medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Large population study identifies drugs associated with reduced COVID-19 severity

Ariel Israel, M.D., Ph.D.[1]
Alejandro A. Schäffer, Ph.D.[3]
Assi Cicurel, M.D., M.B.A.[1,2]
Ilan Feldhamer, M.A.[1]
Ameer Tal, M.Sc.[1]
Kuoyuan Cheng, M.S.[3]
Sanju Sinha, B.Tech.[3]
Eyal Schiff, MD [4]
Gil Lavie, M.D., M.H.A., M.B.A. [1,5] #
Eytan Ruppin, M.D., Ph.D.[3] #

Authors Affiliations:

[1] Division of Planning and Strategy, Clalit Health Services, Israel
[2] Clalit Health Services, Southern District and Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel
[3] Cancer Data Science Laboratory, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
[4] Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Aviv University, Israel
[5] Ruth and Bruce Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

 # Equal contribution last authors

Corresponding Author:

Ariel Israel, MD, PhD
Director, Department of Research and Data, Division of Planning and Strategy
Clalit Health Services
101 Arlozorov Street, Tel Aviv 62098, Israel
Telephone: +972-3-3948160
Email: dr.ariel.israel@gmail.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

BACKGROUND

It may take months to years until drugs specifically designed to treat COVID-19 are available. Until then, it is crucial to identify whether existing medications could have a protective effect against severe disease. This is the objective of this large population study performed in Clalit Health Services (CHS), the largest healthcare provider in Israel.

METHODS

CHS centrally manages electronic health records (EHRs) including medication purchases for over 4.5 million members. Two case-control matched cohorts were assembled to assess systematically which drugs affected the risk of COVID-19 hospitalization: in both cohorts, case patients were hospitalized for COVID-19; matched control patients were taken from the general population in the first cohort, and non-hospitalized SARS-CoV-2 positive patients in the second cohort. For each medication anatomical-therapeutic-chemical (ATC) class acquired during the last month before the index-date, we computed the odds ratio (OR) for hospitalization, 95% confidence interval (CI), and the p-value, using Fisher's exact test. False discovery rate was used to adjust for multiple testing.

RESULTS

Several drugs and pharmacy sold items were associated with significantly reduced odds for SARS-CoV-2 hospitalization, notably ubiquinone (OR=0.185, 95% CI [0.058,0.458], $p<0.001$), ezetimibe (OR=0.513, 95% CI [0.375,0.688], $P<0.001$), rosuvastatin (OR=0.746, 95% CI [0.645,0.858], $p<0.001$) and flecainide (OR=0.303, 95% CI [0.080,0.813], $p<0.01$). Additionally, acquisition of surgical masks, latex gloves and several ophthalmological products were associated with decreased risk for hospitalization.

CONCLUSION

Ubiquinone, ezetimibe and rosuvastatin, all related to the cholesterol synthesis pathway were associated with reduced hospitalization risk. These findings suggest a promising protective effect which should be further investigated.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Background

SARS-Cov-2 is a new single-stranded RNA virus, which was first identified in December 2019, and has rapidly spread into a global pandemic of primarily respiratory illness designated as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). This disease is associated with significant mortality, particularly among elderly or overweight individuals, raising considerable concerns for public health. Until a vaccine or specifically designed therapies are available, it is urgent to identify whether existing medications have protective effects against COVID-19 complications using available real-world data. With this aim, we performed a case-control study on electronic health records (EHRs) from Clalit Health Services (CHS), the largest healthcare provider in Israel.

Methods

We collected data from the Clalit Health Services (CHS) data warehouse on adult patients aged 18 to 95 years, who had been tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between the beginning of the pandemics and until September 25, and were admitted for hospitalization through October 10, 2020. Each patient was assigned an index date, which is the first date at which a positive RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 was collected for the patient. Patients' demographic characteristics were extracted, along with existing comorbidities, clinical characteristics including BMI, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at the baseline, defined as of February 2020. In addition, the list of drugs or products acquired by each patient in CHS pharmacies was collected for the month preceding the index date, defined as 35 to 2 days prior to this date.

Hospitalized COVID-19 patients were assigned to two distinct case-control cohorts, which differ in the way control individuals were selected. In *cohort 1*, control patients were chosen among the general population of CHS members. Since controls can be selected from among millions of individuals, five controls were selected to match each case (5:1), with comprehensively matched baseline attributes, including age, gender, BMI category, socio-economic and smoking status, chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage for patients with renal impairment, and main comorbidities diagnoses (hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease (CKD), congestive heart failure (CHF), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), malignancy, ischemic heart disease). Each control was assigned the same index date as the matched case, provided that the patient was still alive and a member of CHS at this date. EHR data were collected for controls using the same procedure described for cases. This cohort is designed to identify drugs that affect the overall risk for hospitalization for COVID-19, where the effect could combine a decreased risk of detectable infection, and a decreased risk for hospitalization once infected.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In *cohort 2*, control patients were chosen among patients who had a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 but had not been hospitalized as of October 10, 2020. Given the smaller size of the pool from which controls can be drawn, only two controls were matched for each case patient. Attributes which were matched were the age, gender, smoking status, Adjusted Clinical Groups® (ACG) measure of comorbidity(1) and presence of obesity diagnosis. The index date taken was the date of the first positive SARS-CoV-2 test both for cases and for controls. This cohort is more specifically suited to identify drugs that are associated with a decreased risk for COVID-19 hospitalization in patients who had a proven infection with the virus. In both cohorts, there were a minority of case individuals for which enough matching controls could not be found; these cases were not included in their respective cohorts. Patients who were pregnant since February 2020 were also excluded.

Reliable identification of medications procured for a given month is enabled by the fact that in CHS, distinct prescriptions are issued for each calendar month. When medications are provided in advance for multiple months, the date at which the prescription for each month of treatment begins is recorded.

In each cohort, and for each medication anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) class, odds ratio for hospitalization was computed, comparing the number of patients who acquired a medication belonging to the class between 35 days and 2 days preceding the index date, in the case and the control groups. Medications found to be significantly associated with hospitalization were also tested for association with disease severity, using a nested analysis in sub-cohorts of patients from the main cohort grouped by disease severity, according to the scale defined by the World Health Organization (WHO): mild (3), serious (4), severe (5-7), deceased (8).

Based on the results from the two cohorts, which highlighted a significantly decreased risk for ubiquinone, ezetimibe, and a statin, which are often prescribed in combination, we subsequently investigated the association between various combinations of these drugs and the outcomes using the same approach.

This study has been approved by the CHS Institutional Review Board (IRB) with a waiver of informed consent, approval number: COM-0046-20. Patients' data were extracted and processed from CHS data-warehouse using programs developed inhouse in Python and SQL. Patient data that could identify participants were removed prior to the statistical analyses in accordance to the protocol approved by the CHS IRB.

Statistical analysis

Odds ratios for hospitalization for drugs acquired in the case versus control groups and statistical significance were assessed by Fisher's exact test. Correction for multiple testing was performed using

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure(2), which gives an estimation of the false discovery rate (FDR) in the list. Statistical analyses were performed in R statistical software version 3.6 (R Foundation for statistical computing).

Results

Through October 10, 2020, 8,681 adult patients between the ages of 18 and 95 had a recorded COVID-19 related hospitalization in the CHS database. The matching procedure was able to identify control individuals from the general population in ratio 5:1 for 6,202 patients in the first cohort, and control patients in ratio 2:1 from 6,919 SARS-CoV-2 positive individuals in the second cohort. The characteristics of the matched populations are shown in **Table 1.**

In each of the two cohorts, we counted the number of patients from each group who acquired drugs and other medical products from each Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) class and computed the odds ratios and p-values using Fisher's test. The distribution of odds ratio for drugs for which the p-value was statistically significant ($p<0.05$) is shown in **Figure 1.** The odds ratios for most drugs are neutral or associated with an increased risk of COVID-19 hospitalization. Only a small number of items are associated with decreased risk: 1.15% in *cohort 1*, and 1.75% in *cohort 2*.

Table 2 presents the list of drugs and products that were found to be negatively associated with COVID-19 hospitalization in a statistically significant manner in *cohort 1* **(A)** and *in cohort 2* **(B)**. We display items for which the p-value is below 0.05, and for which the false discovery rate (FDR) is less than 0.30, meaning that at least 70% of the items in the displayed list are expected to be true positives. Items are sorted in decreasing order of significance.

