

## Effect of cannabis liberalization on suicide and mental illness following recreational access: a state-level longitudinal analysis in the USA

Jacob James Rich,<sup>1 2</sup> Robert Capodilupo,<sup>3</sup> Michael Schemenaur,<sup>4</sup> and Jeffrey A. Singer<sup>5</sup>

1 Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

2 Drug Policy Project, Reason Foundation, Los Angeles, California, USA

3 Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

4 Department of Economics, Eller College of Management, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

5 Department of Health Policy Studies, Cato Institute, Washington, District of Columbia, USA

### Correspondence

Jacob James Rich, [jacob.j.rich@case.edu](mailto:jacob.j.rich@case.edu)

Wolstein Research Building 2520

2103 Cornell Road

Cleveland, OH 44106

### Abstract

**Objective** To standardize the implementation dates of various cannabis liberalization policies and determine whether previous research by Anderson et al. [D.M. Anderson, D.I. Rees, J.J. Sabia, *American Journal of Public Health* 104, 2369-2376] on medical marijuana access and population-level suicidality is robust to additional years of data and further cannabis liberalization in the form of recreational marijuana access.

**Design** A state-level longitudinal (panel) analysis. Suicide mortality rates from the National Center for Health Statistics and mental health morbidity rates from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health were employed with the procedures outlined by Anderson et al., using weighted ordinary least squares for three different specifications with various combinations of control variables as a sensitivity analysis to test for robustness.

**Setting** All 50 states and Washington, DC for the period 1999-2019.

**Participants** USA population.

**Interventions** Cannabis liberalization policies in the form of recreational or medical access.

**Primary and Secondary Outcome Measures** State-level population mental health outcomes in the form of suicide mortality among various age groups for males and females defined by the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision and rates of mental illness, serious mental illness, major depression, and suicidal ideation defined by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

**Results** Recreational marijuana access was associated with a 6.29% reduction (95% CI -11.82% to -0.42%) in suicide rates for males in the 40 to 49 age group. No other mental health outcomes were consistently affected by cannabis liberalization.

**Conclusions** Adverse mental health outcomes do not follow cannabis liberalization at the state level, confirming the findings of Anderson et al. In addition, there is evidence that recreational marijuana access reduces suicide rates for middle-aged males.

### **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- Cannabis liberalization policies, which vary greatly throughout the literature, are explicitly defined and corrected from previous studies.
- SAMHSA suppresses state-level geographical information for individual-level responses in the NSDUH and the analysis relied on population averages for a small number of age groups published in the NSDUH State Prevalence Estimates, which did not allow us to evaluate gender differences for mental health outcomes.
- The reliability of NSDUH and suicide data to estimate true population rates is highly debated.
- Population-level analyses of longitudinal data can be evaluated with multiple accepted methods from the medical literature and it is not clear whether weighted ordinary least squares is the most appropriate approach for this type of analysis.

### **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### **Competing interests statement**

Reason Foundation is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization completely supported by voluntary contributions from individuals, foundations, corporations, and the sale of its publications. Reason Foundation's general support includes contributions from marijuana manufacturers, which account for less than one percent of its annual budget.

### **Data sharing statement**

Most data relevant to the study are included as supplementary information. Mortality rates calculated from death counts of less than 10 deaths for any region are suppressed and may require special permissions for access.

## **Effect of cannabis liberalization on suicide and mental illness following recreational access: a state-level longitudinal analysis in the USA**

Jacob James Rich, MA; Robert Capodilupo, MPhil; Michael Schemenaur, MA; and Jeffrey A. Singer, MD

### **INTRODUCTION**

In the USA 19 states and the District of Columbia have regulated marijuana for recreational use, while medical marijuana flower is available in 35 states. Cannabis liberalization has gained evolving support towards full legalization, with 60% of American adults now supporting recreational legalization and 91% supporting medical legalization. [1] In October 2018, Canada became the first G7 country to federally legalize marijuana for recreational use, and the same month Mexico's Supreme Court ruled marijuana prohibition unconstitutional, compelling the legislature to pass a marijuana legalization bill. [2] With unprecedented support for cannabis legalization in the USA, legislation to that effect is now being considered in the 117<sup>th</sup> Congress, [3] where policymakers are weighing the public health implications of increasing access to a psychoactive substance that could lead to substance use disorder.

