All-cause mortality among patients treated with repurposed antivirals and antibiotics for COVID-19 in Mexico City: A Real-World Observational Study

Javier Mancilla-Galindo[1,2] ORCiD: 0000-0002-0718-467X

Jorge Óscar García-Méndez[2,3,4]

Jessica Márquez-Sánchez[5] ORCiD: 0000-0002-8320-7570

Rodrigo Estefano Reyes-Casarrubias[2,3] ORCiD: 0000-0003-4404-581X

Eduardo Aguirre-Aguilar[6] ORCiD: 0000-0001-6809-7337

Héctor Isaac Rocha-González[7] ORCiD: 0000-0002-3199-5683

Ashuin Kammar-García[6,7,*] ORCiD: 0000-0002-3875-0945

[1] Unidad de Investigación UNAM-INC, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico.

[2] Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

[3] Departamento de Posgrado y Educación Médica Continua, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Mexico City, Mexico.

[4] Departamento de Infectología, Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, Mexico City, Mexico.

[5] Departamento de Infectología, Instituto Nacional de Pediatría, Mexico City, Mexico.

[6] Departamento de Atención Institucional Continua y Urgencias, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico.

[7] Sección de Estudios de Posgrado e Investigación, Escuela Superior de Medicina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico.

*Corresponding author: A. Kammar-García. Vasco de Quiroga 15, Tlalpan, Col. Belisario Domínguez Sección XVI, CP 14080 Ciudad de México, México. Tel: +52 5554870900, ext. 5010. E-mail: kammar_nutrition@hotmail.com

Running Head: Repurposed COVID-19 treatments in CDMX

Key words: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Oseltamivir, Antibiotics, pharmacoepidemiology

Word count (Text): 3941

Table count: 3

Figure Count: 4

Principal investigator statement: The collection and public release of datasets used for this study was conducted and authorized by the Secretariat of Health of Mexico City. Since this is a retrospective analysis of an open dataset, no Principal Investigator has been identified and listed as an author.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declarations of interest: All authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in the Open Data Platform of Mexico City's Government at https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/explore/dataset/base-covid-sinave/information/, reference number 19, and the Directorate General of Epidemiology of Mexico City's Weekly Epidemiological Surveillance Reports of Influenza 2020 at https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/informes-semanales-para-la-vigilancia-epidemiologica-de-influenza-2020, reference number 21.

Ethics statement: This is a retrospective analysis of an open-source dataset of patients evaluated for suspected COVID-19 in Mexico City. The Ministry of Health of Mexico City approved the collection and release of publicly available datasets.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN

- Current recommendations for using repurposed antivirals and antibiotics for COVID-19 are conflicting.
- Few antivirals (i.e. lopinavir-ritonavir) have been shown to provide no additional benefit for COVID-19 in clinical trials; other antivirals may be having widespread use in real-world settings without formal assessment in clinical trials.
- Real-world use of repurposed antivirals and antibiotics for COVID-19 in population-based studies have not been performed; important populations have been left largely understudied (ambulatory patients, pregnant women, and pediatrics).

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- This is the first real-world observational study evaluating amantadine, rimantadine, zanamivir, and acyclovir for COVID-19; no registered studies to evaluate these drugs exist. Only one study has evaluated risk of death for oseltamivir. Lopinavir-ritonavir have been previously evaluated in clinical trials.
- Repurposed antivirals and antibiotics were commonly prescribed in 688 ambulatory units and hospitals of Mexico City despite unclear recommendations for their use out of clinical trials.
- Oseltamivir was associated with increased mortality risk; other repurposed antivirals (zanamivir, amantadine, rimantadine, and acyclovir) had no significant and consistent impact on mortality. Antibiotics were associated with increased

mortality risk in the general population but may increase survival in hospitalized and critical patients.

ABSTRACT (250 words)

Aim: To evaluate all-cause mortality risk in patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in Mexico City treated with repurposed antivirals and antibiotics.

Methods: This real-world retrospective cohort study contemplated 395,343 patients evaluated for suspected COVID-19 between February 24 and September 14, 2020 in 688 primary-to-tertiary medical units in Mexico City. Patients were included with a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2; those receiving unspecified antivirals, excluded; and antivirals prescribed in <30 patients, eliminated. Survival and mortality risks were determined for patients receiving antivirals, antibiotics, both, or none.

Results: 136,855 patients were analyzed; mean age 44.2 (SD:16.8) years; 51.3% were men. 16.6% received antivirals (3%), antibiotics (10%), or both (3.6%). Antivirals studied were Oseltamivir (n=8414), Amantadine (n=319), Lopinavir-Ritonavir (n=100), Rimantadine (n=61), Zanamivir (n=39), and Acyclovir (n=36). Survival with antivirals (73.7%, p<0.0001) and antibiotics (85.8%, p<0.0001) was lower than no antiviral/antibiotic (93.6%). After multivariable adjustment, increased risk of death occurred with antivirals (HR=1.72, 95%CI:1.61-1.84) in ambulatory (HR=4.7, 95%CI:3.94-5.62) and non-critical (HR=2.03, 95%CI:1.86-2.21) patients. Oseltamivir increased mortality risk in the general population (HR=1.72, 95%CI:1.61-1.84), ambulatory (HR=4.79, 95%CI:4.01-5.75), non-critical (HR=2.05, 95%CI:1.88-2.23), and pregnancy (HR=8.35, 95%CI:1.77-39.30); as well as hospitalized (HR=1.13, 95%CI:1.01-1.26) and critical patients (HR:1.22, 95%CI:1.05-1.43) after propensity score-matching. Antibiotics were a risk factor in general population (HR=1.13, 95%CI:1.08-1.19) and pediatrics (HR=4.22, 95%CI:2.01-8.86), but a protective factor in

hospitalized (HR=0.81, 95%CI:0.77-0.86) and critical patients (HR=0.67, 95%CI:0.63-0.72).

Conclusions: No significant benefit for repurposed antivirals was observed; oseltamivir was associated with increased mortality. Antibiotics increased mortality risk in the general population but may increase survival in hospitalized and critical patients.

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the etiologic agent of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, one of the most devastating infectious diseases of this century. Non-pharmacological interventions are the most effective means of limiting the impact of COVID-19.[1] However, several countries have not been able to contain the disease.[2]

One of the main strategies for finding ways to combat COVID-19 is drug repurposing since developing novel antivirals against SARS-CoV-2 may be protracted.[3] Repurposing existing antivirals is attractive due to their relative safeness and potential anti-SARS-CoV-2 mechanisms.[4] Neuraminidase inhibitors (i.e. oseltamivir, zanamivir) and HIV protease inhibitors (i.e. lopinavir-ritonavir) have been hypothesized to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 proteases involved in the degradation of polyproteins that control viral replication.[5] Adamantanes (i.e. amantadine, rimantadine) are thought to disrupt lysosomal trafficking, thereby impeding the release of SARS-CoV-2 ribonucleic acid (RNA) into the cell,[6] and by inhibiting conductance of the envelope (E) protein.[7] Acyclovir, a nucleotide analog antiviral, was found as a candidate drug for COVID-19 by potentially counteracting gene expression changes observed after SARS-CoV-2 infection.[8]

Evaluating repurposed drugs during a pandemic comprises numerous challenges.[9] Up to December 8, 2020 there were 413 registered studies to test antivirals for COVID-19, of which 400 were still active.[10] Most trials in the World Health Organization (WHO) platform were for lopinavir/ritonavir (156), favipiravir (62), remdesivir (52), oseltamivir

(19), and ribavirin (16).[11] Other common antivirals are not being tested for COVID-19 but could be having widespread use in the community and hospitals since practice guidelines do not discourage/recommend most antivirals due to a lack of evidence,[12,13] others advise against most,[14,15] or recommend oseltamivir empirically during the influenza season[16] and when coinfection exists.[17]

The WHO recommends early antibiotic therapy in patients with severe COVID-19, but not for mild-to-moderate disease.[14] However, questions have arisen on the effectiveness of antibiotics due to its viral origin, whilst indiscriminate use of antibiotics could aggravate antimicrobial resistance.[18]

In this population-based study, we hypothesized that repurposed antivirals and antibiotics could be having widespread use in real-world settings. To determine their impact on mortality, we studied survival and all-cause mortality risk in patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in Mexico City receiving these drugs in both ambulatory and in-hospital settings.

METHODS

Study Design

We conducted a real-world multicenter retrospective cohort study in patients who received medical attention for suspected COVID-19 in any of the 688 registered and accredited COVID-19 medical units in Mexico City, to evaluate all-cause mortality (main outcome) in those receiving antivirals, antibiotics, both, or none (exposition groups).

We considered 395,343 patients for eligibility evaluated for COVID-19 in 688 medical units (primary-to-tertiary care) between February 24, 2020 and September 14, 2020. All patients with a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 were included to maximize the power and generalizability. Patients treated with unspecified antivirals were excluded. To perform reliable analyses, a cut-off value of 30 patients receiving the same antiviral was set and groups of antivirals with <30 patients were eliminated.

Source of Data

We used the COVID-19 open dataset available in Mexico City Government's Open Data platform,[19] collected and updated daily by the Secretariat of Health of Mexico City. Patients meeting criteria of suspected COVID-19 case have been included in this dataset starting on February 24, 2020 when the first suspected cases arrived in Mexico.

