medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Title: White blood cells and severe COVID-19: a Mendelian randomization study

Yitang Sun [1], Jingqi Zhou [1,2] and Kaixiong Ye [1,3,*]

[1] Department of Genetics, Franklin College of Arts and Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA; Yitang.Sun@uga.edu (Y.S.); Jingqi.Zhou@uga.edu (J.Z.)
[2] School of Public Health, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, P.R. China
[3] Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA
* Correspondence: Kaixiong.Ye@uga.edu; Tel.: 706-542-5898, Fax: 706-542-3910

* **Address for Correspondence:**

Dr. Kaixiong Ye
Department of Genetics
University of Georgia
C220 Davison Life Sciences
120 East Green Street, Athens, GA 30602
Office: 706-542-5898
Fax: 706-542-3910
Email: Kaixiong.Ye@uga.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract: Increasing evidence shows that white blood cells are associated with the risk of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), but the direction and causality of this association are not clear. To evaluate the causal associations between various white blood cell traits and the COVID-19 susceptibility and severity, we conducted two-sample bidirectional Mendelian Randomization (MR) analyses with summary statistics from the largest and most recent genome-wide association studies. Our MR results indicated causal protective effects of higher basophil count, basophil percentage of white blood cells, and myeloid white blood cell count on severe COVID-19, with odds ratios (OR) per standard deviation increment of 0.75 (95% CI: 0.60-0.95), 0.70 (95% CI: 0.54-0.92), and 0.85 (95% CI: 0.73-0.98), respectively. Neither COVID-19 severity nor susceptibility was associated with white blood cell traits in our reverse MR results. Genetically predicted high basophil count, basophil percentage of white blood cells, and myeloid white blood cell count are associated with a lower risk of developing severe COVID-19. Individuals with a lower genetic capacity for basophils are likely at risk, while enhancing the production of basophils may be an effective therapeutic strategy.

Keywords: COVID-19; white blood cells; basophils; Mendelian randomization

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by infection of a novel virus called Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that has rapidly spread, causing irreversible damage or even death [1-4]. A growing number of studies have found that immune cells may play important roles in the COVID-19 severity and susceptibility [5-8]. Since prior findings were based on observational studies, the causal associations between white blood cells (WBC) and COVID-19 remain unclear. Identifying host factors predisposing individuals to COVID-19 susceptibility and severity is urgently needed to improve primary prevention and develop treatment strategies.

Many observational studies have reported risk factors for COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. Besides age and gender, some pre-existing conditions are also well-known to be associated with an increased risk of severe COVID-19, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, hypertension, and cancers [4, 9-11]. Furthermore, elevated WBC and neutrophil counts, and depleted lymphocyte count have been repeatedly observed in COVID-19 patients with severe outcomes, and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio has been proposed as prognostic biomarkers [1, 5, 12-15]. However, findings from recent observational studies are inconsistent, and it is difficult to infer the causal roles of WBC in severe COVID-19 due to confounding and reverse causation [16-18]. Most existing studies measured blood cell counts in patients with confirmed infection of SARS-CoV-2 and as a result, the hematological indices could have been modified by immune responses [19]. It is unknown if blood cell counts before infection are associated with the risk of developing severe COVID-19. Even if WBC are measured before infection, they are influenced by

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

many exogenous and endogenous factors (e.g., age, gender, disease status, and medications), which will confound the observational associations [20, 21]. To date, no existing research has been able to interrogate the causal role of WBC in COVID-19 severity and susceptibility.

Mendelian randomization (MR) utilizes genetic variants as instrumental variables to approximate the lifetime status of exposure and enable causal inference in observational data. The random allocation of alleles at conception and the natural directional effects of genetic variants on phenotypes empower MR estimates to be less plagued by confounding and reverse causality [22, 23]. In this study, we used two-sample MR analyses to examine potential causal associations between various WBC traits and the risk of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) with thousands of cases and millions of controls have identified scores of genetic loci associated with the susceptibility and severity of COVID-19 [24]. Two recent GWAS on WBC traits enrolled approximately half a million European-ancestry participants and dramatically increased the number of instrumental variables [25-27]. Building on these largest-to-date GWAS for both the exposure and outcome, we conducted two-sample bidirectional MR analyses to disentangle the causal effects of various WBC traits on COVID-19 severity and susceptibility, or vice versa.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Data Sources

A two-sample bidirectional MR study was conducted to examine the causal effects of various WBC traits on COVID-19, and vice versa (Figure 1). GWAS summary statistics of WBC traits were extracted from publicly available data (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/downloads/summary-statistics) [28]. Summary statistics were obtained from the most recent version of GWAS from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative (https://www.covid19hg.org/) [24]. Publicly available data sources had previously received appropriate ethics and institutional review board approvals, and thus further ethical approvals were not required.

