

1 Characterization of presumptive vancomycin-resistant enterococci recovered during
2 infection control surveillance in Dallas, Texas

3
4 Sara Ping^a, Nancy Mayorga-Reyes^a, Valerie J. Price^a, Michelle Onuoha^a, Pooja
5 Bhardwaj^a, Marinelle Rodrigues^a, Jordan Owen^a, Dennise Palacios Araya^a, Ronda L.
6 Akins^b, and Kelli L. Palmer^{a#}

7
8 Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson,
9 Texas, USA^a

10 Methodist Charlton Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA^b

11

12 Running head: Analysis of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from Dallas, Texas

13

14

15 #Address correspondence to kelli.palmer@utdallas.edu (KLP)

16 **Abstract**

17

18 *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* are Gram-positive bacteria that normally inhabit the human
19 gastrointestinal tract. They are also opportunistic pathogens and can cause nosocomial infection
20 outbreaks. To prevent the spread of nosocomial infections, hospitals may rely on screening
21 methods to identify patients colonized with multidrug-resistant organisms including vancomycin-
22 resistant enterococci (VRE). Spectra VRE agar (Remel) contains vancomycin and other medium
23 components that select for VRE and phenotypically differentiate between *faecalis* and *faecium*
24 species by colony color. We obtained 66 de-identified rectal swab cultures on Spectra VRE agar
25 that were obtained during routine patient admission surveillance at a Dallas, Texas hospital. We
26 analyzed 90 presumptive VRE from 61 of the Spectra VRE agar cultures using molecular and
27 culture methods. Using *ddl* typing, 55 were found to be *E. faecium* and 32 were found to be *E.*
28 *faecalis*. While most of the *E. faecium* were positive for the *vanA* gene by PCR (52 of 55 strains),
29 few of the *E. faecalis* were positive for either *vanA* or *vanB* (5 of 32 strains). The 27 *E. faecalis*
30 *vanA*- and *vanB*-negative strains could not be recultured on Spectra VRE agar. Overall, we found
31 that Spectra VRE agar performed robustly for the identification of vancomycin-resistant *E.*
32 *faecium*, but presumptive false positives were obtained for vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis*.

33

34

35 **Introduction**

36

37 Vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE), typically *Enterococcus faecium* and less commonly *E.*
38 *faecalis*, are hospital-associated pathogens of significant public health concern. VRE are among
39 the antibiotic-resistant pathogens identified by the United States Centers for Disease Control and
40 Prevention as being serious threats to public health (1).

41

42 It is important to distinguish VRE from vancomycin-susceptible enterococci (VSE). VSE are
43 normal colonizers of the human gastrointestinal tract (2). Like VRE, VSE can opportunistically
44 cause infections, but more treatment options are available for infections with VSE. There are a
45 number of surveillance methods that can be employed to identify patients colonized with VRE and
46 to guide infection control practices in clinical settings. These methods typically involve culture-
47 based screening of rectal swabs or fecal material on media containing vancomycin. One such
48 medium is Spectra VRE agar (Remel). Spectra VRE agar contains 6 µg/mL vancomycin and
49 proprietary chromogens that allow distinction between *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* based on colony
50 color.

51

52 In this study, we analyzed presumptive VRE obtained during routine patient admission screening
53 for multidrug-resistant organisms (MDRO) at a Dallas, Texas, hospital. Our goals were to validate
54 the isolates obtained from Spectra VRE agar cultures and to develop a strain collection to be used
55 in future studies of enterococcal biology.

56

57 **Materials and Methods**

58

59 **Culture methods and molecular biology procedures.** Unless otherwise stated, enterococci
60 were cultured at 37°C in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth or on BHI agar. Spectra VRE agar plates

61 for independent testing of presumptive VRE isolates were purchased from Fisher Scientific.
62 Genomic DNA was isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen). Taq polymerase
63 (New England Biolabs) was used for PCR. PCR products used for sequencing analysis were
64 purified using the GeneJET PCR Purification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing of PCR
65 products was performed at the Massachusetts General Hospital CCBI Core facility.

