medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Poor metabolic health increases COVID-19-related mortality in the UK Biobank sample

Short title

Metabolic health and COVID-19

Authors

Filip Morys[1], Alain Dagher[1]

[1] Montreal Neurological Institute, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Correspondence

Alain Dagher

Montreal Neurological Institute

3801 University, H3A 2B4 Montreal, Canada

alain.dagher@mcgill.ca

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

Keywords

Metabolic health, obesity, COVID-19

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Previous studies link obesity, components of metabolic health, such as hypertension or inflammation, to increased hospitalisations and death rates of patients with COVID-19. Here, in two overlapping samples of over 1,000 individuals from the UK Biobank we investigate whether metabolic health as measured by waist circumference, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, diabetes, and systemic inflammation is related to increased COVID-19 infection and mortality rates. Using logistic regression and controlling for confounding variables such as socioeconomic status, age, sex or ethnicity, we find that individuals with worse metabolic health (measured on average eleven years prior to 2020) have an increased risk for COVID-19-related death (adjusted odds ratio: 1.67). We also find that specific factors contributing to increased mortality are increased serum glucose levels, systolic blood pressure and waist circumference.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, mounting evidence supports an association between obesity and poor outcomes (1–12). The association holds for both obesity and for obesity-associated metabolic health – hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, and systemic inflammation (13). Similarly, obesity and excess adipose tissue have also been associated with higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (10,14,15).

Previous studies, however, have tended to use small sample sizes, focus predominantly on the effects of body mass index (BMI) as a measure of obesity, or not account for confounding factors, such as ethnicity or socioeconomic status (14,16–18). Since ethnicity and socioeconomic status are themselves associated with obesity and metabolic health (19,20), they could confound interpretation of analyses in patients with COVID-19.

Here, we aim to present a comprehensive evaluation of obesity-associated metabolic risk factors that might be related to poor health outcomes in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients while controlling for confounding variables and limiting potential collider bias, which has previously resulted in incorrect epidemiological conclusions (21–23). We investigate whether metabolic health is related to higher chance for SARS-CoV-2 infection, but also COVID-19-related death.

Materials and Methods

Participants

In this study, we used the UK Biobank dataset – a large scale study with extensive phenotyping carried out in the United Kingdom (24). This study was performed under UK Biobank application ID 35605. SARS-CoV-2 real-time PCR test results in the UK

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Biobank dataset are derived from the Public Health England microbiology database Second Generation Surveillance System that is dynamically linked to the UK Biobank database (25). Here we only included individuals who were recorded as tested for SARS-CoV-2. We distinguished between two samples for two aims of our project: Sample 1 – a larger sample (n=12,659) of all individuals who were tested for SARS-CoV-2 between 16th March 2020 and 24th August 2020, to investigate the risk of COVID-19 infection and how it is related to metabolic health; and Sample 2, a subset of Sample 1 consisting of individuals who tested positive for COVID-19 (n=1,152). We also obtained data on mortality from COVID-19 for all the individuals included in our study population.

Sample characteristics can be found in Table 1. The discrepancies in number of patients who tested positive in both samples is due to outlier exclusions (see section: 'Measures used in the study'). All participants signed written informed consent prior to participating in the UK Biobank study, which was approved by the North-West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee (11/NW/0382). All UK Biobank actions are overseen by the UK Biobank Ethics Advisory Committee.

Table 1 Participants characteristics.

Measure	*Sample 1 (n=12,659)*			*Sample 2 (n=1,152)*		
	SARS-CoV-2 positive patients mean (SD)	*SARS-CoV-2 negative patients mean (SD)*	*p-value*	*SARS-CoV-2 survivors mean (SD)*	*SARS-CoV-2 deceased mean (SD)*	*p-value*
n	*1,138*	*11,521*		*972*	*180*	
COVID-19 mortality rate	*1.42%*			*15.63%*		
Age in years	*68 (8)*	*70 (8)*	***<0.001***	*67 (9)*	*74 (6)*	***<0.001***
Sex distribution	*47.36 % women*	*52.32 % women*	***0.002***	*49.07 % women*	*36.67 %*	***0.003***
Waist circumference (cm)	*93.38 (13.89)*	*92.07 (13.69)*	***0.003***	*92.55 (13.62)*	*98.62 (14.48)*	***<0.001***

