

1 **Genetic Architecture of 11 Major Psychiatric Disorders at Biobehavioral, Functional**
2 **Genomic, and Molecular Genetic Levels of Analysis**

3
4
5 Andrew D. Grotzinger,^{1,a} Travis T. Mallard,¹ Wonuola A. Akingbuwa,² Hill F. Ip,² Mark J.
6 Adams,³ Cathryn M. Lewis,⁴ Andrew M. McIntosh,³ Jakob Grove,⁵ Søren Dalsgaard,⁶ Klaus-
7 Peter Lesch,⁷⁻⁹ Nora Strom,¹⁰⁻¹² Sandra M. Meier,¹³⁻¹⁴ Manuel Mattheisen,^{5,14,15} Anders D.
8 Børglum,^{5,14,15} Ole Mors,^{5,16} Gerome Breen,^{4,18} iPSYCH, Tourette Syndrome and Obsessive
9 Compulsive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genetics Consortium, Bipolar Disorder
10 Working Group of the Psychiatric Genetics Consortium, Major Depressive Disorder Working
11 Group of the Psychiatric Genetics Consortium, Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric
12 Genetics Consortium, Phil H. Lee,¹⁸⁻¹⁹ Kenneth S. Kendler,²⁰ Jordan W. Smoller,¹⁸⁻¹⁹ Elliot M.
13 Tucker-Drob^{1,21,*}, Michel G. Nivard^{2,*}

14
15 ¹ Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

16 ² Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

17 ³ Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Edinburgh, UK

18 ⁴ Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, King's College London, London, UK

19 ⁵ iPSYCH, The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, Denmark

20 ⁶ National Centre for Register-Based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, DK

21 ⁷ Section of Molecular Psychiatry, Center of Mental Health, University of Würzburg, Würzburg,
22 Germany

23 ⁸ Laboratory of Psychiatric Neurobiology, Institute of Molecular Medicine, Sechenov First
24 Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia

25 ⁹ Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience,
26 Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands

27 ¹⁰ Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Würzburg,
28 Würzburg, Germany

29 ¹¹ Department of Psychology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

30 ¹² Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

31 ¹³ Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia, Canada

32 ¹⁴ Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

33 ¹⁵ Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine, Aarhus, Denmark

34 ¹⁶ Psychosis Research Unit, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

35 ¹⁷ NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre, King's College London, London, UK

36 ¹⁸ Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit (PNGU) and the Center for Genomic
37 Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

38 ¹⁹ Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA,
39 USA

40 ²⁰ Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

41 ²¹ Population Research Center, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

42

43 ^a Correspondence to Andrew D. Grotzinger (agrotzin@utexas.edu)

44 * These authors jointly directed this work

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

Abstract

We systematically interrogate the joint genetic architecture of 11 major psychiatric disorders at biobehavioral, functional genomic, and molecular genetic levels of analysis. We identify four broad factors (Neurodevelopmental, Compulsive, Psychotic, and Internalizing) that underlie genetic correlations among the disorders, and test whether these factors adequately explain their genetic correlations with biobehavioral traits. We introduce Stratified Genomic Structural Equation Modelling, which we use to identify gene sets and genomic regions that disproportionately contribute to pleiotropy, including protein-truncating variant intolerant genes expressed in excitatory and GABAergic brain cells that are enriched for pleiotropy between disorders with psychotic features. Multivariate association analyses detect a total of 152 (20 novel) independent loci which act on the four factors, and identify nine loci that act heterogeneously across disorders within a factor. Despite moderate to high genetic correlations across all 11 disorders, we find very little utility of, or evidence for, a single dimension of genetic risk across psychiatric disorders.

65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110

111 **Genetic Architecture of 11 Major Psychiatric Disorders at Biobehavioral, Functional**
112 **Genomic, and Molecular Genetic Levels of Analysis**
113

114 Psychiatric disorders aggregate both within individuals *and* families. Offspring of parents
115 with psychiatric illness are at higher risk for developing a broad range of psychiatric disorders,
116 not just the specific parental disorder.¹⁻³ Moreover, approximately half of individuals with a
117 psychiatric illness will concurrently meet criteria for a second disorder,⁴ and around 40% of
118 individuals will meet diagnostic criteria for four or more psychiatric disorders in their lifetime.⁵
119 Comorbidity is the norm, rather than the exception. Factor analyses that have modeled these
120 comorbidity patterns consistently identify a transdiagnostic *p*-factor representing general risk
121 across psychiatric disorders, along with several intermediate factors representing more specific
122 clusters of psychiatric risk (*e.g.*, psychotic disorders, mood disorders).⁶⁻⁸ Modern genomics has
123 built on these findings to begin to elucidate the genetic basis for shared risk across disorders,^{9,10}
124 with new statistical tools paired with genome-wide association study (GWAS) data being used to
125 identify pleiotropic variants across disorders.^{11,12} Most recently, Lee *et al.* (2019)¹³ identified
126 three major dimensions of genetic risk sharing (Neurodevelopmental, Compulsive and
127 Psychotic) across eight psychiatric disorders, raising the possibility that key mechanisms of
128 individual disorder risk may operate through these more general factors. Importantly, however,
129 neither phenotypic comorbidity nor genetic correlations among disorders are by themselves
130 sufficient for establishing the etiological, diagnostic, or therapeutic utility of the identified
131 factors.

132 Here, we apply Genomic Structural Equation Modelling (Genomic SEM) to GWAS data
133 (average total sample size per disorder = 156,771 participants; range = 9,725 - 802,939), to
134 examine the genetic architecture of eleven major psychiatric disorders, across biobehavioral,
135 functional genomic, and molecular genetic levels of analysis. Genomic SEM is able to
136 investigate the multivariate genetic architecture across disorders that could not be measured in
137 the same sample, thereby offering novel insights across the diagnostic spectrum. We begin by
138 estimating several potential genomic factor models, and identify four broad factors that index
139 shared genetic liability within and across disorders. We then evaluate the utility of these factors
140 using a multi-step approach. First, we test the extent to which the factors adequately explain the
141 patterns of genetic correlation between psychiatric disorders and a wide range of external
142 biobehavioral traits specifically selected to represent processes disrupted in psychiatric illness,
143 such as socioeconomic outcomes and cognition. Second, we introduce Stratified Genomic SEM,
144 which we apply to identify gene sets and categories (*e.g.*, protein-truncating variant-intolerant
145 genes, low MAF SNPs) for which genetic sharing among the disorders, as indexed by each of the
146 factors, is enriched. Finally, we capitalize on Genomic SEM for multivariate GWAS to identify
147 loci that confer risk to multiple disorders via the factors, along with loci that operate
148 heterogeneously across disorders within a given factor. As we observe particularly
149 heterogeneous effects of loci related to problematic alcohol use, we estimate Mendelian
150 randomization models in which pleiotropy is explained by both four latent factors and direct
151 causal influences of problematic alcohol use liability on liability for other psychiatric disorders.
152 Collectively, these results offer critical insights into the shared and disorder-specific mechanisms
153 of genetic risk for psychiatric disease.

154
155
156

Results


Factor Analysis of Genetic Covariance across 11 Psychiatric Traits

We curated the most recent European ancestry GWAS summary data for eleven major psychiatric disorders: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD),¹⁴ problematic alcohol use (ALCH),¹⁵ anorexia nervosa (AN),¹⁶ autism spectrum disorder (AUT),¹⁷ anxiety disorders (ANX),^{18,19} bipolar disorder (BIP),²⁰ major depressive disorder (MDD),^{21,22} obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD),²³ post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD),^{24,25} schizophrenia (SCZ),²⁶ and Tourette syndrome (TS).²⁷ Data were derived from a range of sources, including the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC), UK Biobank (UKB), 23andMe, Inc., and iPSYCH (Table S1).

A heatmap of genetic correlations estimated using LD Score regression (LDSC)⁹ across the 11 traits indicates pervasive overlap across disorders, with more pronounced clustering observed among certain constellations of disorders (Figure 1a; Table S2 for LDSC results). We formally modeled this LDSC correlation structure using Genomic SEM by first estimating a series of exploratory factor analyses (EFAs), where the disorders freely load on 2,3,4, or 5 factors, in odd numbered autosomes only. We subsequently fit a series of confirmatory factor analyses (CFAs) specified on the basis of these EFAs, for which model fits were compared using even autosomes only (**Method**). Using odd and even autosome covariance matrices for the EFAs and CFAs, respectively, provided a form of cross-validation to guard against model overfitting.

The best fitting CFA model (for even autosomes: $\chi^2[33] = 126.85$, AIC = 192.85, CFI = .955, SRMR = .078; Table S47 for fit statistics of all models) consisted of four correlated factors (Figure 1b) and, importantly, also fit the data well when fit using all autosomes ($\chi^2[33] = 161.66$, AIC = 227.66, CFI = .975, SRMR = .072). Factor 1 consists of disorders characterized largely by compulsive behaviors (AN, OCD, TS). Factor 2 is characterized by disorders that may have psychotic features (SCZ, BIP). Factor 3 is characterized primarily by childhood-onset neurodevelopmental disorders (ADHD, AUT), but might also be conceptualized as a sensory processing/hyperarousal factor to the extent that PTSD also loads strongly on this factor. Factor 4 is characterized by internalizing disorders (ANX, MDD). These results, with additional disorders and larger GWAS sample sizes, largely replicate findings from PGC Cross-Disorder Group 2 (PGC-CDG2).¹³ More specifically, PGC-CDG2 reported factors representing compulsive, psychotic, and neurodevelopmental disorders, which correspond closely to our first three factors. Our identification of an Internalizing factor can largely be attributed to the inclusion of ANX, and to a lesser extent PTSD, in addition to MDD in the current analysis. It is of note that both TS and ALCH evinced the lowest factor loadings, indicating the most distinct genetic etiology among the 11 disorders in this model.

Cai *et al.* (2020)²⁸ have reported that psychiatric phenotypes derived using minimal phenotyping (defined as “individuals’ self-reported symptoms, help seeking, diagnoses or medication”) may produce GWAS signals of low specificity. We therefore conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we excluded GWAS summary statistics for MDD, ANX, ADHD and ALCH that included cohorts with self-report diagnoses or symptoms and refit the correlated factor model. This produced highly similar parameter estimates to those obtained when using all cohorts (Supplementary Results; Figure S1).



226 **Figure 1. Multivariate Genetic Architecture of 11 Psychiatric Disorders.** **Panel A:** Genetic correlations estimated using LDSC. **Panel B:** Standardized results
227 for the correlated factors. **Panel C:** Standardized results from the hierarchical factor model. **Panel D:** Standardized results from the bifactor model. The genetic
228 components of disorders and common genetic factors of disorders are inferred variables that are represented as circles. Regression relationships between
229 variables are depicted as one-headed arrows pointing from the independent variables to the dependent variables. Covariance relationships between
230 variables are represented as two-headed arrows linking the variables. (Residual) variances of a variable are represented as a two-headed arrow connecting the variable to itself;
231 for simplicity residuals of the indicators are not depicted for the bifactor model. ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; OCD = obsessive-compulsive
232 disorder; TS = Tourette syndrome; PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder; AN = anorexia nervosa; AUT = autism spectrum disorder; ALCH = problematic
233 alcohol use; ANX = anxiety; MDD = major depressive disorder; BIP = bipolar disorder; SCZ = schizophrenia.