Criteria for suspected COVID-19 case in Mexico included having at least two of three signs/symptoms (cough, fever, or headache) plus at least one other (dyspnea, arthralgias, myalgias, sore throat, rhinorrhea, conjunctivitis, or chest pain) in the last 7 days. This operational definition was changed on August 24, 2020 to increase sensitivity:[20] at least one of four signs/symptoms (cough, fever, dyspnea, or headache), plus at least one other (myalgias, arthralgias, sore throat, chills, chest pain, rhinorrhea, anosmia, dysgeusia, or conjunctivitis) in the last 10 days.

For epidemiologic purposes, two strategies are outlined in the National COVID-19 Epidemiologic Surveillance Plan:[20] 1. testing of 10% of ambulatory patients with mild symptoms of respiratory disease and 100% of patients with respiratory distress at evaluation in monitoring units of viral respiratory disease (USMER, for its acronym in Spanish), and 2. testing 100% of patients who meet diagnostic criteria of Severe Acute

Respiratory Infection (defined as shortness of breath, temperature ≥38 °C, cough, and ≥1 of the following: chest pain, tachypnea, or acute respiratory distress syndrome) in non-USMER units.

Upon evaluating a patient suspected of having COVID-19, healthcare professionals are required to fill out a format (Supplementary Appendix) containing demographic, clinical, epidemiological, and treatment variables, later complemented with follow-up by accredited hospital epidemiologists (inpatients) and healthcare professionals in primary care units (ambulatory patients). For ambulatory patients, follow-up is performed daily for a minimum 7 days and patients are considered recovered 14 days after the onset of symptoms if alive and not hospitalized. For hospitalized patients, follow-up is done daily until death or discharge; follow-up time for patients discharged from hospital is highly variable since no consensus or requirements by authorities exist but may extend from 14 days to 3-6 months after discharge. Duration of follow-up for each patient is not provided in the dataset and cannot be calculated.

For every medical unit there is only one responsible authority who ultimately uploads data into the Respiratory Diseases Epidemiologic Surveillance System and is accountable for accuracy. Results of diagnostic RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 are directly uploaded by the diagnostic facility; accreditation of diagnostic procedures by the Mexican Institute of Diagnostics and Epidemiological Reference is required to upload results. Reporting of all deaths of COVID-19 suspected or confirmed cases is obligatory and must be done in the first 48 hours after occurrence; in cases of deaths occurring in patients who had completed follow-up, registries are matched to death certificates and updated. There have been concerns that patients tested more than once may be

duplicated. Since no variables that could lead to identification of patients are released, we searched for patients with identical demographic variables and only one registry was kept.

To determine whether prescription of oseltamivir occurred for cases of COVID-19 or influenza during the pandemic period, dates of prescription of oseltamivir and dates of admission of patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were obtained from the previously mentioned COVID-19 dataset and grouped according to epidemiological weeks. Data of patients who had a positive test for influenza in Mexico City for every epidemiological week were obtained from the Directorate General of Epidemiology's Weekly Surveillance Reports of Influenza.[21]

Management of Variables

All categorical variables were classified as dummy variables (present/absent). Polytomous variables were created from frequencies of use of antivirals and antibiotics (no antiviral/antibiotic, antiviral only, antibiotic only, and antiviral plus antibiotic), type of antiviral with >30 patients, and the combination of every individual antiviral with antibiotics. These were considered as the exposition groups. Special populations for subgroup analyses were defined as: children and adolescents (<18 years), pregnancy, puerperium, and non-pregnant/puerperal adults (≥18 years). Further subgroups included ambulatory and hospitalized patients, as well as patients admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) and those requiring invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV). A variable of critical patients was built by grouping patients admitted to ICU and/or requiring IMV, whereas non-critical patients did not meet any of both.

Since it has been hypothesized that early use of antivirals for COVID-19 could diminish hospitalization rate[22] and detain disease progression,[23] thereby decreasing mortality, we distinguished early (≤2 days from symptom onset to initiation of antivirals) from late (>2 days) use of antivirals, and studied their relation to hospitalization rates and mortality; only patients who received antivirals before being evaluated in an accredited COVID-19 unit were included for this analysis since dates of initiation of antivirals are only collected for such patients. Therefore, we studied these patients as a different sub-cohort.

Occupations were grouped as follows: technical services (laborers), education (students and teachers), healthcare (dentists, nurses, diagnostic laboratorian, physicians, and other healthcare workers), agricultural activities (peasants), commerce (drivers, informal commerce, employees, and businesspeople), unemployed, stay-at-home (stay-at-home parents and retired/pensioners), and other occupations (others, and other professions).

Variables for adjustment of models were: sex, age, indigenous self-identification, diabetes, COPD, immunosuppression, hypertension, HIV/AIDS, cardiovascular disease, obesity, CKD, smokers, unemployed, time from symptom onset to medical attention, fever, cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, irritability, diarrhea, chest pain, chills, headache, myalgias, arthralgias, abrupt deterioration, rhinorrhea, polypnea, vomit, abdominal pain, conjunctivitis, cyanosis, and sudden onset of symptoms.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive data were calculated and are provided as frequencies, percentages, mean with standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR). Qualitative

comparisons were made with χ^2 or Fisher´s exact test. Independent-samples t-test and ANOVA were used for quantitative comparisons. Survival was calculated for all treatment groups (antiviral only, antibiotic only, antiviral plus antibiotic, and no antiviral/antibiotic) and specific antivirals (acyclovir, amantadine, lopinavir-ritonavir, oseltamivir, rimantadine, and zanamivir) alone or combined with antibiotics; survival curves were created for general population, ambulatory, hospitalized, non-critical, and critical patients. Survival between groups receiving distinct treatments were compared through the Log-Rank test against patients not receiving antivirals/antibiotics. Cox regression models were applied for general population, ambulatory, hospitalized, non-critical, and critical patients to determine mortality risk in patients receiving any treatment compared to no antivirals/antibiotics (reference). Resulting hazard ratios (HR) were adjusted for demographic and clinical variables considered as risk factors in the univariate analysis for every group; all variables with $p<0.1$ were included in the final model using the Enter method.

To account for multicenter variability, adjusted risk was calculated through generalized estimating equations (GEE), setting the medical unit with the lowest CFR and the highest number of patients for every subgroup as the reference value. Further subgroup survival analyses and multivariable Cox regression models were applied for special populations (children and adolescents, pregnancy, puerperium, and non-pregnant/puerperal adults), invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), and intensive care unit (ICU). To quantify the minimal association strength of an unmeasured confounding factor that could reduce the risk conferred by exposures in our study, E-values were calculated for the point estimate and lower limit of the confidence interval.

To reduce potential confounding and selection bias, we applied a propensity score analysis. Propensity scores were calculated with a logistic regression model adjusted for: sex, age, signs and symptoms (fever, dyspnea, arthralgias, myalgias), and comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, obesity, and immunosuppression). A 1:1 pairing was performed through the nearest-neighbor algorithm matching. Density functions of treated patients against controls before and after matching were graphed to determine appropriate matching. Subsequently, multivariable Cox regression models were applied to determine mortality risk in patients treated with antivirals, antibiotics, and oseltamivir versus a control group.

A two-sided p value <0.05 was used to define statistical significance. Analyses and figures were created with SPSS software v.21 and GraphPad Prism v.8.0.1.

RESULTS

No duplicated registries were found. After selection of eligible participants (Figure 1), 136,855 patients from all 688 medical units were analyzed. 97.83% (n=133,887) were residents of the Mexico City Metropolitan Area, conformed by 17 municipalities of Mexico City (83.29%, n=111,768), and 60 municipalities (16.71%, n=22,119) of the State of Mexico. The remaining 2.17% (n=2,968) sought medical attention from all other 30 states of the republic.

Of all patients, 10.0% (n=13,743) received antibiotics only; 3.0% (n=4,044), antivirals only; 3.6% (n=4,925), antivirals plus antibiotics, and 83.4% (n=114,143), none (Table 1). More symptomatic ambulatory patients received antivirals and antibiotics more

frequently (Supplementary Table 1); hospitalized patients with more signs/symptoms had greater use of antivirals, but less antibiotics (Supplementary Table 2).

Baseline and follow-up characteristics of survivors (91.47%, n=136,855) and non-survivors (8.53%, n=11,679) are shown in Supplementary Table 3. Case-fatality rates (CFR) in special populations were: 8.92% (95%CI:8.76-9.07%), for non-pregnant/puerperal adults; 1.72% (95%CI:0.66-2.77), pregnancy; 0.97% (95%CI:0-2.90), puerperium; and 0.69% (95%CI:0.48-0.90), children and adolescents. Of all deaths, 92.7% (95%CI:92.2-93.2) and 99.6% (95%CI:99.5-99.7) occurred by day 28 and 56, respectively.

Patients treated only with antivirals had lower survival than those not receiving antivirals/antibiotics in the general population (Figure 2a), ambulatory (Figure 2b), hospitalized (Figure 2c), non-critical (Figure 3a), critical (Figure 3c), IMV (Supplementary Table 4), ICU (Supplementary Table 5) and non-pregnant/puerperal adults (Supplementary Table 6); for children and adolescents (Supplementary Table 7) and pregnancy (Supplementary Table 8) differences in survival were not significant; there were not enough events for analysis in puerperal women. Increased survival with only antibiotics was observed in hospitalized, critical, and IMV, whereas decreased survival occurred in the general population, non-pregnant/puerperal adults, ambulatory, non-critical, ICU, and children and adolescents; there were no differences for pregnancy. Antivirals plus antibiotics resulted in decreased survival in the general population, ambulatory, non-critical, non-pregnant/puerperal adults, children and adolescents, pregnancy, and ICU; increased survival, in hospitalized; and no differences, in critical and IMV groups.

Decreased survival with oseltamivir was observed in the general population (Figure 2d), ambulatory (Figure 2e), non-critical (Figure 2d), ICU (Supplementary Table 5), non-pregnant/puerperal adults (Supplementary Table 6), children and adolescents (Supplementary Table 7), and pregnancy (Supplementary Table 8); no differences in survival occurred in hospitalized (Figure 2f), critical (Figure 3d), and IMV (Supplementary Table 4). Survival rates for amantadine, zanamivir, rimantadine, acyclovir, and lopinavir-ritonavir are shown in the same figures and tables as oseltamivir.

Unadjusted (Supplementary Table 9) and adjusted (Table 2) risk of death for the general population, ambulatory, hospitalized, non-critical and critical patients, as well as for other subgroups (Supplementary Tables 10-14) were calculated. E-values for statistically significant risk groups are provided in Supplementary Tables 15-16. After adjusting for center through GEE, we found no statistically significant variability in mortality risk for antivirals, antibiotics, or both in all groups. Oseltamivir presented variability in hospitalized and critical patients, with the largest increases in risk occurring in public hospitals. However, center was not a modifying risk factor after logistic regression analysis in hospitalized and critical patients.

After matching patients receiving antivirals (Supplementary Table 17), oseltamivir (Supplementary Table 18), or antibiotics (Supplementary Table 19) to controls, mortality risks were similar to those in the unmatched cohort (Table 3). However, contrary to the main analyses, antivirals in hospitalized patients, as well as oseltamivir in hospitalized and critical patients were a risk factor for death. Antibiotics were a protective factor in hospitalized and critical patients, but not in ambulatory and non-critical patients.

Density functions before and after matching are shown in (Supplementary Figures 1-3).

Of all 8,969 patients receiving antivirals, 10% (n=903) received antivirals before evaluation in COVID-19 accredited units; their baseline and follow-up characteristics are available in Supplementary Table 20. 25.2% (n=227) were admitted to hospital. Median time from symptom onset to initiation of antivirals was 1 day (IQR:0-4) for both ambulatory and hospitalized patients; time from symptom onset to ambulatory care in accredited units was 5 days (IQR:3-8) and 6 days (IQR:4-9) for hospitalization. Time from initiation of antivirals to hospitalization was 3 days (IQR:0-6). Time-to-initiation of antivirals and time-to-hospitalization for specific antivirals are shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

Early (≤2 days) and late (>2 days) initiation of antivirals occurred in 64.2% (n=580) and 35.8% (n=323) patients, respectively. Overall survival in early (91.3%) and late (88.9%) groups was not different (p=0.2). Survival for early/late use of antivirals is shown in Supplementary Table 21. Oseltamivir was associated with increased risk of death in both early (HR=3.00, 95%CI:2.14-4.20) and late (HR=2.99, 95%CI:1.83-4.89) groups, as well as late use of lopinavir-ritonavir (HR=9.9, 95%CI:2.49-39.83); all other early/late antivirals did not reach statistical significance. There were no differences in hospitalization rates between early and late groups for every antiviral (Supplementary Figure 5).

Prescription of oseltamivir during the pandemic period was greater than confirmed influenza cases (Figure 4A) and followed a similar pattern to that of weekly new cases of patients with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observational study evaluating amantadine, rimantadine, zanamivir, and acyclovir for COVID-19; no registered studies to evaluate these drugs exist.[11] Only one study has evaluated risk of death for oseltamivir;[24] lopinavir-ritonavir have been evaluated in clinical trials.[25]

We hypothesized that antivirals and antibiotics could be having widespread use in real-world settings as repurposed drugs for COVID-19. Therefore, we studied mortality in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients treated with common antivirals and/or antibiotics in Mexico City. Most patients did not receive them (83.4%), although a substantial proportion received antivirals alone (3.0%) or combined with antibiotics (3.6%) despite national guidelines advising against antivirals out of clinical trials.[15] Patients receiving antivirals and antibiotics were overall more symptomatic, suggesting that florid clinical presentations and not evidence may be guiding decision to treat.

Our cohort included mostly middle-aged adults (44.2 [SD:16.8] years), of which non-survivors were younger and with a higher burden of hypertension, diabetes and obesity compared with other countries, findings consistent with previous studies in Mexican patients.[26] Rates of patients with cardiovascular diseases, COPD, asthma, and smokers were low, suggesting that underdiagnosis could be occurring. Only 20-30% of patients had been vaccinated against influenza during the prior season.

Of patients treated with antivirals, ~10% (n=903) started antivirals before seeking medical attention in accredited COVID-19 units. Hospitalization rates were not different when antivirals were used early (≤2 days) vs late (>2 days). In Mexico, antibiotics and

most antivirals are sold under prescription. However, private pharmacy-associated clinics are a rapidly growing sector not included in our study where physicians tend to have lower experience, qualifications, compliance with regulations, and higher prescription rates.[27–29] Self-medication with amantadine could be occurring since it is a widely available over-the-counter medication. Importantly, the high prescription of oseltamivir in Mexico City during the pandemic period cannot be attributed to influenza outbreaks, since patients receiving oseltamivir largely exceed those with a positive test for influenza and follows a similar weekly pattern to that of newly diagnosed COVID-19 patients.

In one single-center study, oseltamivir was associated with decreased mortality risk in COVID-19-hospitalized patients (HR=0.21; 95%CI:0.10-0.43).[24] Contrary to Liu et al., we found no benefit for oseltamivir in hospitalized patients (HR=1.07; 95%CI:0.99-1.15) which is consistent with studies of oseltamivir for SARS-CoV (HR=0.87; 95%CI:0.55-1.38).[30] Combination of oseltamivir with antibiotics in hospitalized patients in our study resulted in decreased risk of death (HR=0.92; 95%:0.87-0.98), which could explain findings by Liu et al. since most patients in their cohort (87.7%) received antibiotics. Decreased mortality is likely driven by antibiotics since hospitalized patients in our study receiving only antibiotics had lower risk of dying (HR=0.81, 95%CI:0.77-0.86) than antibiotics plus oseltamivir.

After multivariable adjustment, oseltamivir was associated with increased mortality in the general population (HR=1.72, 95%CI:1.61-1.84), ambulatory (HR=4.79, 95%CI:4.01-5.75), non-critical (HR=2.05, 95%CI:1.88-2.23), and pregnant (HR=8.35, 95%CI:1.77-39.30) patients. Mortality risk was also higher in the cohort of 903 patients

with both early (HR=3.00, 95%CI:2.14-4.20) and late (HR=2.99, 95%CI:1.83-4.89) use of oseltamivir. We performed propensity score matching analyses to further account for potential confounders and found that hospitalized (HR=1.13, 95%CI:1.01-1.26) and critical patients (HR:1.22, 95%CI:1.05-1.43) also had an increased risk of death when treated with oseltamivir. Further efforts to limit bias were adjustment for center through GEE and calculation of E-values which support a strong association between oseltamivir and increased mortality risk, since potential confounders not accounted for in our study should have substantially large hazard ratios and lower limit confidence intervals (i.e. 9.05 and 7.48, respectively, for ambulatory patients) to explain our findings.

The potentially inhibitory activity of proteases by oseltamivir[5] was found to be weak through molecular modeling, while inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro* and reduction of symptoms in hospitalized patients failed.[31] Furthermore, oseltamivir nearly suppresses the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by dendritic cells, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and CD8+ T cells through inhibition of endogenous neuraminidase (sialidase),[32] which could impair the immune response and limit the capacity to eliminate the infection. Use of oseltamivir for infections caused by viruses lacking a neuraminidase gene (i.e. respiratory syncytial virus) decrease viral clearance,[33] which could explain increased mortality in patients receiving oseltamivir. Additionally, sudden (cardiac arrest, respiratory suppression, hypothermia, and neuropsychiatric events) and delayed-onset (impaired renal function, hyperglycemic events, prolonged QTc interval, immunological impairment, and bleeding) adverse reactions could explain increased risk of death in patients with COVID-19 treated with oseltamivir.[32] Antiviral drug-related heart

damage is a concern since some antivirals may be cardiotoxic, aggravating myocardial damage caused by SARS-CoV-2.[34] Renal and psychiatric adverse events in patients receiving oseltamivir were higher compared to placebo in a systematic review.[35]

In the RECOVERY study, there were no differences in mortality risk between hospitalized patients receiving lopinavir-ritonavir vs placebo (HR=1.03, 95%CI:0.91-1.17),[25] which is consistent with our findings in hospitalized patients. Notably, ambulatory and late (>2 days) use of lopinavir-ritonavir were risk factors for death in our study.

