Understanding the value of clinical symptoms of COVID-19. A logistic regression model
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Abstract

Background

The new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, is responsible for the current pandemic outbreak worldwide. However, there is limited information regarding the set of specific symptoms of COVID-19. Therefore, the objective of this study was to describe the main symptoms associated with COVID-19 to aid in the clinical diagnosis for the rapid identification of cases.

Methods and findings

A cross sectional study of all those diagnosed by RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 between April 1 and May 24 in Argentina was conducted. The data includes clinical and demographic information from all subjects at the time of presentation, which were uploaded to the centralized national reporting system at health centers. A total of 67318 individuals were included in this study, where 12% tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The study population was divided in two age groups, a group aged 0 to 55 years-old (<56 group), (median = 32, n=48748) and another group aged 56 to 103 years-old (≥56 group) (median =72, n=18570). Multivariate logistic regression analyses showed that out of a total of 23 symptoms, only five were found to have a positive association with COVID-19: anosmia (odds ratio [OR] 10.40, 95% confidence interval [CI] 8.20-13.10, <56 group; OR 6.09 CI 3.05-12.20, ≥56 group) dysgeusia (OR 3.67, CI 2.7-4.9, <56 group; OR 3.53 CI 1.52-8.18, ≥56 group), low grade fever (37.5-37.9°C) (OR 1.61, CI 1.20-2.05, <56
group; OR 1.80 CI 1.07-3.06, ≥56 group), cough (OR 1.20, CI 1.05-1.38, <56 group; OR 1.37 CI 1.04-1.80, ≥56 group) and headache only in <56 group (OR 1.71, CI 1.48-1.99). In turn, at the time of presentation, the symptoms associated with respiratory problems: chest pain, tachypnea, dyspnea, respiratory failure and use of accessory muscles for breathing, had a negative association with COVID-19 (OR <1) or did not present statistical relevance (OR = 1).

With the intention of helping the clinical diagnosis, we designed a model to be able to identify possible cases of COVID-19. This model included 16 symptoms, the age and sex of the individuals, and was able to detect 80% of those infected with SARS-CoV-2 with a specificity of 46%.

Conclusions

The analysis of symptoms opens the opportunity for a guidance and improved symptoms based definition of suspected cases of COVID-19, where multiple factors (age, sex, symptoms and interaction between symptoms) are considered. We present a tool to help identify COVID-19 cases to provide quick information to aid decision-making by health personnel and program managers.
Introduction:

The new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, is responsible for the current pandemic outbreak as declared by the World Health Organization on January 30th 2020 (1). By September 2020, the number of people infected with SARS-CoV-2 is over 28 million worldwide with more than 900 thousand deaths (2). The rapid spread of the virus has demonstrated its ability to overwhelm the health systems of developed countries and poses in danger countries with vulnerable health systems. These particular characteristics underline the adoption of social-distancing measures including quarantines, lockdowns and limitations in international travel implemented by most governments.

Until now, the most widely used diagnostic tool is real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from nasal and pharyngeal swabs (3). Although, RT-PCR is highly specific, has a suboptimal sensitivity (4), and false negatives have been reported (5). Without proven therapeutic drugs or vaccines, the identification and isolation of infected people through contact tracing or passive detection is of vital importance for controlling disease spread (4). In this context, an adequate characterization of suspected cases becomes of critical importance.

We analyzed the symptoms corresponding to a large database obtained from individuals evaluated by RT-PCR in Argentina in order to identify the symptoms associated with COVID-19 and develop a model to identify possible individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2.


Materials and Methods

Data source

The data file was provided by the National Ministry of Health of Argentina (Ministerio de Salud de la Nación Argentina. Dirección Nacional de Epidemiología y Análisis de la Situación de Salud. Área de Vigilancia). The analysis included 67318 people evaluated through RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 throughout the country from April 1 through May 24, 2020 and included in the registry of the National Ministry of Health. Several variables including age, sex, RT-PCR result (positive or negative), and symptoms at presentation were recorded in a structured questionnaire for each individual. Symptoms (presence or absence) include anosmia, dysgeusia, arthralgia, headache, confusion, seizures, diarrhea, dyspnea, abdominal pain, chest pain, low grade fever (37.5-37.9°C), high grade fever (≥38°C), respiratory failure, conjunctival injection, irritability, malaise, myalgia, food refusal, tachypnea, use of accessory muscles for breathing (UAMB), cough and vomiting. Before May 18 the form included ‘odynophagia’ and ‘sore throat’. After May 18 only odynophagia remained in the form. Therefore, all odynophagia analyzes were performed considering the data from May 18 to May 24 (10225 individuals - 15 % of the entire dataset), the period of time where only odynophagia was available in the questionnaire. We did not impose any further exclusion criteria to limit selection bias. This study is reported as per the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines (S1 STROBE Checklist, Supporting material).
Diagnosis by RT-PCR

The diagnosis by RT-PCR was used as a reference standard for the design of the regression model. All kits for use in Argentina were approved by the Administración Nacional de Medicamentos, Alimentos y Tecnología Médica. A fluid sample was taken with a swab from each nostril and pharynx. From these samples, an RNA extraction was performed for the subsequent RT-PCR.

Data analysis.

Symptoms of COVID-19 have been reported to differ in different age groups (6), therefore two age categories were used for the analysis. A group with ages from 0 to 55 years (<56 group, n = 48748) and another group with ages 56 to 103 years-old (≥56 group, n = 18570). The association of symptoms (presence versus absence) and sex (male versus female) with a RT-PCR positive result for SARS-CoV-2 was studied using multivariate logistic regression analysis considering pairwise interactions, in both age groups. The effect of the different covariates was quantified by the odds ratio values and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals obtained by logistic regression.

Predictive models

We developed and tested different models aimed to improve the identification of suspected cases; with the premise that such models should have the fewest significant variables and symptoms that could be collected without the need for a physical exam and laboratory testing (e.g. respiratory failure). Odynophagia was excluded from the model design because this symptom alone (that is, without the
option ‘sore throat’) was recorded only for 10225 individuals, and the exclusion of
this symptom did not produce a loss of the predictive capacity of the models.

To develop and test the models, the database (n=67318) was randomly divided
into two data sets (Training and Testing datasets) with the same percentage of RT-
PCR positive cases in each one. The Training set (n=53854) was used for the
design and internal validation of the models, while the Testing set (n=13464) was
used for external validation and evaluation of the predictive capacity of the model.
Models were compared using the Akaike Information Criterion, the area under the
receiver-operator characteristic curve (AUC) and the predictive value.

The best model was chosen through stepwise regression with bidirectional
elimination based on AIC. In addition, we performed a 100-fold cross-validation
method in which the training base is partitioned in 100 randomly selected subsets
and the model is fitted for each one. All statistical analyzes were performed with R
(7), and GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows (La Jolla California USA,
www.graphpad.com).
Results

Data description

A total of 67318 individuals were included in this study; among them, 12% had a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 with a median of 37 years-old (IQR: 26-51), while the group with a negative RT-PCR had a median of 40 years-old (IQR: 25-59). Infection rates varied in different age groups, showing the highest rates in the 15 to 60 years-old group (Figure 1).

Figure 1: SARS-CoV-2 infection in relation to age

Significant differences were identified in the positivity rate of RT-PCR according to the interval between the onset of symptoms and the time of sampling. It was observed that for the day ranges of 0-3, 4-15 and 16-30 the proportion of positives was 10.8, 13.7 and 8.6% respectively. Between the three intervals of days a significant difference was observed (p <0.01).

The <56 group (n = 48748) presented a median age of 32 (IQR: 20-42), where 48% were male and 52% female while the median age for the ≥56 group (n=18570) was 72 (IQR: 63-81, 52% male and 48% female).

The most frequent symptoms for each age group were independent of the RT-PCR result (Figure 2). For the <56 group the most frequently observed symptoms were high-grade fever, cough, odynophagia, malaise and headache. For the ≥56 group the most frequent symptoms were high-grade fever, cough, tachypnea, odynophagia and malaise. However, the symptoms with the highest proportion of a confirmatory RT-PCR result were anosmia, dysgeusia, low grade fever,
odyophagia, headache, and cough for the <56 group (Figure 3a); and anosmia, dysgeusia, odynophagia and low grade fever for the ≥56 group (Figure 3b).

**Figure 2: Frequency of symptoms in the <56 group, and ≥56 group.**  
- **a.** whole study population with positive and negative RT-PCR.  
- **b.** Only individuals with positive RT-PCR. For odynophagia, the analyzed database is restricted to 10255 individuals.

**Figure 3: Proportion (%) of individuals with positive and negative RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 for each of the symptoms used in the database.**  
- **a.** <56 group (n: 48748).  
- **b.** ≥56 group (n: 18570). For odynophagia, the analyzed database is restricted to 10255 individuals.

**Clinical features associated with COVID-19**

The association between sex, symptoms and infection with SARS-CoV-2 was studied using logistic regression analysis considering pairwise interactions (see supporting material for details). The odd ratios obtained for each of the symptoms evaluated are displayed in Figure 4 for both age-groups. Sex was significant only for the <56 group with males appearing with higher odds than females (OR =1.23 (IC 95%: 1.07,1.40)).
Figure 4: Odd ratios (OR) of SARS-CoV-2 infection corresponding to the presence of symptoms for (a) <56 group and (b) ≥56 group. In green statistically significant ORs (p <0.05) and in gray non-significant ORs (p> 0.05). For odynophagia, the analyzed database is restricted to 10255 individuals.

In the <56 group the symptoms with a positive association with COVID-19 were anosmia, dysgeusia, headache, low grade fever and cough; while UAMB, tachypnea, conjunctival injection, vomiting, abdominal pain, odynophagia and high grade fever have a negative association. The rest of the symptoms did not show statistical significant association with COVID-19, but some of them have a significant interaction with other symptoms (S2 Table, Supporting material). Significantly higher ORs were found with the combination of anosmia and dysgeusia (OR=19.84), anosmia and conjunctival injection (OR=17.40), anosmia and cough (OR=9.73) and anosmia and malaise (OR=6.14).

For the ≥56 group, symptoms at presentation with positive association with COVID-19 were anosmia, disgeusia, low grade fever and cough, while vomiting, respiratory failure, food refusal, chest pain, tachypnea and dyspnea presented negative association. Other symptoms did not show statistically significant association with COVID-19, but as in the previous case, some have significant interactions (S3 Table, Supporting material). Significantly higher ORs were found with the combination of low-grade fever and irritability (OR=14.32), and anosmia and dysgeusia (OR=6.44).

Logistic regression predictive model
We considered several different logistic models for the probability of SARS-CoV-2 infection:

\[ p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-A}} \]

where \( A \) depends on the set of symptoms considered and other variables like sex, age or days since symptoms onset. All tested models presented similar characteristics regarding area under the curve, AIC value and predictive value.

The model with lower AIC value (35029) considers 16 symptoms, sex and three quantitative variables: age, age squared and the number of symptoms (NS) as described in Table 1. Cross validation did not show significant differences between the model calculated with the training set and 100 randomly chosen partitions.