2.2. Instrumental Variables for WBC and COVID-19

In our primary discovery analysis, instrumental variables for WBC were selected based on two recent GWAS as independent genetic variants at the study-specific genome-wide significance ($p < 5\times10^{-9}$) [25, 26]. The first is a GWAS meta-analysis of 11 WBC traits, which included 408,112 participants of European ancestry and was released by Vuckovic *et al.* [25]. The other is a GWAS of 6 WBC traits, which included 562,132 European-ancestry participants and was derived by Chen *et al.* [26]. In the replication analysis, we selected SNPs that were associated with 21 white blood cell traits at the study-specific genome-wide significance ($p < 8.31\times10^{-9}$) in a GWAS meta-analysis comprising 173,480 individuals of European ancestry. This GWAS is called the Astle *et al.* study [27].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

The instrumental variables for COVID-19 were retrieved at the genome-wide significance ($p < 5\times10^{-8}$) from the largest GWAS meta-analysis of COVID-19 to date, by the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative (HGI, round 5, accessed on January 18, 2021) [24]. For COVID-19 severity, we used two GWAS with European-ancestry participants. The first, called study A2, compared patients confirmed as "very severe respiratory" COVID-19 (N = 5,105) with the general population samples (N = 1,383,241). The other, called study B2, compared hospitalized COVID-19 patients (N = 9,986) with the general population (N = 1,877,672). For COVID-19 susceptibility, summary statistics were obtained from a GWAS in participants of European ancestry, including patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection or physician confirmed COVID-19 (N = 36,590) and the population controls (N = 1,668,938). This is called study C2.

To ensure independence among instrumental variables for each exposure, we applied linkage disequilibrium (LD) clumping based on $r^2 > 0.001$ and discarded variants within 1-Mb distance from other SNPs with a stronger association. Effect alleles of genetic instruments were harmonized across the GWAS of the exposure and the outcome, and those not present in the GWAS of the outcome were removed. F statistics were calculated to assess instrument strength and $F \geq 10$ indicates strong instruments [29].

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The causal association of each exposure with each outcome was evaluated using the inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method with a multiplicative random-effects model [23, 30, 31]. Horizontal pleiotropy occurs when SNPs exert a direct effect on the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

severe COVID-19 through pathways other than the hypothesized exposure. To evaluate the possible presence of horizontal pleiotropy, we calculated Cochran's Q statistic for heterogeneity and conducted the intercept test associated with the MR-Egger method. Additional sensitivity analyses were performed with MR-Egger [23, 31], weighted median (WM) [32], weighted mode methods [33], and Mendelian randomization pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) test [34]. The MR-Egger estimates allow directional horizontal pleiotropic effects. The weighted median method provides robust causal estimates even when up to 50% of SNPs are invalid genetic instruments [32]. The weighted mode method requires that the largest number of instruments that identify the consistent causal effect derived from valid instruments even when the majority of instruments are invalid [33]. The MR-PRESSO test was utilized to correct for the presence of specific horizontal pleiotropic outlier variants via detected outlier removal [34]. The false discovery rate (FDR) approach was adopted to correct for multiple testing [35]. The nominal p-value < 0.05 but above the FDR significance threshold (q-value < 0.05) was considered as suggestive evidence. All MR analyses were conducted in R with the TwoSampleMR package (version 3.6.7) [36].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

3. Results

3.1. Analysis Pipeline

We first aimed to investigate the causal effects of WBC traits on COVID-19 severity and susceptibility via the forward MR analysis. In our main analysis, we selected uncorrelated SNPs as instrumental variables for each WBC trait from two recent GWAS in 408,112 and 562,132 participants of European ancestry [25, 26]. We then performed a replication analysis using genetic instruments from a previous GWAS meta-analysis that included 173,480 individuals of European ancestry [27]. On the other hand, to evaluate the causal effects of COVID-19 on WBC traits, we conducted a reverse MR analysis using COVID-19-associated SNPs as instrumental variables. All SNPs for the WBC traits (*F* statistic > 77.82) and COVID-19 (*F* statistic > 3458.84) are strong instruments. A schematic of the analysis pipeline is shown in Figure 1. Details about GWAS, from which we extracted summary-level data, are presented in Supplementary Table S1.