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Serum HDL (mmol/l)	*1.36 (0.34)*	*1.43 (0.38)*	*<0.001*	*1.37 (0.45)*	*1.35 (0.32)*	*0.446*
Serum TG (mmol/l)	*1.78 (1.04)*	*1.77 (1.02)*	*0.545*	*1.78 (1.05)*	*1.88 (1.01)*	*0.199*
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	*35.83 (4.51)*	*35.76 (4.33)*	*0.637*	*35.73 (4.62)*	*36.99 (5.23)*	***0.003***
Serum glucose (mmol/l)	*4.98 (0.64)*	*5.00 (0.63)*	*0.318*	*4.96 (0.63)*	*5.19 (0.74)*	***<0.001***
Serum C-reactive protein (mg/l)	*3.00 (5.23)*	*2.84 (4.45)*	*0.308*	*2.89 (5.14)*	*3.98 (7.22)*	*0.054*
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	*137.20 (18.59)*	*138.55 (18.55)*	***0.019***	*135.71 (18.15)*	*145.76 (18.33)*	***<0.001***
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	*82.46 (10.25)*	*82.24 (9.94)*	*0.484*	*82.21 (10.31)*	*84.03 (10.03)*	***0.027***
Diabetes	*9.49 %*	*7.83 %*	*0.055*	*8.95 %*	*15.56 %*	***0.010***
Hypertension	*41.65 %*	*42.32 %*	*0.685*	*38.17 %*	*62.22 %*	***<0.001***

SD – standard deviation, HDL – high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG – triglycerides, HbA1C – haemoglobin A1c. P-values reflect significance of within samples differences calculated with t-tests in case of numerical variables and chi-squared tests in case of categorical variables.

Measures used in the study

To investigate how metabolic health is related to SARS-CoV-2 infection and mortality rates, we used the following measures: waist circumference, serum triglyceride (TG), serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), serum glucose (corrected for fasting times prior to blood drawing), serum C-reactive protein (26), previous diabetes diagnosis, resting systolic and diastolic blood pressure (mean of two measurements each), and hypertension diagnosis. In our analyses, we also controlled for age, sex, socioeconomic status (Townsend deprivation index (27), highest achieved educational qualifications, mean family income), smoking status, and ethnic background, the laboratory where COVID-19 testing was performed, and the origin of the sample used for COVID-19 test (e.g. nose, throat etc.). For Sample 2, we also used mortality data provided by the UK Biobank – COVID-19-related death was described using the ICD10 identifier U07.1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

All variables related to metabolic health and all confounding variables were collected on average 11 years prior to COVID-19 tests.

Prior to the analyses, all numeric variables were z-scored, all serum level values were log-transformed, and we excluded outliers from the samples (2.2 interquartile range below 1st or above 3rd quartile). If participants were tested for SARS-CoV-2 more than once, they were considered positive if at least one test result was positive.

Statistical analyses

The same analyses were performed for each of the two population samples. Data were analysed using R (v. 3.6.0). First, using confirmatory factor analysis in lavaan (v. 0.6-7), we estimated the fit of a latent variable ‘metabolic health', which consisted of waist circumference, serum C-reactive protein levels, a latent variable ‘dyslipidaemia’ (serum HDL and TG levels; with loadings constrained to be equal between the two variables (28)), and two other latent variables, diabetes and hypertension. The latent variable ‘diabetes’ consisted of serum glucose and HbA1c levels, and diabetes diagnosis, while the latent variable ‘hypertension’ consisted of a blood pressure (systolic and diastolic blood pressure), and hypertension diagnosis (Figure 1). The model was estimated using robust maximum likelihood estimation and model's fit was evaluated using common indices: comparative fit index (CFI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR). Acceptable fit was defined as CFI>0.9, RMSEA<0.1, and SRMR<0.08.

Next, we extracted components of the latent variable 'metabolic health' for each participant and entered them in a logistic regression. The outcome variable in logistic regression for Sample 1 was COVID-19 test result, while for Sample 2 the outcome variable was COVID-19-related death.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

In the analyses we used a set of confounding variables to calculate adjusted odds ratio for testing positive for COVID-19: age, sex socioeconomic status, smoking status, ethnic background, test laboratory, and sample origin (6,29–31).

Finally, for Sample 2 we explored how individual factors contributed to the COVID-19-related mortality by using a logistic regression with individual components of metabolic health, instead of the latent variable 'metabolic health'.

Overall, analyses using a latent variables approach allowed us to maximize information shared between different measured variables within the same metabolic domains, e.g. serum glucose levels, HbA1C levels and diabetes diagnosis for the latent variable diabetes. Furthermore, adding continuous measures in this study, such as glucose levels or blood pressure levels, instead of only using diabetes or hypertension diagnosis, enabled us to use more information that is available in the dataset and investigate in depth how continuous metabolic health measures are related to SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 mortality rates.