66
67 **Collection of presumptive VRE.** Presumptive VRE were collected at the Methodist Dallas Health
68 System during routine MDRO surveillance. Surveillance culture screening was performed on
69 patients admitted with at least one of these risk factors: hospitalization for ≥ 2 consecutive nights
70 in the preceding 30 days, transferred from another medical facility, residence in a nursing home
71 or extended/long term care facility, or the presence of decubitus ulcer or a draining wound. We
72 obtained rectal swab cultures that were performed as a part of these routine admission
73 surveillance procedures (UT-Dallas protocol number MR 14-448 and Methodist Health System
74 protocol number P15MHS.0001A). The rectal swabs were plated on Spectra VRE agar. Instead
75 of disposal after clinical surveillance was complete, Spectra VRE plates with visible growth were
76 coded numerically, deidentified, and transferred to the University of Texas at Dallas for further
77 analysis of presumptive VRE. One plate corresponded to one unique patient. Spectra VRE plates
78 were collected from August 2015 through October 2015. At least one colony from each Spectra
79 VRE plate was sub-cultured into BHI broth, incubated overnight, and stored at -80°C with 25%
80 glycerol. If multiple colony phenotypes were observed from one Spectra VRE plate (for example,
81 multiple different colony colors or morphologies), representative colonies of each phenotype were
82 picked.

83
84 **Species determination and van typing.** Primers used in this study are shown in **Table S1**. *ddl*
85 primer sets used to identify *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, and the type of vancomycin resistance
86 (*vanA* or *vanB*), were previously reported (3, 4). In the event that an isolate was neither *E. faecium*

87 or *E. faecalis* by *ddl* PCR, the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using universal primers 8S and
88 1492R (5) and sequenced. At least 1300 non-ambiguous bases were used as query against the
89 NCBI nr/nt database by megaBLAST. Hits with 100% query coverage and the highest percent
90 sequence identity are reported. Boiled colonies were used as a template for PCR where possible;
91 for some isolates, purified genomic DNA was used as a template.

92
93 **Vancomycin MIC.** Vancomycin susceptibility was assessed for a subset of isolates using broth
94 microdilution. Twofold serial dilutions of drug were made with BHI broth in a 96-well microtiter
95 plate. An overnight culture was diluted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.001, and 5 µL were used to inoculate
96 the wells of the plate. The MIC was recorded after 24 hours incubation at 37°C. Broth microdilution
97 experiments were performed twice independently for each strain.

98
99 **Spectra VRE growth test.** Select stocked isolates of interest were inoculated onto Spectra VRE
100 agar to confirm whether growth occurred. Overnight cultures in BHI broth were diluted to an
101 OD_{600nm} of 0.005 in 1-3 mL fresh BHI broth, then incubated at 37°C for 3.5 hours. 100 µL culture
102 was spread plated onto Spectra VRE agar; for some isolates, 50 µL culture was spread over one
103 half of the plate. A subset of strains were also inoculated on BHI agar supplemented with 6 µg/mL
104 vancomycin. Plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. *E. faecium* 1,231,410, a VanA-type VRE
105 (6) and *E. faecalis* V583, a VanB-Type VRE (7, 8), were included as positive controls, yielding
106 purple and light blue lawns, respectively.

107
108 **MLST and CRISPR-Cas analysis of select *E. faecalis* strains.** The MLST database
109 (<https://pubmlst.org/efaecalis/>) and sequencing of internal fragments of seven house-keeping
110 genes were used as previously described (9) to determine the sequence type (ST) of 11 *E.*
111 *faecalis* isolates. Novel STs were submitted to the database. PCR was used to screen 23 *E.*

112 *faecalis* isolates for the presence of three previously identified *E. faecalis* CRISPR loci (CRISPR1-
113 Cas, CRISPR2, and CRISPR3-Cas) (10, 11). Specifically, previously reported primer sets were
114 used to screen for the presence of CRISPR1 *cas9* and CRISPR3 *cas9* (10). Strains with negative
115 *cas9* results were then confirmed to lack the entire CRISPR-Cas loci by using previously reported
116 primer sets that anneal outside the conserved chromosomal locations where the loci occur (10).
117 CRISPR2 arrays were amplified and sequenced as previously described (10, 12). CRISPR2
118 spacer sequences were compared with a previously reported CRISPR2 spacer dictionary
119 generated from 228 *E. faecalis* genomes (12).

120

121 **Results**

122

123 **Initial analysis of Spectra VRE cultures.** We obtained 66 Spectra VRE plates with visible
124 bacterial growth, corresponding to 66 presumptive VRE-positive patients. Subsequently, 100
125 colonies of interest were identified and stocked for further analysis (Table S2). Based on colony
126 color, 33 were presumptive *E. faecalis* VRE (light blue color), 64 were presumptive *E. faecium*
127 VRE (navy blue or purple), and 3 colonies had atypical color (white) (Table S2).

128

129 **Confirmation of species prediction.** Of the 100 stocked strains, 10 could not be revived from
130 freezer stock (Table S2). Of these, 1 was a presumptive *E. faecalis* by colony color, and 9 were
131 presumptive *E. faecium* by colony color. The inability to revive these isolates reduced our isolate
132 number to 90 and our patient cohort size from 66 to 61.

