

**High Definition transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (HD-tDCS) for chronic tinnitus: outcomes from a prospective longitudinal large cohort study**

1 **Dr. Jacquemin Laure**<sup>1,2</sup>, Prof. Mertens Griet<sup>1,2</sup>, Dr. Shekhawat Giriraj Singh<sup>3,4</sup>, Prof. Van de  
2 Heyning Paul<sup>1,2</sup>, Prof. Vanderveken Olivier M. <sup>1,2</sup>, Prof. Topsakal Vedat<sup>1,2</sup>, Prof. De Hertogh  
3 Willem<sup>5</sup>,  
4 Dr. Michiels Sarah<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Dr. Beyers Jolien<sup>1,2</sup>, MSc Moyaert Julie<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Van Rompaey  
5 Vincent.<sup>1,2</sup>, Prof. Gilles Annick<sup>1,2,6</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> University Dept. of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck surgery, Antwerp University  
7 Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

8 <sup>2</sup> Dept. of Translational Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Antwerp  
9 University, Wilrijk, Belgium

10 <sup>3</sup> Ear Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom

11 <sup>4</sup> Tinnitus Research Initiative, Regensburg, Germany

12 <sup>5</sup> Dept. of Rehabilitation Sciences and Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health  
13 Sciences, Antwerp University, Wilrijk, Belgium

14 <sup>6</sup> Department of Education, Health & Social Work, University College Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

15 Corresponding author: Laure Jacquemin, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and  
16 Neck Surgery, Antwerp University Hospital (UZA), Wilrijkstraat 10 2650 Edegem,  
17 [laure.jacquemin@uza.be](mailto:laure.jacquemin@uza.be)

18

19

20 Abstract

21 **Background:** Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) aims to induce cortical  
22 plasticity by modulating the activity of brain structures. The broad stimulation pattern, which  
23 is one of the main limitations of tDCS, can be overcome with the recently developed  
24 technique called High-Definition tDCS (HD-tDCS).

25 **Objective:** Investigation of the effect of HD-tDCS on tinnitus in a large patient cohort.

26 **Methods:** This prospective study included 117 patients with chronic, subjective, non-pulsatile  
27 tinnitus who received six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of the right Dorsolateral Prefrontal  
28 Cortex (DLPFC). Therapy effects were assessed by use of a set of standardized tinnitus  
29 questionnaires filled out at the pre-therapy ( $T_{pre}$ ), post-therapy ( $T_{3w}$ ) and follow-up visit ( $T_{10w}$ ).  
30 Besides collecting the questionnaire data, the perceived effect (i.e. self-report) was also  
31 documented at  $T_{10w}$ .

32 **Results:** The Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) and Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ) total scores  
33 improved significantly over time ( $p_{TFI} < .01$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .01$ ), with the following significant post-hoc  
34 comparisons:  $T_{pre}$  vs.  $T_{10w}$  ( $p_{TFI} < .05$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .05$ ) and  $T_{3w}$  vs.  $T_{10w}$  ( $p_{TFI} < .01$  ;  $p_{TQ} < .01$ ). The  
35 percentage of patients reporting an improvement of their tinnitus at  $T_{10w}$  was 47%. Further  
36 analysis revealed a significant effect of gender with female patients showing a larger  
37 improvement on the TFI and TQ ( $p_{TFI} < .01$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .05$ ).

38 **Conclusions:** The current study reported the effects of HD-tDCS in a large tinnitus  
39 population. HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC resulted in a significant improvement of the tinnitus  
40 perception, with a larger improvement for the female tinnitus patients.

41 **Keywords:** tinnitus, treatment, neuromodulation, non-invasive brain stimulation, transcranial  
42 direct current stimulation (tDCS), high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation  
43 (HD tDCS), large cohort, gender

44

45

46        1. Introduction

47        Subjective tinnitus is the perception of a sound in the absence of an internal or external  
48        source, which is often perceived as sizzling, hissing or ringing (Baguley et al., 2013).  
49        Approximately 10-15% of the adult population experiences this symptom, with 2.4% reporting  
50        a considerable amount of distress and a negative impact on their quality of life (Baguley et  
51        al., 2013, Axelsson and Ringdahl, 1989, Cardon et al., 2019). It is hypothesized that tinnitus  
52        results from maladaptive brain plasticity following hearing damage, which involves a wide  
53        network of cortical areas (e.g. central auditory system) and subcortical structures (e.g. limbic  
54        system). However, the precise pathophysiology of tinnitus is not yet fully understood (De  
55        Ridder et al., 2014, Baguley et al., 2013).

56        The cerebral cortex can be stimulated with transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS),  
57        which is a neuromodulation technique that aims to induce cortical plasticity and modulate the  
58        activity of the malfunctioning brain structures responsible for tinnitus (Langguth and De  
59        Ridder, 2011). The two frequently used sites of stimulation documented in literature for  
60        tinnitus relief are: Left Temporal Area (LTA) or Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLPFC) (Song  
61        et al., 2012b, Fregni et al., 2006, Rabau et al., 2017, Shekhawat et al., 2013, Faber et al.,  
62        2012, Frank et al., 2012, Lefaucheur et al., 2017). There exists only limited evidence on the  
63        neurophysiological basis of tDCS due to the involvement of multiple brain areas in tinnitus  
64        and the non-focal stimulation by tDCS. It might be that tDCS of the LTA also activates  
65        surrounding cortical areas which, by inhibitory connections or neural competition, decreases  
66        the activity of other tinnitus-related areas (Fregni et al., 2006). Stimulation of the DLPFC, on  
67        the other hand, may strengthen deficient inhibitory top-down mechanisms in tinnitus,  
68        inducing auditory sensory gating in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Song et al., 2012b).  
69        Moreover, a resting-state electroencephalography (EEG) study by Vanneste and De Ridder  
70        (2011) showed that tDCS of the DLPFC was able to suppress tinnitus by modulating the  
71        primary auditory cortex, the parahippocampus, and the ACC. Hence, tDCS of the DLPFC  
72        influences the functionally connected tinnitus-related areas.