A script for the analysis of the data as well as the output of statistical software can be found at https://github.com/FilipMorys/COVID_MetS .

Results

Metabolic health and the risk of COVID-19 infections

In Sample 1, the confirmatory factor analysis provided an acceptable model fit (CFI=0.932, RMSEA=0.065, SRMR=0.040). In the logistic regression the relation between metabolic health and the chance of having a positive SARS-CoV-2 test did not reach our pre-set statistical significance threshold (p=0.060; odds ratio 1.10; 95% confidence intervals (CI): 0.996-1.216).

Metabolic health is related to an increased COVID-19-related mortality

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Among the individuals who tested positive, 180 people (16%) died from COVID-19, allowing us to investigate how metabolic health influences COVID-19-related mortality. Here, the confirmatory factor analysis provided an acceptable model fit (CFI=0.923, RMSEA=0.071, SRMR=0.049). Logistic regression showed that obesity-associated metabolic impairment was related to an increased mortality rate among COVID-19 positive individuals (p=0.0006) – adjusted odds ratio: 1.67 (95% CI: 1.25-2.23), pointing to a 67% increase for each unit increase on the metabolic health latent variable (Figure 2). Each unit increase on the metabolic health variable means increased serum glucose levels by 0.20 mmol/l, glycated haemoglobin A1c levels by 3.26 mmol/mol, C-reactive protein levels by 2.14 mg/l, triglyceride levels by 0.67 mmol/l, systolic blood pressure by 7.16 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure by 4.22 mmHg, waist circumference by 18.74 cm, and decreased HDL cholesterol levels by 0.25 mmol/l.

Exploratory analysis with individual components of metabolic health revealed that higher systolic blood pressure, higher serum glucose, and higher waist circumference increased the chance of COVID-19-related mortality – the adjusted odds ratio were 1.30 (95% CI: 1.01-1.68), 1.26 (95% CI: 1.04-1.54), and 1.36 (95% CI: 1.07-1.74), respectively (systolic blood pressure: p=0.044, glucose levels: p=0.018, waist circumference: p=0.014; Figure 2).

Discussion

We investigated whether obesity-associated poor metabolic health, here defined by increased waist circumference, increased TG levels, decreased HDL levels, systemic inflammation, increased glucose and HbA1C levels, increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and the presence of diabetes and hypertension, is a risk factor for COVID-19 infection and mortality. We were able to show that a one unit

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

increase of the latent variable 'metabolic health' results in a 67% higher risk of death because of COVID-19. In contrast, the relation between metabolic health and the likelihood of test positivity was weaker, with an odds ratio of only 1.1 and a confidence interval that included the null effect. In sum, poor metabolic health contributes little to no risk of test positivity but substantially increases the odds of an adverse outcome. A strength of our analysis lies in the fact that the risk factor variables were measured prior to COVID-19 diagnosis and therefore were not influenced by the disease itself or possible treatment. Another is that we controlled for known shared risk factors between obesity and COVID-19 outcomes, such as socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and sex.

The results of our study are in line with previous reports linking obesity and individual components of metabolic health with poor COVID-19 outcomes and death (1,5,7,10,12,18,32). Bansal et al. review some of the physiological mechanisms that might mediate the relationship between obesity, related comorbidities and worse outcomes of COVID-19 (33). These include an enhanced expression of the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), diabetes-related microvascular dysfunction, increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, or activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system related to hypertension (33–35). Recent reports especially highlight the role of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in the pathogenesis of COVID-19, but also the role of IL-6 inhibitors in COVID-19 therapy (36,37). This is important given that adiposity is associated with chronic systemic inflammation, which generally delays immune response to pathogens and can also lead to worse outcomes in COVID-19 patients (35,38–40). In general, our results suggest that a number of these mechanisms might also contribute to increased mortality rates from COVID-19.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Previous studies investigating COVID-19 in the UK Biobank used positive test results obtained between March 16th and April 26th as a proxy of severe COVID-19 (18,41). The rationale for this is that, during this time, only patients admitted to hospitals and with COVID-19-like symptoms were tested for SARS_CoV-2. Here, we decided to not use this approach for several reasons. First, it is possible that individuals with COVID-19-like symptoms for which they were admitted to a hospital but who did not have COVID-19 were only infected after being admitted. In those cases, positive tests would not reflect COVID-19 severity. Second, it is not possible to determine the exact reason for which inpatients were tested for SARS-CoV-2; positive test results might therefore not only reflect severity of COVID-19 disease, but also testing in anticipation of isolating patients admitted for other reasons. For example, patients having to undergo unrelated medical procedures might have undergone precautionary testing. We therefore recommend that studies that used this approach as a proxy for COVID-19 severity be interpreted with caution.

For the interpretation of our and similar results from the UK Biobank, it is important to note that the UK Biobank is not a sample representative of the entire UK population and therefore the findings might not be generalizable (42). Infection and mortality rates calculated here should not be used as an indicator of true prevalence and mortality rate in the general population. Furthermore, observational studies such as ours are prone to collider bias, which has already been identified in UK Biobank COVID-19 investigations (23). Collider bias occurs when the sample population is conditioned on a variable that correlates with the variables of interest. For example, during the time period of the current study, it is thought that health workers were more likely to be tested for SARS-CoV-2, which may have contributed to incorrect conclusion that cigarette smoking is protective, as health care workers have a lower

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

incidence of smoking (23). Our study population includes individuals who were seen in a health care setting, who may therefore have a higher incidence of obesity and poorer metabolic health than the general population. However, it is difficult to see how this would account for the effect of obesity on death from COVID-19 among people who tested positive for the virus.

In addition, COVID-19 testing in the UK during the time period of this study was generally restricted to individuals with symptoms such as fever, cough, or loss of smell or taste – asymptomatic COVID-19 individuals were less likely to be tested. This might further increase the extent of collider bias in this and similar studies investigating the predictors of COVID-19 severity or mortality. Current strategies to account for such bias or measure the extent thereof rely on models and strong assumptions that might be incorrect. We therefore suggest that our findings be interpreted with caution. Nonetheless, our model did account for potential collider variables or confounds such as socioeconomic status, ethnicity and age.

Finally, in this study we only used limited data pertaining to COVID-19 diagnosis or mortality. The seriousness of illness, treatment administered, or actual cause of death were not investigated here.

In sum, we used the UK Biobank dataset to confirm that, in individuals who tested positive for COVID-19 in the early stages of the pandemic, metabolic health, and especially visceral adiposity, hypertension, and serum glucose levels, were associated with an increased risk of death.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Funding

This work was supported by a Foundation Scheme award to AD from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figures



Figure 1 Confirmatory factor analysis model used to derive the latent variable 'metabolic health. Squares indicate measured variables; circles indicate latent variables. HbA1c – glycated haemoglobin A1c; HDL – high density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG – triglycerides; CRP – C-reactive protein.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2 Odds ratio for COVID-19-related death. Circles represent adjusted odds ratios, error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Dietz W, Santos-Burgoa C. Obesity and its Implications for COVID-19 Mortality. *Obesity* (2020) **28**:1005. doi:10.1002/oby.22818
2. Stefan N, Birkenfeld AL, Schulze MB, Ludwig DS. Obesity and impaired metabolic health in patients with COVID-19. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2020) **16**:341–342. doi:10.1038/s41574-020-0364-6
3. Deng L, Zhang J, Wang M, Chen L. Obesity is associated with severe COVID-19 but not death: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Epidemiol Infect* (2021)1–27. doi:10.1017/S0950268820003179
4. Huang Y, Lu Y, Huang YM, Wang M, Ling W, Sui Y, Zhao HL. Obesity in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism* (2020) **113**:154378. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154378
5. Gao F, Zheng KI, Wang XB, Sun QF, Pan KH, Wang TY, Chen YP, Targher G, Byrne CD, George J, et al. Obesity Is a Risk Factor for Greater COVID-19 Severity. *Diabetes Care* (2020) **43**:E72–E74. doi:10.2337/dc20-0682
6. Zhu Z, Hasegawa K, Ma B, Fujiogi M, Camargo CA, Liang L. Association of obesity and its genetic predisposition with the risk of severe COVID-19: Analysis of population-based cohort data. *Metabolism* (2020) **112**:154345. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154345
7. Zhao X, Gang X, He G, Li Z, Lv Y, Han Q, Wang G. Obesity Increases the Severity and Mortality of Influenza and COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2020) **11**:1007. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.595109
8. Martos-Benítez FD, Soler-Morejón CD, García-del Barco D. Chronic comorbidities and clinical outcomes in patients with and without COVID-19: a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

large population-based study using national administrative healthcare open data of Mexico. *Intern Emerg Med* (2021)1. doi:10.1007/s11739-020-02597-5

9. Breland JY, Wong MS, Steers WN, Yuan AH, Haderlein TP, Washington DL. Body Mass Index and Risk for Severe COVID-19 among Veterans Health Administration Patients. *Obesity* (2021)oby.23121. doi:10.1002/oby.23121

10. Jayawardena R, Jeyakumar DT, Misra A, Hills AP, Ranasinghe P. Obesity: A potential risk factor for infection and mortality in the current COVID-19 epidemic. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev* (2020) **14**:2199–2203. doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.11.001

11. Chiappetta S, Sharma AM, Bottino V, Stier C. COVID-19 and the role of chronic inflammation in patients with obesity. *Int J Obes* (2020) **44**:1790–1792. doi:10.1038/s41366-020-0597-4

12. Peña JE de la, Rascón-Pacheco RA, Ascencio-Montiel I de J, González-Figueroa E, Fernández-Gárate JE, Medina-Gómez OS, Borja-Bustamante P, Santillán-Oropeza JA, Borja-Aburto VH. Hypertension, Diabetes and Obesity, Major Risk Factors for Death in Patients With COVID-19 in Mexico. *Arch Med Res* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2020.12.002

13. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith Jr SC, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International. *Circulation* (2009) **120**:1640–1645. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644

14. Yates T, Razieh C, Zaccardi F, Davies MJ, Khunti K. Obesity and risk of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

COVID-19: analysis of UK biobank. *Prim Care Diabetes* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.pcd.2020.05.011

15. de Frel DL, Atsma DE, Pijl H, Seidell JC, Leenen PJM, Dik WA, van Rossum EFC. The Impact of Obesity and Lifestyle on the Immune System and Susceptibility to Infections Such as COVID-19. *Front Nutr* (2020) **7**:597600. doi:10.3389/fnut.2020.597600

16. Townsend MJ, Kyle TK, Stanford FC. Outcomes of COVID-19: disparities in obesity and by ethnicity/race. *Int J Obes* (2020) **44**:1807–1809. doi:10.1038/s41366-020-0635-2

17. Xie J, Zu Y, Alkhatib A, Pham TT, Gill F, Jang A, Radosta S, Chaaya G, Myers L, Zifodya JS, et al. Metabolic Syndrome and COVID-19 Mortality Among Adult Black Patients in New Orleans. *Diabetes Care* (2020)dc201714. doi:10.2337/dc20-1714

18. Hamer M, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Batty GD. Overweight, obesity, and risk of hospitalization for COVID-19: A community-based cohort study of adults in the United Kingdom. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2020)202011086. doi:10.1073/pnas.2011086117

19. Arroyo-Johnson C, Mincey KD. Obesity Epidemiology Worldwide. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* (2016) **45**:571–579. doi:10.1016/j.gtc.2016.07.012

20. Pigeyre M, Rousseaux J, Trouiller P, Dumont J, Goumidi L, Bonte D, Dumont MP, Chmielewski A, Duhamel A, Amouyel P, et al. How obesity relates to socio-economic status: Identification of eating behavior mediators. *Int J Obes* (2016) **40**:1794–1801. doi:10.1038/ijo.2016.109

21. Gaibazzi N, Tuttolomondo D, Guidorossi A, Botti A, Tedeschi A, Martini C,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Mattioli M. Smoking Prevalence is Low in Symptomatic Patients Admitted for COVID-19. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.05.05.20092015

22. Miyara M, Tubach F, POURCHER V, Morelot-Panzini C, Pernet J, Haroche J, Lebbah S, Morawiec E, Gorochov G, Caumes E, et al. Low rate of daily active tobacco smoking in patients with symptomatic COVID-19. *Qeios* (2020) doi:10.32388/wpp19w.4

23. Griffith GJ, Morris TT, Tudball MJ, Herbert A, Mancano G, Pike L, Sharp GC, Sterne J, Palmer TM, Davey Smith G, et al. Collider bias undermines our understanding of COVID-19 disease risk and severity. *Nat Commun* (2020) **11**:5749. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19478-2

24. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, Downey P, Elliott P, Green J, Landray M, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLOS Med* (2015) **12**:e1001779. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779

25. Armstrong J, Rudkin JK, Allen N, Crook DW, Wilson DJ, Wyllie DH, O'connell AM. Dynamic linkage of covid-19 test results between public health england's second generation surveillance system and uk biobank. *Microb Genomics* (2020) **6**:1–9. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000397

26. Elliott P, Peakman TC, UK Biobank. The UK Biobank sample handling and storage protocol for the collection, processing and archiving of human blood and urine. *Int J Epidemiol* (2008) **37**:234–44. doi:10.1093/ije/dym276

27. Townsend P, Phillimore P, Beattie A. *Health and Deprivation: Inequality and the North*. Croom Helm (1988). Available at: https://books.google.ca/books?id=K9kOAAAAQAAJ

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

28. Little TD, Lindenberger U, Nesselroade JR. On selecting indicators for multivariate measurement and modeling with latent variables: When "good" indicators are bad and "bad" indicators are good. *Psychol Methods* (1999) **4**:192–211. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.4.2.192

29. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc* (2020) **323**:1239–1242. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648

30. Goyal P, Choi JJ, Pinheiro LC, Schenck EJ, Chen R, Jabri A, Satlin MJ, Campion TR, Nahid M, Ringel JB, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Covid-19 in New York City. *N Engl J Med* (2020) **382**:2372–2374. doi:10.1056/nejmc2010419

31. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, Rajagopalan H, O'Donnell L, Chernyak Y, Tobin KA, Cerfolio RJ, Francois F, Horwitz LI. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* (2020) **369**: doi:10.1136/bmj.m1966

32. Costa FF, Rosário WR, Ribeiro Farias AC, de Souza RG, Duarte Gondim RS, Barroso WA. Metabolic syndrome and COVID-19: An update on the associated comorbidities and proposed therapies. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev* (2020) **14**:809–814. doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.06.016

33. Bansal R, Gubbi S, Muniyappa R. Metabolic Syndrome and COVID 19: Endocrine-Immune-Vascular Interactions Shapes Clinical Course. *Endocrinology* (2020) **161**:1–15. doi:10.1210/endocr/bqaa112

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

34. Abu-Farha M, Al-Mulla F, Thanaraj TA, Kavalakatt S, Ali H, Abdul Ghani M, Abubaker J. Impact of Diabetes in Patients Diagnosed With COVID-19. *Front Immunol* (2020) **11**:3112. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.576818

35. Mohammad S, Aziz R, Al Mahri S, Malik SS, Haji E, Khan AH, Khatlani TS, Bouchama A. Obesity and COVID-19: what makes obese host so vulnerable? *Immun Ageing* (2021) **18**:1–10. doi:10.1186/s12979-020-00212-x

36. Nasonov E, Samsonov M. The role of Interleukin 6 inhibitors in therapy of severe COVID-19. *Biomed Pharmacother* (2020) **131**:110698. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110698

37. Sinha P, Mostaghim A, Bielick CG, McLaughlin A, Hamer DH, Wetzler LM, Bhadelia N, Fagan MA, Linas BP, Assoumou SA, et al. Early administration of interleukin-6 inhibitors for patients with severe COVID-19 disease is associated with decreased intubation, reduced mortality, and increased discharge. *Int J Infect Dis* (2020) **99**:28–33. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.07.023

38. Costa FF, Rosário WR, Ribeiro Farias AC, de Souza RG, Duarte Gondim RS, Barroso WA. Metabolic syndrome and COVID-19: An update on the associated comorbidities and proposed therapies. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev* (2020) **14**:809–814. doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.06.016

39. Morys F, Dadar M, Dagher A. Obesity impairs cognitive function via metabolic syndrome and cerebrovascular disease: an SEM analysis in 15,000 adults from the UK Biobank. *bioRxiv* (2020)2020.06.26.174086. doi:10.1101/2020.06.26.174086

40. Bansal R, Gubbi S, Muniyappa R. Metabolic Syndrome and COVID 19: Endocrine-Immune-Vascular Interactions Shapes Clinical Course. *Endocrinology* (2020) **161**:1–15. doi:10.1210/endocr/bqaa112

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205716; this version posted January 17, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

41. Kuo C-L, Pilling LC, Atkins JL, Masoli JAH, Delgado J, Kuchel GA, Melzer D. APOE e4 Genotype Predicts Severe COVID-19 in the UK Biobank Community Cohort. *Journals Gerontol Ser A* (2020) **XX**:1–2. doi:10.1093/gerona/glaa131

42. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, Collins R, Allen NE. Comparison of Sociodemographic and Health-Related Characteristics of UK Biobank Participants with Those of the General Population. *Am J Epidemiol* (2017) **186**:1026–1034. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx246