medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Are the SIR and SEIR models suitable to estimate the basic reproduction number for the CoViD-19 epidemic?

Hyun Mo Yang[a*], Luis Pedro Lombardi Junior[b],
Ariana Campos Yang[c]

[a,b]Department of Applied Mathematics, State University of Campinas
Praça Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651; CEP: 13083-859,
Campinas, SP, Brazil

[c]Division of Allergy and Immunology,
General Hospital of the Medicine School of University of São Paulo
Av. Dr. Eneas Carvalho de Aguiar, 255; CEP: 05403-000,
São Paulo, SP, Brazil

emails: [a]hyunyang@ime.unicamp.br, [b]luispedro_jr@hotmail.com,
[c]arianacy@gmail.com

Abstract

The transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) becomes pandemic but presents different incidences in the world. Mathematical models were formulated to describe the coronavirus disease 2019 (CoViD-19) epidemic in each country or region. At the beginning of the pandemic, many authors used the SIR (susceptible, infectious, and recovered compartments) and SEIR (including exposed compartment) models to estimate the basic reproduction number R_0 for the CoViD-19 epidemic.

*Corresponding author: tel. + 55 19 3521-6031

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

These simple deterministic models assumed that the only available collection of the severe CoViD-19 cases transmitted the SARS-CoV-2 and estimated lower values for R_0, ranging from 1.5 to 3.0. However, the major flaw in the estimation of R_0 provided by the SIR and SEIR models was that the severe CoViD-19 patients were hospitalized, and, consequently, not transmitting. Hence, we proposed a more elaborate model considering the natural history of CoViD-19: the inclusion of asymptomatic, pre-symptomatic, mild and severe CoViD-19 compartments. The model also encompassed the fatality rate depending on age. This SEAPMDR model estimated R_0 using the severe CoViD-19 data from São Paulo State (Brazil) and Spain, yielding higher values for R_0, that is, 6.54 and 5.88, respectively. It is worth stressing that this model assumed that severe CoViD-19 cases were not participating in the SARS-CoV-2 transmission chain. Therefore, the SIR and SEIR models are not suitable to estimate R_0 at the beginning of the epidemic by considering the isolated severe CoViD-19 data as transmitters.

Keywords: deterministic model; SIR/SEIR and SEAPMDR models; estimation of the SARS-CoV-2 transmission rate; observed severe CoViD-19 cases

1 Introduction

The first case of coronavirus disease 2019 (CoViD-19) was detected in China in December 2019 and spread rapidly to other countries. In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the CoViD-19 a pandemic. The CoViD-19 is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which can be transmitted by droplets that escape the lungs by coughing or sneezing and infects humans through the nose, mouth, or eyes. In severe cases, immune cells overreact, causing acute respiratory disease syndrome and possibly death.

At the beginning of the epidemic, we have two data sets: Severe CoViD-19 cases (those in hospitals were tested and confirmed) and deaths. Due to the lack of mass testing (PCR and serology), the epidemic curve consisted of severe CoViD-19 cases. Mathematical models, usually the SEIR (susceptible, exposed, infectious and recovered compartments) and the simplified SIR, were used to describe this epidemic curve. The model parameters were fitted to estimate the basic reproduction number R_0.

In the literature, the usually estimated or assumed basic reproduction number R_0 is around 2.0. For instance, Koo *et al.* [1] and Ferguson *et al.* [2] assumed R_0 ranging between 1.5 and 2.6 and simulated agent-based models to predict the number of CoViD-19 cases and deaths. However, Li *et al.* [3], based on the SEIR-metapopulations model, estimated the effective re-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

production number R_{ef} considering data from January 10 to February 8, arguing that the most recent common ancestor could have occurred on November 17, 2019. The time elapsed from November 17, 2019 (the first case) to January 10, 2020 (the first day in the estimation) is 54 days. Moreover, on January 23, 2020, Wuhan and other Hubei province cities imposed a rigid lockdown. Hence, their estimation of $R_{ef} = 2.38$ considered the range of data recorded from 54 days after the epidemic's onset to 16 days after the lockdown. The definition of the basic reproduction number is "one infectious individual is introduced in a completely susceptible population without constraints (interventions)" [4]. Therefore, the estimation of R_0 must consider the severe CoViD-19 data restricted on the period without interventions.

The estimation of the basic reproduction number R_0 depends on the mathematical model [5]. To exemplify this dependency to describe the CoViD-19 epidemic, we consider the SIR and SEIR models, plus an additional model taking into account more compartments and encompassing the fatality rate depending on the age. The latter model divides a population into two subpopulations and split the infectious (I) compartment into asymptomatic (A), pre-diseased or pre-symptomatic (P), mild (M), and severe (D) CoViD-19 compartments. This model [6], called the SEAPMDR model, was proposed to show fewer deaths due to severe CoViD-19 cases than those provided by the SIR and SEIR models [2]. However, the SEAPMDR model estimated higher values for R_0.

The reliable estimation of R_0 is essential because this number determines the magnitude of effort to eradicate infection. For instance, a vaccination must immunize a fraction equal to or greater than $1 - 1/R_0$ of the susceptible population to eradicate a disease [4]. In [7], analyzing vaccination as a control mechanism, if R_{ef} is reduced lower than one, the number of cases decreased following an exponential-type decay. Instead of vaccination, if we consider the isolation of susceptible individuals, for a lower value of R_0 as provided by the SIR and SEIR models, a small fraction $(1 - 1/R_0)$ of the population must be in quarantine to control the transmission of SRS-CoV-2. However, the SEAPMDR model considering more details of the natural history of CoViD-19 estimated a higher value to R_0, showing that the control is not an easy task.

As we have pointed out, only severe CoViD-19 cases were registered at the beginning of the epidemic. In the SIR and SEIR models, the collection of severe CoViD-19 data were allocated in the transmitting compartment I to estimate model parameters. However, in the SEAPMDR model, the observed data are allocated in the non-transmitting compartment D. Considering the severe CoViD-19 data collection from São Paulo State (Brazil) [8] and Spain [9], we fit the parameters of those models to calculate R_0. We conclude that SIR and SEIR models are not suitable to estimate the basic reproduction number. For instance, the lower estimated values

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

for R_0 are a result of considering the data collected later after the beginning of the epidemic and data collected after the intervention (quarantine).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe SIR, SEIR, and SEAPMDR models used to estimate R_0 in Section 3. Discussion is presented in Sections 4, and the conclusion in Section 5.

2 Material and methods

We present the SIR, SEIR, and SEAPMDR models in this section. From these models, we obtained R_0 from the steady-state analysis.

2.1 The SIR and SEIR model

In the SEIR model, the population is divided into susceptible (S), exposed (E), infectious (I), and recovered (R) subpopulations (compartments). The population's vital dynamics is described by the per-capita birth ϕ and natural mortality μ rates. In severe CoViD-19 cases, the overreacted immune cells may result in death described by the additional mortality (fatality) rate α.

According to the CoViD-19 natural history, susceptible individuals are infected at a rate λS, where the force of infection λ is given by

$$\lambda = \beta \frac{I}{S},$$

with β being the transmission rate. After a period σ^{-1}, where σ is the incubation rate, these individuals enter into infectious compartment and, after a period γ^{-1}, where γ is the infectious (or recovery) rate, they enter into recovered compartment. Hence, the SEIR model is described by the dynamic system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}S &= \phi N - \beta \frac{I}{N} S - \mu S \\ \frac{d}{dt}E &= \beta \frac{I}{N} S - (\sigma + \mu) E \\ \frac{d}{dt}I &= \sigma E - (\gamma + \mu + \alpha) I \\ \frac{d}{dt}R &= \gamma I - \mu R, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

where the total population $N = S + E + I + R$ obeys

$$\frac{d}{dt}N = \phi N - \mu N - \alpha I. \tag{2}$$

The number of accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω is given by the exit from E and entering into class I, that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Omega = \sigma E, \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega(0) = 0. \tag{3}$$

and this equation is accopled to the system of equations (1) to obtain numerically Ω.

The system of equations (1) is autonomous but describes non-constant population dynamics (if $\phi = \mu + \alpha I/N$, then N is constant). This varying population is the reason why the equation (1) can not be used directly to obtain the basic reproduction number. In Appendix A.1, the steady-state of the system of equations in terms of the fractions corresponding to equation (1) was analyzed to obtain the basic reproduction number R_0.

The SIR model is obtained letting $\sigma \to \infty$, resulting in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}S &= \phi N - \beta \frac{I}{N} S - \mu S \\ \frac{d}{dt}I &= \beta \frac{I}{N} S - (\gamma + \mu + \alpha) I \\ \frac{d}{dt}R &= \gamma I - \mu R, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and the number of accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω is given by the exit from S and entering into class I, that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Omega = \beta \frac{I}{N} S, \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega(0) = 0. \tag{5}$$

In Appendix A.2, the steady-state of the system of equations in terms of the fractions corresponding to equation (4) was analyzed to obtain the basic reproduction number R_0.