73 The effect of tDCS on the tinnitus perception was reviewed by Song et al. (2012b). They  
74 found that 39.5% of the tinnitus patients responded to tDCS with a mean tinnitus intensity  
75 reduction of 13.5% on a visual analogue scale for loudness (VAS). However, according to  
76 Lefaucheur et al. (2017), there is level of evidence B (i.e. probable inefficacy) for anodal  
77 tDCS of the LTA to relieve chronic tinnitus. In other words, the clinical effects of stimulating  
78 the LTA for tinnitus are probably absent. Recommendations regarding the potential efficacy  
79 of tDCS targeting the DLPFC, on the other hand, could not be made at that point, as studies  
80 on this topic were limited.

81 Since there is high variability in the results with tDCS, several efforts have been made in  
82 literature to identify potential clinical characteristics of participants who respond to tDCS. The  
83 influence of gender was documented by Frank et al. (2012), with women having significantly  
84 better response to tDCS for their tinnitus suppression. Furthermore, Vanneste et al. (2011b)  
85 showed that transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) may predict therapy outcomes with  
86 tDCS. Responders to tDCS also differ in the resting-state brain activity in the right auditory  
87 cortex and parahippocampal area, as well as in the functional connectivity between DLPFC  
88 and the subgenual ACC and parahippocampal area, as discussed by Vanneste et al.  
89 (2011a). Nevertheless, these factors are not sufficient to explain the high inter-individual  
90 variability in response to tDCS. Moreover, replication of these results is necessary, as most  
91 studies' sample sizes are too small to find significant predictive factors.

92 The broad stimulation pattern, which is one of the main limitations of tDCS (Parazzini et al.,  
93 2012), can be overcome with the recently developed technique called High-Definition tDCS  
94 (HD-tDCS), using multiple microelectrodes instead of large sponge electrodes. As a result,  
95 the focality of HD-tDCS is increased with limited depth of penetration (Datta et al., 2009, Kuo  
96 et al., 2013, Villamar et al., 2013a) and a more unidirectional modulation (Edwards et al.,  
97 2013, Villamar et al., 2013b). In a pilot study on HD-tDCS, it was shown that the results with  
98 this technique were similar to the results with tDCS, reaching a clinically significant  
99 improvement in 31% of the 39 patients on the Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) (Jacquemin et

100 al., 2018). Furthermore, HD-tDCS had some practical advantages compared to tDCS for the  
101 clinician and the patients (i.e. fewer side-effects and easier to administer the stimulation of  
102 the targeted area) (Jacquemin et al., 2018). This study confirmed the positive results of HD-  
103 tDCS indicated by Shekhawat et al. (2016), showing a reduction in tinnitus loudness or  
104 annoyance of at least one point in 78% of the 27 patients. That effect was also significant  
105 when compared with a sham session (Shekhawat and Vanneste, 2017). Moreover, these  
106 authors indicated the safety of this electrical stimulation, with tingling, scalp pain and  
107 sleepiness being the most common transient sensations experienced during the stimulation.  
108 Hence, the results with HD-tDCS are promising. Yet, there is a need for studies with larger  
109 sample sizes to confirm these results and detect possible associated factors for effect of HD-  
110 tDCS on tinnitus perception.

111 The primary objective of this study was to establish the percentage of responders with a  
112 large sample size. The secondary objectives were to investigate which tinnitus parameters  
113 were changing after HD-tDCS and if there were factors associated with the change in tinnitus  
114 severity. The predictive value of the following factors was analyzed: age, gender, type of  
115 tinnitus, side of tinnitus, tinnitus etiology and/or tinnitus duration.

## 116 2. Material and methods

### 117 2.1 *Study design*

118 This prospective longitudinal cohort study was conducted at the Antwerp University Hospital.  
119 The clinical effects of six sessions of HD-tDCS with two sessions weekly were investigated.  
120 A set of standardized tinnitus questionnaires were filled out at three time points: pre-therapy  
121 ( $T_{pre}$ ), post-therapy ( $T_{3w}$ ) and follow-up visit ( $T_{10w}$ ; i.e. seven weeks post-stimulation). Tinnitus  
122 handicap, tinnitus severity, tinnitus loudness, the presence of hyperacusis and anxiety or  
123 depression disorders were evaluated respectively by means of the Dutch versions of the TFI,  
124 Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ), VAS, Hyperacusis Questionnaire (HQ) and Hospital Anxiety

125 and Depression Scale (HADS). The questionnaires were filled out on a touch-screen desktop  
126 and the scoring was computerized.

127 Besides collecting the questionnaire data, the perceived effect (i.e. self-report) was also  
128 documented at  $T_{10w}$  by asking an open-ended question: "To which extent did you perceive a  
129 change in your tinnitus perception during and after the HD-tDCS treatment?"

## 130 *2.2 Subjects*

131 A total of 117 patients with chronic, subjective, non-pulsatile tinnitus met the criteria for  
132 eligibility (i.e. taking into account contraindications, such as severe brain injuries, presence of  
133 metallic devices or implants in the head, or significant skin lesions (Villamar et al., 2013a))  
134 and were included in the present study. Patients with a middle ear pathology or patients who  
135 had another tinnitus treatment ongoing were excluded. The demographics and tinnitus-  
136 related details of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The hearing thresholds are  
137 illustrated in Figure 1.

