335 https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/InfAZ/N/Neuartiges_Coronavirus/Fallzahlen.html.
- 336 25 Mamun MA, Bodrud-Doza M, Griffiths MD. Hospital suicide due to non-treatment by
337 healthcare staff fearing COVID-19 infection in Bangladesh? *Asian J Psychiatr* 2020; **54**:
338 102295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102295>.
- 339 26 Mamun MA, Griffiths MD. First COVID-19 suicide case in Bangladesh due to fear of
340 COVID-19 and xenophobia: Possible suicide prevention strategies. *Asian J Psychiatr*
341 2020; **51**: 102073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102073>.
- 342 27 Dsouza DD, Quadros S, Hyderabadwala ZJ, Mamun MA. Aggregated COVID-19 suicide
343 incidences in India: Fear of COVID-19 infection is the prominent causative factor.
344 *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **290**: 113145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113145>.
- 345 28 Weise J, Schomerus G, Speerforck S. Die SARS-CoV-2-Pandemie und ein Suizidversuch
346 bei wahnhafter Störung. *Psychiatr Prax* 2020; **47**: 218–20. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1158-1745>.
- 347
- 348 29 Zhang K, Shi Y, Liu H, Hashimoto K. A Case Report of Suicide Attempt Caused by
349 Acute and Transient Psychotic Disorder during the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Case Rep*
350 *Psychiatry* 2020; **2020**: 4320647. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4320647>.
- 351 30 Mirza J, Ganguly A, Ostrovskaya A, Tusher A, Viswanathan R. Command Suicidal
352 Hallucination as Initial Presentation of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Case
353 Report. *Psychosomatics* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psym.2020.05.022>.
- 354 31 Halford EA, Lake AM, Gould MS. Google searches for suicide and suicide risk factors in
355 the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE* 2020; **15**: e0236777.
356 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236777>.
- 357 32 Jacobson NC, Lekkas D, Price G, et al. Flattening the Mental Health Curve: COVID-19
358 Stay-at-Home Orders Are Associated With Alterations in Mental Health Search Behavior
359 in the United States. *JMIR Ment Health* 2020; **7**: e19347. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19347>.
- 360 33 Knipe D, Evans H, Marchant A, Gunnell D, John A. Mapping population mental health
361 concerns related to COVID-19 and the consequences of physical distancing: a Google
362 trends analysis. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020; **5**: 82.
363 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15870.2>.
- 364 34 Hamm ME, Brown PJ, Karp JF, et al. Experiences of American Older Adults with Pre-
365 existing Depression During the Beginnings of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Multicity,
366 Mixed-Methods Study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2020.
367 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2020.06.013>.
- 368 35 Sinyor M, Spittal MJ, Niederkrotenthaler T. Changes in Suicide and Resilience-related
369 Google Searches during the Early Stages of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Can J Psychiatry*
370 2020: 706743720933426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743720933426>.

- 371 36 Smalley CM, Malone DA, Meldon SW, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on suicidal
372 ideation and alcohol presentations to emergency departments in a large healthcare system.
373 *Am J Emerg Med* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.05.093>.
- 374 37 Sakelliadis EI, Katsos KD, Zouzia EI, Spiliopoulou CA, Tsiodras S. Impact of Covid-19
375 lockdown on characteristics of autopsy cases in Greece. Comparison between 2019 and
376 2020. *Forensic Sci Int* 2020; **313**: 110365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110365>.
- 377 38 Xin M, Luo S, She R, et al. Negative cognitive and psychological correlates of mandatory
378 quarantine during the initial COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Am Psychol* 2020; **75**: 607–17.
379 <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000692>.
- 380 39 Gratz KL, Tull MT, Richmond JR, Edmonds KA, Scamaldo KM, Rose JP. Thwarted
381 belongingness and perceived burdensomeness explain the associations of COVID-19
382 social and economic consequences to suicide risk. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2020.
383 <https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12654>.
- 384 40 Patsali ME, Mousa D-PV, Papadopoulou EVK, et al. University students' changes in
385 mental health status and determinants of behavior during the COVID-19 lockdown in
386 Greece. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **292**: 113298.
387 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113298>.
- 388 41 Li D-J, Ko N-Y, Chen Y-L, et al. COVID-19-Related Factors Associated with Sleep
389 Disturbance and Suicidal Thoughts among the Taiwanese Public: A Facebook Survey. *Int*
390 *J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17**. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124479>.
- 391 42 Durkheim E. Suicide: A study in sociology. New York: The Free Press, 1867 / 1951.
- 392 43 Claassen CA, Carmody T, Stewart SM, et al. Effect of 11 September 2001 terrorist attacks
393 in the USA on suicide in areas surrounding the crash sites. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; **196**:
394 359–64. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.109.071928>.
- 395 44 Lange AW de, Neeleman J. The effect of the September 11 terrorist attacks on suicide and
396 deliberate self-harm: a time trend study. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2004; **34**: 439–47.
397 <https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.34.4.439.53744>.
- 398 45 Henderson R, Stark C, Humphry RW, Selvaraj S. Changes in Scottish suicide rates during
399 the Second World War. *BMC Public Health* 2006; **6**: 167. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-6-167)
400 [2458-6-167](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-6-167).
- 401 46 Wasserman D, van der Gaag R, Wise J. The term "physical distancing" is recommended
402 rather than "social distancing" during the COVID-19 pandemic for reducing feelings of
403 rejection among people with mental health problems. *Eur. psychiatr.* 2020; **63**: e52.
404 <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.60>.
- 405



	nR		R1		R2	
	2020	2010/19	2020	2010/19	2020	2010/19
S	18	90	17	208	7	91
Pop	100,278	930,377	200,556	1,860,754	100,278	930,377