Figure 1. Overview of two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) study on the bidirectional associations between white blood cells (WBC) and COVID-19. The forward

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

MR analysis evaluating the causal effects of WBC on COVID-19 was indicated with orange arrows, while the reverse MR analysis evaluating the causal effects of COVID-19 on WBC was indicated with blue arrows. WBC, white blood cells; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

3.2. Forward MR Analysis of the Effects of WBC Traits on COVID-19

By applying a two-sample MR approach, we first investigated the causal associations of 12 WBC traits with COVID-19. A relatively large number of independent SNPs, ranging from 115 for basophil percentage of white blood cells to 469 for monocyte count, were selected as genetic instruments for each WBC trait (Supplementary Table S2-S18).

Using very severe respiratory COVID-19 as the outcome (A2), we identified suggestive causal, negative associations of basophil count (OR = 0.75, CI: 0.60-0.95, p = 0.015), myeloid WBC count (OR = 0.85, CI: 0.73-0.98, p = 0.022), and basophil percentage of WBC (OR = 0.70, CI: 0.54-0.92, p = 0.011) based on the IVW MR estimates under a multiplicative random-effects model (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S22). Causal estimates from MR-Egger, WM, and weighted mode methods revealed broadly concordant effect directions, although they are mostly not statistically significant, probably due to the reduced power of these two approaches [31]. MR-PRESSO analysis did not identify any outlier SNPs and yielded significant causal estimates for basophil count (p = 0.015), myeloid WBC count (p = 0.0022), and basophil percentage of WBC (p = 0.011). No evidence of heterogeneity in causal estimates was found by the Cochran Q statistic, and no evidence of horizontal pleiotropy was reported by the MR-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Egger intercept test. In the replication analysis using genetic instruments from another GWAS meta-analysis of WBC traits (i.e., the Astle *et al.* study), we similarly observed the significant negative effects of basophil count and myeloid WBC count. Additionally, nominally significant negative associations were observed for total WBC count (p = 0.038), neutrophil count (p = 0.016), granulocyte count (p = 0.028), sum neutrophil eosinophil counts (p = 0.032), sum basophil neutrophil counts (p = 0.012) (Supplementary Table S23).



Figure 2. Forward Mendelian randomization estimates of the effects of white blood cell traits on COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were derived using the inverse-variance weighted random-effects model. The p-value threshold (0.05) was used for the significant association. Detailed summary statistics could be found in Supplementary Table S22.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Using hospitalized COVID-19 as the outcome (B2), we also found negative associations of basophil count (OR = 0.83, CI: 0.71-0.97, p = 0.020), basophil percentage of WBC (OR = 0.78, CI: 0.65-0.93, p = 0.005), and myeloid WBC count (OR = 0.90, CI: 0.73-0.996, p = 0.041) (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S22). The causal effect of basophil count (q = 0.014) and basophil percentage (q = 0.042) surpassed the FDR correction in this analysis. Moreover, genetically predicted lower total WBC count (OR = 0.89, CI: 0.80-0.98, p = 0.016) was associated with increased risk of hospitalized COVID-19 using IVW with the random-effect model (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S22). MR-Egger, WM, weighted mode methods, and MR-PRESSO analysis revealed broadly consistent effect directions. No pleiotropy was identified in the MR-Egger test and a potential causal effect of white blood cell count was retained after removing outlier SNPs identified by MR-PRESSO analysis. In the replication analysis, basophil count, basophil percentage, and total WBC count were also negatively associated with hospitalized COVID-19 (Supplementary Table S23). Taken together these two outcomes of COVID-19 severity, very severe respiratory and hospitalized COVID-19 (A2 and B2), we demonstrated that basophil count, basophil percentage, myeloid WBC count, and total WBC count had consistent, negative effects on the risk of severe COVID-19.

We further investigated the causal associations of WBC traits with COVID-19 susceptibility (C2). None of the white blood cell traits was causally associated with COVID-19 susceptibility (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S22). Similar findings were observed in the replication analysis (Supplementary Table S23). Overall, there was no evidence of associations between any WBC trait and COVID-19 susceptibility.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

3.3. Reverse MR Analysis of the Effects of COVID-19 on WBC Traits

We further explored the causal effects of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility on each WBC trait in the reverse direction using COVID-19-associated SNPs as instrumental variables. We used 9 SNPs (A2) and 5 SNPs (B2) for COVID-19 severity, and 7 SNPs (C2) for COVID-19 susceptibility (Supplementary Table S19-S21). The reverse MR analysis showed no significant association of genetically predicted COVID-19 severity or susceptibility with any WBC trait (Figure 3) (Supplementary Table S24). The null findings were confirmed in the replication analysis that used summary statistics from the Astle *et al.* study of WBC traits (Supplementary Table S25).