The top ranked medications by significance in both cohorts are ubiquinone, ezetimibe, and rosuvastatin. It is remarkable that these three drugs act on the cholesterol and ubiquinone synthesis pathways, which both stem from the mevalonate pathway(3); the intermediate product at the branch point is farnesyl polyphosphate (FPP) (**Figure 2**). Rosuvastatin and other statins specifically inhibit he enzyme HMG-CoA reductase. Ubiquinone is a food supplement available over the counter (OTC) in Israel, which is often recommended to patients prone to muscular pain and receiving a statin treatment(4). Since Ezetimibe, statins and ubiquinone are often used in combination, we performed a nested analysis to more specifically evaluate the impact of possible combinations on the risk of hospitalization. **Table 3** presents the odds ratio and p-values associated with acquisition of statins, ubiquinone, and ezetimibe alone and in combination in the whole cohort, and in sub-cohorts of hospitalized patients defined by disease severity. Ubiquinone's protective effect appears to be more pronounced when given in combination with a statin (OR=0.122, P=0.008, first cohort, for hospitalization in moderate condition). To the extent it is possible to assess in our cohorts, the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

protective effect of ezetimibe appears to be stronger when this molecule is taken without a statin (OR=0.275, P=0.009, second cohort for severe hospitalization), while the effect observed when ezetimibe is taken with a statin seems similar to the effect of the statin alone. Also identified by both cohorts is flecainide, an antiarrhythmic medication, for which the difference in usage rate among hospitalized patients is significant both in *cohort 1* and *cohort 2*. Several additional drugs with a detected protective effect include risedronic acid (OR=0.593 95% CI [0.415, 0.834], p=0.002), a drug usually prescribed for osteoporosis; several drugs acting at synapses and neurotransmitter receptors of different types (escitalopram, bupropion, donepezil, mirabegron); vitamins (vitamin B12 combination, retinol for the eye), and minerals (calcium-zinc, magnesium); finasteride (an anti-androgen, OR=0.000 95% CI [0.000-0.518]) and desogestrel (a progestin); cilazapril (ACE inhibitor); hydrochlorothiazide (diuretic), and sildenafil.

Interestingly, significant protective effect is also observed for several non-drug items that could act as a barrier in both cohorts: surgical masks, latex gloves, eye care wipes (sterile wipes for eye hygiene), artificial tears, and several different eye-drops and ointments.

Discussion

We identified several drugs and products that are significantly associated with reduced odds for COVID-19 hospitalization, both in the general population, and in patients with laboratory proven SARS-CoV-2 infection. Major strengths of our study include: (i) the large sample of hospitalized COVID-19 patients, (ii) the ability to collect comprehensive data about individual demographic and comorbidity characteristics and to build matched case and control populations, (iii) the ability to track hospitalizations and disease severity, owing to a central database established by the Israeli Ministry of Health and, (iv) the capacity to track which drugs and products have been acquired by patients in the period that have preceded SARS-CoV-2 infection, owing to comprehensive digital systems integration in CHS.

The dual cohort design, with control individuals taken from the general population in the first cohort and from individuals positive for SARS-CoV-2 in the second cohort, with each using different matching criteria, mitigates potential bias that could affect each cohort. The two cohorts allow to evaluate the protective effect of drugs that act either by reducing the initial risk of infection, or by reducing the risk of hospitalization in those infected. Analyses are based on items procured between 35 and 2 days before the initial positive test. This window was chosen in accordance to the monthly renewal of prescription policy in place in CHS, with a blackout of 2 days which was intended to exclude acquisitions related to initial symptoms of the disease.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Limitations of this study are related to it being observational in nature. Best efforts were made to use matching so that patients in case and controls are similar regarding most of the known factors for disease severity, and notably, age, obesity, smoking, and baseline comorbidity. We aimed to get a good tradeoff between controlling for confounding factors by rigorous matching and keeping enough patients so that cohorts are representative of the general population. Our analysis is based on medication acquisition in pharmacies and does not ascertain that medications purchased were used. Notably, some of the drugs associated with a protective effect may have been stopped during patient's hospitalization so that our analysis may have underestimated the full achievable benefits for some of the drugs. Conversely, since drugs tested here were acquired before patients were positive for SARS-CoV-2, the protective effect of some of the drugs may be fully attained only when treatment is started before or early in the infection.

Bearing these potential limitations in mind, our analyses point to several different viral vulnerability points, which can potentially be exploited to effectively reduce disease severity with drugs that are already available. The drugs identified as protective are ubiquinone, which is a food supplement with a very good safety profile that does not even require a prescription in Israel; rosuvastatin and ezetimibe, two drugs prescribed routinely to reduce cholesterol, and that are considered safe. These findings are in line with previous reports that RNA viruses need cholesterol to enter cells, for virion assembly, and to maintain structural stability(5–8), and that prescribing statins may protect against infection with RNA viruses such as members of family *Flaviviridae*, including Dengue virus, Zika virus, and West Nile virus(9–11). The involvement of the cholesterol/ubiquinone pathway is further confirmed by the fact that risedronic acid, a drug acting on the enzyme farnesyl pyroposhpate synthase(12) (**Figure 2**) which catalyzes the production of FPP from which the cholesterol and the Ubiquinone synthesis pathways split(3), is identified as protective as well, even though it is prescribed for osteoporosis regardless of the presence of hypercholesterolemia.

Taken together, our findings lend (albeit indirect) support to the possibility that SARS-CoV2 hijacks the cholesterol synthesis pathway, possibly to boost production of the cellular cholesterol it needs as an RNA virus. The fact that ubiquinone protects against severe disease, suggests that SARS-CoV2 may tilt the mevalonate pathway towards cholesterol synthesis and away from ubiquinone synthesis. Such a pathway imbalance would ultimately result in deficiency of ubiquinone that could lead to cell death unless counteracted by ubiquinone supplementation.

Another medication that appears protective is flecainide, an antiarrhythmic drug that blocks sodium channels in the heart, and inhibits ryanodine receptor 2 (RyR2), a major regulator of sarcoplasmic release of stored calcium ions. It may prevent apoptosis by release of calcium from the ER, once the cell mitochondria cease to function. An expert review recommended that patients with arrhythmia who get COVID-19 should continue flecainide treatment if already prescribed(13). In our study, the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

protective effect observed in both cohorts is even more marked for severe patients, suggesting that this drug, which can be given intravenously(14), could be administered to patients in respiratory distress, if the protective effect is confirmed in clinical trials.

In addition, several drugs acting on synapses (bupropion, escitalopram, donepezil, mirabegron) were associated with decreased risk of hospitalization. This is consistent with SARS-CoV-2 invading neuronal cells(15), as manifest by symptoms of loss of smell and taste, where it may spread throughout the nervous system across synapses. Decreased neurotransmitter internalization may therefore reduce the infectious potential of the virus.

We also observe protective effects for several vitamins (vitamin B12, vitamin A) and minerals (calcium-zinc, Magnesium). The beneficial roles of vitamin B12, and vitamin A, and zinc have already been mentioned in existing studies(16–18). In a previous report, we have shown that vitamin D deficiency is associated with increased risk of COVID-19(19). Magnesium is needed for vitamin D activation(20) and its levels in drinking water in Israel are low, as water is produced in great part through desalination of sea water(21). The decreased hospitalization rate revealed here for patients taking magnesium supplementation may suggest a role for supplementation of this element along with vitamin D.

Finally, items that could act as a barrier were among the top items decreasing odds of hospitalization, including surgical masks, latex gloves, eye wipes, eye drops, and ointments. Interestingly, the protective effect for these items is observed foremost among patients from *cohort 2* in which controls are already SARS-CoV-2 positive. This suggests that barrier items could not only protect against the initial risk of infection, but, notably, also reduce disease severity in patients already infected. In our cohorts, we observe a beneficial effect for many different ophthalmologic preparations, which raises the possibility that autoinoculation of the virus to the eyes, prevented by these preparations, has a role in the virulence of SARS-CoV-2. The possibility that invasion of the central nervous system (CNS) by the virus through the eyes could increase the risk of COVID-19 complications is also supported by the fact that eyeglass wearers were shown previously to be at decreased risk for COVID-19 hospitalization(22). Until the meaning of these findings is fully understood, it may be helpful to advise COVID-19 patients to avoid touching their eyes in order to reduce the risk of complications.

In conclusion, considering the observed protective effects of ubiquinone, ezetimibe, rosuvastatin, risedronate and flecainide in this large population analysis, we recommend to further investigate these and other products identified by this study, in prospective trials aimed to reduce disease severity in COVID-19 patients. In the meantime, we believe that the observed protective effects of these drugs provide important evidence supporting their safe continuation in hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Contributors

All authors provided final approval to publish. AI, IF and AT had access to the raw data. AI designed the study. AI, AS, AC, IF, AT, KC, SS, ES, ER and GL contributed to data analysis and interpretation. AI, AS, ER and GL contributed to the drafting of the article.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Funding

This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, NCI.