Antibiotics were a risk factor for death in the general population after multivariable analysis but a protective factor in both ambulatory and hospitalized patients. Nonetheless, univariate models showed no overall effect of antibiotics in ambulatory patients; when adjusting only for demographic variables no effect persisted but were protective after adjusting only for clinical variables. This is explained by the fact that more symptomatic patients received antibiotics more often. Supporting this conclusion, no benefit was observed for antibiotics in non-critical patients in both matched and unmatched cohorts.

We observed a potential benefit for use of antibiotics in hospitalized, IMV, and critical patients. Increased survival in these groups could be due to prevention or treatment of concomitant bacterial infections, thereby supporting current WHO recommendations.[14] However, categorization of antibiotics as a single category in this dataset limits our study. Randomized clinical trials testing antibiotics in severe COVID-19 adult patients to prevent secondary infections would be important to corroborate this hypothesis.

For children and adolescents, antibiotics were a risk factor for death (HR=4.22, 95%CI:2.01-8.86). However, we did not differentiate ambulatory from hospitalized pediatric patients and current recommendations include using antibiotics in hospitalized patients with multisystem inflammatory syndrome.[36] The lack of benefit from antivirals included in our study in pediatric patients supports current guidelines discouraging their use.[37]

The main limitation of our study is that we were not able to assess cointerventions being studied for COVID-19 since only data for antivirals and antibiotics were available. Steroids increase survival in patients requiring oxygen administration and decrease survival in patients without supplementary oxygen.[38] However, modifications of mortality risks by steroids in our population should be large according to E-values, reducing the likelihood that these associations could be due to steroids.

Another potential limitation is that Mexico has had a low diagnostic testing rate for SARS-CoV-2 (0.08 daily tests per 1,000 people).[39] However, health authorities require 100% of patients with severe disease to be tested. Since we only studied mortality, an outcome expected to occur in patients who progress to severe disease, our study feasibly included most events. Nonetheless, excess mortality rates suggest there could be an undercounting of deaths in Mexico City.[39] These patients could have refrained from seeking medical attention or received medical care in non-accredited COVID-19 units where mortality, quality of care, and use of antivirals/antibiotics could be different.

Also, the number of ICU beds in Mexico City was relatively low in March 2020 (6.0 per 100,000 population) compared to most European countries (5 to 33.9 per 100,000) in the pre-pandemic period; this capacity was expanded to 29.5 ICU beds per 100,000 by

September 2020.[39,40] Mortality rates, especially in patients younger than 60 years, are lower under high availability of ICU beds.[40] Thus, mortality rates could have varied throughout our study period.

Although we were not able to determine duration of follow-up in our study, the mechanisms and resources used by epidemiologic authorities in Mexico are robust enough to guarantee adequate matching of patients who had completed follow-up with death certificates. Thus, our finding that 92.7% (95%CI:92.2-93.2) and 99.6% (95%CI:99.5-99.7) of deaths occurred by day 28 and 56, respectively, could be important for the interpretation and design of COVID-19 clinical trials assessing short-term mortality.

CONCLUSIONS

Repurposed antivirals (oseltamivir, zanamivir, amantadine, rimantadine, acyclovir, and lopinavir/ritonavir) did not provide additional benefit, whereas oseltamivir consistently increased mortality risk. Antibiotics were associated with increased risk of death in the general population but decreased mortality risk only in hospitalized and critical patients, thereby supporting current WHO recommendations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. César Sebastián Salinas Nájera, Head of Epidemiology in “Hospital Materno Infantil Magdalena Contreras” of Mexico City’s Health Secretariat for his valuable clarifications of epidemiologic surveillance mechanisms in Mexico City. We

want to acknowledge the Government of Mexico City for their effort to increase transparency and aid research by providing open datasets in their Open Data Platform; this sort of actions is most needed in low and middle-income countries to understand and solve the problems of our people. JMG would like to thank “Dirección General de Calidad y Educación en Salud” for supporting his participation in “Programa Nacional de Servicio Social en Investigación en Salud”.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in the Open Data Platform of Mexico City’s Government at https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/explore/dataset/base-covid-sinave/information/, reference number 19, and the Directorate General of Epidemiology of Mexico City’s Weekly Epidemiological Surveillance Reports of Influenza 2020 at https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/informes-semanales-para-la-vigilancia-epidemiologica-de-influenza-2020, reference number 21.

Author contributions – Conception and design: JMG and AKG; Analysis and interpretation: AKG, JMG, JMS, JOGM, and HIRG; Drafting the manuscript, making tables and figures: All authors; Revising the manuscript for important intellectual content: AKG, JOGM, and HIRG. All authors approved the final draft of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Islam N, Sharp SJ, Chowell G, et al. Physical distancing interventions and incidence of coronavirus disease 2019: natural experiment in 149 countries. *BMJ*. July 2020:m2743. doi:10.1136/bmj.m2743

2. Sachs JD, Abdool Karim S, Aknin L, et al. Lancet COVID-19 Commission Statement on the occasion of the 75th session of the UN General Assembly. *Lancet*. 2020;396(10257):1102-1124. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31927-9

3. Saul S, Einav S. Old Drugs for a New Virus: Repurposed Approaches for Combating COVID-19. *ACS Infect Dis*. 2020;6(9):2304-2318. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00343

4. Senanayake SL. Drug repurposing strategies for COVID-19. *Futur Drug Discov*. 2020;2(2):fdd-2020-0010. doi:10.4155/fdd-2020-0010

5. Muralidharan N, Sakthivel R, Velmurugan D, Gromiha MM. Computational studies of drug repurposing and synergism of lopinavir, oseltamivir and ritonavir binding with SARS-CoV-2 protease against COVID-19. *J Biomol Struct Dyn*. April 2020:1-6. doi:10.1080/07391102.2020.1752802

6. Araújo R, Aranda-Martínez JD, Aranda-Abreu GE. Amantadine Treatment for People with COVID-19. *Arch Med Res*. 2020;51(7):739-740. doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2020.06.009

7. Aranda Abreu GE, Hernández Aguilar ME, Herrera Covarrubias D, Rojas Durán F. Amantadine as a drug to mitigate the effects of COVID-19. *Med Hypotheses*. 2020;140(January):109755. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109755

8. Li Z, Yang L. Underlying Mechanisms and Candidate Drugs for COVID-19 Based on the Connectivity Map Database. *Front Genet*. 2020;11(October):558557. doi:10.3389/fgene.2020.558557

9. Shi J, Xiao Y, Zhang Y, et al. Challenges of drug development during the COVID-19 pandemic: Key considerations for clinical trial designs. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. December 2020:1-16. doi:10.1111/bcp.14629

10. National Institute for Health Research. COVID-19 Therapeutics. Innovation Observatory. http://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/report/covid-19-therapeutics/. Published 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

11. World Health Organization. International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP). World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/ictrp/en/. Published December 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

12. Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Treatment and Management of Patients with COVID-19. IDSA Guidelines. https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/. Published December 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

13. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines. National Institutes of Health. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. Published December 2020. Accessed October 7, 2020.

14. World Health Organization. Clinical Management of COVID-19 - Interim

Guidance. WHO. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332196. Published May 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

15. Ministry of Health of Mexico. [Recommendations for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection, the etiologic agent of COVID-19] [Spanish]. Guidance documents for healthcare professionals. https://coronavirus.gob.mx/personal-de-salud/documentos-de-consulta/. Published July 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

16. Ministry of Health of Mexico. [Diagnostic algorithm for acute respiratory disease in the context of the COVID19-influenza syndemic] [Spanish]. Guidance documents for healthcare professionals. https://coronavirus.gob.mx/personal-de-salud/documentos-de-consulta/. Published September 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

17. Falavigna M, Colpani V, Stein C, et al. Guidelines for the pharmacological treatment of COVID-19. The task force/consensus guideline of the Brazilian Association of Intensive Care Medicine, the Brazilian Society of Infectious Diseases and the Brazilian Society of Pulmonology and Tisiology. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva*. 2020;32(2):166-196. doi:10.5935/0103-507X.20200039

18. Coz Yataco AO, Simpson SQ. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Sepsis: A Nudge Toward Antibiotic Stewardship. *Chest*. 2020;158(5):1833-1834. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.07.023

19. [Dataset] Government of Mexico City; 2020; [Covid-19 National Epidemiologic Surveilance System (SINAVE) Mexico City] [Spanish]; Open Data Platform;

https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/explore/dataset/base-covid-sinave/information/; Accessed September 14, 2020.

20. Directorate General of Epidemiology of Mexico. [Standardized Guideline for Epidemiologic and Laboratory Surveillance of viral respiratory diseases, August 2020] [Spanish]. Mexican Secreatariat of Health. https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/lineamiento-estandarizado-para-la-vigilancia-epidemiologica-y-por-laboratorio-de-la-enfermedad-respiratoria-viral. Published August 2020. Accessed December 8, 2020.

21. [Dataset] Directorate General of Epidemiology of Mexico City; 2020; [Weekly Epidemiological Surveillance Reports of Influenza 2020] [Spanish]; Directorate General of Epidemiology; https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/informes-semanales-para-la-vigilancia-epidemiologica-de-influenza-2020; Accessed December 8, 2020.

22. Benlloch J-M, Cortés J-C, Martínez-Rodríguez D, Julián R-S, Villanueva R-J. Effect of the early use of antivirals on the COVID-19 pandemic. A computational network modeling approach. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2020;140:110168. doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110168

23. Lipsitch M, Perlman S, Waldor MK. Testing COVID-19 therapies to prevent progression of mild disease. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(12):1367. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30372-8

24. Liu J, Zhang S, Wu Z, et al. Clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a large cohort study. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10(1):99. doi:10.1186/s13613-020-

00706-3

25. Horby PW, Mafham M, Bell JL, et al. Lopinavir–ritonavir in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet*. 2020;396(10259):1345-1352. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32013-4

26. Mancilla-Galindo J, Vera-Zertuche JM, Navarro-Cruz AR, et al. Development and validation of the patient history COVID-19 (PH-Covid19) scoring system: a multivariable prediction model of death in Mexican patients with COVID-19. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2020;148:e286. doi:10.1017/S0950268820002903

27. López-Manning M, García-Díaz R. Doctors Adjacent to Private Pharmacies: The New Ambulatory Care Provider for Mexican Health Care Seekers. *Value Heal Reg Issues*. 2017;14:81-88. doi:10.1016/j.vhri.2017.08.010

28. Pérez-Cuevas R, Doubova S V., Wirtz VJ, Servan-Mori E, Dreser A, Hernández-Ávila M. Effects of the expansion of doctors' offices adjacent to private pharmacies in Mexico: secondary data analysis of a national survey. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(5):e004669. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004669

29. Wirtz VJ, Díaz-Portillo SP, Idrovo álvaro J, Dreser A, Bonilla FR, Matías-Juan B. Clinics adjacent to private pharmacies in Mexico: infrastructure and characteristics of the physicians and their remuneration. *Salud Publica Mex*. 2015;57(4):320. doi:10.21149/spm.v57i4.7575

30. Shi Q, Zhou Q, Wang X, et al. Potential effectiveness and safety of antiviral agents in children with coronavirus disease 2019: a rapid review and meta-

analysis. *Ann Transl Med*. 2020;8(10):624-624. doi:10.21037/atm-20-3301

31. Tan Q, Duan L, Ma Y, et al. Is oseltamivir suitable for fighting against COVID-19: In silico assessment, in vitro and retrospective study. *Bioorg Chem*. 2020;104(June):104257. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2020.104257

32. Hama R. The mechanisms of delayed onset type adverse reactions to oseltamivir. *Infect Dis (Auckl)*. 2016;48(9):651-660. doi:10.1080/23744235.2016.1189592

33. Moore ML, Chi MH, Zhou W, et al. Cutting Edge: Oseltamivir Decreases T Cell GM1 Expression and Inhibits Clearance of Respiratory Syncytial Virus: Potential Role of Endogenous Sialidase in Antiviral Immunity. *J Immunol*. 2007;178(5):2651-2654. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.2651

34. Long B, Brady WJ, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. Cardiovascular complications in COVID-19. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020;38(7):1504-1507. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.048

35. Jefferson T, Jones MA, Doshi P, et al. Neuraminidase inhibitors for preventing and treating influenza in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. April 2014. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008965.pub4

36. Harwood R, Allin B, Jones CE, et al. A national consensus management pathway for paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with COVID-19 (PIMS-TS): results of a national Delphi process. *Lancet Child Adolesc Heal*. 2020;19(20):1-10. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30304-7

37. Ye Z, Rochwerg B, Wang Y, et al. Treatment of patients with nonsevere and severe coronavirus disease 2019: an evidence-based guideline. *Can Med Assoc*

J. 2020;192(20):E536-E545. doi:10.1503/cmaj.200648

38. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 — Preliminary Report. *N Engl J Med*. July 2020:NEJMoa2021436. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021436

39. Roser M, Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Hasell J. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). OurWorldInData.org. https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus. Published September 2020. Accessed September 20, 2020.

40. Karagiannidis C, Mostert C, Hentschker C, et al. Case characteristics, resource use, and outcomes of 10 021 patients with COVID-19 admitted to 920 German hospitals: an observational study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(9):853-862. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30316-7

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with laboratory confirmed COVID-19 who were treated with or without antivirals/antibiotics, in 688 accredited COVID-19 medical units in Mexico City.

	All patients n=136855	No antiviral / antibiotic n=114143	Antiviral only n=4044	Acyclovir n=36	Amantadine n=319	Lopinavir-Ritonavir n=100	Oseltamivir n=8414	Rimantadine n=61	Zanamivir n=39	Antibiotic only n=13743
Sex										
Women	66683 (48.7)	56999 (49.9)	1813 (44.8)	19 (52.8)	182 (57.1)	31 (31)	3407 (40.5)	28 (45.9)	17 (43.6)	6000 (43.7)
Men	70172 (51.3)	57144 (50.1)	2231 (55.2)	17 (47.2)	137 (42.9)	69 (69)	5007 (59.5)	33 (54.1)	22 (56.4)	7743 (56.3)
Age, mean (SD)	44.2 (16.8)	43.1 (16.6)	50.5 (16.5)	46.9 (14.9)	43.9 (14.8)	56.9 (15.9)	51.8 (15.9)	46 (15.1)	50 (14.1)	48.3 (16.8)
Age categories										
0-19 years	7558 (5.5)	6963 (6.1)	57 (1.4)	0 (0)	12 (3.8)	1 (1)	97 (1.2)	2 (3.3)	0 (0)	483 (3.5)
20-29 years	20098 (14.7)	18027 (15.8)	375 (9.3)	6 (16.7)	38 (11.9)	2 (2)	638 (7.6)	5 (8.2)	3 (7.7)	1379 (10)
30-39 years	29434 (21.5)	25586 (22.4)	707 (17.5)	6 (16.7)	86 (27.0)	10 (10)	1286 (15.3)	16 (26.2)	7 (17.9)	2437 (17.7)
40-49 years	29553 (21.6)	24683 (21.6)	837 (20.7)	10 (27.8)	71 (22.3)	21 (21)	1780 (21.2)	14 (23)	8 (20.5)	2966 (21.6)
50-59 years	24928 (18.2)	20011 (17.5)	852 (21.1)	5 (13.9)	63 (19.7)	23 (23)	1895 (22.5)	15 (24.6)	10 (25.6)	2906 (21.1)
60-69 years	15070 (11.0)	11441 (10.0)	632 (15.6)	7 (19.4)	32 (10)	18 (18)	1515 (18.0)	3 (4.9)	6 (15.4)	2048 (14.9)
70-79 years	7183 (5.2)	5213 (4.6)	418 (10.3)	2 (5.6)	14 (4.4)	17 (17)	855 (10.2)	5 (8.2)	5 (12.8)	1072 (7.8)
80-89 years	2594 (1.9)	1902 (1.7)	146 (3.6)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	8 (8)	292 (3.5)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	389 (2.8)
90-99 years	419 (0.3)	303 (0.3)	20 (0.5)	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	56 (0.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	59 (0.4)
≥100 years	18 (0.01)	14 (0.01)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0.03)
Indigenous self-identification	713 (0.5)	537 (0.5)	37 (0.9)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	1 (1)	79 (0.9)	0 (0)	2 (5.1)	92 (0.7)
Occupation										
Technical services	1916 (1.4)	1527 (1.3)	70 (1.7)	0 (0)	3 (0.9)	3 (3)	145 (1.7)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	237 (1.7)
Education	10006 (7.3)	9129 (8)	105 (2.6)	1 (2.8)	24 (7.5)	1 (1)	187 (2.2)	2 (3.3)	0 (0)	662 (4.8)
Healthcare	17281 (12.6)	14910 (13.1)	655 (16.2)	3 (8.3)	47 (14.7)	9 (9)	1029 (12.2)	7 (11.5)	2 (5.1)	1274 (9.3)
Agricultural activities	302 (0.2)	232 (0.2)	5 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	19 (0.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	51 (0.4)
Commerce	50450 (36.9)	42625 (37.3)	1078 (26.7)	15 (41.7)	111 (34.8)	36 (36)	2569 (30.5)	27 (44.3)	12 (30.8)	5055 (36.8)
Other	24630 (18)	19906 (17.4)	911 (22.5)	6 (16.7)	63 (19.7)	20 (20)	2021 (24)	9 (14.8)	6 (15.4)	2599 (18.9)
Unemployed	5685 (4.2)	4747 (4.2)	277 (6.8)	1 (2.8)	5(1.6)	2 (2)	463 (5.5)	3 (4.9)	7 (17.9)	457 (3.3)
Stay-at-home	26585 (19.4)	21067 (18.5)	943 (23.3)	10 (27.8)	66 (20.7)	29 (29)	1981 (23.5)	13 (21.3)	11 (28.2)	3408 (24.8)
Last-season flu vaccination	27087 (19.8)	22972 (20.1)	695 (17.2)	9 (25)	85 (26.6)	9 (9)	1244 (14.8)	13 (21.3)	4 (10.3)	2751 (20)
Special populations										
Pregnancy	583 (0.9)	530 (0.9)	12 (0.3)	0 (0)	2 (1.1)	0 (0)	16 (0.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	35 (0.6)
Age during pregnancy, mean (SD)	29.8 (7.4)	29.5 (6.9)	30.3 (5.2)	-	32 (4.2)	-	30 (5.9)	-	-	34.8 (12.4)
Last-season flu vaccination	161 (27.6)	153 (28.9)	1 (8.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (20)
Pregnancy age group										
Early adolescent (≤14 years)	2 (0.3)	2 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Late adolescent (15-19 years)	34 (5.8)	33 (6.2)	1 (8.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Normal age (20-34 years)	404 (69.3)	373 (70. 4)	9 (75)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	12 (75)	0 (0)	0 (0)	18 (51.4)
Advanced maternal age (≥35 years)	143 (24.5)	122 (23)	2 (16.7)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	3 (18.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17 (48.6)
Trimester of pregnancy										
First trimester	114 (19.6)	102 (19.2)	5 (41.7)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	5 (31.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (17.1)
Second trimester	177 (30.4)	161 (30.4)	3 (25)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (25)	0 (0)	0 (0)	12 (34.3)
Third trimester	292 (50.1)	267 (50.4)	4 (33.3)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	7 (43.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17 (48.6)
Puerperium	103 (0.2)	64 (0.1)	2 (0.05)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0)	7 (0.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	32 (0.5)
Days of puerperium										
1 day	33 (32)	21 (32.8)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (42.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (28.1)
2-7 days	33 (32)	16 (25)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (42.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	14 (43.8)

