

1 **Physical health complaints among healthcare workers engaged in the care of critically ill COVID-19 patients: A single tertiary-care center prospective**

2 **Study from Japan**

3
4 **Short title:** Physical health complaints among healthcare workers caring for critically ill patients with COVID-19

5
6 Hiroki Namikawa ¹, Yoshihiro Tochino ^{1,2,*}, Akiko Okada ², Keiko Ota ³, Yasuyo Okada ⁴, Kazuya Fujioka ⁴, Koichi Yamada ^{4,5}, Tetsuya Watanabe ⁶, Yu
7 Takagama ⁷, Yasutoshi Kido ⁷, Yasuhiko Takemoto ¹, Yasumitsu Mizobata ⁸, Hiroshi Kakeya ^{4,5}, Yumiko Kuwatsuru ⁹, Toshihiko Shibata ¹⁰, Taichi Shuto ¹

8
9 **Author details**

0 Department of Medical Education and General Practice, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585,
1 Japan

2 Office of Institutional Research, Osaka City University, Faculty of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

3 Center for Clinical Research and Innovation, Osaka City University Hospital, 1-5-7, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

4 Department of Infection Control and Prevention, Osaka City University Hospital, 1-5-7, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

5 ⁵ Department of Infection Control Science, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

6 ⁶ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

7 ⁷ Department of Parasitology, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

8 ⁸ Department of Traumatology and Critical Care Medicine, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka
9 545-8585, Japan

0 ⁹ Department of Nursing, Osaka City University Hospital, 1-5-7, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

1 ¹⁰ Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine, 1-4-3, Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

2
3 *** Correspondence to**

4 Yoshihiro Tochino, MD, PhD

5 Department of Medical Education and General Practice, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine 1-4-3,

6 Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

7 Tel: 81-6-6645-3797, Fax: 81-6-6645-3796

8 E-mail: m1152721@med.osaka-cu.ac.jp

9 Abstract

0 **Background:** Healthcare workers (HCWs) who care for patients with the novel coronavirus infectious disease (COVID-19) are at an increased risk and fear
1 contracting the infection themselves. HCWs are chronically exposed to very intense stress, both and physically and mentally. Hospitals must reduce both the
2 physical and mental burden of HCWs on the front lines and ensure their safety. No prospective study has focused on the physical health complaints among
3 HCWs engaged in the care of critically ill COVID-19 patients. This study aimed to investigate the occupational risk among HCWs of experiencing physical
4 symptoms during the current COVID-19 pandemic.

5 **Methods:** A twice-weekly questionnaire targeting HCWs who care for COVID-19 patients was performed at Osaka City University Hospital from April 30 to
6 May 31, 2020 using a shareable Research Electronic Data Capture tool. The demographic characteristics of the participants, frequency of exposure to at-risk
7 care, and physical complaints were evaluated.

8 **Results:** A total of 35 doctors, 88 nurses, and 35 technicians were engaged in the care of these critically ill COVID-19 patients. 76 HCWs participated in this
9 study, of whom 24 (31.6%) were doctors, 43 (56.6%) were nurses, and 9 (11.8%) were technicians. The frequency of experiencing any physical symptom was
0 25.0% among HCWs. Exposure to at-risk care was significantly higher among nurses than among doctors ($p < 0.001$); likewise, the frequency of experiencing
1 physical symptoms was higher among nurses than among doctors ($p < 0.01$). The multivariate analysis revealed that nurses (odds ratio 8.29; $p = 0.01$) might be
2 independently at risk of experiencing physical symptoms.

3 **Conclusions:** Our results indicate that occupational health care at hospitals must be allocated to HCWs who are highly exposed to at-risk care, particularly
4 nurses engaged in the care of COVID-19 patients.
5

6 **Introduction**

7 The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first identified in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in late December 2019 [1]. It has since spread rapidly
8 throughout the world, causing a global pandemic [2]. Consequently, this infectious disease is currently attracting the most attention in clinical practice.