The variable age square captures the behavior of the proportion of positive cases with age (Figure 1). An alternative model without the variable age square is described in the supporting material S4 Appendix. It can also be observed that the number of symptoms has a negative contribution in the equation resulting in decreases in the likelihood of COVID-19 infection as the number of symptoms increases.
Table 1: Characteristics of the logistic regression model. \( p \): probability of being infected with SARS-CoV-2, \( \text{AUC} \): Area under the receiver-operator characteristic curve, \( \text{PPV} \): predicted positive value, \( \text{NPV} \): Negative predicted value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Predictive capacity for a cut line of ( p = 0.08839 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \[ P = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-A}} \] \( \text{AUC} = 0.7167 \) | \begin{align*} \text{Sensitivity} &= 0.80 \\
&= (0.78, 0.82) \\
\text{Specificity} &= 0.46 \\
&= (0.45, 0.47) \\
\text{PPV} &= 0.17 \\
&= (0.16, 0.17) \\
\text{NPV} &= 0.95 \\
&= (0.94, 0.95) \end{align*} |

\[ A = -2.137 + 0.03422 \times \text{age} - 0.001131 \times \text{age}^2 - 0.7953 \times \text{AS} - 0.1805 \times \text{sex} + 2.236 \times \text{anosmia} + 0.2680 \times \text{arthralgia} - 0.5870 \times \text{seizure} + 0.1484 \times \text{diarrhea} + 1.272 \times \text{dysgeusia} + 0.1812 \times \text{dyspnea} + 0.01535 \times \text{chest pain} + 0.9989 \times \text{low fever} + 0.2446 \times \text{high fever} + 0.08885 \times \text{conjunctival injection} + 0.2330 \times \text{discomfort} + 0.4379 \times \text{myalgia} + 0.2694 \times \text{food refusal} + 0.3359 \times \text{tachypnea} + 1.066 \times \text{cough} + 1.640 \times \text{headache} + 7.964 \times 10^{-6} \times \text{age} \times \text{age}^2 + 0.01485 \times \text{age} \times \text{AS} + 0.01807 \times \text{age} \times \text{sex} - 0.03391 \times \text{age} \times \text{headache} - 0.01412 \times \text{age} \times \text{cough} - 1.17810^{-4} \times \text{age}^2 \times \text{AS} - 1.98110^{-4} \times \text{age}^2 \times \text{sex} + 2.596 \times 10^{-4} \times \text{age}^2 \times \text{headache} + 1.446 \times 10^{-4} \times \text{age}^2 \times \text{cough} + 0.7360 \times \text{anosmia} \times \text{conjunctival injection} + 0.6033 \times \text{diarrhea} \times \text{chest pain} + 0.7430 \times \text{dysgeusia} \times \text{tachypnea} + 0.6774 \times \text{dyspnea} \times \text{conjunctival injection} + 0.4194 \times \text{high fever} \times \text{tachypnea} \end{align*}
Discussion

The analysis of this large cohort allows an age-based characterization of the symptoms associated with COVID-19 at presentation, in the search for an improved clinical based decision strategy for the use of diagnostic tests and case management. To interrupt the spread of SARS-CoV-2, early detection, isolation, and the implementation of a robust system to trace contacts is required (WHO, 2020). Among the non-severe symptomatic cases, non-specific symptoms shared with other acute upper-respiratory infections hamper proper case identification and implementation of rapid isolation measures. In contrast to most acute upper-respiratory infections (including many respiratory coronaviruses), COVID-19 poses a special challenge in requiring specific identification for clinical and public health management. To facilitate the early detection of patients with COVID-19, we analyzed the symptoms of 67318 individuals studied with RT-PCR, of which 12% had a positive test result.

The most frequently reported symptoms among case series of COVID-19 include fever, cough, dyspnea and shortness of breath (8,9). Other symptoms include sore throat, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, myalgia, headache and confusion (8). We found that in the present database the most frequent symptoms were cough, high-grade fever, and odynophagia. However, more frequent symptoms not necessarily are indicators of COVID-19. Our analysis revealed that the symptoms positively associated with COVID-19 are anosmia, dysgeusia, low grade fever (37.5 to 38 °C), cough and headache (the later only in the <56 group). Another group of symptoms show a negative association with a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2,
therefore suggesting alternative diagnoses (Figure 3). Our analysis also revealed that some symptoms interact with each other, modifying their ORs. In relation to this, anosmia and dysgeusia were observed to have a significant and positive interaction increasing the already high and positive OR of each of them. This is in accordance with other authors stating that anosmia and dysgeusia have a positive predictive value of 77% each of them and 83% if they are together (10). Although anosmia can appear in other AURI, it has been suggested that the lack of accompanying rhinitis or nasal swelling is more typically associated with COVID-19 (11); we were not able to test this hypothesis because the questionnaire does not contain rhinitis as a variable. Another combined effect to be highlighted was anosmia and conjunctival injection in the <56 age group and low-grade fever and irritability in the elder group. These combinations showed ORs >14. Low grade fever had a positive association with COVID-19 in both age groups, while high grade fever (≥38°C) showed a negative association in the <56 group and was not significant in the ≥56 group.

In this study we also developed a model based on symptoms at presentation, sex and age. In our database, patient`s age was found as a significant variable for establishing correlations between symptoms and COVID-19. Although the age-dependent variability in the proportion of RT-PCR positive results in our study population might reflects differences in exposure due to school closure and an active protection of the elder, the model achieves comparable predictive values in all age groups. In view of the burden of morbidity and mortality in high risk groups, models to predict the likelihood of infection should be interpreted with caution and
not be used to limit the corresponding testing of groups at increased risk of severe
disease.

This study was conducted with patients diagnosed between April and May 2020,
prior to the influenza season in Argentina; this should also place our results in the
adequate context of the alternative diagnoses that could modify the specificity of
the symptoms based on the type and prevalence of these agents; in the current
case, with most cases occurring in Buenos Aires and its metropolitan area, this
same area was affected by its largest recorded dengue outbreak and a significant
reduction of influenza-like illnesses (12).

This study has limitations; the diagnostic test of choice, RT-PCR shows a
sensitivity of 56–83% (13); therefore, the sensitivity and specificity measures of the
model may lose precision. While suffering from the lack of a highly sensitive
diagnostic standard, identifying clinical characteristics that raise the pretest
probability of the infection could help interpretations of the RT-PCR result when
combined with predictive models, epidemiological factors and complementary
diagnostic methods like chest imaging (14). In our analysis, a significantly higher
probability of a positive RT-PCR was identified if the test was performed when the
interval between symptoms initiation and sampling was between 4 and 15 days.

Another limitation derives from the circumstance of the data collection, which has
not been design as a prospective research tool but rather as a surveillance system
with no monitoring system and therefore subject to errors in upload.

In summary, this symptoms-based analysis of a cohort tested for COVID-19
identified a group of symptoms (anosmia/dysgeusia, low-grade fever, cough and
headache) with significant association with a positive RT-PCR. These findings show that a regression model based on multiple factors (age, sex, interaction between symptoms) could be used as a complementary method for the rapid identification of possible COVID-19 cases and the necessary precautionary measures.
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- S1 STROBE Checklist.
- S2 Table. Logistic regression for the group with ages from 0 to 55 years (<56 group, n=48748).
- S3 Table. Logistic regression for the group with ages from 56 to 103 years-old (≥56 group, n = 18570).
- S4 Appendix. Alternative model with the variables sex, age, 20 symptoms and pairwise interactions.
Symptoms

Anosmia
Dysgeusia
Headache
Low grade fever
Cough
Malaise
Myalgia
Seizures
Dyspnea
Arthralgia
Diarrhea
Irritability
Food refusal
Chest pain
Hight grade fever
Respiratory failure
Odynophagia
Abdominal pain
Vomiting
Conjunctival injection
Tachypnea
UAMB
Mental Confusion

Odd ratios

10.4 (8.2 - 13.1)
3.67 (2.70 - 4.90)
1.71 (1.48 - 1.99)
1.61 (1.20 - 2.05)
1.20 (1.05 - 1.38)
0.68 (0.50 - 0.78)
0.65 (0.49 - 0.88)
0.62 (0.40 - 0.91)
0.57 (0.36 - 0.90)
0.45 (0.32 - 0.64)
0.52 (0.32 - 0.85)
0.22 (0.08 - 0.64)