234 The moderate, positive factor intercorrelations observed in Figure 1, in combination with
235 a prior literature indicating a high-order transdiagnostic “*p*-factor”, suggest that a hierarchical
236 factor structure with a single, high-order factor is plausible. Indeed, such a model fit the data
237 well (Figure 1c; even autosomes: $\chi^2[35] = 173.45$, AIC = 235.45, CFI = .933, SRMR = .091; all
238 autosomes: $\chi^2[35] = 171.37$, AIC = 233.37, CFI = .974, SRMR = .079). In this model the *p*-
239 factor explained the greatest proportion of variance in the Internalizing disorders factor (55%)
240 and relatively similar proportions of variance in the remaining three factors (30%-34%). We
241 retain these two key models—the four correlated factors model and the hierarchical factor
242 model—to examine the remaining research questions using data from all autosomes.
243

244 Genetic Correlates of Psychiatric Genetic Factors with External Biobehavioral Traits

245
246 A factor model implies a specific causal model, where the factors identified are thought
247 to causally influence their indicators, in this case the 11 psychiatric disorders. Therefore,
248 identified factor structures also imply a certain genetic relationship between external traits and
249 the individual disorders. The degree to which the observed genetic correlation between traits and
250 the psychiatric disorders respect the relationships implied by the factors can be viewed as a
251 validation, or rejection, of the factor structure at one level of analysis. To this end, we examined
252 patterns of correlations across the psychiatric factors and 49 biobehavioral traits relevant to
253 socioeconomic status, anthropomorphic indices, personality, cognitive outcomes, health and
254 disease, risky behavior, and neuropsychiatric outcomes,²⁹ 101 metrics of brain morphology,³⁰
255 and circadian activity across 24 hours,³¹ for a total of 174 external traits. Results for brain
256 morphology are presented in the Online Supplement (Figures S3-S4; Table S3), as none of these
257 associations were significant at a Bonferroni corrected threshold for 174 tests ($p < 2.87E-4$).
258

259 To evaluate the extent to which each of the 49 biobehavioral traits operated through the
260 factor, we calculated χ^2 difference tests comparing a model in which the trait predicted the factor
261 only, to one in which it predicted the individual disorders of a given factor (or, the first-order
262 factors, in the case of analyses using the *p*-factor model; Figure 2; Figure S5). We term the χ^2
263 difference across these two models the Q_{trait} heterogeneity index, where a significant index
264 indicates that the pattern of associations between the individual disorders and the external trait is
265 not well-accounted for by the factor. Using a Bonferroni correction, 7/49 correlations were
266 significant for Q_{trait} for the Compulsive factor, 18/49 for the Psychotic factor, 39/49 for the
267 Neurodevelopmental factor, 17/49 for the Internalizing factor, and 38/49 for the *p*-factor (Table
268 S4). Excluding significant Q_{trait} correlations (i.e., correlations not operating through the factor),
269 and using the same Bonferroni correction, 17 correlations were significant for the Compulsive
270 factor, 12 for the Psychotic factor, 5 for the Neurodevelopmental factor, 20 for the Internalizing
271 factor, and 3 for the *p*-factor.

272 As expected, all factors were positively genetically associated with psychiatric
273 phenotypes from outside studies, including the cross-disorder iPSYCH results, and negatively
274 genetically correlated with indices of positive mental health (e.g., subjective well-being, family
275 relationship satisfaction; Figure S6). In the remainder of this section, we generally describe
276 patterns of genetic correlations with external biobehavioral traits outside of the psychiatric
277 domain.
278
279
280

281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331





Figure 2. Model Comparisons for Producing Q metrics. Unstandardized path diagrams for *common pathway* (left) and *independent pathways* (right) models used to compute the Genomic SEM heterogeneity statistics for associations with external traits (Q_{Trait} , top) and individual SNPs (Q_{SNP} , bottom). In this example, F is a common genetic factor of the genetic components of 3 GWAS phenotypes (Y_1 - Y_3). Observed variables are represented as squares and latent variables are represented as circles. The genetic component of each phenotype is represented with a circle as the genetic component is a latent variable that is not directly measured, but is inferred using LDSC. SNPs are directly measured, and are therefore represented as squares. Single-headed arrows are regression relations, and double-headed arrows are variances. Paths labeled 1 are fixed to 1 for model identification purposes. All unlabeled paths represent freely estimated model parameters. Q represents the decrement in model fit of the *common pathway* model relative to the more restrictive *independent pathways* model. Q is a χ^2 distributed test statistic with $k-1$ degrees of freedom, representing the difference between the k SNP-phenotype or Trait-phenotype b coefficients in the independent pathways model and the 1 SNP-factor or Trait-factor b coefficient in the *common pathway* model. Q_{Trait} indexes whether the pattern of genetic associations between the genetic component of an external trait (depicted as X_g) and the individual disorders is well accounted for by a given factor. Q_{SNP} indexes whether the associations between an individual SNP (depicted as SNP_m) and the individual disorders is well accounted for by the factor. For simplicity, we depict a stylized representation containing only one factor and three disorders. The full models used to derive Q_{Trait} and Q_{SNP} for the empirical analyses reported in this paper are presented in Figures S5 and S38.

Stratified Genomic SEM



367 **Figure 3. Genetic Correlations with Complex Traits across Psychiatric Factors.** Panels depict genetic correlations with
 368 complex traits of interest for the four psychiatric factors from the correlated factors model and the second-order, *p*-factor from
 369 the hierarchical model. Genetic correlations are shown for socioeconomic (**Panel A**), anthropomorphic (**Panel B**), personality
 370 (**Panel C**), health and disease (**Panel D**), cognitive (**Panel E**), and risky behavior outcomes (**Panel F**). Bars depicted with a
 371 dashed outline were significant at a Bonferroni corrected threshold for model comparisons indicating heterogeneity across the
 372 factor indicators in their genetic correlations with the outside trait. Error bars reflect 95% confidence intervals. Bars depicted
 373 with an * above produced a genetic correlation that was significant at a Bonferroni corrected threshold and were not
 374 significantly heterogeneous.

375
 376
 377
 378

379 The Compulsive disorders factor was negatively genetically correlated with
380 anthropomorphic traits (BMI, waist-to-hip ratio) and risk-taking behaviors (e.g., automobile
381 speeding, pub attendance; Figure 3). Educational attainment (EA) evinced a particular pattern of
382 genetic associations with the individual compulsive disorders that were inconsistent with their
383 operation via the Compulsive disorders factor, where AN was more positively associated relative
384 to OCD and TS (Figure S7).

385 The Psychotic disorders factor was negatively associated with obesity related outcomes
386 (BMI, Type 2 diabetes) and positively associated with neuroticism. Phenotypes whose patterns
387 of genetic associations with the individual disorders were inconsistent with their operation via
388 the Psychotic disorders factor were substance use phenotypes (e.g., drinks per week, cannabis
389 use), for which genetic associations with SCZ were more pronounced than with BIP, and
390 cognitive (e.g., EA) and risk-taking phenotypes (e.g., automobile speeding), for which BIP
391 exhibited more pronounced positive associations.

392 The Neurodevelopmental disorders factor was genetically associated with earlier age at
393 menopause. All other external correlates outside of the psychiatric domain that survived
394 Bonferroni-correction exhibited patterns of associations with the individual neurodevelopmental
395 disorders that were inconsistent with their operation via the factor. Cognitive (e.g., educational
396 attainment, intelligence), anthropometric (e.g., BMI), and economic outcomes (e.g., Townsend
397 deprivation) had the strongest disorder-specific associations, with positive associations observed
398 for AUT, and negative associations for PTSD and ADHD. The Neurodevelopmental disorders
399 factor therefore performed poorly at this level of validation due largely to divergent patterns for
400 AUT.


401 The Internalizing disorders factor exhibited negative genetic associations with
402 extraversion, age at menopause, EA, and positive associations with various adverse health
403 outcomes (e.g., asthma, back pain, coronary artery disease). Phenotypes with the strongest
404 disorder-specific associations included socioeconomic phenotypes (e.g., owning a house
405 outright), which tended to exhibit more pronounced negative genetic associations with MDD
406 than with ANX.

407 The p -factor exhibited a homogenous genetic correlation with automobile speeding
408 propensity. All other external non-psychiatric correlates that survived Bonferroni-correction
409 exhibited patterns of associations with the first order psychiatric genetic factors that were
410 inconsistent with their operation via the p -factor. The genetic associations with EA deviated most
411 strongly from the hierarchical factor structure. These patterns of widespread heterogeneity in
412 genetic correlations with external phenotypes undermine the utility of the p -factor.

413
414 *Accelerometer Data.* Atypical patterns of physical movement throughout the 24-hour cycle may
415 reflect disturbances in basic homeostatic processes that confer transdiagnostic psychiatric risk.³²
416 Using accelerometer data from UKB,³¹ we next examined genetic correlations between the
417 individual psychiatric traits and factors and physical movement across a 24-hour period (Figure
418 4; Table S5). The same Q_{trait} indices described for complex traits were used to determine whether
419 patterns of associations with hours of movement were well-accounted for by the factors. Using a
420 Bonferroni correction for 174 tests, 1 correlation was significant for Q_{trait} for the Compulsive
421 factor, 2 for the Psychotic factor, 12 for the Neurodevelopmental factor, 7 for the Internalizing
422 factor, and 18 for the p -factor. Excluding any significant Q_{trait} correlations, and using the same
423 Bonferroni correction, 8 correlations were significant for the Compulsive factor, 4 for the

424 Psychotic factor, 1 for the Neurodevelopmental factor, 6 for the Internalizing factor, and 2 for the
425 p -factor.

426 Compulsive disorders were positively genetically correlated with physical movement
427 throughout the daylight hours and into the evening. Psychotic disorders were positively
428 genetically correlated with excess movement in the early morning hours. The pattern of
429 associations deviated from the factor structure largely in the daylight and evening hours, with
430 larger positive genetic correlations observed for BIP. Genetic correlations with movement
431 throughout the day were heterogeneous across disorders that load on the Neurodevelopmental
432 disorders factor. This was primarily due to unique associations for AUT, for which positive
433 genetic correlations were observed during the evening hours relative to negative correlations for
434 other disorders. Internalizing disorders were negatively genetically correlated with movement
435 throughout the daylight and earlier evening hours.



464 **Figure 4. Genetic Correlations with Accelerometer Data across Psychiatric Disorders and Factors.** Panels depicts
465 genetic correlations between accelerometer-based average total hourly movement within the 24-hr day beginning at midnight
466 ($N \sim 95,000$) and each psychiatric disorder, along with the respective psychiatric factor, for the compulsive disorders (**Panel A**),
467 psychotic disorders (**Panel B**), neurodevelopmental disorders (**Panel C**), internalizing disorders (**Panel D**), and psychiatric
468 factors (**Panel E**). Across all panels, the psychiatric factors are depicted with larger points and lines. For the psychiatric
469 factors, points depicted as diamonds were significant at a Bonferroni corrected threshold for model comparisons indicating
470 heterogeneity across the factor indicators in their genetic correlations with that particular time point. As it loaded on three
471 different factors (cf. Figure 1), ALCH was not assigned to a panel above. Lines represent loess regression lines estimated in
472 *ggplot2*.

473 **Genetic Enrichment of Psychiatric Genetic Factors (Stratified Genomic SEM)**

474

475 We developed Stratified Genomic SEM to allow the basic principles of Genomic SEM to
476 be applied to genetic covariance matrices estimated in different gene sets and categories
477 (**Method**). These gene sets and categories, collectively referred to as annotations, can be
478 constructed based on a variety of sources, such as collateral gene expression data obtained from
479 single-cell RNA sequencing. Such an analysis goes beyond methods such as Stratified LDSC³³
480 that estimate enrichment of heritability for particular traits within functional annotations. Rather,
481 Stratified Genomic SEM allows us to ask whether pleiotropic loci are enriched within particular
482 annotations.

483 We fit Stratified Genomic SEM models that allowed variances of the common genetic
484 factors, and disorder-specific effects, to vary across annotations to examine whether the degree
485 of risk sharing and differentiation is enriched across disorders. Enrichment is defined as the ratio
486 of the proportion of genome-wide risk sharing indexed by the annotation to that annotation's size
487 as a proportion of the genome (**Method**). The null, corresponding to no enrichment, is a ratio of
488 1.0, with values above 1.0 indicating enrichment of pleiotropic signal within a functional
489 annotation. We included functional annotations from the most recent 1000 Genomes Phase 3
490 BaselineLD Version 2.2,³⁴ for tissue specific histone marks based on data from the Roadmap
491 Epigenetics Project,³⁵ for specific gene expression constructed based on RNA sequencing data
492 from human tissues from GTEx,³⁶ and for annotations constructed from human, mouse, and rat
493 microarray experiments (*i.e.*, DEPICT).³⁷ In addition, we created 29 annotations to examine the
494 interaction between expression patterns for protein-truncating variant (PTV)-intolerant (PI)
495 genes (obtained from the Genome Aggregation Database; gnomAD³⁸), and human brain cells in
496 the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (obtained from GTEx³⁹). In total, enrichment analyses
497 were based on 168 binary annotations. Using a Bonferroni correction for 168 tests, we identify
498 40 annotations that were significantly enriched for the Psychotic disorders factor, 1 annotation
499 (conserved primate) for the Neurodevelopmental disorders factor, 4 annotations for the
500 Internalizing disorders factor, and 38 annotations for the *p*-factor (Table S6).