References

1. Shadmi E, Kinder K, Abrams C, Weiner JP. Assessing socioeconomic health care utilization inequity in Israel: impact of alternative approaches to morbidity adjustment [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/11/609

2. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B [Internet]. 1995 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Oct 9];57(1):289–300. Available from: https://rss.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

3. Buhaescu I, Izzedine H. Mevalonate pathway: a review of clinical and therapeutical implications. Clin Biochem [Internet]. 2007 Jun;40(9–10):575–84. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17467679

4. Qu H, Guo M, Chai H, Wang W-T, Gao Z-Y, Shi D-Z. Effects of Coenzyme Q10 on Statin-Induced Myopathy: An Updated Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Am Heart Assoc [Internet]. 2018;7(19):e009835. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30371340

5. Sun X, Whittaker GR. Role for influenza virus envelope cholesterol in virus entry and infection. J Virol [Internet]. 2003 Dec;77(23):12543–51. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14610177

6. Aizaki H, Morikawa K, Fukasawa M, Hara H, Inoue Y, Tani H, et al. Critical role of virion-associated cholesterol and sphingolipid in hepatitis C virus infection. J Virol [Internet]. 2008 Jun;82(12):5715–24. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18367533

7. Rossman JS, Jing X, Leser GP, Balannik V, Pinto LH, Lamb RA. Influenza virus m2 ion channel protein is necessary for filamentous virion formation. J Virol [Internet]. 2010 May;84(10):5078–88. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20219914

8. Bajimaya S, Hayashi T, Frankl T, Bryk P, Ward B, Takimoto T. Cholesterol reducing agents inhibit assembly of type I parainfluenza viruses. Virology [Internet]. 2017;501:127–35. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27915128

9. Gower TL, Graham BS. Antiviral activity of lovastatin against respiratory syncytial virus in vivo and in vitro. Antimicrob Agents Chemother [Internet]. 2001 Apr;45(4):1231–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11257039

10. Whitehorn J, Nguyen CVV, Khanh LP, Kien DTH, Quyen NTH, Tran NTT, et al. Lovastatin for the Treatment of Adult Patients With Dengue: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2016 Feb 15;62(4):468–76. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26565005

11. Osuna-Ramos JF, Reyes-Ruiz JM, Del Ángel RM. The Role of Host Cholesterol During Flavivirus

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol [Internet]. 2018;8:388. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30450339

12. Tsoumpra MK, Muniz JR, Barnett BL, Kwaasi AA, Pilka ES, Kavanagh KL, et al. The inhibition of human farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase by nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. Elucidating the role of active site threonine 201 and tyrosine 204 residues using enzyme mutants. Bone [Internet]. 2015 Dec;81:478–86. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26318908

13. Wu C-I, Postema PG, Arbelo E, Behr ER, Bezzina CR, Napolitano C, et al. SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, and inherited arrhythmia syndromes. Hear Rhythm [Internet]. 2020;17(9):1456–62. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32244059

14. Antonelli D, Feldman A, Freedberg NA, Darawsha A, Rosenfeld T. [Intravenous flecainide administration for conversion of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in the emergency room]. Harefuah [Internet]. 2006 May;145(5):342–4, 398. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16805213

15. Iroegbu JD, Ifenatuoha CW, Ijomone OM. Potential neurological impact of coronaviruses: implications for the novel SARS-CoV-2. Neurol Sci [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2020 Oct 18];41(6):1329–37. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7232928/?report=abstract

16. Wessels I, Rolles B, Rink L. The Potential Impact of Zinc Supplementation on COVID-19 Pathogenesis. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2020 Jul 10 [cited 2020 Oct 18];11:1712. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7365891/?report=abstract

17. Ragan I, Hartson L, Pidcoke H, Bowen R, Goodrich R. Pathogen reduction of SARS-CoV-2 virus in plasma and whole blood using riboflavin and UV light. PLoS One [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 18];15(5):e0233947. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7259667/?report=abstract

18. Trasino SE. A role for retinoids in the treatment of COVID□19? Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol [Internet]. 2020 Jun 11 [cited 2020 Oct 18];47(10):1440-1681.13354. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1440-1681.13354

19. Israel ACAFIYDBGSMGDSDLGAAM. The link between vitamin D deficiency and Covid-19 in a large population. medRxiv. 2020;2020.09.04.20188268.

20. Uwitonze AM, Razzaque MS. Role of magnesium in vitamin d activation and function [Internet]. Vol. 118, Journal of the American Osteopathic Association. American Osteopathic Association; 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 18]. p. 181–9. Available from: http://jaoa.org

21. Rosen V V., Garber OG, Chen Y. Magnesium deficiency in tap water in Israel: The desalination era. Desalination. 2018 Jan 15;426:88–96.