2.2 The SEAPMDR model

One of the main aspects of CoViD-19 is increased fatality in the elder subpopulation. For this reason, a population is divided into two groups, composed of young (60 years old or less, denoted by subscript y) and elder (60 years old or more, denoted by subscript o) subpopulations. This community's vital dynamic is described by the per-capita rates of birth (ϕ) and mortality (μ),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and φ is the aging rate, that is, the flow from young subpopulation y to elder subpopulation o. Another aspect is the presence of the pre-symptomatic individuals, that is, individuals without symptoms transmitting SARS-CoV-2 before the onset of the disease [10].

Since we are dealing with the initial phase of the epidemic, the model does not consider the compartments related to quarantine and mass testing. Hence, for each subpopulation j ($j = y, o$), individuals are divided into six classes: susceptible S_j, exposed and incubating E_j, asymptomatic A_j, pre-symptomatic (or pre-diseased) individuals P_j, symptomatic individuals with mild CoViD-19 M_j, and severe CoViD-19 D_j. However, all young and elder individuals in classes A_j, M_j, and D_j enter into the same recovered class R (this is the 7th class, but common to both subpopulations). Hence, the SEAPMDR model has 13 compartments.

The natural history of CoViD-19 is the same for young ($j = y$) and elder ($j = o$) subpopulations. We assume that individuals in the asymptomatic (A_j), pre-diseased (P_j), and a fraction z_j of mild CoViD-19 (M_j) classes are transmitting the virus. Other infected classes ($(1 - z_j)\, M_j$ and D_j) are under voluntary or forced isolation. Susceptible individuals are infected at a rate $\lambda_j S_j$ (known as the mass action law [4]), where λ_j is the per-capita incidence rate (or force of infection) defined by $\lambda_j = \lambda\,(\delta_{jy} + \psi\delta_{jo})$, with λ being

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N}\left(\beta_{1y}A_y + \beta_{2y}P_y + \beta_{3y}z_yM_y + \beta_{1o}A_o + \beta_{2o}P_o + \beta_{3o}z_oM_o\right), \tag{6}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, with $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, and 0, if $i \neq j$; and β_{1j}, β_{2j} and β_{3j} are the transmission rates, that is, the rates at which a virus encounters a susceptible people and infects him/her. In [6], a particular model was analyzed letting $z_y = z_o = 0$ and $\chi_y = \chi_o = 1$.

Susceptible individuals are infected at a rate λ_j and enter into class E_j. After an average period $1/\sigma_j$ in class E_j, where σ_j is the incubation rate, exposed individuals enter into the asymptomatic class A_j (with probability l_j) or pre-diseased class P_j (with probability $1 - l_j$). After an average period $1/\gamma_j$ in class A_j, where γ_j is the recovery rate of asymptomatic individuals, asymptomatic individuals acquire immunity (recovered) and enter into recovered class R. Possibly asymptomatic individuals can manifest symptoms at the end of this period, and a fraction $1 - \chi_j$ enters into mild CoViD-19 class M_j. For symptomatic individuals, after an average period $1/\gamma_{1j}$ in class P_j, where γ_{1j} is the infection rate of pre-diseased individuals, pre-diseased individuals enter into severe CoViD-19 class D_j (with probability $1 - k_j$) or mild CoViD-19 class M_j (with probability k_j). Individuals in class D_j acquire immunity after a period $1/\gamma_{2j}$, where γ_{2j} is the recovery rate of severe CoViD-19, and enter into recovered class R or die under the disease-induced (additional) mortality rate α_j. Individuals in mild CoViD-19 class M_j acquire immunity after a period $1/\gamma_{3j}$, where γ_{3j} is the recovery rate of mild CoViD-19,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and enter into recovered class R.

The SARS-CoV-2 transmission model is described by the system of ordinary differential equations. Equations for susceptible individuals are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}S_y &= \phi N - (\varphi + \mu) S_y - \lambda S_y \\ \frac{d}{dt}S_o &= \varphi S_y - \mu S_o - \lambda \psi S_o, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

for infectious individuals, with $j = y, o$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}E_j &= \lambda (\delta_{jy} + \psi \delta_{jo}) S_j - (\sigma_j + \mu) E_j \\ \frac{d}{dt}A_j &= l_j \sigma_j E_j - (\gamma_j + \mu) A_j \\ \frac{d}{dt}P_j &= (1 - l_j) \sigma_j E_j - (\gamma_{1j} + \mu) P_j \\ \frac{d}{dt}M_j &= (1 - \chi_j) \gamma_j A_j + k_j \gamma_{1j} P_j - (\gamma_{3j} + \mu) M_j \\ \frac{d}{dt}D_j &= (1 - k_j) \gamma_{1j} P_j - (\gamma_{2j} + \mu + \alpha_j) D_j, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

and for recovered individuals,

$$\frac{d}{dt}R = \chi_y \gamma_y A_y + \gamma_{3y} M_y + \gamma_{2y} D_y + \chi_o \gamma_o A_o + \gamma_{3o} M_o + \gamma_{2o} D_o - \mu R, \tag{9}$$

where $N_j = S_j + E_j + A_j + P_j + M_j + D_j$, and $N = N_y + N_o + I$ obeys

$$\frac{d}{dt}N = (\phi - \mu) N - \alpha_y D_y - \alpha_o D_o, \tag{10}$$

with the initial number of population at $t = 0$ being $N(0) = N_0 = N_{0y} + N_{0o}$, where N_{0y} and N_{0o} are the size of young and elder subpopulations at $t = 0$.

The number of accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω is obtained from

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Omega = (1 - k_y) \gamma_{1y} P_y + (1 - k_o) \gamma_{1o} P_o, \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega(0) = 0, \tag{11}$$

which are the exit from class P, and entering into class D.

Table 1 summarizes the model parameters. The description of the assigned values can be found in [11]. The transmission rates are estimated.

In Appendix B, the steady-state of the system of equations in terms of fractions corresponding to equations (7), (8) and (9) was analyzed to obtain the basic reproduction number R_0.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Summary of the model parameters ($j = y, o$) and values (rates in $days^{-1}$, and proportions are dimensionless). The values (*) correspond to São Paulo State. For Spain, $\phi = \mu = 1/(83.4 \times 365)\ days^{-1}$, $\varphi = 1.14 \times 10^{-5}\ days^{-1}$, and $\psi = 1.1$.

Symbol	Meaning	Value
μ^*	Natural mortality rate	$1/(78.4 \times 365)$
ϕ^*	Birth rate	$1/(78.4 \times 365)$
φ^*	Aging rate	6.7×10^{-6}
$\sigma_y\,(\sigma_o)$	Incubation rate	$1/5\,(1/5)$
$\gamma_y\,(\gamma_o)$	Recovery rate of asymptomatic individuals	$1/10\,(1/11)$
$\gamma_{1y}\,(\gamma_{1o})$	Infection rate of pre-diseased individuals	$1/4\,(1/4)$
$\gamma_{2y}\,(\gamma_{2o})$	Recovery rate of severe covid-19	$1/10\,(1/14)$
$\gamma_{3y}\,(\gamma_{3o})$	Infection rate of mild covid-19 individuals	$1/10\,(1/11)$
$\alpha_y\,(\alpha_o)$	Additional mortality rate	$0.0018\,(0.009)$
$z_y\,(z_o)$	Proportion circulating of mild covid-19 individuals	$0.5\,(0.2)$
ψ^*	Scaling factor of transmission among elder individuals	1.15
$\chi_y\,(\chi_o)$	Proportion of remaining as asymptomatic individuals	$0.98\,(0.95)$
$l_y\,(l_o)$	Proportion of asymptomatic individuals	$0.8(0.75)$
$k_y\,(k_o)$	Proportion of mild (non-hospitalized) covid-19	$0.8\,(0.75)$