Figure 3. Reverse Mendelian randomization estimates of the effects of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility on white blood cell traits. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were derived using the inverse-variance weighted random-effects model. The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

p-value threshold (0.05) was used for the significant association. Detailed summary statistics could be found in Supplementary Table S24.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first MR study evaluating the causal roles of WBC traits in COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. Overall, our results suggest potential causal protective effects of higher basophil count, basophil percentage of WBC, and myeloid WBC count on the severity of COVID-19. No evidence was found for causal effects of WBC traits on the susceptibility of COVID-19, or for reverse causation of COVID-19 on WBC traits.

Previous observational studies have frequently shown increased white blood cell count in the severe COVID-19 patients, when compared to healthy controls or mild COVID-19 patients [1, 5, 12, 37]. However, observational studies may be influenced by confounding and cannot always distinguish symptoms from causes. Using genetically predicted WBC traits, our MR analysis showed that lower basophil count, basophil percentage of white blood cells, and myeloid white blood cell count may play causal roles in increasing the risk of severe COVID-19. COVID-19 postmortems have revealed that the loss of basophils from the blood is partially explained by its transfer into myelomonocytic lung infiltrates [7, 38]. Basophils play important roles in modulating innate immune responses to infection and the subsequent tissue repair [39, 40]. Individuals with low basophil count and basophil percentage may not present a strong enough innate immune response to the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, dysregulated activation of basophils also plays a role in regulating coagulation that may be pertinent to frequent thrombotic complications in severe cases of COVID-19 [41, 42]. Immune system disorders have been suspected of playing roles in severe COVID-19

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

risk [43, 44]. The complete elucidation of the potential mechanism warrants further investigation.

Previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies have shown WBC traits to be associated with COVID-19 severity and susceptibility [5-7]. However, the associations between WBC traits and COVID-19 may be susceptible to reverse causation. Our reverse MR study did not find evidence for the effects of COVID-19 on any WBC trait. This result further supports the direct effect of basophils on severe COVID-19, ruling out the confounding of reverse causation. Therefore, further mechanistic understanding of basophil will shed light on the etiology of severe COVID-19 and provide multiple targets of intervention for prevention and treatment.

Lymphopenia, as a response to viral infection, has been frequently associated with severe COVID-19 risk [1, 2, 37, 45]. Persistent eosinopenia after admission was associated with COVID-19 risk in previous retrospective and prospective observational studies [5, 16, 46-48]. However, these associations of WBC traits are more common in COVID-19 patients when compared to the reference range or healthy controls [16-18, 24, 47, 48]. This discrepancy may reflect reverse causality in retrospective and prospective observational studies, with depleted lymphocyte and eosinophil counts as a result of the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection [49]. In our MR analysis, we found no evidence for the causal association between lymphocyte or eosinophils and the risk of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. We showed that neutrophils are slightly associated with severe COVID-19, consistent with our recent MR results [50]. Our reverse MR analysis indicates no causal effects of severe COVID-19 on lymphocytes.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

One assumption of MR is that the instrumental variable influences severe COVID-19 risk only through its effect on the specific WBC trait. The Cochran Q statistic did not reveal heterogeneity among our genetic instruments, and the MR-Egger intercept test also indicated no presence of pleiotropic effects for basophils. Pleiotropic instrumental variables, which are SNPs associated with other phenotypes directly or indirectly associated with the outcome, were detected by using the MR-Egger intercept and MR-PRESSO tests. Our MR study was performed with strong instrumental variables and adequate statistical power, and we have conducted extensive sensitivity analyses. The exposure and outcome GWAS were drawn from participants of European ancestry. Our MR results were replicated in at least one replication analysis with different GWAS of WBC to ensure robustness and reduce false positives. To assess whether WBC traits are associated with COVID-19 and to evaluate the direction of association, we applied a two-sample bidirectional MR study using the most up-to-date GWAS of white blood cell traits and COVID-19 severity and susceptibility.

Our study also has several limitations. Although we applied multiple sensitivity analyses, it is impossible to fully rule out pleiotropic mechanisms that some genetic variants might be pleiotropic. Another limitation is that some GWAS of WBC traits and the HGI GWAS of COVID-19 have overlapped samples, which may bias the causal effect. F statistics of instrumental variables were estimated to mitigate this issue, and strong instruments are less susceptible to this bias. Although not all significant results remained after correction for multiple testing, we also utilized other GWAS of WBC and COVID-19 to replicate the MR results. The replicated observations across multiple analyses reduce the chance of false positives. Lastly, we emphasize that our results

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

should be interpreted with caution, and future studies are needed to elucidate the mechanical roles of WBC traits in severe COVID-19.