As cannabis use has gained popularity in the USA over the past couple of decades, [4] global health researchers have increasingly investigated potential adverse outcomes. A 2008 analysis of 2033 participants in the Young in Norway longitudinal study concluded "exposure to marijuana by itself does not lead to depression but that it may be associated with later suicidal thoughts and attempts." [5] This study joined 13 other longitudinal studies in a 2014 systematic review, which found depressive disorders may be associated with heavy marijuana use. [6] A 2015 study using community-based samples from the Australian Twin Registry found a modest association of marijuana use with suicidal thoughts and attempts, concluding that the association between suicidal thoughts and behavior with marijuana use disorder requires further study. [7] This research was followed by a 2017 retrospective study of discordant twins, which found suicidal thoughts and major depressive disorders were associated with marijuana use and not solely attributable to predisposing factors, [8] confirming similar findings ten years earlier. [9] In 2020, an evaluation of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found that people reporting depression tend to use marijuana at higher rates. [10]

However, there is conflicting evidence in the literature that disputes negative mental health outcomes from cannabis liberalization. In particular, a 2014 regression analysis of state-level data from the National Vital Statistics System (NVSS) Mortality Detail Files from 1990-2007 by

Anderson et al. found no general association between medical marijuana legalization and suicide rates, but found 10.8% and 9.4% reductions in the suicide rates in males age 20 to 29 and 30 to 39 in the USA, respectively. [11] Later studies employing difference-in-differences and synthetic control methods on similar data also found modest negative associations between medical marijuana access and suicide in young men. [12] [13] In addition, a 2018 evaluation of 465 male and 444 female patients from the Genetics of Opioid Addiction (GENOA) and Determinants of Suicidal Behavior: Conventional and Emergent Risk (DISCOVER) studies found no correlation between suicidal behavior and heavy marijuana use in male or female patients with psychiatric disorders. [14] With the mental health outcomes from marijuana use contested by much of the literature, policymakers would benefit from research investigating the population health effects following increased access to recreational marijuana.

Marijuana use and mental health status are tracked and published by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). In 2019, about 48.2 million people (or 17.5 percent of the population above the age of 12) consumed marijuana at least once that year in the USA—a historic high. Of those marijuana users, 3.5 million initiated marijuana use for the first time. Marijuana use has been increasing every year since Colorado and Washington voted to legalize marijuana in 2012, a year in which 12.9 percent of the national population reported marijuana use during the past year. [15] Marijuana use in the USA, after reaching an all-time low in 1992, trended slightly upward until 2007, and has steadily increased at a higher rate through 2019. [16] [17]

Reports of major depressive episodes have increased since 2010, while serious thoughts of suicide and severe mental illness have increased each year since those questions were included in the survey beginning in 2008. [4] In addition, suicide rates in the USA have steadily increased from an all-time low in 1999 towards an all-time high in 2018. [18] In 2019, the suicide rate in the USA dropped for the first time in 20 years and dropped 5.6% further in 2020 despite the COVID-19 pandemic. [19] With population-level mental health outcomes deteriorating during a period of increasing regular cannabis use, the American Medical Association continues to oppose cannabis legalization for both medical and recreational purposes. [20] But with increasing evidence of marijuana's medicinal benefits, and with its growing popularity as a recreational drug, it is necessary to definitively answer whether adverse population health outcomes predictively follow liberalization efforts.

In the years since Anderson et al. reviewed data from 1990-2007, the advent of recreational marijuana laws has provided unprecedented access to cannabis. Previous research

has attempted to measure the consequences of new cannabis liberalization efforts, [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] but there are major disparities in the policy implementation dates among these studies. Recent research has confirmed that suicidal behaviors and other adverse mental health outcomes are correlated with marijuana use disorder (MUD), [29] [30] which can be exacerbated by increasing access to cannabis, [31] but these correlations are also prevalent with other substance use disorders. [17] With marijuana use disorder rates stable since 2002, it is not clear that increasing rates of cannabis consumption through liberalization efforts are leading to adverse population-level mental health outcomes. [4] Significant gaps remain in the knowledge of the potential harms and benefits of cannabis use, and the effects these laws have on various facets of mental illness are a fertile topic for new research. [32]

## **METHODS**

### **Settings, participants, procedures**

We focused our analysis on state-level mortality data from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) for the period 1999 through 2019 and mental health morbidity rates from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) for various subsets of the total period based on the duration of each question. All coroners and medical examiners in the USA reported cause-of-death as defined by the International Classification of Disease, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention during this period, which were deidentified and published on the Wide-ranging ONline Data for Epidemiologic Research (WONDER) database. For the mental illness data, SAMHSA interviews a target sample size of 67,500 population-representative individuals annually with various questions to estimate rates of drug use and mental health morbidities, which are deidentified to be published as individual responses with no geographical identifiers and as variously defined aggregate rates at the state level.

### **Measures**

#### *Suicide mortality and mental illness morbidity*

Our main outcome of interest was the per capita state-level suicide mortality rate (X60-X84, Y87.0, and U03 intentional self-harm) per 100000 people among the total population, males, females, and both sexes separately for the age groups 15-19, 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60 and above. The secondary outcome of interest was mental health morbidity, which we defined as the percentages of the population that reported “serious thoughts of suicide in the past year”, “any mental illness in the past year”, and “serious mental illness in the past year” for the age groups

18-25, 18 and up, and 26 and up (2009 through 2019); and the percentages of the population that reported they “had at least one major depressive episode in the past year” for the age groups 12-17, 18-25, 18 and up, and 26 and up (2006 through 2019). All cohorts are dynamic, with individuals exiting and entering various age groups each year.