133

134 Primer sets designed to amplify the *ddl* genes of *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* (3, 4) were used to
135 determine the species of the 90 presumptive VRE isolates. For strains where *ddl* PCR yielded
136 negative results for both *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* primer sets, the 16S rRNA gene was amplified
137 and sequenced.

138

139 Of the 32 presumptive *E. faecalis* VRE that were recovered from freezer stock, 25 were confirmed
140 to be *E. faecalis* by *ddl* PCR, and 7 were not confirmed by *E. faecalis ddl* PCR. Of these 7 strains,
141 6 were found to be *E. faecium* by *ddl* PCR, and 1 was found to be a presumptive *E. raffinosus* or
142 *E. gilvus* by 16S rRNA gene analysis (99.78% sequence identity). In total, 78% of the colonies
143 predicted to be *E. faecalis* based on Spectra VRE colony color were confirmed to be *E. faecalis*
144 using *ddl* typing (Table S2).

145

146 Of the 55 presumptive *E. faecium* VRE that were recovered from freezer stock, 47 were confirmed
147 to be *E. faecium* by *ddl* PCR, 1 was a mixed culture of *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis*, and 7 were not
148 confirmed by *E. faecium ddl* PCR. Of these, all 7 were found to be *E. faecalis* by *ddl* PCR. In total,
149 85% of the colonies predicted to be *E. faecium* based on Spectra VRE colony color were
150 confirmed to be *E. faecium* using *ddl* typing (Table S2).

151

152 Of the 3 atypical white colonies, two were found to be *E. faecium* by *ddl* PCR, and one was found
153 to be *Staphylococcus epidermidis* by 16S rRNA gene analysis (99.85% sequence identity) (Table
154 S2).

155

156 In summary, of the 90 presumptive VRE isolates analyzed using *ddl* typing, 55 were found to be
157 *E. faecium*, 32 were found to be *E. faecalis*, 2 were found to be neither, and one was a mixed
158 culture.

159

160 **Determination of vancomycin resistance type and re-testing for growth on Spectra VRE.**

161 Vancomycin resistance in enterococci is conferred by the synthesis of peptidoglycan precursors
162 for which vancomycin has reduced binding affinity (13). Vancomycin resistance loci can be
163 classified by the gene sequences for the D-alanine-D-lactate ligases, VanA and VanB, which are

164 the most prevalent vancomycin resistance types for hospital-associated VRE (14). We screened
165 our isolates for the presence of *vanA* and *vanB* using previously reported primer sets (3, 4).

166
167 Of the 55 *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecium*, 52 were positive for *vanA* and negative for *vanB*, and 3 were
168 negative for both *vanA* and *vanB* (Table S3). Broth microdilution with vancomycin was performed
169 for 14 of the 52 *vanA*-positive isolates to confirm their vancomycin resistance, and their
170 vancomycin MICs were all ≥ 256 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, as expected. The 3 isolates that were negative for both
171 *vanA* and *vanB* were assessed for growth on Spectra VRE agar, and they did not grow, indicating
172 that the initial clinical cultures were false positives. Broth microdilution with vancomycin was
173 performed for these three isolates. Two of the isolates had vancomycin MICs of 2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. One
174 isolate (163-2) had a vancomycin MIC of 512 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, despite being negative by PCR for both
175 *vanA* and *vanB* and failing to be re-cultured on Spectra VRE. Further investigation is required to
176 determine the genetic basis for the phenotypes observed for this strain.

177
178 Of the 32 *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecalis*, 4 were positive for *vanA* and negative for *vanB*, 1 was positive
179 for *vanB* and negative for *vanA*, and the other 27 were negative for both *vanA* and *vanB* (Table
180 S4). All *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecalis* were assessed for growth on Spectra VRE agar. Only the 5
181 *vanA*- or *vanB*-positive strains grew robustly on Spectra VRE. For the other 27 strains, no growth
182 was observed, except that a single light blue colony was observed for each of isolates 107-2 and
183 110. Nine of these 27 *vanA*- and *vanB*-negative strains were also assessed for growth on BHI
184 agar supplemented with 6 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ vancomycin, and they failed to grow. Overall, these results
185 suggest that the initial clinical cultures for 27 of the 32 *E. faecalis* strains were false positives on
186 Spectra VRE. To follow up on these results, broth microdilution with vancomycin was performed
187 for 5 of these isolates, and their vancomycin MICs were 2-4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Additional investigation is

188 required to determine the mechanism for incongruence between initial clinical culture on Spectra
189 VRE and subsequent molecular typing and Spectra VRE re-culture results.