9 Healthcare workers (HCWs) with COVID-19 patients work very hard every day and are always at a high risk of infection, particularly when caring for critically
0 ill patients with pneumonia caused by COVID-19. Some studies have reported cases of HCWs infected with COVID-19 [3,4,5]. HCWs are chronically exposed
1 to very intense stress, both and physically and mentally. Such an allostatic load may lead to disease over time [6]. Therefore, it is essential that hospitals reduce
2 both the physical and mental burden of HCWs on the front lines and protect their safety.

3 A few clinical studies have reported on the management of mental health among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic [7,8,9]. However, to the best of our
4 knowledge, no prospective studies have focused on the physical health care of medical workers caring for critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. The
5 aim of this study was to investigate the association between occupation and the manifestation of physical symptoms among HCWs at a tertiary hospital in Japan
6 during the current COVID-19 pandemic.

7

8 **Materials and Methods**

9 **Study setting, population, and procedure**

0 This prospective cohort study was performed from April 30 to May 31, 2020 using a shareable Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) tool [10]. During
1 this study period, HCWs were actively involved in the care of critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia who were admitted to Osaka City University.
2 We defined patients who were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) and required mechanical ventilation as critically ill patients [11]. The study participants
3 included doctors, nurses, and technicians (radiological and biomedical equipment technicians). Questionnaires were delivered to the study participants twice a
4 week via REDCap. When the study participant did not perform patient care for 14 days in a row, we stopped delivering the questionnaire to them. Finally, we
5 targeted the people who completed these questionnaires. The present study flowchart diagram is shown in Figure 1. This study was approved by the Ethics
6 Committee of Osaka City University (approval number 2020-024). The purpose of this questionnaire was to manage the health of HCWs, which was different
7 from the research purposes. Therefore, the need for written informed consent was waived owing to the clinical research using opt-out. This has been approved
8 by the Ethics Committee of Osaka City University.

9

0 **Screening questionnaire items**

1 The questionnaire was developed for this study. The questionnaire assessed three main components: demographic characteristics, exposure, and physical
2 complaints of postexposure (Supplementary Fig. S1). Demographic characteristics included age, gender, and occupation (doctor, nurse, or technician).
3 Exposure included consecutive period and frequency (days a week, 1–7). Physical complaints consisted of attention-requiring and observation-requiring
4 symptoms. Attention-requiring symptoms included fever, intense fatigue, dyspnea or shortness of breath, and dysosmia or dysgeusia (yes or no).
5 Observation-requiring symptoms included sore throat, myalgia or arthralgia, headache, cough or sputum production, diarrhea or stomachache, nasal discharge
6 or sneeze or nasal obstruction, and congestion or ophthalmalgia or low vision (yes or no). We also confirmed whether the participants requested an internal
7 medical examination.

9 **Serological and molecular analysis**

0 We performed antibody testing and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to identify severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
1 infection. We subjected HCWs engaged in the care of critically ill COVID-19 patients to antibody testing and those who were strongly suspected of having
2 COVID-19 to PCR testing. We performed antibody testing two to four weeks after the HCWs were engaged. We used the SARS-CoV-2 IgM/IgG Quantum Dot
3 immunoassay (Mokobio Biotechnology, MD, United States of America) for antibody testing according to the manufacturer's instructions. SARS-CoV-2
4 real-time PCR was performed on the BD MAX™ platform.

5

6 **Study outcomes**

7 We evaluated the prevalence of physical symptoms of postexposure reported by the HCWs. Additionally, we investigated the association between occupation
8 and the manifestation of physical symptoms among the HCWs.