The basic reproduction number R_0 given by equation (B.8) in Appendix B, with the fractions written as $s_y^0 = N_{0y}/N$ and $s_o^0 = N_{0o}/N$, is

$$R_0 = (R_{1y} + R_{2y})\,\frac{N_{0y}}{N_0} + (R_{1o} + R_{2o})\,\frac{N_{0o}}{N_0}, \tag{12}$$

where N_{0y} and N_{0o} are the initial numbers of young and elder subpopulations with $N_0 = N_{0y} + N_{0o}$, and

$$\begin{cases} R_{1y} &= l_y \dfrac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi}\dfrac{\beta_{1y}}{\gamma_y+\phi} + (1-l_y)\,\dfrac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi}\dfrac{\beta_{2y}}{\gamma_{1y}+\phi} \\ R_{1o} &= l_o \dfrac{\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi}\dfrac{\beta_{1o}\psi}{\gamma_o+\phi} + (1-l_o)\,\dfrac{\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi}\dfrac{\beta_{2o}\psi}{\gamma_{1o}+\phi} \\ R_{2y} &= \left[l_y \dfrac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi}(1-\chi_y)\,\dfrac{\gamma_y}{\gamma_y+\phi} + (1-l_y)\,\dfrac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi}k_y\dfrac{\gamma_{1y}}{\gamma_{1y}+\phi}\right]\dfrac{z_y\beta_{3y}}{\gamma_{3y}+\phi} \\ R_{2o} &= \left[l_o \dfrac{\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi}(1-\chi_o)\,\dfrac{\gamma_o}{\gamma_o+\phi} + (1-l_o)\,\dfrac{\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi}k_o\dfrac{\gamma_{1o}}{\gamma_{1o}+\phi}\right]\dfrac{z_o\beta_{3o}\psi}{\gamma_{3o}+\phi}. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Letting $z_y = z_o = 0$ ($R_{2y} = R_{2o} = 0$), we retrieve the basic reproduction number obtained in [11].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2.3 The sigmoid-shaped curve of Ω

The first derivative of Ω is given by equations (5), (3) and (11) for, respectively, SIR, SEIR and SEAPMDR models, or

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Omega = \begin{cases} \beta \frac{I}{N} S, & \text{for SIR} \\ \sigma E, & \text{for SEIR} \\ (1-k_y)\,\gamma_{1y} P_y + (1-k_o)\,\gamma_{1o} P_o, & \text{for SEAPMDR,} \end{cases}$$

which is positive ($d\Omega/dt > 0$). Hence, Ω is monotonically increasing function.

The second derivative of Ω is given

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Omega = \begin{cases} \beta \frac{I}{N} \left\{ \phi N + \left[\frac{\beta}{N} (S-I) - (\gamma + 2\mu + \alpha) \right] S \right\}, & \text{for SIR} \\ \sigma \left[\beta \frac{I}{N} S - (\sigma + \mu) E \right], & \text{for SEIR} \\ \begin{array}{l} (1-k_y)\,\gamma_{1y} \left[(1-l_y)\,\sigma_y E_y - (\gamma_{1y} + \mu) P_y \right] + \\ (1-k_o)\,\gamma_{1o} \left[(1-l_o)\,\sigma_o E_o - (\gamma_{1o} + \mu) P_o \right], \end{array} & \text{for SEAPMDR,} \end{cases}$$

which changes the signal from positive to negative at $d^2\Omega/dt^2 = 0$. Hence, Ω has upward concavity at the beginning of the epidemic and downward concavity at the ending phase of the epidemic. For instance, the number of exposed individuals E in the SEIR model initially increases ($dE/dt > 0$), attains a maximum value ($dE/dt = 0$), and decreases since after ($dE/dt < 0$). Hence, the change in the concavity occurs at the inflection time (point) τ satisfying $dE/dt = 0$, that is, $\beta IS/N - (\sigma + \mu) E = 0$.

Therefore, the accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω follows a sigmoid-shape [12]. From the first derivative of Ω given by equations (5), (3) and (11), we can retrieve the daily severe covid-19 cases Ω_d as

$$\Omega_d(t_i) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{d}{dt}\Omega dt = \Omega(t_i) - \Omega(t_{i-1}), \tag{14}$$

where $\Omega_d(0) = 0$ at $t_0 = 0$, and $\Delta t = t_i - t_{i-1} = \Delta t = 1\ day$, with $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, and $t_1 = 1$ is the next day in the calendar time, and so on. The inflection time τ occurs at the maximum value of Ω_d.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The curve of the effective reproduction number $R_{ef} \approx R_0 S/N$ is given by

$$R_{ef} \approx \begin{cases} \dfrac{\beta}{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}\dfrac{S}{N}, & \text{for SIR} \\ \dfrac{\sigma}{\sigma+\phi}\dfrac{\beta}{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}\dfrac{S}{N}, & \text{for SEIR} \\ (R_{1y}+R_{2y})\dfrac{S_y}{N}+(R_{1o}+R_{2o})\dfrac{N_o}{N}, & \text{for SEAPMDR.} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

This curve can be used to explain the sigmoid-shape of the accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω.

When $d^2\Omega/dt^2 > 0$, the upward concavity curve of Ω increases quickly, which is possible if $R_{ef} > 1$, and one primary case generates more than one secondary case. However, when $d^2\Omega/dt^2 < 0$, the downward concavity curve of Ω increases slowly reaching an asymptote, which is possible if $R_{ef} < 1$, and one primary case generates less than one secondary case. Hence, the effective reproduction number R_{ef} decreases monotonically from R_0 at $t = 0$ up to one at the inflection time τ (upward concavity of Ω), and maintains its decreasing trend up to an asymptote (zero, if $\mu = 0$), forming the phase of the downward concavity of the curve Ω. However, $R_{ef} = 1$ does not occur at the inflection time τ (see below).

3 Results

We estimate the transmission rate β for the SIR, SEIR, and SEAPMDR models presented in the preceding section against the severe CoViD-19 data collection. The basic reproduction number R_0 is then calculated for São Paulo State (Brazil) and Spain.

São Paulo State has 44.6 million inhabitants (demographic density, $177/km^2$) with 15.3% of the population comprised of elder individuals [8]. The first case was registered on February 26, and partial quarantine was implemented on March 24. The sum of the incubation ($1/\sigma$) and pre-symptomatic ($1/\gamma_1$) periods is 9 days, for this reason it is expected a delay of around 9 days since the infection and the CoViD-19 onset. Therefore, we estimate the basic reproduction number considering the collected data from February 26 to April 2.

Spain has 47.4 million inhabitants (demographic density, $92.3/km^2$) with 25.8% of the population comprised of elder individuals [9]. The first case was registered on January 31, and the lockdown was implemented on March 16. Considering a delay of around 9 days, we estimate the basic reproduction number considering the collected data from January 31 to March 25.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

To estimate the parameter β, we calculate

$$\text{Sum} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \left[\Omega\left(\beta, t_i\right) - \Omega^{ob}\left(t_i\right)\right]^2, \tag{16}$$

where $\Omega\left(\beta, t_i\right)$ is the accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases calculated from the dynamic system (SIR, SEIR and SEAPMDR models), and $\Omega^{ob}\left(t_i\right)$ is the accumulated severe CoViD-19 registered cases at day t_i, that is,

$$\Omega^{ob}\left(t_i\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Omega_d^{ob}\left(t_j\right),$$

where $\Omega_d^{ob}\left(t_j\right)$ is the severe CoViD-19 cases registered at day t_j. We consider the same weight for all data, that is, we let $\omega_i = 1$. The value of β that minimizes Sum is the fitted value.

Instead of applying the least square estimation method, we calculate the sum of the squared differences between the calculated Ω and the observed data Ω^{ob}. The reason behind this simplification relies on the fitting of the model parameter based on a unique observed variable (Ω) of the dynamic system, which is not appropriate [13]. However, this simplified method of parameter evaluation does not provide uncertainties associated with the parameters. The effects of these uncertainties on the epidemic can be assessed by the global sensitivity analysis [14].

Once the transmission rate β is estimated, we calculate R_0 using equations (A.7), (A.3) and (B.8) for, respectively, SIR, SEIR and SEAPMDR models. Notice that these three expressions for R_0 give secondary cases produced by one primary case introduced in a completely susceptible population. Notice that the fatality rate α affects R_0 in the SIR and SEIR models, but not in the SEAPMDR model. The reason behind it is the severe CoViD-19 cases transmitting in the SIR and SEIR models but not in the SEAPMDR model. Hence, in the latter model, one of the sources of uncertainties is removed.

3.1 The SIR model

To estimate the transmission rate, we use equation (16) and Ω given by equation (5). The model parameters are $\gamma = 1/10$, $\alpha = 0.002$ and, for São Paulo State, $\phi = \mu = 1/(78.4 \times 365)$ and, for Spain, $\phi = \mu = 1/(83.4 \times 365)$ (all in $days^{-1}$). The value $\gamma = 1/10\ days^{-1}$ in somehow is an average value among γ, γ_1, γ_2, and γ_3 in the SEAPMDR model (see Table 1). The transmission rate β is estimated, and the basic reproduction number R_0 is calculated using equation (A.7). We estimate the basic reproduction number using equation (4) with different

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

infective individuals at $t = 0$.