501 PI results revealed that these annotations were particularly enriched for the Psychotic
502 disorders factor, with 5 out of the 10 most significantly enriched gene sets falling in this category
503 (Figure 5). Moreover, we observe that specific intersections of PI and brain cells were more
504 enriched than others, with the interaction of PI genes and genes expressed for excitatory (*e.g.*,
505 hippocampal CA1 neurons) and GABAergic neurons displaying the most significant enrichment
506 for the Psychotic disorders factor. PI genes reflect a broad functional class that has been found to
507 confer risk across a wide array of disorders (*e.g.*, AUT, ADHD, BIP and SCZ⁴⁰). These findings
508 thus offer insight into neuronal subcategories within the overarching PI gene set that are
509 specifically associated with shared risk across BIP and SCZ.

510 We find that shared genetic variance across disorders, as estimated by a higher order *p*-
511 factor, is enriched in conserved annotations (*e.g.*, conserved primate; Genomic Evolutionary
512 Rate Profiling [GERP]) and that enrichment increases from low to high MAF alleles (Figure S8-
513 S14). This indicates that previous reports of similar findings for individual disorders^{33,41} may
514 reflect enrichment of pleiotropic variants that are broadly relevant for many disorders. The most
515 enriched annotations for the Neurodevelopmental and Internalizing disorders factors were fetal
516 female brain DNase and fetal male brain H3K4me1, respectively, both of which have been
517 previously reported to be enriched for general liability across psychiatric disorders.⁴²

518




Figure 5. Genetic Enrichment of Factors for Brain Cell, PI, and PI x Brain Cell Annotations. Figure depicts enrichment of the four factors from correlated factors model and the second-order, *p*-factor from the hierarchical factor model for the brain cell genes, protein-truncating variant (PTV)-intolerant (PI) genes, and PI x brain cell gene annotations. Enrichment is indexed by the ratio of the proportion of genome-wide relative risk sharing indexed by the annotation to that annotation's size as a proportion of the genome. The red dashed line reflects the null ratio of 1.0, corresponding to no enrichment. Ratios greater than 1.0 indicate enrichment of pleiotropic signal whereas ratios less than 1.0 indicate depletion of pleiotropic signal. Error bars depict 95% confidence intervals. Points depicted with a * were significantly enriched at a Bonferroni corrected threshold. To maintain equal scaling purposes across all panels, error bars are capped at 3 and 0 for the Compulsive disorders factor; no annotations were significant for this factor.

519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565

566 For specific tissues, we observe that brain regions are generally enriched, as is also observed for
567 other complex traits,⁴³ but were most enriched for the Psychotic disorders factor.

568 Results for genetic enrichment of the residuals of the psychiatric factors after accounting
569 for variance explained by the p -factor are presented in the **Online Supplement** (Figures S15-
570 S20; Table S5). These results indicated slightly attenuated signal across enrichment categories
571 relative to enrichment from the correlated factors model, with one important exception: the
572 enrichment signal was even stronger in the $PI \times$ neuronal annotations when examining the
573 variance in the Psychotic disorders factor that was unique of the three remaining factors. This
574 provides compelling evidence that variants within PI genes expressed in specific hippocampal
575 and prefrontal cortex neuronal cells are distinctly important for genetic overlap between BIP and
576 SCZ .

577

578 **Unstructured Multivariate GWAS**

579

580 We went on to conduct an unstructured multivariate GWAS that computes an omnibus
581 index of association across all 11 disorders. This GWAS was conducted within Genomic SEM
582 by comparing a maximally complex model in which the SNP is allowed to have direct regression
583 relations with each of the 11 disorders against a null model in which the SNP is associated with
584 none of the disorders. This omnibus test is χ^2 distributed with 11 df , and quantifies evidence for
585 an overall effect of the SNP on any subset of the disorders, irrespective of the patterning or
586 directionality of the effects. We refer to this as an unstructured multivariate GWAS because the
587 tested model freely estimates as many SNP regressions as there are disorders, and can identify
588 variants associated with a subset of the psychiatric disorders regardless of their loading on the
589 higher order factors we observed.

590 The unstructured multivariate GWAS identified 184 associated loci, 39 of which were
591 not in LD with any of the univariate associations (Figure 6 for Miami plots; Figure S21 for QQ-
592 plots; Table S7 for individual hits). Of these 39 novel hits, nine have not been described for
593 independent studies of psychiatric traits/symptoms and were largely characterized by hits
594 previously found for cognitive (e.g., intelligence) or anthropometric traits (e.g., BMI; Table S7).
595 Moreover, 7 hits were entirely novel in that they were not in LD with any previously discovered
596 hits in the GWAS catalogue. For comparative purposes, we consider overlap with the 109
597 pleiotropic and 146 total hits from PGC-CDG2¹³ given both overlapping datasets and research
598 questions. The unstructured multivariate GWAS recaptures 69 of the 109 (63.3%; Table S8)
599 pleiotropic loci and 97 of the 146 (66.4%) total loci from PGC-CDG2 .

600

601 **Structured Multivariate GWAS**

602

603 We used Genomic SEM to perform two structured multivariate GWASs, one using the
604 correlated factors model (with Factors 1-4 as the GWAS target), and one using the hierarchical
605 factor model (with the higher order p -factor as the GWAS target; Figure 6). We refer to these
606 multivariate GWASs as structured, because the different models used for each define a specific
607 pattern, or *structure*, of the relationship between the SNP and the 11 disorders. For each of the
608 two multivariate GWASs, and for each factor used as a GWAS target, we estimate SNP-specific
609 indices of heterogeneity with the Q_{SNP} ⁴⁴ statistic that indexes violation of the null hypothesis that
610 the SNP acts on the individual disorders entirely via the factor on which they load (Figure 3; see
611 **Method**). A Q_{SNP} statistic is typically significant when the SNP effect is highly specific to an
612 individual disorder or when SNP effects are highly heterogeneous across disorders, such as when

613 there is divergent directionality across the disorders. Thus, we use these GWASs to identify
614 whether variants specifically relate to broad-dimensions of genetic risk, or to a specific disorder
615 or disorders. Corresponding results from using the genome-wide S-LDSC matrix can be found in
616 Tables S27-S39 and Figures S22-S23. LDSC and S-LDSC produced highly similar multivariate
617 GWAS results (Supplementary Results; Figures S24-25). Polar plots of individual variants
618 estimated as genome-wide significant are presented in Figure S26.

619 We identified 1 hit for the Compulsive disorders factor, a locus also associated with AN¹⁶
620 (Table S9-S10). We identify two loci for the Compulsive disorders factor-specific Q_{SNP} statistic
621 (Table S11), including a locus (rs1906252) with strong opposing effects on AN and TS .

622 We identified 108 hits for the Psychotic disorders factor, 96 of which were in LD with
623 previously reported associations with BIP⁴⁵ and SCZ (Table S12), and 12 of which were novel
624 relative to the contributing univariate GWASs. Of these 12 unique hits, 8 have been reported as
625 hits in independent (or semi-independent) external GWAS of psychiatric traits, 2 were novel for
626 psychiatric traits, and 2 were entirely novel (Table S13). Psychotic disorders, factor-specific
627 Q_{SNP} statistic revealed 6 hits, 3 of which were in LD with hits for ALCH (Table S14), including a
628 locus in the well-described Alcohol Dehydrogenase 1B (ADH1B) gene that was significant for
629 factor-specific Q_{SNP} for all four factors.

630 We identified nine hits for the Neurodevelopmental disorders factor (Table S15), 3 of
631 which were in LD with hits for ADHD¹⁴ or MDD, and 2 of which were novel relative to the
632 contributing univariate GWASs. These two novel hits were in LD with hits previously described
633 for GWAS of psychiatric traits (Table S16). There were 7 hits for the Neurodevelopmental Q_{SNP}
634 statistic, many of which appeared to be specific to AUT¹⁷ (Table S17).

635 We identified 44 independent hits for the Internalizing disorders factor, 6 of which were
636 unique of hits from the contributing univariate GWASs (Table S18). Among these 6 novel loci, 3
637 were identified in outside studies of psychiatric traits, one has been identified for smoking
638 initiation, and two have yet to be described for any trait (Table S19). Three loci were identified
639 for the Internalizing factor-specific Q_{SNP} statistic, all three of which were in LD with hits for
640 ALCH (Table S20). We note that the discrepancy in the number of univariate MDD hits (109)
641 relative to the number of Internalizing factor hits (44) can be attributed to a combination of
642 signal specific to MDD and splitting the MDD signal across two factors (Figure S27).

643 Of the 109 pleiotropic hits from PGC-CDG2, none were in LD with hits for the
644 Compulsive disorders factors, 52 hits were in LD with hits for the Psychotic disorders factor, 4
645 hits were in LD with hits for the Neurodevelopmental disorders factor, and 14 hits were in LD
646 with hits for the Internalizing disorders factor. As 5 of these overlapping hits were redundant
647 across the factors, the correlated factors model indicates that 65 of the 109 (59.6%) PGC-CDG2
648 hits may be interpreted as acting pleiotropically via the factors identified here. Nine hits from the
649 correlated factors model were in LD across the factors, and 1 hit was in LD with a Q_{SNP} hit. In
650 total, we therefore discover 152 independent loci that are likely to operate through pleiotropic
651 mechanisms, 20 of which that were novel relative to the univariate traits. Accounting for LD
652 across factor-specific Q_{SNP} hits, we identify nine independent Q_{SNP} hits that do not conform to
653 the identified factor structure (Table 1), a third of which appeared to operate through pathways
654 unique to ALCH.

655 We identified only 2 genome-wide hits for the higher-order p -factor, both of which were
656 in LD with univariate hits for MDD and SCZ (Table S21), and have been described in multiple
657 external GWAS of psychiatric traits (Table S22). The p -factor was characterized by the highest
658 level of heterogeneity by far, with 69 loci identified for Q_{SNP} (Table S23), 49 of which were in

659 LD with hits on the four psychiatric factors from the correlated factors model. Despite few hits
660 for p , its considerable mean χ^2 (1.795) may be attributable to the aggregation of heterogenous
661 signal across factors 1-4 in the hierarchical factor GWAS.

662 In summary, very few SNPs act on these 11 disorders in a manner consistent with the
663 presence of a p -factor, whereas many SNPs act on the 11 disorders according to patterns that are
664 significantly inconsistent with the presence of a p -factor. Moreover, the high average mean χ^2
665 for the p -factor suggest that the paucity of factor hits is not attributable to low power. The
666 observed pattern of SNP effects, in combination with the extensive heterogeneity in the pattern
667 of correlations with biobehavioral traits reported earlier, suggests that a single, higher-order
668 common factor of genetic risk for psychiatric disease has low plausibility and little pragmatic
669 utility for understanding the shared genetic architecture of the disorders.

670

671 **Post-hoc Multivariate GWAS: Bifactor Specification of p**

672

673 An alternative approach to modelling the p -factor is to specify a bifactor model.^{6,7} In the
674 bifactor model, the p -factor and four domain-specific factors are specified to be orthogonal to
675 one another and to directly predict the 11 disorders (Figure 1d). In contrast to the hierarchical
676 model in which the relationship between p and the 11 disorders is mediated by the four lower-
677 order factors, the bifactor model allows for direct associations between p and the 11 disorders.
678 As the hierarchical model reflects a constrained version of the bifactor model, the bifactor model
679 is always able to approximate the empirical genetic covariance as well as, or better than, the
680 hierarchical model.⁴⁶ Indeed, the bifactor model fit the data very well ($\chi^2[28] = 120.35$, AIC =
681 196.35, CFI = .982, SRMR = .062). Multivariate GWAS results using the bifactor model are
682 presented here in order to more fully consider the utility of a p -factor, but are treated as
683 exploratory and post-hoc.⁴⁶

684 A multivariate GWAS with the bifactor p -factor as the GWAS target identified 66
685 independent hits, including the two hits for the hierarchical p -factor (Table S24). Among these
686 66 hits, 38 were in LD with hits from the correlated factors model, 8 hits were novel relative to
687 univariate hits, and 7 hits were novel relative to both univariate or correlated factors hits. Three
688 hits were novel for psychiatric traits more generally (Table S25). We identified 76 Q_{SNP} hits, 50
689 of which were in LD with hierarchical p Q_{SNP} hits (Table S26). Although the bifactor
690 specification of p produced more factor hits than did the hierarchical specification, the pattern of
691 results with respect to the large number of Q_{SNP} hits and high overall mean χ^2 of Q_{SNP} was
692 similar, and the LDSC genetic correlation across these two specifications of p was $> .99$.
693 Collectively, these results indicate low utility of the p -factor for either the bifactor or hierarchical
694 specification.

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702 Table 1. Genome-wide Multivariate GWAS Results

Multivariate GWAS Target	Effective <i>N</i>	Mean $\chi^2(1)$	LDSC Univariate Intercept	Independent Hits (LD with Q hits)	LD with Univariate Trait Hits (LD with Q hits)	Unique from Univariate Trait Hits (LD with Q hits)
Multivariate GWAS						
Factor 1 (Compulsive)	19,108	1.209	0.973	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)
Factor 2 (Psychotic)	87,138	1.869	0.975	108 (1)	96 (1)	12 (0)
Factor 3 (Neurodevelopmental)	55,932	1.301	1.022	9 (0)	7 (0)	2 (0)
Factor 4 (Internalizing)	455,340	1.635	0.997	44 (0)	38 (0)	6 (0)
Total hits across Factors 1-4	-	-	-	153 (1)	133 (1)	20 (0)
Hierarchical <i>p</i> factor	667,343	1.795	0.955	2 (1)	1 (0)	1 (1)
Bifactor <i>p</i> factor	666,557	1.985	0.982	66 (8)	58 (8)	8 (0)
Unstructured Meta-Analysis	-	2.216	0.883	184	145 (-)	39 (-)
Heterogeneity Index (Q_{SNP})						
Factor 1 (Compulsive) Q_{SNP}	-	1.113	1.001	2	1	1
Factor 2 (Psychotic) Q_{SNP}	-	1.251	0.994	6	4	2
Factor 3 (Neurodevelopmental) Q_{SNP}	-	1.246	0.980	7	4	3
Factor 4 (Internalizing) Q_{SNP}	-	1.142	0.977	3	3	0
Total Q_{SNP} hits across Factors 1-4	-	-	-	9	5	4
Hierarchical <i>p</i> factor Q_{SNP}	-	1.667	0.928	69	58	11
Bifactor <i>p</i> factor Q_{SNP}	-	1.645	0.936	76	59	17
Contributing Univariate GWAS	Effective <i>N</i> (Total <i>N</i>)	Mean $\chi^2(1)$	LDSC Univariate Intercept	Independent Hits (LD with Q hits)	LD with Factor Hits (LD with Q hits)	Unique from Factor Hits (LD with Q hits)
AN	34,467 (72,517)	1.297	1.020	8 (0)	1 (0)	7 (0)
OCD	5,712 (9,725)	1.062	0.993	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
TS	9,614 (14,307)	1.123	1.014	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
SCZ	87,462 (130,644)	2.118	1.077	179 (2)	89 (2)	90 (0)
BIP	35,967 (51,710)	1.396	1.020	16 (0)	9 (0)	7 (0)
ALCH	155,698 (176,024)	1.199	0.994	6 (3)	2 (1)	4 (2)
ADHD	46,586 (115,673)	1.221	0.969	6 (0)	3 (0)	3 (0)
AUT	33,719 (46,351)	1.198	1.008	3 (1)	0 (0)	3 (1)
PTSD	22,001 (38,593)	1.119	0.991	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MDD	498,520 (802,939)	1.957	1.024	109 (0)	43 (0)	66 (0)
ANX	30,273 (100,876)	1.194	0.998	2 (0)	2 (0)	0 (0)

703 *Note.* Independent hits were defined using a pruning window of 250Kb and $r^2 < 0.1$. Hits are considered in LD if their LD was $R^2 > .10$ or within a
704 250Kb window of one another. Values in parentheses indicate whether any of the hits were in LD with hits for factor-specific Q_{SNP} hits from the
705 respective model. Factor-specific Q_{SNP} indexes whether a particular SNP is unlikely to operate through the identified factor structure, as will often be
706 the case when a SNP effect is highly specific to an individual disorder. To facilitate comparison across mean χ^2 values reported in each row, all χ^2
707 statistics with $df > 1$ (i.e. those for Q_{SNP} and those for the unstructured multivariate GWAS) were converted to $\chi^2(1)$ statistics before taking their means.
708 Effective sample size (N) was estimated using the procedure outlined in the online supplement of Mallard et al. (2019).⁴⁷

709

710

711

712

713

714

Stratified Genomic SEM




Figure 6. Miami Plots for Psychiatric Factors. Panel A depicts results from an unstructured meta-analysis of the 11 psychiatric traits (Panel A). Results from the correlated factors model are depicted for the Compulsive disorders factor (Factor 1; Panel B), Psychotic disorders factor (Factor 2; Panel C), Neurodevelopmental disorders factor (Factor 3; panel D), and Internalizing disorders factor (Factor 4; Panel E). Panel F depicts the results of the SNP effect on the second-order p -factor from the hierarchical model. Panel G depicts results from a model in which the SNP predicted the p -factor from a bifactor model. The top half of the plots depict the $-\log_{10}(p)$ values for SNP effects on the factor; the bottom half depicts the $\log_{10}(p)$ values for the factor specific Q_{SNP} effects. As the omnibus meta-analysis does not impose a structure on the patterning of SNP-disorder associations, it does not have a Q_{SNP} statistic. The gray dashed line marks the threshold for genome-wide significance ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Black triangles denote independent factor hits that were in LD with hits for one of the univariate indicators and were not in LD with factor-specific Q_{SNP} hits. Large red triangles denote novel loci that were not in LD with any of the univariate GWAS or factor-specific Q_{SNP} hits. Purple diamonds denote Q_{SNP} hits.

715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763

764 **Estimating Causal Effects of Problematic Alcohol Use on Psychiatric Disease Risk**

765

766 One third of the Q_{SNP} discoveries from the correlated factors model appeared to operate
767 through pathways unique to ALCH. This observation motivated an examination of the causal
768 effects of ALCH on the disorders and factors using a form of multi-trait Mendelian
769 randomization (MR) within the Genomic SEM framework. We ran two types of MR models: one
770 using the Q_{SNP} variant in the ADH1B gene as a single instrumental variable for ALCH, and a
771 second multi-variant MR approach using 8 loci identified from an independent ALCH discovery
772 GWAS as instrumental variables.⁴⁸ The multi-variant approach allowed for pleiotropic effects of
773 the loci on additional disorders or factors where appropriate (Supplementary Results). Results
774 from the ADH1B and multi-variant Genomic SEM-MR approaches tentatively supported a
775 causal effect of ALCH on MDD and BIP (Supplementary Results; Figures S28-29). In these
776 models, ALCH loadings on factors 2-4 were no longer significant, but the remaining disorders
777 continued to load significantly on their respective factors. This indicates that although ALCH
778 may have causal effects on risk for at least two different disorders, multiple causation by ALCH
779 alone is not sufficient to fully account for the widespread patterning of statistical pleiotropy
780 observed among the remaining disorders examined here.

781

782

Discussion

783

784 We used genetic factor models to identify four broad factors (Neurodevelopmental,
785 Compulsive, Psychotic, and Internalizing) that provide a reasonable model of the genetic
786 correlations among 11 major psychiatric disorders, as estimated using the most recent GWAS
787 summary data for individuals of European ancestry. We find that the Compulsive, Psychotic, and
788 Internalizing factors are generally effective at describing the genetic relationship between
789 psychiatric disorders at biobehavioral, functional genomic, and molecular levels of analysis.
790 Results were less consistent with the utility of a Neurodevelopmental disorders factor. For
791 example, numerous biobehavioral traits differed in their genetic correlations with AUT to the
792 point where its disorder-specific etiology must diverge substantially from those of the other
793 disorders loading on this factor. The Neurodevelopmental disorders factor also exhibited much
794 higher degrees of heterogeneity with respect to associations with individual SNPs, suggesting
795 few variants conferring risk for these disorders are likely to operate through a more general
796 factor.

797

798 Although the genetic correlations among the 11 disorders were somewhat consistent with
799 the concept of a general p -factor, a hierarchical factor model that specified such a p -factor was
800 found to offer limited biological insight, obscuring patterns of genetic correlations with external
801 biobehavioral traits, the enrichment of pleiotropy within specific biological annotations, and the
802 associations with individual variants. A bifactor model identified a larger number of GWAS hits
803 for p , but similar to the hierarchical model exhibited a great deal of SNP-level heterogeneity.
804 Given that a p -factor was found to be insufficient for accounting for patterns of multivariate
805 associations the question arises: What processes gives rise to the moderate genetic correlations
806 observed among the four, first-order factors? One possibility is that genetic correlations among
807 the four factors arise from shared biology underlying pairwise combinations of factors, and not
808 from any biology that is shared across all factors. Similarly, genetic correlations among the
809 factors themselves may reflect pairwise combinations of shared biology among disorders that are
not shared across all disorders within a factor.

810 In some circumstances, genetic correlations across disorders may arise from direct,
811 potentially mutual, causation between the factor or disorder-specific liabilities and one another⁴⁹
812 or reflect causation directly between the symptoms of different disorders.⁵⁰ Based on significant
813 locus-specific violations of the four factor model at loci relevant to ALCH, including a locus in
814 the ADH1B gene, we incorporated Mendelian randomization into Genomic SEM models in
815 order to estimate the direct causal effect of ALCH on risk for the other disorders. Both single-
816 and multi-variant MR indicated causal effects of ALCH on MDD and BIP. The capability to
817 combine MR and Genomic SEM in order to simultaneously model latent variables and direct
818 effects between disorders vastly increases the scope of possible models that can be evaluated in
819 future work.

820 In order to identify gene sets and categories in which pleiotropic risk variants for multiple
821 disorders are disproportionately localized, we developed Stratified Genomic SEM, and applied it
822 to 168 annotations, including 29 annotations representing protein-truncating variant (PTV)-
823 intolerant (PI) genes, genes expressed in the human brain cells in the hippocampus and prefrontal
824 cortex, and their intersection. We find that the intersection between PI genes and genes expressed
825 in both excitatory and GABAergic neurons explained an outsized proportion of the genetic
826 variance in the Psychotic disorders factor, which primarily indexes genetic covariance between
827 SCZ and BIP. This offers critical insight into increasingly specific classes of genes relevant to
828 shared risk across two disorders with high genetic overlap. Across the four correlated factors, we
829 find that conserved regions are generally enriched. As enrichment in conserved annotations has
830 been previously reported for both psychiatric traits and a host of other complex traits (e.g.,
831 cognitive function, anthropometric traits^{41,43}), the current findings suggest that these annotations
832 confer risk for individual disorders via highly pleiotropic variants relevant for many different
833 domains of functioning.

834 It is important to note a number of limitations of the current analytic framework.
835 Stratified Genomic SEM inherits the assumptions and limitations of traditional S-LDSC.³³ This
836 includes using an additive model of gene action that does not consider the role of epistatic
837 effects, and only modelling the covariance among relatively common variant SNPs for which LD
838 information is available. In future work, larger univariate GWAS coupled with Stratified
839 Genomic SEM would allow for fitting qualitatively distinct structural models for individual
840 annotations. It is conceivable that a simpler two-factor model may best describe genetic
841 covariance in evolutionarily conserved regions, whereas a five-factor model may reflect the
842 underlying architecture in genes that are intolerant to protein truncation. The statistical tools
843 developed here allow us to test such hypotheses by relaxing the assumption that a single
844 structural model characterizes the genetic relationships across psychiatric disorders.

845 We also note that the pattern of results reported here is likely to have been influenced by
846 the composition of the GWAS cohorts included. Summary statistics from well powered GWASs
847 spanning the wide range of psychiatric disorders investigated here were only consistently
848 available for individuals of European ancestry. A major priority for continued work in this area
849 will be increase the diversity of populations for which psychiatric GWAS are available. Recently
850 developed methods for the stratified analysis of genetic correlations across ancestral populations
851 will be invaluable for the analysis of such data.⁵¹

852 Moreover, our results may have been influenced by the phenotyping and case-
853 ascertainment methods used. For instance, we included data from have been
854 influenced by the inclusion of GWAS cohorts relying primarily on self-report phenotypes,²⁸
855 though sensitivity analyses suggested minimal differences when excluding GWAS that used self-

856 report cohorts. Future analyses may benefit from evaluating these findings using a set of traits
857 that is balanced with respect to statistical power. Future research may also benefit from further
858 accounting for heterogeneity in how samples are ascertained and disorders are assessed.⁵²
859 Application of detailed and standardized assessment protocols to large, representative samples
860 would of course be ideal. More pragmatically, future work may apply multivariate genetic
861 approaches, such as those showcased here, at the level of individual symptoms.⁵³

862 The current analyses revealed four, correlated psychiatric factors that account for
863 extensive genetic overlap across disorders. We evaluate and elucidate the composition of these
864 factors by demonstrating patterns of correlations with external traits, develop and apply a novel
865 method, Stratified Genomic SEM, to identify classes of genes that explain disproportionate
866 levels of genetic covariance, and identify sets of loci with ranging levels of pleiotropy. We also
867 estimate MR models where pleiotropy is a function of both latent factors and direct effects from
868 one disorder liability on another. Our results offer critical insight into shared and disorder
869 specific mechanisms of genetic risk and suggest possible avenues for revising a psychiatric
870 nosology currently defined largely by clinical observation. Evidence derived from multivariate
871 genetic analysis, alongside evidence at other levels of explanation (e.g., cognitive neuroscience,
872 neurochemistry, environmental stressors), could guide future diagnostic revision.

873

874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917

Method

Overview of Genomic SEM and Stratified Genomic SEM

Genomic SEM is a two-stage Structural Equation Modelling approach. In the first stage, a genetic covariance matrix (S) and its associated sampling covariance matrix (V_S) are estimated with a multivariate version of LD Score regression (LDSC). S consists of heritabilities on the diagonal and genetic covariances (co-heritabilities) on the off-diagonal. V consists of squared SE s of S on the diagonal and sampling covariances on the off-diagonal, which capture dependencies between estimating errors that will arise in situations such as participant sample overlap across GWAS phenotypes. In the second stage, a structural equation model is fit to S by optimizing a fit function that minimizes the discrepancy between the model-implied genetic covariance matrix ($\Sigma(\theta)$) and S , weighted by the elements within V . We use the diagonally weighted least squares (WLS) fit function described in Grotzinger et al. (2019):⁴⁴

$$F_{WLS}(\theta) = (s - \sigma(\theta))' D_S^{-1} (s - \sigma(\theta))$$

where S and $\Sigma(\theta)$ have been half-vectorized to produce s and $\sigma(\theta)$, respectively, and D_S is V_S with its off-diagonal elements set to 0. The sampling covariance matrix of the stage 2, Genomic SEM parameter estimates (V_θ) are obtained using a sandwich correction described in Grotzinger et al. (2019):⁴⁴

$$V_\theta = (\hat{\Delta}'\Gamma^{-1}\hat{\Delta})^{-1} \hat{\Delta}'\Gamma^{-1}V_S\Gamma^{-1}\hat{\Delta}(\hat{\Delta}'\Gamma^{-1}\hat{\Delta})^{-1}$$

where $\hat{\Delta}$ is the matrix of model derivatives evaluated at the parameter estimates, Γ is the stage 2 weight matrix, D_S , and V_S is the sampling covariance matrix of S .

Stratified Genomic SEM extends this framework by allowing potentially different structural equation models to be fit to genetic covariance matrices estimated in different gene sets and categories. These gene sets and categories, collectively referred to as annotations, can be constructed based on a variety of sources, such as collateral gene expression data obtained from single-cell RNA sequencing. We develop a multivariate extension of Stratified LD Score Regression (S-LDSC)³³ below to estimate these annotation-specific genetic covariance matrices and their associated sampling covariance matrices. We describe two types of annotation-specific genetic covariance matrices, S_θ and S_τ . S_θ contains estimates of genetic covariance within a specific annotation without controlling for overlap with other annotations. In other words, it is composed of the zero-order coefficients implied by the multivariate S-LDSC model. S_τ contains estimates of genetic covariance controlling for annotation overlap. In other words, it is composed of multiple regression coefficients estimated by the multivariate S-LDSC model. The distinction between S_θ and S_τ directly parallels the distinction made in univariate S-LDSC³³ between overall heritability explained by an annotation and the incremental contribution of a partition to heritability beyond all other annotations considered. Note that the estimates required to populate elements of an overall genome-wide S matrix can be produced either from the zero-order annotation that includes all SNPs or by aggregating parameters corresponding to each annotation from the multivariate S-LDSC model.

918 Below, we validate via simulation that Stratified Genomic SEM produces unbiased model
919 parameter estimates and *SEs*, and that model fit indices appropriately favor the population
920 generating model within a given annotation. There are a wide array of research questions that can
921 be asked using Stratified Genomic SEM. In this paper, we examine genetic enrichment of
922 variance in psychiatric genetic factors across a broad range of annotations.
923

924 **Multivariate Stratified LDSC**

925
926 Under a multivariate extension of the S-LDSC model, the expected value of the product of
927 *z* statistics for each pairwise combination of phenotypes for SNP *j* equals:

$$928 \quad E[z_{1j}z_{2j}] = \sqrt{N_1N_2} \sum_c \tau_c \frac{\ell(j,c)}{M_c} + \frac{\rho N_s}{\sqrt{N_1N_2}} + a$$

929 where N_i is the sample size for study *i*, *c* indexes a genomic annotation, M_c is the number of
930 SNPs in annotation *c*, $\ell(j,c)$ is the LD score of SNP *j* with respect to annotation *c* (that is, the sum
931 of squared LD this SNP has with all SNPs in the annotation), τ_c is a vector of free parameters
932 used to compute the conditional contribution to heritability or coheritability (genetic covariance)
933 in annotation *c*, N_s is the number of individuals included in both GWAS samples, ρ is the
934 phenotypic correlation within the overlapping samples, and *a* is a term representing unmeasured
935 sources of confounding such as shared population stratification across GWASs.⁵⁴ The inclusion
936 the term M_c in the above equation produces LD scores ($\frac{\ell(j,c)}{M_c}$) that are scaled relative to the size
937 of the respective annotations, thereby allowing τ_c to be interpreted on the same scale as genome-
938 wide estimates of heritability and coheritability, rather than on a per SNP scale. Note that when
939 the *z* statistics for the same phenotype is double entered on the left hand side of the above
940 equation, such that $E[z_{1j}z_{2j}]$ becomes $E[\chi_j^2]$, the equation reduces to the univariate S-LDSC
941 model.⁹

942 Following Finucane et al. (2015),³³ the multivariate S-LDSC model is estimated by
943 regressing the product of *z* statistics against the annotation-specific LD scores using a weighted
944 regression model (see online supplement of Finucane et al., 2015,³³ for a description of how
945 weights are calculated). Standard errors and dependencies among estimation errors (i.e.,
946 sampling covariances) are estimated using a multivariate block jackknife. As sample overlap
947 creates a dependency between *z* statistics for the two traits, thus increasing their products, the S-
948 LDSC intercept ($\rho N_s/\sqrt{N_1N_2} + a$) is affected, but the regression slope is unaffected, and the
949 estimates of partitioned genetic covariance and their standard errors are not biased.
950

951 **Derivation of S_τ and S_θ**

952
953 $S_{\tau,c}$ is a matrix containing estimates of genetic variance and covariance in annotation *c*,
954 controlling for overlap with other annotations. It is composed of multiple regression coefficients,
955 τ_c , estimated directly with the multivariate S-LDSC model by populating each of its cells with
956 the corresponding τ estimate from the multivariate S-LDSC model.

957 $S_{\theta,c}$ is a matrix containing estimates of genetic covariance in annotation *c*, without
958 controlling for overlap with other annotations. The elements ζ_c composing $S_{\theta,c}$ can be derived
959 from the τ_c estimates from the multivariate S-LDSC model in combination with knowledge of

960 annotation overlap. Thus, the zero-order contribution of target annotation t to heritability or co-
961 heritability is written as:

962

$$963 \quad \zeta_t = \sum_c \left(\frac{|C_c \cap C_t|}{|C_c|} \right) \tau_c$$

964

965 where $|C_c \cap C_t|$ is the number of SNPs in annotation c that are also in target annotation t , and
966 $|C_c|$ is the total number of SNPs in annotation c (alternatively expressed as M_c), such that
967 $\left(\frac{|C_c \cap C_t|}{|C_c|} \right)$ reflects the proportion of SNPs in annotation c that are also in target annotation t . This
968 proportion is used to weight the term τ_c for each annotation in deriving the zero-order
969 contribution of target annotation t to heritability or coheritability.

970 When the multivariate S-LDSC model is correct, $S\tau$ is expected to produce unbiased
971 estimates of the conditional contribution of an annotation to genetic covariance, after controlling
972 for the effects of variants in all other annotation (i.e., accounting for the fact that variants can
973 reside in multiple annotations). In comparison, S_0 is expected to produce unbiased estimates of
974 the total contribution of all genetic variants in an annotation to genetic covariance (i.e.,
975 irrespective of its overlap with the other annotations). S_0 has two desirable properties. First, its
976 estimate is not as directly contingent on which other annotations are included in the multivariate
977 S-LDSC model. Second, because it does not decompose contributions of an annotation into those
978 that are shared vs. unique of other annotations, it is expected to produce more stable estimates at
979 small and moderate sample sizes. For this reason, the empirical Stratified Genomic SEM
980 analyses reported here employ S_0 matrices, and should be interpreted accordingly.

981

982 **Simulations of Stratified Genetic Covariance**

983

984 *Simulation Procedure.* Using raw individual-level genotype data simulation, we sought to
985 validate the point estimates and standard errors (*SEs*) produced by Stratified Genomic SEM. We
986 compare results for S_0 and $S\tau$. We began by generating 100 sets of 45, 100% heritable
987 phenotypes (“orthogonal genotypes”) using the GCTA package.⁵⁵ Each 100% heritable
988 phenotype was specified to have 10,000 randomly selected causal variants from within a
989 particular annotation. These phenotypes were paired with genotypic data for 100,000 randomly
990 selected, unrelated individuals of European descent from UKB data for the 1,209,498 SNPs
991 present in HapMap3.

992 The simulated genotypes were used to construct six different factor structures for six
993 causal annotations. All orthogonal genotypes were scaled $M=0$, $SD=1$. For three of the causal
994 annotations (DHS Peaks, H3K27ac, and PromoterUSC) seven genotypes for each annotation
995 were used to construct six new correlated genotypes, each as the weighted linear combination of
996 a domain-specific genetic factor and a general genetic factor, which was constructed from the
997 seventh genotype. For the remaining three causal annotations (FetalDHS, H3K9ac, and TFBS)
998 eight genotypes for each annotation were used to construct two sets of three correlated genotypes
999 for two correlated general genetic factors, constructed from the seventh and eighth genotypes. A
1000 set of six “total” genotypes was created by summing a factor indicator genotype from each of the
1001 six causal annotations. As each genotype within each annotation was specified to have 10,000

1002 causal SNPs, the “total” genotypes created as the sum of six annotation had 60,000 causal SNPs
1003 in the population generating model.

1004 Phenotypes were subsequently constructed as the weighted linear combination of one of
1005 the six “total” genotypes and domain-specific environmental factors (randomly sampled from a
1006 normal distribution with $M=0$, $SD=1$). Heritabilities for phenotypes 1-6 were all set to $h_k^2=60\%$,
1007 such that the weights for the genotypes were $\sqrt{h_k^2}$ and the weights for the environmental factors
1008 were $\sqrt{(1 - h_k^2)}$. Each of the 600 phenotypes (100 sets of 6 phenotypes) was then analyzed as a
1009 univariate GWAS in PLINK⁵⁶ to produce univariate GWAS summary statistics. The summary
1010 statistics were then munged, and Stratified Genomic SEM using the 1000 Genomes Phase 3
1011 BaselineLD Version 2.2 model was used to construct 100 sets of 6×6 stratified zero-order
1012 genetic covariance matrices (S_0), τ covariance matrices (S_τ), and their corresponding sampling
1013 covariance matrices (V_{S_0} and V_{S_τ}).

1014
1015 *Validating S_0 and V_{S_0} .* For the zero-order genetic covariance matrix, we would expect the
1016 annotation including all SNPs—i.e. the genome-wide annotation—to reflect the weighted linear
1017 combination of the generating covariance matrices specified for the six causal annotations, with
1018 weights equal to the proportion of all SNPs contained in each of the corresponding causal
1019 annotations. For each of the six causal annotations, we expect the zero-order covariance matrix
1020 for the corresponding annotation to be a linear combination of that annotation’s population-
1021 generating matrix and the remaining annotations’ population-generating matrices weighted by
1022 the proportion of SNPs overlapping across the annotations. To test these expectations, we created
1023 average observed covariance matrices across the 100 simulations for the genome-wide
1024 annotation and six causal annotations. The estimated S_0 genome-wide covariance matrix
1025 approximately reflected an additive mixture of the six population generating covariance matrices,
1026 and was estimated with minimal bias (absolute value of mean discrepancy = .004; Figure S30b).
1027 In addition, the observed covariance matrices for each of the causal annotations were minimally
1028 biased relative to the generating population (Figure S30, Table S40).

1029 In order to evaluate the accuracy of the SE s, we analyzed the ratio of the mean SE
1030 estimate across the 100 simulations over the empirical SE (calculated as the standard deviation of
1031 the parameter estimates across the 100 simulations). A value above 1 for this ratio indicates
1032 conservative SE estimates. This ratio was calculated within each of the annotations and for each
1033 cell of the covariance matrix. The average ratio across annotations and cells of the covariance
1034 matrix was 1.030 (Figure S31 for distribution across all annotations; Table S40 for ratio within
1035 causal annotations). Thus, we have produced a SE estimate for stratified heritability and
1036 covariance that performs as expected. In fact, our estimates are very slightly *conservative* as the
1037 mean SE was slightly larger than the empirical SE . Moreover, the average z statistic for
1038 heritability and covariance estimates within the causal annotations were all highly significant,
1039 suggesting more than adequate power under the conditions of the current simulation (Table S40).

1040
1041 *Validating S_τ and V_{S_τ} .* The expectation for the genetic S_τ covariance matrices is that the observed
1042 covariance matrices will reflect the generating model within only that annotation. Indeed, the
1043 causal annotations closely matched their respective population generating covariance matrices
1044 and bias was minimal (Table S40; Figure S32). We then analyzed the ratio of the mean SE
1045 estimate across the 100 runs over the empirical SE (calculated as the standard deviation of the
1046 parameter estimates across the 100 runs). The average ratio of SE estimates was 1.014 across all
1047 annotations (Figure S31) and, importantly, was also close to 1 for the causal annotations (Table

1048 S40). Results for 4,459 of the total 5,300 covariance matrices produced negative heritability
1049 estimates. This included some of the causal annotations (Table S30), but was largely true for the
1050 non-causal annotations. Negative heritability estimates are unsurprising for the non-causal
1051 annotations as their population generating effect is 0. The z statistics for the S_τ heritabilities and
1052 covariances were, on average, smaller relative to the S_θ covariance matrices (Table S40). This is
1053 to be expected as the S_θ covariance matrices include power gained from variance shared with
1054 overlapping annotations.

1055 The S_τ covariance matrices for the causal annotations were then used as input for
1056 Genomic SEM models. The two types of population generating models—a common factor and
1057 correlated factors model—were run for each annotation. For all causal annotations, Genomic
1058 SEM estimates closely matched the parameters specified in the generating population (Figure
1059 S33). In addition, the ratio of the mean model SE s over the empirical SE s was near 1. Model fit
1060 statistics (CFI, AIC, and model χ^2) also generally favored the generating model for a particular
1061 annotation (Table S41). This was least true for the H3K27ac annotation. This is unsurprising as
1062 the population generating model for the H3K27ac annotation—a correlated factors model with a
1063 factor correlation of .7—most closely matched the competing common factor model.
1064 Collectively, these results indicate that stratified Genomic SEM produces unbiased parameter
1065 estimates and standard errors for S_θ and S_τ , that S_τ shows specificity to the causal annotations of
1066 interest, and that model fit indices generally favor the appropriate model.
1067

1068 **Psychiatric Phenotypes**

1069
1070 We curated the largest and most recent GWAS summary data from individuals of
1071 European ancestry for eleven major psychiatric disorders (Table S1). We refer the reader to the
1072 original articles for the corresponding univariate GWAS for details about sample ascertainment,
1073 quality control, and related procedures. For PTSD, MDD, ADHD, ANX, and ALCH, phenotype-
1074 specific meta-analyses of GWAS summary data derived from two different contributing sources
1075 per disorder were conducted in Genomic SEM so as to account for potentially unknown degrees
1076 of participant overlap across contributing samples. Models were specified to be equivalent to a
1077 fixed-effects meta-analysis, with both variables loading on the latent variable with an
1078 unstandardized loading fixed to 1.0, and both residual variances fixed to 0. LDSC-estimated
1079 genetic correlations within-phenotype-across-data-source were all $\geq .6$ (Table S38). These
1080 GWAS meta-analyses in Genomic SEM were highly genetically correlated ($\geq .94$ as estimated
1081 with LDSC) with those estimated in METAL,⁵⁷ which does not take sample overlap into account.
1082 Consistent with the differences in whether sample overlap is considered, Genomic SEM and
1083 METAL yielded univariate LDSC intercepts slightly below and slight above 1, respectively.

1084 For the five meta-analyzed traits (Table S42) we provide Manhattan plots, tables of
1085 independent loci, and tables of hits that are in LD with hits previously identified in the GWAS
1086 catalogue (Figure S34; Table S43-S50). We find that many of the identified loci have been
1087 previously reported for the same or overlapping traits. As expected, the results for MDD and
1088 ADHD also overlap strongly with findings from the most recent MDD⁵⁸ and ADHD¹⁴ papers that
1089 use highly similar samples to those that contributed summary data analyzed here. The observed
1090 differences are attributable to different analytic pipelines and partially non-overlapping
1091 contributing cohorts; for example, results reported from the published GWAS of ADHD¹⁴
1092 include non-European samples, and hold some cohorts out for independent follow-up analyses.

1093 While conducting this project the more recent PGC Freeze 2 release of PTSD became
1094 available.⁵⁹ However, the GWAS z statistics and heritability estimates for PTSD Freeze 2 were
1095 lower than were observed for PTSD Freeze 1. As a result, our attempts to incorporate the PTSD
1096 Freeze 2 summary data produced a variety of technical problems (e.g. out of bounds genetic
1097 correlations and small heritability estimates). We therefore report results based on PTSD Freeze
1098 1 summary data.

1099

1100 **Investigation of Genome-Wide Factor Structure**

1101

1102 In order to explore the full-scope of factors solutions, EFAs were conducted using the
1103 *factanal* R package for two to five factor solutions using both oblique rotations, which allow for
1104 correlations among the latent factors, and orthogonal rotations, which assumes factors are
1105 independent (i.e., uncorrelated). Orthogonal rotations were examined as we, in part, sought to
1106 identify maximally separable dimensions with distinct sets of psychiatric indicators. EFAs were
1107 conducted for the genetic correlation structure derived from odd autosomes only. Confirmatory
1108 factor analyses (CFAs) specified on the basis of these EFAs were subsequently fit to a genetic
1109 correlation matrix estimated using only even autosomes. Using odd and even autosome
1110 covariance matrices for the exploratory and confirmatory models, respectively, provided a form
1111 of cross-validation to guard against model overfitting. For comparative purposes, we also
1112 consider model fit and final factor solutions for CFAs fit to the S-LDSC matrix (Figure S35).

1113 For the CFAs, factors were assigned to traits when their standardized loading exceeded
1114 .35 in the corresponding EFAs, with two exceptions. First, for all EFAs with > 3 factors, a factor
1115 was identified with TS as its only indicator with standardized loading $> .35$. In the context of the
1116 CFAs, assigning TS to all factors at once, or to one factor at a time, resulted in issues with model
1117 convergence. Consequently, this final factor was removed in the CFA and TS was specified to
1118 always load on the factor with the largest EFA loading (excluding the factor defined only by TS)
1119 and models were compared where TS loaded onto one of the remaining factors. Among these
1120 combinations of TS models, a final model was selected using model fit indices (i.e., AIC,
1121 SRMR, and CFI). Second, for certain EFA solutions, there were traits that did not meet the
1122 standardized loading criteria of .35 for any factor. For these traits, we assigned factors to them in
1123 the CFA when their standardized loading exceeded a more lenient threshold of 0.2. We then
1124 inspected model fit indices for the follow-up CFA model to confirm that including those factor
1125 loadings provided better fit to the data.

1126 All CFAs were fit using the Weighted Least Squares (WLS) estimator in the
1127 *GenomicSEM* R package. CFAs based on orthogonal EFA results allowed for freely correlated
1128 factors, as pruning factor loadings has the potential to reintroduce factor correlations. In the
1129 context of the CFAs, we also considered a common factor model in which all 11 traits loaded
1130 onto a single factor. CFAs with 4 correlated factors were similar in both factor structure and fit to
1131 the data (Table S51). In addition, the CFAs with 4 correlated factors provided far superior fit to
1132 the data (Figure S36), relative to the other models, with a number of the other CFAs failing to
1133 converge. Moreover, as indicated by model fit statistics, and observed directly in genetic
1134 correlation heatmaps, the correlation structure implied by the model estimates was much closer
1135 to the observed genetic correlations for these CFA solutions (Figure S37). The final model was
1136 chosen as a four correlated factor CFA (Table S52) as this ultimately provided the best fit to the
1137 data. Importantly, the model identified using a split of even and odd autosomes also fit the data
1138 well when applied to the genome-wide matrix estimated using autosomes 1-22 for LDSC (Figure

1139 1b; $\chi^2[33] = 161.66$, AIC = 227.66, CFI = .975, SRMR = .072) and S-LDSC (Figure S35e;
1140 $\chi^2[33] = 89.63$, AIC = 155.63, CFI = .976, SRMR = .086).

1141 The moderate factor correlations in this final model were also suggestive of a hierarchical
1142 structure (Figure S36d). This provided relatively comparable fit to the data for the LDSC
1143 genome-wide matrix (Figure 1c; $\chi^2[35] = 171.37$, AIC = 233.37, CFI = .974, SRMR = .079) and
1144 S-LDSC genome-wide matrix (Figure 35G; $\chi^2[35] = 91.83$, AIC = 153.83, CFI = .976, SRMR =
1145 .087). The absence of improved fit for the hierarchical model may reflect the fact that there was
1146 observable bias when comparing the factor correlations from the non-hierarchical model against
1147 the model implied correlations within the hierarchical model (Figure S37d).

1148

1149 **Genetic Correlations with Biobehavioral traits**

1150

1151 For biobehavioral traits, summary statistics for 49 phenotypes broadly related to various
1152 domains of human health and well-being were downloaded from various online sources,
1153 primarily sourced from GWAS Atlas.²⁹ For brain morphology, 101 summary statistics were
1154 downloaded from the GitHub page that corresponds to the summary data produced by Zhao et al.
1155 (2019).³⁰ For accelerometer data, 24 summary statistics for each hour of movement across the
1156 day in UK Biobank were downloaded from the GCTA website.³¹ All summary statistics were
1157 cleaned and processed using the munge function of Genomic SEM, retaining all HapMap3 SNPs
1158 outside of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) regions with minor allele frequencies
1159 (MAFs) $\geq .01$. To evaluate potential associations between the psychiatric genetic factors and
1160 external traits, we used Genomic SEM to estimate genetic correlations between each of the four
1161 psychiatric factors, the hierarchical p -factor, and all of the relevant traits.

1162

1163 **Selection and Creation of Annotations**

1164

1165 In order to construct the genome-wide S-LDSC matrix, and estimate stratified genetic
1166 covariance, we utilized pre-computed annotation files provided by the original S-LDSC
1167 authors.³³ In line with recommendations, we utilized all annotations from the most recent 1000
1168 Genomes Phase 3 BaselineLD Version 2.2³⁴ that includes a total of 97 annotations ranging from
1169 coding, UTR, promoter, and flanking window annotations. For tissue specific histone marks, we
1170 included annotations constructed based on data from the Roadmap Epigenetics Project³⁵ for
1171 narrowly defined peaks for DNase hypersensitivity, H3K27ac, H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K9ac,
1172 and H3K36me3 chromatin. For tissue specific gene expression, we include annotations
1173 constructed based on RNA sequencing data from human tissues from Genotype-Tissue
1174 Expression (GTEx)³⁶ and for annotations constructed from human, mouse, and rat microarray
1175 experiments from the Franke Lab (i.e., DEPICT).³⁷ For both tissue specific histone/chromatin
1176 marks and gene expression we utilized only brain and endocrine relevant regions in addition to 5
1177 randomly selected control regions from each (i.e., 10 controls total).

1178 We also created 29 annotations to examine the interaction between protein-truncating
1179 variant (PTV)-intolerant (PI) genes and human brain cells. PI genes were obtained from the
1180 Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD), and ascertained using the probability of loss-of-
1181 function intolerance (pLI) metric. We selected genes with pLI > 0.9 , producing a list of 3063
1182 genes.³⁸ Human brain cell gene sets were based on single-nucleus RNA-seq (sNuc-seq) data
1183 generated GTEx project brain tissues in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex.³⁹ Excluding
1184 sporadic genes and genes with low expression, for the 14 cell types we selected the top 1600

1185 (~15%) differentially expressed genes in each cell type, which likely cover all genes that are
1186 important for a specific cell type. PI × human brain cell gene sets contained the intersection of
1187 genes that are PTV-intolerant and each human brain cell gene set. Annotations were created
1188 using a 100kb window and LD information from the European subsample of 1000 Genomes
1189 Phase 3.

1190 We do not estimate enrichment of psychiatric factors for continuous or flanking window
1191 annotations, yielding a total of 168 binary annotations across the baseline model, gene
1192 expression, histone marks, PI, and brain cell annotations. For a Bonferroni correction < .05 this
1193 corresponds to $p < 2.98E-4$. We note that continuous and flanking window annotations were
1194 retained for construction of the genome-wide, S-LDSC matrix.

1196 **Estimating Genetic Enrichment of Model Parameters**

1197
1198 We can examine whether the proportional contribution of an annotation to a given
1199 genome-wide parameter in Stratified Genomic SEM is different than would be expected on the
1200 basis of the relative size of that annotation, so long as the parameter is scaled comparably across
1201 all annotations considered.⁶⁰ This is formalized by testing the null hypothesis,

$$1203 \left(\frac{\theta_c}{\theta} \right) = \left(\frac{M_c}{M} \right),$$

1204 where θ_c is the parameter estimate in annotation c , as estimated from a Genomic SEM model
1205 applied to $S_{\theta,c}$; θ is the genome-wide parameter estimate, as estimated from a Genomic SEM
1206 model applied to the genome-wide S matrix derived via aggregating the conditional contributions
1207 of all annotations included in the multivariate S-LDSC model; M_c is the number of SNPs in
1208 annotation c ; and M is the total number of SNPs. This formula can be rearranged to produce a
1209 ratio of ratios (the so-called *enrichment ratio*) that indexes the magnitude of enrichment:

$$1210 \frac{\left(\frac{\theta_c}{\theta} \right)}{\left(\frac{M_c}{M} \right)},$$

1211 with a value of 1.0 corresponding to the null of no enrichment, values greater than 1.0
1212 corresponding to enrichment (overrepresentation of signal in the annotation relative to its size),
1213 and values below 1.0 corresponding to depletion (underrepresentation of signal in the annotation
1214 relative to its size).

1215 In the current application, we are interested in enrichment of pleiotropic and disorder-
1216 specific signal, as indexed by a factor model that allows the estimates of factor variances and
1217 disorder-specific uniquenesses, respectively, to vary across annotations, while holding all factor
1218 loadings invariant across annotations. We use a two-step model-fitting procedure to estimate the
1219 enrichment ratio in order to directly obtain an estimate of its *SE*. In Step 1, we estimate the factor
1220 loadings needed to scale the total genome-wide variances of the factors to 1.0. This is achieved
1221 by fitting a model to the genome-wide S-LDSC matrix in which unit variance identification is
1222 used. In Step 2, the loading estimates from the prior Step 1 model are fixed and the factor
1223 variance is freely estimated separately in each annotation using the $S_{\theta,c}$ matrices. Thus, the
1224 estimated factor variances in Step 2 are scaled proportionally relative to the genome-wide factor
1225 variance (i.e., the numerator of the enrichment ratio). This estimate and its *SE* are subsequently
1226 divided by the proportion of SNPs in the corresponding annotation (i.e., the denominator of the
1227 enrichment ratio). For clarification, we note that genome-wide enrichment across all SNPs is

1228 exactly equal to 1. That is, for Step 2, if the genome-wide S-LDSC matrix is used as input, this
1229 produces a parameter estimate of 1, which is then divided by a proportion of 1.0, which reflects
1230 the ratio of M/M (i.e., all SNPs over all SNPs).

1231

1232 **Q_{SNP} Estimation**

1233

1234 Q_{SNP} indexes violation of the null hypothesis that the SNP acts through a given factor. Put
1235 another way, it quantifies whether the individual SNP is more likely to operate through the
1236 common pathways of the psychiatric factors, or the independent pathways of individual
1237 disorders. The index thereby identifies loci that do not plausibly operate on the individual
1238 phenotypes exclusively by way of associations with common factor(s), and may be highly
1239 specific to the individual disorder. In the context of the multivariate GWAS for the correlated
1240 factors model, four separate follow-up models were estimated in which the SNP predicted three
1241 of the overarching factors *and* the indicators of the remaining fourth factor (see Figure S38 for
1242 path diagram). Comparing the model χ^2 between the model in which the SNP predicted all four
1243 factors to one of these four, follow-up models produces a factor-specific Q_{SNP}. For the
1244 hierarchical factor structure, we compared the model χ^2 for a model in which the SNP predicted
1245 only the second-order p -factor, to the model χ^2 for a model in which the SNP predicted only the
1246 four, first-order psychiatric factors. For the bifactor model, we compared a model in which the
1247 SNP predicted only the p -factor to a model in which the SNP predicted both the p -factor and
1248 the remaining four orthogonal factors. For both the hierarchical and bifactor model, Q_{SNP} indexes
1249 heterogeneity at the level of the psychiatric factors (i.e., deviation from the null that the SNP
1250 operates through the p -factor). Therefore, a significant Q_{SNP} statistic for the hierarchical or
1251 bifactor model is likely to identify loci that are specific to a subset of the psychiatric factor(s).
1252 This is distinct from the interpretation of Q_{SNP} in the context of the correlated factors model as a
1253 significant hierarchical or bifactor Q_{SNP} may still conform to the local structure of one of the
1254 correlated factors.

1255

1256 **Quality Control Procedures**

1257

1258 *LD-Score Regression.* Quality control (QC) procedures for producing the genetic covariance (S)
1259 and sampling covariance (V_S) matrix followed the defaults in LDSC. This included removing
1260 SNPs with an MAF < 1%, information scores (INFO) < .9, SNPs from the MHC region, and
1261 filtering SNPs to HapMap3. The LD scores used for the analyses presented were estimated from
1262 the European sample of 1000 Genomes, but restricted to HapMap3 SNPs as these tend to be
1263 well-imputed and produce accurate estimates of heritability.

1264

1265 *Multivariate GWAS.* To obtain summary statistics for multivariate GWAS, we used the default
1266 QC procedures in Genomic SEM of removing SNPs with an MAF < .005 in the 1000 Genomes
1267 Phase 3 reference panel and SNPs with an INFO score < 0.6 in the univariate GWAS summary
1268 statistics. These are currently the default QC procedures for the *GenomicSEM* R package. Using
1269 these QC steps, there were 4,775,763 SNPs present across all eleven sets of European ancestry
1270 summary statistics. Prior to running any multivariate GWAS, all summary statistics were
1271 standardized with respect to the total variance in the outcome using the *sumstats* function in
1272 *GenomicSEM* and corrected for genomic inflation using the conservative approach of by

1273 multiplying of the standard errors by the univariate LDSC intercept when the intercept was
1274 above 1.

1275

1276 **Identification of Top Hits (Clumping) and Overlapping Hits**

1277 Lead SNPs for meta-analyzed univariate indicators and the latent genetic factors were
1278 identified using the clumping and pruning algorithm in FUMA.⁶¹ Independent significant SNPs
1279 were defined as crossing the genome-wide significance threshold of $p < 5e-8$ that were
1280 independent from other SNPs at $r^2 < 0.1$. We used pre-calculated LD from European 1000
1281 Genomes Phase 3 reference panel to identify independent SNPs. Top loci were subsequently
1282 identified by merging any SNPs in close proximity (< 250 kb) into a single genomic locus such
1283 that an individual locus could include multiple independent SNPs at $r^2 < 0.1$. We depict only the
1284 significant loci (referred to as hits throughout the paper) in the Miami plots, but report
1285 independent significant SNPs in supplementary tables. This same pipeline was used for the full
1286 set of univariate summary statistics (i.e., not listwise deleted across all 11 traits) in order to
1287 produce a comparable set of loci for the univariate disorder GWAS. To determine overlap with
1288 hits across the factors and disorders, we identified all independent SNPs for the psychiatric
1289 factors that were in LD ($r^2 < 0.1$) with independent SNPs for the individual disorders. As LD
1290 structure can vary across different cohorts, we also considered hits to be overlapping (in LD) if
1291 loci from the univariate disorder GWAS were within a 250 kb window (125 kb on either side of
1292 the index variant) of loci identified for the psychiatric factors or omnibus test.

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319 **Code Availability**

1320 GenomicSEM software (which now includes the Stratified GenomicSEM extension), is an R
1321 package that is available from GitHub at the following URL:

1322 <https://github.com/MichelNivard/GenomicSEM>

1323 Directions for installing the GenomicSEM R package can be found at:

1324 <https://github.com/MichelNivard/GenomicSEM/wiki>

1325

1326 **Data Availability**

1327 The data that support the findings of this study are all publicly available or can be requested for
1328 access. Specific download links for various datasets are directly below.

1329 Summary statistics for data from the PGC can be downloaded or requested here:

1330 <https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/download-results/>

1331 Summary statistics for the Anxiety phenotype in UKB (TotANX_OR) can be downloaded here:

1332 https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1fguHvz7l2G45sbMI9h_veQun4aXNTy1v

1333 23andMe summary statistics are made available through 23andMe to qualified researchers under
1334 an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of 23andMe participants. Please visit
1335 research.23andme.com/collaborate/#publication for more information

1336 Summary statistics for the volume-based neuroimaging phenotypes were downloaded from:

1337 <https://github.com/BIG-S2/GWAS>

1338 Summary statistics for the health and well-being complex trait correlations can be downloaded
1339 from: <https://atlas.ctglab.nl/>

1340 Summary statistics for the circadian rhythm correlations across 24-hours can be downloaded
1341 from: <https://cnsgenomics.com/software/gcta/#DataResource>

1342 Data from gnomAD used to identify PI genes for creation of annotations can be downloaded
1343 here: [https://storage.googleapis.com/gnomad-](https://storage.googleapis.com/gnomad-public/release/2.1.1/constraint/gnomad.v2.1.1.lof_metrics.by_gene.txt.bgz)

1344 [public/release/2.1.1/constraint/gnomad.v2.1.1.lof_metrics.by_gene.txt.bgz](https://storage.googleapis.com/gnomad-public/release/2.1.1/constraint/gnomad.v2.1.1.lof_metrics.by_gene.txt.bgz)

1345 Gene count data per cell for creation of annotations were obtained from:

1346 [https://storage.googleapis.com/gtex_additional_datasets/single_cell_data/GTEEx_droncseq_hip_p](https://storage.googleapis.com/gtex_additional_datasets/single_cell_data/GTEEx_droncseq_hip_pcf.tar)
1347 [cf.tar](https://storage.googleapis.com/gtex_additional_datasets/single_cell_data/GTEEx_droncseq_hip_pcf.tar)

1348 Data which maps individual cells to cell types (e.g. neuron, astrocyte etc.) were obtained from:

1349 <https://static->

1350 content.springer.com/esm/art%3A10.1038%2Fnmeth.4407/MediaObjects/41592_2017_BFnmeth
1351 [4407_MOESM10_ESM.xlsx](#)

1352 Links to the LD-scores, reference panel data, and the code used to produce the current results can
1353 all be found at: <https://github.com/MichelNivard/GenomicSEM/wiki>

1354 Links to the BaselineLD v2.2 annotations can be found here:
1355 <https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/>

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381 **Acknowledgements**

1382 This work presented here would not have been possible without the enormous efforts put forth
1383 by the investigators and participants from Psychiatric Genetics Consortium, iPSYCH, UK
1384 Biobank, and 23andMe. The work from these contributing groups was supported by numerous
1385 grants from governmental and charitable bodies as well as philanthropic donation. Research
1386 reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute Of Mental Health of the
1387 National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01MH120219. The content is solely the
1388 responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National
1389 Institutes of Health. ADG was additionally supported by NIH Grant R01HD083613. EMTD was
1390 additionally supported by NIH grants R01AG054628 and R01HD083613 and the Jacobs
1391 Foundation. EMTD is a faculty associate of the Population Research Center at the University of
1392 Texas, which is supported by NIH grant P2CHD042849. MGN is additionally supported by
1393 ZonMW grants 849200011 and 531003014 from The Netherlands Organisation for Health
1394 Research and Development, a VENI grant awarded by NWO (VI.Veni.191G.030) and is a
1395 Jacobs Foundation Fellow. WAA is supported by the "European Union's Horizon 2020 research
1396 and innovation programme, Marie Skłodowska Curie Actions – MSCA-ITN-2016 – Innovative
1397 Training Networks under grant agreement No [721567]". HFI is supported by the "Aggression in
1398 Children: unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON
1399 strategies" (ACTION) project. ACTION receives funding from the European Union Seventh
1400 Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. CML is supported by
1401 the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at South London and
1402 Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. AMM is supported by the
1403 Wellcome Trust (104036/Z/14/Z, 216767/Z/19/Z), UKRI MRC (MC_PC_17209,
1404 MR/S035818/1). KPL is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG: CRU 125,
1405 CRC TRR 58 A1/A5, No. 44541416), the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme
1406 under Grant No. 602805 (Aggressotype), the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme
1407 under Grant No. 728018 (Eat2beNICE) and 643051 (MiND), Fritz Thyssen Foundation (No.
1408 10.13.1185), ERA-Net NEURON/RESPOND, No. 01EW1602B, ERA-Net
1409 NEURON/DECODE, No. FKZ01EW1902 and 5-100 Russian Academic Excellence Project. GB
1410 is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at South
1411 London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. PL is supported by
1412 NIH R01MH119243 and R00MH101367.

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423 **Author Contributions**

- 1424 Study Design: Grotzinger, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1425 Methods Development: Grotzinger, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1426 Software Development: Grotzinger, Ip, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1427 Simulation Studies: Grotzinger, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1428 Gene Set and Annotation Creation: Akingbuwa, Grotzinger, Nivard
1429 Genetic Factor Modelling, Multivariate GWAS, Complex Trait Correlations, and Multivariate
1430 Enrichment Analyses: Grotzinger, Mallard, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1431 Writing: Grotzinger, Nivard, Tucker-Drob
1432 Feedback and Editing: All authors contributed to editing the manuscript.

1433

1434 **Declaration of Interests**

- 1435 J.W.S. is an unpaid member of the Bipolar/Depression Research Community Advisory Panel of
1436 23andMe. H.R.K. (Henry R. Kranzler) is a member of the American Society of Clinical
1437 Psychopharmacology's Alcohol Clinical Trials Initiative, which was supported in the last three
1438 years by AbbVie, Alkermes, Ethypharm, Indivior, Lilly, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pfizer, Arbor, and
1439 Amygdala Neurosciences. CML is on the SAB for Myriad Neuroscience. GB is a scientific
1440 advisor for COMPASS Pathways. The other authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1441
1442
1443 1. Martel, M. M. *et al.* A general psychopathology factor (P factor) in children: structural
1444 model analysis and external validation through familial risk and child global executive
1445 function. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **126**, 137 (2017).
1446 2. Dean, K. *et al.* The impact of parental mental illness across the full diagnostic spectrum on
1447 externalising and internalising vulnerabilities in young offspring. *Psychological Medicine*
1448 **48**, 2257–2263 (2018).
1449 3. McLaughlin, K. A. *et al.* Parent psychopathology and offspring mental disorders: results
1450 from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **200**,
1451 290–299 (2012).
1452 4. Kessler, R. C., Chiu, W. T., Demler, O. & Walters, E. E. Prevalence, severity, and
1453 comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey
1454 Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**, 617–627 (2005).
1455 5. Caspi, A. & Moffitt, T. E. All for One and One for All: Mental Disorders in One
1456 Dimension. *American Journal of Psychiatry* *appi.ajp.2018.1* (2018).
1457 doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17121383
1458 6. Caspi, A. *et al.* The p factor: one general psychopathology factor in the structure of
1459 psychiatric disorders? *Clinical Psychological Science* **2**, 119–137 (2014).
1460 7. Lahey, B. B. *et al.* Is there a general factor of prevalent psychopathology during
1461 adulthood? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **121**, 971 (2012).
1462 8. Pettersson, E., Larsson, H. & Lichtenstein, P. Common psychiatric disorders share the
1463 same genetic origin: a multivariate sibling study of the Swedish population. *Molecular*
1464 *Psychiatry* **21**, 717 (2016).
1465 9. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from
1466 polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 291 (2015).
1467 10. Selzam, S., Coleman, J. R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E. & Plomin, R. A polygenic p factor for
1468 major psychiatric disorders. *Translational Psychiatry* **8**, 205 (2018).
1469 11. Lee, S. H. *et al.* Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from
1470 genome-wide SNPs. *Nature Genetics* **45**, 984 (2013).
1471 12. Anttila, V. *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *science*
1472 **360**, eaap8757 (2018).
1473 13. Lee, P. H. *et al.* Genomic relationships, novel loci, and pleiotropic mechanisms across
1474 eight psychiatric disorders. *Cell* **179**, 1469–1482. e11 (2019).
1475 14. Demontis, D. *et al.* Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention
1476 deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 63 (2019).
1477 15. Walters, R. K. *et al.* Transancestral GWAS of alcohol dependence reveals common
1478 genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nature Neuroscience* **21**, 1656 (2018).
1479 16. Watson, H. J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies eight risk loci and
1480 implicates metabo-psychiatric origins for anorexia nervosa. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 1207–
1481 1214 (2019).
1482 17. Grove, J. *et al.* Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum
1483 disorder. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 431–444 (2019).
1484 18. Otowa, T. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders.
1485 *Molecular Psychiatry* **21**, 1391 (2016).

- 1486 19. Purves, K. L. *et al.* A major role for common genetic variation in anxiety disorders.
1487 *Molecular Psychiatry* 1–12 (2019).
- 1488 20. Stahl, E. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with
1489 bipolar disorder. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 793–803 (2019).
- 1490 21. Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine
1491 the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 668 (2018).
- 1492 22. Howard, D. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of depression phenotypes in UK
1493 Biobank identifies variants in excitatory synaptic pathways. *Nat Comms* **9**, 1470 (2018).
- 1494 23. Genetics, International Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Foundation *et al.* Revealing the
1495 complex genetic architecture of obsessive–compulsive disorder using meta-analysis.
1496 *Molecular Psychiatry* **23**, 1181 (2018).
- 1497 24. Meier, S. M. *et al.* Genetic variants associated with anxiety and stress-related disorders: a
1498 genome-wide association study and mouse-model study. *JAMA psychiatry* **76**, 924–932
1499 (2019).
- 1500 25. Duncan, L. E. *et al.* Largest GWAS of PTSD (N= 20 070) yields genetic overlap with
1501 schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Molecular Psychiatry* (2017).
- 1502 26. Ripke, S., Walters, J. T. & O'Donovan, M. C. Mapping genomic loci prioritises genes and
1503 implicates synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *medRxiv* 2020.09.12.20192922 (2020).
1504 doi:10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922
- 1505 27. Yu, D. *et al.* Interrogating the genetic determinants of Tourette's syndrome and other tic
1506 disorders through genome-wide association studies. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **176**,
1507 217–227 (2019).
- 1508 28. Cai, N. *et al.* Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low
1509 specificity for major depression. *Nature Genetics* 1–11 (2020).
- 1510 29. Watanabe, K. *et al.* A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex
1511 traits. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 1339–1348 (2019).
- 1512 30. Zhao, B. *et al.* GWAS of 19,629 individuals identifies novel genetic variants for regional
1513 brain volumes and refines their genetic co-architecture with cognitive and mental health
1514 traits. *bioRxiv* 586339 (2019).
- 1515 31. Jiang, L. *et al.* A resource-efficient tool for mixed model association analysis of large-
1516 scale data. (2019).
- 1517 32. Karatsoreos, I. N. Links between circadian rhythms and psychiatric disease. *Frontiers in*
1518 *behavioral neuroscience* **8**, 162 (2014).
- 1519 33. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-
1520 wide association summary statistics. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 1228 (2015).
- 1521 34. Hujoel, M. L., Gazal, S., Hormozdiari, F., van de Geijn, B. & Price, A. L. Disease
1522 heritability enrichment of regulatory elements is concentrated in elements with ancient
1523 sequence age and conserved function across species. *The American Journal of Human*
1524 *Genetics* **104**, 611–624 (2019).
- 1525 35. Kundaje, A. *et al.* Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**,
1526 317–330 (2015).
- 1527 36. Consortium, G. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene
1528 regulation in humans. *science* **348**, 648–660 (2015).
- 1529 37. Pers, T. H. *et al.* Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using
1530 predicted gene functions. *Nat Comms* **6**, 5890 (2015).

- 1531 38. Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the
1532 spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. *bioRxiv*
1533 531210 (2019).
- 1534 39. Habib, N. *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nature*
1535 *methods* **14**, 955–958 (2017).
- 1536 40. Ganna, A. *et al.* Quantifying the impact of rare and ultra-rare coding variation across the
1537 phenotypic spectrum. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **102**, 1204–1211 (2018).
- 1538 41. Gazal, S. *et al.* Linkage disequilibrium–dependent architecture of human complex traits
1539 shows action of negative selection. *Nature Genetics* **49**, 1421 (2017).
- 1540 42. Schork, A. J. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of shared risk across psychiatric
1541 disorders implicates gene regulation during fetal neurodevelopment. *Nature Neuroscience*
1542 **22**, 353–361 (2019).
- 1543 43. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies
1544 disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 621 (2018).
- 1545 44. Grotzinger, A. D. *et al.* Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the
1546 multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. *Nature human behaviour* **3**, 513
1547 (2019).
- 1548 45. Stahl, E. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with
1549 bipolar disorder. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 793 (2019).
- 1550 46. Markon, K. E. Bifactor and hierarchical models: Specification, inference, and
1551 interpretation. *Annual review of clinical psychology* **15**, 51–69 (2019).
- 1552 47. Mallard, T. T. *et al.* Not just one p: Multivariate GWAS of psychiatric disorders and their
1553 cardinal symptoms reveal two dimensions of cross-cutting genetic liabilities. *bioRxiv*
1554 603134 (2019).
- 1555 48. Kranzler, H. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of alcohol consumption and use
1556 disorder in 274,424 individuals from multiple populations. *Nat Comms* **10**, 1–11 (2019).
- 1557 49. Epskamp, S., Rhemtulla, M. & Borsboom, D. Generalized network psychometrics:
1558 Combining network and latent variable models. *Psychometrika* **82**, 904–927 (2017).
- 1559 50. Borsboom, D. A network theory of mental disorders. *World psychiatry* **16**, 5–13 (2017).
- 1560 51. Shi, H. *et al.* Population-specific causal disease effect sizes in functionally important
1561 regions impacted by selection. *bioRxiv* 803452 (2019).
- 1562 52. Newson, J. J., Hunter, D. & Thiagarajan, T. C. The Heterogeneity of Mental Health
1563 Assessment. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **11**, 76 (2020).
- 1564 53. Thorp, J. G. *et al.* Genetic heterogeneity in self-reported depressive symptoms identified
1565 through genetic analyses of the PHQ-9. *Psychological Medicine* 1–12 (2019).
- 1566 54. Yengo, L., Yang, J. & Visscher, P. M. Expectation of the intercept from bivariate LD
1567 score regression in the presence of population stratification. *bioRxiv* 310565 (2018).
- 1568 55. Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide
1569 complex trait analysis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **88**, 76–82 (2011).
- 1570 56. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
1571 linkage analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
- 1572 57. Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of
1573 genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190–2191 (2010).
- 1574 58. Howard, D. M. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102
1575 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nature*
1576 *Neuroscience* **22**, 343 (2019).

- 1577 59. Nievergelt, C. M. *et al.* International meta-analysis of PTSD genome-wide association
1578 studies identifies sex-and ancestry-specific genetic risk loci. *Nat Comms* **10**, 1–16 (2019).
1579 60. Meredith, W. Measurement invariance, factor analysis and factorial invariance.
1580 *Psychometrika* **58**, 525–543 (1993).
1581 61. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., Van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and
1582 annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Comms* **8**, 1826 (2017).
1583