

1 **Suicide Deaths during the Stay-at-Home Advisory in Massachusetts.**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

Jeremy S. Faust, MD, MS, corresponding author
Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women’s Hospital Department of Emergency
Medicine, Division of Health Policy and Public Health.
Boston, MA
jsfaust@gmail.com

Sejal B. Shah, MD
Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women’s Hospital Department of Psychiatry
Boston, MA

Chengan Du, PhD
Yale University School of Medicine, Yale New Haven Hospital, Center for Outcomes
Research and Evaluation
New Haven, CT

Shu-Xia Li, PhD
Yale New Haven Hospital, Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation
New Haven, CT

Zhenqiu Lin, PhD
Yale New Haven Hospital, Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation
New Haven, CT

Harlan M. Krumholz MD, SM
Yale University School of Medicine,
Yale New Haven Hospital, Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation
New Haven, CT

38 **Abstract**

39 Many believe that shelter-in-place or stay-at-home policies might cause an increase in so-
40 called deaths of despair. While increases in psychiatric stressors during the COVID-19
41 pandemic are anticipated, whether suicide rates changed during stay-at-home periods has not
42 been described.

43 This was an observational cohort study that assembled suicide death data for persons aged 10
44 years or older from the Massachusetts Department of Health Registry of Vital Records and
45 Statistics from January 2015 through May 2020. Using autoregressive integrated moving
46 average (ARIMA) and seasonal ARIMA to analyze suicide deaths in Massachusetts, we
47 compared the observed number of suicide deaths in Massachusetts during the stay-at-home
48 period (March through May, 2020) in Massachusetts to the projected number of expected
49 deaths. To be conservative, we also accounted for the deaths still pending final cause
50 determination

51 The incident rate for suicide deaths in Massachusetts was 0.67 per 100,000 person-month (95%
52 CI 0.56-0.79) versus 0.81 per 100,000 person-month (95% CI 0.69-0.94) during the 2019
53 corresponding period (incident rate ratio of 0.83; 95% CI 0.66-1.03). The addition of the 57
54 deaths pending cause determination occurring from March through May 2020 and the 33 cases
55 still pending determination from the 2019 corresponding period did not change these findings.

56 The observed number of suicide deaths during the stay-at-home period did not deviate from
57 ARIMA projected expectations using either preliminary data or an alternate scenario in which
58 deaths pending investigation (exceeding the average remaining number of deaths still pending
59 investigation which occurred during the corresponding 2015-2019 period) were ascribed to
60 suicide. Decedent age and sex demographics were unchanged during the pandemic period
61 compared to 2015-2019.

62 The stable rates of suicide deaths during the stay-at-home advisory in Massachusetts parallel
63 findings following ecological disasters. As the pandemic persists, uncertainty about its scope
64 and economic impact may increase. However, our data are reassuring that an increase in
65 suicide deaths in Massachusetts during the stay-at-home advisory period did not occur.

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73 Introduction

74 Many policymakers believe that shelter-in-place or stay-at-home policies might cause an
75 increase in “deaths of despair”. While increases in psychiatric stressors during the COVID-19
76 pandemic are anticipated and have been reported, whether suicide rates changed during stay-
77 at-home periods has not been described.^{1,2}

78

79 Methods

80 We assembled suicide death data for persons aged ≥ 10 years from the Massachusetts
81 Department of Health Registry of Vital Records and Statistics from January 2015 through May
82 2020.

83

84 We used autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) and seasonal ARIMA models to
85 analyze suicide deaths in Massachusetts using yearly population as the covariate. We used the
86 Akaike information criterion (AIC) to select the best model. We plotted suicide deaths during
87 each month of 2020 for which adequate data exist (January through May). To be conservative,
88 we plotted an alternative scenario in which the number of deaths pending investigation by the
89 state medical examiner exceeding 2015-2019 corresponding monthly averages were ascribed
90 to suicide. Incident rates and rate ratios for the pandemic period (March through May) and the
91 corresponding period in 2019 were determined. STROBE guidelines were followed. This study
92 was not subject to institutional review board approval because it used public data.

93

94 Results

95 During the pandemic period, the incident rate for suicide deaths in Massachusetts was 0.67 per
96 100,000 person-month (95% CI 0.56-0.79) versus 0.81 per 100,000 person-month (95% CI 0.69-
97 0.94) during the 2019 corresponding period (incident rate ratio of 0.83; 95% CI 0.66-1.03).
98 Because data for 2019 and 2020 are “preliminary,” a sensitivity analysis including all deaths still
99 pending final cause adjudication as of 10/14/2020 was performed. The addition of the 57 deaths
100 pending cause determination occurring from March through May 2020 and the 33 cases still
101 pending determination from the 2019 corresponding period did not change these findings. The
102 conservative assumption that all pending investigations for March-May were suicides yielded
103 an incident rate of 0.94 deaths (95% CI 0.81-1.08) per 100,000 person-month for 2020 and 0.97
104 deaths (95% CI 0.84-1.11) for 2019) per 100,000 person-month (incident rate ratio 0.97; 95% CI
105 0.80-1.18).

106

107 The number of suicide deaths during the stay-at-home period did not deviate from projected
108 expectations using either preliminary data or an alternate scenario in which deaths pending
109 investigation (exceeding the average remaining number of deaths still pending investigation
110 which occurred during the corresponding 2015-2019 period) were ascribed to suicide (Figure).
111 Decedent age and sex demographics were unchanged during the pandemic period, compared
112 to 2015-2019. (Table).

113

114 Discussion

115 The stable rates of suicide deaths during the stay-at-home advisory in Massachusetts parallel
116 findings following ecological disasters.³ Early in the outbreak, social distancing-created
117 stressors may have been offset by a sense of shared purpose in “flattening the curve.” There
118 were efforts at bolstering connections through video platforms, anticipation about
119 governmental support including unemployment benefits and stimulus aid, and mental health
120 awareness campaigns about the risks of isolation, loneliness, and despair that could
121 accompany the public health imperative of physical distancing.

122
123 One limitation to this study is its reliance on cause of death adjudication. However, unlike
124 other causes of death, every suicide is investigated by a medical examiner, rendering resulting
125 death certificates more reliable than many other causes.

126
127 As the pandemic persists, uncertainty about its scope and economic impact may increase.⁴
128 Suicide risk often increases with rising unemployment and related strains, access to firearms,
129 substance use, and interpersonal violence.⁵ Decomensation of the seriously mentally ill may
130 also contribute to related morbidity and mortality.

131
132 However, our data are reassuring that an increase in suicide deaths in Massachusetts during
133 the stay-at-home advisory did not occur. Moving forward, effective prevention efforts will
134 require comprehensive attention to the full spectrum of mental health services.

135 136 137 Data Statement and Author Contributions:

138 Dr. Faust had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity
139 of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

140
141 *Concept and design:* Faust, Shah, Lin, Krumholz

142 *Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:* Faust, Du, Li, Lin

143 *Drafting of the manuscript:* Faust, Shah, Du, Krumholz

144 *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* All authors.

145 *Statistical analysis:* Faust, Du, Li, Lin

146 *Supervision:* Faust, Lin, Krumholz

147 148 Funding Statements:

149 Faust: None.

150 Shah: None.

151 Du: None.

152 Li: None.

153 Lin: Dr Lin reported working under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
154 Services.

155 Krumholz: In the past three years, Harlan Krumholz received expenses and/or personal fees
156 from UnitedHealth, IBM Watson Health, Element Science, Aetna, Facebook, the Siegfried and

157 Jensen Law Firm, Arnold and Porter Law Firm, Martin/Baughman Law Firm, F-Prime, and the
158 National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases in Beijing. He is an owner of Refactor Health and
159 HugoHealth, and had grants and/or contracts from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
160 Services, Medtronic, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Johnson & Johnson, and the
161 Shenzhen Center for Health Information.

162

163 **References**

164 1. Reger MA, Stanley IH, Joiner TE. Suicide Mortality and Coronavirus Disease 2019—A
165 Perfect Storm? *JAMA Psychiatry*. Published online April 10, 2020.

166 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.1060

167 2. Moutier C. Suicide Prevention in the COVID-19 Era: Transforming Threat Into Opportunity.
168 *JAMA Psychiatry*. Published online October 16, 2020.

169 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3746

170 3. Morganstein JC, Ursano RJ. Ecological Disasters and Mental Health: Causes,
171 Consequences, and Interventions. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:1.

172 doi:10.3389/fpsy.2020.00001

173 4. Phillips JA, Nugent CN. Suicide and the Great Recession of 2007-2009: the role of
174 economic factors in the 50 U.S. states. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;116:22-31.

175 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.06.015

176 5. Gunnell D, Appleby L, Arensman E, et al. Suicide risk and prevention during the COVID-19
177 pandemic. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(6):468-471. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30171-1

178

179 **Figure Legend:**


180 Suicide deaths in Massachusetts from January 2015 through May 2020.

181 *Black line*: raw suicide death counts.

182 *Green dots*: raw suicide deaths plus deaths pending investigation by the state medical
183 examiner that are in excess of monthly averages of active pending investigations during the
184 corresponding months from 2015-2019.

185 *Grey shaded area*: the projected range of suicide deaths expected to occur during 2020
186 (seasonal adjusted model).

Figure



Table

	Percent of deaths, female	Percent of deaths, male	Mean age, female	Mean age, male	Mean age, all
2015	24.4	75.6	47.6	47.5	47.6
2016	23.1	76.9	47.5	44.7	45.3
2017	24.2	75.8	49.7	44.1	45.5
2018	23.3	76.7	46.3	46.3	46.3
2019	22.3	77.7	44.4	50	48.76
2020	24.5	75.5	44.7	49.9	48.6