8-42 days	37 (35.9)	27 (42.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (28.1)
Age during puerperium, mean (SD)	31.9 (9.7)	31.1 (9.2)	33.5 (3.5)	-	-	-	31.1 (2.7)	-	-	33.6 (11.5)
Last-season flu vaccination	22 (21.4)	14 (21.9)	14 (21.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (21.9)
Children and adolescents (<18 years)	5791 (4.2)	5336 (4.7)	40 (1)	0 (0)	9 (2.8)	1 (1)	67 (0.8)	2 (3.3)	0 (0)	376 (2.7)
Age, mean (SD)	10.9 (5.2)	10.9 (5.2)	10.9 (5.9)	-	13.4 (4.5)	-	11 (5.8)	14.5 (3.6)	-	9.9 (5.9)
Last-season flu vaccination	1213 (20.9)	1113 (20.9)	4 (10)	0 (0)	1 (11.1)	0 (0)	9 (13.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	90 (23.9)
Non-pregnant/puerperal adults (≥18 years)	130378 (95.3)	108213 (94.8)	3990 (98.7)	36 (100)	308 (96.6)	99 (9)	8324 (98.9)	59 (96.7)	39 (100)	13300 (96.8)
Age, mean (SD)	45.7 (15.5)	44.7 (15.3)	50.9 (16.1)	46.9 (14.9)	44.8 (14)	57.5 (15.1)	52.1 (15.6)	47.1 (14.1)	50 (14.1)	48.5 (15.7)
Last-season flu vaccination	25691 (19.7)	21692 (20)	690 (17.3)	9 (25)	84 (27.3)	9 (9.1)	1233 (14.8)	13 (22)	4 (10.3)	2647 (19.9)
Comorbidities										
Diabetes	18229 (13.3)	13458 (11.8)	910 (22.5)	2 (5.6)	36 (11.3)	35 (35)	2007 (23.9)	8 (13.1)	6 (15.4)	2677 (19.5)
COPD	1741 (1.3)	1273 (1.1)	119 (2.9)	0 (0)	3 (0.9)	2 (2)	212 (2.5)	2(3.3)	1 (2.6)	248 (1.8)
Asthma	3035 (2.2)	2561 (2.2)	96 (2.4)	1 (2.8)	13 (4.1)	4 (4)	165 (2)	0 (0)	3 (7.7)	288 (2.1)
Immunosuppression	1758 (1.3)	1368 (1.2)	84 (2.1)	0 (0)	7 (2.2)	3 (3)	145 (1.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	235 (1.7)
Hypertension	22185 (16.2)	16799 (14.7)	1074 (26.6)	10 (27.8)	40 (12.5)	35 (35)	2211 (26.3)	12 (19.7)	5 (12.8)	3073 (22.4)
HIV/AIDS	573 (0.4)	462 (0.4)	168 (4.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (2)	47 (0.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	62 (0.5)
Cardiovascular disease	2724 (2.0)	2064 (1.8)	153 (3.8)	2 (5.6)	4 (1.3)	5 (5)	277 (3.3)	2 (3.3)	0(0)	370 (2.7)
Obesity	23848 (17.4)	18924 (16.6)	837 (20.7)	12 (33.3)	83 (26)	18 (18)	1817 (21.6)	5 (8.2)	6 (15.4)	2983 (21.7)
Chronic kidney disease	2067(1.5)	1471 (1.3)	150 (3.7)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	7 (7)	286 (3.4)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	300 (2.2)
Smoker	14727 (10.8)	12214 (10.7)	461 (11.4)	2 (5.6)	46 (14.4)	6 (6)	885 (10.5)	8 (13.1)	5 (12.8)	1561 (11.4)
Type of medical attention										
Ambulatory	109902 (80.3)	98060 (85.9)	2012 (49.8)	30 (83.3)	282 (88.4)	8 (8)	3187 (37.9)	48 (78.7)	19 (48.7)	8268 (60.2)
Hospitalization	26953 (19.7)	16083 (14.1)	2032 (50.2)	6 (16.7)	37 (11.6)	92 (92)	5227 (62.1)	13 (21.3)	20 (51.3)	5475 (39.8)
Severity of the disease										
Non-critical	129658 (94.7)	110009 (96.4)	3518 (87)	34 (94.4)	310 (97.2)	69 (69)	7126 (84.7)	58 (95.1)	34 (87.2)	12018 (87.4)
Critical	7197 (5.3)	4134 (3.6)	526 (13)	2 (5.6)	9 (2.8)	31 (31)	1288 (15.3)	3 (4.9)	5 (12.8)	1725 (12.6)
Time from symptom onset to medical attention	4.5 (3.8)	4.4 (3.8)	4.49 (3.9)	6.36 (4.6)	4.9 (3.6)	6.8 (4.1)	4.7 (3.7)	5.6 (4.2)	5.33 (4.7)	5.5 (3.8)
Baseline symptoms										
Fever	83120 (60.7)	66011 (57.8)	3332 (82.4)	25 (69.4)	198 (62.1)	81 (81)	6963 (82.8)	42 (68.9)	31 (79.5)	9769 (71.1)
Cough	96206 (70.3)	78367 (68.7)	3406 (84.2)	24 (66.7)	245 (76.8)	67 (67)	7055 (83.8)	42 (68.9)	35 (89.7)	10371 (75.5)
Sore throat	59040 (43.1)	48845 (42.8)	2014 (49.8)	12 (33.3)	159 (49.8)	31 (31)	3701 (44)	29 (47.5)	22 (56.4)	6241 (45.4)
Shortness of breath	42942 (31.4)	31061 (27.2)	2234 (55.2)	14 (38.9)	85 (26.6)	66 (66)	5170 (61.4)	22 (36.1)	23 (59)	6501 (47.3)
Irritability	24098 (17.6)	19460 (17)	1079 (26.7)	5 (13.9)	81(25.4)	7 (7)	1993 (23.7)	14 (23)	13 (33.3)	2525 (18.4)
Diarrhea	31649 (23.1)	25821 (22.6)	1152 (28.5)	11 (30.6)	96 (30.1)	19 (19)	2180 (25.9)	15 (24.6)	13 (33.3)	3494 (25.4)
Chest pain	36851 (26.9)	29524 (25.9)	1662 (41.1)	12 (33.3)	105 (32.9)	22 (22)	2979 (35.4)	25 (41)	15 (38.5)	4169 (30.3)
Chills	48282 (35.3)	39405 (34.5)	2138 (52.9)	16 (44.4)	158 (49.5)	34 (34)	3616 (43)	33 (54.1)	17 (43.6)	5003 (36.4)
Headache	95018 (69.4)	78893 (69.1)	3284 (81.2)	22 (61.1)	227 (71.2)	59 (59)	6348 (75.4)	40 (65.6)	29 (74.4)	9400 (68.4)
Myalgias	70666 (51.6)	57192 (50.1)	2633 (65.1)	27 (75)	201 (63.0)	60 (60)	5074 (60.3)	43 (70.5)	24 (61.5)	8045 (58.5)
Arthralgias	64381 (47)	51792 (45.4)	2377 (58.8)	22 (61.1)	179 (56.1)	48 (48)	4846 (57.6)	42(68.9)	23 (59)	7429 (54.1)
Abrupt deterioration	62460 (45.6)	48991 (42.9)	2704 (66.9)	20 (55.6)	180 (56.4	66 (66)	5367 (63.8)	34 (55.7)	20 (51.3)	7782 (56.6)
Rhinorrhea	38288 (28)	32135 (28.2)	1350 (33.4)	6 (16.7)	118 (37.0)	20 (20)	2283 (27.1)	27 (44.3)	11 (28.2)	3688 (26.8)
Polypnea	15868 (11.6)	11977 (10.5)	1081 (26.7)	3 (8.3)	46 (14.4)	13 (13)	1847 (22)	7 (11.5)	6 (15.4)	1969 (14.3)
Vomit	10123 (7.4)	8094 (7.1)	479 (11.8)	3 (8.3)	23 (7.2)	7 (79	870 (10.3)	8 (13.1)	2 (5.1)	1116 (8.1)
Abdominal pain	17338 (12.7)	14080 (12.3)	1025 (25.3)	3 (8.3)	60 (18.8)	8 (8)	1561 (18.6)	10 (16.4)	7 (17.9)	1609 (11.7)
Conjunctivitis	16941 (12.4)	14277 (12.5)	513 (12.7)	4 (11.1)	55 (17.2)	7 (7)	962 (11.4)	11 (18)	6 (15.4)	1619 (11.8)
Cyanosis	5917 (4.3)	4461 (3.9)	463 (11.4)	1 (2.8)	12 (3.8)	4 (4)	816 (9.7)	8 (13.1)	3 (7.7)	612 (4.5)
Sudden onset of symptoms	46723 (34.1)	37607 (32.9)	1574 (38.9)	10 (27.8)	96 (30.1)	48 (48)	3831 (45.5)	26 (42.6)	16 (41)	5089 (37)
Concomitant use of antibiotics	18840 (13.8)	172 (0.2)	-	29 (80.6)	151 (47.3)	77 (77)	4627 (55)	26 (42.6)	15 (38.5)	-

Data expressed as Frequency (%) or mean (SD)

SD: Standard deviation, COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HIV/AIDS: Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome.

Table 2. Adjusted mortality risk in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients receiving antivirals, antibiotics, both, or none in 688 accredited COVID-19 medical units in Mexico City.

	All patients[a]		Ambulatory[b]		Hospitalized[c]		Non-Critical[d]		Critical[e]	
	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p
Models for type of treatment										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Antiviral only	1.72 (1.61-1.84)	<0.0001	4.7 (3.94-5.62)	<0.0001	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.07	2.03 (1.86-2.21)	<0.0001	1.09 (0.99-1.21)	0.09
Antibiotic only	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	<0.0001	0.72 (0.58-0.89)	0.003	0.81 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.05 (0.98-1.14)	0.2	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Antiviral + antibiotic	1.57 (1.47-1.67)	<0.0001	1.91 (1.47-2.49)	<0.0001	0.91 (0.86-0.97)	0.004	1.63 (1.49-1.77)	<0.0001	1.02 (0.93-1.11)	0.7
Models for type of antiviral										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Acyclovir	1.37 (0.51-3.65)	0.5	Not estimable		2.75 (1.03-7.33)	0.04	1.19 (0.29-4.75)	0.8	2.85 (0.71-11.4)	0.1
Amantadine	0.73 0.44-1.21)	0.2	0.08 0.24-2.36)	0.6	0.88 (0.5-1.55)	0.7	0.67 (0.33-1.34)	0.3	1.05 (0.49-2.21)	0.9
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	1.04 (0.69-1.55)	0.9	4.28 (0.59-30.7)	0.1	0.59 (0.4-0.89)	0.01	0.69 (0.33-1.46)	0.3	0.66 (0.41-1.04)	0.08
Oseltamivir	1.66 (1.58-1.75)	<0.0001	3.52 (3.01-4.11)	<0.0001	0.98 (0.93-1.03)	0.4	1.84 (1.72-1.96)	<0.0001	1.06 (0.99-1.14)	0.1
Rimantadine	1.39 (0.66-2.92)	0.4	2.54 (0.36-18.1)	0.4	1.11 (0.49-2.46)	0.8	1.48 (0.56-3.95)	0.4	1.63 (0.52-5.09)	0.4
Zanamivir	1.66 (0.83-3.32)	0.2	2.49 (0.35-17.8)	0.4	0.84 (0.39-1.76)	0.6	1.43 (0.46-4.43)	0.5	0.7 (0.29-1.69)	0.4
Antibiotic only	1.14 (1.08-1.19)	<0.0001	0.72 (0.58-0.9)	0.004	0.81 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.06 (0.98-1.14)	0.2	0.68 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Models for Acyclovir										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Acyclovir only	8.1 (1.14-57.6)	0.04	Not estimable		8.98 (1.26-63.9)	0.03	Not estimable		2.85 (0.39-20.3)	0.3
Antibiotic only	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	<0.0001	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	0.002	0.82 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	0.4	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Acyclovir + antibiotic	1.07 (0.35-3.33)	0.9	Not estimable		2.28 (0.74-7.08)	0.2	1.23 (0.31-4.92)	0.8	3.11 (0.44-22.2)	0.3
Models for Amantadine										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Amantadine only	1.78 (1.03-3.06)	0.04	1.69 (0.42-6.79)	0.5	1.62 (0.89-2.93)	0.1	1.63 (0.78-3.42)	0.2	1.39 (0.62-3.1)	0.4
Antibiotic only	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	<0.0001	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	.002	0.82 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.03 (0.96-1.12)	0.4	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Amantadine + antibiotic	0.15 (0.04-0.59)	0.007	0.34 (0.05-2.39)	0.3	0.15 (0.02-1.06)	0.06	0.13 (0.02-0.9)	0.04	0.44 (0.06-3.11)	0.4
Models for Lopinavir-Ritonavir										

No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Lopinavir-Ritonavir only	0.68 (0.26-1.82)	0.4	56.9 (7.87-412)	<0.0001	0.39 (0.15-1.05)	0.06	0.47 (0.07-3.37)	0.5	0.41 (0.15-1.08)	0.07
Antibiotic only	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	<0.0001	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	0.002	0.82 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.04 (0.96-1.12)	0.4	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Lopinavir-Ritonavir + antibiotic	1.1 (0.71-1.7)	0.7	Not estimable		0.67 (0.43-1.05)	0.08	0.69 (0.31-1.53)	0.4	0.79 (0.47-1.34)	0.4
Models for Oseltamivir										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Oseltamivir only	1.72 (1.61-1.84)	<0.0001	4.79 (4.01-5.75)	<0.0001	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.06	2.05 (1.88-2.23)	<0.0001	1.11 (1.0-1.23)	0.05
Antibiotic only	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	<0.0001	0.72 (0.58-0.89)	0.003	0.81 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.06 (0.98-1.14)	0.2	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Oseltamivir + antibiotic	1.61 (1.51-1.71)	<0.0001	2.1 (1.65-2.8)	<0.0001	0.92 (0.87-0.98)	0.01	1.68 (1.55-1.83)	<0.0001	1.02 (0.94-1.12)	0.6
Models for Rimantadine										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Rimantadine only	1.88 (0.85-4.21)	0.1	4.9 (0.69-34.9)	0.1	1.21 (0.5-2.91)	0.7	1.81 (0.58-5.62)	0.3	1.69 (0.54-5.27)	0.4
Antibiotic only	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	<0.0001	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	<0.0001	0.82 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.03 (0.96-1.12)	0.4	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Rimantadine + antibiotic	0.51 0.07-3.6)	0.5	Not estimable		0.77 (0.11-5.45)	0.8	0.88 (0.12-6.23)	0.9	-	-
Models for Zanamivir										
No antiviral / antibiotic	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Zanamivir only	1.9 (0.85-4.25)	0.12	3.99 (0.55-28.9)	0.2	0.9 (0.37-2.17)	0.8	1.2 (0.17-8.49)	0.9	0.72 (0.29-1.74)	0.7
Antibiotic only	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	<0.0001	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	<0.0001	0.82 (0.77-0.86)	<0.0001	1.03 (0.96-1.12)	0.4	0.67 (0.63-0.72)	<0.0001
Zanamivir + antibiotic	1.14 (0.28-4.55)	0.9	Not estimable		0.74 (0.18-2.94)	0.7	1.57 (0.39-6.29)	0.5	-	-

HR: Hazard ratio, 95%CI: 95% confidence intervals

a: Model adjusted by: Sex (men), Age, Indigenous self-identification, Diabetes, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Immunosuppression, Hypertension, Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome, Cardiovascular disease, Obesity, Chronic kidney disease, Unemployed, Time from symptom onset to medical attention, Fever, Cough, Shortness of breath, Irritability, Chest pain, Chills, Myalgias, Arthralgias, Abrupt deterioration, Polypnea, Abdominal pain, Cyanosis.

b: Model adjusted by: Sex (men), Age, Indigenous self-identification, Diabetes, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Immunosuppression, Hypertension, Cardiovascular disease, Obesity, Chronic kidney disease, Unemployed, Time from symptom onset to medical attention, Fever, Cough, Shortness of breath, Irritability, Chest pain, Chills, Myalgias, Arthralgias, Abrupt deterioration, Polypnea, Vomit, Abdominal pain, Cyanosis.

c: Model adjusted by: Sex (men), Age, Diabetes, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Immunosuppression, Hypertension, Cardiovascular disease, Chronic kidney disease, Smoker, Unemployed, Cough, Shortness of breath, Chest pain, Chills, Myalgias, Arthralgias, Abrupt deterioration, Polypnea, Cyanosis.

d: Model adjusted by: Sex (men), Age, Indigenous self-identification, Diabetes, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Immunosuppression, Hypertension, Hypertension, Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome, Cardiovascular disease, Obesity, Chronic kidney disease, Unemployed, Time from symptom onset to medical attention, Fever, Cough, Shortness of breath, Irritability, Chest pain, Myalgias, Arthralgias, Polypnea, Vomit, Abdominal pain, Cyanosis.

e: Model adjusted by: Sex (men), Age, Diabetes, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Immunosuppression, Hypertension, Cardiovascular disease, Chronic kidney disease, Unemployed, Time from symptom onset to medical attention, Cough, Sore throat, Shortness of breath, Chest pain, Headache, Myalgias, Arthralgias, Rhinorrhea, Polypnea, Abdominal pain, Cyanosis.

Table 3. Adjusted mortality risk in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients receiving antivirals, oseltamivir, or antibiotics after propensity score matching.

	All patients		Ambulatory		Hospitalized		Non-Critical		Critical	
Models for antivirals										
	n=8088		n=4794		n=3294		n=7232		n=856	
	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p
Antiviral only	1.77 (1.61-1.95)	<0.0001	4.83 (3.55-6.56)	<0.0001	1.13 (1.01-1.25)	0.03	1.99 (1.74-2.27)	<0.0001	1.10 (0.95-1.28)	0.2
Models for oseltamivir										
	n=7574		n=4412		n=3162		n=6760		n=814	
	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p
Oseltamivir	1.78 (1.61-1.97)	<0.0001	4.32 (3.19-5.84)	<0.0001	1.13 (1.01-1.26)	0.03	1.87 (1.64-2.13)	<0.0001	1.22 (1.05-1.43)	0.01
Models for antibiotics										
	n=27486		n=18548		n=8938		n=24844		n=2642	
	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p	HR (95%CI)	p
Antibiotic only	1.14 (1.07-1.22)	<0.0001	0.78 (0.61-1.00)	0.05	0.79 (0.74-0.85)	<0.0001	0.98 (0.89-1.08)	0.7	0.61 (0.56-0.68)	<0.0001

HR: Hazard ratio, 95%CI: 95% confidence intervals

Figure 1. Flow diagram of patients assessed for eligibility.

Figure 2. Survival of patients (general population, ambulatory, and hospitalized) treated with antivirals and/or antibiotics.

Survival curves are shown according to treatment modality in the general population (a), ambulatory (b), and hospitalized (c) patients. Survival in patients receiving specific antivirals, antibiotics, both, or none in the general population (d), ambulatory (e), and hospitalization (f) settings.

Figure 3. Survival of patients (non-critical and critical) treated with antivirals and/or antibiotics.

Survival curves are shown according to treatment modality in non-critical (a) and critical (b) patients. Survival in critical (c) and non-critical (d) patients receiving specific antivirals, antibiotics, both, or none.

Figure 4. Weekly prescription of oseltamivir and newly diagnosed patients with influenza (a) and COVID-19 (b) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Mexico City.

*Data of prescription of oseltamivir for patients tested only for influenza was not collected.


Patients evaluated for COVID-19 between February 24 and September 14, 2020
n = 395,343
Patients without a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2
n = 258,331
• Negative n = 216,262
• Unreleased results/problem with sample n = 41,564
• Other respiratory viruses n = 505
Positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2
n = 137,012
Patients who received an unidentified antiviral
n = 137
Fully identified antivirals (if any)
n = 136,875
Groups of antivirals with less than 30 patients
n = 20
• Ribavirin n = 17
• Valacyclovir n = 2
• Remdesivir n = 1
Included for analysis
n = 136,855
• Antiviral only n = 4,044
• Antibiotic only n = 13,743
• Antiviral + antibiotic n = 4,925
• No antiviral/antibiotic n = 114,143
Antivirals studied
– Oseltamivir n = 8,414
– Amantadine n = 319
– Lopinavir-Ritonavir n = 100
– Rimantadine n = 61
– Zanamivir n = 39
– Acyclovir n = 36



No antiviral / antibiotic	114143	112401	109591	107940	107247	106991	106885	106843	106824
Antiviral only	4044	3745	3336	3107	3027	3004	2993	2988	2983
Antibiotic only	13743	13449	12788	12298	12051	11902	11845	11824	11806
Antiviral + antibiotic	4925	4586	4127	3815	3700	3652	3634	3623	3620



No antiviral / antibiotic	98060	97831	97475	97278	97184	97144	97128	97114	97111
Antiviral only	2012	1964	1916	1881	1871	1869	1867	1866	1864
Antibiotic only	8668	8648	8618	8597	8584	8576	8573	8573	8572
Antiviral + antibiotic	1562	1547	1519	1496	1490	1489	1488	1488	1488



No antiviral / antibiotic	16083	14570	12116	10662	10063	9838	9748	9720	9704
Antiviral only	2032	1781	1420	1226	1156	1135	1126	1122	1117
Antibiotic only	5475	5201	4570	4101	3867	3726	3672	3651	3634
Antiviral + antibiotic	3363	3039	2608	2319	2210	2163	2146	2135	2132



No antiviral / antibiotic	114143	112401	109591	107940	107247	106982	106876	106834	106815
Acyclovir	36	36	34	33	32	32	32	32	32
Amantadine	319	315	310	306	305	304	304	304	304
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	100	95	87	78	77	77	75	75	75
Oseltamivir	8414	7787	6938	6416	6226	6158	6131	6115	6107
Rimantadine	61	61	57	55	54	54	54	54	54
Zanamivir	39	37	37	34	32	31	31	31	31
Antibiotic only	13743	13449	12788	12298	12051	11902	11845	11794	11776



No antiviral / antibiotic	98060	97831	97475	97278	97184	97144	97128	97114	97111
Acyclovir	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Amantadine	282	281	280	279	279	279	279	279	279
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Oseltamivir	3187	3127	3052	2996	2980	2977	2974	2973	2973
Rimantadine	48	48	47	47	47	47	47	47	47
Zanamivir	19	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Antibiotic only	8268	8248	8218	8197	8184	8176	8173	8173	8172



No antiviral / antibiotic	16083	14570	12116	10662	10063	9838	9748	9720	9704
Acyclovir	6	6	4	3	2	2	2	2	2
Amantadine	37	34	30	27	26	25	25	25	25
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	92	88	80	71	71	70	68	68	68
Oseltamivir	5227	4660	3886	3420	3246	3181	3157	3142	3134
Rimantadine	13	13	9	8	7	7	7	7	7
Zanamivir	20	19	19	16	14	13	13	13	13
Antibiotic only	5475	5201	4570	4101	3867	3726	3672	3651	3634

a



No antiviral / antibiotic	110009	108852	107202	106438	106125	106018	105972	105953	105947
Antiviral only	3518	3315	3083	2967	2923	2907	2902	2899	2895
Antibiotic only	12018	11835	11494	11310	11239	11194	11184	11182	11180
Antiviral + antibiotic	2824	2607	2364	2213	2174	2173	2168	2163	2163

b



No antiviral / antibiotic	110009	108852	107202	106438	106125	106018	105972	105953	105947
Acyclovir	34	34	33	33	32	32	32	32	32
Amantadine	223	220	217	215	215	215	215	215	215
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	69	68	64	63	63	63	62	62	62
Oseltamivir	7126	6711	6246	5986	5904	5873	5864	5856	5852
Rimantadine	58	58	56	54	54	54	54	54	54
Zanamivir	34	33	33	31	31	31	31	31	31
Antibiotic only	12018	11835	11494	11310	10599	10554	10544	10542	10540

c



No antiviral / antibiotic	4134	3549	2389	1502	1122	964	904	881	868
Antiviral only	526	430	253	140	104	97	91	89	88
Antibiotic only	1725	1614	1294	988	812	708	661	642	626
Antiviral + antibiotic	812	690	474	313	237	204	191	185	182

d



No antiviral / antibiotic	4134	3549	2389	1502	1122	964	904	881	868
Acyclovir	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amantadine	9	8	6	4	3	2	2	2	2
Lopinavir-Ritonavir	31	27	23	15	15	14	13	13	13
Oseltamivir	1288	1076	692	430	322	285	267	259	255
Rimantadine	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Zanamivir	5	4	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
Antibiotic only	1725	1614	1294	988	812	708	661	642	626


A
Influenza positive
Oseltamivir*
Number of patients
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
3/7/2020
3/14/2020
3/21/2020
3/28/2020
4/4/2020
4/11/2020
4/18/2020
4/25/2020
5/2/2020
5/9/2020
5/16/2020
5/23/2020
5/30/2020
6/6/2020
6/13/2020
6/20/2020
6/27/2020
7/4/2020
7/11/2020
7/18/2020
7/25/2020
8/1/2020
8/8/2020
8/15/2020
8/22/2020
8/29/2020
9/5/2020
9/12/2020
Week (Cut-off Date)


B
SARS-CoV-2 positive
Oseltamivir*
Number of patients
8000
7000
6000
5000
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
3/7/2020
3/14/2020
3/21/2020
3/28/2020
4/4/2020
4/11/2020
4/18/2020
4/25/2020
5/2/2020
5/9/2020
5/16/2020
5/23/2020
5/30/2020
6/6/2020
6/13/2020
6/20/2020
6/27/2020
7/4/2020
7/11/2020
7/18/2020
7/25/2020
8/1/2020
8/8/2020
8/15/2020
8/22/2020
8/29/2020
9/5/2020
9/12/2020
Week (Cut-off Date)