22. Zeng W, Wang X, Li J, Yang Y, Qiu X, Song P, et al. Association of Daily Wear of Eyeglasses With Susceptibility to Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection. JAMA Ophthalmol [Internet]. 2020 Sep 16; Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32936214

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Demographics and Clinical characteristics of the two matched cohorts of patients (hospitalized vs. non-hospitalized)

	Cohort 1		***Cohort 2***	
	COVID-19 hospitalized (cases)	**not hospitalized (controls)**	**COVID-19 hospitalized (cases)**	**not hospitalized (controls)**
n	6202	31010	6919	13838
Age (mean (SD))	56.8 (18.9)	57.2 (18.7)	59.0 (19.1)	59.2 (18.9)
Gender female (%)	3156 (50.9)	15780 (50.9)	3491 (50.5)	6982 (50.5)
Hospitalization severity (n (%))				
mild condition	**2747 (44.3)**		**2839 (41.0)**	
moderate condition	**1093 (17.6)**		**1215 (17.6)**	
severe condition	**1775 (28.6)**		**1987 (28.7)**	
deceased	**587 (9.5)**		**878 (12.7)**	
Smoking status (%)				
never smoker	4856 (78.3)	23748 (76.6)	5298 (76.6)	10596 (76.6)
past smoker	853 (13.8)	4492 (14.5)	1083 (15.7)	2166 (15.7)
current smoker	408 (6.6)	2365 (7.6)	472 (6.8)	944 (6.8)
Nb visits at primary doctor last year (mean (SD))	6.7 (7.2)	6.6 (6.7)	7.0 (7.6)	6.5 (6.7)
Comorbidity (%)				
Arrhythmia	532 (8.6)	2597 (8.4)	867 (12.5)	1505 (10.9)
Asthma	348 (5.6)	1897 (6.1)	465 (6.7)	914 (6.6)
Congestive Heart Failure (CHF)	131 (2.1)	655 (2.1)	504 (7.3)	635 (4.6)
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)	92 (1.5)	460 (1.5)	397 (5.7)	516 (3.7)
Diabetes	1817 (29.3)	9085 (29.3)	1846 (30.1)	9230 (30.1)
Hypertension	2289 (36.9)	11501 (37.1)	3043 (44.0)	5468 (39.5)
Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD)	864 (13.9)	4320 (13.9)	1252 (18.1)	2110 (15.2)
Malignancy	803 (11.6)	1702 (12.3)	803 (11.6)	1702 (12.3)
Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)	66 (1.1)	330 (1.1)	6200 (89.6)	13032 (94.2)
Obesity (documented diagnosis)	2365 (38.1)	11022 (35.5)	2818 (40.7)	5636 (40.7)
Body Mass Index (BMI) (mean (SD))	28.7 (5.7)	28.6 (6.5)	29.1 (6.3)	28.5 (5.7)
BMI group (%)				
<18.5	70 (1.1)	350 (1.1)	92 (1.3)	189 (1.4)
18.5-25	1567 (25.3)	7835 (25.3)	1728 (25.0)	3635 (26.3)
25-30	2326 (37.5)	11630 (37.5)	2452 (35.4)	5048 (36.5)
30-35	1468 (23.7)	7340 (23.7)	1603 (23.2)	3374 (24.4)
35-40	543 (8.8)	2715 (8.8)	676 (9.8)	1137 (8.2)
>40	228 (3.7)	1140 (3.7)	368 (5.3)	455 (3.3)
Glomerular Filtration Rate	92.8 (22.7)	91.9 (21.7)	86.6 (28.5)	89.1 (23.4)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(GFR) (mean (SD))				
Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) staging (n (%))				
G1	3786 (61.0)	18471 (59.6)	3770 (54.5)	7700 (55.6)
G2	1923 (31.0)	10196 (32.9)	2076 (30.0)	4664 (33.7)
G3a	352 (5.7)	1755 (5.7)	482 (7.0)	887 (6.4)
G3b	121 (2.0)	520 (1.7)	276 (4.0)	368 (2.7)
G4	17 (0.3)	48 (0.2)	109 (1.6)	127 (0.9)
G5			42 (0.6)	22 (0.2)
Dialysis	3 (0.0)	20 (0.1)	164 (2.4)	70 (0.5)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Most significant associations for medications acquired in the 30 days preceding the index date in two matched cohorts