5. Conclusions

Our MR results suggest that there are inverse causal associations between basophil count, basophil percentage, and myeloid WBC count and the severity of COVID-19. No causal effects on the susceptibility of COVID-19 were found. There is no evidence of reverse causation of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility on WBC traits. Overall, this study provides valuable insights that individuals with a lower genetic capacity for basophils are likely at risk of the severe forms of COVID-19, and that enhancing the production of basophils may be a potential prevention and treatment strategy.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/, Table S1: Details of the datasets used in the bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. Table S2: 401 SNPs significantly associated with white blood cell count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S3: 367 SNPs significantly associated with eosinophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S4: 388 SNPs significantly associated with eosinophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S5: 146 SNPs significantly associated with basophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S6: 166 SNPs significantly associated with basophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S7:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

350 SNPs significantly associated with neutrophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S8: 383 SNPs significantly associated with neutrophil count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S9: 421 SNPs significantly associated with monocyte count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S10: 469 SNPs significantly associated with monocyte count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S11: 393 SNPs significantly associated with lymphocyte count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S12: 456 SNPs significantly associated with lymphocyte count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S13: 464 SNPs significantly associated with myeloid white cell count used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Chen MH *et al.*. Table S14: 347 SNPs significantly associated with eosinophil percentage of white cells used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S15: 115 SNPs significantly associated with basophil percentage of white cells used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S16: 295 SNPs significantly associated with neutrophil percentage of white cells used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S17: 371 SNPs significantly associated with monocyte percentage of white cells used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S18: 307 SNPs significantly associated with lymphocyte percentage of white cells used IVs in forward MR analyses derived from Vuckovic D *et al.*. Table S19: 9 SNPs significantly associated with COVID-19 severity (very severe respiratory confirmed covid vs. population) used IVs in reverse MR analyses derived from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. Table S20: 5 SNPs significantly associated with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

COVID-19 severity (hospitalized covid vs. population) used IVs in reverse MR analyses derived from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. Table S21: 7 SNPs significantly associated with COVID-19 susceptibility (covid vs. population) used IVs in reverse MR analyses derived from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. Table S22: Primary discovery forward MR analyses assessing the causal effects of white blood cells on COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. Table S23: Replicated forward MR analyses assessing the causal effects of white blood cells on COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. Table S24: Primary discovery reverse MR analyses assessing the causal effects of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility on white blood cells. Table S25: Replicated reverse MR analyses assessing the causal effects of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility on white blood cells.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.S. and K.Y.; methodology, Y.S. and K.Y.; formal analysis, Y.S.; writing-original draft preparation, Y.S.; writing-review and editing, K.Y.; visualization, Y.S.; supervision, J.Z. and K.Y.; funding acquisition, K.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: K.Y. is supported by the University of Georgia Research Foundation. Funding sources had no involvement in the conception, design, analysis, or presentation of this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data is available in Supplementary Files and upon request.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our gratitude to all other Ye lab members for stimulating discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Zhou, F., T. Yu, R. Du, G. Fan, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, J. Xiang, Y. Wang, B. Song, X. Gu, *et al.* "Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with covid-19 in wuhan, china: A retrospective cohort study." *Lancet* 395 (2020): 1054-62. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32171076.

2. Huang, C., Y. Wang, X. Li, L. Ren, J. Zhao, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, G. Fan, J. Xu, X. Gu, *et al.* "Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in wuhan, china." *Lancet* 395 (2020): 497-506. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31986264.

3. Wenham, C., J. Smith, R. Morgan, Gender and C.-W. Group. "Covid-19: The gendered impacts of the outbreak." *Lancet* 395 (2020): 846-48. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30526-2. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32151325.

4. Guzik, T. J., S. A. Mohiddin, A. Dimarco, V. Patel, K. Savvatis, F. M. Marelli-Berg, M. S. Madhur, M. Tomaszewski, P. Maffia, F. D'Acquisto, *et al.* "Covid-19 and the cardiovascular system: Implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options." *Cardiovasc Res* 116 (2020): 1666-87. 10.1093/cvr/cvaa106. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32352535.

5. Qin, C., L. Zhou, Z. Hu, S. Zhang, S. Yang, Y. Tao, C. Xie, K. Ma, K. Shang, W. Wang, *et al.* "Dysregulation of immune response in patients with coronavirus 2019 (covid-19) in wuhan, china." *Clin Infect Dis* 71 (2020): 762-68. 10.1093/cid/ciaa248. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32161940.

6. Chen, R., L. Sang, M. Jiang, Z. Yang, N. Jia, W. Fu, J. Xie, W. Guan, W. Liang, Z. Ni, *et al.* "Longitudinal hematologic and immunologic variations associated with the progression of covid-19 patients in china." *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 146 (2020): 89-100. 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.05.003. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32407836.

7. Laing, A. G., A. Lorenc, I. Del Molino Del Barrio, A. Das, M. Fish, L. Monin, M. Munoz-Ruiz, D. R. McKenzie, T. S. Hayday, I. Francos-Quijorna, *et al.* "A dynamic covid-19 immune signature includes associations with poor prognosis." *Nat Med* 26 (2020): 1623-35. 10.1038/s41591-020-1038-6. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32807934.

8. Vitte, J., A. B. Diallo, A. Boumaza, A. Lopez, M. Michel, J. Allardet-Servent, S. Mezouar, Y. Sereme, J. M. Busnel, T. Miloud, *et al.* "A granulocytic signature identifies covid-19 and its severity." *J Infect Dis* 222 (2020): 1985-96. 10.1093/infdis/jiaa591. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32941618.

9. Teng, Y., J. Xu, Y. Zhang, Z. Liu and S. Zhang. "Mendelian randomization in covid-19: Applications for cardiovascular comorbidities and beyond." *EBioMedicine* 57 (2020): 102847. 10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102847. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32574960.

10. Wu, Z. and J. M. McGoogan. "Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) outbreak in china: Summary of a report of 72314 cases from the chinese center for disease control and prevention." *JAMA* (2020): 10.1001/jama.2020.2648. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32091533.

11. Rao, S., A. Lau and H. C. So. "Exploring diseases/traits and blood proteins causally related to expression of ace2, the putative receptor of sars-cov-2: A mendelian randomization analysis highlights tentative relevance of diabetes-related traits." *Diabetes Care* 43 (2020): 1416-26. 10.2337/dc20-0643. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32430459.

12. Shi, S., M. Qin, B. Shen, Y. Cai, T. Liu, F. Yang, W. Gong, X. Liu, J. Liang, Q. Zhao, *et al.* "Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with covid-19 in wuhan,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

china." *JAMA Cardiol* 5 (2020): 802-10. 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0950. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32211816.

13. Kuri-Cervantes, L., M. B. Pampena, W. Meng, A. M. Rosenfeld, C. A. G. Ittner, A. R. Weisman, R. S. Agyekum, D. Mathew, A. E. Baxter, L. A. Vella, *et al.* "Comprehensive mapping of immune perturbations associated with severe covid-19." *Sci Immunol* 5 (2020): 10.1126/sciimmunol.abd7114. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32669287.

14. Liu, J., Y. Liu, P. Xiang, L. Pu, H. Xiong, C. Li, M. Zhang, J. Tan, Y. Xu, R. Song, *et al.* "Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts critical illness patients with 2019 coronavirus disease in the early stage." *J Transl Med* 18 (2020): 206. 10.1186/s12967-020-02374-0. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32434518.

15. Zhou, J., Y. Sun, W. Huang and K. Ye. "Altered blood cell traits underlie a major genetic locus of severe covid-19." *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (2021): 10.1093/gerona/glab035. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33530099.

16. Xie, G., F. Ding, L. Han, D. Yin, H. Lu and M. Zhang. "The role of peripheral blood eosinophil counts in covid-19 patients." *Allergy* (2020): 10.1111/all.14465. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32562554.

17. Liu, K., Y. Y. Fang, Y. Deng, W. Liu, M. F. Wang, J. P. Ma, W. Xiao, Y. N. Wang, M. H. Zhong, C. H. Li, *et al.* "Clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus cases in tertiary hospitals in hubei province." *Chin Med J (Engl)* 133 (2020): 1025-31. 10.1097/CM9.0000000000000744. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32044814.

18. Terpos, E., I. Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I. Elalamy, E. Kastritis, T. N. Sergentanis, M. Politou, T. Psaltopoulou, G. Gerotziafas and M. A. Dimopoulos. "Hematological findings and complications of covid-19." *Am J Hematol* 95 (2020): 834-47. 10.1002/ajh.25829. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32282949.

19. Siedlinski, M., E. Jozefczuk, X. Xu, A. Teumer, E. Evangelou, R. B. Schnabel, P. Welsh, P. Maffia, J. Erdmann, M. Tomaszewski, *et al.* "White blood cells and blood pressure: A mendelian randomization study." *Circulation* 141 (2020): 1307-17. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.045102. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32148083.

20. Zhang, X., Y. Tan, Y. Ling, G. Lu, F. Liu, Z. Yi, X. Jia, M. Wu, B. Shi, S. Xu, *et al.* "Viral and host factors related to the clinical outcome of covid-19." *Nature* 583 (2020): 437-40. 10.1038/s41586-020-2355-0. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32434211.

21. Zhao, K., R. Li, X. Wu, Y. Zhao, T. Wang, Z. Zheng, S. Zeng, X. Ding and H. Nie. "Clinical features in 52 patients with covid-19 who have increased leukocyte count: A retrospective analysis." *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* (2020): 10.1007/s10096-020-03976-8. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32651736.

22. Davies, N. M., M. V. Holmes and G. Davey Smith. "Reading mendelian randomisation studies: A guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians." *BMJ* 362 (2018): k601. 10.1136/bmj.k601. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30002074.

23. Burgess, S., G. Davey Smith, N. Davies, F. Dudbridge, D. Gill, M. Glymour, F. Hartwig, M. Holmes, C. Minelli, C. Relton, *et al.* "Guidelines for performing mendelian randomization investigations [version 2; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]." *Wellcome Open Research* 4 (2020): 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15555.2. https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/4-186/v2.

24. Initiative, C.-H. G. "The covid-19 host genetics initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the sars-cov-2 virus pandemic."

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Eur J Hum Genet 28 (2020): 715-18. 10.1038/s41431-020-0636-6. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32404885.
25. Vuckovic, D., E. L. Bao, P. Akbari, C. A. Lareau, A. Mousas, T. Jiang, M. H. Chen, L. M. Raffield, M. Tardaguila, J. E. Huffman, *et al.* "The polygenic and monogenic basis of blood traits and diseases." *Cell* 182 (2020): 1214-31 e11. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.008. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32888494.
26. Chen, M. H., L. M. Raffield, A. Mousas, S. Sakaue, J. E. Huffman, A. Moscati, B. Trivedi, T. Jiang, P. Akbari, D. Vuckovic, *et al.* "Trans-ethnic and ancestry-specific blood-cell genetics in 746,667 individuals from 5 global populations." *Cell* 182 (2020): 1198-213 e14. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.045. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32888493.
27. Astle, W. J., H. Elding, T. Jiang, D. Allen, D. Ruklisa, A. L. Mann, D. Mead, H. Bouman, F. Riveros-Mckay, M. A. Kostadima, *et al.* "The allelic landscape of human blood cell trait variation and links to common complex disease." *Cell* 167 (2016): 1415-29 e19. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.042. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27863252.
28. Buniello, A., J. A. L. MacArthur, M. Cerezo, L. W. Harris, J. Hayhurst, C. Malangone, A. McMahon, J. Morales, E. Mountjoy, E. Sollis, *et al.* "The nhgri-ebi gwas catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019." *Nucleic Acids Res* 47 (2019): D1005-D12. 10.1093/nar/gky1120. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30445434.
29. Burgess, S., S. G. Thompson and C. C. G. Collaboration. "Avoiding bias from weak instruments in mendelian randomization studies." *Int J Epidemiol* 40 (2011): 755-64. 10.1093/ije/dyr036. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21414999.
30. Burgess, S., A. Butterworth and S. G. Thompson. "Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data." *Genet Epidemiol* 37 (2013): 658-65. 10.1002/gepi.21758. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24114802.
31. Bowden, J., G. Davey Smith and S. Burgess. "Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: Effect estimation and bias detection through egger regression." *Int J Epidemiol* 44 (2015): 512-25. 10.1093/ije/dyv080. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26050253.
32. Bowden, J., G. Davey Smith, P. C. Haycock and S. Burgess. "Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator." *Genet Epidemiol* 40 (2016): 304-14. 10.1002/gepi.21965. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27061298.
33. Hartwig, F. P., G. Davey Smith and J. Bowden. "Robust inference in summary data mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption." *Int J Epidemiol* 46 (2017): 1985-98. 10.1093/ije/dyx102. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040600.
34. Verbanck, M., C. Y. Chen, B. Neale and R. Do. "Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases." *Nat Genet* 50 (2018): 693-98. 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29686387.
35. Korthauer, K., P. K. Kimes, C. Duvallet, A. Reyes, A. Subramanian, M. Teng, C. Shukla, E. J. Alm and S. C. Hicks. "A practical guide to methods controlling false discoveries in computational biology." *Genome Biol* 20 (2019): 118. 10.1186/s13059-019-1716-1. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31164141.
36. Hemani, G., J. Zheng, B. Elsworth, K. H. Wade, V. Haberland, D. Baird, C. Laurin, S. Burgess, J. Bowden, R. Langdon, *et al.* "The mr-base platform supports systematic causal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