9

0 **Statistical analyses**

1 Participant characteristics, exposure, physical complaints, and laboratory data were compared between doctor, nurse, and technician groups. Fisher's exact test
2 and the Kruskal-Wallis test were used for the univariate comparison of categorical data. Additionally, the Bonferroni correction method was used for p value
3 adjustment. To determine the independent predictors of the manifestation of physical symptoms, variables determined to be clinically important based on prior
4 studies were considered for inclusion in the multivariate logistic regressions. All statistical analyses were performed with EZR [12], which is for R software.
5 EZR is a modified version of the R commander that includes the statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics. A p value of <0.05 was considered
6 statistically significant.

7

8 **Results**

9 Eight (a cumulative total of nine: one patient was readmitted to the hospital after being discharged) critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia were
0 admitted to Osaka City University. All patients were male, with an average age of 63 years (38–81 years) and an average length of stay of 20 days (6–53 days).
1 Two patients died while in the hospital. A total of 35 doctors, 88 nurses, and 35 technicians were engaged in the care of these critically ill COVID-19 patients.
2 During our study period, 91 HCWs were enrolled in REDCap. Seventy-six HCWs were eligible for this study. Fifteen HCWs did not respond to the
3 questionnaire. The baseline characteristics of the participants who were eligible for this study are summarized in Table 1. The 76 HCWs included 34 men and
4 43 women with a mean age of 36.2 years. Of the 76 HCWs, 24 (31.6%) were doctors, 43 (56.6%) were nurses, and 9 (11.8%) were technicians (radiological: 7,
5 biomedical equipment: 2). Sixty-two (81.6%) HCWs were engaged for longer than two weeks. The participants reported a mean of 3.6 maximum exposure days
6 per week. Nineteen HCWs (25.0%) had physical complaints, one (1.3%) had fever, four (5.3%) had intense fatigue, ten (13.2%) had headaches, and seven
7 (9.2%) had nasal symptoms. A comparison of the baseline characteristics and laboratory findings between occupations is summarized in Table 2. There were
8 significant differences in age ($p < 0.01$), exposure period ($p < 0.001$), physical complaints ($p < 0.01$), and headache ($p < 0.01$) among the three groups.
9 Additionally, the nurses were noticeably younger than the doctors ($p = 0.03$), the nurses had a longer exposure period than the doctors ($p < 0.001$), and the
0 frequency of physical symptoms was obviously higher in the nurses than in the doctors ($p < 0.01$). Conversely, no HCWs were suspected of being infected
1 according to the laboratory findings. The multivariate analysis (explanatory variables: age, nurse, and exposure frequency) revealed that being a nurse (odds
2 ratio 8.29; $p = 0.01$) might be an independent predictor of the manifestation of physical symptoms (Table 3). None of the HCWs developed COVID-19.
3

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants

Variables	
Age (years) ^a	36.2 ± 9.5
Sex (male/female)	34/42
Occupation	
Doctor	24 (31.6%)
Nurse	43 (56.6%)
Technician	9 (11.8%)
Exposure	
Consecutive period	
≤ 2 weeks	14 (18.4%)
> 2 weeks	62 (81.6%)
Frequency (days a week)	
1	6 (7.9%)
2	15 (19.7%)
3	19 (25.0%)
4	15 (19.7%)
5	13 (17.1%)
6	2 (2.6%)
7	6 (7.9%)
Physical complaint	19 (25.0%)
Attention-requiring	5 (6.6%)
Fever	1 (1.3%)
Intense fatigue	4 (5.3%)
Dyspnea or shortness of breath	0 (0%)
Dysosmia or dysgeusia	0 (0%)
Observation-requiring	18 (23.7%)
Sore throat	4 (5.3%)

3	Myalgia or arthralgia	4 (5.3%)
4	Headache	10 (13.2%)
5	Cough or sputum production	5 (6.6%)
6	Diarrhea or stomachache	6 (7.9%)
7	Nasal discharge or sneeze or nasal obstruction	7 (9.2%)
8	Congestion or ophthalmalgia or low vision	2 (2.6%)
9	<u>Applicant for internal medical examination</u>	<u>0 (0%)</u>