A. ***Cohort 1*** *(N = 6,202 hospitalization cases, N=31,010 controls taken from the general population)*

ATC code and class	use in case	use in control	case %	control %	odds ratio [95% Conf. Int.]	P-value	FDR
C10AX09 Ezetimibe	50	484	0.81	1.56	0.513 [0.375,0.688]	<0.00001	<0.01
C10AA07 Rosuvastatin	235	1556	3.79	5.02	0.746 [0.645,0.858]	0.00003	<0.01
A16AX30 Ubiquinone 10	5	100	0.08	0.32	0.249 [0.079,0.602]	0.00033	0.01
N06AX12 Bupropion	3	60	0.05	0.19	0.250 [0.050,0.766]	0.00656	0.07
Eye Care Wipes	0	28	0.00	0.09	0.000 [0.000,0.704]	0.00970	0.10
C01BC04 Flecainide	4	66	0.06	0.21	0.303 [0.080,0.813]	0.00976	0.10
N06AB10 Escitalopram	154	946	2.48	3.05	0.809 [0.676,0.963]	0.01537	0.14
A12AX05 Calcium-Zinc	0	24	0.00	0.08	0.000 [0.000,0.830]	0.02430	0.18
Surgical Mask	2	44	0.03	0.14	0.227 [0.027,0.871]	0.02668	0.20
N06DA02 Donepezil	18	154	0.29	0.50	0.583 [0.336,0.954]	0.03061	0.21
Latex gloves with talc	1	31	0.02	0.10	0.161 [0.004,0.967]	0.03314	0.23
C03AA03 Hydrochlorothiazide	96	602	1.55	1.94	0.794 [0.632,0.988]	0.04011	0.26

B. ***Cohort 2*** *(N = 6,919 hospitalization cases, N=13,838 controls taken from patients SARS-CoV-2 positive)*

ATC code and class	use in case	use in control	case %	control %	odds ratio [95% Conf. Int.]	P-value	FDR
A16AX30 Ubiquinone 10	5	54	0.07	0.39	0.185 [0.058,0.458]	0.00001	0.00
C10AX09 Ezetimibe	61	204	0.88	1.47	0.594 [0.438,0.796]	0.00029	0.01
C10AA07 Rosuvastatin	268	679	3.87	4.91	0.781 [0.673,0.904]	0.00070	0.01
M05BA07 Risedronic Acid	45	151	0.65	1.09	0.593 [0.415,0.834]	0.00174	0.02
D11AX10 Finasteride	0	16	0.00	0.12	0.000 [0.000,0.518]	0.00231	0.03

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

D04AA13 Dimetindene (topical)	14	62	0.20	0.45	0.451 [0.233,0.815]	0.00487	0.05
G04BD12 Mirabegron	17	68	0.25	0.49	0.499 [0.275,0.859]	0.00790	0.08
B03BA51 Vit.B12 combinations	17	67	0.25	0.48	0.506 [0.278,0.873]	0.01042	0.10
S01XA02 Retinol (eye ointment)	1	17	0.01	0.12	0.118 [0.003,0.750]	0.01065	0.10
Eye Care Wipes	0	11	0.00	0.08	0.000 [0.000,0.797]	0.02035	0.16
C09AA08 Cilazapril	11	46	0.16	0.33	0.477 [0.223,0.936]	0.02418	0.18
S01KA01 Hyaluronic acid (artificial tears)	5	29	0.07	0.21	0.344 [0.104,0.900]	0.02706	0.19
Latex gloves with talc	1	15	0.01	0.11	0.133 [0.003,0.866]	0.02959	0.20
Surgical Mask	1	15	0.01	0.11	0.133 [0.003,0.866]	0.02959	0.20
G03AC09 Desogestrel (contraceptive pills)	2	18	0.03	0.13	0.222 [0.025,0.928]	0.03049	0.21
A12CC04 Magnesium Citrate	24	79	0.35	0.57	0.606 [0.367,0.969]	0.03553	0.23
C01BC04 Flecainide	7	32	0.10	0.23	0.437 [0.163,1.009]	0.04185	0.25
S01ED53 Timolol-Latanoprost	11	44	0.16	0.32	0.499 [0.232,0.983]	0.04381	0.26
G04BE03 Sildenafil	55	151	0.80	1.09	0.726 [0.523,0.997]	0.04479	0.26

Numbers are of patients from the group who have acquired a medication from the class in the last month before the index date.

P-values are calculated according to Fisher's exact test. Medications are sorted by increasing order of p-values.

OR: Odds ratio; [95% CI]: 95% Confidence Interval; FDR: False Discovery Rate calculated according to Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure.