inference across the human phenome." *Elife* 7 (2018): 10.7554/eLife.34408. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29846171.
37. Zhang, J. J., X. Dong, Y. Y. Cao, Y. D. Yuan, Y. B. Yang, Y. Q. Yan, C. A. Akdis and Y. D. Gao. "Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with sars-cov-2 in wuhan, china." *Allergy* 75 (2020): 1730-41. 10.1111/all.14238. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32077115.
38. Carsana, L., A. Sonzogni, A. Nasr, R. S. Rossi, A. Pellegrinelli, P. Zerbi, R. Rech, R. Colombo, S. Antinori, M. Corbellino, *et al.* "Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of covid-19 cases from northern italy: A two-centre descriptive study." *Lancet Infect Dis* 20 (2020): 1135-40. 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30434-5. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32526193.
39. Crivellato, E., L. Travan and D. Ribatti. "Mast cells and basophils: A potential link in promoting angiogenesis during allergic inflammation." *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 151 (2010): 89-97. 10.1159/000235998. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19752562.
40. Ye, Q., B. Wang and J. Mao. "The pathogenesis and treatment of the `cytokine storm' in covid-19." *J Infect* 80 (2020): 607-13. 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.037. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32283152.
41. Swystun, L. L. and P. C. Liaw. "The role of leukocytes in thrombosis." *Blood* 128 (2016): 753-62. 10.1182/blood-2016-05-718114. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27354721.
42. Klok, F. A., M. Kruip, N. J. M. van der Meer, M. S. Arbous, D. Gommers, K. M. Kant, F. H. J. Kaptein, J. van Paassen, M. A. M. Stals, M. V. Huisman, *et al.* "Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill icu patients with covid-19." *Thromb Res* 191 (2020): 145-47. 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32291094.
43. Jesenak, M., M. Brndiarova, I. Urbancikova, Z. Rennerova, J. Vojtkova, A. Bobcakova, R. Ostro and P. Banovcin. "Immune parameters and covid-19 infection - associations with clinical severity and disease prognosis." *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 10 (2020): 364. 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00364. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32695683.
44. Azkur, A. K., M. Akdis, D. Azkur, M. Sokolowska, W. van de Veen, M. C. Bruggen, L. O'Mahony, Y. Gao, K. Nadeau and C. A. Akdis. "Immune response to sars-cov-2 and mechanisms of immunopathological changes in covid-19." *Allergy* 75 (2020): 1564-81. 10.1111/all.14364. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32396996.
45. Vabret, N., G. J. Britton, C. Gruber, S. Hegde, J. Kim, M. Kuksin, R. Levantovsky, L. Malle, A. Moreira, M. D. Park, *et al.* "Immunology of covid-19: Current state of the science." *Immunity* 52 (2020): 910-41. 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.05.002. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32505227.
46. Wang, D., R. Li, J. Wang, Q. Jiang, C. Gao, J. Yang, L. Ge and Q. Hu. "Correlation analysis between disease severity and clinical and biochemical characteristics of 143 cases of covid-19 in wuhan, china: A descriptive study." *BMC Infect Dis* 20 (2020): 519. 10.1186/s12879-020-05242-w. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32677918.
47. Sun, D. W., D. Zhang, R. H. Tian, Y. Li, Y. S. Wang, J. Cao, Y. Tang, N. Zhang, T. Zan, L. Gao, *et al.* "The underlying changes and predicting role of peripheral blood inflammatory cells in severe covid-19 patients: A sentinel?" *Clin Chim Acta* 508 (2020): 122-29. 10.1016/j.cca.2020.05.027. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32417210.
48. Li, Q., X. Ding, G. Xia, H. G. Chen, F. Chen, Z. Geng, L. Xu, S. Lei, A. Pan, L. Wang, *et al.* "Eosinopenia and elevated c-reactive protein facilitate triage of covid-19 patients in fever clinic: A retrospective case-control study." *EClinicalMedicine* 23 (2020): 100375. 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100375. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32368728.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

49. Sattar, N. and D. Preiss. "Reverse causality in cardiovascular epidemiological research: More common than imagined?" *Circulation* 135 (2017): 2369-72. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028307. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28606949.

50. Sun, Y., J. Zhou and K. Ye. "Prioritizing causal risk factors for severe covid-19: An exhaustive mendelian randomization study." *Nature Portfolio* (2021): 10.21203/rs.3.rs-149087/v1. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-149087/v1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.20212993; this version posted February 22, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .