

1 **Utilisation of laboratory test results for patient management by** 2 **clinicians at two large referral hospitals in Zambia**

3 **Sabe Mwape^{1,2}, Victor Daka^{4*}, Scott K. Matafwali³, Kapambwe Mwape³, Jay Sikalima⁶ Pipina A. Vlahakis³,**
4 **Memory C. Kaloleksha³, Namaunga K. Chisompola³ Victor Chalwe^{1,5}.**

5 6 **Affiliation**

7 ¹University of Lusaka, P.O Box 37413, Lusaka, Zambia.

8 ²Catholic Relief Services, P.O Box 38086, Lusaka, Zambia.

9 ³Copperbelt University, School of Medicine, Basic Science Department, P.O Box 71191 Ndola, Zambia.

10 ⁴Copperbelt University, School of Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences, Public Health Unit, P.O
11 Box 71191 Ndola, Zambia.

12 ⁵National Health Research Authority, P.O Box 30075, Lusaka, Zambia.

13 ⁶Tropical Diseases Research Centre, P.O Box 71769, Ndola, Zambia.

14
15 Email address:

16 sabe316@gmail.com (S. Mwape), dakavictorm@gmail.com (V. Daka), esco1789@gmail.com (S. K Matafwali), kapsykm@gmail.com (K. Mwape),
17 jsikalima@gmail.com (J. Sikalima), pipina.vlahakis@gmail.com (P. Vlahakis), memkay59@ymail.com (M. C. Kaloleksha), unga_k@yahoo.co.uk , (N. K
18 Chisompola), victorchalwe@gmail.com (V. Chalwe)

19 * Corresponding author: Victor Daka, dakavictorm@gmail.com, Copperbelt University, School of Medicine,
20 Department of Clinical Sciences, Public Health Unit, P.O Box 71191 Ndola, Zambia.

21 22 **Abstract**

23 **Background**

24 Medical laboratory diagnosis is a critical component of patient management in the healthcare
25 setup. Despite the availability of laboratory tests, clinicians may not utilise them to make clinical
26 decisions. We investigated utilisation of laboratory tests for patient management among clinicians
27 at Ndola Teaching Hospital (NTH) and Arthur Davison Childrens Hospital (ADCH), two large
28 referral hospitals in the Copperbelt Province, Ndola, Zambia.

29 **Method**

30 We conducted a descriptive cross-sectional study among clinicians. The study deployed self-
31 administered questionnaires to evaluate clinician utilisation, querying and confidence in
32 laboratory results. Additional data on demographics and possible laboratory improvements were
33 also obtained. Data were entered in Microsoft excel and exported to SPSS version 16 for
34 statistical analysis.

35 **Results**

36 Of the 80 clinicians interviewed, 96.2% (77) reported using laboratory tests and their results in
37 patient management. 77.5% (62) of the clinicians indicated they always used laboratory results to
38 influence their patient management decisions. Of the selected laboratory tests, clinicians were
39 more confident in using haemoglobin test results (91.2%). There was no statistically significant
40 association between the clinicians gender or qualification and use of test results in patient
41 management.

42 **Conclusion**

43 Our findings show that despite the majority querying laboratory results, most of the clinicians
44 use laboratory results for patient management. There is need for interactions between the
45 laboratory and clinical area to assure clinician confidence in laboratory results.

46 **Key words:** utilisation, clinicians, laboratory tests, Ndola Teaching Hospital, Arthur Davison
47 Childrens Hospital

48

49 **1.0 Introduction**

50 Clinicians are tasked with making informed decisions regarding patient care based on available
51 clinical information. This can be derived from patient history and physical examination [1].
52 Although data elicited from the history and physical examination may be sufficient for making a
53 diagnosis or for guiding therapy, more information is often required. This information can be
54 obtained from diagnostic tests such as medical laboratory tests (MLTs) [2,3].

55 Medical laboratory tests greatly influence health care decisions and are among the least
56 expensive components of the health care pathway [4]. These tests provide objective information
57 about a person's health which can be used for many purposes [4,5]. One such purpose is to
58 decrease diagnostic uncertainty in a patient presenting with non-specific signs and symptoms as
59 well as to monitor treatment response in a patient with a prior diagnosis after commencing
60 treatment [5]. MLTs may also be requested for screening or case-finding, and in some cases, at a
61 patient's request [5].

62 Accessibility to MLTs varies with some tests only available as point-of-care while others may
63 only be available at a reference laboratory [6,7]. This greatly impacts patient management.
64 Clinical studies have demonstrated instances in which diagnostic tests were used for patient care
65 and how these tests influenced patient care [8,9]. The studies further stressed the benefits of
66 using medical laboratory tests in health services and emphasized the critical role the laboratory
67 results play in effective patient management [10]. Additionally, MLTs have demonstrated to
68 reduce treatment costs due to empiric therapy as well as prolonged hospital stays [8].

69
70 Despite the highlighted benefits, clinicians often do not use laboratory tests in patient
71 management [11]. A trend of low utilization of laboratory results in patient management has
72 been observed as one of the major factors negatively impacting outcomes for most patients
73 accessing health care services in resource-constrained countries of Sub-Saharan Africa [11,12].
74 Coupled with the challenges of high disease burden, scarce funding, inadequate health personnel,
75 poor health linkages, and inadequate infrastructure, the practice has the potential to negatively
76 affect the provision of quality health services [13]. We, therefore, undertook a cross-sectional
77 descriptive study of the utilisation of laboratory services by clinicians at NTH and ADCH, two
78 large tertiary referral hospitals in Ndola, Zambia.

79

80 **2.0 Methods**

81 **2.1 Study Site**

82 The study was conducted at NTH and ADCH in Ndola, Zambia. NTH is a provincial referral
83 hospital for the northern region of Zambia which includes Copperbelt, Luapula and North-
84 Western Provinces of Zambia [14]. ADCH, the only paediatric hospital in Zambia, is located
85 approximately 2km from the city center of Ndola with a bed capacity of 250 [15]. The two
86 hospitals are approximately 2km apart and with inherent similarities in staffing as clinicians may
87 rotate between the two hospitals.

88 **2.2 Target population**

89 The target population was clinicians working at NTH and ADCH. Primary data were collected
90 from 80 practicing clinicians attending to patients at the two hospitals.

91

92 **2.3 Sampling**

93 All available clinicians working at the two hospitals during the study period and willing to
94 participate in the study were enrolled.

95

96 **2.4 Data collection**

97 Data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire. Trained research assistants were
98 available for the clinician to consult where they needed clarifications. The questionnaire was
99 divided into questions on demographics, utilisation of laboratory results, querying of results,
100 confidence in laboratory tests and clinician's perception on laboratory needs and improvements.
101 Utilisation and querying of results were assessed through a three point scale—yes, sometimes and
102 no.

103

104 **2.5 Data analysis**

105 Data were entered in excel and cleaned for consistency and range checks and then exported to
106 SPSS version 16 for statistical analysis. We conducted an internal reliability test for our
107 questionnaire using the Cronbach's alpha according to methods described by Taber [16] and
108 obtained an alpha value of 0.631 indicating acceptable reliability. The chi-square test was used to
109 determine factors associated with the use of laboratory tests and a p-value less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$)
110 was considered significant.

111 **3.0 Ethical considerations**

112 Ethical clearance was granted by ERES Converge Institutional Review Board (IRB) and
113 authority to conduct the study was obtained from the National Health Research Authority
114 (NHRA). Written permission to carry out the study was sought from the Provincial Medical
115 Office for Copperbelt Province. All respondents that accepted to be part of the study were
116 provided with informed consent and were told the study objectives, procedure, risks, and
117 benefits, and participant's rights. No identifiers were used to ensure confidentiality and
118 anonymity of respondents. Access to all study materials including questionnaires was restricted
119 to the investigator and assistants.

120

121 **4.0 Results**

122 There were more male respondents (n=51, 64%) than females. Two-thirds of the respondents
123 were aged between 20 and 39 years of age had been one to three years in service. Majority of the
124 respondents were medical doctors (86.3%) and were trained in Zambia (Table 1).

125

126 **Table 1. Demographic characteristics of respondents**

Variable	Characteristic (N=80)	n (%)
Gender	Male	51(64.0)
	Female	29(36.0)
Age Category	20-30 years	54(67.5)
	31-40 years	22(27.5)
	> 40 years	4(5.0)
Profession	Medical doctor	69(86.3)
	Clinical officer	10(12.5)
	Medical licentiate	1(1.2)
Country of Training	Zambia	70(87.5)
	Other	10(12.5)
Years of service	1-3 years	65(81.2)
	> 3 years	15(18.8)

127 Table 2 summarises the use, querying and clinician request for repeat results. The majority of the
 128 respondents reported using laboratory results all the time (62, 77.5%). Almost half of the
 129 respondents reported querying laboratory results all the time (39, 48.8%). There were more
 130 clinicians who reported never requesting to repeat a tuberculosis (TB) test (50, 62.5%) and
 131 microscopy culture and sensitivity result (47, 58.8%). There were more requests for a repeat
 132 malaria result (50, 62.5%)

133

134 **Table 2. Use, querying of results and frequency of repeating tests**

Variable	All the time n(%)	Some of the time n(%)	Never n(%)
Use of requested laboratory results	62 (77.5)	15(18.7)	3(3.8)
Querying of laboratory test results	39(48.8)	34(42.5)	7(8.8)
Requested repeat of test/ Tests requested for repeat			
AST/ALT	11(13.8)	33(41.2)	36(45.0)
CD4	16(20)	46(57.5)	18(22.5)
Hb	35(43.8)	38(47.5)	7(8.8)
Malaria	7(8.8)	50(62.5)	23(28.8)
TB	1(1.2)	29(36.2)	50(62.5)
Microscopy, Culture and Sensitivity	3(3.8)	30(37.5)	47(58.8)

135

136 Table 3 shows the confidence of clinicians in laboratory results. Respondents reported the
 137 highest confidence in haemoglobin (Hb) results (73, 91.2%) and CD4 results (72, 90%) while
 138 slightly over one-third of the respondents reported low confidence in malaria results (31, 38.8%).

139 **Table 3. Confidence in laboratory results by test performed**

Variables	Yes		No	
	n	(%)	n	(%)
AST/ALT	69	86.2	11	13.8
CD4	72	90	8	10
Hb	73	91.2	7	8.8
Malaria	31	38.8	49	60

146 Table 5 shows that most of the clinicians reported some interaction with laboratory staff either
147 daily (34, 42.5%) or some of the times (38, 47.5%) with half of the respondents reporting having
148 interacted with laboratory personnel as working colleagues (41, 51.2%).

149

150 Table 5. Frequency and type of interaction

Variable	n(%)	151
Frequency of interaction		152
On a daily basis	34(42.5)	153
Some of the times	38(47.5)	154
Very limited	2(2.5)	155
Never	6(7.5)	156
Main type of interaction		157
During clinical meetings	19(23.8)	158
As working colleagues	41(51.2)	
As friends	3(3.8)	
When making queries	17(21.2)	
		162

163

164

165 More of the clinicians felt there was need to improve test profiles including specialized testing
166 (33, 41.25%). The majority of the respondents (61, 76.25%) felt the laboratory was understaffed
167 and needed to recruit more staff to meet the testing demands. Close to half (36, 45.0%) of the
168 clinicians reported that test results took long and there was need to reduce the turnaround time
169 (TAT).

170

171 **Table 6. Clinicians' perceptions on the required laboratory improvements**

Variable	n (%)
Infrastructural Improvements	
Renovation of main laboratory	21(26.25)
Increase in the size of the laboraory	18(22.5)
Need for specialised testing and increase in test profiles	33(41.25)

Introduce laboratory information system 8(10.0)

Laboratory staff capacity improvements

Increase number of laboratory staff 61(76.25)

Need for laboratory staff to have capacity to interpret results 4(5.0)

Need for knowledge by laboratory staff on restricted access 7(8.75)

Laboratory staff to interact more with clinicians 8(10.0)

Other improvements

Improve turnaround time 36(45.0)

Improve communication of test results 11(13.75)

Establish system for communicating urgent results 10(12.5)

Improve on reagent supply 15(18.75)

Provide reference values for laboratory tests 8(10.0)

172

173

174 **Association of demographic variables and utilization of laboratory tests**

175 There was no association between utilization of laboratory tests and gender ($p=0.791$) and years
176 of practice ($p=0.308$).

177

178

179

180 **5.0 Discussion**

181 Our findings demonstrated that all of the clinicians ordered a laboratory test at one time during
182 their interaction with patients, although not all the ordered tests were used for decision making in
183 patient management. Our findings also show that female clinicians used laboratory test results
184 more often than male clinicians in patient management. The reasons for this occurrence remain
185 speculative given that the analysis for a likely association between clinicians' gender and use of
186 lab results in patient management showed that the two were not significantly related. However, it
187 can be argued that like most studies with smaller sample sizes, increasing the sample size and
188 frame would yield slightly different results; in this case a significant association between the two
189 variables [17].

190
191 The study findings also showed that three quarters of the clinicians always used laboratory
192 results of requested tests to influence their patient management decisions. These findings are
193 higher than the those reported from Malawi in 2009 where 64% of clinicians were using
194 laboratory results in patient management decisions [10]. However similar findings were reported
195 in 2015 where 70% of clinicians in Malawi always used test results in patient management [11].
196 These differences could be due to differences in study populations and power in the two studies.

197
198 In our study we demonstrated that about half of clinicians often questioned laboratory results,
199 this is much lower than the reported in a study by Moyo and others in Malawi who reported 70%
200 of the clinicians questioned results [11]. The querying of results by clinicians often leads to
201 requests for repeat of tests. Clinicians often argue that the clinical presentation of patients may
202 not be consistent with the laboratory results [18]. A study in Ghana reported a high reliance on
203 clinical symptoms in diagnosing malaria [19] . In this study, results for haemoglobin were the
204 most repeated followed by CD4 count and malaria tests. Analogous results were found in a study
205 by Bates and Adu [20], in which following a survey of 205 laboratories in Ghana located in
206 regional and district government hospitals, Hb and malaria had the least accurate results
207 prompting clinicians to repeat the tests. The main reason for requesting repeat tests in our study
208 was to confirm a diagnosis, unlike a study in Ghana where the doubts regarding the accuracy of
209 results was the main reason for repeating the test [8]. The findings in this study could be
210 attributed to delays in receiving results (long TAT) as the most likely reason as almost half

211 requested an improved TAT. Additionally, clinicians may also be more likely to believe
212 automated methods rather than manual methods [21]. Therefore, there is a need to adopt
213 strategies that reduce TATs to address the trend.

214
215 The findings showed that those who qualify with diplomas and are clinical officers and medical
216 licentiates tend to use lab results more than the degree holders who are medical doctors in patient
217 management. This could be due to the medical doctors having more confidence and relying on
218 clinical experience than the others [22]. The study also found that clinicians who interact with
219 laboratory staff tend to use laboratory results more than those who never. Clinicians' interaction
220 with laboratory staff was significantly associated with the use of laboratory results. This can be
221 due to constant interaction between clinicians and laboratory staff creating an opportunity for
222 improvements in service delivery [11].

223
224 In this study, clinicians suggested increasing the staffing in the hospital laboratory, an increase in
225 test profile and platforms, the establishment of a system to communicate urgent results and
226 ensuring an adequate supply of laboratory reagents and consumables as areas needing support for
227 the improvement of laboratory services. Other areas included renovating the laboratories,
228 increasing the size of the laboratory, restricting access to the laboratory and the need for more
229 interaction between laboratory staff and clinicians. These suggestions are similar to those
230 reported by clinicians in a study done by Kundai and others and Petti and others [11,23]. The
231 shortage of both personnel and supplies in laboratories contributes to a lengthy turnaround time
232 as reported in a study by Lia and others [24]. Thus, the decision to order laboratory tests is often
233 a choice between accuracy and expediency. This can lead to diagnoses often being made using
234 less reliable and less valid signs and symptoms.

235
236 **6.0 Conclusion**
237 Our study demonstrated that laboratory test results are used by clinicians in patient management
238 at NTH and ADCH. We also found that a high number of clinicians query results and have little
239 confidence in results for some tests such as malaria. Our findings present results from regional
240 tertiary hospitals. We recommend further studies to understand the impact of underutilizing
241 laboratory results in a clinical setting in other health facilities in Zambia.

242

243 **7.0 Limitations**

244 Due to the self-administered nature of our study, we cannot rule out possible responder bias.
245 Utilisation of laboratory results by individual clinicians could also not be verified as
246 investigation of clinical records were not in the scope of this study. Our study found no
247 association between some selected demographic factors and utilisation of laboratory results. This
248 could have been affected by the limited sample size. Further, our study was limited by the short
249 sampling period that it was thereby not including clinicians or key informants who were not
250 available during the period of study. This could have missed out individuals that could have
251 provided valuable information possibly omitted by the sampled participants.

252 **8.0 Acknowledgments**

253 We acknowledge the staff and management at NTH and ADCH for facilitating this study.

254 **9.0 Competing interests**

255 The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationship(s) that may have
256 inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

257 **10.0 Author contributions**

258 Conception, design and data collection was done by SM, JS, VC and VD. Data analysis,
259 interpretation and manuscript writing was conducted by SM, SKM, MK, PAV, NKC, VD and
260 KM. Supervision of the study was done by VC. All authors reviewed and approved the final
261 version of the manuscript.

262

263

264 **11.0 References**

- 265 1. Papadakis M, McPhee S, Rabow M. Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment 2020 |
266 AccessMedicine | McGraw-Hill Medical [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 11]. Available
267 from: <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/book.aspx?bookID=2683>
- 268 2. Hajjaj FM, Salek MS, Basra MKA, Finlay AY. Non-clinical influences on clinical
269 decision-making: A major challenge to evidence-based practice. Vol. 103, Journal of the
270 Royal Society of Medicine. Royal Society of Medicine Press; 2010. p. 178–87.
- 271 3. Wians FH. Clinical Laboratory Tests: Which, Why, and What Do The Results Mean? Lab
272 Med [Internet]. 2009 Feb 1 [cited 2020 Aug 22];40(2):105–13. Available from:
273 <https://academic.oup.com/labmed/article-lookup/doi/10.1309/LM4O4L0HHUTWWUDD>
- 274 4. Ngo A, Gandhi P, Miller WG. Frequency that Laboratory Tests Influence Medical
275 Decisions. J Appl Lab Med An AACC Publ [Internet]. 2017 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Jun
276 11];1(4):410–4. Available from: [https://academic.oup.com/jalm/article/1/4/410-
277 414/5587412](https://academic.oup.com/jalm/article/1/4/410-414/5587412)
- 278 5. Rohr UP, Binder C, Dieterle T, Giusti F, Messina CGM, Toerien E, Moch H,
279 Hendrikschäfer H. The value of in vitro diagnostic testing in medical practice: A status
280 report. PLoS One. 2016 Mar 1;11(3).
- 281 6. Nkengasong JN, Mesele T, Orloff S, Kebede Y, Fonjungo PN, Timperi R, Birx D. Critical
282 role of developing national strategic plans as a guide to strengthen laboratory health
283 systems in resource-poor settings. In: American Journal of Clinical Pathology. Am J Clin
284 Pathol; 2009. p. 852–7.
- 285 7. Mazaba M, Mwaba P, Droti B, Kagulura S, Makasa C, Masaninga F, Kachimba J,
286 Vwalika B, Mufunda J. Leveraging Existing Laboratory Capacity towards Universal
287 Health Coverage: A Case of Zambian Laboratory Services. Med J Zambia.
288 2016;43(2):88–93.
- 289 8. Bates I, Maitland K. Are laboratory services coming of age in sub-Saharan Africa? Clin
290 Infect Dis [Internet]. 2006 Feb 1 [cited 2020 Jun 11];42(3):383–4. Available from:
291 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392085>
- 292 9. Anonychuk A, Beastall G, Shorter S, Kloss-Wolf R, Neumann P. A Framework for
293 Assessing the Value of Laboratory Diagnostics. Healthc Manag Forum [Internet]. 2012
294 Oct 1 [cited 2020 Jun 11];25(3_suppl):S4–11. Available from:

- 295 <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1016/j.hcmf.2012.07.015>
- 296 10. Mephram SO, Squire SB, Chisuwo L, Kandulu J, Bates I. Utilisation of laboratory services
297 by health workers in a district hospital in Malawi. *J Clin Pathol*. 2009 Oct;62(10):935–8.
- 298 11. Moyo K, Porter C, Chilima B, Mwenda R, Kabue M, Zungu L, Sarr A. Use of laboratory
299 test results in patient management by clinicians in Malawi. *Afr J Lab Med*. 2015 May
300 13;4(1).
- 301 12. Okeke IN. Diagnostic insufficiency in Africa. *Clin Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2006 May 15
302 [cited 2020 Jun 11];42(10):1501–3. Available from:
303 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16619170>
- 304 13. Hailu HA, Yalew A, Desale A, Asrat H, Kebede S, Dejene D, Abebe H, Gashu A, Moges
305 B, Yemanebrhane N, Melese D, Ayele BT, Kebede A, Abate E. Physicians’ satisfaction
306 with clinical laboratory services at public hospitals in Ethiopia: A national survey. *PLoS*
307 *One*. 2020 Apr 1;15(4):e0232178.
- 308 14. Chanda W, Manyepa M, Chikwanda E, Daka V, Chileshe J, Tembo M, Kasongo J,
309 Chipipa A, Handema R, Mulemena JA. Evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility patterns of
310 pathogens isolated from routine laboratory specimens at Ndola Teaching Hospital: A
311 retrospective study. Abuelo A, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2019 Dec 23 [cited 2020 Aug
312 28];14(12):e0226676. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226676>
- 313 15. Mwale K. Intestinal Infestations in Under-Five Children in Zambia. *Int J MCH AIDS*
314 [Internet]. 2016 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Aug 28];4(2):40–6. Available from:
315 <https://europepmc.org/articles/PMC4948131>
- 316 16. Taber KS. The Use of Cronbach’s Alpha When Developing and Reporting Research
317 Instruments in Science Education. *Res Sci Educ* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 1 [cited 2020 Aug
318 22];48(6):1273–96. Available from: [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11165-016-](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2)
319 [9602-2](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2)
- 320 17. Faber J, Fonseca LM. How sample size influences research outcomes. *Dental Press J*
321 *Orthod* [Internet]. 2014 Jul 1 [cited 2020 Aug 28];19(4):27–9. Available from:
322 [/pmc/articles/PMC4296634/?report=abstract](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25411111/)
- 323 18. Carter J, Östensen H, Heuck CC. Good clinical diagnostic practice A guide for clinicians
324 in developing countries to the clinical diagnosis of disease and to making proper use of
325 clinical diagnostic services. 2005.

- 326 19. Polage CR, Bedu-Addo G, Owusu-Ofori A, Frimpong E, Lloyd W, Zurcher E, Hale D
327 Von, Petti CA. Laboratory use in Ghana: Physician perception and practice. *Am J Trop*
328 *Med Hyg.* 2006;75(3):526–31.
- 329 20. Bates I, Bekoe V, Asamoah-Adu A. Improving the accuracy of malaria-related laboratory
330 tests in Ghana [Internet]. Vol. 3, *Malaria Journal*. *Malar J*; 2004 [cited 2020 Jul 13].
331 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15516269/>
- 332 21. Lippi G, Da Rin G. Advantages and limitations of total laboratory automation: A personal
333 overview [Internet]. Vol. 57, *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*. De Gruyter;
334 2019 [cited 2020 Sep 19]. p. 802–11. Available from:
335 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30710480/>
- 336 22. Hecimovich MD, Volet SE. Importance of Building Confidence in Patient
337 Communication and Clinical Skills Among Chiropractic Students. *J Chiropr Educ*
338 [Internet]. 2009 Oct 1 [cited 2020 Aug 26];23(2):151–64. Available from:
339 [/pmc/articles/PMC2759993/?report=abstract](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19111111/)
- 340 23. Petti CA, Polage CR, Quinn TC, Ronald AR, Sande MA. Laboratory Medicine in Africa:
341 A Barrier to Effective Health Care. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006 Feb 1;42(3):377–82.
- 342 24. Petrose LG, Fisher AM, Douglas GP, Terry MA, Muula A, Chawani MS, Limula H,
343 Driessen J. Assessing perceived challenges to laboratory testing at a malawian referral
344 hospital. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2016 Jun 1;94(6):1426–32.
- 345