For the data collected from São Paulo State, we obtained for $I(0) = 1$, $R_0 = 3.14$ with Sum $= 8.02 \times 10^5$, for $I(0) = 10$, $R_0 = 2.4$ with Sum $= 8.49 \times 10^5$, for $I(0) = 25$, $R_0 = 2.11$ with Sum $= 1.86 \times 10^6$, and for $I(0) = 100$, $R_0 = 1.62$ with Sum $= 5.87 \times 10^6$. Other initial conditions are $S(0) = 44.6$ million and $R(0) = 0$. The lowest Sum occurs when $R_0 = 3.14$.

For the data collected from Spain, we obtained for $I(0) = 1$, $R_0 = 2.97$ with Sum $= 4.16 \times 10^8$, for $I(0) = 10$, $R_0 = 2.5$ with Sum $= 6.32 \times 10^8$, for $I(0) = 25$, $R_0 = 2.3$ with Sum $= 1.13 \times 10^9$, and for $I(0) = 100$, $R_0 = 2.06$ with Sum $= 1.19 \times 10^9$. Other initial conditions are $S(0) = 47.4$ million and $R(0) = 0$. The lowest Sum occurs when $R_0 = 2.97$.

Figure 1 shows the estimated curve Ω for São Paulo (a) and Spain (b) with three different initial conditions ($I(0) = 1$, 10, and 25).



(a) (b)

Figure 1: The estimated curve Ω for São Paulo (a) and Spain (b) with three different initial conditions $I(0) = 1$ (continuous curve), 10 (dashed curve), and 25 (dashed and dotted curve).

We observe that the larger the value of $I(0)$, the small is the estimated R_0. For instance, R_0 with $I(0) = 100$ for São Paulo State is about half that with $I(0) = 1$. By the stringent definition of R_0, we must consider $I(0) = 1$. However, the initial condition $I(0) > 1$ mimics the first case of CoViD-19 occurring earlier than the time $t = 0$. The Singapore University of Technology and Design [15] estimated R_0 using $I(0) = 100$ for different countries, underestimating the basic reproduction number.

Let us illustrate the curves Ω, Ω_d, I and R_{ef} for the SIR model in the natural (occurring without any control) epidemic. Equations (5), (14) and (15) are used to obtain Ω, Ω_d and R_{ef}, and I is the solution of the system of equations (4). The inflection time calculated from

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

$\phi N + \left[\frac{\beta}{N}(S-I) - (\gamma + 2\mu + \alpha)\right] S = 0$ is $\tau = 78$ (calendar time May 14). Figure 2 shows the estimated curve of Ω and the daily curve Ω_d (a), and the epidemic curve I and effective reproduction number R_{ef} (b). In Figure 2(a), Ω was divided by 10 to fit in the same frame with Ω_d, and in 2(b), I was divided by 3×10^6 to fit in the same frame with R_{ef}. We used data collected from São Paulo State, and the initial conditions are $S(0) = 44.6$ million, $I(0) = 1$, and $R(0) = 0$, yielding $R_0 = 3.14$.



(a) (b)

Figure 2: The estimated curve of Ω and the daily curve Ω_d (a), and the epidemic curve I and effective reproduction number R_{ef} (b).

The maximum value of Ω_d occurs at $t = 78$ (calendar time May 14), equal to the calculated inflection time τ. The maximum value of I occurs at $t = 84$ (calendar time May 20), equal to when $R_{ef} = 1$.

When $\alpha > 0$, the steady-state fraction of the susceptible individuals s^* is given by equation (A.10), and the effective reproduction number R_{ef} given by equation (15) is an approximated value. However, when $\alpha = 0$, s^* is given by equation (A.12), and $R_{ef} = R_0 S/N$, with $R_0 = \beta/\gamma + \phi + \alpha$. Using the same model parameters values and initial conditions in Figure 2, the maximum values for Ω_d and I occurred at, respectively, $t = 77$ and 84, the inflection time is $\tau = 77$, and $R_{ef} = 1$ occurred at $t = 84$. For $\alpha = 0$, we have $R_0 = 3.20$, which is 2% higher than $R_0 = 3.14$. Therefore, $R_{ef} = 1$ occurs at the maximum value (peak) of the epidemic curve I.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3.2 The SEIR model

To estimate the transmission rate, we use equation (16) and Ω given by equation (3). The model parameters are those used in the SIR model: $\gamma = 1/10$, $\alpha = 0.002$, and, for São Paulo State, $\phi = \mu = 1/(78.4\times365)$ and, for Spain, $\phi = \mu = 1/(83.4\times365)$ (all in $days^{-1}$). For the additional parameter, we let $\sigma = 1/5\ days^{-1}$ (see Table 1). The transmission rate β is estimated, and the basic reproduction number R_0 is calculated using equation (A.7). We estimate the basic reproduction number using equation (1) with the initial conditions $S(0) = N_0$, $E(0) = 0$, $R(0) = 0$, and varying $I(0)$.

For the data collected from São Paulo State, with $S(0) = 44.6$ million, we obtained for $I(0) = 1$, $R_0 = 7.25$ with Sum $= 1.36 \times 10^6$, for $I(0) = 10$, $R_0 = 4.7$ with Sum $= 4.52 \times 10^5$, for $I(0) = 25$, $R_0 = 3.82$ with Sum $= 1 \times 10^6$, and for $I(0) = 100$, $R_0 = 2.6$ with Sum $= 3.68 \times 10^6$. The lowest Sum occurs when $R_0 = 4.7$.

For the data collected from Spain, with $S(0) = 47.4$ million, we obtained for $I(0) = 1$, $R_0 = 6.27$ with Sum $= 2.39\times10^8$, for $I(0) = 10$, $R_0 = 4.75$ with Sum $= 7.64\times10^7$, for $I(0) = 25$, $R_0 = 4.21$ with Sum $= 2.21 \times 10^8$, and for $I(0) = 100$, $R_0 = 3.38$ with Sum $= 7.57 \times 10^8$. The lowest Sum occurs when $R_0 = 4.75$.

Figure 3 shows the estimated curve Ω for São Paulo (a) and Spain (b) with three different initial conditions ($I(0) = 1$, 10, and 25).



(a) (b)

Figure 3: The estimated curve Ω for São Paulo (a) and Spain (b) with three different initial conditions $I(0) = 1$ (continuous curve), 10 (dashed curve), and 25 (dashed and dotted curve).

Notice that $\sigma/(\sigma+\mu) = 0.99999$, hence, R_0 given by equations (A.7) and (A.3) must be equal if β is the same for the SIR and SEIR models. However, β is estimated by the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

dynamic systems (4) or (1) against the accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases. For this reason, the estimated β must be different in the SIR and SEIR models.

Comparing with the estimated R_0 provided by the SIR model, we observe that the SEIR model estimated with a higher value. Let us assess the role played by the incubation period σ^{-1} in the SEIR model considering the initial conditions $S(0) = N_0$, $E(0) = 0$, $I(0) = 1$, and $R(0) = 0$. For $\sigma^{-1} = 10$ *days*, $R_0 = 11.27$ with Sum $= 1.15 \times 10^6$, for $\sigma^{-1} = 1$ *day*, $R_0 = 3.92$ with Sum $= 8.92 \times 10^5$, for $\sigma^{-1} = 10^{-1}$ *days* (2.4 *hours*), $R_0 = 3.2$ with Sum $= 8.06 \times 10^5$, and for $\sigma^{-1} = 10^{-3}$ *days* (1.44 *minutes*), $R_0 = 3.14$ with Sum $= 7.96 \times 10^5$. As σ^{-1} decreases (σ increases), R_0 approaches (when $\sigma \to \infty$) to that estimated by the SIR model ($R_0 = 3.14$). By disregarding the incubation period, the SIR model provides a relatively lower estimation for R_0 in comparison with the SEIR model. To overcome this period and fit the same set of the severe CoViD-19 cases, the transmission rate of SARS-CoV-2 must be much higher in the SEIR than in the SIR model. Therefore, the inclusion of the exposed individuals delays the onset of disease (or the entering into an infectious compartment), and the virus must infect more individuals (increased R_0).

3.3 The SEAPMDR model

To estimate the transmission rates, we consider $\beta_y = \beta_{1y} = \beta_{2y} = \beta_{3y}$ and $\beta_o = \beta_{1o} = \beta_{2o} = \beta_{3o} = \psi\beta_y$, and we use equation (16) and Ω given by equation (11). The values for the model parameters are those given in Table 1. The basic reproduction number R_0 is calculated using equation (12).

The initial conditions supplied to the system of equations (7), (8) and (9) are, for young and elder subpopulations,

$$\begin{cases} \text{young} \left(S_y(0) = N_{0y}, \quad E_y(0) = 30, \quad A_y(0) = 24, \quad P_y(0) = 6, \quad M_y(0) = 6, \quad D_y(0) = 0 \right) \\ \text{and} \\ \text{elder} \left(S_o(0) = N_{0o}, \quad E_o(0) = 20, \quad A_o(0) = 16, \quad P_o(0) = 4, \quad M_o(0) = 3, \quad D_o(0) = 1, \right) \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

plus $R(0) = 0$, where the initial simulation time $t = 0$ corresponds to the calendar time when the first case was confirmed (February 26 for São Paulo State, and January 31 for Spain). For São Paulo State, $N_{0y} = 37.8$ million and $N_{0o} = 6.8$ million, and for Spain, $N_{0y} = 35.17$ million and $N_{0o} = 12.23$ million. (See [16] for details in the initial conditions' setup.)