### *Policy variables*

Our main exposure of interest takes the form of a cannabis liberalization vector in the form of two indicator variables for recreational and medical marijuana access at the state level. We also control for marijuana decriminalization in the covariates. Because there are major discrepancies for when marijuana policies went into effect in the medical literature, [33] we reviewed and contrasted the dates from previous studies and government resources, which we detail in the Appendix. Incorrect dates were often used in earlier studies and are now endemic throughout the literature. For example, multiple studies list Maryland as becoming a medical marijuana access state as early as October 2003, [31] [34] [35] [36] [23] [37] [38] [39] [40] when the “Darrell Putman Compassionate Use Act” removed the possibility of a jail sentence for marijuana possession if the defendant had a doctor’s note, but did not otherwise protect medical marijuana patients from criminal prosecution. Additionally, some studies previously listed Alabama or Louisiana as medical marijuana states, [41] [42] [40] [43] when “Leni’s Law” in Alabama only decriminalized cannabidiol with low levels of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and Louisiana did not allow access to dry marijuana flower until August 1, 2021.

Due to variations in regulations that cause large disparities in the proliferation of medicinal and recreational dispensaries across states, we define the effective indicator date as the day when a typical adult reasonably complying with the new state law would not expect to be arrested for possessing marijuana for personal consumption. Additionally, we only evaluate medical marijuana laws that allow for the possession of “smokable” dry cannabis flower that contains THC and can cause euphoric effects.

Marijuana decriminalization laws are defined as the absence of jail time for a first-time offense for possessing up to approximately an ounce of dried cannabis, while recreational marijuana access laws are defined as the protection from all penalties for approximately the same amount of marijuana. For decriminalization and recreational access, quantifying these definitions has been clear since Oregon became the first state to decriminalize marijuana in 1973. In *State v. Twilleager*, [44] the defendant of a marijuana charge in Oregon conceded that he had committed the crime of cultivating marijuana on July 21, 1973, prior to the October 5, 1973

effective date of a “decriminalization” amendment that reduced the punishment for the first-time offense of such a crime to a \$100 fine. He argued that his 6-month jail sentence was “excessive and beyond the jurisdiction of the Court”, since his sentencing took place on January 3, 1974 and after the amendment’s effective date. However, his appeal was struck down when the court cited *Bradley v. United States*, [45] where the Supreme Court affirmed a minimum punishment of 5 years in prison for the conviction of a federal drug charge sentenced on May 6, 1971, when the law was amended five days earlier to remove such a minimum sentence on May 1, 1971. The case has set a precedent that marijuana users should not expect relaxed sentencing from any liberalization policy unless they are charged after the new law’s official effective date.

Medical marijuana access often requires the implementation of a registry, and laws can take effect long before any state resident can expect protection from the previous penalties. For most states, we define the effective date as the day when residents can apply to join the registry, since the laws often explicitly state that one must be registered in order to avoid prosecution for marijuana possession. But this approach does not apply to all jurisdictions, especially in states that legalized marijuana in earlier years and did not make protection contingent on registration, but just a simple doctor’s note. However, some states allowed for the possession of medical marijuana before the legislated database was established, like Massachusetts where Chapter 369 of the 2012 Acts reads “Until the approval of final regulations, written certification by a physician shall constitute a registration card for a qualifying patient.” States also sometimes allowed for those who possessed registration cards from other states to possess marijuana before implementing their own databases, and if this option was available to state residents, we defined the indicator date as the day that policy was effective. This is in contrast to states like South Dakota, which only allowed residents from other states with medical marijuana cards to possess cannabis while it was establishing its own marijuana patient registration system.

Because federal law always supersedes state law in the USA, marijuana is technically illegal in the entire United States and law enforcement has the authority to prosecute many marijuana-related crimes regardless of location, such as simultaneous firearm and marijuana possession. However, liberalization efforts have directed many state and local officers to tolerate state-regulated marijuana industries that are federally illegal. The indicator variables take the value of 1 for every full year of enactment and for the first year assume a value between 0 and 1 equal to the proportion of days the policies were effective. Since medical marijuana access and decriminalization are lesser forms of full recreational marijuana access, those indicator variable values equal the recreational marijuana values if they were not previously enacted.

### *Demographic covariates*

In harmony with Anderson et al., we control for observable state-level characteristics the literature considers risk factors for suicide: marijuana decriminalization laws, unemployment rates, income per capita, beer excise taxes, and ethanol consumed per capita for the population above the age of 21. Also following Anderson et al., we attempt to control for unobserved factors with indicator variables for time effects, fixed effects, and state-specific linear time trends.

Since previous studies suggest stricter alcohol policies can reduce suicides, [46, 47, 48, 49] Anderson et al. controlled for whether a 0.08 blood-alcohol-content (BAC) law was in effect, whether a zero-tolerance drunk-driving law was in effect, and for the beer excise tax. We removed the controls for BAC laws because every state had implemented a 0.08 BAC limit for our entire period, except for Utah, which implemented a 0.05 limit December 25, 2018. Additionally, we removed indicators for zero-tolerance drunk-driving laws because every state had implemented such restrictions by 2001. [50, 51] We argue those variables ultimately attempted to capture state government interventions that reduce alcohol use, which is why we added a measurement for per capita ethanol consumption to Anderson et al.'s original model.

Unemployment rates are retrieved from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), income per capita in 2019 dollars from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), beer excise tax figures from the Tax Policy Center at the Urban Institute and Brookings Institution, and ethanol consumed per capita for the population age 21 and older from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) Surveillance Reports. Rates of reported marijuana use in the past month from the NSDUH are also used to plot changes in marijuana consumption following liberalization.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Employing the same techniques as Anderson et al., we used population-weighted ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions to conduct a state-level longitudinal (panel) analysis for various subsets of up to 1071 state-years to estimate the effect a recreational and medical marijuana access vector had on the outcome groups. To test the robustness of our findings, we follow Anderson et al. and employ a sensitivity analysis involving three separate specifications for each dependent variable: first only adjusting for state and year effects; then adjusting for state effects, year effects, and covariates; and finally adjusting for state effects, year effects, covariates, and state-specific linear time trends in the most rigorous model. We also corrected standard errors by clustering at the state level for all specifications. Additionally, we followed Anderson et al. by taking the natural log transform of the dependent variables. Because the dependent variables

were logged, the estimates can be transformed into percentages by exponentiating, subtracting 1, and multiplying by 100. Since the log of zero is undefined, we dropped all observations of zero suicides. If the coefficients for the marijuana law indicators remain directionally stable and significant for all regression specifications at the  $P < .05$  level with confidence intervals that do not include zero, there is evidence that a predictive relationship exists between cannabis liberalization and the mental health outcome variables, and we report the transformed estimates from the most rigorous specification. [52, 53]

## RESULTS

Table 1 presents the dates that various marijuana laws went into effect as of the publication of this paper. Due to delays that are common among states moving to liberalize marijuana, we didn't include dates for states that have scheduled to liberalize marijuana in the future, like Alabama and South Dakota. Figures 1 and 2 use the recreational marijuana access law dates to plot the rate of reported marijuana use in the past month over the year of law change, graphing marijuana use for ages 12 to 17 and 18 and up for the eight jurisdictions that had provided recreational marijuana access for at least three years by 2019 (Alaska, California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Maine, Massachusetts, Oregon, and Washington). Reviewing the slopes of the two OLS trend lines for the seven liberalizing states and Washington, DC, both adults and teens were reporting rising rates of monthly marijuana use leading up to the effective dates of the recreational access laws. After recreational access went into effect, monthly marijuana use significantly accelerated among adults, increasing 1.75% on average a year. This stands in stark contrast to marijuana use among teens, which modestly dropped 0.002% on average a year following recreational marijuana access.

Table 2 presents the main regression results measuring the effect marijuana liberalization had on the mental health outcomes, without transforming the estimates into percentages. The only outcome that passed each of the three robustness check specifications was a 6.29% reduction (95% CI -11.82% to -0.42%) in the suicide rate for males age 40 to 49 following recreational marijuana access. No other mental health outcomes were consistently affected by cannabis liberalization.

Figures 3-7 use the recreational marijuana access law dates again to plot the average suicide rates among the statistically-significant males age 40 to 49 cohort, the general populations for each gender, and the age 15 to 19 cohorts for each gender before and after recreational marijuana laws took effect in the eight liberalizing states. Reviewing the slopes of both age groups

before liberalization, males age 40 to 49 were experiencing an average increase of 0.83 suicides per 100000 people a year, while following the effective date of the recreational marijuana access laws, the average increase of suicides among males age 40 to 49 dropped to 0.33 per 100000 people a year. Among the general populations for both genders, suicide rates modestly increased following recreational marijuana access. However, suicide rate slopes for the teen populations age 15 to 19 for both genders dropped following recreational marijuana access. Females age 15 to 19 experienced an average increase of 0.96 suicides per 100000 people a year before recreational access, which become an average decrease of 0.74 suicides per 100000 people a year following recreational access. Similarly, males age 15 to 19 experienced an average increase of 2.31 suicides per 100000 people a year before recreational access, which dropped to an average increase of 0.23 suicides per 100000 people a year following recreational access. In general, suicide rates in states with recreational marijuana access tend to increase at lower rates relative to other non-liberalizing states. [54]

## DISCUSSION

Despite suicide rates reaching unprecedented levels across the country, suicides have decreased for some demographics following recreational marijuana access. Our analysis finds strong evidence that recreational marijuana laws reduce suicide rates for men age 40 to 49. Interestingly, Anderson et al. found that medical marijuana legalization led to 10.8% (95% CI –17.1% to –3.7%) and 9.4% (95% CI –16.1% to –2.4%) drops in the suicide rates for males in the 20 to 29 and 30 to 39 age groups, respectively, many of whom would now be in this study's males age 40 to 49 cohort. This population is now older because the most recent data from the previous study were published 12 years before our latest observations. Given that the confidence intervals of our statistically significant male cohort overlap the estimates from both statistically-significant male cohorts evaluated by Anderson et al., we conclude that the original study's model is robust to new developments in marijuana policy and additional years of data. Our study also supports recent research by Anderson et al. (2021) observing that average marijuana use among teens might modestly drop following recreational marijuana access laws. [55] Although the reasons for this are not clear, this development may be due to the proliferation of marijuana dispensaries in states that allow recreational access to marijuana, which may reduce the number of black-market drug dealers who are willing to sell psychoactive substances to children who are still experiencing major cognitive developments. However, without public access to state-level NSDUH estimates

of marijuana use that distinguish between genders, it is difficult to determine whether changes in marijuana use mediate decreasing rates of suicide among teen males and females.

Moreover, we find that marijuana liberalization in general does not lead to undesirable outcomes for any age group or gender at the population-level, despite correlations between marijuana use disorder (MUD) and mental illness at the individual-level. [29] Nonetheless, there is no evidence that the liberalization of marijuana is leading to higher rates of suicide or mental illness in the USA.

## **Limitations**

SAMHSA suppresses state-level geographical information for individual-level responses in the NSDUH because of privacy concerns. Due to the limitations of public NSDUH data, it is not possible to utilize geographical controls for individual responses to compare our population-level results to potential individual-level analyses. In addition, our mental illness analysis relied on population averages for a small number of age groups published in the NSDUH State Prevalence Estimates, which prevented us from evaluating either gender or racial disparities. This was particularly restricting in this paper, because we reported decreasing average rates of suicide among female teens following recreational marijuana access laws, but we could not determine whether this was correlated with changes in marijuana use among this cohort. On average, marijuana use among teens modestly drops within the first three years of recreational marijuana access, but we could not establish which gender was more responsible for the drop. To improve on future public health research independent of the federal government's efforts, SAMHSA should add state-level identifiers to its public individual-response data for all years of the NSDUH. Since NSDUH state-level samples are too large to identify the individuals who took the survey, privacy will not be a concern if state-of-residence information is released to the public for each individual response. Permitting state-level identifiers would allow future researchers to employ various analytical methods that use geographical identifiers to determine state-level policy changes in individual-level analyses.

There are also many challenges to the accuracy of the NSDUH and suicide rates. Critics claim that because the NSDUH requires the surveyed individuals to have a residence, much of the population (especially homeless people) are not represented by the estimates. [56] [57] In addition, it is widely believed that suicide rates are underreported. [58] These are legitimate criticisms, but the regression analyses in this study are much more concerned with the changes in rates, as opposed to the consistent inaccuracies of the rates themselves. Since there is no

reason to believe that states with recreational or medical marijuana access would be more or less likely to misreport suicides or mental illness, and consistent methods for collecting these data were employed for the entire period of interest for all dependent variables, we are confident that the coefficients reflect the relationships between evolving trends of cannabis availability and the mental health identifiers of interest.

However, population-level analyses of longitudinal (panel) data can be evaluated with multiple accepted methods from the medical literature. It is not clear that OLS is the most appropriate approach for this type of analysis and there's no objective method of determining which approach is preferable. Prominent similarly-designed analyses employing OLS have been replicated and extended, only to show that the results were not robust to additional years of data. For example, the observation that medical marijuana laws reduce opioid overdoses reversed after seven additional years of data were added to the regressions. [41] The replicating authors described the observations as spurious, since only 2.5% of the study population used medical cannabis, which they argued would unlikely contribute to significant changes in opioid-related mortality in either direction. Our analysis also replicated the approach of a highly cited study, using twelve additional years of data, but instead confirmed the original results.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The use of any drug, psychoactive or otherwise, entails certain risks along with any benefits. Cannabis is no exception. Critics of marijuana legalization point to studies showing correlations between heavy cannabis use and suicide, depression, and mental health disorders. [29] However, such studies that demonstrate correlation have yet to confirm causation, which should be determined by a model's ability to predict. [59] Although those reporting depression to SAMHSA have increasingly used marijuana since states began increasing access to regulated cannabis, [10] we observe no evidence that cannabis liberalization has predictive relationships with reports of any mental illness. In addition, recreational marijuana access is consistently followed by reductions in suicide for some male age groups. Anderson et al. had found similar relationships between medical marijuana access and suicide rates, and the lack of an association between mental health and medical marijuana access in our study is likely because recreational marijuana access is a superior substitute for medical marijuana access, requiring fewer barriers to purchase cannabis.

We propose as medicinal and recreational use of marijuana becomes more widespread and mainstream, concerns about the correlation between marijuana use and depression should

not interfere with state or federal efforts to decriminalize or legalize cannabis. In fact, legalization will have the salutary effect of allowing more rigorous research—now inhibited by federal prohibition—into the further benefits, as well as any other potential harms, from the long-term use of marijuana, and promote safer use.

### **Author affiliations**

1 Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

2 Drug Policy Project, Reason Foundation, Los Angeles, California, USA

3 Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

4 Department of Economics, Eller College of Management, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

5 Department of Health Policy Studies, Cato Institute, Washington, District of Columbia, USA

### **Contributors**

JJR designed the study, collected and organized the data, and wrote the majority of the paper. RC collected data for comparison purposes and provided useful suggestions. MS reviewed individual-level SAMHSA data and relayed invaluable descriptive statistics to describe marijuana users. JS conducted a literature review, wrote much of the introduction, and supervised the research.

### **References**

- [1] T. Van Green, "Americans overwhelmingly say marijuana should be legal for recreational or medical use," *News In The Numbers*, 16 April 2021.
- [2] J. Hudak, *Marijuana: A Short History*, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution, 2020.
- [3] J. Nadler, "H.R.3884 - Marijuana Opportunity Reinvestment and Expungement Act of 2019," 2020.
- [4] SAMHSA, "Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health," Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services, Rockville, MD, 2020.
- [5] W. Pederson, "Does cannabis use lead to depression and suicidal behaviours? A population-based longitudinal study," *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 395-403, November 2008.

- [6] S. Lev-Ran, M. Roerecke, T. P. George, K. McKenzie and J. Rehm, "The association between cannabis use and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies," *Psychological Medicine*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 797-810, March 2014.
- [7] M. J. Delforterie, M. T. Lynskey, A. C. Huizink, H. E. Creemers, J. D. Grant, L. R. Few, A. L. Glowinski, D. J. Statham, T. J. Trull, K. K. Bucholz, P. F. Madden, A. C. Heath and A. Agrawal, "The relationship between cannabis involvement and suicidal thoughts and behaviors," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 150, pp. 98-104, 1 May 2015.
- [8] A. Agrawal, E. C. Nelson, K. K. Bucholz, R. Tillman, R. A. Grucza, D. J. Statham, P. A. Madden, N. G. Martin, A. C. Heath and M. T. Lynskey, "Major depressive disorder, suicidal thoughts and behaviours, and cannabis involvement in discordant twins: a retrospective cohort study," *Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 704-714, 1 September 2017.
- [9] M. R. Lynskey, A. L. Glowinski, A. A. Todorov, K. K. Bucholz, P. A. Madden, E. C. Nelson, D. J. Statham, N. G. Martin and A. C. Heath, "Major Depressive Disorder, Suicidal Ideation, and Suicide Attempt in Twins Discordant for Cannabis Dependence and Early-Onset Cannabis Use," *JAMA Psychiatry*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 1016-1032, 2004.
- [10] L. R. Gorfinkel, M. Stohl and D. Hasin, "Association of Depression With Past-Month Cannabis Use Among US Adults Aged 20 to 59 Years, 2005 to 2016," *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 3, no. 8, 18 August 2020.
- [11] M. D. Anderson, D. I. Rees and J. J. Sabia, "Medical Marijuana Laws and Suicides by Gender and Age," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 104, no. 12, pp. 2369-2376, December 2014.
- [12] R. A. Grucza, M. Hur, A. Agrawal, M. J. Krauss, A. D. Plunk, P. A. Cavazos-Rehg, F. J. Chaloupka and L. J. Bierut, "A Reexamination of Medical Marijuana Policies in Relation to Suicide Risk," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 152, pp. 68-72, 1 July 2015.
- [13] B. J. Bartos, C. E. Kubrin, C. Newark and R. McCleary, "Medical Marijuana Laws and Suicide," *Archives of Suicide Research*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 204-217, 14 June 2019.
- [14] L. Najji, T. Rosic, B. Dennis, M. Bhatt, N. Sanger, J. Hudson, N. Mouravska, L. Thabane and Z. Samaan, "The association between cannabis use and suicidal behavior in patients with psychiatric disorders: an analysis of sex differences," *Biology of Sex Differences*, vol. 9, no. 22, 11 June 2018.
- [15] SAMHSA, "2013-2014 NSDUH State Estimates Of Substance Use And Mental Disorders," Rockville, MD, 2015.
- [16] A. Golub, B. D. Johnson and E. Dunlap, "The Growth in Marijuana Use Among American Youths During the 1990s and the Extent of Blunt Smoking," *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*, vol. 4, no. 3-4, p. 121, 2005.
- [17] SAMHSA, "National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH-2019)," Rockville, 2019.
- [18] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Wide-ranging Online Data for Epidemiologic Research (WONDER)," Atlanta, 2020.
- [19] F. B. Ahmad and R. N. Anderson, "The Leading Causes of Death in the US for 2020," *JAMA*, vol. 325, no. 18, pp. 1829-1830, March 31, 2021.
- [20] A. M. Association, "Public Health Impacts of Cannabis Legalization," in *Council on Science and Public Health*, Chicago, November 2020.
- [21] M. Cerdá, M. Wall, K. M. Keyes, S. Galea and D. Hasin, "Medical marijuana laws in 50 states: Investigating the relationship between state legalization of medical marijuana and

- marijuana use, abuse and dependence," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 120, no. 1–3, pp. 22-27, 1 January 2012.
- [22] M. D. Anderson, B. Hansen and D. I. Rees, "Medical Marijuana Laws and Teen Marijuana Use," *American Law and Economics Review*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 495–528, 24 April 2015.
- [23] D. S. Hasin, M. Wall, K. M. Keyes, M. Cerdá, J. Schulenberg, P. M. O'Malley, S. Galea, R. Pacula and T. Feng, "Medical marijuana laws and adolescent marijuana use in the USA from 1991 to 2014: results from annual, repeated cross-sectional surveys," *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 601-608, July 2015.
- [24] A. L. Sarvet, M. M. Wall, D. S. Fink, E. Greene, A. Le, A. E. Boustead, R. L. Pacula, K. M. Keyes, M. Cerdá, S. Galea and D. S. Hasin, "Medical marijuana laws and adolescent marijuana use in the United States: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Addiction*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1003-1016, 22 February 2018.
- [25] R. G. Morris, M. TenEyck, J. C. Barnes and T. V. Kovandzic, "The Effect of Medical Marijuana Laws on Crime: Evidence from State Panel Data, 1990-2006," *PLOS One*, vol. 9, no. 3, 26 March 2014.
- [26] A. Huber III, R. Newman and D. LaFave, "Cannabis Control and Crime: Medicinal Use, Depenalization and the War on Drugs," *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. 20150167, 3 August 2016.
- [27] A. K. Dills, S. Goffard and J. Miron, "The Effects of Marijuana Liberalizations: Evidence from Monitoring the Future," *NBER*, p. 23779, September 2017.
- [28] R. L. Coley, S. S. Hawkins, M. Ghiani, C. Kruzik and C. F. Baum, "A quasi-experimental evaluation of marijuana policies and youth marijuana use," *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 292-303, 15 February 2019.
- [29] N. A. Kimbrel, A. R. Newins, E. A. Dedert, E. E. Van Voorhees, E. B. Elbogen, J. C. Naylor, H. R. Wagner, M. Brancu, VA Mid-Atlantic MIRECC Workgroup, J. C. Beckham and P. S. Calhoun, "Cannabis use disorder and suicide attempts in Iraq/Afghanistan-era veterans," *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, vol. 89, pp. 1-5, June 2017.
- [30] C. A. Fontanella, D. L. Steelesmith, G. Brock, J. A. Bridge, J. V. Campo and M. A. Fristad, "Association of Cannabis Use With Self-harm and Mortality Risk Among Youths With Mood," *JAMA Pediatrics*, vol. 175, no. 4, pp. 377-384, January 19, 2021.
- [31] E. C. Matthey, M. V. Kiang, H. Elser, L. Schmidt and K. Humphreys, "Evaluation of State Cannabis Laws and Rates of Self-harm and Assault," *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 4, no. 3, March 18, 2021.
- [32] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research," National Academies Press, Washington, 2017.
- [33] D. M. Anderson and D. I. Rees, "The Public Health Effects of Legalizing Marijuana," *NBER*, vol. Working Paper 28647, April 2021.
- [34] S. D. Lynne-Landsman, M. D. Livingston and A. C. Wagenaar, "Effects of State Medical Marijuana Laws on Adolescent Marijuana Use," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 103, no. 8, pp. 1500-1506, 10 July 2013.
- [35] E. L. Sevigny, R. L. Pacula and P. Heaton, "The effects of medical marijuana laws on potency," *International Journal of Drug Policy*, vol. 25, pp. 308-319, 8 January 2014.

- [36] R. L. Pacula, D. Powell, P. Heaton and E. L. Sevigny, "Assessing the effects of medical marijuana laws on marijuana use: the devil is in the details," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 7-31, Winter 2015.
- [37] J. Santaella-Tenorio, C. M. Mauro, M. M. Wall, J. H. Kim, M. Cerdá, K. M. Keyes, D. S. Hasin, S. Galea and S. S. Martins, "US Traffic Fatalities, 1985–2014, and Their Relationship to Medical Marijuana Laws," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 336-342, 11 January 2017.
- [38] D. Powell, R. L. Pacula and M. Jacobson, "Do medical marijuana laws reduce addictions and deaths related to pain killers?," *Journal of Health Economics*, vol. 58, pp. 29-42, March 2018.
- [39] E. Andreyeva and B. Ukert, "The Impact of Medical Marijuana Laws and Dispensaries on Self-Reported Health," *Forum for Health Economics and Policy*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 20190002, 16 October 2019.
- [40] A. Hollingsworth, C. Wing and A. Bradford, "Comparative Effects of Recreational and Medical Marijuana Laws On Drug Use Among Adults and Adolescents," *SSRN*, 19 August 2020.
- [41] C. L. Shover, C. S. Davis, S. C. Gordon and K. Humphreys, "Association between medical cannabis laws and opioid overdose mortality has reversed over time," *PNAS*, vol. 116, no. 26, pp. 12624-12626, 2019.
- [42] N. W. Chan, J. Burkhardt and M. Flyr, "The Effect of Recreational Marijuana Legalization and Dispensing on Opioid Mortality," *Economic Inquiry*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 589-606, 6 August 2019.
- [43] B. J. McMichael, R. L. Horn and W. K. Viscusi, "The impact of cannabis access laws on opioid prescribing," *Journal of Health Economics*, vol. 69, p. 102273, January 2020.
- [44] *State v. Twilleager*, 524 P.2d 567 (Or. Ct. App. 1974).
- [45] *Bradley v. United States*, 410 U.S. 605, 1973.
- [46] S. Markowitz, P. Chatterji and R. Kaestner, "Estimating the impact of alcohol policies on youth suicides," *Journal of Mental Health Policy Economics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 37-46, March 2003.
- [47] J. Birckmayer and D. Hemenway, "Minimum-age drinking laws and youth suicide, 1970-1990.," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 89, no. 9, pp. 1365-1368, 1999.
- [48] N. E. Jones, C. F. Pieper and L. S. Robertson, "The effect of legal drinking age on fatal injuries of adolescents and young adults.," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 112-115, January 1992.
- [49] L. S. Robertson, "Science and public health principles used to reduce road deaths," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 104, no. 12, pp. 2256-2258, December 2014.
- [50] C. Carpenter, "Heavy alcohol use and youth suicide: Evidence from tougher drunk driving laws," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 831-842, May 2004.
- [51] C. Carpenter, "How do Zero Tolerance Drunk Driving Laws work?," *Journal of Health Economics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 61-83, January 2004.
- [52] J. A. Miron and E. Tetelbaum, "Does the Minimum Legal Drinking Age Save Lives?," *Economic Inquiry*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 317-336, April 2009.
- [53] J. A. Thornton, "Does More Medical Care Improve Population Health? New Evidence for an Old Controversy," *Applied Economics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 3325-3336, 14 October 2011.

- [54] H. Hedegaard, S. C. Curtin and M. Warner, "Increase in Suicide Mortality in the United States, 1999–2018," *NCHS Data Brief*, vol. 362, 2020.
- [55] D. M. Anderson, D. I. Rees, J. J. Sabia and S. Safford, "Association of Marijuana Legalization With Marijuana Use Among US High School Students, 1993-2019," *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 4, no. 9, 7 September 2021.
- [56] P. Reuter, J. P. Caulkins and G. Midgette, "Heroin use cannot be measured adequately with a general population survey," *Addiction*, p. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15458>, March 2, 2021.
- [57] S. Hedden, J. Gfroerer, P. Barker, S. Smith, M. R. Pemberton, L. M. Saavedra, V. L. Forman-Hoffman, H. Ringeisen and S. P. Novak, Comparison of NSDUH Mental Health Data and Methods with Other Data Sources, Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, 2012.
- [58] M. Warshauer and M. Monk, "Problems in suicide statistics for whites and blacks.," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 383-388, April 1978.
- [59] K. J. Arrow, "Uncertainty and the Welfare Economics of Medical Care," *The American Economic Review*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 941-973, December 1963.
- [60] J. S. Koopman and J. W. Lynch, "Individual causal models and population system models in epidemiology.," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 89, no. 8, pp. 1170-1174, 7 October 1999.
- [61] Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Databases, Tables & Calculators by Subject," Washington, 2020.
- [62] Tax Policy Center, "State Alcohol Excise Taxes," Washington, 2020.
- [63] National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, "Surveillance Report #117," National Institutes of Health, Washington, DC, 2020.