^a Data are presented as means ± standard deviation

Table 2. Comparison of baseline characteristics and laboratory findings between occupations

Variables	Doctor (n = 24)	Nurse (n = 43)	Technician (n = 9)	p value ^a
Age (years) ^b	38.9 ± 9.3	33.3 ± 8.2	42.6 ± 10.3	<0.01 ^c
Male	22 (91.7%)	3 (7.0%)	9 (100%)	<0.001 ^d
Exposure				
Consecutive period				
> 2 weeks	10 (41.7%)	43 (100.0%)	9 (100%)	<0.001 ^e
Frequency (days a week) ^b	3.6 ± 2.0	3.8 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 1.2	0.07
Physical complaint				<0.01 ^f
Attention-requiring	1 (4.2%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0%)	0.82
Fever	0 (0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0%)	1.00
Intense fatigue	1 (4.2%)	3 (7.0%)	0 (0%)	1.00
Dyspnea or shortness of breath	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
Dysosmia or Dysgeusia	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
Observation-requiring	1 (4.2%)	16 (37.2%)	1 (11.1%)	<0.01 ^g
Sore throat	0 (0%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0%)	0.42
Myalgia or arthralgia	1 (4.2%)	3 (7.0%)	0 (0%)	1.00
Headache	0 (0%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0%)	<0.01 ^h
Cough or sputum production	0 (0%)	5 (11.6%)	0 (0%)	0.23

2	Diarrhea or stomachache	1 (4.2%)	5 (11.6%)	0 (0%)	0.47
3	Nasal discharge or sneeze or nasal obstruction	0 (0%)	6 (14.0%)	1 (11.1%)	0.13
4	Congestion or ophthalmalgia or low vision	0 (0%)	2 (2.6%)	0 (0%)	0.64
5	Applicant for internal medical examination	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
6	SARS-CoV-2 IgM positive ⁱ	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
7	SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive ⁱ	1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
8	SARS-CoV-2 real-time PCR positive ^j	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA	NA

9 ^a Continuous variable: Kruskal-Wallis test with the Bonferroni correction method

0 Categorical variable: Fisher's exact test with the Bonferroni correction method

1 ^b Data are presented as means ± standard deviation

2 ^c Doctor versus Nurse, p = 0.03, Doctor versus Technician, p = 0.97, Nurse versus Technician, p = 0.08

3 ^d Doctor versus Nurse, p < 0.001, Doctor versus Technician, p = 1.0, Nurse versus Technician, p < 0.001

4 ^e Doctor versus Nurse, p < 0.001, Doctor versus Technician, p = 0.01, Nurse versus Technician, p = 1.0

5 ^f Doctor versus Nurse, p < 0.01, Doctor versus Technician, p = 1.0, Nurse versus Technician, p = 0.42

6 ^g Doctor versus Nurse, p < 0.01, Doctor versus Technician, p = 1.0, Nurse versus Technician, p = 0.72

7 ^h Doctor versus Nurse, p = 0.03, Doctor versus Technician, p = 1.0, Nurse versus Technician, p = 0.53

8 ⁱ 72 healthcare workers were tested (doctors: 20, nurses: 43, technicians: 9).

9 ^j Two healthcare workers were tested (doctors: 1, nurses: 1).

0 IgG: immunoglobulin G, IgM: immunoglobulin M, NA: not available, PCR: polymerase chain reaction, SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

2

3 Table 3. Multivariate analysis of predictors associated with the manifestation of physical symptoms

4

5 Predictor	OR (95% CI)	p value
6 Age	0.97 (0.90–1.04)	0.40
7 Nurse	8.29 (1.67–41.2)	0.01
8 Exposure frequency	1.23 (0.83–1.82)	0.31

9 CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio

0 Discussion

1 Our single-center, prospective cohort study of 76 HCWs caring for critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia revealed that 25.0% of such HCWs
2 developed physical symptoms. Second, our findings suggested that being a nurse may be an independent predictor of the manifestation of physical symptoms
3 from working with COVID-19 patients.

4 The most common symptoms of non-severe COVID-19 are fever (43.0%–96.0%), cough (67.3%–71.0%), and fatigue (37.8%–39.0%); less common
5 symptoms include headache (12%–13.4%), nasal congestion (5.1%), and diarrhea (3.5%–4.0%) [13,14]. Previous studies have indicated that SARS-CoV-2
6 might primarily target the lower respiratory tract [14,15]. Conversely, in our study, the most common symptoms in HCWs were headache (13.2%), nasal
7 symptoms (9.2%), and gastrointestinal symptoms (7.9%); less common symptoms included fever (1.3%), intense fatigue (5.3%), and cough or sputum
8 production (6.6%). We, as HCWs, must work under extreme pressure during the pandemic. Infectious disease outbreaks are known to have a strong
9 psychological impact on HCWs. A recent study showed that HCWs facing excessive workloads and life-threatening conditions experienced psychological
0 pressure and even worse mental illness during the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak [16]. Furthermore, psychological stress from the occurrence of infections can lead to
1 both mental manifestations and various physical symptoms [17]. Recent studies have reported that there was a significant association between psychological
2 outcomes and physical symptoms in HCWs during the current COVID-19 pandemic [17,18,19]. Chew et al. reported that common physical symptoms
3 associated with the psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak among HCWs included headache (31.9%), throat pain (30.0%),

4 joint/muscle pain (20.6%), cough (16.9%), coryza (14.0%), and sputum (11.3%) [17]. In fact, Ong et al. reported that the longer the duration of personal
5 protective equipment (PPE) exposure among frontline HCWs during COVID-19, the more frequent they experienced headaches, which were known as
6 PPE-associated headaches [20]. In light of the above findings, we believe that the various physical symptoms in our study were not caused by COVID-19 but
7 rather by psychological stress and other factors including PPE exposure. One HCW tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG in this study. Previous studies have
8 reported that the incubation period for COVID-19 was less than 14 days, with most cases occurring within approximately five days of exposure [1,13]. Both
9 IgM and IgG antibody levels against SARS-CoV-2 begin to increase from the second week after symptom onset [21]. Further, Xiao et al. reported that IgM
0 levels almost disappeared by week seven, whereas IgG levels were persistently detectable beyond seven weeks [22]. In our study, this HCW did not complain
1 of any physical symptoms while working with COVID-19 patients. Furthermore, this HCW underwent the SARS-CoV-2 IgM/IgG antibody test two weeks after
2 beginning to work with COVID-19 patients and tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 IgM. Additionally, this HCW's PCR testing result was negative. Based on the
3 above findings, we considered the HCW to have had a prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 or a pseudo-positive test result, and consequently it was not considered
4 a nosocomial infection.

5 In our study, the nurses tended to be younger and were more frequently exposed to COVID-19 patients than the other professions. Additionally, most of the
6 nurses were women (93.0%). Liang et al. reported that younger (age ≤ 30) medical staff had higher self-rated depression scores than older medical staff during
7 the COVID-19 outbreak [23]. Lai et al. showed that women had a higher risk of experiencing symptoms of distress among HCWs exposed to COVID-19 [24].

8 Further, the same study reported that nurses experienced more depression, anxiety, insomnia, and distress compared with doctors. Cai et al. also showed that
9 nursing staff felt more nervous and anxious compared with other frontline medical staff during the COVID-19 outbreak [25]. A previous study reported that
0 HCWs, particularly those working in emergency rooms, ICUs, and infectious isolation wards, are at a higher risk of developing adverse psychological
1 outcomes [26]. HCWs are expected to be exposed to a significant degree of stress due to the COVID-19 pandemic and face various psychological symptoms.
2 One important reason for the psychological distress experienced by HCWs exposed to patients with COVID-19 is the long-term workload [27]. Nurses, in
3 particular, are likely to have many opportunities of intense contact with COVID-19 patients compared with other professions due to procedures involving
4 extensive physical contact such as repositioning and suctioning. Therefore, we believe nurses are more likely to have physical symptoms related to the
5 psychological stress. These findings indicate that being a nurse is an independent predictor of the manifestation of physical symptoms.

6 The present study has several limitations. First, the study population was relatively small, and the study was conducted in only one tertiary hospital. Therefore,
7 there was selection bias. Future studies including more participants working with COVID-19 patients in both community and tertiary hospital settings are
8 required to address this limitation. Second, physical assessment was based on a self-reported online survey using a REDCap tool. Physical examination is
9 recommended in future studies to conduct a more detailed assessment of the physical problems. Third, we examined 11 physical complaints and performed
0 serological and molecular biological analyses, but we did not examine psychological problems in HCWs caring for COVID-19 patients. Therefore, we are
1 unable to conclude whether the manifestation of these physical symptoms resulted from psychological distress. Psychological problems should be analyzed to

2 assess the association between these physical symptoms and psychological outcomes.

3 In summary, the present study demonstrated that the frequency of physical symptoms in HCWs caring for critically ill patients with COVID-19 pneumonia
4 was 25.0%. Being a nurse may be an independent predictor of the manifestation of physical symptoms from working with COVID-19 patients. Therefore, we
5 must manage the health care of both the physical and mental symptoms of HCWs, particularly nurses, who work with COVID-19 patients. We believe that this
6 study is the first step toward establishing a physical health management strategy for HCWs with COVID-19 patients.

7 **Authors' contributions**

8 NH, TYO, and STO designed this study. OA and OK set up the survey system. FK, NY, and KYA conducted the serological and molecular analysis. OY, YK,
9 WT, MY, KH, KYU, and STO conducted the infection management in our hospital. NH, TYO, YK, TYA, KH, STO, and STA conducted the clinical
0 interpretation. NH and TYO drafted the manuscript and critically revised it. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript and approved its
1 submission.
2
3

4 **Acknowledgments**

5 We thank Hisako Yoshida (Department of Medical Statistics, Osaka City University, Graduate School of Medicine) for her technical support and advice in

6 medical statistics.

7
8 **References**

- 9 1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J*
0 *Med.* 2020; 382:1199-1207.
- 1 2. World Health Organization. WHO characterizes COVID-19 as a pandemic. Accessed 11 March 2020. Available from:
2 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen>.
- 3 3. Luo Y, Trevathan E, Qian Z, Li Y, Li J, Xiao W, et al. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Household Contacts of a Healthcare Provider, Wuhan, China.
4 *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020; 26: 1930-1933.
- 5 4. Rimmer A. Covid-19: Two thirds of healthcare workers who have died were from ethnic minorities. *BMJ.* 2020; 369: m1621.
- 6 5. Wang J, Zhou M, Liu F. Reasons for healthcare workers becoming infected with novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China. *J Hosp Infect.* 2020;
7 105: 100-101.
- 8 6. Fava GA, McEwen BS, Guidi J, Gostoli S, Offidani E, Sonino N. Clinical characterization of allostatic overload. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2019; 108:
9 94-101.

- 0 7. Greenberg N, Docherty M, Gnanapragasam S, Wessely S. Managing mental health challenges faced by healthcare workers during covid-19 pandemic. *BMJ*.
1 2020; 368: m1211.
- 2 8. Xiang YT, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *Lancet*
3 *Psychiatry*. 2020; 7: 228-229.
- 4 9. Zhang WR, Wang K, Yin L, Zhao WF, Xue Q, Peng M, et al. Mental Health and Psychosocial Problems of Medical Health Workers during the COVID-19
5 Epidemic in China. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2020; 89: 242-250.
- 6 10. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and
7 workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009; 42: 377-381.
- 8 11. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a
9 single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020; 8: 475-481.
- 0 12. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZ' for medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013; 48: 452-458.
- 1 13. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382:
2 1708-1720.
- 3 14. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020; 395:

- 4 497-506.
- 5 15. Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, MacAry PA, Ng LFP. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2020; 20:
6 363-374.
- 7 16. Tang C, Liu C, Fang P, Xiang Y, Min R. Work-related accumulated fatigue among doctors in tertiary hospitals: A cross-sectional survey in six provinces of
8 China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2019; 16: 3049.
- 9 17. Chew NWS, Lee GKH, Tan BYQ, Jing M, Goh Y, Ngiam NJH, et al. A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated
0 physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020; 88: 559-565.
- 1 18. Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, Yang J, Wang Y, Li R, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in
2 Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020; 87: 11-17.
- 3 19. Li Z, Ge J, Yang M, Feng J, Qiao M, Jiang R, et al. Vicarious traumatization in the general public, members, and non-members of medical teams aiding in
4 COVID-19 control. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2020; 88: 916-919.
- 5 20. Ong JJY, Bharatendu C, Goh Y, Tang JZY, Sooi KWX, Tan YL, et al. Headaches associated with personal protective equipment - A cross-sectional study
6 among frontline healthcare workers during COVID-19. *Headache.* 2020; 60: 864-877.
- 7 21. Lou B, Li TD, Zheng SF, Su YY, Li ZY, Liu W, et al. Serology characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection since exposure and post symptom onset. *Eur Respir*

- 8 J. 2020: 2000763.
- 9 22. Xiao AT, Gao C, Zhang S. Profile of specific antibodies to SARS-CoV-2: The first report. *J Infect.* 2020; 81: 147-178.
- 0 23. Liang Y, Chen M, Zheng X, Liu J. Screening for Chinese medical staff mental health by SDS and SAS during the outbreak of COVID-19. *J Psychosom Res.*
1 2020; 133: 110102.
- 2 24. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease
3 2019. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020; 3: e203976.
- 4 25. Cai H, Tu B, Ma J, Chen L, Fu L, Jiang Y, et al. Psychological Impact and Coping Strategies of Frontline Medical Staff in Hunan Between January and
5 March 2020 During the Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei, China. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020; 26: e924171.
- 6 26. Naushad VA, Bierens JJ, Nishan KP, Firjeeth CP, Mohammad OH, Maliyakkal AM, et al. A systematic review of the impact of disaster on the mental health
7 of medical responders. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2019; 34: 632-643.
- 8 27. Zhang WR, Wang K, Yin L, Zhao WF, Xue Q, Peng M, et al. Mental health and psychosocial problems of medical health workers during the COVID-19
9 epidemic in China. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2020; 89: 242-250.
- 0

1 **Figure legend**

2 **Figure 1: The present study flowchart diagram**

3 91 HCWs were enrolled in REDCap. Seventy-six HCWs were eligible for this study. Fifteen HCWs did not respond to the questionnaire. Of the 76 HCWs, 24
4 were doctors, 43 were nurses, and 9 were technicians.


5

6 **Additional files**

7 **Supplementary Figure 1: Questionnaire details**

8 The questionnaire assessed three main components: demographic characteristics, exposure, and physical complaints of postexposure. We also confirmed
9 whether the participants requested an internal medical examination.

Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 1

Variables

Personal data

- Name
- Employee number
- Occupation: Doctor, Nurse, Technician, or Others
- Affiliation
- Extension number
- Email address
- Age
- Gender

Exposure

- Consecutive period
- Frequency (days a week): 1-7

Physical complaint (yes or no)

- Fever
- Sore throat
- Intense fatigue
- Myalgia or arthralgia
- Headache
- Cough or sputum production
- Dyspnea or shortness of breath
- Diarrhea or stomachache
- Nasal discharge or sneeze or nasal obstruction
- Dysosmia or dysgeusia
- Congestion or ophthalmalgia or low vision

Applicant for internal medical examination (yes or no)