For the data collected from São Paulo State, we obtained $R_0 = 6.54$, with Sum $= 7.75 \times 10^5$, while for the data collected from Spain, we obtained $R_0 = 5.88$, with Sum $= 1.1 \times 10^8$. Figure

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4 shows the estimated curve Ω for São Paulo State (a) and Spain (b).



(a) (b)

Figure 4: The estimated curve Ω and the observed accumulated cases for São Paulo State (a) and Spain (b).

Suppose we let $z_y = z_o = 0$ (mild CoViD-19 cases do not transmit) and $\chi_y = \chi_o = 1$ (asymptomatic individuals do not relapse to mild CoViD-19). In that case, the estimated basic reproduction number is $R_0 = 6.26$ for São Paulo State, with Sum $= 7.56 \times 10^5$, and $R_0 = 5.67$ for Spain, with Sum $= 1.18 \times 10^8$.

For the SEIR model, let us consider as the initial conditions $E(0) = 50$ (sum of $E_y(0) + E_o(0)$), $I(0) = 1$ and $R(0) = 0$, and $S(0) = 44.6$ million for São Paulo State and $S(0) = 47.4$ million for Spain. The estimated basic reproduction number for São Paulo State is $R_0 = 3.53$ with Sum $= 1.56 \times 10^6$ and for Spain, $R_0 = 4.07$ with Sum $= 2.53 \times 10^8$.

4 Discussion

There are different manners to define an epidemic curve. For instance, one possible definition is the curve formed by those positive for serological and PCR tests. However, in the early phase of the epidemic, the CoViD-19 epidemic curve must be defined by severe cases, which are the only available data. In the SEAPMDR model, the CoViD-19 epidemic curve was retrieved by estimating the transmission rates of asymptomatic, pre-diseased, and a fraction of mild classes. In [11], it was shown that the ratio between non-apparent (sum of compartments E, A, and P) and apparent (sum of compartments M and D) CoViD-19 is around 24, showing that SARS-CoV-2 is being transmitted by a huge number of hidden cases.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In the SEAPMDR model, the initial conditions $E(0) = 50$ and $I(0) = 1$ were supplied to the dynamic system, resulting in $R_0 = 6.54$ (São Paulo State) and $R_0 = 5.88$ (Spain), higher than estimations usually accepted. Instead of comparing the SIR model, let us compare the SEIR model with the same initial conditions supplied to the SEAPMDR model. The estimated basic reproduction number for São Paulo State was $R_0 = 3.92$, and for Spain, $R_0 = 4.41$.

Comparing SIR, SEIR, and SEAPMDR models, as the model incorporates more aspects of the natural history of the infection [17], higher becomes the estimation of R_0. There is no other alternative for the SIR and SEIR models except considering severe CoViD-19 cases as infective. However, in the SEAPMDR model, the asymptomatic (A), pre-diseased (P), and a fraction of mild CoViD-19 (M) individuals are transmitting SARS-CoV-2, but the severe CoViD-19 (D) individuals are isolated and do not contribute, except to infect the hospital staff [11].

As we have pointed out, at the beginning and also in the early phase of the CoViD-19 epidemic, only hospitalized severe CoViD-19 cases were registered after the confirmation by serological and or PCR tests. These individuals are isolated in hospitals (receiving treatment) or discharged from hospitals but recommend being isolated in their homes. Then, somehow the majority of these individuals are not participating in the populational SARS-CoV-2 chain transmission.

In the SEAPMDR model, there are several infectious classes, but the severe CoViD-19 cases do not transmit the SARS-CoV-2, for this reason, R_0 does not depend on the additional mortality rates α_y and α_o (see equations (12) and (13)). On the other hand, in the SIR and SEIR models, there is only one infectious class, and R_0 depends on the additional mortality rate α (see equations (A.7) and (A.3)). Notice that in the SIR and SEIR models, the unique way to estimate the transmission rate is that severe covid-9 cases form the infective class I.

Besides the consideration of the CoViD-19 data, we discuss the magnitude of R_0. Both SIR and SEIR models provided lower estimates for R_0 than the SEAPMDR model.

As we have pointed out, Li *et al.* [3] estimated $R_{ef} = 2.38$ using the range of data recorded from 54 days after the epidemic's onset to 16 days after the lockdown. From Figure 2b corresponding to the SIR model with $R_0 = 3.14$ (simulation time $t = 0$), we observe that $R_{ef} \simeq R_0$ up to the simulation time $t = 50$ initiates a quick decreasing phase at $t = 60$. However, for the SEAPMDR model without interventions, $R_0 = 6.54$ decreases to $R_{ef} = 5.41$ at simulation time $t = 50$. However, the partial quarantine was introduced on March 24 ($t = 27$), and 16 days later on April 9 ($t = 45$), we have $R_{ef} = 2.92$ considering protective measures in the SEAPMDR model (see [16]). This value is close to that estimated by Li *et al.*, remembering that they did not take the initial time of estimation when the first case of CoViD-19 occurred.

The curve of accumulated CoViD-19 cases Ω obtained from equation (11) shown in Figure 2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

has a sigmoid-shape. This curve presents a quick increase during the first phase ($R_{ef} > 1$, with upward concavity) followed by a slow increase ($R_{ef} < 1$, with downward concavity), where R_{ef} is given by equation (15). Figure 2 showed Ω and R_{ef} corresponding to the natural epidemic. In Figure 5, we show the daily Ω_d and the accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases Ω^{ob} collected from São Paulo State (a) and Spain (b), where A indicates the time at which quarantine was introduced, and B represents the inflection time. To be fitted together in the same frame, the accumulated data set was divided by 4. The observed severe CoViD-19 data carry on the effects of the quarantine and the protective measures (face mask, washing hands with alcohol and gel, social distancing, etc.) adopted by individuals that reduced the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. In São Paulo State, a partial quarantine was introduced on March 24 isolating approximately 53% of the population [8], and a rigid lockdown in Spain was introduced on March 16 isolating perhaps 90% or more.



Figure 5: The daily (bars) and the accumulated (points) severe covid-19 cases in São Paulo State (a) and Spain (b), where A indicates the time at which quarantine was introduced, and B indicates the inflection time.

Let us define the threshold of the proportion in isolation in a population as $q^{th} = 1 - 1/R_0$. If the proportion in quarantine q is higher than q^{th}, we must have $R_{ef} < 1$, and the observed accumulated CoViD-19 cases must present downward concavity and upward concavity if $q < q^{th}$. For São Paulo State, we have $q = 0.53$. At $R_{ef} = 1$, we have the inflection time (change from upward to downward concavity). Using the value of R_0 estimated in the preceding section, for the SIR model (R_0 estimated with $I(0) = 1$) we have $q^{th} = 0.68$ for São Paulo State, and $q^{th} = 0.66$ for Spain. For the SEAPMDR model, we have $q^{th} = 0.85$ for São Paulo State, and $q^{th} = 0.83$ for Spain. The SEAPMDR model shows that isolating 85% in the population or

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

more controls the epidemic, but the SIR model predicts less proportion of isolation, 68% or more.

As shown in Figure 5, the inflection time occurred approximately on June 10 in São Paulo State and on March 26 in Spain. The elapsed time between the implementation of quarantine (A) and the inflection time (B) is 78 *days* in São Paulo State and 10 *days* in Spain. From Figure 2, for São Paulo State using the SIR model, the period from the beginning of the epidemic until the inflection time was 78 *days*. This period, which was calculated for the natural epidemic, must be lesser when considering the epidemic under isolation and protective measures. Hence, the actual R_0 must be higher than 3.14. However, if we consider $R_0 = 2.11$ estimated from the SIR model using $I(0) = 25$, we obtain $q^{th} = 0.53$ for São Paulo State resulting in $q = q^{th}$. In other words, the inflection time must occur 9 days later, when we have $R_{ef} = 1$ (the effects of any intervention appear 9 days later). As a consequence, the observed accumulated severe CoViD-19 cases must be quite similar to that observed in Spain. Notice that the inflection time occurred 10 days after the implementation of lockdown in Spain. The long time to reach the inflection time in São Paulo State (78 days) may indicate that the SIR model underestimated R_0, and that estimated by the SEAPMDR model seems to be more reliable.

Finally, the SEAPMDR model proposed by Yang *et al.* [6] at the beginning of the CoViD-19 epidemic deserves some considerations. At the beginning of the epidemic, the severe acute respiratory syndrome cases were hospitalized and confirmed as CoViD-19 after some tests (many patients died and were diagnosed *post mortem* as CoViD-19 by a test). This disease's rapid spread indicated that possibly asymptomatic and mild CoViD-19 cases transmitted SARS-CoV-2 once severe CoViD-19 patients were isolated in hospitals. Additionally, the model considered pre-symptomatic individuals transmitting infection, which was confirmed later. Besides these aspects, the model considered the increased fatality among elder individuals. Minimalist incorporation of this disease's severity in the model divided the population into two groups: young (under 60 years old) and elder (above 60 years old) individuals. (Notably, the consideration of two subpopulations by the SEAPMDR model predicted three times lower number of deaths than that indicated in [2].) To estimate the basic reproduction number, the authors restricted data collection during the period without any control.

5 Conclusion

This work's goal was to demonstrate that the early applied SIR and SEIR models underestimated R_0 misusing the severe CoVid-19 data collection. For this reason, we formulated the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SEAPMDR model incorporating essential aspects related to the natural history of the infection. For instance, the incorporation of the asymptomatic and pre-diseased individuals, mild CoViD-19 cases, and different fatality rates depending on age must improve the mathematical model to describe the CoViD-19 epidemic. Additionally, these more elaborated models could consider the severe CoViD-19 cases being isolated, and SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted by asymptomatic and pre-diseased individuals, for instance. Hence, the SEAPMDR must provide a more accurate estimation of R_0. Specifically, when the severe CoViD-19 cases may not transmit SARS-CoV-2 populationally, the SIR and SEIR models structured in only one infectious compartment are not suitable to estimate the basic reproduction number R_0. It is worth stressing that the reliable estimation of R_0 must consider the time range from the first case to the last case before the first case affected by interventions.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in SP contra o novo coronavírus (Boletim completo) at https://www.seade.gov.br/coronavirus/, and Spain Covid19 at https://cnecovid.isciii.es/covid19/.

Author contributions

Hyun Mo Yang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing - Original draft preparation, Validation, Supervision. **Luis Pedro Lombardi Junior**: Software, Data Curation, Visualization, Validation. **Ariana Campos Yang**: Conceptualization, Validation, Investigation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

[1] Koo JR, *et al.* Interventions to mitigate early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore: A modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; 20 (6): 678-688. doi 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30162-6.

[2] Ferguson NM, *et al.* Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce Covid-19 mortality and healthcare demand. Imperial College Covid-19 Response Team 2020; March 16, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.25561/77482.

[3] Li RY, *et al.* Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2). Science 2020; 368(6490): 489-493. doi: 10.1126/science.abb3221.

[4] Anderson RM, May, RM. Infectious Diseases of Human. Dynamics and Control. Oxford, New York, Tokyo: Oxford University Press; 1991: 757 p.

[5] Hart WS, *et al.* Accurate forecasts of the effectiveness of interventions against Ebola may require models that account for variations in symptoms during infection. Epidemics 2019; 29: 100371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2019.100371.

[6] Yang HM, Lombardi Junior LP, Yang AC. Modeling the transmission of the new coronavirus in the São Paulo State, Brazil – Assessing the epidemiological impacts of isolating young and elder persons. Math. Med. & Biol.: a Joural of IMA 2020; submitted on April 14, in revision.

[7] Yang HM. Modeling directly transmitted infections in a routinely vaccinated population – The force of infection described by Volterra integral equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2001; 122 (1): 27-58.

[8] SEADE, SP contra o novo coronavírus – Boletim completo. Available from: https://www.seade.gov.br/coronavirus/?utm_source=portal&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=completo, (accessed on August 14, 2020).

[9] CNE, Covid19. Available from: https://cnecovid.isciii.es/covid19/. (Accessed on August 14, 2020).

[10] Arons MM, *et al.* Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. The New Engl. Jour. Medicine 2020; April 24, 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2008457.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[11] Yang HM, Lombardi Junior LP, Castro FFM, Yang AC. Mathematical model describing Covid-19 in São Paulo State, Brazil – Evaluating isolation as control mechanism and forecasting epidemiological scenarios of release. Epidemiology and Infection 2020 148: e155. doi: 10.1017/S0950268820001600.

[12] Yang HM, Yang AC, Santos KS, Galvão CE, Castro FFM. The assessment of the arising of food allergy among antiacid users using mathematical model. Applied Mathematics 2012; 3: 293-307.

[13] Bates DM, Watts DG. Nonlinear Regression Analysis and Its Applications. New York: John Willey and Sons; 1988: 365 p.

[14] Marino S, *et al.* A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. Journal of theoretical biology 2008; 254: 178-196.

[15] Luo J. When will COVID-19 end? Data-driven prediction. Data-driven Innovation Lab. 2020. Available from: https://ddi.sutd.edu.sg/publications. (Accessed on October 10, 2020).

[16] Yang HM, Lombardi Junior LP, Castro FFM, Campos AC. Mathematical modeling of the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 – Evaluating the impact of isolation in São Paulo State (Brazil) and lockdown in Spain associated with protective measures on the epidemic of covid-19. PlosOne 2020; in revision.

[17] Wearing HJ, Rohani P, Keeling MJ. Appropriate models for the management of infectious diseases. PLoS Med. 2005; 2(7): e174. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020174.

[18] Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Roberts MG. The construction of next-generation matrices for compartmental epidemic models. J. R. Soc. Interface 2010; 7: 873-885.

[19] Yang HM. The basic reproduction number obtained from Jacobian and next generation matrices – A case study of dengue transmission modelling. BioSystems 2014; 126: 52-75.

[20] Yang HM, Greenhalgh D. Proof of conjecture in: The basic reproduction number obtained from Jacobian and next generation matrices – A case study of dengue transmission modelling. Appl. Math. Comput. 2015; 265: 103-107.

[21] Yang HM. Are the beginning and ending phases of epidemics provided by next generation matrices? – A case study of drug-sensitive and resistant tuberculosis model, J. Biol. Systems 2020; submitted.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[22] Maidana NA, Yang HM. Describing the geographic propagation of dengue disease by travelling waves. Mathematical Biosciences 2008; 215: 64-77.

A The steady-state analysis of the SIR and SEIR models

We present the analysis of the SIR and SEIR models.

A.1 The SEIR model

The system of equations (1) does not reach a steady-state, except if $\phi = \mu + \alpha I/N$. However, the system (1) in terms of the fractions attains steady-state. Defining the fraction $x = X/N$, with $X = \{S, E, I, R\}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{X}{N} = \frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}X - \frac{X}{N}\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}N = \frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}X - x\left(\phi - \mu - \alpha i\right),$$

using equation (2), and the system of equations (1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}s &= \phi - \beta is - \phi s + \alpha is \\ \frac{d}{dt}e &= \beta is - \left(\sigma + \phi\right)e + \alpha ie \\ \frac{d}{dt}i &= \sigma e - \left(\gamma + \phi + \alpha\right)i + \alpha i^2 \\ \frac{d}{dt}r &= \gamma i - \phi r + \alpha ir, \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

with $s + e + i + r = 1$, hence, the equation for r can be decoupled from the system, through $r = 1 - e - s - i$. Notice that $d\left(s + e + i + r\right)/dt = 0$, and the system of equations in terms of fractions attain a steady state.

The system of equations (A.1), dropping out the decoupled equation for r, has two equilibrium points: The trivial (disease-free) equilibrium point $P^0 = (\bar{s} = 1, \bar{e} = 0, \bar{\imath} = 0)$ and non-trivial (epidemic) equilibrium point $P^* = (\bar{s} = s^*, \bar{e} = e^*, \bar{\imath} = i^*)$.

Let us assess the stability of P^0 by applying the next generation matrix theory considering the vector of variables $x = (e, i)$ [18]. The next generation matrix is constructed considering a subsystem of equation (9) taking into account the state-at-infection (e) and the states-of-infectiousness (i) [18], resulting in $x = (e, i)$. In a matrix form, the subsystem is written as

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = f(x) - v(x),$$

where the vectors f and v are defined below, with the partial derivatives of f and v evaluated

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

at P^0 being given by

$$F = Df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = Dv = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \tag{A.2}$$

Depending on the choice of vectors f and v, we can obtain the reduced reproduction number or the fraction of susceptible persons at endemic level [19].

To obtain the basic reproduction number R_0, diagonal matrix V is considered. Hence, the vectors f and v are

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \beta is \\ \sigma e \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad v = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma + \phi)\, e - \alpha ie \\ (\gamma + \phi + \alpha)\, i - \alpha i^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

resulting in F and V given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma + \phi) & 0 \\ 0 & (\gamma + \phi + \alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic equation corresponding to FV^{-1} is

$$\varkappa^2 - R_0 = 0,$$

and the spectral radius $\rho\left(FV^{-1}\right) = \sqrt{R_0}$, where the basic reproduction number R_0 is

$$R_0 = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \phi} \times \frac{\beta}{\gamma + \phi + \alpha}. \tag{A.3}$$

Instead of the spectral radius $\rho\left(FV^{-1}\right) = \sqrt{R_0}$, we applied the procedure in [19] (the sum of coefficients of the characteristic equation) and proved in [20], resulting in the threshold R_0. Hence, the trivial equilibrium point P^0 is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) if $R_0 < 1$.

To obtain the fraction of susceptible individuals at endemic equilibrium s^*, F must be the most straightforward (matrix with the least number of non-zero elements). Hence, the vectors f and v are

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \beta is \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad v = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma + \phi)\, e - \alpha ie \\ -\sigma e + (\gamma + \phi + \alpha)\, i - \alpha i^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

resulting in F and V given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma + \phi) & 0 \\ -\sigma & (\gamma + \phi + \alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The characteristic equation corresponding to FV^{-1} is

$$\varkappa^2 - R_0\varkappa = 0,$$

and the spectral radius $\rho\left(FV^{-1}\right) = R_0$, which is equal to the sum of the coefficients.

Both procedures resulted in the same threshold, hence, according to [21], the inverse of the reduced reproduction number R_0 given by equation (A.3) is a function of the fraction of susceptible individuals at endemic equilibrium s^* through

$$s^* = \frac{1}{R_0}. \tag{A.4}$$

Hence, we can define the effective reproduction number R_{ef} as

$$R_{ef} \approx R_0 s, \tag{A.5}$$

which depends on time, with $R_{ef} = R_0$ at $t = 0$ ($s = 1$), and when attains steady-state ($R_{ef} = 1$), we have $s^* = 1/R_0$. Notice that $R_{ef} = R_0 s$ when $\alpha = 0$ (see below for the SIR model),

A.2 The SIR model

The SIR model is obtained letting $\sigma \to \infty$ in the SEIR model, and the system of equations (4) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}s &= \phi - \beta is - \phi s + \alpha is \\ \frac{d}{dt}i &= \beta is - (\gamma + \phi + \alpha)\, i + \alpha i^2 \\ \frac{d}{dt}r &= \gamma i - \phi r + \alpha ir, \end{cases} \tag{A.6}$$

with $s + i + r = 1$, hence, the equation for r can be decoupled from the system, through $r = 1 - s - i$. The system of equations (A.6), dropping out the decoupled equation for r, has two equilibrium points: The trivial (disease-free) equilibrium point $P^0 = (\bar{s} = 1, \bar{\imath} = 0)$ and non-trivial (epidemic) equilibrium point $P^* = (\bar{s} = s^*, \bar{\imath} = i^*)$. The stability analysis of trivial equilibrium is given from the preceding section letting $\sigma \to \infty$, and the the basic reproduction number R_0 is

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma + \phi + \alpha}. \tag{A.7}$$

The coordinates of the non-trivial equilibrium point $P^* = (\bar{s} = s^*, \bar{\imath} = i^*)$ in the SIR model

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

can be calculated by

$$\begin{cases} s^* = \frac{\phi}{(\beta-\alpha)i^*+\phi} \\ P_2(i) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{A.8}$$

where i^* is the positive root but small than one of the second degree polynomial $P_2(i)$ given by

$$P_2(i) = \frac{\alpha}{\phi}\left[(R_0-1)+\frac{\gamma+\phi}{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}\right]i^2 - \left[\frac{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}{\phi}(R_0-1)+\frac{(\gamma+\phi)^2+\gamma\alpha}{\phi(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)}\right]i+(R_0-1), \tag{A.9}$$

which has the value, at $i=1$,

$$P_2(1) = -\left[\frac{\gamma}{\phi}(R_0-1)+\frac{\gamma(\gamma+\phi)+\phi(\gamma+\phi-\alpha)}{\phi(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)}\right].$$

When $R_0>1$, we have $P_2(1)<0$ (the condition $\gamma+\phi>\alpha$ is satisfied because $\gamma>\alpha$), and the two positive roots of $P_2(i)$ are such that $0<i_1^*<1<i_2^*$. Hence the small root i_1^* is biologically feasible. When $R_0=1$, we have $i_1^*=0$ and $i_2^*>1$, hence $i_1^*=0$ is biologically feasible. When $R_0<1$, we have $i_1^*<0$ and $i_2^*>1$, hence $i_1^*=0$ is biologically feasible. Therefore, the small root i_1^*, which is biologically feasible, assumes a negative value for $R_0<1$, zero at $R_0=1$, and a positive value but lower than 1 for $R_0>1$. The small root of $P_2(i)$ is given by

$$i_1^* = \frac{\left[\frac{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}{\phi}(R_0-1)+\frac{(\gamma+\phi)^2+\gamma\alpha}{\phi(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)}\right]-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\frac{\alpha}{\phi}\left[(R_0-1)+\frac{\gamma+\phi}{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}\right]}, \tag{A.10}$$

where Δ is

$$\Delta = \left[\frac{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}{\phi}(R_0-1)+\frac{(\gamma+\phi)^2+\gamma\alpha}{\phi(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)}\right]^2 - 4\frac{\alpha}{\phi}\left[(R_0-1)+\frac{\gamma+\phi}{\gamma+\phi+\alpha}\right](R_0-1).$$

The complexity arises due to the non-constant population under the additional mortality rate. Let us consider $\alpha=0$. In this case, $P_2(i)$ has a unique positive solution

$$i^* = \frac{\phi(R_0-1)}{(\gamma+\phi)R_0}, \tag{A.11}$$

and the fraction of susceptible individuals, from equation (A.8), is

$$s^* = \frac{1}{R_0}. \tag{A.12}$$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In this particular case, we have $R_e = R_0 s$. Hence, for $\alpha > 0$, comparing equations (A.8) and (A.10), we notice that s^* has a complex dependency with R_0, not simply $1/R_0$.

Let us assess the stability of the system of equations (A.6) linearized around the trivial equilibrium point $P^0 = (\bar{s} = 1, \bar{\imath} = 0)$. The Jacobian matrix J evaluated at the trivial equilibrium point P^0 is

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -\phi & -\beta + \alpha \\ 0 & \beta - (\gamma + \phi + \alpha) \end{bmatrix},$$

with the eigenvalues $\rho_1 = -\phi$ and $\rho_2 = (\gamma + \phi + \alpha)(R_0 - 1)$, where the basic reproduction number R_0 is given by (A.7). Hence, P^0 is locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$. Hence, the linearized system around P^0 has a trajectory for i given by

$$i = Ae^{-\phi t} + Be^{(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)(R_0-1)t}, \tag{A.13}$$

where A and B are arbitrary values determined by the initial conditions.

When $R_0 > 1$, an introduction of one infectious individual disestablishes the trivial equilibrium point P^0, and the unique positive eigenvalue gives the leaving trajectory. Hence, the escaping trajectory follows $e^{(\gamma+\phi+\alpha)(R_0-1)t}$ in the vicinity of P^0 towards the non-trivial equilibrium point P^*. Maidana and Yang [22] provided an example of trajectories (travelling waves) linking P^0 towards P^* considering a spatial model for the dengue transmission.

To establish a relationship with the linearized system of equations in terms of the fractions, the system of equations (4), using $R = N - I - S$, is rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}S &= \phi N - \beta \frac{I}{N} S - \mu S \\ \frac{d}{dt}I &= (\gamma + \mu + \alpha)(R_{ef} - 1) I \end{cases} \tag{A.14}$$

where the effective reproduction number R_{ef} is defined by

$$R_{ef} = R_0 \frac{S}{N}, \tag{A.15}$$

with the basic reproduction number R_0 being given by equation (A.7) changing ϕ by μ, that is, $R_0 = \beta / (\gamma + \mu + \alpha)$.

Let us analyze the system of equations (A.14) at two boundaries. Let us assume that the first case of CoViD-19 is introduced at $t = 0$, that is, the initial conditions supplied to equation (A.14) are $S(0) = N - 1$ and $I(0) = 1$. For a large population, we can approximate $S \sim N$,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and the system of equations can be approximated by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}S & \sim & -\beta I \\ \frac{d}{dt}I & \sim & (\gamma+\mu+\alpha)(R_0-1)\,I, \end{cases} \tag{A.16}$$

with $R = N - S - I$, and at the beginning of the epidemic, if we estimate the transmission rate β, we can calculate R_0 using the expression obtained from the steady-state analysis. The system of equations (A.14) does not approach to a steady-state, but attains it when $\alpha = 0$. In this case, asymptotically ($t \to \infty$), we have $dI/dt = 0$ if $R_{ef} = R_0 S/N = 1$, that is, $S \to S^* = s^* N$ and $I \to I^* = i^* N$, where i^* and s^* are given by equations (A.11) and (A.12), respectively. Hence, when $\alpha = 0$, at $t = 0$, $R_{ef} = R_0$, and when $t \to \infty$ (steady-state), $R_{ef} = 1$ (see equation (A.12)), from which we retrieve the well known relationship $s^* = 1/R_0$ [4].

Therefore, based on R_{ef} given by equation (A.15) when $\phi = \mu$ and $\alpha = 0$, the basic reproduction number R_0 obtained from mathematical modelings provides two useful information: At the beginning of the epidemic ($t = 0$), R_0 gives the magnitude of the initial takeoff of the epidemic, and when epidemic reaches the steady-state (after many waves of the epidemic, that is, $t \to \infty$), R_0 measures its severity providing the fraction of susceptible individuals, that is, $s^* = 1/R_0$. Between these two extremes, the effective reproduction number R_{ef} dictates the course of an epidemic, which follows decaying oscillations around $R_{ef} = 1$ [7]. It is worth stressing that R_{ef} given by equation (A.15) is valid only when $\phi = \mu$ and $\alpha = 0$, and when one of these conditions is not valid, R_{ef} given by equation (A.15) can be used as an approximated value.

From equation (A.16), the solution for I is $e^{(\gamma+\mu+\alpha)(R_0-1)t}$, which is equal to equation (A.13) when $A = 0$ and $B = 1$.

B The steady-state analysis of the SEAPMDR model

The system of equations (7), (8) and (9) does not reach steady state, except if $\phi = \mu + (\alpha_y D_y + \alpha_o D_o)/N$, when the total size of the population is constant. However, the system of equations (7), (8) and (9) in term of fractions attains steady-state. Defining the fraction $x_j = X_j/N$, for $j = y, o$, with $X_j = \{S_j, E_j, A_j, P_j, M_j, D_j, R\}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{X_j}{N} = \frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}X_j - \frac{X_j}{N}\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}N = \frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dt}X_j - x_j\left(\phi - \mu - \alpha_y d_y - \alpha_o d_o\right),$$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

using equation (10), and the system of equations (7), (8) and (9) in terms of fractions become, for susceptible individuals,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}s_y &= \phi - (\varphi + \phi)\, s_y - \lambda s_y + s_y\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o) \\ \frac{d}{dt}s_o &= \varphi s_y - \phi s_o - \lambda \psi s_o + s_o\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o)\,, \end{cases} \tag{B.1}$$

for infected individuals,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}e_j &= \lambda\,(\delta_{jy} + \psi\delta_{jo})\, s_j - (\sigma_j + \phi)\, e_j + e_j\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o) \\ \frac{d}{dt}a_j &= l_j \sigma_j e_j - (\gamma_j + \phi)\, a_j + a_j\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o) \\ \frac{d}{dt}p_j &= (1 - l_j)\,\sigma_j e_j - (\gamma_{1j} + \phi)\, p_j + p_j\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o) \\ \frac{d}{dt}m_j &= (1 - \chi_j)\,\gamma_j a_j + k_j \gamma_{1j} p_j - (\gamma_{3j} + \phi)\, m_j + m_j\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o) \\ \frac{d}{dt}d_j &= (1 - k_j)\,\gamma_{1j} p_j - (\gamma_{2j} + \phi + \alpha_j)\, d_j + d_j\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o)\,, \end{cases} \tag{B.2}$$

and for recovered individuals,

$$\frac{d}{dt}r = \chi_y \gamma_y a_y + \gamma_{3y} m_y + \gamma_{2y} d_y + \chi_o \gamma_o a_o + \gamma_{3o} m_o + \gamma_{2o} d_o - \phi r + r\,(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o)\,, \tag{B.3}$$

where λ is the force of infection given by equation (6) re-written as

$$\lambda = \beta_{1y} a_y + \beta_{2y} p_y + \beta_{3y} z_y m_y + \beta_{1o} a_o + \beta_{2o} p_o + \beta_{3o} z_o m_o,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=y,o} (s_j + e_j + a_j + p_j + m_j + d_j) + r = 1.$$

This new system of equations has a steady-state, that is, the number of individuals in all classes varies with time. However, their fractions attain a steady-state (the sum of derivatives of all classes is zero).

The trivial (disease-free) equilibrium point P^0 of the new system of equations (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3) is given by

$$P^0 = \left(s_j^0, e_j^0 = 0, a_j^0 = 0, p_j^0 = 0, m_j^0 = 0, d_j^0 = 0, r^0 = 0\right),$$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

for $j = y$ and o, where

$$\begin{cases} s_y^0 = \dfrac{\phi}{\phi + \varphi} \\ s_o^0 = \dfrac{\varphi}{\phi + \varphi}, \end{cases} \tag{B.4}$$

with $s_y^0 + s_o^0 = 1$.

Let us assess the stability of P^0 by applying the next generation matrix theory considering the vector of variables $x = (e_y, a_y, p_y, m_y, e_o, a_o, p_o, m_o)$ [18]. We apply method proposed in [19] and proved in [20]. To obtain the basic reproduction number, diagonal matrix V is considered. Hence, the vectors f and v are

$$f^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda s_y + e_y\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ l_y \sigma_y e_y + a_y\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ \left(1 - l_y\right)\sigma_y e_y + p_y\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ \left(1 - \chi_y\right)\gamma_y a_y + k_y \gamma_{1y} p_y + m_y\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ \lambda \psi s_o + e_o\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ p_o \sigma_o e_o + a_o\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ \left(1 - p_o\right)\sigma_o e_o + p_o\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \\ \left(1 - \chi_o\right)\gamma_o a_o + k_o \gamma_{1o} p_o + m_o\left(\alpha_y d_y + \alpha_o d_o\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.5}$$

and

$$v^T = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\sigma_y + \phi\right) e_y \\ \left(\gamma_y + \phi\right) a_y \\ \left(\gamma_{1y} + \phi\right) p_y \\ \left(\gamma_{3y} + \phi\right) m_y \\ \left(\sigma_o + \phi\right) e_o \\ \left(\gamma_o + \phi\right) a_o \\ \left(\gamma_{1o} + \phi\right) p_o \\ \left(\gamma_{3o} + \phi\right) m_o \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.6}$$

where the superscript T stands for the transposition of a matrix, from which we obtain the matrices F and V (see [18]) evaluated at the trivial equilibrium P^0, which were omitted. The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210831; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

next generation matrix FV^{-1} is

$$FV^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta_{1y}s_y^0}{\gamma_y+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{2y}s_y^0}{\gamma_{1y}+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{3y}z_ys_y^0}{\gamma_{3y}+\phi} & 0 & \frac{\beta_{1o}s_y^0}{\gamma_o+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{2o}s_y^0}{\gamma_{1o}+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{3o}z_os_y^0}{\gamma_{3o}+\phi} \\ \frac{l_y\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(1-l_y)\sigma_y}{\sigma_y+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(1-\chi_y)\gamma_y}{\gamma_y+\phi} & \frac{k_y\gamma_{1y}}{\gamma_{1y}+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta_{1y}\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_y+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{2y}\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_{1y}+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{3y}z_y\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_{3y}+\phi} & 0 & \frac{\beta_{1o}\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_o+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{2o}\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_{1o}+\phi} & \frac{\beta_{3o}z_o\psi s_o^0}{\gamma_{3o}+\phi} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{p_o\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-p_o)\sigma_o}{\sigma_o+\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\chi_o)\gamma_o}{\gamma_o+\phi} & \frac{k_o\gamma_{1o}}{\gamma_{1o}+\phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the characteristic equation corresponding to FV^{-1} is

$$\varkappa^5\left[\varkappa^3 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega}\left(R_{1y}s_y^0 + R_{1o}s_o^0\right)\varkappa - \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega}\left(R_{2y}s_y^0 + R_{2o}s_o^0\right)\right] = 0, \tag{B.7}$$

with the basic reproduction number R_0 being given by

$$R_0 = \left(R_{1y} + R_{2y}\right)s_y^0 + \left(R_{1o} + R_{2o}\right)s_o^0, \tag{B.8}$$

where the initial fractions s_y^0 and s_o^0 are given by equation (B.4), and the partial basic reproduction numbers R_{1y}, R_{2y}, R_{1o}, and R_{2o} are given by equation (13) in the main text. The spectral radius $\rho\left(FV^{-1}\right)$ is the biggest solution of a third-degree polynomial, not easy to evaluate. The procedure proposed in [19] allows us to obtain the threshold R_0 as the sum of coefficients of the characteristic equation, where R_0 is the basic reproduction number given by equation (12) in the main text. Hence, the trivial equilibrium point P^0 is locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$.