138 *[Table 1 + Figure 1 near here]*

## 139 *2.3 HD-tDCS*

140 Each patient received a total of six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of right DLPFC with two  
141 sessions weekly and a minimum washout period of one day. This schedule was based on the  
142 recommendations of Shekhawat and Vanneste (2018), suggesting six tDCS sessions over  
143 three weeks' time. The electrodes were positioned according to the 10/20 international  
144 system for EEG electrode placement, with the central anode at F4 and the adjoining  
145 cathodes at AF4, FC4, F6 and F2 (Figure 2). The electrodes were silver/silver chloride  
146 (Ag/AgCl) ring electrodes with a respectively inner and outer radius of 6 and 12 mm. The  
147 current intensity of 2 mA was applied with a fade-in and fade-out of 20 seconds for 20  
148 minutes, delivered by a battery-driven 1x1 tDCS low-intensity stimulator and 4x1  
149 multichannel stimulation adaptor (Soterix Medical Inc, New York, NY), following the HD-tDCS  
150 stimulation guidelines (Villamar et al., 2013a).

151 *[Figure 2 near here]*

152

#### 153 *2.4 Outcome measurements*

154 The TFI consists of 25 questions evaluating the severity and negative impact of tinnitus,  
155 covering eight tinnitus domains (i.e. intrusiveness, sense of control, cognitive complaints,  
156 sleep disturbance, auditory difficulties, relaxation, quality of life and emotional distress)  
157 (Meikle et al., 2012, Rabau et al., 2014). Answers have to be given on a Likert scale from 0  
158 to 10, resulting in a total score ranging from 0-100, with higher scores denoting higher levels  
159 of tinnitus severity. It has been indicated that an improvement of 13 points or more can be  
160 interpreted as a clinically significant improvement (Meikle et al., 2012). Assessing treatment-  
161 related changes in tinnitus is the main goal of the TFI (Meikle et al., 2012, Fackrell and  
162 Hoare, 2014, Jacquemin et al., 2019).

163 The TQ is a 52-item questionnaire scoring the tinnitus severity, covering five tinnitus domains  
164 (i.e. cognitive and emotional distress, intrusiveness, auditory difficulties, sleep disturbance,  
165 and somatic complaints). Patients have to score each question on a three-point scale,  
166 resulting in a total score ranging from 0-84, with higher scores indicating higher distress  
167 levels. A clinically significant improvement has been suggested when TQ improves with 12  
168 points or more (Hall et al., 2018). Assessing changes and relationships between different  
169 aspects of complaint and other psychological variables to tinnitus is the main goal of the TQ  
170 (Fackrell and Hoare, 2014, Hallam, 2008, Meeus et al., 2007).

171 The mean tinnitus loudness was scored through a VAS from 0-100 with the help of a ruler  
172 and anchored at 0 - 'no audible tinnitus' and 100 - 'extremely loud' (Adamchic et al., 2012).

173 When the tinnitus was bilateral, the maximum score of both ears had been taken into  
174 account in the statistical analysis of the current study.

175 Hypersensitivity to sounds was rated with the HQ, which consists of 14 self-rating items.  
176 Answers had to be given on a 4-point scale, with a total score of more than 28 representing  
177 strong auditory hypersensitivity (Khalifa et al., 2002).

178 The HADS is a self-report screening scale for anxiety and depression disorders, consisting of  
179 14 items with four answer possibilities for each question. A cutoff score of eight points or  
180 more has been suggested to screen for these disorders (Spinhoven et al., 1997, Wilkinson  
181 and Barczak, 1988, Zigmond and Snaith, 1983).

## 182 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

183 The current study aimed to investigate (1) the effect of HD-tDCS on tinnitus distress as  
184 measured by a set of tinnitus questionnaires and (2) predictive factors for evolutions in these  
185 questionnaires' outcomes. There were three repeated measurements for each individual,  
186 with the exception of data missing for one individual at  $T_{3w}$  and for eight individuals at  $T_{10w}$ .  
187 The first research question was investigated using linear mixed models, which included time  
188 as fixed effect and a random intercept, accounting for the nonindependence between the  
189 observations taken from the same individual. Either TFI, TQ, VAS, HADS or HQ were  
190 entered as dependent variable. If the effect of time was significant, a post hoc analysis with  
191 Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was conducted. Responders were determined by  
192 calculating the change in questionnaire (i.e.  $T_{pre} - T_{10w}$ ). To test the second research  
193 question, a similar linear mixed model was constructed, starting with a model including all  
194 fixed effects and their interactions for possible predictive factors, which was simplified using  
195 stepwise backward elimination with a significance level of  $p \leq .05$ .

## 196 *2.6 Ethics committee approval*

197 The Committee for Medical Ethics of the University Hospital Antwerp approved the study  
198 (B300201630084). All participants gave written informed consent prior to any treatment.

## 199 3. Results

### 200 3.1 Questionnaires

201 The six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC resulted in a significant  
202 improvement on the TFI total score ( $p < .01$ ) and the TQ total score ( $p < .01$ ). As illustrated in  
203 figure 3, there was high variability in the evolution of these scores from  $T_{pre}$  to  $T_{10w}$ . The TFI  
204 indicated an improvement (i.e. a decrease in total score) in 58% of the patients with a  
205 clinically significant improvement in 27%, while the TQ indicated an improvement (i.e. a  
206 decrease in total score) in 53% with a clinically significant improvement in 17%. Post-hoc  
207 comparisons showed significant changes from  $T_{pre}$  to  $T_{10w}$  ( $p_{TFI} < .05$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .05$ ) and from  $T_{3w}$   
208 to  $T_{10w}$  ( $p_{TFI} < .01$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .01$ ). The other questionnaires (i.e. HADS, HQ, VAS) did not change  
209 significantly.

210 *[Figure 3 near here]*

211

212 To explore these significant changes in TFI and TQ in-depth, we investigated the effect on  
213 the corresponding subscales. The following TFI subscales changed significantly over time:  
214 intrusiveness ( $p < .01$ ), sense of control ( $p < .01$ ), cognition ( $p < .05$ ), relaxation ( $p < .05$ ) and  
215 emotional distress ( $p < .05$ ). For the TQ, intrusiveness ( $p < .01$ ), emotional and cognitive  
216 distress ( $p < .05$ ) also changed significantly.

### 217 3.2 Self-report

218 Participants were asked about side-effects and perceived improvement at  $T_{10w}$ . A total of  
219 47% reported an improvement after HD-tDCS, for example a decrease in mean and/or  
220 maximum loudness of the tinnitus or a better coping mechanism. In general, HD-tDCS was  
221 well tolerated by the patients. A total of five out of the 117 patients reported once side-effects  
222 during the stimulation, such as tingling, itching, headache, burning or feeling blurry. However,  
223 two patients reported headache complaints for a few hours after each stimulation session  
224 and one patient experienced an aggravation of the tinnitus symptoms during the treatment

225 and thus needed counseling afterward. The stimulation did not have to be adjusted, as the  
226 side-effects were tolerable.

### 227 3.3 Predictive factors

228 To find possible predictive factors, a model was constructed based on time (i.e. three  
229 repeated measurements), age, gender, type of tinnitus, side of tinnitus, tinnitus etiology and  
230 tinnitus duration. After correction for different intercepts between male and female patients,  
231 the effect of gender had a significant influence on the evolution of the TFI and TQ over time  
232 ( $p_{TFI} < .01$ ;  $p_{TQ} < .05$ ), including the following TFI subscales: intrusiveness ( $p < .01$ ), quality of  
233 life ( $p < .01$ ) and emotional distress ( $p < .01$ ) and the following TQ subscale: emotional and  
234 cognitive distress subscale ( $p < .01$ ). More specifically, the female patients showed a larger  
235 improvement on the TFI after HD-tDCS (figure 4). The remaining factors were removed from  
236 the model due to non-significance.

237 *[Figure 4 near here]*

## 238 4. Discussion

239 To our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated repeated sessions of HD-tDCS in a  
240 large sample of chronic tinnitus patients. A total of 47% of the tinnitus patients in the current  
241 study reported a positive effect of anodal HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC at the follow-up visit.  
242 Furthermore, the total scores of the TFI and TQ changed significantly over time, in particular  
243 from pre-therapy to follow-up visit and from post-therapy to follow-up visit. The analysis of the  
244 corresponding subscales showed a decrease in the intrusiveness of the tinnitus, cognitive  
245 difficulties, emotional and cognitive distress and an increase in the ability to relax and sense  
246 of control over the tinnitus. Moreover, the exploration of possible predictive factors showed a  
247 remarkable influence of gender on the HD-tDCS effect with women experiencing a larger  
248 improvement after HD-tDCS.

249 There is high inter-individual variability in the effects of HD-tDCS, which also has been  
250 reported in other studies investigating neuromodulation techniques (Frank et al., 2012,

251 Langguth et al., 2008, Rabau et al., 2017). This variability impedes the predictability of the  
252 clinical effects for an individual in particular. Hence, this emphasizes the importance of taking  
253 into account the tinnitus heterogeneity (Cederroth et al., 2019) and the determination of  
254 different ‘tinnitus profiles’ (Van de Heyning et al., 2015). The gender difference, which was  
255 also found in a tDCS study by Frank et al. (2012), may play an important role in this context.  
256 Firstly, it has been shown that women report higher levels of tinnitus severity or emotional  
257 reaction to their tinnitus (Dineen et al., 1997, Erlandsson and Holgers, 2001) prior to therapy,  
258 which was also found in the current study. Secondly, the neural activity in tinnitus-related  
259 areas, such as the ACC and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), differs between men and women  
260 during emotional processing and regulation (Butler et al., 2005, Mak et al., 2009, De Ridder  
261 et al., 2014). Moreover, Vanneste et al. (2012) showed that the beta activity in the prefrontal  
262 cortex in female tinnitus patients is increased, with increased functional alpha connectivity  
263 between the OFC, insula, subgenual ACC, parahippocampal areas and the auditory cortex.  
264 These areas may be modulated by neuromodulation of the DLPFC (Keeser et al., 2011,  
265 Vanneste and De Ridder, 2011). Finally, previous research also showed that effects of tDCS  
266 over various brain areas may be gender-dependent in healthy subjects (Chaieb et al., 2008,  
267 Boggio et al., 2008, Fumagalli et al., 2010). Overall, the influence of gender found in the  
268 current study might be explained by a difference in neural activity in tinnitus-related areas  
269 between men and women, which leads to various effects of transcranial stimulation of these  
270 areas.

271 Besides analyzing predictive factors, the aim of this study was to establish the percentage of  
272 responders with a large sample size. These results showed a clinically significant  
273 improvement on the TFI in 27% of the patients, whereas a previous study with 39  
274 participants showed a slightly larger effect in 31% (Jacquemin et al., 2018). Particularly, the  
275 current study indicated a decrease in the intrusiveness of the tinnitus, the emotional distress  
276 and the cognitive difficulties and an increase in the ability to relax and the sense of control  
277 over the tinnitus. A previous study found similar results, however quality of life was also

278 changing and intrusiveness and relaxation were not improving (Jacquemin et al., 2018).  
279 Moreover, the TQ did evolve significantly over time in the current study, whereas a previous  
280 study did not find a significant effect for the TQ (Jacquemin et al., 2018). In contrast to the  
281 study of Shekhawat and Vanneste (2017), the tinnitus loudness did not change significantly,  
282 possibly due to a different assessment of the tinnitus loudness. However, the current results  
283 have to be interpreted with caution, as this study was a clinical trial without a sham-group.  
284 Previous research on (HD-) tDCS included sham-controlled trials. It was shown that there  
285 were no significant effects on loudness, annoyance, anxiety or depression after sham tDCS.  
286 In addition, fewer longer-lasting effects were present (Shekhawat and Vanneste, 2017, Garin  
287 et al., 2011, Faber et al., 2012, Song et al., 2012a). Furthermore, a delayed-start group of  
288 cervical physical therapy for tinnitus did not improve on the TFI total score during a 6 weeks  
289 wait-and-see period (Michiels et al., 2016), indicating no spontaneous improvement of the  
290 tinnitus perception. Taken together, these results suggest that a sham group or waiting group  
291 does not result in effects on the tinnitus perception. Nevertheless, studies following-up on  
292 this trial should aim to exclude the placebo effect.

293 As HD-tDCS appears to be a safe and well-tolerated neuromodulation technique, this  
294 technique is promising for tinnitus research. Besides the limited side-effects for patients, the  
295 treatment is also easy to administer because of the 10/20 EEG cap (Jacquemin et al., 2018).  
296 However, the discrepancy between the self-report (i.e. improvement in 47%) and the  
297 subjective questionnaires (i.e. clinically significant improvement in 17-27%) questions the  
298 appropriate outcome measure, which is especially important for future explorative studies of  
299 innovative treatments. Moreover, the assessment of the therapy outcome during a follow-up  
300 visit seems important, as the treatment-related changes were most salient at that point in  
301 time and the influence of the act of undergoing therapy could be less. The long-term effect of  
302 the HD-tDCS stimulation in the current study is in contrast with the results of previous tDCS  
303 studies in tinnitus (Jacquemin et al., 2018, Shekhawat et al., 2015), suggesting that this  
304 could be a beneficial effect of HD-tDCS (Kuo et al., 2013). However, it does not rule out the

305 possible influence of other differences in study design, such as sample size and outcome  
306 measures.

307 In the last years, tinnitus research is aiming to improve the effectiveness of tDCS. The  
308 evolution to  
309 HD-tDCS resulted in practical advantages, but without reaching significantly better results  
310 compared to tDCS (Jacquemin et al., 2018). Future studies should elaborate on the  
311 predictive factors for HD-tDCS success. Moreover, the effects of simultaneous dual-site  
312 stimulation may be explored.

### 313 5. Conclusions

314 The current study is the first to report on the effects of HD-tDCS in a large tinnitus population.  
315 A total of 47% of the tinnitus patients reported a positive effect of anodal HD-tDCS of the  
316 right DLPFC at the follow-up visit, with a larger improvement on the TFI total score for the  
317 female tinnitus patients. Future research should include a sham group and focus on  
318 increasing the effectiveness of HD-tDCS and searching for additional predictive factors.

### 319 Acknowledgments

320 We gratefully acknowledge the statistical support of Kristien Wouters.

### 321 Funding

322 The present research is financially supported by VLAIO (Agentschap Innoveren en  
323 Ondernemen) and a research grant from the FWO (Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk onderzoek  
324 Vlaanderen, Egmontstraat 5, 1000 Brussels) (T001916 N).

325

326

327

328 References

329

- 330 ADAMCHIC, I., LANGGUTH, B., HAUPTMANN, C. & TASS, P. A. 2012. Psychometric evaluation of visual  
331 analog scale for the assessment of chronic tinnitus. *Am J Audiol*, 21, 215-25.
- 332 AXELSSON, A. & RINGDAHL, A. 1989. Tinnitus—a study of its prevalence and characteristics. *British*  
333 *Journal of Audiology*, 23, 53-62.
- 334 BAGULEY, D., MCFERRAN, D. & HALL, D. 2013. Tinnitus. *Lancet*, 382, 1600-7.
- 335 BOGGIO, P. S., ROCHA, R. R., DA SILVA, M. T. & FREGNI, F. 2008. Differential modulatory effects of  
336 transcranial direct current stimulation on a facial expression go-no-go task in males and  
337 females. *Neurosci Lett*, 447, 101-5.
- 338 BUTLER, T., PAN, H., EPSTEIN, J., PROTOPOPESCU, X., TUESCHER, O., GOLDSTEIN, M., CLOITRE, M.,  
339 YANG, Y., PHELPS, E., GORMAN, J., LEDOUX, J., STERN, E. & SILBERSWEIG, D. 2005. Fear-  
340 related activity in subgenual anterior cingulate differs between men and women.  
341 *Neuroreport*, 16, 1233-6.
- 342 CARDON, E., JACQUEMIN, L., MERTENS, G., VAN DE HEYNING, P., VANDERVEKEN, O. M., TOPSAKAL,  
343 V., DE HERTOGH, W., MICHIELS, S., VAN ROMPAEY, V. & GILLES, A. 2019. Cognitive  
344 Performance in Chronic Tinnitus Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study Using the RBANS-H.  
345 *Otology & Neurotology*, 40, e876-e882.
- 346 CEDERROTH, C. R., GALLUS, S., HALL, D. A., KLEINJUNG, T., LANGGUTH, B., MARUOTTI, A., MEYER, M.,  
347 NORENA, A., PROBST, T., PRYSS, R., SEARCHFIELD, G., SHEKHAWAT, G., SPILIOPOULOU, M.,  
348 VANNESTE, S. & SCHLEE, W. 2019. Editorial: Towards an Understanding of Tinnitus  
349 Heterogeneity. *Front Aging Neurosci*, 11, 53.
- 350 CHAIEB, L., ANTAL, A. & PAULUS, W. 2008. Gender-specific modulation of short-term neuroplasticity  
351 in the visual cortex induced by transcranial direct current stimulation. *Vis Neurosci*, 25, 77-  
352 81.
- 353 DATTA, A., BANSAL, V., DIAZ, J., PATEL, J., REATO, D. & BIKSON, M. 2009. Gyri-precise head model of  
354 transcranial direct current stimulation: improved spatial focality using a ring electrode versus  
355 conventional rectangular pad. *Brain Stimul*, 2, 201-7, 207.e1.
- 356 DE RIDDER, D., VANNESTE, S., WEISZ, N., LONDERO, A., SCHLEE, W., ELGOYHEN, A. B. & LANGGUTH,  
357 B. 2014. An integrative model of auditory phantom perception: tinnitus as a unified percept  
358 of interacting separable subnetworks. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 44, 16-32.
- 359 DINEEN, R., DOYLE, J. & BENCH, J. 1997. Audiological and Psychological Characteristics of a Group of  
360 Tinnitus Sufferers, Prior to Tinnitus Management Training. *British Journal of Audiology*, 31,  
361 27-38.
- 362 EDWARDS, D., CORTES, M., DATTA, A., MINHAS, P., WASSERMANN, E. M. & BIKSON, M. 2013.  
363 Physiological and modeling evidence for focal transcranial electrical brain stimulation in  
364 humans: a basis for high-definition tDCS. *Neuroimage*, 74, 266-75.
- 365 ERLANDSSON, S. & HOLGERS, K.-M. 2001. The impact of perceived tinnitus severity on health-related  
366 quality of life with aspects of gender. *Noise and Health*, 3, 39-51.
- 367 FABER, M., VANNESTE, S., FREGNI, F. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012. Top down prefrontal affective  
368 modulation of tinnitus with multiple sessions of tDCS of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Brain*  
369 *Stimul*, 5, 492-8.
- 370 FACKRELL, K. & HOARE, D. 2014. Questionnaires to Measure Tinnitus Severity. *ENT & Audiology news*,  
371 22.
- 372 FRANK, E., SCHECKLMANN, M., LANDGREBE, M., BURGER, J., KREUZER, P., POEPL, T. B., KLEINJUNG,  
373 T., HAJAK, G. & LANGGUTH, B. 2012. Treatment of chronic tinnitus with repeated sessions of  
374 prefrontal transcranial direct current stimulation: outcomes from an open-label pilot study. *J*  
375 *Neurol*, 259, 327-33.
- 376 FREGNI, F., MARCONDES, R., BOGGIO, P. S., MARCOLIN, M. A., RIGONATTI, S. P., SANCHEZ, T. G.,  
377 NITSCHKE, M. A. & PASCUAL-LEONE, A. 2006. Transient tinnitus suppression induced by

- 378 repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation. *Eur J*  
379 *Neurol*, 13, 996-1001.
- 380 FUMAGALLI, M., VERGARI, M., PASQUALETTI, P., MARCEGLIA, S., MAMELI, F., FERRUCCI, R., MRAKIC-  
381 SPOSTA, S., ZAGO, S., SARTORI, G., PRAVETTONI, G., BARBIERI, S., CAPPA, S. & PRIORI, A.  
382 2010. Brain switches utilitarian behavior: does gender make the difference? *PLoS One*, 5,  
383 e8865.
- 384 GARIN, P., GILAIN, C., VAN DAMME, J. P., DE FAYS, K., JAMART, J., OSSEMANN, M. &  
385 VANDERMEEREN, Y. 2011. Short- and long-lasting tinnitus relief induced by transcranial  
386 direct current stimulation. *J Neurol*, 258, 1940-8.
- 387 HALL, D. A., MEHTA, R. L. & ARGSTATTER, H. 2018. Interpreting the Tinnitus Questionnaire (German  
388 version): what individual differences are clinically important? *Int J Audiol*, 57, 553-557.
- 389 HALLAM, R. S. 2008. *TQ Manual of the Tinnitus Questionnaire: Revised and updated*, London,  
390 Polpresa Press.
- 391 JACQUEMIN, L., MERTENS, G., VAN DE HEYNING, P., VANDERVEKEN, O. M., TOPSAKAL, V., DE  
392 HERTOOGH, W., MICHIELS, S., VAN ROMPAEY, V. & GILLES, A. 2019. Sensitivity to change and  
393 convergent validity of the Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) and the Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ):  
394 Clinical and research perspectives. *Hearing Research*, 382, 107796.
- 395 JACQUEMIN, L., SHEKHAWAT, G. S., VAN DE HEYNING, P., MERTENS, G., FRANSEN, E., VAN ROMPAEY,  
396 V., TOPSAKAL, V., MOYAERT, J., BEYERS, J. & GILLES, A. 2018. Effects of Electrical Stimulation  
397 in Tinnitus Patients: Conventional Versus High-Definition tDCS. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*,  
398 32, 714-723.
- 399 KEESER, D., PADBERG, F., REISINGER, E., POGARELL, O., KIRSCH, V., PALM, U., KARCH, S., MOLLER, H.  
400 J., NITSCHKE, M. A. & MULERT, C. 2011. Prefrontal direct current stimulation modulates  
401 resting EEG and event-related potentials in healthy subjects: a standardized low resolution  
402 tomography (sLORETA) study. *Neuroimage*, 55, 644-57.
- 403 KHALFA, S., DUBAL, S., VEUILLET, E., PEREZ-DIAZ, F., JOUVENT, R. & COLLET, L. 2002. Psychometric  
404 normalization of a hyperacusis questionnaire. *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec*, 64, 436-42.
- 405 KUO, H. I., BIKSON, M., DATTA, A., MINHAS, P., PAULUS, W., KUO, M. F. & NITSCHKE, M. A. 2013.  
406 Comparing cortical plasticity induced by conventional and high-definition 4 x 1 ring tDCS: a  
407 neurophysiological study. *Brain Stimul*, 6, 644-8.
- 408 LANGGUTH, B. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011. Neuromodulation: Introduction. In: MØLLER, A. R., LANGGUTH,  
409 B., DE RIDDER, D. & KLEINJUNG, T. (eds.) *Textbook of Tinnitus*. New York, NY: Springer New  
410 York.
- 411 LANGGUTH, B., DE RIDDER, D., DORNHOFFER, J. L., EICHHAMMER, P., FOLMER, R. L., FRANK, E.,  
412 FREGNI, F., GERLOFF, C., KHEDR, E., KLEINJUNG, T., LANDGREBE, M., LEE, S., LEFAUCHEUR, J.  
413 P., LONDERO, A., MARCONDES, R., MOLLER, A. R., PASCUAL-LEONE, A., PLEWNIA, C., ROSSI,  
414 S., SANCHEZ, T., SAND, P., SCHLEE, W., PYSCH, D., STEFFENS, T., VAN DE HEYNING, P. &  
415 HAJAK, G. 2008. Controversy: Does repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation/ transcranial  
416 direct current stimulation show efficacy in treating tinnitus patients? *Brain Stimul*, 1, 192-  
417 205.
- 418 LEFAUCHEUR, J. P., ANTAL, A., AYACHE, S. S., BENNINGER, D. H., BRUNELIN, J., COGIAMANIAN, F.,  
419 COTELLI, M., DE RIDDER, D., FERRUCCI, R., LANGGUTH, B., MARANGOLO, P., MYLIUS, V.,  
420 NITSCHKE, M. A., PADBERG, F., PALM, U., POULET, E., PRIORI, A., ROSSI, S., SCHECKLMANN,  
421 M., VANNESTE, S., ZIEMANN, U., GARCIA-LARREA, L. & PAULUS, W. 2017. Evidence-based  
422 guidelines on the therapeutic use of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS). *Clin*  
423 *Neurophysiol*, 128, 56-92.
- 424 MAK, A. K., HU, Z. G., ZHANG, J. X., XIAO, Z. & LEE, T. M. 2009. Sex-related differences in neural  
425 activity during emotion regulation. *Neuropsychologia*, 47, 2900-8.
- 426 MEEUS, O., BLAIVIE, C. & VAN DE HEYNING, P. 2007. Validation of the Dutch and the French version  
427 of the Tinnitus Questionnaire. *B-ent*, 3 Suppl 7, 11-7.
- 428 MEIKLE, M. B., HENRY, J. A., GRIEST, S. E., STEWART, B. J., ABRAMS, H. B., MCARDLE, R., MYERS, P. J.,  
429 NEWMAN, C. W., SANDRIDGE, S., TURK, D. C., FOLMER, R. L., FREDERICK, E. J., HOUSE, J. W.,

- 430 JACOBSON, G. P., KINNEY, S. E., MARTIN, W. H., NAGLER, S. M., REICH, G. E., SEARCHFIELD,  
431 G., SWEETOW, R. & VERNON, J. A. 2012. The tinnitus functional index: development of a new  
432 clinical measure for chronic, intrusive tinnitus. *Ear Hear*, 33, 153-76.
- 433 MICHIELS, S., VAN DE HEYNING, P., TRUIJEN, S., HALLEMANS, A. & DE HERTOOGH, W. 2016. Does  
434 multi-modal cervical physical therapy improve tinnitus in patients with cervicogenic somatic  
435 tinnitus? *Man Ther*, 26, 125-131.
- 436 PARAZZINI, M., FIOCCHI, S. & RAVAZZANI, P. 2012. Electric field and current density distribution in an  
437 anatomical head model during transcranial direct current stimulation for tinnitus treatment.  
438 *Bioelectromagnetics*, 33, 476-87.
- 439 RABAU, S., SHEKHAWAT, G. S., ABOSERIA, M., GRIEPP, D., VAN ROMPAEY, V., BIKSON, M. & VAN DE  
440 HEYNING, P. 2017. Comparison of the Long-Term Effect of Positioning the Cathode in tDCS in  
441 Tinnitus Patients. *Front Aging Neurosci*, 9, 217.
- 442 SHEKHAWAT, G. S., STINEAR, C. M. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2013. Transcranial direct current  
443 stimulation intensity and duration effects on tinnitus suppression. *Neurorehabil Neural  
444 Repair*, 27, 164-72.
- 445 SHEKHAWAT, G. S., STINEAR, C. M. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2015. Modulation of Perception or  
446 Emotion? A Scoping Review of Tinnitus Neuromodulation Using Transcranial Direct Current  
447 Stimulation. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 29, 837-846.
- 448 SHEKHAWAT, G. S., SUNDRAM, F., BIKSON, M., TRUONG, D., DE RIDDER, D., STINEAR, C. M., WELCH,  
449 D. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2016. Intensity, Duration, and Location of High-Definition  
450 Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation for Tinnitus Relief. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*, 30,  
451 349-59.
- 452 SHEKHAWAT, G. S. & VANNESTE, S. 2017. High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation of  
453 the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for tinnitus modulation: a preliminary trial. *J Neural  
454 Transm (Vienna)*.
- 455 SHEKHAWAT, G. S. & VANNESTE, S. 2018. Optimization of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation of  
456 Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex for Tinnitus: A Non-Linear Dose-Response Effect. *Scientific  
457 Reports*, 8, 8311.
- 458 SONG, J.-J., VANNESTE, S., VAN DE HEYNING, P. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012a. Transcranial Direct Current  
459 Stimulation in Tinnitus Patients: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Scientific World  
460 Journal*, 2012, 427941.
- 461 SONG, J. J., VANNESTE, S., HEYNING, P. & RIDDER, D. 2012b. Transcranial direct current stimulation in  
462 tinnitus patients: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *ScientificWorldJournal*, 2012.
- 463 SPINHOVEN, P., ORMEL, J., SLOEKERS, P. P., KEMPEN, G. I., SPECKENS, A. E. & VAN HEMERT, A. M.  
464 1997. A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in different  
465 groups of Dutch subjects. *Psychol Med*, 27, 363-70.
- 466 VAN DE HEYNING, P., GILLES, A., RABAU, S. & VAN ROMPAEY, V. 2015. Subjective tinnitus assessment  
467 and treatment in clinical practice: the necessity of personalized medicine. *Curr Opin  
468 Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 23, 369-75.
- 469 VANNESTE, S. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011. Bifrontal transcranial direct current stimulation modulates  
470 tinnitus intensity and tinnitus-distress-related brain activity. *Eur J Neurosci*, 34, 605-14.
- 471 VANNESTE, S., FOCQUAERT, F., VAN DE HEYNING, P. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011a. Different resting state  
472 brain activity and functional connectivity in patients who respond and not respond to  
473 bifrontal tDCS for tinnitus suppression. *Exp Brain Res*, 210, 217-27.
- 474 VANNESTE, S., JOOS, K. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012. Prefrontal cortex based sex differences in tinnitus  
475 perception: same tinnitus intensity, same tinnitus distress, different mood. *PLoS One*, 7,  
476 e31182.
- 477 VANNESTE, S., LANGGUTH, B. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011b. Do tDCS and TMS influence tinnitus transiently  
478 via a direct cortical and indirect somatosensory modulating effect? A combined TMS-tDCS  
479 and TENS study. *Brain Stimul*, 4, 242-52.

480 VILLAMAR, M. F., VOLZ, M. S., BIKSON, M., DATTA, A., DASILVA, A. F. & FREGNI, F. 2013a. Technique  
481 and considerations in the use of 4x1 ring high-definition transcranial direct current  
482 stimulation (HD-tDCS). *J Vis Exp*, e50309.

483 VILLAMAR, M. F., WIVATVONGVANA, P., PATUMANOND, J., BIKSON, M., TRUONG, D. Q., DATTA, A. &  
484 FREGNI, F. 2013b. Focal modulation of the primary motor cortex in fibromyalgia using 4x1-  
485 ring high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (HD-tDCS): immediate and delayed  
486 analgesic effects of cathodal and anodal stimulation. *J Pain*, 14, 371-83.

487 WILKINSON, M. J. B. & BARCZAK, P. 1988. Psychiatric screening in general practice: comparison of the  
488 general health questionnaire and the hospital anxiety depression scale. *The Journal of the*  
489 *Royal College of General Practitioners*, 38, 311-313.

490 ZIGMOND, A. S. & SNAITH, R. P. 1983. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr*  
491 *Scand*, 67.

492

493

Demographic details	
<b>Gender</b>	female: n = 20, male: n = 97
<b>Age (<math>T_{pre}</math>)</b>	$\bar{x}$ = 51 years [19 – 85 years]
<b>PTA</b>	PTA <sub>low right</sub> $\bar{x}$ = 12 dB HL [0 – 88 dB HL] PTA <sub>high right</sub> $\bar{x}$ = 18 dB HL [0 – 88 dB HL] PTA <sub>low left</sub> $\bar{x}$ = 12 dB HL [0 – 48 dB HL] PTA <sub>high left</sub> $\bar{x}$ = 19 dB HL [0 – 63 dB HL]
Tinnitus-related details	
<b>Duration tinnitus</b>	$\bar{x}$ = 6.2 years [0.5 – 34 years]
<b>Side tinnitus</b>	bilateral: n = 70, central: n = 18, left: n = 15, right: n = 14
<b>Type tinnitus</b>	pure tone: n = 79, noise: n = 26, polyphonic: n = 12
<b>Aetiology tinnitus</b>	ear pathology: n = 54, spontaneous: n = 28, psychological: n = 5, non-otologic: n = 5, unknown: n = 24, other: n = 1

494  
495  
496  
497

*Table 1:* Demographic and tinnitus-related details of the 117 chronic tinnitus patients (PTA, pure tone average; PTA<sub>low</sub>, PTA at 0.5-1-2 kHz; PTA<sub>high</sub>, PTA at 1-2-4 kHz).

498 *Figure 1:* Boxplot (min,Q1,Q3,max) of the air conduction thresholds for the right and left ear  
499 of the 117 patients. The black solid line represents the median air conduction threshold for  
500 each frequency. (dB HL, dB Hearing Level).

501 *Figure 2:* HD-tDCS set-up

502 Electrode positioning at the right DLPFC and a simulation of the current flow of anodal HD-  
503 tDCS at the right DLPFC with Soterix HD-Explor TM 4. © Soterix Medical Inc. (HD-tDCS,  
504 high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex).

505 *Figure 3:* Evolution of TFI and TQ total scores from pre-therapy to follow-up visit

506 Histograms representing change over time (i.e. change =  $T_{pre} - T_{10w}$ ). (TFI, tinnitus  
507 functional index; TQ, tinnitus questionnaire).


508 *Figure 4:* Gender difference of the evolution of the TFI total score over time

509 Boxplots (min, Q1, median, Q3, max). (TFI, tinnitus functional index).

510

511

### Audiogram right ear



### Audiogram left ear