190
191 Finally, the presumptive *E. raffinosus*/*E. gilvus* isolate was found to be *vanA*-positive and *vanB*-
192 negative (Table S5). This isolate was assessed for growth on Spectra VRE, and it grew, forming
193 light purple colonies. The broth microdilution vancomycin MIC for this strain is 128 µg/mL.

194
195 **MLST and CRISPR2 analysis of select *E. faecalis* isolates.** We used MLST (9) and a
196 previously published CRISPR-based typing method for *E. faecalis* (12) to assess phylogenetic
197 relationships among a subset of the *E. faecalis* isolates. MLST uses sequence variation in 7
198 housekeeping loci to characterize phylogenetic relationships between strains. CRISPRs are
199 hypervariable loci consisting of short (36 base pair) repeats interspersed by short (30 base pair)
200 spacer sequences in *E. faecalis* (10, 11). Comparative analysis of CRISPR spacer sequences is
201 an alternative method to MLST to analyze relationships between strains (15).

202
203 The sequence type (ST) of 11 *E. faecalis* isolates was determined, including 2 *vanA*-positive
204 isolates and the single *vanB*-positive isolate. Eight STs were identified (Table 1). Five of the
205 eleven isolates were novel STs (ST777, ST778, ST779), including the two *vanA* isolates (both
206 ST779) and the *vanB* isolate (ST778). ST16 (2 isolates) and ST179 (one isolate) are single-locus
207 variants of each other. Otherwise, all strains varied at ≥ 3 of the 7 loci relative to each other.

208
209 The CRISPR2 arrays of 23 *E. faecalis* isolates were amplified, sequenced, and analyzed (Table
210 1). We compared the CRISPR2 spacer sequences obtained here with our previous analysis of
211 CRISPR2 loci in 228 *E. faecalis* genomes, wherein spacers of unique sequence were assigned
212 unique numerical identifiers (12). We identified 12 CRISPR2 types among the 23 isolates. Only 2
213 of the 23 isolates analyzed here possessed novel spacer sequences relative to our previous study

214 (denoted as letters in Table 1). Eight of the 23 isolates, corresponding to 8 patients, had identical
215 CRISPR2 loci of spacers 85-83-86-82.

216
217 We also determined the occurrence of the previously identified CRISPR-Cas loci, CRISPR1-Cas
218 and CRISPR3-Cas (10, 11), among the 23 *E. faecalis* analyzed for CRISPR2 typing (Table 1).
219 Both of these systems can protect *E. faecalis* from the acquisition of mobile genetic elements that
220 confer antibiotic resistance (16, 17). No isolates possessed CRISPR3-Cas. Two of the 23 isolates
221 possessed CRISPR1-Cas (Table 1).

222
223 Two *E. faecalis* isolates from patient number 142 were analyzed by both MLST and CRISPR2
224 typing (Table 1). The isolates were of different STs and different CRISPR2 types, demonstrating
225 co-colonization of the patient 142 gastrointestinal tract by genetically distinct *E. faecalis*.

226
227 **Conclusions**

228
229 We report the collection and initial analysis of presumptive VRE obtained from hospitalized
230 patients in Dallas, Texas. Our short-term goal (this study) was to validate the isolates obtained
231 from Spectra VRE agar cultures. Our long-term goal is to use genome sequencing approaches to
232 study the phylogeny and antibiotic resistance of these isolates.

233
234 We determined that most (52 of 55; 94.5%) of *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecium* from Spectra VRE rectal
235 swab cultures were *vanA*-positive, while most (27 of 32; 84%) of *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecalis* were
236 negative for both *vanA* and *vanB*. All of the *vanA*- and *vanB*-negative strains failed to be recultured
237 on Spectra VRE, with the exceptions of a single colony observed for each of two *E. faecalis*
238 strains. Conversely, control VRE strains and 6 *vanA*- and *vanB*-positive rectal swab strains were
239 robustly cultured on Spectra VRE, as expected. There were key differences between use of the

240 Spectra VRE agar in the clinical microbiology versus research labs. First, different batches of the
241 agar were used. Second, the inoculation methods differed. In the clinical microbiology lab, rectal
242 swabs (mixed cultures) were used to inoculate the agar, while in the research lab, high density
243 pure cultures were spread on the agar. That said, of the 30 total isolates that failed to be recultured
244 on Spectra VRE, we determined vancomycin MIC for 8 of them, and 7 had vancomycin MICs of
245 2-4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. The concentration of vancomycin in Spectra VRE is 6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, therefore it is expected
246 that these strains should not grow on this medium. A final point is that in the clinical microbiology
247 lab, the results of the entire agar culture are used to guide surveillance decisions, while in our
248 research study, we selected only 1-3 single colonies per plate for analysis. Therefore, we cannot
249 comment on whether the total Spectra VRE rectal swab culture was comprised of vancomycin-
250 sensitive strains, or merely certain colonies. That said, we are not the first to observe false
251 positives using Spectra VRE agar, particularly for *E. faecalis*. In a previous study, patient age was
252 significantly correlated with false positive vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* results (18). For our
253 strain collection, genome sequencing and more phenotypic assessments of vancomycin
254 susceptibility and heterogeneity will be used in future studies to characterize these isolates
255 further.

256
257 In conclusion, we present a collection of fecal enterococcal isolates from the Dallas, Texas, area.
258 Most VRE identified via Spectra VRE agar were confirmed to be VanA-type *E. faecium*.

259
260 **Acknowledgements**
261 This project was supported by UT-Dallas start-up funds and R01AI116610 to K.P; a Louis Stokes
262 Alliance for Minority Participation (LSAMP) scholarship to M.O., and the UT Dallas – Mexico
263 Research Summer Program to N.M. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Joslyn Pribble and the
264 Microbiology lab at Methodist Health System, without whom this work would not be possible.

265

266 **References**

267

268 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United
269 States, 2019.

270 2. Lebreton F, Willems RJL, Gilmore MS. Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature, and
271 Gut Colonization. In: Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N, editors. Enterococci: From
272 Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection. Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
273 2014.

274 3. Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes
275 and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. Journal of
276 Clinical Microbiology. 1995;33(5):1434.

277 4. Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes
278 and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. Journal of
279 Clinical Microbiology. 1995;33(1):24-7.

280 5. Turner S, Pryer KM, Miao VP, Palmer JD. Investigating deep phylogenetic relationships
281 among cyanobacteria and plastids by small subunit rRNA sequence analysis. J Eukaryot
282 Microbiol. 1999;46(4):327-38.

283 6. Palmer KL, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Kos VN, Zucker J, Desjardins C, et al. Comparative
284 genomics of enterococci: variation in *Enterococcus faecalis*, clade structure in *E. faecium*, and
285 defining characteristics of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*. MBio. 2012;3(1):e00318-11.

286 7. Sahm DF, Kissinger J, Gilmore MS, Murray PR, Mulder R, Solliday J, et al. In vitro
287 susceptibility studies of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrobial Agents and
288 Chemotherapy. 1989;33(9):1588-91.

289 8. Paulsen IT, Banerjee L, Myers GS, Nelson KE, Seshadri R, Read TD, et al. Role of
290 mobile DNA in the evolution of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Science.
291 2003;299(5615):2071-4.

- 292 9. Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Bonten MJ, Robinson DA, Top J, Nallapareddy SR, Torres C, et al.
293 Multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Enterococcus faecalis* reveals hospital-adapted genetic
294 complexes in a background of high rates of recombination. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*.
295 2006;44(6):2220-8.
- 296 10. Palmer KL, Gilmore MS. Multidrug-resistant enterococci lack CRISPR-cas. *MBio*.
297 2010;1(4): e00227-10.
- 298 11. Bourgogne A, Garsin DA, Qin X, Singh KV, Sillanpaa J, Yerrapragada S, et al. Large
299 scale variation in *Enterococcus faecalis* illustrated by the genome analysis of strain OG1RF.
300 *Genome Biology*. 2008;9(7):R110.
- 301 12. Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Schmidt BD, Li X, Bhardwaj P, Palmer KL. Comparative
302 Analysis of the Orphan CRISPR2 Locus in 242 *Enterococcus faecalis* Strains. *PloS One*.
303 2015;10(9):e0138890.
- 304 13. Courvalin P. Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*.
305 2006;42 Suppl 1:S25-34.
- 306 14. Kristich CJ, Rice LB, Arias CA. Enterococcal Infection-Treatment and Antibiotic
307 Resistance. In: Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N, editors. *Enterococci: From*
308 *Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection*. Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
309 2014.
- 310 15. Barrangou R, Dudley EG. CRISPR-Based Typing and Next-Generation Tracking
311 Technologies. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*. 2016;7:395-411.
- 312 16. Price VJ, Huo W, Sharifi A, Palmer KL. CRISPR-Cas and Restriction-Modification Act
313 Additively against Conjugative Antibiotic Resistance Plasmid Transfer in *Enterococcus faecalis*.
314 *mSphere*. 2016;1(3):e00064-16.
- 315 17. Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Palmer KL. Exploiting CRISPR-Cas to manipulate
316 *Enterococcus faecalis* populations. *Elife*. 2017;6:e26664.

317 18. Gander RM, Cavuoti D, Alatoon A, Southern P, Jr., Grant D, Salinas K, et al. Pseudo-
318 outbreak of vancomycin-resistant-*Enterococcus* (VRE) Colonization in a neonatal intensive care
319 unit using Spectra VRE surveillance medium. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2013;51(3):810-
320 3.
321
322

323 **Table 1. MLST and CRISPR typing results.**
324

Isolate ID	<i>vanA/B</i> ^a	ST ^b	CRISPR2 ^c	CRISPR-Cas ^d
2-1	---	59	91-110-108-109-107	Not present
43-2	---	64	85-83-86-82	Not present
59	<i>vanA</i>	779	92	Not present
80	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present
81	---	ND	12-67	Not present
94-1	---	179	85-83-86-82	Not present
101-2	---	6	12-67	Not present
106	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present
107-2	---	ND	1-5-38-70	CRISPR1-Cas
110	---	ND	12-171(SNP)-170-89-169	Not present
119-1	---	16	12-29-28-27-26-25-24-23-22-21	CRISPR1-Cas
122-2	<i>vanA</i>	ND	12-67	Not present
125-1	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present
127-1	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present
131-1	<i>vanA</i>	779	92	Not present
132	---	ND	1-5-4-3-2	Not present
133-1	---	777	85-a-b-c-d-e-f-g-h	Not present
141-2	<i>vanB</i>	778	1-5-4-3-2	Not present
142-1	---	777	85-i-b-j-e-f-g-h	Not present
142-2	---	16	12-29-28-27-26-25-24-23-22-21	Not present
143-1	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present
153-1	---	ND	92	Not present
152	---	ND	85-83-86-82	Not present

325 ^a---, negative results for *vanA* and *vanB* PCR reactions.

326 ^bND, not determined.

327 ^cNumbering scheme is from Hullahalli, et al, 2015. Letters indicate novel CRISPR spacers.

328 SNP, differs from spacer sequence 171 by a single nucleotide polymorphism.

329 ^dThe presence or absence of CRISPR1-Cas and CRISPR3-Cas was evaluated for all isolates
330 shown.

331

Table S1. Primers used in this study. See materials and methods section for references for primers.

Primer name	Sequence (5'-->3')^a
Vancomycin resistance typing	
<i>vanA</i> F	GGGAAAACGACAATTGC
<i>vanA</i> R	GTACAATGCGGCCGTTA
<i>vanB</i> F	ATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC
<i>vanB</i> R	GATTCGTTCTCGACC
Species determination	
<i>E. faecium</i> <i>ddl</i> F	TAGAGACATTGAATATGCC
<i>E. faecium</i> <i>ddl</i> R	CATCGTGTAAAGCTAACTTC
<i>E. faecalis</i> <i>ddl</i> F	ATCAAGTACAGTTAGTCTT
<i>E. faecalis</i> <i>ddl</i> R	ACGATTCAAAGCTAACTG
16S rRNA 8F	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG
16S rRNA 1492R	GGTACCTTGTTACGACTT
CRISPR-Cas analysis	
CRISPR2 seq F	CTGGCTCGCTGTTACAGCT
CRISPR2 seq R	GCCAATGTTACAATATCAAACA
CRISPR1-Cas flank F	GCGATGTTAGCTGATACAAC
CRISPR1-Cas flank R	CGAATATGCCTGTGGTGAAA
CRISPR1-Cas <i>cas9</i> F	CAGAAGACTATCAGTTGGTG
CRISPR1-Cas <i>cas9</i> R	CCTTCTAAATCTTCTTCATAG
CRISPR3-Cas flank F	GATCACTAGGTTTCAGTTATTTT
CRISPR3-Cas flank R	CATCGATTCAATTCTCCTCAA
CRISPR3-Cas <i>cas9</i> F	GCTGAATCTGTGAAGTTACTC
CRISPR3-Cas <i>cas9</i> R	CTGTTTTGTTACCGTTGGAT
<i>E. faecalis</i> MLST	
<i>gdh</i> -1	GGCGCACTAAAAGATATGGT
<i>gdh</i> -2	CCAAGATTGGGCAACTTCGTCCCA
<i>gyd</i> -1	CAAAGTCTTAGCTCCAATGGC
<i>gyd</i> -2	CATTCGTTGTCATACCAAGC
<i>pstS</i> -1	CGGAACAGGACTTTTCGC
<i>pstS</i> -2	ATTTACATCACGTTCTACTTGC
<i>gki</i> -1	GATTTTGTGGGAATTGGTATGG
<i>gki</i> -2	ACCATTAAAGCAAAATGATCGC
<i>aroE</i> -1	TGGAAAACCTTTACGGAGACAGC
<i>aroE</i> -2	GTCCTGTCCATTGTTCAAAGC
<i>xpt</i> -1	AAAATGATGGCCGTGTATTAGG
<i>xpt</i> -2	AACGTCACCGTTCCTTCACTTA
<i>yiqL</i> -1	CAGCTTAAGTCAAGTAAGTGCCG
<i>yiqL</i> -2	GAATATCCCTTCTGCTTGTGCT

Table S2. *ddl* typing data for presumptive VRE obtained from Spectra VRE plates.

Notes:

* For PCR analysis, an empty cell indicates a negative result for the PCR reaction.

NT indicates Not Tested. n/a indicates that the PCR reaction was not performed because the strain could not be recovered from freezer stock.

Patient ID #	Isolate ID #	Colony Color on Spectra VRE	Predicted species based on colony color	Correct prediction?	PCR analysis*				Isolate ID #
					<i>Efm-ddl</i>	<i>Efs-ddl</i>	<i>vanA</i>	<i>vanB</i>	
1	1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		1
2	2-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			2-1
	2-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			2-2
	2-3	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			2-3
5	5	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		5
7	7	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		7
9	9-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		9-1
	9-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		9-2
16	16-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		16-1
	16-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+		16-2
17	17-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		17-1
	17-2	white	---	no	+		+		17-2
20	20	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		20
42	42	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		42
43	43-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		43-1
	43-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			43-2
51	51-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		51-1
	51-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+		51-3
	51-4	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		51-4
52	52	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		52
53	53-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		53-1
	53-2	purple (small color)	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		53-2
55	55	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		55
57	57	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		57
59	59	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		59
60	60	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	mixed culture	+	+	+	+	60
66	66	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		66
70	70	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+				70
71	71-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		71-1
	71-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		71-2
76	76	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76
80	80	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			80
81	81	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			81
83	83	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		83
87	87-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		87-1
	87-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87-2
88	88	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88
91	91	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		91
93	93-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		93-1
	93-2	white	---	no	+		+		93-2
	93-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+		93-3
94	94-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			94-1
	94-2	big light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			94-2
97	97-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		97-1
	97-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		97-2

100	100-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		100-1
	100-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+		100-2
101	101-1	large light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			101-1
	101-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			101-2
103	103	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		103
106	106	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			106
107	107-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		107-1
	107-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			107-2
110	110	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			110
111	111	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		111
113	113	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		113
119	119-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			119-1
	119-2	dark blue smudge	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			119-2
121	121	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		121
122	122-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+	+		122-1
	122-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		122-2
124	124-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		124-1
	124-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		124-2
	124-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+		124-3
125	125-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			125-1
	125-2	small light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			125-2
127	127-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			127-1
	127-2	large light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			127-2
131	131-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		131-1
	131-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		131-2
132	132	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			132
133	133-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			133-1
	133-2	white	---	no - <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> by 16S rRNA			NT	NT	133-2
136	136-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		136-1
137	137	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		137
141	141-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	141-1
	141-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+		+	141-2
142	142-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			142-1
	142-2	light blue (small)	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			142-2
143	143-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			143-1
	143-2	small light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			143-2
144	144-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		144-1
	144-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	144-2
145B	145B	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	145B
146	146	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no - <i>E. raffinosus</i> or <i>E. gilvus</i> by 16S rRNA			+		146
148	148	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		148
151	151-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	151-1
	151-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	151-2
152	152	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			152
153	153-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			153-1
	153-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	153-2
154	154-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		154-1
	154-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	not revived from stock	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	154-2
155	155	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		155
158	158	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		158
160	160	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		160
161	161	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		161
162	162	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+		162
163	163-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+				163-1
	163-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+				163-2

Table S3. Data for *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecium* strains.

Notes:

* For PCR analysis, an empty cell indicates a negative result for the PCR reaction.

NT indicates Not Tested. n/a indicates that the PCR reaction was not performed because the strain could not be recovered from freezer stock.

** For Spectra VRE re-testing, a blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

*** Two trials of broth microdilution were performed. If different MIC values were obtained across the two trials, both values are stated.

A blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

Patient ID #	Isolate ID #	Colony Color on Spectra VRE	Predicted species based on colony color	Correct prediction?	PCR analysis*				Re-test for growth on Spectra VRE agar**	Vancomycin MIC by broth microdilution***	Isolate ID #
					<i>Efm-ddl</i>	<i>Efs-ddl</i>	<i>vanA</i>	<i>vanB</i>			
1	1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			1024	1
5	5	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			2048	5
7	7	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			512	7
9	9-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			2048	9-1
	9-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				9-2
16	16-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			1024	16-1
	16-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+				16-2
17	17-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			512/1024	17-1
	17-2	white	---	no	+		+				17-2
20	20	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			1024	20
42	42	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			1024	42
43	43-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			2048	43-1
51	51-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			2048	51-1
	51-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+			1024	51-3
	51-4	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			1024	51-4
52	52	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			2048	52
53	53-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+			256	53-1
	53-2	purple (small color)	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				53-2
55	55	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				55
57	57	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				57
66	66	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				66
70	70	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+			No growth	2		70
71	71-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				71-1
	71-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				71-2
83	83	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				83
87	87-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				87-1
91	91	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				91
93	93-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				93-1
	93-2	white	---	no	+		+				93-2
	93-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+				93-3
97	97-1	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				97-1
	97-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				97-2
100	100-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				100-1
	100-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+				100-2
103	103	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				103
107	107-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				107-1
111	111	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				111
113	113	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				113
121	121	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				121
124	124-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				124-1
	124-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				124-2
	124-3	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+		+				124-3
	131-2	purple	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				131-2
136	136-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				136-1
137	137	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				137
144	144-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				144-1
148	148	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				148
154	154-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				154-1
155	155	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				155
158	158	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				158
160	160	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				160
161	161	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				161
162	162	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+		+				162
163	163-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	yes	+			No growth	2		163-1
	163-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no	+			No growth	512		163-2

Table S4. Data for *ddl*-confirmed *E. faecalis* strains.

Notes:

* For PCR analysis, an empty cell indicates a negative result for the PCR reaction.

NT indicates Not Tested. n/a indicates that the PCR reaction was not performed because the strain could not be recovered from freezer stock.

** For Spectra VRE re-testing, a blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

*** Two trials of broth microdilution were performed. A blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

Patient ID #	Isolate ID #	Colony Color on Spectra VRE	Predicted species based on colony color	Correct prediction?	PCR analysis*				Re-test for growth on Spectra VRE agar**	Growth on BHI + 6 ug/ml Van	Vancomycin MIC by broth microdilution***	Isolate ID #
					<i>Efm-ddl</i>	<i>Efs-ddl</i>	<i>vanA</i>	<i>vanB</i>				
2	2-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			2-1
	2-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth	4 ug/ml	2-2
	2-3	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			No growth	No growth		2-3
59	43-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			43-2
	59	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		Growth - light blue colonies		>= 512 ug/ml	59
	80	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			80
81	81	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			81
94	94-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			94-1
	94-2	big light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth		94-2
101	101-1	large light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			101-1
	101-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth		101-2
106	106	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			106
110	107-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			1 light blue colony		4 ug/ml	107-2
	110	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			1 light blue colony		2 ug/ml	110
119	119-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			No growth			119-1
	119-2	dark blue smudge	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			No growth	No growth		119-2
122	122-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+	+		Growth - navy blue colonies	Growth		122-1
	122-2	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		Growth - light blue colonies			122-2
125	125-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			125-1
	125-2	small light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth	2 ug/ml	125-2
127	127-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			127-1
	127-2	large light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth		127-2
131	131-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+	+		Growth - light blue colonies			131-1
132	132	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			No growth		2 ug/ml	132
133	133-1	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+			No growth			133-1
142	141-2	navy blue	<i>E. faecium</i>	no		+		+	Growth - light blue colonies			141-2
	142-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			142-1
143	142-2	light blue (small)	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth		142-2
	143-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			143-1
152	143-2	small light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth	No growth		143-2
	152	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			152
153	153-1	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	yes		+			No growth			153-1

Table S5. Data for species recovered other than *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* .

Notes:

* For PCR analysis, an empty cell indicates a negative result for the PCR reaction.

NT indicates Not Tested. n/a indicates that the PCR reaction was not performed because the strain could not be recovered from freezer stock.

** For Spectra VRE re-testing, a blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

*** Two trials of broth microdilution were performed. A blank cell indicates that the experiment was not performed for that strain.

Patient ID #	Isolate ID #	Colony Color on Spectra VRE	Predicted species based on colony color	Correct prediction?	PCR analysis*				Re-test for growth on Spectra VRE agar**	Growth on BHI + 6 ug/ml Van	Vancomycin MIC by broth microdilution***	Isolate ID #
					<i>Efm-ddl</i>	<i>Efs-ddl</i>	<i>vanA</i>	<i>vanB</i>				
	133-2	white	--	no - <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> by 16S rRNA			NT	NT	No growth	No growth		133-2
146	146	light blue	<i>E. faecalis</i>	no - <i>E. raffinosus</i> or <i>E. gilvus</i> by 16S rRNA			+		Growth - light purple colonies		128 ug/ml	146