Are shown in this table ATC classes for which the p-value is less than 0.05, and for which the FDR is less than 0.3 (at least 70% are expected to be true positive).

Table 3: Examination of the association between combinations of medications associated with the ubiquinone and cholesterol synthesis pathways and the risk of hospitalization, and severity of hospitalization

A. ***Cohort 1***

	hospitalized all		hospitalized serious		hospitalized severe		death	
	OR [95% CI]	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-val**
Ubiquinone	0.249 [0.079,0.602]	<0.001	0.254 [0.051,0.772]	0.007	0.355 [0.071,1.094]	0.079	0.708 [0.081,2.876]	1.000
Ubiquinone witout Statin	0.303 [0.035,1.185]	0.109	0.554 [0.063,2.257]	0.566	0.699 [0.079,2.901]	1.000	1.632 [0.173,7.683]	0.384
Ubiquinone with Statin	0.224 [0.045,0.682]	0.003	0.122 [0.003,0.711]	0.008	0.179 [0.004,1.064]	0.054	0.000 [0.000,2.674]	0.384
Ezetimibe	0.742 [0.624,0.878]	<0.001	0.768 [0.622,0.940]	0.010	0.743 [0.577,0.944]	0.014	0.556 [0.280,1.005]	0.054
Ezetimibe without Statin	0.478 [0.255,0.829]	0.005	0.434 [0.183,0.887]	0.017	0.360 [0.114,0.872]	0.015	0.325 [0.008,1.992]	0.353
Ezetimibe with Statin	0.785 [0.654,0.937]	0.006	0.820 [0.658,1.013]	0.064	0.805 [0.620,1.032]	0.090	0.598 [0.290,1.110]	0.120
Rosuvastatin	0.739 [0.640,0.851]	<0.001	0.696 [0.582,0.829]	<0.001	0.716 [0.579,0.878]	0.001	0.691 [0.444,1.037]	0.072

B. ***Cohort 2***

	hospitalized all		hospitalized serious		hospitalized severe		death	
	OR [95% CI]	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-value**	**OR [95% CI]**	**p-val**
Ubiquinone	0.185 [0.058,0.458]	<0.001	0.171 [0.034,0.542]	<0.001	0.239 [0.046,0.785]	0.009	0.800 [0.076,4.896]	1.000
Ubiquinone witout Statin	0.133 [0.003,0.866]	0.030	0.166 [0.004,1.126]	0.073	0.286 [0.006,2.224]	0.283	2.001 [0.025,156.979]	1.000
Ubiquinone with Statin	0.205 [0.053,0.568]	<0.001	0.174 [0.020,0.703]	0.005	0.222 [0.025,0.927]	0.030	0.500 [0.010,5.058]	0.670
Ezetimibe	0.831 [0.699,0.986]	0.031	0.836 [0.679,1.025]	0.083	0.831 [0.651,1.056]	0.132	0.487 [0.292,0.782]	0.002
Ezetimibe without Statin	0.538 [0.275,0.985]	0.037	0.377 [0.142,0.858]	0.015	0.275 [0.070,0.784]	0.009	0.153 [0.004,1.021]	0.044
Ezetimibe with Statin	0.869 [0.725,1.038]	0.127	0.896 [0.722,1.106]	0.324	0.909 [0.706,1.164]	0.466	0.546 [0.321,0.892]	0.013
Rosuvastatin	0.780 [0.672,0.902]	0.001	0.698 [0.581,0.835]	<0.001	0.687 [0.555,0.845]	<0.001	0.530 [0.360,0.766]	<0.001

OR: Odds ratio, [95% CI]: 95% Confidence Interval

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1: Histogram showing the distribution of the odd ratios of medication use with the outcome in cohorts 1 and 2



The overwhelming majority of medications are associated with neutral effect (gray) or increased risk for hospitalization (black, OR>1), only a few are associated with significantly decreased risk (black, OR<1)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20211953; this version posted October 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2: Ubiquinone and cholesterol biosynthesis pathway



Ubiquinone and cholesterol biosynthesis pathways originate from a branching of the mevalonate pathway at FPP. Rosuvastatin and other statins can inhibit the HMG-CoA reductase, while risedronic acid and other bisphosphonates can inhibit the FPP synthase. Ac-CoA: acetyl coenzyme A, HMG-CoA: hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A, GPP: geranyl pyrophosphate, FPP: farnesyl pyrophosphate, PPP: polyprenyl pyrophosphate.